



GmIDD Is Induced by Short Days in Soybean and May Accelerate Flowering When Overexpressed in Arabidopsis via Inhibiting AGAMOUS-LIKE 18

Xue Yang, Yuntong Zhang, Jinming Shan, Jingzhe Sun, Dongmei Li, Xiaoming Zhang, Wenbin Li and Lin Zhao*

Key Laboratory of Soybean Biology of Ministry of Education, China (Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetics and Breeding for Soybean in Northeast China), Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Chris Helliwell, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO), Australia

Reviewed by:

Joanna Putterill, The University of Auckland, New Zealand Kazuki Matsubara, Institute of Crop Science (NARO), Japan

> *Correspondence: Lin Zhao zhaolinneau@126.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Development and EvoDevo, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 07 December 2020 Accepted: 22 January 2021 Published: 26 February 2021

Citation:

Yang X, Zhang Y, Shan J, Sun J, Li D, Zhang X, Li W and Zhao L (2021) GmIDD Is Induced by Short Days in Soybean and May Accelerate Flowering When Overexpressed in Arabidopsis via Inhibiting AGAMOUS-LIKE 18. Front. Plant Sci. 12:629069. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.629069

Photoperiod is one of the main climatic factors that determine flowering time and yield. Some members of the INDETERMINATE DOMAIN (IDD) transcription factor family have been reported to be involved in regulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis, maize, and rice. In this study, the domain analysis showed that GmIDD had a typical ID domain and was a member of the soybean IDD transcription factor family. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis showed that GmIDD was induced by short day conditions in leaves and regulated by circadian clock. Under long day conditions, transgenic Arabidopsis overexpressing GmIDD flowered earlier than wild-type, and idd mutants flowered later, while the overexpression of GmIDD rescued the late-flowering phenotype of idd mutants. Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing assays of GmIDD binding sites in GmIDD-overexpression (GmIDD-ox) Arabidopsis further identified potential direct targets, including a transcription factor, AGAMOUS-like 18 (AGL18). GmIDD might inhibit the transcriptional activity of flower repressor AGL18 by binding to the TTTTGGTCC motif of AGL18 promoter. Furthermore, the results also showed that GmIDD overexpression increased the transcription levels of flowering time-related genes FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS1 (SOC1), LEAFY (LFY) and APETALA1 (AP1) in Arabidopsis. Taken together, GmIDD appeared to inhibit the transcriptional activity of AGL18 and induced the expression of FT gene to promote Arabidopsis flowering.

Keywords: soybean, photoperiod, flowering, AGL18, GmIDD

INTRODUCTION

Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is a typical short-day (SD) plant, which is particularly sensitive to photoperiod response. SDs can promote flowering, while long-days (LD) can inhibit flower bud growth (Kantolic and Slafer, 2007). Soybean can be regarded as a classical model plant at the beginning of the study of the plant photoperiod pathway due to its photoperiodic response

1

characteristics. The cultivation of soybeans on the earth spans 85 latitudes, with different soybean varieties ranging from Vancouver in the north to New Zealand in the south. However, due to the limitation of latitude, cultivated area of each soybean variety is scarce, resulting in its limited adaptability (Cober and Morrison, 2010). Therefore, flowering time and mature period have become important agronomic traits of soybean, which can influence the yield, quality, and versatility of soybean varieties. By reasonable planting of soybean varieties under different environmental conditions in different regions, the cultivated land resources in this area can be fully utilized, which is of great significance to increase the yield of soybean. Thus, it is a hotspot in the field of reducing the breeding pressure, by exploring new photoperiod genes that control soybean flowering and maturation, and further clarifying the molecular mechanism of these genes involved in soybean photoperiod effect.

INDETERMINATE DOMAIN (IDD) transcription factors are one of the largest and most conserved gene families in the plant kingdom and play an important role in various processes of plant growth and development, such as regulating flower induction (Ali et al., 2019). The IDD transcription factor family has a highly conserved ID domain, which was initially described as consisting of two typical C2H2 and C2HC zinc finger motifs. The functions of some members of the IDD transcription factor family have been identified, particularly in Arabidopsis, maize, and rice. In Arabidopsis, three IDD family members, MAGPIE/IDD3 (MAG), NUTCRACKER/IDD8 (NUT), and JACKDAW/IDD10 (JKD), and GRAS domain proteins SHR and SCR, have been shown to jointly regulate root development (Levesque et al., 2006; Cui et al., 2007; Welch et al., 2007). SHOOT GRAVITROPISM5/IDD15 (SGR5) was mainly expressed in the endodermis of the inflorescence stem, which was involved in regulating the early gravity perception and starch accumulation of Arabidopsis (Long et al., 2015). AtIDD8 controlled the photoperiod-dependent flowering pathway in Arabidopsis by regulating sugar signal transduction (Funck et al., 2012). In maize, ID1 gene was the first characteristic gene of the IDD transcription factor family and played an important role in regulating the blooming period of corn (Colasanti et al., 1998). NAKED ENDOSPERM 1 and 2(NKD1 and NKD2) were two other members of the maize IDD family and involved in seed development (Yi et al., 2015). In rice, EARLY HEADING DATE 2 (Ehd2), a homolog of ZmID1 in rice, which could up-regulate the expression of the EARLY HEADING DATE 1 (Ehd1) gene to promote a FT-like gene HEADING DATE 3a (Hd3a) and promote flowering under SDs (Matsubara et al., 2008; Gontarek et al., 2016). OsId1, a homologous gene of maize ID1, regulates Ehd1 and its downstream genes, including Hd3a and RICE FLOWERING LOCUS T1 (RFT1) to regulate flowering (Park et al., 2008). When RICE INDETERMINATE 1 (RID1) gene was activated to induce phase transition, flowering signals were transduced and regulated through various pathways, and finally integrated with FT-like proteins to induce flowering (Wu et al., 2008). However, the function of IDD transcription factor family members in soybean has not been reported.

MADS-domain proteins form a large and diverse family in plants and play a variety of regulatory roles. Previous reports

showed that flowering was determined by the additive effect of multiple MADS-domain flower inhibitors, among which *AGAMOUS-like 15 (AGL15)* and *AGAMOUS-like 18 (AGL18)* made important contributions (Adamczyk et al., 2007). The double mutants of *AGL18* and *AGL15*, members of the *AGL15like* branch of the MADS domain regulator, showed early flowering phenotype. It was proposed that *AGL15* and *AGL18* act upstream of the floral integrator FT. The combination of *AGL15* and *AGL18* mutations partially inhibited the photoperiodic pathway defects (Adamczyk et al., 2007).

In the current study, soybean *GmIDD* was identified as a member of IDD transcription factor protein family. Overexpression of *GmIDD* promotes flowering in *Arabidopsis*. Putative target gene *AGL18* and *TTTTGGTCC* motif for DNA binding of *GmIDD* were predicted by ChIP-seq and ChIP-qPCR, and it was preliminarily verified that *GmIDD* promoted flowering by inhibiting *AGL18* activity. Furthermore, the transcription levels of flowering time related genes such as *FT*, *SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS1* (SOC1), *LEAFY* (*LFY*) and *APETALA1* (*AP1*) were increased by *GmIDD* overexpression in *Arabidopsis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

For the diurnal rhythm analysis of GmIDD gene, seeds from "DongNong 42," a photoperiod sensitive soybean variety, were provided by Soybean Research Institute of Northeast Agricultural University (Harbin, China). Seeds of "DongNong 42" were planted in a greenhouse at 25°C with 250 µmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ white light under LDs (16 h/8 h light/dark). When the first trifoliate leaves were expanded, part of the seedlings were transferred to SDs (8 h/16 h light/dark) under the same temperature regime. Seedlings were cultured under LDs and SDs for 30 days (transferred at 15 days) and sampled at 3 h intervals for 24 h, and sampled under continuous light (LL) and dark (DD) conditions for 48 h, and then immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples of different tissues (including roots, stems, leaves, flowers, pods, and seeds) of plants cultured under LDs and SDs were collected for tissue specific expression analysis of GmIDD gene.

In this study, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0) was used as control and the background plant of genetic transformation. Seeds of the *idd* mutant (SALK_129969c) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC, Columbus, United States). The seeds of *GmIDD-overexpression* (*GmIDD-ox*), *idd* mutant, *35S:GmIDD/idd* restoration and wild-type (WT) *Arabidopsis* were surface sterilized with 10% hypochlorite and then planted on MS agar medium. The seeds were placed at 4°C for 72 h and transferred to room temperature (22°C). The 10-day-old seedlings were transplanted into 1:1 vermiculite turbidite soil and cultured under LDs and SDs for flowering phenotype analysis. WT and *GmIDD-ox* transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants were cultured under LDs on MS agar medium for 15 days and sampled for quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis of flowering time-related genes. These experiments were performed in three biological replicates.

Plasmid Construction and Generation of Transgenic *Arabidopsis* Plants

For the FLAG and HIS tag construct, we first synthesized the tandem repeats of 3 \times FLAG and 6 \times Histidine (3F6H) tags with NotI at 5' end and XbaI at 3' end (5'-GCGGCCGCCTGGAGCTCGGTACCCGGG(SmaI)GATCCC AGGATCTGATTACAAGGATCATGATGGTGATTACAAGG ATCACGACATCGACTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGCAC CATCATCACCACCATTGATCTCTAGA-3', the sequences encoding 3F6H tag were in bold) (Song et al., 2012). The synthesized products above were cloned into NotI-XbaI sites of pENTRY vector (named pENTRY-3F6H) which contained the 3F6H sequence in the C terminus of the cloning site, and the sequences were verified. The full-length coding region of GmIDD was amplified from total RNA of "Dongnong 42" using GmIDD-3F6H-F and GmIDD-3F6H-R primers (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR product was purified and cloned into pENTRY-3F6H vector linearized by SmaI using In-Fusion cloning system (Clontech, United States) to construct recombinant vector 35S:GmIDD-3F6H-pENTRY. LR reaction was conducted by 35S:GmIDD-3F6H-pENTRY and pB7WG2 to generate 35S:GmIDD-3F6H-pB7WG2 fusion expression vector. The recombinant vector 35S:GmIDD-3F6H -pB7WG2 was introduced into the Agrobacterium GV3101 which used to transform Arabidopsis thaliana (Col-0) and idd mutant using the floral dip method with Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformants were selected on MS agar medium with 8 mg/L phosphinothricin. T₃ transgenic seeds of two homozygous lines were selected for further study.

Subcellular Localization of GmIDD

A cDNA fragment of GmIDD was amplified by PCR with GmIDD-TOPO-F and GmIDD-TOPO-R primers (**Supplementary Table S1**) from total RNA of "DongNong 42" and cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Life technologies) and then transferred to the expression vector pGWB506 through LR reaction to generate the 35S:GFP-GmIDD fusion vector. The recombinant construct was introduced into Agrobacterium GV3101 and subsequently transformed into N. benthamiana (Sheikh et al., 2014). After infiltration, the tobacco leaves were grown for 2 days and the GFP signal was detected by fluorescence microscopy.

ChIP-Seq and ChIP-qPCR

One representative GmIDD-ox-1 lines were selected for ChIPseq. GmIDD-ox-1 transgenic Arabidopsis plants were cultured on MS agar medium under LDs for 14 days, and then 1 g seedlings were quick-frozen in liquid nitrogen. With the purpose of minimizing the deviation between different parallel samples under the same treatment, the sample was retrieved from independent three plots of Arabidopsis seedlings. After crushing, the seedlings were fixed with 1% (V/V) formaldehyde for 15 min at 4°C. Final concentration of 0.125 M glycine was subsequently added to quench the cross-linking reaction. After nuclei were isolated and lysed, and the chromatin solution was then sonicated to approximately 200-1,000 bp DNA fragments. Immunoprecipitation reactions were performed using Anti-Flag antibody (Monoclonal ANTI-FLAG® M2 antibody produced in mouse, F1804, Sigma-Aldrich). The complex of chromatin antibody was captured with protein G beads (Invitrogen), and DNA was purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN). The purified DNA was sent to a sequencing company for library construction and deep sequencing. The ChIP-seq sequencing project was completed on Illumina sequencing platform. Illumina PE library (~300 bp) was constructed for sequencing and quality control of the obtained sequencing data. Bioinformatics methods were then used to analyze ChIP-seq data. The raw sequence data were aligned to the Arabidopsis thaliana(TAIR10)1. MACS was used to predict the length of the protein binding sequence through modeling, and the relative abundance of the corresponding peak of the sequence was determined by the length of the sequence and the numbers of pair end reads mapped on the sequence. ChIP-seq was completed by SeqHealth Tech Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China.

ChIP-qPCR was performed using the whole WT and *GmIDD*ox-1 seedlings. The relative enrichment of WT was set to 1. *IPP2* gene (isopentenyl pyrophosphate: dimethyl allyl pyrophosphate isomerase 2, *AT3G02780*) was used as a negative control. DNA samples were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR using the appropriate DNA primers (**Supplementary Table S1**) and SuperReal PreMix Plus (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). IgG was used as an antibody control. Data are shown as the mean \pm SD of three biological replicates.

Transient Assay of *AGL18* Promoters Affected by GmIDD in *N. Benthamiana*

To generate AGL18 promoter driven LUC constructs proAGL18:LUC, promoter DNA was amplified from genomic DNA of Arabidopsis (Col-0) using proAGL18:LUC-F and proAGL18:LUC-R primers (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR product was purified and cloned into binary vector pGreenII-0800-LUC linearized by SmaI using In-Fusion cloning system. The recombinant constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium GV3101 and subsequently transformed into N. benthamiana (Sheikh et al., 2014). The transient activity of recombinant vectors was assayed using dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega, United States) and Multiscan Spectrum (TECAN Infinite 200 PRO, Männedorf, Switzerland). The construct 35S:GmIDD-3F6H-pB7WG2 and proAGL18:LUC were simultaneously transferred into N. benthamiana to measure transient assay of the AGL18 promoters affected by GmIDD protein. A floral repressor GmRAV effector construct (35S:GmRAV-3F6HpB7WG2) and proAGL18:LUC were simultaneously transferred into N. benthamiana as the negative control of this experiment. The recombinant vector 35S::GmRAV-3F6H-pB7WG2 was obtained and introduced into Agrobacterium GV3101 by referring to the construction method of 35S::GmIDD-3F6H*pB7WG2* above. Expression vector *pB7WG2* and *proAGL18:LUC*

¹https://www.arabidopsis.org/

was simultaneously transferred into *N. Benthamiana* as the blank control of this experiment. Three independent experiments were performed and each experiment was repeated three times to obtain reproducible results. The luminescence signal was captured using Amersham Imager 600 (General Electric Company)² after spraying 1 mM luciferin (Heliosense)³ on *N. benthamiana* leaves.

Quantitative RT-PCR Analysis

RNA isolation has been described previously (Zhao et al., 2013). qRT-PCR amplifications were performed using the SuperReal PreMix Plus (TIANGEN, Beijing, China) on Applied BiosystemsTM 7500 Fast Dx Real-Time PCR Instrument (ABI). The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 95° C for 15 min; 40 cycles of 95° C for 10 s, 60° C for 20 s, and 72° C for 30 s. *GmActin4* (GenBank accession number AF049106) and *IPP2* (AT3G02780) were used as endogenous regulatory genes of soybean and *Arabidopsis*, respectively. Three biological replicates and three technical replicates were applied for the whole assays. The primers used in qRT-PCR analyses were shown in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Flowering Time Measurements

Flowering time of *Arabidopsis* was determined by scoring the numbers of rosette leaves and the numbers of days from germination to bolting time. At least 20 plants were analyzed each time, and the analysis was repeated for three times.

RESULTS

Sequence Analysis of the GmIDD

We have identified that both genes such as GmRAV and GmGBP1 induced by SD detected by suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) functioned in regulating flowering time (Zhao et al., 2008, 2018). In this study, we further detected a zinc finger transcription factor GmIDD (Glyma.14G095900) gene also induced by SD from SSH library. The GmIDD sequence information was obtained from soybean genome database⁴. The cDNA sequence of GmIDD is 2,034 bp and contains 1,227 bp open reading frame, which encoded 408 amino acids with predicted molecular mass of 45,129 kDa. The domain analysis showed that GmIDD had a typical ID domain, which consisted of a nuclear localization signal motif (KKKR), four zinc finger domains including two types of C2H2 (72-92 aa and 114-142 aa), and two types of C2HC (149-169 aa and 176-195 aa) (Figure 1A). GmIDD showed high homology with AtIDD8, ZmID1, and Ehd2, which are typical IDD family members in Arabidopsis, maize and rice (Figure 1B). Therefore, GmIDD is a member of the soybean IDD transcription factor family. A phylogenetic tree containing 10 soybean proteins with complete ID domain and 15 IDD proteins from other species was constructed by MEGA 6.0. Phylogenetic tree analysis showed that GmIDD was located on the same branch with Vigna angularis (NC_030643.1), Cajanus cajan (KYP72220.1), Vigna radiata (XP_017428159.1), Phaseolus vulgaris (Phvul.001G036500), Lupinus angustifolius (XP_019458210.1), and Medicago truncatula (Medtr1g016010.1) (Figure 1C). Soybean IDD proteins Glyma.08G192300 and Glyma.15G024500 were located on the same branch with Nelumbo nucifera (xP_010242234.1) and Juglans regia (xP_018848593.1) (Figure 1C). Soybean IDD protein Glyma.07G158200 was located on the same branch with Zea Mays (GRMZM2G320287) and Oryza sativa (LOC_Os02g31890) (Figure 1C). Therefore, the evolution distance between GmIDD and other soybean IDD proteins was relatively far, indicating that soybean IDD protein might have certain differences in function.

GmIDD Protein Located in Cell Nucleus

The subcellular localization of the GmIDD protein might be crucial for its function. The expression of 35S:GFP-GmIDD fusion protein under the control of the 35S promoter in tobacco mesophyll cells showed that the GmIDD fusion protein was concentrated in the nucleus (**Figure 1D**), whereas GFP was dispersed throughout the entire tobacco mesophyll cells in the 35S:GFP control. The results clearly showed that GmIDD was a nuclear-localized protein.

Photoperiod and Circadian Rhythm Regulate the Accumulation of *GmIDD* Transcript

The trifoliate leaves of soybean grown for 30 days (transferred at 15 days) were collected every 3 h to show the diurnal expression patterns of *GmIDD* in LDs and SDs by qRT-PCR analysis. *GmIDD* exhibited photoperiod-specific expression patterns under both LDs and SDs. The expression levels of *GmIDD* mRNA under SDs were significantly higher than those under LDs (**Figure 2A**). To further determine *GmIDD* gene expression patterns, we analyzed *GmIDD* expression under LL and DD conditions after SDs and LDs transfer. *GmIDD* mRNA level maintained a strong rhythm under SDs-DD and SDs-LL, reaching the peak at 12 h after dawn (**Figure 2B**), but no strong cycling was detected under LDs-LL (**Figure 2C**). Therefore, *GmIDD* gene was induced by SDs in soybean leaves, and regulated by circadian clock.

Temporal and Spatial Expression Patterns of *GmIDD* in Soybean

Samples of different tissues (including roots, stems, leaves, flowers, pods, and seeds) of plants cultured under LDs and SDs were collected at 12 h after dawn for tissue specific expression analysis of *GmIDD* gene to determine the expression pattern of *GmIDD* gene during soybean growth and development. The *GmIDD* mRNA was present in all organs examined, which included roots, stems, leaves, flowers, pods, and seeds (**Figure 2D**). The mRNA abundance of *GmIDD* was the highest in pods among all organs under both SDs and LDs. All the mRNA abundance of *GmIDD* in leaves, stems, pods, and seeds in SDs was higher than that in LDs. However, the mRNA abundance of *GmIDD* in roots in SDs plants was lower than that in LDs. These expression patterns were different from those of *AtIDD*8,

²https://www.ge.com/

³http://www.heliosense.com/

⁴https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/



which was expressed at a relatively high level in vegetative organs, but at a lower level in pods (Seo et al., 2011). Although *GmIDD* and *AtIDD8* have high amino acid homology and belong to IDD transcription factor family, there may be some differences in biological function.

Overexpression of *GmIDD* Promotes Flowering in *Arabidopsis*

In order to verify the function of GmIDD in the control of flowering time, the GmIDD gene was genetically transformed into WT Arabidopsis under the regulation of cauliflower-mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter to obtain GmIDD-ox plants. We observed the flowering phenotype of WT Arabidopsis, GmIDDox, idd mutant plants under LDs and SDs. Under LDs, the flowering time of GmIDD-ox plants were significantly promoted for 3 days, and the *idd* mutants were significantly delayed for 4 days (Figures 3A,B). In addition, the total number of rosette leaves of GmIDD-ox plants and idd mutants was fewer and more than that of WT plants at bolting, respectively (Figure 3B). Under SDs, the flowering time of GmIDD-ox plants were significantly promoted for 5 days, idd mutants showed no significant difference (Figures 3A,C). A complementation experiment was conducted on *idd* mutants to further determine the roles of *GmIDD* in promoting flowering time. The phenotype

of *idd* mutants was rescued by the expression of a 35S:*GmIDD* fusion gene under the control of the 35S promoter. The results indicated that overexpression of *GmIDD* could promote flowering in *Arabidopsis*.

Genome-Wide Identification of GmIDD-Target Genes by ChIP-seq

ChIP-seq was performed to identify the DNA binding sites and target genes of *GmIDD* in overexpressed transgenic *Arabidopsis*, and further elucidate the potential mechanism of *GmIDD* in promoting flowering. Among the 588 *GmIDD*-binding sites detected by ChIP-seq, 446 (75.85%) were located in genic regions. These binding sites comprised gene bodies and their flanking regulatory sequences, including 2 kb upstream regions that were assumed to harbor promoter regions and 2 kb downstream regions that were assumed to contain terminator regions. Among the 446 sites in genic regions, 32.51, 48.88, and 18.61% were located in defined promoter regions, gene bodies, and terminator regions, respectively (**Figure 4A**).

AGL18 (AT3G57390) related to flowering time might be a GmIDD candidate target. The MADS-domain factor AGL18 acted redundantly as a repressor of the floral transition in Arabidopsis (Adamczyk et al., 2007). AGL18 acted upstream of the floral integrator FT, and a combination of agl18 and



agl15 mutations partially suppressed defects in the photoperiod pathway. The GmIDD binding site in AGL18 gene regions was located in the promoter region (Figure 4B). According to the consensus sequences at the detected GmIDD-binding sites, putative GmIDD-binding motifs were predicted using the Hypergeometric Optimization of Motif EnRichment (HOMER) software (Heinz et al., 2010). Based on the prediction, we identified that GmIDD combined with target gene AGL18 motif [(T/G/C/A)(T/G/A)(A/G/C/T)(A/T)(G/T)(T/G)(G/A/T)(C/G/T)(T/C/G)(T/C/G/A)] (*P*-value = 1e-6) (**Figure 4C**). The previous study reported that AtIDD8 regulated SUS4 by binding with the conserved CTTTTGTCC motif of its promoter (Seo et al., 2011). Sequence analysis of AGL18 promoter showed that the AGL18 promoter contained the TTTTGGTCC motif, which was similar to the CTTTTGTCC motif of AtIDD8 combined with SUS4 promoter.

The identified *GmIDD*-binding sites were further validated by ChIP–qPCR. The 14-day-old *GmIDD-ox-1* transgenic lines were

used to perform ChIP-qPCR to verify potential *GmIDD*-binding sites. Anti-Flag antibody was used to precipitate chromatin prepared from *GmIDD-ox-1* transgenic lines. IgG was used as an antibody control. The fragments harboring identified *GmIDD*binding site in the promoter region of *AGL18* was highly enriched in DNA chromatin-immunoprecipitated (ChIPed) with Anti-Flag in ChIP-qPCR experiments, indicating *GmIDD* bound to the promoter of *AGL18* (Figure 4D).

GmIDD Inhibits the Transcriptional Function of *AGL18*

It was found that the *AGL18* promoter was bound by *GmIDD* using ChIP-qPCR, and its expression levels was decreased in *GmIDD-ox-1 Arabidopsis* plants using qRT-PCR (**Figure 4E**). The reporter *proAGL18:LUC* was constructed by *AGL18* promoter containing *GmIDD*-binding site *TTTTGGTCC* motif driving *LUC* reporter gene. When co-infiltrating *Agrobacterium*



expressing 35S:GmIDD-3F6H-pB7WG2 effectors together with the proAGL18:LUC reporters into tobacco leaves, the activity of LUC was significantly lower than that of blank control of pB7WG2 and proAGL18:LUC co-transformed tobacco leaves (Figures 4F,G), thus demonstrating that GmIDD could inhibit the transcriptional activation activities of AGL18 gene. However, the LUC activity of the floral repressor GmRAV (Zhao et al., 2008) and proAGL18:LUC co-transformed tobacco leaves showed no significant difference compared with the blank control. Together, our results suggested that GmIDD protein significantly repressed the expression of AGL18 by directly binding to its promoter.

GmIDD Affects the Expression of Flowering Time-Related Genes

Overexpression of *GmIDD* shortened the flowering time of *Arabidopsis*. The expression levels of flowering time-related genes (including CO, FT, FLC, SOC1, LFY, and AP1)

in the 15-day-old GmIDD-transgenic seedlings were further investigated to determine the mechanism of GmIDD regulating flowering time. The results showed that the mRNA levels of FT, floral homeotic gene AP1, floral meristem identity gene LFY and floral integrator gene SOC1 were obviously increased in the GmIDD-ox transgenic plants compared to WT plants, but they were significantly decreased in *idd* mutants. In 35 S:GmIDD/idd complementary lines, the down-regulated trend of FT, AP1, LFY, and SOC1 genes were rescued (Figures 5B,D-F). The expression of CO, FLC and the other genes functioning in the autonomous pathway showed no significant difference compared to WT (Figures 5A,C). FLC is a floral repressor of the vernalization and autonomous pathway and GmIDD might not affect flowering time through the autonomous pathway. Taken together, GmIDD inhibited the transcriptional activities of AGL18 gene, and induced the expression of FT gene to promote flowering in Arabidopsis.



DISCUSSION

INDETERMINATE DOMAIN (IDD) is a plant-specific subfamily of C2H2 zinc finger transcription factors (Colasanti et al., 2006; Seo et al., 2011). IDD transcription factor family shares a highly conserved N-terminal domain (ID domain) consisting of two C2H2, two C2HC zinc finger domains and a nuclear localization signal (Englbrecht et al., 2004). The functions of some members of the IDD transcription factor family have been identified, particularly in *Arabidopsis*, maize, and rice. However, the function of IDD transcription

factor family members in soybean has not been reported. This study analyzed the structural domains of GmIDD protein and found that GmIDD consisted of four zinc finger domains: two types of C2H2 (72-92 aa and 114–142 aa) and two types of C2HC (149–169 aa, and 176–195 aa) (**Figure 1A**). According to the subcellular localization of GmIDD in tobacco leaf cells, the GmIDD-GFP fusion protein was specifically localized on the nucleus of tobacco leaf cells, which indicated that GmIDD was a predicted nuclear transcriptional regulator and performed its biological functions in the plant nucleus (**Figure 1D**).



by qRT-PCR. WT and *GmIDD*-transgenic plants were cultured in LDs for 15 days, and sampled 12 h after dawn for qRT-PCR analysis the expression levels of flowering time-related genes to determine the mechanism of *GmIDD* regulating flowering time. **(A–F)** Relative expression of the *CO*, *FT*, *FLC*, *SOC1*, *LFY*, and *AP1*, respectively. For each experiment, three technical replicates were conducted. Data shown are mean \pm SD of three independent experiments, the significant differences between *GmIDD*-transgenic and WT plants are indicated by asterisks (**P* < 0.05 and ***P* < 0.01, Student's *t*-test).

Soybean is a typical SD plant that is particularly sensitive to photoperiod response. Photoperiod is one of the main climatic factors that determine soybean floral development (Câmara et al., 1997). Maize *ID1* gene expression and protein levels were basically not affected by the change of day length and did not follow the circadian rhythm pattern, indicating that the expression of *ID1* was not controlled by photoperiod

(Coneva et al., 2007). Similar to *ID1*, rice *Ehd2* was not regulated by daylength, but *Ehd2* was involved in photoperiod inducing pathway (Matsubara et al., 2008). The expression of *Arabidopsis AtIDD8* gene level was basically not affected by the change of day length, but might be involved in photoperiod inducing pathway to promote *Arabidopsis* flowering (Seo et al., 2011). Expression pattern analysis of *GmIDD* showed that expression level of

GmIDD was induced by SDs and maintained a stable circadian rhythm under SDs (Figures 2A,B). GmIDD-ox Arabidopsis plants showed early flowering phenotype under LDs and SDs. The idd mutants showed late flowering phenotype under LDs but not SDs. The phenotypes of 35S:GmIDD/idd complementary lines were rescued compared with *idd* mutants (Figure 3A). Therefore, GmIDD expression was regulated by daylength and might be involved in promoting of Arabidopsis flowering time. The different photoperiodic responses of Ehd2 and maize ID1 could be due to functional differentiation of downstream genes (Matsubara et al., 2008). This may also be the reason why the response of GmIDD to the change of day length was different from that of Ehd2 and ID1, but this speculation has not been confirmed. Both ID1 and Ehd2 were specifically expressed in developing leaves (Kozaki et al., 2004; Wong and Colasanti, 2007). GmIDD mRNA in soybean tissues accumulated most abundantly in pods (Figure 2D), but was present at very low levels in leaves, patterns that are different from ID1 to Ehd2. ID1 regulated a leaf-derived floral inductive signal that might be unique to monocots (Colasanti et al., 2006). Soybean belongs to dicotyledonous. The mechanism of GmIDD regulating flowering in soybean might be different from ID1 and needs to be clarified. Arabidopsis AtIDD8 transcript levels were relatively high in vegetative organs, but at a lower level in pods (Seo et al., 2011). This suggests that GmIDD and AtIDD8 may be functionally distinct in some aspects, although both promote Arabidopsis flowering.

Maize ID1 is a founding member of the plant-specific zinc finger protein family, which consists of highly conserved amino acid sequences in the ID domain (Colasanti et al., 1998). Previous studies had found that ID1, as a regulator of gene expression, bound to specific 11 bp DNA consensus motif 5-TTTGTCG/CT/CT/aT/aT-3 in vitro. It was also proposed that all proteins with ID domains had unique DNA binding characteristics and could recognize the same DNA target sequence (Kozaki et al., 2004). Furthermore, it also showed that AtIDD8 regulated SUS4 by binding with the conserved CTTTTGTCC motif of its promoter (Seo et al., 2011). To identify possible targets of GmIDD protein, the target genes of GmIDD binding were predicted by ChIP-seq analysis using GmIDD-ox and WT Arabidopsis as materials. A floweringrelated gene AGL18 was finally identified by screening the genes that were bound by GmIDD to the promoter region. AGL18 is a member of the MADS-box gene family. MADSbox proteins influenced root growth of Arabidopsis (Zhang and Forde, 1998), and also influenced development of ovules, fruits, and seed coat (Nesi et al., 2002; Pinyopich et al., 2003). In addition, AGL18 was a floral repressor in Arabidopsis and located upstream of the FT (Adamczyk et al., 2007). AGL18 promoter region has a continuous TTTTGGTCC motif similar to the reported AtIDD8 and SUS4 binding motif CTTTTGTCC. This difference might be due to differences between species. AGL18 was highly enriched in chromatin of GmIDD-ox transgenic lines precipitated by anti-marker antibodies (Figure 4D). The presence of GmIDD protein reduced the transcriptional activity of AGL18 (Figures 4F,G). qRT-PCR analysis of GmIDD-ox plants showed that the expression level of AGL18 decreased (Figure 4E)

while mRNA levels of *FT*, *AP1*, *LFY*, and *SOC1* increased significantly (**Figures 5B,D-F**).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the results of this study indicated that GmIDD molecular regulatory mechanism played a key role in the regulation of flowering in transgenic Arabidopsis. GmIDD binding with TTTTGGTCC motif of target gene AGL18 promoter inhibited the expression of AGL18, thus weakened the inhibition of AGL18 on FT and finally promoted flowering of Arabidopsis. The CO/FT module had been demonstrated in Arabidopsis flowering regulation. In this module, CONSTANS (CO) transcription factor promoted the transcription of FT. FT protein interacted with FD-encoded transcription factor to activate downstream floral organ genes and thus induced flowering (Kardailsky et al., 1999; Valverde et al., 2004; Abe et al., 2005). It has been proposed that the common CO/FT induction pathway was conserved during photoperiodic regulation of flowering in Arabidopsis and rice (Matsubara et al., 2008). In rice, Ehd2 was located in the upstream of HEADING DATE1 (Hd1) (a CO ortholog in rice) and promoted the expression of FT by up-regulating the expression of Hd1, thus promoting flowering. However, the overexpression of *GmIDD* promoted the expression of FT while the transcription level of CO remained unchanged (Figures 5A,B). This phenomenon showed that the functions of members of IDD transcription factor family had some similarities but also some differences. Therefore, the molecular regulation mechanism of GmIDD in soybean needs to be further investigated.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: NCBI-SRA database under the BioProject no. PRJNA681294 and accession Nos. SRR13165421 and SRR13165420 for the ChIP-seq data.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XY performed the gene cloning and transformation and ChIP assays. YZ and JSh performed the phenotype observations and measurements. JSu, DL, and XZ performed the dualluciferase assay and data analysis. XY, LZ, and WL wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31771820 and 32072086), Heilongjiang Province Natural Science Foundation (ZD2020C002), Chinese Key Projects of Soybean Transformation (2016ZX08004-005), and Key Special Project National Key Research and Development Program "seven crop breeding" (2016YFD0101005). None of the funding bodies participated in the design of the study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, or writing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abe, M., Kobayashi, Y., Yamamoto, S., Daimon, Y., Yamaguchi, A., Ikeda, Y., et al. (2005). FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. *Science* 309, 1052–1056. doi: 10.1126/science.111 5983
- Adamczyk, B. J., Lehti-Shiu, M. D., and Fernandez, D. E. (2007). The MADS domain factors AGL15 and AGL18 act redundantly as repressors of the floral transition in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 50, 1007–1019. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2007.03105.x
- Ali, F., Qanmber, G., Li, Y., Ma, S., Lu, L., Yang, Z., et al. (2019). Genome-wide identification of Gossypium INDETERMINATE DOMAIN genes and their expression profiles in ovule development and abiotic stress responses. J. Cotton Res. 2:3.
- Câmara, G. M. S., Sediyama, T., Dourado-Neto, D., and Bernardes, M. S. (1997). Influence of photoperiod and air temperature on the growth, flowering and maturation of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). *Sci. Agric.* 54, 149–154.
- Clough, S., and Bent, A. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for agrobacteriummediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 16, 735–743. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x
- Cober, E. R., and Morrison, M. J. (2010). Regulation of seed yield and agronomic characters by photoperiod sensitivity and growth habit genes in soybean. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120, 1005–1012. doi: 10.1007/s00122-009-1228-6
- Colasanti, J., Tremblay, R., Wong, A., Coneva, V., Kozaki, A., and Mable, B. (2006). The maize INDETERMINATE1 flowering time regulator defines a highly conserved zinc finger protein family in higher plants. *BMC Genom.* 7:158. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-7-158
- Colasanti, J., Yuan, Z., and Sundaresan, V. (1998). The indeterminate gene encodes a zinc finger protein and regulates a leaf-generated signal required for the transition to flowering in maize. *Cell* 93, 593–603. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00) 81188-5
- Coneva, V., Zhu, T., and Colasanti, J. (2007). Expression differences between normal and indeterminate1 maize suggest downstream targets of ID1, a floral transition regulator in maize. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 3679–3693. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ erm217
- Cui, H., Levesque, M., Vernoux, T., Jung, J., Paquette, A., Gallagher, K., et al. (2007). An evolutionarily conserved mechanism delimiting SHR movement defines a single layer of endodermis in plants. *Science* 316, 421–425. doi: 10.1126/science. 1139531
- Englbrecht, C., Schoof, H., and Böhm, S. (2004). Conservation, diversification and expansion of C2H2 zinc finger proteins in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. *BMC Genom.* 5:39. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-5-39
- Funck, D., Clauss, K., Frommer, W. B., and Hellmann, H. A. (2012). The Arabidopsis CstF64-like RSR1/ESP1 protein participates in glucose signaling and flowering time control. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:80. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012. 00080
- Gontarek, B. C., Neelakandan, A. K., Wu, H., and Becraft, P. W. (2016). NKD transcription factors are central regulators of maize endosperm development. *Plant Cell* 28, 2916–2936. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00609
- Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y., Laslo, P., et al. (2010). Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol. cell* 38, 576–589. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004
- Kantolic, A. G., and Slafer, G. A. (2007). Development and seed number in indeterminate soybean as affected by timing and duration of exposure to long photoperiods after flowering. *Ann. Bot.* 99, 925–933. doi: 10.1093/aob/ mcm033
- Kardailsky, I., Shukla, V., Ahn, J., Dagenais, N., Christensen, S., Nguyen, J., et al. (1999). Activation tagging of the floral inducer FT. *Science* 286, 1962–1965. doi: 10.1126/science.286.5446.1962

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021. 629069/full#supplementary-material

- Kozaki, A., Hake, S., and Colasanti, J. (2004). The maize ID1 flowering time regulator is a zinc finger protein with novel DNA binding properties. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1710–1720. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh337
- Levesque, M., Vernoux, T., Busch, W., Cui, H., Wang, J., Blilou, I., et al. (2006). Whole-genome analysis of the SHORT-ROOT developmental pathway in *Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol.* 4:e143. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.004 0143
- Long, Y. C., Smet, W., Cruz-Ramirez, A., Castelijns, B., de Jonge, W., Mahonen, A. P., et al. (2015). *Arabidopsis* BIRD Zinc finger proteins jointly stabilize tissue boundaries by confining the cell fate regulator SHORT-ROOT and contributing to fate specification. *Plant Cell* 27, 1185–1199. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.13 2407
- Matsubara, K., Yamanouchi, U., Wang, Z.-X., Minobe, Y., Izawa, T., and Yano, M. (2008). Ehd2, a rice ortholog of the maize INDETERMINATE1 gene, promotes flowering by up-regulating Ehd1. *Plant Physiol.* 148, 1425–1435. doi: 10.1104/ pp.108.125542
- Nesi, N., Debeaujon, I., Jond, C., Stewart, A. J., Jenkins, G. I., Caboche, M., et al. (2002). The TRANSPARENT TESTA16 locus encodes the ARABIDOPSIS BSISTER MADS domain protein and is required for proper development and pigmentation of the seed coat. *Plant Cell* 14, 2463–2479. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 004127
- Park, S. J., Kim, S. L., Lee, S., Je, B. I., Piao, H. L., Park, S. H., et al. (2008). Rice indeterminate 1 (OsId1) is necessary for the expression of Ehd1 (Early heading date 1) regardless of photoperiod. *Plant J.* 56, 1018–1029. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03667.x
- Pinyopich, A., Ditta, G., Savidge, B., Liljegren, S., Baumann, E., Wisman, E., et al. (2003). Assessing the redundancy of MADS-box genes during carpel and ovule development. *Nature* 424, 85–88. doi: 10.1038/nature01741
- Seo, P. J., Ryu, J., Kang, S. K., and Park, C. M. (2011). Modulation of sugar metabolism by an INDETERMINATE DOMAIN transcription factor contributes to photoperiodic flowering in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 65, 418–429. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04432.x
- Sheikh, A., Raghuram, B., Eschen-Lippold, L., Scheel, D., Lee, J., and Sinha, A. (2014). Agroinfiltration by cytokinin-producing *Agrobacterium* sp. strain GV3101 primes defense responses in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 27, 1175–1185. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-04-14-0114-r
- Song, Y., Smith, R., To, B., Millar, A., and Imaizumi, T. (2012). FKF1 conveys timing information for CONSTANS stabilization in photoperiodic flowering. *Science* 336, 1045–1049. doi: 10.1126/science.1219644
- Valverde, F., Mouradov, A., Soppe, W., Ravenscroft, D., Samach, A., and Coupland, G. (2004). Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering. *Science* 303, 1003–1006. doi: 10.1126/science.1091761
- Welch, D., Hassan, H., Blilou, I., Immink, R., Heidstra, R., and Scheres, B. (2007). *Arabidopsis* JACKDAW and MAGPIE zinc finger proteins delimit asymmetric cell division and stabilize tissue boundaries by restricting SHORT-ROOT action. *Genes Dev.* 21, 2196–2204. doi: 10.1101/gad.440307
- Wong, A., and Colasanti, J. (2007). Maize floral regulator protein INDETERMINATE1 is localized to developing leaves and is not altered by light or the sink/source transition. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 403–414. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erl206
- Wu, C., You, C., Li, C., Long, T., Chen, G., Byrne, M. E., et al. (2008). RID1, encoding a Cys2/His2-type zinc finger transcription factor, acts as a master switch from vegetative to floral development in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 12915–12920. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806019105
- Yi, G., Neelakandan, A. K., Gontarek, B. C., Vollbrecht, E., and Becraft, P. W. (2015). The naked endosperm genes encode duplicate INDETERMINATE domain transcription factors required for maize endosperm cell patterning and differentiation. *Plant Physiol.* 167, 443–456. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.251413
- Zhang, H., and Forde, B. (1998). An *Arabidopsis* MADS box gene that controls nutrient-induced changes in root architecture. *Science* 279, 407–409. doi: 10. 1126/science.279.5349.407

- Zhao, L., Li, M. M., Xu, C. J., Yang, X., Li, D. M., Zhao, X., et al. (2018). Natural variation in GmGBP1 promoter affects photoperiod control of flowering time and maturity in soybean. *Plant J.* 96, 147–162. doi: 10.1111/tpj. 14025
- Zhao, L., Luo, Q., Yang, C., Han, Y., and Li, W. (2008). A RAV-like transcription factor controls photosynthesis and senescence in soybean. *Planta* 227, 1389–1399. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0711-7
- Zhao, L., Wang, Z., Lu, Q., Wang, P., Li, Y., Lv, Q., et al. (2013). Overexpression of a GmGBP1 ortholog of soybean enhances the responses to flowering, stem elongation and heat tolerance in transgenic tobaccos. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 82, 279–299. doi: 10.1007/s11103-013-0062-z

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Yang, Zhang, Shan, Sun, Li, Zhang, Li and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.