



Corrigendum: Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry: A Powerful Tool for Algal Research

Adrien Burlacot[†], François Burlacot[†], Yonghua Li-Beisson[†] and Gilles Peltier^{*†}

Aix Marseille Univ, Commissariat à l'énergie Atomique et aux énergies Alternatives (CEA), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut de Biosciences et Biotechnologies d'Aix-Marseille (BIAM), CEA Cadarache, Saint Paul-Lez-Durance, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Pietro Franceschi,
Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy

Reviewed by:

Giuseppe Pieraccini,
University of Florence, Italy

*Correspondence:

Gilles Peltier
gilles.peltier@cea.fr

†ORCID:

Adrien Burlacot
orcid.org/0000-0001-7434-6416
François Burlacot
orcid.org/0000-0001-9783-6848
Yonghua Li-Beisson
orcid.org/0000-0003-1064-1816
Gilles Peltier
orcid.org/0000-0002-2226-3931

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Technical Advances in Plant Science,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 20 November 2020

Accepted: 03 December 2020

Published: 22 December 2020

Citation:

Burlacot A, Burlacot F, Li-Beisson Y
and Peltier G (2020) Corrigendum:
Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry:
A Powerful Tool for Algal Research.
Front. Plant Sci. 11:631667.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.631667

Keywords: gas exchange, photosynthesis, carbonic anhydrase, CO₂ concentrating mechanism, O₂ evolution, H₂ production, microalgae, cyanobacteria

A Corrigendum on

Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry: A Powerful Tool for Algal Research

by Burlacot, A., Burlacot, F., Li-Beisson, Y., and Peltier, G. (2020). *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:1302. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.01302

In the original article, in the paragraph on the “Assessment of Photosynthetic Oxygen Exchange,” we defined the O₂ uptake rate with a negative value when oxygen is consumed. Although it has been historically the first way to define it (Hoch and Kok, 1963), it makes more sense to use a positive value as adopted later by Radmer and Kok (1976) because a negative uptake would mean the usage of a double negative which implies production.

Therefore, Equations (1), (2), and (3) should be read;

$$O_2 \text{ Uptake} = -v_{18O_2} \times \left(1 + \frac{C_{16O_2}(t)}{C_{18O_2}(t)}\right) \quad (1)$$

$$O_2 \text{ Evolution} = v_{16O_2} - v_{18O_2} \times \frac{C_{16O_2}(t)}{C_{18O_2}(t)} \quad (2)$$

$$Net \ O_2 = O_2 \text{ Evolution} - O_2 \text{ Uptake} \quad (3)$$

As a consequence, the plots shown on the original **Figure 5** have been replaced by the attached **Figure 5**.

The authors would like to thank Dr. Duncan Fitzpatrick for highlighting this problem and for suggesting changes to increase clarity of the article.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

- Hoch, G., Kok, B. (1963). A mass spectrometer inlet system for sampling gases dissolved in liquid phases. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 101, 160–170. doi: 10.1016/0003-9861(63)90546-0
- Radmer, R. J., Kok, B. (1976). Photoreduction of O₂ pimes and replaces CO₂ assimilation. *Plant Physiol.* 58, 336–340. doi: 10.1104/pp.58.3.336

Copyright © 2020 Burlacot, Burlacot, Li-Beisson and Peltier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

