



# Plant Availability of Magnesium in Typical Tea Plantation Soils

Qunfeng Zhang<sup>†</sup>, Dandan Tang<sup>†</sup>, Xiangde Yang, Saipan Geng, Ying He, Yupei Chen, Xiaoyun Yi, Kang Ni, Meiya Liu<sup>\*</sup> and Jianyun Ruan<sup>‡</sup>

Tea Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, China

**Background and Aims:** Magnesium (Mg) fertilizer has been proved to play an important role in improving the yield and quality of tea. However, plant availability of Mg, including its use, efficiency, and quality improvement effects, were highly affected by plant species, soil characteristics (nutritional status, etc.), and Mg status (chemical-available, etc.).

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Chunwu Zhu, Institute of Soil Science (CAS), China

#### Reviewed by:

Jianwei Lu, Huazhong Agricultural University, China Chuan Yue, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, China Jinbao Huang, Anhui Agricultural University, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Meiya Liu liumeiya@mail.tricaas.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

> <sup>‡</sup>These authors share senior authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Nutrition, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 14 December 2020 Accepted: 06 July 2021 Published: 10 August 2021

#### Citation:

Zhang Q, Tang D, Yang X, Geng S, He Y, Chen Y, Yi X, Ni K, Liu M and Ruan J (2021) Plant Availability of Magnesium in Typical Tea Plantation Soils. Front. Plant Sci. 12:641501. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.641501 **Methods:** Tea plants were pot-cultivated in 12 typical tea plantation soils amended with and without Mg fertilizer. Exchangeable Mg (Ex-Mg) concentration in soils was quantitatively extracted using four extraction solutions (Mehlich-3, BaCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>OAC). Plant availability of Mg was evaluated by Mg uptake and its use efficiency, as well as its association with quality components in tea plants.

**Results:** Ex-Mg in soils was extracted most efficiently by Mehlich-3, while Mg concentrations in tea plant tissue were higher correlated with Ex-Mg extracted by CaCl<sub>2</sub> than other extraction solutions. Mg fertilizer use efficiency in tea plant varied from 6.08 to 29.56 %, and the effect of Mg application on tea quality improvement and the use efficiency of Mg fertilizer both negatively correlated with total Mg concentration (r = -0.94 and -0.63, respectively) and nitrogen (N) level (r = -0.61 and -0.51, respectively) in soils prior to tea plant cultivation.

**Conclusions:** CaCl<sub>2</sub> could be recommended for plant-available Mg extraction in tea plantation soil, and Mg fertilizer use efficiency could be affected and predicted by total N and Mg status in soils prior to tea plant cultivation, providing a potential theoretical for the guidance of Mg fertilization for tea yield and quality improvement in tea plantation management.

Keywords: Mg fertilization, tea plant, Mg efficiency, plant availability of Mg, tea plantation soils

# **INTRODUCTION**

Magnesium (Mg) as the second most abundant intracellular cation has been proven to have vital functions in plants because Mg participates in chlorophyll synthesis and catalytic action (Gerendás and Führs, 2013). In recent years, considerable progress has been made in studying the effect of Mg fertilization on the yield and quality of cash crops (Cakmak, 2013; Dechen et al., 2015). In tea plants, a previous study has shown that the use of Mg fertilizer can effectively improve the yield and quality of tea (Ruan et al., 1999). Our early experiment found that Mg application significantly increased caffeine content and aroma components in tea products (Ruan et al., 1999). Besides, Ruan et al. (2012) also suggested that improving Mg nutrition in tea planting effectively decreases the

1

ratio of total polyphenols to amino acid (TP/AA), which is positively and strongly connected to green tea taste (Zhang and Ruan, 2016). However, there is a deep gap in the knowledge of the requirements of crops for Mg (Grzebisz et al., 2010).

Soil Mg incorporated in the crystal lattice structure of minerals cannot be directly absorbed by plants (Senbayram et al., 2016). In general, plants are prone to absorb the Mg readily from the soil solution. Exchangeable Mg is an important source of plant-available Mg. In the agricultural production system, the availability of Mg to crops depended on various factors such as soil texture, cation exchangeable capacity (Hariadi and Shabala, 2004), site-specific climatic and anthropogenic factors, agronomic management practices, and the crop species itself (Mikkelsen, 2010).

Adequate soil Mg is the key to ensure robust crop growth and production, and soils with low concentrations of Mg can decrease agricultural productivity and quality (Wang et al., 2020). Mg losses by mobilization and leaching in the soil (Schachtschabel, 1954) or Mg depletion due to intensive crop production (Pol and Traore, 1993) causes Mg deficiency in soil. Additionally, cationic competition, resulting from long-term imbalanced soil fertilization, causes nutrient heterogeneity in soils. A good soil Mg condition is the prerequisite to ensure Mg uptake by crop roots and enhance Mg utilization efficiency. Mg deficiencies in soil are a major limiting factor for crop growth (Cakmak and Yazici, 2010; Cakmak, 2013; Guo et al., 2016), particularly in acidic soils that are highly saturated with cations, such as H<sup>+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Mn<sup>2+</sup>, and which suffer intensive leaching, particularly in areas with high rainfall totals (Mayland and Wilkinson, 1989; Wilkinson et al., 1990; Gransee and Führs, 2013). Tea plantations are generally located in regions with acidic soil, and Mg deficiency is an important limiting factor for tea production, both in terms of yield and quality (Wu and Ruan, 1994). At present, however, the input of Mg fertilizer to tea plantations is insufficient (Ruan et al., 2002; Ni et al., 2019).

The availability of Mg also depends on the activity or proportion of Mg relative to soluble and exchangeable amounts of K, Ca, Na, Al, and Mn. Previous studies have reported that the status of nutrients such as potassium (K) (Farhat et al., 2013) or nitrogen (N) (Ruan and Gerendas, 2015) is closely related to the biological effects of Mg application (Lasa et al., 2000). The cooperative of Mg and N nutrition on crops has been widely reported (Ruan et al., 2000, 2004). For example, a hydroponics experiment with tea plants showed that the effects of Mg on tea quality were limited by N nutrition (Ruan et al., 2012). Moreover, Mg supply could improve the absorption and metabolism of nitrate in tea plants (Ruan et al., 1998) and promotes the long-distance transportation of amino acids and sugars in the xylem and phloem of tea plants (Ruan et al., 2012). Excessive application of high rates of K<sup>+</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> fertilizers, antagonistically interferes with plant Mg uptake, thus enhancing the risk of Mg deficiency.

In recent years, studies of the molecular mechanisms of Mg metabolism (Deng et al., 2006) and the need for Mg in the field have produced much new knowledge (Conn et al., 2011; Senbayram et al., 2016). In particular, the understanding of the plant availability of Mg for plant growth has deepened (Gransee

and Führs, 2013). However, the challenge is to relate the data obtained from soil analysis to phyto-availability and plant growth (Kopittke and Menzies, 2007). Nutrient balance is considered as a simple diagnostic procedure, evaluating the current status of crop nutrient management. Two main approaches, the soil surface balance (SSuB), and the soil system balance (SSyB), are used to assess trends in a nutrient balance during a fixed period. SSuB relies on a net balance of an external input and output of a given nutrient, while SSyB relies on both external and internal (soil) resources (Oenema et al., 2003). In addition, the efficiency of a given extraction is shown by the correlation between the extractable Mg content and crop uptake. A good extraction should simulate the capacity of the roots to uptake nutrients, extract as many elements of interest as possible, and be suitable for the prediction of extractable Mg in chemically and physically different soils (Van, 1988). The Mehlich 1 acid solution (Nelson et al., 1953), Mehlich-3 solution (Mehlich, 1984), and ion-exchange resin (IER) (Van Raij et al., 1986) are commonly used as extractants. In some cases, the Mehlich-3 solution has shown a better correlation between extracted P and plant uptake when compared with Mehlich 1 (Tran et al., 1990).

In this study, to evaluate plant availability of Mg under different soil nutrients status, we collected soils from 12 teaproducing areas of China to cultivate tea plants in a greenhouse supplied with and without the additional Mg nutrient. Our main hypothesis is that the plant-available Mg in tea plantation soil depends on the Mg status (chemical-available, etc.) and soil characteristics (nutritional status, etc.).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Experimental Design**

Surface soils (0-20 cm) were collected from 12 typical tea plantations located in main tea producing areas in China; the detailed information of collected soils is shown in Table 1. Prior to the experiment, soil samples were air-dried, ground, sieved, and mixed with quartz (1:1, v:v). Then, four 1-year-old tea clones (Longjing-43) were selected to cultivate in the mixed soils with a 350 ml plastic cup (bottom drainage). All pots were evenly placed in the climate chamber (Supplementary Figure 1). The light intensity was 200 mmol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and the photo/dark period was 14/10 h. The air temperature and relative humidity were maintained at 26/22°C in the photo/dark period and 70%, respectively. During the experiment, 50 ml deionized water was added to plots every 3 days and soil leachate was also periodically collected with a plastic cup for recycling use. After 2 months of pre-incubation, tea plants were divided equally into two groups. Experimental groups (+Mg group) supply Mg equivalent to 40 mg MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After 6 months of cultivation, the root, stem, and leaves were sampled, respectively. Plant samples were frozen immediately with liquid nitrogen and freeze-dried, respectively. Root and stem were oven-dried at 60°C and then ground using a ball mill (M301, Retsch, Germany). Additionally, visible roots were removed the remaining soils were then airdried (Supplementary Figure 2) and passed through a 2-mm mesh sieve to remove the quartz from the soil.

**TABLE 1** | Soil properties of tea plantations at the selected 12 countries in China.

Site	рН	C (mg/g)	<i>N</i> (mg/g)	*Soil particle-size distribution (%)			Soil texture
				Clay	Silt	Total sand	
ChengDu (CD)	3.78	112.77	11.12	15.54	65.88	18.58	Silty loam
GuiLing (GL)	4.25	121.64	10.41	57.73	24.20	18.06	Clay
HuangGang (HG)	3.58	215.03	22.20	14.25	59.54	26.22	Silty loam
XinYang (XY)	4.73	120.04	11.63	27.58	55.71	16.32	Silty Clay loam
XiShuangBanNa (XSBN)	4.93	224.05	16.40	1.63	56.94	40.81	Silty loam
WuXi (WX)	3.84	126.56	10.90	53.95	20.74	25.30	Clay
YiChang (YC)	4.64	158.27	14.52	16.23	61.70	22.06	Silty loam
ChangSha (CS)	4.04	136.02	14.01	0.81	38.14	60.46	Sandy loam
NingDe (ND)	3.76	122.60	12.70	48.27	42.03	9.37	Clay
QingYuan (QY)	4.78	151.70	16.14	39.58	48.03	12.39	Silty clay
XiangXi (XX)	4.47	257.43	24.50	45.82	39.45	14.73	Clay
ChongQing (CQ)	3.84	114.65	11.52	42.76	41.69	15.54	Silty clay

\*Clay(<2 μm ), Silt (2–50 μm), Total sand (50–2000 μm).

#### Analysis of Soil Samples

# Soil pH, Particle Size Distributions, Total Carbon (C), and N Contents

Soil pH was measured at a ratio of 1:1 (w/v) in deionized water with an ORION 3 STAR pH meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The particle size distributions were analyzed using a laser particle size analyzer (Mastersizer 3,000, Malvern, UK). Total C and N contents were measured with an elemental analyzer. For the dry-combustion procedure, a Vario MACRO (Elementar Analysensysteme, Germany) resistance furnace CNS elemental analyzer was used. Combustion was carried out in a pure oxygen source and the carrier gas was helium. Two hundred milligrams of soil was weighted on an inert thin boat. The typical sample combustion train started with combustion at 1,150°C in a pure oxygen stream. Each soil had three replicates, and three technical replicates were calculated for mean values.

#### Total Mg and Exchangeable Mg

To measure total Mg content in soils, 0.2 g soil (passed through a 100-mesh sieve) was digested with 5 ml nitric acid, 1 ml perchloric acid, and 2 ml hydrofluoric acid at 140°C for 3 h. Mg concentration in the solution after dilution was analyzed using an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, Thermo Jarrel Ash, USA).

The exchangeable Mg (Ex-Mg) content was extracted with 0.01 M BaCl<sub>2</sub>, Mehlich-3 solution, 1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 1 M

#### Analysis of Tea Plant Samples Mg Concentrations in Tea Plant

The samples of the tea plant (three biological replicates, each 0.1 g) were digested by 5 ml mixed concentrated acids  $HNO_3$ - $HClO_4$  (Ruan et al., 2012) at 140°C in an electric oven. The digestion was then diluted to 25 ml with distilled water, and the nutrients were measured using the ICP-AES.

#### Total Polyphenols and Free Amino Acids in Tea Leaf

To measure total polyphenols, 60 mg finely ground tea plant powder (three biological replicates) was extracted with 3 ml deionized  $H_2O$  in a boiling water bath for 5 min (with vortex mixing for 2.5 min). A Folin–Ciocalteu colorimetric assay, with gallic acid as the reference standard, was used to spectrophotometrically determine its concentration in the filtrate (ISO14502-1).

The free amino acids in tea leaves were measured *via* high-performance liquid chromatography with a diode array detector (HPLC-DAD, Waters, 2,695–2,998), as previously reported by Zhang et al. (2018).

#### Data Analysis

Statistically significant differences in mean values were tested using the one-way ANOVA. Tukey's *post-hoc* test was applied for comparison of multiple groups. Pearson correlation and linear regression analysis were tested with SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, USA). Mg fertilizer use efficiency was concluded with the formula as:

$$UE = \frac{(C1 * M1 - C2 * M2) + (C3 * M3 - C4 * M4) + (C5 * M5 - C6 * M6)}{TM} * 100\%$$

ammonium acetate, respectively, at a ratio of 1:10 (w/v) for shaking 30 min at 180 r min<sup>-1</sup>, and passed through 0.45- $\mu$ m-sized cellulose-acetate paper filters for the measurement of Mg concentration by ICP-AES (Yang et al., 2018).

where UE denotes Mg fertilizer use efficiency. C1, C3, and C5 denote Mg concentrations of tea root, stem, and leaf, respectively in +Mg group plants. M1, M3, and M5 denote biomass of tea root, stem, and leaf, respectively in +Mg group plants. C2, C4,



**FIGURE 1** Contents of exchangeable Mg extracted by  $1.0 \text{ mol } L^{-1} \text{ CaCl}_2$ ,  $0.01 \text{ mol } L^{-1} \text{ BaCl}_2$ , Mehlich-3, and  $1.0 \text{ mol } L^{-1} \text{ NH}_4$ . OAc in soils pretea cultivation (A), and the correlation of exchangeable magnesium extracted by different extracts (B). Different letters above the bar indicate significant ( $\rho < 0.01$ ) differences among different extraction. M-3, Mehlich-3 solution; BaCl<sub>2</sub>, barium chloride; NH<sub>4</sub>OAC, ammonium acetate; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, calcium chloride; Ex-Mg, exchangeable magnesium; XY, Xsbn, WX, CD, HG, YC, QY, CS, GL, XX, ND, and CQ indicated that the soil has been collected in the site of Xinyang, Xishuangbanna, Wuxi, Chengdu, Huanggang, Qingyuan, Changsha, Guiling, Xiangxi, Ningde and Chongqing, respectively.



**FIGURE 2** | Concentration of magnesium (means  $\pm$  SD, n = 3) in root (**A**), stem (**B**), and leaf (**C**) of tea plants. Here "ns" and different letters above the bar indicate insignificant and significant (p < 0.01) differences, respectively, between two magnesium treatments. XY, Xsbn, WX, CD, HG, YC, QY, CS, GL, XX, ND, and CQ indicated that the soil has been collected in the site of Xinyang, Xishuangbanna, Wuxi, Chengdu, Huanggang, Qingyuan, Changsha, Guiling, Xiangxi, Ningde and Chongqing, respectively.

and C6 denote Mg concentration of tea root, stem, and leaf, respectively in CK group plants. M2, M4, and M6 mean biomass of tea root, stem, and leaf, respectively in CK group plants. TM denotes the total amount of Mg fertilizer applied in each pot.

# RESULTS

# Soil Ex-Mg Concentration Prior to the Experiment

Exchangeable Mg concentration in soils extracted by different extraction solutions varies widely. For example, Ex-Mg concentration extracted by Mehlich-3 solution in XY site was three times more than CaCl<sub>2</sub>-extracted concentration of

Ex-Mg (245.04 and 72.85 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). However, the concentration of soil Ex-Mg in XY, Xsbn, WX, CD, HG, YC, QY, and CS sits were highly extracted by Mehlich-3 solution and followed by decreasing order of BaCl<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>OAC, and CaCl<sub>2</sub>, while CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution showed higher soil Ex-Mg extraction efficiency in XX, ND, and CQ sites (**Figure 1A**). Herein, simple linear regression was applied to model the relationship between the methods of Mehlich-3, BaCl<sub>2</sub>, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub>OAC. The results showed that Mehlich-3 solution had the strongest correlation with NH<sub>4</sub>OAC ( $r^2 = 0.86$ , p < 0.001), followed by BaCl<sub>2</sub> solution ( $r^2 = 0.83$ , p < 0.001). In contrast, soil Ex-Mg concentration extracted by CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution showed a lower correlation with NH<sub>4</sub>OAC ( $r^2 = 0.68$ , p < 0.001) (**Figure 1B**).

### Mg Concentration in Tea Plants

Among three tissues, the highest Mg concentration occurred in the leaf, followed by the root and stem (Figure 2). Mg application significantly increased Mg concentration in the roots by 20%, stems (83%), and leaves (36%), respectively (Figures 2A-C). Besides, the effect of Mg application on tea plant varied dramatically due to the initial status of soil Mg nutrition. For example, tea plants cultivated in soils originating from XY site had the highest Mg concentration (3.5, 1.9, 4.3 mg  $g^{-1}$  in the roots, stems, and leaves, respectively, Figure 2), which is consistent with Ex-Mg changes in soils (245.6  $\pm$ 1.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, lower levels of Mg were measured in tea plants tissue (0.92, 0.90,  $2.02 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$  in the roots, stems, and leaves, respectively, Figure 2) That are cultivated in soils of CQ with less Ex-Mg (30.7  $\pm$  1.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) than XY. Pearson correlation results (Table 2) showed that the Mg concentration in root, stem, and leaf significantly and positively correlated with initial soil Ex-Mg concentration (prior to tea plant cultivation). Compared with root tissue (r < 0.6), the Mg concentration in stem and leaf highly correlated with soil Ex-Mg (r > 0.6).

After tea plant cultivation, the nutrient Mg, especially Ex-Mg, could be absorbed directly by the root, and then transported to other tissues like stem and leaf. Indeed, there is a significant correlation (r = 0.447–0.906, p < 0.01) between Mg concentration among tissue (root, stem, and leaf) and soil Ex-Mg concentration, whether it is in CK group or Mg group (**Table 2**). Both in the CK group as well as in the Mg group, there was a larger correlation between the Mg concentration in tissue and soil Ex-Mg (r = 0.499–0.906). Moreover, soil Ex-Mg concentration extracted by CaCl<sub>2</sub> had the strongest correlation with Mg concentration in plant tissue (root: r = 0.636 and 0.650;

**TABLE 2** Pearson correlation coefficients between soil exchangeable Mg and Mg concentrations in tea plants (n = 36).

Soil	Extract	Root Mg	Stem Mg	Leaf Mg
Initial	Mehlich-3	0.535**	0.638***	0.680***
	NH4OAC	0.406*	0.607***	0.640***
	$CaCl_2$	0.536**	0.689***	0.661***
	$BaCl_2$	0.526**	0.684***	0.698***
Post cultivation	Mehlich-3	0.499**	0.669***	0.860***
CK group	NH4OAC	0.587**	0.707***	0.866***
	$CaCl_2$	0.636***	0.733***	0.906***
	BaCl <sub>2</sub>	0.625***	0.679***	0.881***
Mg group	Mehlich-3	0.447**	0.621***	0.762***
	NH4OAC	0.562***	0.547***	0.632***
	$CaCl_2$	0.650***	0.640***	0.740***
	$BaCl_2$	0.604***	0.613***	0.728***
$\Delta$ Mg change	Mehlich-3	-0.428*	-0.475**	-0.413*
(Post cultivation CK Group-Initial)	NH4OAC	0.132	-0.0326	0.108
	$CaCl_2$	0.628***	0.702***	0.917***
	$BaCl_2$	0.265	0.0666	0.401*

p < 0.05; p < 0.01; p < 0.01

TABLE 3 | Magnesium fertilizer use efficiency in typical tea plantation soils.

Site	Mg fertilizer use efficiency in tea plant(%)						
	Root	Stem	Leaf	Total			
ChengDu (CD)	0.01	12.32	4.40	16.73			
GuiLing (GL)	0.56	11.52	0.32	12.40			
HuangGang (HG)	1.04	5.52	5.00	11.56			
XinYang (XY)	0.01	11.48	0.24	11.73			
XiShuangBanNa (XSBN)	1.84	10.60	4.16	16.60			
WuXi (WX)	0.56	25.80	3.20	29.56			
YiChang (YC)	2.16	1.00	2.92	6.08			
ChangSha (CS)	0.88	4.80	5.00	10.68			
NingDe (ND)	1.08	9.60	6.20	16.88			
QingYuan (QY)	2.60	11.28	4.68	18.56			
XiangXi (XX)	0.52	7.04	2.88	10.44			
ChongQing (CQ)	4.28	11.12	7.12	22.52			
Mean valve	1.30	10.17	3.84	15.31			

stem: r = 0.733 and r = 0.640; r = 0.906, and r = 0.740, in CK and Mg group, respectively).

The changes in soil Ex-Mg pre- and post-tea plant cultivation were defined as  $\Delta$ Mg. The results showed that the Mg concentration in tissue negatively correlated with  $\Delta$ Mg-Mehlich-3 (r = -0.428, r = -0.475 and r = -0.413 in root, stem, and leaf, respectively), but positively correlated with  $\Delta$ Mg-CaCl<sub>2</sub> (r = 0.628, r = 0.702, and r = 0.917 in root, stem, and leaf, respectively). However, there is no significant correlation between Mg concentration in plant tissue and soil Ex-Mg-NH<sub>4</sub>OAC and Ex-Mg-BaCl<sub>2</sub>.

# Use Efficiency of Mg Fertilizer in Tea Plantation Soil

The proportion of Mg taken upped in plant tissue from the Mg supply was 1.30, 10.17, and 3.84%, in root, stem, and leaf, respectively (**Table 3**). Among 12 different regions soils, the highest and lowest of Mg fertilizer use efficiency were WX (29.56) and YC (6.08) sites, respectively. Based on linear regression, the Mg fertilizer use efficiency in tea plant negatively correlated with soil initial total Mg content (**Figure 3A**, r = -0.63, p < 0.05), similarly, it negatively correlated with soil initial total N content (**Figure 3B**, r = -0.51, p < 0.05). However, there was no significant correlation between Mg fertilizer use efficiency and Ex-Mg in soils (r = -0.035, p = 0.91).

Considering that quality traits of the crop are also vital for assessment of fertilizer use efficiency besides the growth and nutrient uptake, contents of chemicals related to tea quality have been analyzed. Compared with the control treatment, Mg input significantly increased the total contents of AA (by 7–88%, mean was 55%) but decreased those of TP (by 2–32%, mean was 15%). Therefore, the ratios of TP/AA in all samples were significantly decreased (by 24–60%, mean was 44%) with Mg fertilization (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Linear regression analysis showed that the ratio of TP/AA significantly and positively correlated (r = 0.66, p < 0.01, **Supplementary Figure 4A**) with soil initial



FIGURE 3 The correlation between content of total magnesium (A,C) or nitrogen (B,D) in soil and magnesium fertilizer use efficiency (A,B) or change of TP/AA ratio ( $\Delta$ value) pre- and posttea plant cultivation in tea leaves (C,D). Total Mg was extracted with nitric acid, perchloric acid and hydrofluoric acid from soil. XY, Xsbn, WX, CD, HG, YC, QY, CS, GL, XX, ND, and CQ indicated that the soil has been collected in the site of Xinyang, Xishuangbanna, Wuxi, Chengdu, Huanggang, Qingyuan, Changsha, Guiling, Xiangxi, Ningde, and Chongqing, respectively.

total Mg contents value under Mg application, whereas, in the CK group, the ratio of TP/AA showed an insignificant correlation (r = -0.001, p = 0.99, **Supplementary Figure 4A**) with soil initial total Mg content. The correlation between TP/AA ratio and soil Ex-Mg were negligible (r = 0.09, 0.005; p = 0.06, 0.67 in CK and Mg group, respectively, **Supplementary Figure 4B**). On the other hand, without Mg application, the ratio of TP/AA in tea leaf negatively correlated with soil initial total N without Mg application (r = -0.73, p < 0.001, **Supplementary Figure 4C**). However, there is no significant correlation between TP/AA ratio and N contents in soil (r = 0.003, p = 0.75, **Supplementary Figure 4C**) with Mg application, indicating Mg fertilizer input in N-deficiency soils could have higher efficiency than in N-rich soils (**Figures 3B,D**).

# DISCUSSION

# Plant-Available and Chemical-Available Mg in Tea Plantation Soil

It is generally accepted that soil chemical analysis is a common and rapid method for evaluating soil nutrient status. However, the efficiency of different extractions varied greatly due to their strengths of ion exchange (Iatrou et al., 2015; Zbíral, 2016). Based on comparing six different Mg extraction methods, Staugaitis and Rutkauskiene (2010) found that mild extraction procedures including CaCl<sub>2</sub>, KCl, NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, and Mehlich-3 method showed similar extraction effect. Nelson and Jones (1972) reported that the ammonium acetate (NH<sub>4</sub>Ac) method extracted more Mg from soils with ranging neutral to alkaline conditions, high clay, and high organic matter content than by double acid method, while the latter gives erroneously high results if soils contain dolomitic limestone or other acid-soluble Mg. In this study, four extraction methods including CaCl<sub>2</sub>, KCl, NH<sub>4</sub>OAc, and Mehlich-3 showed high correlations, and Ex-Mg was extracted most efficiently by Mehlich-3. Indicating the importance of choosing an appropriate extraction method for correct evaluation of the Mg availability to crops.

Soil chemical analysis only indicates the potential nutrient supply capacity of soil for the plant, and plant availability could be greatly affected by many biotic and abiotic factors, such as nutrient mobility, root growth, and rhizospheric microorganisms (Dragicevic et al., 2016; Yousaf et al., 2016; Soremi et al., 2017), which means a good extraction procedure should exhibit a high correlation with the total nutrient (Mg)

uptake, while the absolute amount being extracted is irrelevant. However, a previous study suggested that there is often a poor relationship between the plant growth response and extractable nutrients in the soil (Ortas et al., 1999; Gransee and Führs, 2013). In this study, the concentrations of Mg in tea plant tissue were largely correlated with Ex-Mg extracted by CaCl<sub>2</sub> than other chemical extractions (BaCl<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>OAC, and Mehlich-3), indicating that Ex-Mg extracted by CaCl<sub>2</sub> strongly correlated with the plant availability of Mg in tea plantation soil. In agreement with Papenfuß and Schlichting (1979), results were that soil Ex-Mg extracted by CaCl<sub>2</sub> can substantially contribute to plant Mg nutrition. Early results suggested that water-soluble and Ex-Mg fractions in soils could not always reflect the capacity of the crops to mine the soil (Gransee and Führs, 2013); therefore, evaluation of the contribution of different soil Mg pools to plant Mg nutrition is a great challenge. Although there have been no reports on the utilization rate of Mg nutrition in tea plants, different rates of Mg supply in the soil can be evaluated using selective extraction. Nevertheless, the correlation between chemical availability and plant response of Mg in this study is based on the variation of soil properties from 12 tea plantations, which makes it difficult to recommend extractable solutions for each specific soil type. Overall, individual extraction methods based on the relationship between chemical availability and plant response can produce optimal fertilizer recommendations. This implies that CaCl<sub>2</sub> could be recommended for plantavailable Mg extraction in tea plantation soil, further predicting the efficiency of Mg fertilizer application in tea plantations.

# Mg Fertilizer Use Efficiency and Contribution of the Soil to Plant Availability of Mg

Most nutrients in the soil cannot be directly absorbed and utilized by plants. Papenfuß and Schlichting (1979) suggested that the fixed Mg pool in soil can substantially contribute to plant Mg nutrition. However, inconsistent results on the contribution of the fixed soil Mg pool to plant Mg nutrition was also reported (Salmon and Arnold, 1963; Christenson and Doll, 1973; Kidson et al., 1975). It is worth noting that the ratio of polyphenols to amino acids highly correlated with the total Mg in the soil with the +Mg group (Supplementary Figure 4A), while it was uncorrelated with Ex-Mg (Supplementary Figure 4B). In addition, the Mg fertilizer also showed higher use efficiency in soil with low total Mg (Figure 3A). Concerning a poor relationship between the plant growth response and extractable nutrients in the soil (Ortas et al., 1999; Gransee and Führs, 2013), these results suggested that the desorption and mineralization of non-active Mg in soil may have potential effect on the plant availability of the Mg fertilizer. However, the mineralization of non-active Mg in soil always was affected by many other factors, such as soil texture. In general, compared with Ex-Mg, the total Mg showed a higher correlation to plant availability (including uptake and quality-improvement) of Mg application (Figures 3A,C). This may also be attributed to clay with Mg deficiency, which could absorb more Mg than clay with Mg adequacy. The latter will easily make more Mg available for plant uptake. However, the new knowledge should be confirmed by further studies on transformation in soil and metabolism in plants.

Fertilizers have higher plant availability because they are usually manufactured as a form that can be directly absorbed and utilized by the plant. However, plant availability can be affected by soil background nutrients. For example, plant availability of soil Mg and other cations is also related to the cation activity ratios in the soil solution. It was found that the approximate critical activity ratio for Mg/K (molar basis) should be 0.5 for good growth (Beckett, 1972). A positive effect of Mg on N uptake efficiency has been well-reviewed by Witold (2013). In a tea plantation, a previous study found that the yield and quality improvement effects of Mg application varied greatly in soils with different N levels (Ruan et al., 2012). In this study, compared to soils containing high N, soils with low N feature a higher plant availability of Mg fertilizers (Figure 3B). Moreover, there were significant and negative correlations between the ratio of polyphenol to amino acids and the total N contents of soil in the control group, while un-correlation was observed in the experimental group (Supplementary Figure 4C), suggesting that the application of Mg fertilizer may improve the utilization rate of N. Moreover, in this study, the application of Mg fertilizer had a great effect on decreasing the ratio of total polyphenol to amino acid in the tea leaves (Supplementary Figure 3), resulting in an increase in tea quality (Zhang and Ruan, 2016).

The content of Ex-Mg from different tea-producing areas varied greatly  $(30.71-245.04 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ . However, high consumption of Mg has been observed by comparing the status of Mg pre-tea cultivation and post-tea cultivation in soil, indicating that Mg depletion in tea soils should be a growing concern for tea production, although the potting system used in this experiment enlarged the nutrient cycle and Mg consumption. Moreover, acidic soil (pH ranged from 3.59 to 4.93) is not conducive to the absorption and utilization of Mg nutrition (Senbayram et al., 2016). In order to avoid situations of field scale Mg deficiency, precise knowledge of critical threshold values for Mg application in the tea plantation is required. In this study, the proportion of Mg taken upped in plant tissue from the Mg supply were 1.30, 10.17, and 3.84%, in root, stem, and leaf, respectively (Table 3). In case efficiency of Mg fertilizer use is the only consideration (the harvest part of 3.84%), about 7.8 kg Mg fertilizer is needed for 100 kg tea leaves (about 3.02 mg/g Mg) harvest (calculated as "100\*3.02/3.84%"), 100 indicating "leaves harvest (100 kg)," 3.02 indicating "average value of Mg concentration in tea leaf after Mg application (3.02 g/kg )," and 15.31 indicating "Mg fertilizer use efficiency in tea plant (15.31%)." However, the authors also found that Mg, which is almost four times (15.31/3.84) of the harvest amount, can be absorbed and utilized by plants and stored in the roots and stems. Considering reuse of storage nutrient is frequent in tea plants (Fan et al., 2020), our results show that extreme Mg deficiencies (based on both nutrient balance and tea quality) can be effectively avoided in tea plantation

by application of about 2–3 kg (7.8/4) Mg year<sup>-1</sup> (100 kg leaves harvest)<sup>-1</sup>.

# CONCLUSION

The plant availability of Mg fertilizer (6.08-29.56%) was quantified for the first time through a comparison of the uptake and quality-improvement effect in tea soils from 12 typical plantations in China. Moreover, the concentrations of exchangeable Mg, especially extracted by calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>), highly correlated with the utilization of the tea plant. In addition, higher plant availability and quality-improvement effects (decreased the ratio of total polyphenol to amino acid) were observed in soils with a low level of total Mg content, as well as N deficiency status. Our results provide a potential theoretical guide of Mg fertilization in tea plantations.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

### REFERENCES

- Beckett, P. (1972). Critical cation activity ratios. Adv. Agron. 24:379. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60639-2
- Cakmak, I. (2013). Magnesium in crop production, food quality and human health. *Plant Soil* 368, 1–4. doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1781-2
- Cakmak, I., and Yazici, A. M. (2010). Magnesium: a forgotten element in crop production. *Better Crops* 94, 23–25. Available online at: www.researchgate.net/ publication/291869977
- Christenson, D. R., and Doll, E. C. (1973). Release of magnesium from soil clay and silt fractions during cropping. *Soil Sci.* 116, 59–63. doi: 10.1097/00010694-197307000-00010
- Conn, S. J., Conn, V., Tyerman, S. D., Kaiser, B. N., Leigh, R. A., and Gilliham, M. (2011). Magnesium transporters, MGT2/MRS2-1 and MGT3/MRS2-5, are important for magnesium partitioning within Arabidopsis thaliana mesophyll vacuoles. *New Phytol.* 190, 583–594. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03619.x
- Dechen, A. R., Carmello, Q. A. C., Monteiro, F. A., and Nogueirol, R. C. (2015). Role of magnesium in food production: an overview. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 66, 1213–1218. doi: 10.1071/CP15094
- Deng, W., Luo, K. M., Li, D. M., Zheng, X. L., Wei, X. Y., Smith, W., et al., (2006). Overexpression of an Arabidopsis magnesium transport gene, AtMGT1, in Nicotiana benthamiana confers Al tolerance. J. Exp. Bot. 57, 4235–4243. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erl201
- Dragicevic, V., Mladenovic-Drinic, S., Stojiljkovic, M., Filipovic, M., Nikolic, B., Babic, V., et al., (2016). Maize inbreds from different heterotic groups as favorable sources for increased potential bioavailability of magnesium, iron, manganese and zinc. *Chilean J. Agric. Res.* 76, 213–219. doi: 10.4067/S0718-58392016000200011
- Fan, K., Zhang, Q., and Tang, D. (2020). Dynamics of nitrogen translocation from mature leaves to new shoots and related gene expression during spring shoots development in tea plants (*Camellia sinensis* L.). J. Plant Nutri. Soil Sci. 183, 180–191. doi: 10.1002/jpln.201900268
- Farhat, N., Rabhi, M., Falleh, H., Falleh, K., Smaoui, A., Abdelly, C., et al., (2013). Interactive effects of excessive potassium and Mg deficiency on safflower. *Acta Physiol. Plant* 35, 2737–2745. doi: 10.1007/s11738-013-1306-x
- Gerendás, J., and Führs, H. (2013). The significance of magnesium for crop quality. *Plant Soil* 368, 101–128. doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1555-2

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

QZ, DT, and XYi gathered samples. ML participated in the study design. QZ, SG, YH, YC, and KN performed data analysis. QZ and XYa interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. JR and ML conceived of the study, provided funding, and gave guidance on experimental design. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## FUNDING

The study was supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY21C150005), the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System Ministry of Agriculture of China (CARS19), and the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences through Agricultural Sciences Innovation Project (CAAS-ASTIP-2017-TRICAAS).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 641501/full#supplementary-material

- Gransee, A., and Führs, H. (2013). Magnesium mobility in soils as a challenge for soil and plant analysis, magnesium fertilization and root uptake under adverse growth conditions. *Plant Soil* 368, 5–21. doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1567-y
- Grzebisz, W., Przygocka, C. K., Szczepaniak, W., Diatta, J., and Potarzycki, J. (2010). Magnesium as a nutritional tool of nitrogen management—plant production and environment. J. Elementol. 15, 771–788.
- Guo, W. L., Nazim, H., Liang, Z. S., and Yang, D. F. (2016). Magnesium deficiency in plants: an urgent problem. *Crop J.* 4, 83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2015. 11.003
- Hariadi, Y., and Shabala, S. (2004). Screening broad beans (Viciafaba) for magnesium deficiency. I. growth characteristics, visual deficiency symptomy and plant nutritional status. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 31, 529–537. doi: 10.1071/FP03201
- Iatrou, M., Papadopoulos, A., Papadopoulos, F., Dichala, O., Psoma, P., and Bountla, A. (2015). Determination of soil-available micronutrients using the DTPA and mehlich 3 methods for greek soils having variable amounts of calcium carbonate. *Commun. Soil Sci. plan* 46, 1905–1912. doi: 10.1080/00103624.2015.1068322
- Kidson, E. B., Hole, F. A., and Metson, A. J. (1975). Magnesium in New Zealand soils III. availability of non-exchangeable magnesium to white clover during exhaustive cropping in a pot experiment. N. Z. J. Agric. Res. 18, 337–349. doi: 10.1080/00288233.1975.10421056
- Kopittke, P. M., and Menzies, N. W. (2007). A review of the use of the basic cation saturation ratio and the 'ideal' soil. SSSAJ 71, 259–265. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2006.0186
- Lasa, B., Frechilla, S., Aleu, M., González-Moro, B., Lamsfus, C., and Aparicio-Tejo, P. (2000). Effects of low and high levels of magnesium on the response of sunflower plants grown with ammonium and nitrate. *Plant Soil* 225, 167–174. doi: 10.1023/A:1026568329860
- Mayland, H. F., and Wilkinson, S. R. (1989). Soil factors affecting magnesium availability in plant-animal systems: a review. J. Anim. Sci. 67, 3437–3444. doi: 10.2527/jas1989.67123437x
- Mehlich, A. (1984). Mehlich 3 soil test extractant. a modification of Mehlich 2 extractant. Commun. Soil Sci. Plan. 29, 1409–1416. doi: 10.1080/00103628409367568
- Mikkelsen, R. (2010). Soil and fertilizer magnesium. *Better Crops* 94, 26–28. Available online at: www.researchgate.net/publication/308795926

- Nelson, W. E., and Jones, J. B. (1972). "Evaluation of magnesium soil testing methods," in Magnesium in the Environment: Soils. Crops. Animals and Man, eds J. B. Jones, Jr., M. C. Blount and S. R. Wilkinson, 217–225.
- Nelson, W. L., Mehlich, A., and Winters, E. (1953). "The development, evaluation and use of soil tests for phosphorus availability," in *Soil and Fertilizer Phosphorus*, eds Pierre, W.E. and Nornan, A.G. (Madison, Wisc: American Society of Agronomy), 153–188.
- Ni, K., Liao, W. Y., Yi, X. Y., Niu, S. Y., Ma, L. F., Shi, Y. Z., et al., (2019). Fertilization status and reduction potential in tea gardens of China. J. Plant Nutr. 25, 421–432.
- Oenema, O., Kros, H., and de Vries, W. (2003). Approaches and uncertainties in nutrient budgets: implications for nutrient management and environmental policies. *Euro. J. Agron.* 20, 3–16. doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(03)00067-4
- Ortas, I., Güzel, N., and Ibrikçi, H. (1999). Determination of potassium and magnesium of soils using different soil extraction procedures in the upper part of mesopotamia (in the Harran plain). *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan* 30, 2607–2625. doi: 10.1080/00103629909370400
- Papenfuß, K. H., and Schlichting, E. (1979). Bestimmende Faktoren des Mg-Haushaltes von Böden in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Magnes Bull. 1, 12–14.
- Pol, F., and Traore, B. (1993). Soil nutrient depletion by agricultural production in Southern Mali Fert. Res. 36, 79–90. doi: 10.1007/BF00749951
- Ruan, J., Ma, L., Shi, Y., and Zhang, F. (2004). Effects of litter incorporation and nitrogen fertilization on the contents of extractable aluminium in the rhizosphere soil of tea plant (*Camallia sinensis* (L.) O. *Kuntze*). *Plant Soil* 26, 283–296. doi: 10.1023/B:PLSO.0000047744.44940.96
- Ruan, J., Zhang, F., and Wong, M. H. (2000). Effect of nitrogen form and phosphorus source on the growth, nutrient uptake and rhizosphere soil property of *Camellia sinensis* L. *Plant Soil* 223, 65–73. doi: 10.1023/A:1004882001803
- Ruan, J. Y., and Gerendas, J. (2015). Absorption of foliar-applied urea-N-15 and the impact of low nitrogen, potassium, magnesium and sulfur nutritional status in tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) plants. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 61, 653–663. doi: 10.1080/00380768.2015.1027134
- Ruan, J. Y., Guan, Y. L., and Wu, X. (2002). Status of Mg availability and the Effects of Mg application in tea fields of red soil area in China. *Scientia Agric. Sinica*. 35, 815–820.
- Ruan, J. Y., Ma, L. F., and Yang, Y. J. (2012). Magnesium nutrition on accumulation and transport of amino acids in tea plants. J. Sci. Food Agric. 92, 1375–1383. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.4709
- Ruan, J. Y., Wu, X., and Hardter, R. (1999). Effects of potassium and magnesium nutrition on the quality components of different types of tea. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 79, 47–523. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199901)79:1<47::AID-JSFA172>3.0. CO;2-A
- Ruan, J. Y., Wu, X., Ye, Y., and Hardter, R. (1998). Effect of potassium, magnesium and sulphur applied in different forms of fertilisers on free amino acid content in leaves of tea (*Camellia sinensis* L). J. Sci. Food Agric. 76, 389–3963. doi: 10. 1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199803)76:3<389::AID-JSFA963>3.0.CO;2-X
- Salmon, B. C., and Arnold, P. W. (1963). The magnesium uptake under exhaustive cropping. J. Agric. Sci. 61, 421–425. doi: 10.1017/S00218596000 18165
- Schachtschabel, P. (1954). Das pflanzenverfügbare magnesium des bodens und seine bestimmung. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 67, 9–23. doi: 10.1002/jpln.19540670103
- Senbayram, M., Gransee, A., Wahle, V., and Thiel, H. (2016). Role of magnesium fertilisers in agriculture: plant-soil continuum. *Crop Past. Sci.* 66, 1219–1229. doi: 10.1071/CP15104
- Soremi, A. O., Adetunji, M. T., Adejuyigbe, C. O., Bodunde, J. G., and Azeez, J. O. (2017). Effects of poultry manure on some soil chemical

properties and nutrient bioavailability to soybean. J. Agric. Ecol. Res. Int. 1-10. doi: 10.9734/JAERI/2017/32419

- Staugaitis, G., and Rutkauskiene (2010). Comparison of magnesium determination methods as influenced by soil properties. *Agriculture (Žemdirbyste)* 97, 105–116. Available online at: www.lzi.lt20103366996
- Tran, T. S., Giroux, M., Guilbeaut, J., and Audess, P. (1990). Evaluation of Mehlich III extractant to estimate the available P in Quebec soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan* 21, 1–28. doi: 10.1080/00103629009368212
- Van Raij, B., Quaggio, J. A., and da Silva, N. M. (1986). Extraction of phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium from soils by an ion-exchange resin procedure. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan* 17, 547–566. doi: 10.1080/00103628609367733
- Van, R. (1988). Bioavailable tests:alternatives to standard soil extractions. Commun. Soil Sci. Plan 29, 1953–1570. doi: 10.1080/00103629809370049
- Wang, Z., Hassan, M. U., Nadeem, F., Wu, L., Zhang, F., and Li, X. (2020). Magnesium fertilization improves crop yield in most production systems: a meta-analysis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1727. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01727
- Wilkinson, S., Welch, R., Mayland, H., and Grunes, D. (1990). Magnesium in plants: uptake, distribution, function and utilization by man and animals. *Met. Ions Biol. Syst* 26, 33–56.
- Witold, G. (2013). Crop response to magnesium fertilization as affected by nitrogen supply. *Plant Soil* 368, 23–39. doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1574-z
- Wu, X., and Ruan, J. Y. (1994). Potassium, magnesium and sulphur status of tea gardens in China-Effects of K, Mg and S fertilizers. *Potash Rev.* 3, 1–8.
- Yang, X. D., Ni, K., and Ruan, J. Y. (2018). Effects of long-term nitrogen application on soil acidification and solution chemistry of a tea plantation in China. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 252, 4–82. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2017.10.004
- Yousaf, B., Liu, G. J., Wang, R. W., Zia-ur-Rehman, M., Rizwan, M. S., Imtiaz, M., et al., (2016). Investigating the potential influence of biochar and traditional organic amendments on the bioavailability and transfer of Cd in the soil–plant system. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 75:374. doi: 10.1007/s12665-016-5285-2
- Zbíral, J. (2016). Determination of plant-available micronutrients by the Mehlich 3 soil extractant–a proposal of critical values. *Plant Soil Environ.* 62, 527–531. doi: 10.17221/564/2016-PSE
- Zhang, Q. F., and Ruan, J. (2016). "Tea: Analysis and Tasting," in *Encyclopedia of Food and Health*, eds B. Caballero, P. M. Finglas, F. Toldrá, (Oxford: Academic Press), 256–267.
- Zhang, Q. F., Tang, D. D., Liu, M. Y., and Ruan, J. Y. (2018). Integrated analyses of the transcriptome and metabolome of the leaves of albino tea cultivars reveal coordinated regulation of the carbon and nitrogen metabolism. *Sci. Hortic.* 231, 272–281. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2017.11.026

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Zhang, Tang, Yang, Geng, He, Chen, Yi, Ni, Liu and Ruan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.