



Evaluating the Drought Tolerance of Seven Potato Varieties on Volcanic Ash Soils in a Medium-Term Trial

Ingrid Martínez*, Manuel Muñoz, Ivette Acuña and Marco Uribe

Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, INIA Remehue, Osorno, Chile

One of the main factors limiting the productivity of potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L.) is water stress. Two irrigation systems: full irrigation (I) and rainfed conditions (R), were compared over the growing seasons from 2012–13 to 2019–20. The evaluated varieties were Desiree, Karú-INIA, Patagonia-INIA, Puyehue-INIA, Yagana-INIA, Yaike, and Porvenir. This study determined (i) the yield and tuber size distribution, (ii) their relationship between productivity and environmental conditions, and (iii) the most drought-tolerant varieties based on drought tolerance indices. Nine indices including yield index (YI), tolerance index (TOL), mean productivity (MP), geometric mean productivity (GMP), harmonic mean (Harm), stress tolerance index (STI), harmonic mean productivity (HMP), yield reduction (Yr), and stress susceptible index (SSI) were calculated by using tuber yield under I and R conditions. Tuber yield under R conditions decreased by 27 and 34%. However, the highest yield under R conditions occurred in years with more precipitation between 60 and 120 days after planting (DAP; ±60 mm). Under R conditions, the varieties Porvenir, Patagonia-INIA, Yaike, and Puyehue-INIA showed more tolerance to water stress. Water stress negatively affected tuber size distribution, reducing the production of tubers with size >65 mm by 50-60%. The best indices to study drought tolerance were TOL, MP, GMP, Harm, STI, and HMP. This study suggests that in southern Chile, an area with big yield potential, typically cultivated as rainfed, with cool temperate climate conditions and favorable soil properties for potatoes, as Andisols, available rainfall is still a constraint for yield. Therefore, using more water stress-tolerant varieties and providing supplementary irrigation between 60 and 120 DAP are critical to optimize yield and avoid the failure of the crop in years with remarkably low precipitations, which will be more pronounced in the future according to weather trends. These results exemplify how much we can lose in productivity in rainfed conditions even in one of the most favorable areas for growing potatoes in the world and how risky this situation can be for the performance of the potato farms in the future.

Keywords: drought index, irrigation, tuber size distribution, marketable tuber yield, Andisols, water stress

INTRODUCTION

The potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is the fourth most important cultivar worldwide, with a global annual yield of 370 Mt, with 17.3 million ha under cultivation (FAOSTAT, 2020). One of the main factors limiting the productivity of potato production is the need for more effective water management (Fleisher et al., 2015). Plants differ in their capacity to use water efficiently. The mechanisms responsible for regulating plant water use remain only partially understood.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Italo F. Cuneo, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. Chile

Reviewed by:

Rahmatollah Karimizadeh, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Iran Manuel Gastelo, International Potato Center, Peru

> *Correspondence: Ingrid Martínez ingrid.martinez@inia.cl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Abiotic Stress, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 09 April 2021 Accepted: 31 May 2021 Published: 25 June 2021

Citation:

Martínez I, Muñoz M, Acuña I and Uribe M (2021) Evaluating the Drought Tolerance of Seven Potato Varieties on Volcanic Ash Soils in a Medium-Term Trial. Front. Plant Sci. 12:693060. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.693060

1

Mbava et al. (2020) identified three important factors that account for differences in water use efficiency (WUE): (i) carbon-fixing or C4 plants make more efficient use of water than do C3 plants, (ii) plants in warm, humid climates make more efficient use of water than plants in cold climates, and (iii) soil texture has a significant effect on water retention, clay soils facilitating better WUE than silty soils. Like C3 plants, potatoes make less efficient water use, because of which their productivity varies significantly owing to differences in climate, irrigation, and soil management, with better yields when the availability of water and light are not limiting factors (Zhao et al., 2012; Mi et al., 2015; Mbava et al., 2020). Several authors have shown that water stress affects physiological mechanisms, which can be genotype-dependent. The most severe effects of water stress on potatoes are differences in stolon, tuber formation, yield, and tuber size distribution (Monneveux et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2013; Aliche et al., 2019, 2020; Gouveia et al., 2019).

Stress factors like drought and excess UV radiation that negatively affect plant yield will be more common in the coming decades due to climate change (Wang and Frei, 2011; Gregory and Marshall, 2012). Environmental stress because of decreased precipitation and changes in temperature affect potato yields, especially in dry regions (Aliche et al., 2019). Although the productivity of irrigated agricultural systems in semiarid areas has increased, water scarcity and the cost of irrigation limit the expansion of these systems (Mbava et al., 2020). Fang and Xiong (2015) argued that plants can be divided into four categories in terms of drought resistance: drought avoidance, drought tolerance, drought escape, and drought recovery. Of these, drought avoidance and drought tolerance are the main survival mechanisms. Zhang et al. (2018) described drought avoidance as the capacity to increase the root/shoot ratio to improve water absorption and close the stomata to reduce transpiration, while the mechanism of drought tolerance is related to the physiological parameters of osmotic adjustment.

To assess genotype responses to water stress, we used indices based on plant resistance or susceptibility. Based on mathematic relationships, selection parameters were used to identify genotypes with high yield potentials under favorable or stressful conditions (Mardeh et al., 2006), as well as genotypes tolerant to high altitudes (Noerwijati and Budiono, 2015), saline soils (Krishnamurthy et al., 2016; Jamshidi and Javanmard, 2018), and contrasting water availability conditions (El-Hendawy et al., 2017; Choudhary et al., 2018). According to Fernandez (1993), genotypes can be divided into four categories based on their associated yields: (1) genotypes with high yields under conditions with or without stress, (2) genotypes with high yields under conditions without stress, (3) genotypes with high yields under ettressful conditions, and (4) genotypes with low yields under either condition.

Around 16% of agricultural production in Chile corresponds to potato production (41.268 ha), of which 70% is concentrated in the center-south of this surface area (2018–19, INE). Moreover, a high percentage of this area is dominated by soils derived from volcanic materials, accounting for 50–60%, which is important for agriculture (Valle et al., 2018). Owing to their andic properties, these soils are different from other soils because of their high soil organic carbon and nutrients content, low bulk density, and capacity to store water (Valle et al., 2018; Clunes et al., 2021). Studying the connections between yields, soil and climate shed light on drought resistance mechanisms. The objectives of this study were to assess seven potato varieties (*S. tuberosum* L.) under conditions of irrigation and rainfed in a medium-term trial to determine: (i) the yield and tuber size distribution, (ii) their relationship between productivity and environmental conditions during their development, and (iii) the most water stress-tolerant varieties using drought-tolerant indices in volcanic ash soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description and Field Experiment

Seven potato varieties (*S. tuberosum* L.) were selected to study their water stress tolerance during the 2012–13 to 2019–20 seasons (except for the 2013–14 season) at the Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA Remehue) in Osorno, Chile (40°35'S, 73°12'W, 72 m.a.s.l.). The selected varieties, except for Desiree (1962, the Netherlands), had been released by INIA: Yagana-INIA (1983), Karú-INIA (2002), Patagonia-INIA (2009), Puyehue-INIA (2011), Yaike (2019), and Porvenir (2019). Due to an institutional decision, the varieties released from 2019 do not have the suffix of INIA, as the case of Yaike and Porvenir. Desiree and Karú-INIA were originally included but were stopped after five seasons (2017–18) to include new genotypes. Porvenir was included in season 2014–15, completing six seasons.

The soil was volcanic in origin, an Andisol of the Osorno series, classified as a Typic Hapludand [Centro de Información de Recursos Naturales (CIREN), 2003], textural class: silty loam, characterized by a high level of phosphorous retention and low bulk density (Valle et al., 2015). To determine soil physical properties, undisturbed soil cores (diameter 5 cm, height 5 cm) were collected in 10 cm increments to 100 cm depth, with three replicates. At the Bromatology Laboratory of INIA Remehue, the cylinders were weighed and dried in an oven at 105° C for 24 h to determine the water content of the soil, based on which the bulk density and porosity were calculated. Colors in the soil profile were identified with the Munsell Soil Color System (Munsell, 2009).

The experiment was carried out with a split-plot randomized design with three replicates; the irrigated (I) condition and rainfed (R) condition were assigned to the main plot and the varieties to the subplots. The size of each subplot was 4.5 m in length by 3 m in width (13.5 m² in total area), and the planting pattern was 0.75 m between rows \times 0.33 between plants. In the I condition, drip irrigation was homogenously applied after emergence during the crop cycle. The total quantity of water received by the crop cycle over the seven seasons varied between 450 and 600 mm based on climatic conditions. After 1 week from emergence, all plots in the I condition were irrigated weekly with 37.5–50 mm of water. This amount is considered to be enough to satisfy the crop evapotranspiration

estimated during the crop cycle. The R condition (no irrigation applied) was included to represent water-limited conditions for the crop, which considered the rainfall during the growing season. The plots were fertilized with 120 kg of N ha⁻¹, 350 kg of P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, and 160 kg of K_2O ha⁻¹. The duration of the phenological development was between 130 and 150 days. This coincides with the usual crop management in the area, conditioned by the climate requiring potatoes to be harvested before the end of March.

Climatic, Crop Evaluations, and Drought Tolerance Indices

Climatic measurements were taken every day at the experimental station during the period from seeding to harvest. To adjust the determined crop coefficients for a potato to site-specific climatic conditions, a heating unit-based Growing Degree Days (GDD) (McMaster and Wilhelm, 1997), which was calculated with the equation below:

$$\Sigma = \left\{\frac{Tmax + Tmin}{2}\right\} - Tbase$$

where Σ is the number of days from emergence to harvest; *Tmax* is the highest temperature; and *Tmin* is the lowest temperature. The monthly accumulated data for the GDD were considered at a *Tbase* of 4°C as the minimum temperature for potato growth (Ahmadvand et al., 2009). Accumulated precipitation, average temperature, and solar radiation at 60 and between 60 and 90, and 90 and 120 days after planting (DAP) were also considered. Weather data (daily maximum and minimum air temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation) were obtained from an automatic weather station at the experimental station at INIA Remehue.

The following yield traits were systematically collected at the harvest date in two internal rows in each subplot, each 4.5 m in length (6.75 m² in total area): yield of marketable tubers (>65 mm size), the yield of seed tubers (25–65 mm size) and non-marketable tubers (<25 mm size). In Chile, the seed tuber size to be marketed is regulated by the SAG (The Agricultural and Livestock Service) certification standard. The assessments were conducted in each experimental unit when 70% of the plant leaves were yellowish in color.

Various drought tolerance indices were calculated to estimate the stability in yield under I and R conditions: yield index (YI), tolerance index (TOL), mean productivity (MP), geometric mean productivity (GMP), harmonic mean (HARM), stress tolerance index (STI), harmonic mean productivity (HMP), yield reduction (Yr), and the stress susceptibility index (SSI). The formulas for these indices are presented in **Table 1**.

Statistical Analysis

An ANOVA with a split-plot randomized into a three-block design was used to assess the effects of treatments on crop productivity. Means were tested using the Tukey method at a significance level of p < 0.05. Regression analyses were performed to assess the associations between variables. Relationships between analyzed variables were established

 TABLE 1
 Description of the drought tolerance indices based on tuber yield.

| Drought | tolerance indices | Formula | References |
|---------|--|--|----------------------------|
| YI | Yield index | Y _s /X _s | Lin et al., 1986 |
| TOL | Tolerance index | $Y_p - Y_s$ | El-Hendawy et al., 2017 |
| MP | Mean productivity Geometric mean | $(Y_s + Y_p)/2$ | El-Hendawy et al., 2017 |
| GMP | productivity | $(Y_p \times Y_s)$ $(2 \times Y_s \times Y_p)/$ | Krishnamurthy et al., 2016 |
| HARM | Harmonic mean | $(Y_p + Y_s)$ | Nikneshan et al., 2019 |
| STI | Stress tolerance index Harmonic mean | $(Yp \times Ys)/X_p^2$ $(2 \times Y_p \times Y_s)/$ | Fernandez, 1993 |
| HMP | productivity | (Yp + Ys) | Fernandez, 1993 |
| Yr | Yield reduction Stress susceptibility | $1 - (Y_s/Y_p)$ $[1 - (Y_s/Y_p)]/$ | Golestani and Assad, 1998 |
| SSI | index | $[1 - (X_p/X_s)]$ | Nikneshan et al., 2019 |

through principal components analysis (PCA) and correlation coefficients (p > 0.05) using the Infostat statistical analysis software (Di Rienzo et al., 2009).

RESULTS

Environmental Conditions and Tuber Yield

The physical properties of volcanic soils in the study area showed low bulk density (0.75 \pm 0.04 Mg ha⁻¹) and high porosity (67% \pm 1.5) in the soil profile (Table 2). The study found decreasing trend of accumulated rainfall during the potato growing season across the last 42 years (Figure 1). The less frequency of accumulated rainfall higher than 400 mm during the growing season is associated with accumulated rainfall lower than 300 mm. Moreover, the average rainfall in the last 4 decades has decreased around 25% in the last 10 years. Figure 2 showed that this decreasing rainfall was more intense during the flowering stage. During the experiment (2012-13 to 2019–20), the accumulated rainfall during the growing season was 242.4 mm (Figure 3). The ambient temperature was 20.5°C, and the mean daily solar radiation was 19.8 Mj m⁻². Around 42% of rainfall took place in the first 2 months after the planting date. Around 24% (58 mm) occurred between January and February, the tuber-filling and maturation period (flowering to ripening stage) when the average temperature was 23.1°C. Radiation was 22.1 Mj m⁻² (Figure 3).

The average yield of the seven varieties during this period under I conditions was 84 Mg ha⁻¹, while the average yield under R conditions was 58 Mg ha⁻¹ (**Figure 4**). The varieties with higher productive potential under I conditions were Porvenir, Patagonia-INIA, Yaike, and Puyehue-INIA, with average yields of 100, 97, 89, and 84 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively; in contrast, the varieties Karú-INIA, Yagana-INIA, and Desiree had less productive potential with average yields of 71, 73, and 69 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively. Under R conditions, the varieties with more productive potential were Porvenir, Patagonia-INIA, Puyehue-INIA, and Yaike, with average yields of 69, 65, 60, and 59 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively. In contrast, the varieties with less productive potential were Karú-INIA, Yagana-INIA, and Desiree, with average yields of 52, 52, and 47 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively.

| TABLE 2 | Physical pro | perties of | soil profile | of the field | l experiment. |
|---------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|---------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|

| Depth cm | Bulk density | Porosity | Volumetric SWC | Soil color | Color | |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Mg m⁻³ | % | % | ID | | |
| 0–10 | 0.78 ± 0.01 | 68 ± 0.34 | 8.9 ± 1.37 | 7.5YR 4/4 | Brown Dark yellowish | |
| 10–20 | 0.78 ± 0.01 | 68 ± 0.28 | 14.0 ± 0.71 | 7.5YR 3/4 | brown Dark yellowish | |
| 20–30 | 0.81 ± 0.00 | 64 ± 0.19 | 13.6 ± 0.02 | 7.5YR 4/4 | brown Dark yellowish | |
| 30–40 | 0.79 ± 0.00 | 65 ± 0.14 | 12.8 ± 1.33 | 7.5YR 4/4 | brown Dark yellowish | |
| 40–50 | 0.76 ± 0.01 | 66 ± 0.62 | 15.5 ± 0.65 | 7.5YR 3/4 | brown Dark yellowish | |
| 50–60 | 0.74 ± 0.00 | 66 ± 0.15 | 14.7 ± 0.88 | 7.5YR 3/4 | brown Dark yellowish | |
| 60–70 | 0.71 ± 0.01 | 68 ± 0.26 | 15.8 ± 0.85 | 7.5YR 4/4 | brown | |
| 70–80 | 0.71 ± 0.00 | 68 ± 0.21 | 16.5 ± 1.52 | 7.5YR 4/4 | Dark brown | |
| 80–90 | 0.69 ± 0.00 | 69 ± 0.10 | 19.7 ± 1.36 | 7.5YR 3/3 | Dark brown | |
| 90–100 | 0.72 ± 0.01 | 67 ± 0.30 | 24.2 ± 0.47 | 7.5YR 3/4 | Dark brown | |

SWC, soil water content.

Figure 5 shows the temporal relationship between tuber yield under R conditions and rainfall at 0–60, 60–90, and 90–120 DAP. As can be seen, tuber yield increased in years with higher rainfall in the period 90–120 DAP (±60 mm), as occurred in years 2016 (season 2016–17) and 2019 (season 2019–20). GDD4 was similar from one season to another (**Figure 6**), except the 2014–15 season, which registered the lowest values during the period 90–120 DAP (935) and was also the year with the low tuber yield and precipitation during the same period (**Figure 5**). **Figure 7** shows that when the accumulated rainfall during the crop development exceeded 350 mm, the reduction of tuber yield under rainfall was less than 200 mm, tuber yield decreased by more than 35%.

Stress Indices and Their Correlations With Tuber Yield

A PCA was applied to obtain a visual representation of the correlation between the yields of the varieties and the stress tolerance indices. The biplot analysis shown in Figure 8 indicates that PC1 and PC2 explain 88.3% of the total variation and that most of this percentage is accounted for by PC1 (65.6%). There was a strong positive correlation between TOL, MP, GMP, Harm, STI, and HMPF (r = >0.92), indicating that these indices are very similar for drought selection. Besides, a weak correlation between YI, Yr, and SSI and the stress indices mentioned before (Table 3). The biplot indicates the association between the stress tolerance indices and varieties in productivity and drought tolerance. The varieties Porvenir, Patagonia-INIA, Yaike, and Puyehue-INIA, indicate a close positive relationship between TOL, MP, GMP, HARM, STI, and HMP as most productive and tolerant to drought conditions. In contrast, Desiree, Yagana-INIA, and Karú-INIA are on the opposite end of the PCA, which indicates that these varieties have negative effects on the dependence of the selection indices, which indicates low productivity and more sensitivity to drought conditions. No associations were found between the indices Yr, SSI, and YI and any of the varieties used in this study, which suggests that these indices are not useful for selecting genotypes or varieties studied under contrasting environmental conditions.

In Figure 9, the graphs of the average yields of the different varieties in the function of the environmental index (the average yield of all varieties in each environment, considering as an environment the combination of the year and the irrigation or rainfed condition, **Table 4**) show that the varieties Porvenir and Patagonia-INIA have the highest adjusted regression curves, which indicates that these varieties are more tolerant to water deficits and had higher yields over the years of the study and the distinct climatic conditions. This, in turn, indicates that these varieties have higher stress tolerance indices and a good response to improved conditions with irrigation. Coincidentally, the yield regression curve in the context of the environmental indices of varieties Desiree and Karú-INIA, which were less correlated with the drought tolerance index and showed had the lowest curves (**Figure 9**).

The regression between the environmental index and the number of tubers did not result in a significant relationship, indicating that this yield component was not affected by the effects of the irrigated and rainfed conditions in this agroclimatic context (**Table 5**). In contrast, there was a significant relationship between the average weight of the tubers of all the varieties and the environmental index (p < 0.0001), with determination coefficients similar to those between the environmental index and yield, indicating that the tuber weight component was affected by differences in water availability.

Drought Effects on the Tuber Size Distribution

The previous results showed that yield was reduced under water limiting conditions depending on the drought severity. However, tuber size was the most affected under water limiting conditions in the different varieties. **Figure 10** shows the average yield for the tuber size distribution of seed (25–65 mm) and marketable tubers (>65 mm) over the years of the study under both conditions (irrigation and rainfed). Drought stress significantly reduced the marketable tubers (>65 mm) by 50–60%. However, under irrigation, not all the varieties were marketable, like Yagana-INIA and Yaike, which are more productive for tuber seed production. The results also indicated that with irrigation, the productivity of Patagonia-INIA and Porvenir differs depending on the tuber size distribution. At the same time, Patagonia-INIA has a higher marketable production by 73%, for Porvenir was by 59%.

DISCUSSION

Tuber Yield Productivity Under Irrigation vs. Rainfed Conditions

The results of the medium-term trial showed the responses of the seven high-yield varieties to water deficit (Figure 4),









indicating that some varieties are more tolerant to water stress than others. Therefore, variability for drought tolerance is affected differently between cultivars (Aliche et al., 2019). However, it was observed that irrigation can increase yield in these soils by 30% (**Figure 4**). Although Andisols are characterized by the capacity to store and transport water, air, and nutrients, which maximizes plant yield (Valle et al., 2018), the physical properties of the soil capacity (air and water) are sensitive to management practices (Ordoñez et al., 2018), which the limits of storage and transport of water will depend on the pore system (Clunes et al., 2021). Water availability is limiting in the potato growing season in this region, despite the ability of soils to store moisture. Therefore, rainfall during the growing season is pivotal for yield, even in these cool temperate areas with favorable soils for potatoes (Liang et al., 2020). In addition, extremely high soil temperatures and low water content disfavor tuber formation (Liang et al., 2020). Even short periods of water stress can significantly reduce tuber yield, as was observed in our study (Zhang et al., 2018). Comparing yields under irrigation vs. rainfed conditions over seven seasons, the varieties Patagonia-INIA, Puyehue-INIA, Yagana-INIA, and Yaike registered differences in yields of 220, 168, 149, and 210 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively. Over five and six seasons, the varieties Desiree and Karú-INIA registered differences in yields of 110 and 118 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively, while the last variety to be released to the market, Porvenir, registered a difference of 186 Mg ha⁻¹ over six seasons. This not only



FIGURE 3 | Mean monthly rainfall, temperature, and solar radiation distribution during development stage in potato (2012–13 to 2019–20). Data were provided by the Agrometeorology Network at INIA Remehue. Error bars indicate SE.



demonstrates that water deficits significantly affect tuber growth and development (Karam et al., 2014; Ambrosone et al., 2016) but can also cause the loss of yields for one or two seasons over the medium-term. This was observed with the yields of Patagonia-INIA, Yaike, and Puyehue-INIA, which registered accumulated yields of 677, 624, and 591 Mg ha⁻¹, respectively under irrigation. In comparison, Patagonia-INIA reached 601 Mg ha⁻¹ over six seasons (**Figure 4**). **Figure 3** shows that the lowest precipitation levels occurred in January and February, which typically



follow flowering in this region. By this time, the number of tubers has already been fixed. Still, during this period, tubers acquire their final weight, which concurs with the environmental index and explains the differences in yields under irrigation and rainfed conditions. The results in a yield of high productive varieties by 7 years indicate an





FIGURE 7 | Percentage of reduction of the yield under rainfed conditions compared to irrigated plots concerning the accumulated precipitation during the growing season between 2012–12 and 2019–20 seasons.



| | YI | TOL | MP | GMP | HARM | STI | HMP | Yr | SSI |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| YI | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| TOL | 0.04 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| MP | -0.17 | 0.91 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| GMP | -0.18 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| HARM | -0.19 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | | |
| STI | -0.09 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | | | |
| HMP | -0.17 | 0.88 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | | |
| Yr | 0.24 | 0.21 | -0.06 | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0.03 | -0.02 | 1.00 | |
| SSI | 0.16 | -0.04 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.26 | -0.18 | -0.21 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 3 | The correlation coefficient between drought stress conditions and drought tolerance indices between 2012–13 and 2019–20.

YI, yield index; TOL, tolerance index; MP, mean productivity; GMP, geometric mean productivity; HARM, harmonic mean; STI, stress tolerance index; HMP, harmonic mean productivity; Yr, yield reduction; and SSI, stress susceptibility index.



 TABLE 4
 Environmental index (average yield of seven varieties) per year for irrigated and rainfed conditions.

| | 2 | E. Index (Mg ha⁻¹) | | | | |
|---------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Year | Season pp | Rainfed | Irrigated | | | |
| 2012 | 353 | 59.7 | 65.9 | | | |
| 2014 | 215 | 49.6 | 78.4 | | | |
| 2015 | 169 | 52.7 | 86.5 | | | |
| 2016 | 365 | 70.1 | 77.9 | | | |
| 2017 | 298 | 52.2 | 76.9 | | | |
| 2018 | 171 | 42.3 | 78.9 | | | |
| 2019 | 239 | 67.9 | 93.9 | | | |
| Average | 258.6 | 56.4 | 79.8 | | | |

important limiting for yield in no irrigated crops, even considering that south of Chile is an area with big yield potential for potatoes where this species is typically grown in drylands. Data of accumulated rainfall during the potato growing season during the last 40 years (**Figures 1, 2**) show a tendency to lower amounts from past to present. Therefore, potato improvement for drought tolerance and implementation of irrigation systems in this crop is essential to obtain marketable yields and avoid the risk of failure of the crop in years with low precipitation. The situation will probably be more pronounced in the future, given the trends in the last decades (Raymundo et al., 2014; Aliche et al., 2019; Hill et al., 2021). These results exemplify how much we can lose in productivity in dryland conditions even in one of the most favorable areas for growing potatoes globally and how risky this situation can be for the performance of the potato farms in the future.

Effects of Drought Conditions on Tuber Yield

Drought conditions lead to a reduction in total tuber yield and marketable yield (Figure 10). The yields of the potato

TABLE 5 | Coefficients of the regression between yield and environmental index for seven potato varieties.

| Cultivar | | | Regression parameters in function of the environm | | | | | ntal index (E. index) | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|-----------|----------|--|
| | Average yield* | yield vs. E. index | | | number of tubers vs. E. index | | | weight of individual tuber vs. E. index | | | |
| | | R ² | b (slope) | p | R ² | b (slope) | p | R ² | b (slope) | p | |
| Desiree | 54.7 ± 17.5 | 0.46 | 0.91 | <0.0001 | 0.28 | NC | 0.0026 | 0.5 | 0.73 | <0.0001 | |
| Karú-INIA Patagonia- | 58.7 ± 14.4 | 0.58 | 0.69 | <0.0001 | 0.16 | NC | >0.05 | 0.49 | 0.58 | <0.0001 | |
| INIA | 76.2 ± 19.9 | 0.75 | 1.13 | < 0.0001 | 0.05 | NC | >0.05 | 0.86 | 0.76 | <0.0001 | |
| Puyehue-INIA | 67.25 ± 18.5 | 0.51 | 0.86 | < 0.0001 | 0.05 | NC | >0.05 | 0.61 | 0.54 | < 0.0001 | |
| Yagana-INIA | 59.69 ± 18.1 | 0.49 | 0.83 | < 0.0001 | 0.09 | NC | >0.05 | 0.59 | 0.51 | < 0.0001 | |
| Yaike | 73.28 ± 23.4 | 0.61 | 1.19 | < 0.0001 | 0.05 | NC | >0.05 | 0.81 | 0.59 | <0.0001 | |
| Porvenir | 79.42 ± 17.9 | 0.74 | 0.94 | <0.0001 | 0.06 | NC | >0.05 | 0.67 | 0.72 | <0.0001 | |

*Average yield for all years and treatments.



varieties increased under conditions of water stress when there was precipitation exceeded 60 mm in the first 90-120 DAP (Figure 5), which indicates that the important phenological stages and types of varieties should be considered before applying deficit irrigation to maximize productivity (Martínez-Romero et al., 2019). Karam et al. (2014) observed that water deficits during tuber development in semiarid climates can reduce yields by 12%, while water deficits during tuber filling decreased yields by 42%. As observed in Figure 10, the effect of drought during the tuber-filling period reduces tuber size distribution and tuber yield (Aliche et al., 2018). Yagana-INIA and Yaike were more productive for tuber seed production across the years of study. Aliche et al. (2019) indicate that cultivars that make more tubers than they can bulk during the growing season would distribute smaller sizes, especially under rainfed conditions.

The highest yields under the I conditions compared to the R conditions occurred in the 2014-15 season (Figure 4) and coincided with the lowest rainfall and GDD4 at 90 DAP, and 120 DAP (Figures 5, 6) occurred during the tuber-filling period. This could also be associated with the warmest temperature (23°C) and highest levels of solar radiation, 24.3 (Mj m⁻²), in January (Figure 3). Van Harsselaar et al. (2021) mentioned that tuber growth is inhibited under combined drought and heat stress, with elevated temperatures of 30°C during the day. Timlin et al. (2006) indicated that the supply of photosynthates to tuber decreases with higher temperatures since the optimum temperature for biomass accumulation in potato is 20°C (Monneveux et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2020). Moreover, drought on tuberization reduces tuber formation and has a strong effect on tuber yield (Aliche et al., 2018). However, although the climatic conditions, especially precipitation, varied during the phenological period of the crop and during the years of the study, the accumulations of GDD4 were similar in the study area (Figure 6). Similar values were observed with the variety Desiree in a Mediterranean climate, indicating a weak correlation between yield and exposure of the plant to GDD (Danieli et al., 2018). Finally, the stress tolerance indices confirmed which varieties are best and least adapted to water deficit. The variables which contributed more positively to PC1 were GMP, HARM, MP, HMP, and TOL, which indicated an association between these indices and the general adaptability of the varieties to stressful environments. The varieties Porvenir, Patagonia-INIA, and Yaike, were the most adaptable (Figure 8; Table 3) and had the best drought tolerance indices. This confirms that these indices identify genotypes with high yield potential and tolerance to water stress. The GMP was the best-adapted index to assess relative yield (Mohammed and Kadhem, 2017). SSI, Yr, and YI are probably only useful to identify genotypes that behave well under stressful conditions but are not appropriate to identify genotypes that respond to improvements in environmental conditions. Krishnamurthy et al. (2016) indicated that SSI often fails to identify genotypes with high yields and stress tolerance potentials. Thus, Nikneshan et al. (2019) have also indicated that SSI, Yr, and YI work best when the changes in the yield of the genotype are lower and more stable over time.

CONCLUSION

The results in terms of yield-high varieties by 7 years indicate an important limiting in non-irrigated tuber yield, which decreased by 27 and 34% under rainfed conditions. However, tuber size distribution was the most affected under water limiting conditions, significantly reducing the marketable tubers (>65 mm) by 50-60%. The varieties Porvenir, Patagonia-INIA, and Yaike, had higher yields under conditions of irrigation and rainfed. However, it was observed that the varieties had high yields under water stress conditions when precipitation of more than 60 mm occurred between 60 and 120 DAP. The best indices to study drought tolerance were TOL, MP, GMP, Harm, STI, and HMP. Our study suggests that even in cool temperate areas with favorable soil properties for potatoes, as Andisols, low rainfall can produce a serious constraint for productivity. Therefore, combining varieties with more water stress tolerance and providing supplementary irrigation during the dry period can optimize the yield under rainfed conditions.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IM, MM, and MU contributed to conception and design of the study and organized the database. IM, MM, and IA performed the statistical analysis and wrote sections of the manuscript. IM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was financed by the Chilean Ministry of Agriculture through the Potato Breeding Program Project N°500057-70 (Fitomejoramiento de papa) and Project N°502783-70 (Evaluación y selección de genotipos de cultivos potencialmente adaptados al estrés hídrico y nutricional para un clima cambiante del sur de Chile).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Francisco Salazar for providing access to the INIA Remehue Bromatology Laboratory to take physical soil measurements.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadvand, G., Mondani, F., and Golzardi, F. (2009). Effect of crop plant density on critical period of weed competition in potato. Sci. Hortic. 121, 249–254. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2009.02.008
- Aliche, E. B., Oortwihn, M., Theeuwen, T. P. J. M., Bachem, C. W. B., van Eck, H. J., Visser, R. G. F., et al. (2019). Genetic mapping of tuber size distribution and marketable tuber yield under drought stress in potatoes. *Euphytica* 215:186. doi: 10.1007/s10681-019-2508-00123456789
- Aliche, E. B., Oortwijn, M., Theeuwen, T. P. J. M., Bachem, C. W. B., Visser, R. G. F., and van der Linden, C. G. (2018). Drought response in field grown potatoes and the interactions between canopy growth and yield. *Agric. Water Manag.* 206, 20–30. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2018.04.013
- Aliche, E. B., Theeuwen, T. P. J. M., Oortwijn, M., Visser, R. G. F., and van der Linden, C. G. (2020). Carbon partitioning mechanisms in potato under drought stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 145, 211–219. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.11.019
- Ambrosone, A., Batelli, G., Bostan, H., D'Agostino, N., Chiusano, M. L., Perotta, G., et al. (2016). Distinct gene networks drive differential response to abrupt or gradual water deficit in potato. *Gene* 597, 30–39. doi: 10.1016/j. gene.2016.10.024
- Centro de Información de Recursos Naturales (CIREN) (2003). Estudio agrológico X Reg. Tomo 2, CIREN, Santiago.
- Choudhary, A., Sultana, R., Vales, M. I., Saxena, K. B., Kumar, R. R., and Ratnakumar, P. (2018). Integrated physiological and molecular approaches to improvement of abiotic stress tolerance in two pulse crops of the semiarid tropics. *Crop J.* 6, 99–114. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2017.11.002
- Clunes, J., Dörner, J., and Pinochet, D. (2021). How does the functionality of the pore system affects inorganic nitrogen storage in volcanic ash soils? *Soil Tillage Res.* 205:104802. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2020.104802
- Danieli, R., Blank, L., Salam, B. B., Malka, S. K., Teper-Bamnolker, P., Daus, A., et al. (2018). Postharvest temperature has a greater impact on apical dominance of potato seed-tuber than field growing-degree days exposure. *Field Crop Res.* 223, 105–112. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2018.03.020
- Di Rienzo, J. A., Casanoves, F., Balzarini, M. G., Gonzales, L., Tablada, M., and Robledo, C. W. (2009). InfoStat Version. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina.
- El-Hendawy, S. E., Hassan, W. M., Al-Suhaibani, N. A., and Schmidhalter, U. (2017). Spectral assessment of drought tolerance indices and grain yield in advanced spring wheat lines grown under full and limited water irrigation. *Agric. Water Manag.* 182, 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2016.12.003
- Fang, Y., and Xiong, L. (2015). General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 72, 673–689. doi: 10.1007/s00018-014-1767-0
- FAOSTAT (2020). FAOSTAT crop data. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available at: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC/ visualize (Accessed March 27, 2021).
- Fernandez, G. C. J. (1993). "Effective selection criteria for assessing plant stress tolerance," in *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Adaptation of Vegetables and Other Food Crops to Temperature Water Stress.* ed. C. G. Kuo, August 13–18; Taiwan, pp. 257–270.
- Fleisher, D. H., Dathe, A., Timlin, D. J., and Reddy, V. R. (2015). Improving potato drought simulations: assessing water stress factors using a coupled model. Agric. For. Meteorol. 200, 144–155. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.09.018
- Golestani, S. A., and Assad, M. T. (1998). Evaluation of four screening techniques for drought resistance and their relationship to yield reduction ratio in wheat. *Euphytica* 103, 293–299. doi: 10.1023/A:1018307111569
- Gouveia, C. S. S., Gananca, J. F. T., Slaski, J., Lebot, V., and de Carvalho, M. A. A. P. (2019). Variation of carbon and isotope natural abundances (δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C) of whole-plant sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) subjected to prolonged water stress. *J. Plant Physiol.* 243:153052. doi: 10.1016/j. jplph.2019.153052
- Gregory, P. T., and Marshall, B. (2012). Attribution of climate change: a methodology to estimate the potential contribution to increases in potato yield in Scotland since 1960. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18, 1372–1388. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-2486.2011.02601.x
- Hill, D., Nelson, D., Hammond, J., and Bell, L. (2021). Morphophysiology of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) in response to drought stress: paving the way forward. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:597554. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.597554

- Jamshidi, A., and Javanmard, H. R. (2018). Evaluation of barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*) genotypes for salinity tolerance under field conditions using the stress indices. *Ain Shams Eng. J.* 9, 2093–2099. doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2017.02.006
- Karam, F, Amacha, N., El Asmar, T., and Domínguez, A. (2014). Response of potato to full and deficit irrigation under semiarid climate: agronomic and economic implications. Agric. Water Manag. 142, 144–151. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2014.05.007
- Krishnamurthy, S. L., Gautam, R. K., Sharma, P. C., and Sharma, D. K. (2016). Effect of different salt stresses on agro-morphological traits and utilization of salt stress indices for reproductive stage salt tolerance in rice. *Field Crop Res.* 190, 26–33. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2016.02.018
- Liang, S.-M., Li, Y.-S., Rehman, M. A., He, S.-D., Wang, P.-J., Yin, Z.-Y., et al. (2020). Effects of planting patterns on rainwater use efficiency and potato tuber yield in seasonally arid areas of southwestern China. *Soil Tillage Res.* 197:104502. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2019.104502
- Lin, C. S., Binns, M. R., and Lefkovitch, L. P. (1986). Stability analysis: where do we stand? *Crop Sci.* 26, 894–900. doi: 10.2135/cropsci1986.001118 3X002600050012x
- Mardeh, A. S., Ahmadi, A., Poustini, K., and Mohammadi, V. (2006). Evaluation of drought resistance indices under various environmental conditions. *Field Crop Res.* 98l, 222–229. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2006.02.001
- Martínez-Romero, A., Domínguez, A., and Landeras, G. (2019). Regulated deficit irrigation strategies for different potato cultivars under continental mediterranean-Atlantic conditions. *Agric. Water Manag.* 216, 164–176. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2019.01.030
- Mbava, M., Mutema, M., Zengeni, R., Shimelis, H., and Chaplot, V. (2020). Factors affecting crop water use efficiency: a worldwide meta-analysis. Agric. Water Manag. 228:105878. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105878
- McMaster, G. S., and Wilhelm, W. W. (1997). Growing degree-days: one equation, two interpretations. Agric. For. Meteorol. 87, 291–300. doi: 10.1016/ S0168-1923(97)00027-0
- Mi, X., Ji, Z., Yang, J., Liang, L., Si, H., Wu, J., et al. (2015). Transgenic potato plants expressing cry3A gene confer resistance to Colorado potato beetle. *C. R. Biol.* 338, 443–450. doi: 10.1016/j.crvi.2015.04.005
- Mohammed, A. K., and Kadhem, F. A. (2017). Screening drought tolerance in bread wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using drought indices and multivariate analysis. *Iraqi J. Agric. Sci.* 48:41.51. doi: 10.36103/ijas.v48iSpecial.244
- Monneveux, P., Ramírez, D. A., and Pino, M.-T. (2013). Drought tolerance in potato (S. tuberosum L.) can we learn from drought tolerance research in cereals? *Plant Sci.* 205-206, 76–86. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.01.011
- Munsell (2009). Munsell Soil-Color Charts. Michigan, USA: Munsell Color, Grand Rapids.
- Nikneshan, P., Tadayyon, A., and Javanmard, M. (2019). Evaluating drought tolerance of castor ecotypes in the center of Iran. *Heliyon* 5:e01403. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01403
- Noerwijati, K., and Budiono, R. (2015). Yield and yield components evaluation of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) clones in different altitudes. *Energy Procedia* 65, 155–161. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2015.01.050
- Ordoñez, I., López, I. F., Kemp, P. D., Descalzi, C. A., Horn, R., Zúñiga, F., et al. (2018). Effect of pasture improvement managements on physical properties and water content dynamics of a volcanic ash soil in southern Chile. *Soil Tillage Res.* 178, 55–64. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2017.11.013
- Raymundo, R., Asseng, S., Cammarano, D., and Quiroz, R. (2014). Potato, sweet potato, and yam models for climate change: a review. *Field Crop Res.* 166, 173–185. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.06.017
- Timlin, D., Lutfor, S. M., Baker, J., Reddy, V. R., Fleisher, D., and Quebedeaux, B. (2006). Whole plant photosynthesis, development, and carbon partitioning in potato as a function of temperature. *Agron. J.* 98, 1195–1203. doi: 10.2134/ agronj2005.0260
- Valle, S. R., Carrasco, J., Pinochet, D., Soto, P., and Donald, R. M. (2015). Spatial distribution assessment of extractable Al, (NaF) pH and phosphate retention as tests to differentiate among volcanic soils. *Catena* 127, 17–25. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2014.12.011
- Valle, S. R., Dörner, J., Zúñiga, F., and Dec, D. (2018). Seasonal dynamics of the physical quality of volcanic ash soils under different land uses in southern Chile. Soil Tillage Res. 182, 25–34. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2018.04.018
- Van Harsselaar, J. K., Claußen, J., Lübeck, J., Wörlein, N., Uhlmann, N., Sonnewald, U., et al. (2021). X-ray CT phenotyping reveals bi-phasic growth phases of potato tubers exposed to combined abiotic stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12:613108. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.6113108

- Wang, Y., and Frei, M. (2011). Stressed food the impact of abiotic environmental stresses on crop quality. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 141, 271–286. doi: 10.1016/j. agee.2011.03.017
- Yuan, M., Zhang, L., Gou, F., Su, Z., Spiertz, J. H. J., and van der Werf, W. (2013). Assessment of crop growth and water productivity for five C3 species in semiarid Inner Mongolia. *Agric. Water Manag.* 122, 28–38. doi: 10.1016/j. agwat.2013.02.006
- Zhang, S., Xu, X., Sun, Y., Zhang, J., and Li, C. (2018). Influence of drought hardening on the resistance physiology of potato seedlings under drought stress. J. Integr. Agric. 17, 336–347. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(17) 61758-1
- Zhao, H., Xiong, Y., Li, F., Wang, R., Qiang, S., Yao, T., et al. (2012). Plastic film much for half growing-season maximized WUE and yield of potato

via moisture-temperature improvement in a semiarid agroecosystem. Agric. Water Manag. 104, 68–78. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2011.11.016

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Martínez, Muñoz, Acuña and Uribe. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.