



## Functional Identification of *EjGIF1* in *Arabidopsis* and Preliminary Analysis of Its Regulatory Mechanisms in the Formation of Triploid Loquat Leaf Heterosis

### Chao Liu<sup>1</sup>, Renwei Huang<sup>2</sup>, Lingli Wang<sup>3</sup> and Guolu Liang<sup>4\*</sup>

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Mingli Xu, University of South Carolina, United States

### Reviewed by:

Shunquan Lin, South China Agricultural University, China Yuan Wang, University of California, Riverside, United States

> \*Correspondence: Guolu Liang lianggl@swu.edu.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Development and EvoDevo, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 30 September 2020 Accepted: 11 December 2020 Published: 12 January 2021

#### Citation:

Liu C, Huang R, Wang L and Liang G (2021) Functional Identification of EjGIF1 in Arabidopsis and Preliminary Analysis of Its Regulatory Mechanisms in the Formation of Triploid Loquat Leaf Heterosis. Front. Plant Sci. 11:612055. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.612055 <sup>1</sup>College of Basic Medical Sciences, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, <sup>2</sup>Sichuan Provincial Key Laboratory for Development and Utilization of Characteristic Horticultural Biological Resources, College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Chengdu Normal University, Chengdu, China, <sup>3</sup>Technical Advice Station of Economic Crop, Chongqing, China, <sup>4</sup>College of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Southwest University, Chongqing, China

Although several results have been obtained in triploid loguat heterosis (i.e., leaf size of triploid loquat) studies in the past years, the underlying mechanisms of the heterosis are still largely unknown, especially the regulation effects of one specific gene on the corresponding morphology heterosis. In this study, we sought to further illustrate the regulatory mechanisms of one specific gene on the leaf size heterosis of triploid loguats. A leaf size development-related gene (*EjGIF1*) and its promoter were successfully cloned. Ectopic expression of EjGIF1 in Arabidopsis showed that the leaf size of transgenic plantlets was larger than that of WTs, and the transgenic plantlets had more leaves than WTs. Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (gRT-PCR) showed that the expression level of EiGIF1 showed an AHP expression pattern in most of the hybrids, and this was consistent with our previous phenotype observations. Structure analysis of EjGIF1 promoter showed that there were significantly more light-responsive elements than other elements. To further ascertain the regulatory mechanisms of *EiGIF1* on triploid loguat heterosis, the methylation levels of EjGIF1 promoter in different ploidy loquats were analyzed by using bisulfite sequencing. Surprisingly, the total methylation levels of EiGIF1 promoter in triploid showed a decreasing trend compared with the mid-parent value (MPV), and this was also consistent with the qRT-PCR results of EjGIF1. Taken together, our results suggested that EjGIF1 played an important role in promoting leaf size development of loguat, and demethylation of EiGIF1 promoter in triploid loguats caused EiGIF1 to exhibit overdominance expression pattern and then further to promote leaf heterosis formation. In conclusion, EiGIF1 played an important role in the formation of triploid loguat leaf size heterosis.

Keywords: triploid loquat, EjGIF1, transgenic Arabidopsis, leaf size, heterosis, DNA demethylation

### INTRODUCTION

Heterosis, or hybrid vigor, is a common phenomenon in many diploid or polyploid organisms, which means the biomass, resistance ability, yield, and some other agronomic traits in hybrids are greater than that of the parents (Hofmann, 2012). Heterosis has been widely used to improve the yield of the field crops and vegetables continuously and thus has greatly solved the crisis of food shortage especially in some developing countries (Agbo and Teixeira da Silva, 2014). However, to date we still know little about the mechanisms of heterosis (Wang et al., 2015). Researchers have proposed several models from the genetic aspect to explain the mechanisms of heterosis including dominance, over-dominance, and epistasis, but none of these models can fully explain this phenomenon (Jones, 1917; East, 1936; Yu et al., 1997). Recent studies on maize, soybean, rice, Arabidopsis, etc., have found that heterosis may be associated with the differential gene expression based on the fact that no new genes are produced after hybridization (Guo and Rafalski, 2013; Miller et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Taliercio et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). Two gene expressionrelated models, additive and non-additive gene expression, were proposed by Chen (2010) to further explain heterosis phenomenon. With the development of functional genomics, such as the application of RNA-Seq technology, more and more studies have found that heterosis may be highly related to additive expression pattern due to the fact that genes exhibit non-additive expression pattern in hybrids are comparatively rare, and the non-additive genes are deemed to associate with the formation of transgressive traits in hybrids (Guo et al., 2006; Thiemann et al., 2014). For instance, study on triploid loquat, Liu et al. (2018a) analyzed the leaf transcriptomes of the triploid loquats and their parents in two cross combinations and identified that 94.56 and 86.97% transcripts were expressed additively in the two cross combinations, respectively, and only 5.44 and 13.03% genes expressed non-additively. These results indicated that additively expressed genes may play a fundamental role in the formation of triploid loquats.

Recent studies found that epigenetic mechanisms, especially DNA methylation which are considered to be associated with the regulation of gene expression in a number of plant species (Arikan et al., 2018). Due to the regulatory function on gene expression, DNA methylation level is also considered to be associated tightly with heterosis (Nakamura and Hosaka, 2010). Studies have shown that DNA methylation is mainly occurred in the CpG island of the promoter, and the DNA methylation density of a promoter can affect the transcriptional activity of the gene (De Smet et al., 1999; Alasaari et al., 2012).

Loquat [*Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) L.; 2n = 2x = 34] belongs to the subtribe Pyrinae in the Rosaceae family and is favored by many people due to its excellent flavor and medicinal applications (Wu et al., 2015). However, the loquat fruits sold in the market currently are all diploid with too many seeds, and this significantly affects their edibility (Liu et al., 2018a). Triploid loquat breeding provides a new way to solve the problem of low edible rate of diploid loquats. Previous studies in our lab found that triploid loquats are not

only seedless, but also have a variety of excellent traits that diploid and tetraploid loquats do not have, such as larger and greener loquat leaves, showing an obvious heterosis (Liu et al., 2018b, 2019). Liu et al. (2018a,b) have studied the mechanisms of triploid loquat heterosis by using several triploid loquats with clear genetic relationship and found that extensive genetic variation and DNA methylation remodeling after the formation of triploid loquat may change the gene expression patterns in triploid loquat heterosis. However, for triploid loquat heterosis, we still know little about the mechanisms.

Leaves are the photosynthetic place of plants, absorbing sunlight energy to synthesize biological energy (Gonzalez et al., 2012; Jiao et al., 2019). Leaves of eudicots are initiated at the flank of the shoot apical meristem (SAM), and the extent and direction of leaf growth have a great influence on the leaf size and shape (Horiguchi et al., 2005; Vercruyssen et al., 2015). Plant Growth-Regulating Factor (GRFs) is a family of transcription factors that regulate leaf development, and nine GRFs (GRF1-GRF9) were identified from Arabidopsis (Kim et al., 2003). Studies on Arabidopsis and rice found that GRFs could repress or activate the expression of their target genes by binding to the regulatory region of DNA (Kim et al., 2012; Kuijt et al., 2014). Overexpression of AtGRF1, AtGRF2, and AtGRF5 could lead the cell number or size of transgenic leaves to decrease, and these make the transgenic plants have larger leaves than wild-type (WT) plants (Kim et al., 2003). GRF INTERACTING FACTOR 1/ANGUSTIFOLIA 3 (GIF1/AN3) is a transcriptional coactivator which is a functional homolog to the human synovial sarcoma translocation protein (SYT) transcription coactivator (Horiguchi et al., 2005; Vercruyssen et al., 2015). Overexpression of AtGIF1 enlarged the leaf size of the transgenic plants, whereas, loss-of-function gif1 plants developed narrower leaves (Kim and Kende, 2004; Horiguchi et al., 2005). Yeast two-hybrid analysis showed that AtGIF1 could interact with both AtGRF1 and AtGRF5, and positively promoted the leaf cell proliferation and regulated the leaf size in plants (Kim and Kende, 2004; Horiguchi et al., 2005). Thus, like GRFs, GIF1 also functions as an important transcription factor in the size and shape regulation of plant leaves (Kim and Kende, 2004).

Although we have verified that the triploid loquat leaves become larger, greener than that of diploid and tetraploid loquats, showing an obvious heterosis (Supplementary Material: Supplementary Table S1), we still know little about the association of leaf development with triploid loquat leaf heterosis and also few reports on this issue. Illuminating the mechanisms of leaf development of loquat could help us better understand the heterosis phenomenon of triploid loquat leaf and provide more details for the triploid loquat application in loquat breeding. In this study, we have identified the transcription factor EjGIF1 in loquat and made a further validation for EjGIF1 function, and at the same time, EjGIF1 promoter was cloned and also the methylation level of EjGIF1 promoter was analyzed by bisulfite sequencing (BSP) in different ploidy loquats. Our study will provide more information on the morphology heterosis of triploid loquat leaf.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Plant Lines**

In order to overcome the unclear origin of loquat, the triploid loquats used in this study were created by cross-fertilizing. Two triploid loquat lines were generated in 2003, named Triploid-A and Triploid-B. For the two triploid lines, the same female parent (Longquan-1 tetraploid) was used to cross with two different wild diploid loquats, GC-1 (Triploid-A) and GC-23 (Triploid-B). The tetraploid parent Longquan-1 was selected by our laboratory, while the wild diploid parents, GC-1 and GC-23 were identified in Guizhou Province, China, which grow naturally in the rocky arid region and have strong levels of abiotic and biotic resistance (Wu et al., 2015). In the meantime, GC-1 and GC-23 also have a far genetic distance with cultivated loguats, which could increase mutations in triploid loguats after hybridization (Wu et al., 2015). Finally, nine and three triploid loquats were obtained in Triploid-A and Triploid-B, respectively, which were labeled as A-1, A-2, A-3,... A-9 and B-1, B-2, B-3. All the plants were grown in a natural environment, in the Experimental Base of College of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Southwest University, Chongqing, China.

## Isolation of *EjGIF1* Complementary DNA Sequence

The reference sequence of EjGIF1 was obtained from the RNA-Seq data base in our laboratory. The leaf material of Longquan-1 tetraploid was used for the cDNA isolation; moreover, the RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis methods were performed the same as Liu et al. (2019). The cloning primers (EjGIF1-Asc I-F and EjGIF1-Xba I-R) were designed based on the EjGIF1 reference sequence, and the restriction enzyme sites were added at the 5'-end and 3'-end for the subsequent vector construction (**Table 1**). PCR products were then cloned to the pMD19-T (Takara, Dalina) for sequencing.

## Isolation and Analysis of *EjGIF1* Promoter Sequence

In order to analyze the structure and methylation level of EjGIF1 Cis-element, the promoter sequence of EjGIF1 was isolated based on the user manual of Universal Genome-Walker Kit 2.0 (Takara, Clontech Laboratories, Inc., Japan). The nested primers (1-EjGIF1 GSP1 to 5-EjGIF1 GSP2) used for promoter cloning were listed in **Table 1**, and the amplification products were sequenced as the same as described above. The possible regulatory elements of the EjGIF1 promoter were annotated by using the PlantCARE database.

### Expression Pattern Analysis of Loquat *EjGIF1* Gene in Different Ploidy and Developmental Stages

To analyze the expression level of *EjGIF1* in different ploidy loquats, and in different developmental stages of loquat leaves as well, leaves from three developmental periods of different ploidy

loquats were collected and named P I (young leaves < 5 cm), P II (5 cm < medium mature leaves < 15 cm) P III (mature leaves), respectively (Gong et al., 2014). The expression levels in different developmental stages were analyzed by using the materials of P I, P II, and P III. The RNAs were extracted as described by Liu et al. (2019). cDNA synthesis and Quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) methods were also performed by using the methods as described by Liu et al. (2019). The primers (q*EjGIF1*-F and q*EjGIF1*-R) used in qRT-PCR analysis were listed in **Table 1**. *Actin* of loquat was analyzed with the primer sequences 5'-ATCCTTCGTCTGGACCTTGC-3' and 5'-GACAATTTCCCGT TCAGCAGT-3'. All of the samples were examined in triplicate.

### *EjGIF1* Overexpression Plasmid Construction and *Arabidopsis* Transformation

The full length cDNA sequence of EjGIF1 was cloned to Asc I-Xba I sites of pFGC5941 plasmid so that the EjGIF1 could express under the control of CaMV 35S promoter. The recombinant plasmid pFGC5941-35S::EjGIF1 was then transferred to the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 by means of electric shock. Afterward, WT plants were transformed by using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998) with minor modifications. Infiltration media used contained 5% sucrose and 0.02% Silwet. Seeds of transgenic lines (T0) were planted in soil and were selected by spraying with 20 g/L glufosinate-ammonium after 2 weeks. The same selection methods were used until the T2 generation was obtained, and the T2 homozygous progenies were used for phenotype observation and expression test of EjGIF1 by qRT-PCR. All the seedlings with glufosinate-ammonium resistance were grown in a growth chamber under the 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod (2,500 lux).

### **Positive Transgenic Plantlet Verification**

Genomic DNAs were isolated from young, fresh leaves of glufosinate-ammonium resistance plants and WT plants with a modified cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Liu et al., 2005). Then, PCR was carried out for detecting the insertion, and the WT was used as a control. The transgenic and WT plants were tested for the presence of both *EjGIF1* and CaMV 35s genes separately, and primers (*EjGIF1*-F and *EjGIF1*-R, and CaMV 35s\_F and CaMV 35s\_R) are listed in **Table 1**.

## Gene Expression Detection in the Positive and Wild Type Plants

Total RNAs were extracted from young, fresh leaves of T2 homozygous progenies and WT plants. The RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR methods were performed the same as Liu et al. (2019). The primers (qEjGIF1-F and qEjGIF1-R) were listed in **Table 1**. WTs were used as controls and the reference gene (*Actin*) of *Arabidopsis* was analyzed with the primer sequences 5'-CTTCGTCTTCCACTTCAG-3' and 5'-ATC ATACCAGTCTCAACAC-3'. Each transgenic line and each WT was examined in three plantlets as biological repetition.

#### TABLE 1 | Primers used in this study.

Primer name	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Tm value (°C)
EjGIF1-Asc I-F	5'-AGGCGCGCCATGCAGCAGCACCTGATCAGA-3'	87.3
<i>EjGIF1-Xba</i> I-R	5'-GCTCTAGATTAATTTCCATCATCGGTCGAT-3'	68.6
1 <i>-EjGIF1</i> GSP1	5'-TGGTAGGAGGCTGGGGTTGAGAATC-3'	68.7
1 <i>-EjGIF1</i> GSP2	5'-GCTGTAGCTTTGCTTGGTTCTCTGC-3'	66.0
2- <i>EjGIF1</i> GSP1	5'-CTCTCTCTAACTTTCTCACTCC-3'	49.6
2-EjGIF1 GSP2	5'-GCTTTTTTTTTACAGAGTTGAG-3'	51.9
3- <i>EjGIF1</i> GSP1	5'-TTGCTGCATGTAATGTGCTCCTGGTTG-3'	71.1
3-EjGIF1 GSP2	5'-AGATTCCGCTGTAGCTTTGCTTGGTTC-3'	69.4
4-EjGIF1 GSP1	5'-AAGAAGGAGGACCTGCTGAATGTGATC-3'	67.4
4-EjGIF1 GSP2	5'-GTTGTTAGGATAATAGGCTGCCATCAT-3'	63.8
5- <i>EjGIF1</i> GSP1	5'-CAGATTGTTGAGATGTTTATTGCGGGC-3'	69.1
5-EjGIF1 GSP2	5'-AATGGCGTACAGAGAATGCGATTGTCA-3'	69.9
q <i>EjGIF1-</i> F	5'-TACTCCCAGCAACCGTTTTCA-3'	60.7
q <i>EjGIF1-</i> R	5'-TCCAGCATTATTTCCCTCATT-3'	56.7
<i>EjGIF1-</i> F	5'-ATGCAGCAGCACCTGATG-3'	55.1
<i>EjGIF1-</i> R	5'-TTAATTTCCATCATCGGTCGAT-3'	51.5
CaMV 35s_F	5'-TGAGACTTTTCAACAAAGGATAATT-3'	54.6
CaMV 35s_R	5'-TGTCCTCTCCAAATGAAATGAAC-3'	58.5
CpG1-F	5'-ACAGTTACCTGAGGACTCTGGAGTC-3'	64.4
CpG1-R	5'-CTGTGGTAGTGAGAAGTAAGGTCGT-3'	65.2
CpG2-F	5'-CCACAGTAAGTACAACCACCAG-3'	59.6
CpG2-R	5'-CTCAAACAGATCGTGTCTACACTTT-3'	58.5
CpG3-F	5'-GGTTTTGTAGGTAAGATTATAGATTTGAGA-3'	61.6
CpG3-R	5'-TAAAAATAATCCCCAACCACCTATA-3'	59.4

### Leaf Morphology Traits Analysis of Transgenic and WT Plants

The T2 homozygous progenies and WT plants were grown in the growth chamber for about 1 month and their leaf morphology traits were recorded individually. The methods for leaf length and width measuring were the same as Liu et al. (2018b), and the leaf size was measured by using the ImageJ software. For each trait, 20 individuals in each transgenic line were measured as biological repetition, and three values were measured for each individual as technical repetition.

# BSP Sequencing for *EjGIF1* Promoter in Different Ploidy Loquats

Tiangen Bisulfite Conversion Kit (Tiangen Company, Beijing) was adopted for genomic DNA bisulfite conversion. CpG islands prediction and PCR amplification primers for bisulfite sequencing design were carried out by using the online software (http:// www.urogene.org/cgi-bin/methprimer/methprimer.cgi). Primers (CpG1-F and CpG1-R, CpG2-F and CpG2-R, and CpG3-F and CpG3-R) used for bisulfite sequencing are listed in **Table 1**. The amplification products were also sequenced as the same as described above, and for each CpG island, 15 randomly chosen clones per genotype were sequenced. The methylation levels were counted as described by Liu et al. (2018b).

### **Statistical Analysis**

The phylogenetic tree was generated by using the Clustal W, and the bootstrap test was set at 1,000 to test confidence for

the tree (Higgins et al., 1994). The MEGA 5.0 software was used for phylogenetic tree construction with Neighbor-Joining method (Tamura et al., 2011). Mid-parent value (MPV) was adopted to measure the heterosis, and it was calculated by using the method of Turner (1953). Briefly, MPV was calculated according to the genomic contribution by the two parents, i.e., MPV = 2/3 Longquan-1tetraploid + 1/3 GC-1/GC-23. The gene expression patterns were classified into two classes by using the method described by Liu et al. (2019). Briefly, (i) additive expression pattern, which gene expression levels in hybrids were at the MPV (MPL); (ii) non-additive expression pattern, which gene expression level was deviated from the MPV. The non-additive expression pattern was further classified into two classes; (iii) dominance expression pattern, which the gene expression level was at the high parent level (HPL) or at the low parent level (LPL); and (iv) over-dominance expression pattern, which the gene expression level was above the high parent level (AHP) or below the low parent level (BLP). Finally, the significance examination was performed by using the one-way ANOVA method.

## RESULTS

## Identification and Characterization of *EjGIF1*

Based on the reference sequence from RNA-Seq database, a segment of 651 bp cDNA sequence was obtained and sequenced, named *EjGIF1*. Sequence analysis showed that *EjGIF1* encoded

a 216 amino acids protein with the molecular weight of 23.20 kDa. The sequence of EjGIF1 was submitted to National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and the accession number was MK573556. To investigate the relationship among the GIF1 genes in different species, we downloaded the reported cDNA sequences from NCBI, and these reported GIF1 proteins were mainly distributed in 10 families, Rosaceae, Solanaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Curcurbitaceae. Malvaceae, Sterculiaceae. Leguminosae, Rutaceae, Juglandaceae, and Papilionoideae. The phylogenetic tree was created by using the deduced protein of EjGIF1 and these reported GIF1 proteins. The same with the traditional taxonomy, our results showed that EjGIF1 was separated from the other GIF1 proteins clearly, and EjGIF1 was clustered into Rosaceae and was closest to *Malus* × *domestica* (Figure 1B).

GIF1 is a leaf shape related protein which was first isolated by Relichova (1976). Previous researches showed that GIF1 is a homolog of SYT whose N-terminal contains a conserved SYT N-terminal homology (SNH) domain, and this domain could participate in protein-protein interactions (Crew et al., 1995; Thaete et al., 1999; Kato et al., 2002). In this study, results of multiple sequence alignment showed that EjGIF1 also contained a SNH domain, and this was consistent with the previous studies (Figure 1A). Taken together, these results suggested that EjGIF1 gene is kept highly conserved during the evolution processes.

### Generating and Verification of Transformants

To investigate the potential function of EjGIF1, an over-expression vector with EjGIF1 CDS sequence under the control of CaMV 35S promoter was transferred into *Arabidopsis*. After continuous screening with glufosinate-ammonium, we finally got 50 plantlets belonging to 10 transgenic lines. The transgenic seedlings and the WT ones were then transferred to the new pots and cultured in the growth chamber.

To verify the reliability of the transgenic plantlets, the presence of *EjGIF1* and CaMV\_35s in the genomes of transgenic and WT plantlets were performed by PCR separately. The empty vector (pFGC5941) and the WT genomic DNA were set as controls. The detection results of the two genes in the transformants and WTs suggested that the two expected specific fragments appeared in the right positions, indicating the precision of these transgenic plantlets was reliable (**Figure 2**).







### Over-Expression of *EjGIF1* in *Arabidopsis* Enlarged Leaf Size and Leaf Number

To evaluate the regulatory effects of EiGIF1 on leaf development in Arabidopsis, we selected five independent transgenic lines (named: OE1, OE2...OE5) with fine phonetype for further phenotype analysis, and the WT plantlets were set as controls. The transgenic and WT plantlets were grown in a growth chamber for about 1 month. The same to the previous studies, we also found that overexpression EiGIF1 in Arabidopsis could lead the leaf length and width to become larger than the WTs (Table 2). Moreover, the leaf sizes of the transgenic plantlets were enlarged as well. As shown in Table 2, the leaf area of the five transgenic plantlets (OE1, OE2...OE5) were 342.53 mm<sup>2</sup>, 380.32 mm<sup>2</sup>, 313.00 mm<sup>2</sup>, 285.72 mm<sup>2</sup>, and 285.48 mm<sup>2</sup>, while the WT was 257.71 mm<sup>2</sup> (Figures 3A,C; Table 2). Correlation analysis between the leaf area and the expression level of *EjGIF1* in the five transgenic plantlets found that except for OE1, there was a positive correlation between the leaf area and the expression level of EiGIF1 in the transgenic plantlets (Figures 3B,C). Therefore, these indicated that *EjGIF1* plays an important role in regulating the development of the loquat leaf size.

Interestingly, we also found that the transgenic plantlets had significantly more leaves than the WT (**Figure 3D**; **Table 2**). As shown in **Table 2**, the WT contains 11 leaves, while the transgenic plantlets contain 20, 20, 19, 15, and 23 leaves, respectively. Different from previous studies (Kim and Kende, 2004; Horiguchi et al., 2005), our results suggested that *EjGIF1* could not only promote the development of leaf size but also increase the formation of leaf primordium, but how does this occur requires to be further researched.

Finally, the expression levels of *EjGIF1* in the five transgenic lines and WTs were detected by qRT-PCR. Results showed that *EjGIF1* were expressed higher in all the five transgenic lines than that of the WTs (**Figure 3B**), and transcripts have not been detected out in the WT ones.

## Expression Analysis of *EjGIF1* in Different Developmental Stages and Ploidy Loquat

To ascertain the expression levels of EjGIF1 in different developmental stages of loquat leaf, we then measured the expression levels of EjGIF1 in three developmental stages of different ploidy loquats by qRT-PCR. Our results showed that, for most of the genotypes, the expression levels of EjGIF1 displayed a tendency of rising first and then dropping, and expressed the highest levels in P II (**Figure 4A**).

Our previous studies on the morphologies of loquat leaves demonstrated that many morphological characteristics of triploid loquat leaves showed a different degree of heterosis compared with their parents, such as leaf length and width (Supplementary Material: Supplementary Table S1). In order to investigate the regulatory effects of EjGIF1 on the formation of triploid leaf morphology heterosis, the expression analyses of *EjGIF1* in different ploidy loquats were performed. Based on the results above, materials of P II were used for further analysis. The results showed that the expression of EiGIF1 in most of the hybrids exhibited AHP (A-3, A-4, A-5, A-6, A-7, A-8, and B-2) expression pattern, demonstrating pronounced heterosis (Figure 4B; Table 3). Only A-1 showed an LPL expression pattern, and A-2, A-9, B-1, and B-3 were expressed BLP (Figure 4B; Table 3). No hybrids expressed MPL and HPL. The qRT-PCR results were basically consistent with our previous morphology (leaf length and width) studies. These results indicated that EjGIF1 may play an important role in the formation of leaf heterosis of triploid loquat.

### Isolation and Characterization of *EjGIF1* Promoter

Gene expression was regulated by both Cis-elements and transregulatory factors (Shi et al., 2012; Wittkopp and Kalay, 2012). In order to ascertain the possible regulatory mechanisms of EjGIF1 gene in regulating the leaf development of loquats, we cloned a 2,475 bp promoter sequence from the upstream of the initiation codon of EjGIF1 by using the Longquan-1 tetraploid genomic DNA. Results of the online prediction showed that there were five hormone-responsive elements (GARE-motif, TATC-box, TCA-element, ABRE, and P-box), 12 light-responsive elements (AE-box, Box4, C-box, G-box, GAG-motif, Gap-box, LAMP-element, Sp1, TCT-motif, CATTmotif, I-box, and MNF1), and six stress-responsive elements (HSE, ARE, GC-motif, MBS, DRE, and TC-rich repeats; Table 4; Figure 5A). What caught our attention was that the lightresponsive elements were far more than the other elements, and these suggested that the expression of *EjGIF1* may be highly sensitive to light changes, but this need to be further validated.

### Promoter Methylation Level Analysis of Different Ploidy Loquat

DNA methylation level of a promoter can directly affect the transcriptional activity of the gene (Wei et al., 2018). Moreover, gene expression level could further affect the

**TABLE 2** | Leaf morphologies analysis of the transgenic and WT plantlets.

	WT	OE1	OE2	OE3	OE4	OE5
		4.00.4	45.04	4.00.4	10.01	47.04
Leaf length (cm)	$3.3 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.0 \pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 0.1$
Leaf width (cm)	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$
leaf area (mm²)	257.71 ± 1.36	$342.53 \pm 4.20$	380.32 ± 0.91	313.00 ± 1.55	$285.72 \pm 3.32$	285.48 ± 1.01
Leaf number	11 ± 1	20 ± 1	20 ± 1	19 ± 1	15 ± 2	23 ± 2

\*mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.



**FIGURE 3** | (A) Phenotypes of transformants and WT plants. The size of the bar showed in the picture was 1 cm. (B) Expression analysis of *EjGIF1* in T2 homozygous progenies and WTs. *Actin* gene was selected by our laboratory previously which was used as a control. All data are from three biological repeats (n = 3). (C) Leaf area analysis of the transgenic plantlets and WTs. (D) Leaf number analysis of the transgenic plantlets and WTs. \*\*Represents the significance level of the one-way ANOVA test, p = 0.01. Error bars denote [S]D.



phenotype of a plant. Therefore, in order to further analyze the regulatory effects of EjGIF1 on the leaf morphology development of loquat, we randomly selected three triploids from Triploid-A (A-3, A-5, and A-6), and the methylation levels of EjGIF1 promoters in the three triploids and their parents (Longquan-1 tetraploid, GC-1) were analyzed by bisulfite sequencing. CpG island prediction showed that there were three CpG islands in the promoter, and the length

#### TABLE 3 | EjGIF1 expression patterns in the hybrids.

	Additive	Dominance		Over-dominance		
	MPL <sup>a</sup>	HPL⁵	LPL°	AHP <sup>d</sup>	BLP <sup>e</sup>	
EjGIF1	NONE	NONE	A-1	A-3, A-4, A-5, A-6, A-7, A-8, B-2	A-2, A-9, B-1, B-3	

<sup>a</sup>Gene expression level was at the MPV.

<sup>b</sup>Gene expression level was at the high parent level.

<sup>°</sup>Gene expression level was at the low parent level.

<sup>d</sup>Gene expression level is above the high parent level.

<sup>e</sup>Gene expression level is below the low parent level.

were 151 bp, 306 bp, and 191 bp, respectively (Figure 5B). The sequences of the three CpG islands were further used for primer design (Table 1). Bisulfite sequencing results exhibited that methylation levels of diploid parent GC-1 were basically slightly higher than that of the tetraploid parent in all the three contexts (<sup>m</sup>CG, <sup>m</sup>CHG, and <sup>m</sup>CHH) among the 3 CpG islands. However, when compared with MPVs, the methylation levels of the hybrids (A-3, A-5, and A-6) showed a decreasing trend in almost all the three methylation types among CpG1 and CpG3 islands, and only CpG2 showed an increasing trend (Figures 6A-C). Interestingly, when we counted for the total methylation level for the EjGIF1 promoter in the three hybrids, it was showed that the methylation level demonstrated a decreasing level in all the three hybrids compared with MPV (21.50%), with the methylation level of 17.56% (A-3), 18.33% (A-5), and 17.84% (A-6), respectively (Figure 6D).

Taken together, our results suggested that the total methylation levels of *EjGIF1* promoter in triploid loquats (A-3, A-5, and A-6) showed a decreasing trend, and this may generate the expression differences of *EjGIF1* between triploid loquats and

TABLE 4	Partial Cis-regulatory	elements in the	promoter	of EjGIF1

	Motif	Sequence	Function
	AE-box	AGAAACAA	Part of a module for light response
	ARE	TGGTTT	Cis-acting regulatory element essential for the anaerobic induction
	Box 4	ATTAAT	Part of a conserved DNA module involved in light responsiveness
	C-box	CTGACGTCAG	Cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness
	G-box	CACGAC	Cis-acting regulatory element involved in light responsiveness
	GAG-motif	AGAGAGT	Part of light responsive element
	GARE-motif	AAACAGA	Gibberellin-responsive element
	Gap-box	AAATGGAGA	Part of light responsive element
	LAMP-element	CCAAAACCA	Part of light responsive element
	MBS	CAACTG	MYB binding site involved in drought-inducibility
	Sp1	CC(G/A)CCC	Light responsive element
FOIL	TATC-box	TATCCCA	Cis-acting element involved in gibberellin-responsiveness
EjGIF1	TCA-element	CCATCTTTT	Cis-acting element involved in salicylic acid responsiveness
	TCT-motif	TCTTAC	Part of light responsive element
	Circadian	CAANNNNATC	Cis-acting regulatory element involved in circadian control
	ABRE	TACGTG	Cis-acting element involved in the abscisic acid responsiveness
	C-repeat/DRE	TGGCCGAC	Regulatory element involved in cold- and dehydration responsiveness
	CATT-motif	GCATTC	Part of a light responsive element
	GC-motif	CCCCCG	Enhancer-like element involved in anoxic specific inducibility
	HSE	AAAAATTTC	Cis-acting element involved in heat stress responsiveness
	I-box	GATATGG	Part of light responsive element
	MNF1	GTGCCC(A/T)	Light responsive element
	P-box	CCTTTTG	Gibberellin-responsive element
	TC-rich repeats	ATTTTCTTCA	Cis-acting element involved in defense and stress responsiveness

parents (Longquan-1 tetraploid, GC-1), and further regulate the leaf morphology heterosis of triploid loquat.

### DISCUSSION

Leaf is an important organ of plant photosynthesis, and it can directly affect the accumulation of sugar. In the meantime, it is also an important aspect for the plant morphology formation, and determines the growth potential of plant (Yan et al., 2008). Leaf size or leaf area greatly determines the light interception and transpiration (Monteith, 1977). Researches on leaf development have been lasted for many years. In previous studies, many transcription factors, such as GRFs or AINTEGUMENTA 3 (GIF1), that regulate leaf development have been verified and reported, and also some regulatory mechanisms of these transcription factors have been validated (Gonzalez et al., 2012; Dkhar and Pareek, 2014). Kuijt et al. (2014) found that Oskn2, an upstream sequence of KNOX gene, could interact with OsGRF3 and OsGRF10 in rice. In Arabidopsis, it was found that the expression levels of GRFs were regulated by miR396, and overexpressing miR396 could cause narrow-leaf phenotypes (Liu et al., 2009). So far, studies on leaf development are mainly focused on the model plants, grasses, or herbaceous plants, such as Arabidopsis, barley, Brassica napus etc., and there are relatively few studies on the leaf development of woody plants (Mizukami and Fischer, 2000; Osnato et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2012; Dkhar and Pareek, 2014). In this study, we have successfully cloned a transcriptional coactivator GIF1 from loguat (EjGIF1), and our phylogenetic tree analysis showed that EjGIF1 is highly homologous with plants of the Rosaceae family, and is kept highly conserved during the evolution processes. Results of EjGIF1 function validation demonstrated that the ectopic expression of EjGIF1 in *Arabidopsis* could increase the leaf size, and this was consistent with previous findings (Kim et al., 2002; Horiguchi et al., 2005). Interestingly, we also found that the transgenic plantlets contained more leaves than the WTs. These results suggested that EjGIF1 may play an important role in the leaf development of the *Arabidopsis*.

Polyploid possesses more than two sets of chromosome per cell, and it plays an important role in the plant evolution (Sattler et al., 2016). Delighting, polyploidization is often accompanied with the increased growth vigor of the plants compared with the diploid progenitors, and so does the triploid loquat (Stebbins, 1971; Chen, 2007; Li et al., 2017). Despite the ploidy effect, triploid loquat demonstrated pronounced heterosis compared with the diploid and tetraploid loquats based on our previous studies on the cultivated triploid loquats (Liu et al., 2018a,b, 2019). For the mechanisms studies of triploid loquat heterosis, some results have been obtained, but the molecular mechanisms of triploid loquat heterosis are still poorly understood (Liu et al., 2018a,b, 2019). As described above, to date, researches on the correlation between heterosis and genes are mainly on the whole genome-wide expression levels, and few studies have been performed on some specific genes. In this study, we have investigated the expression level of one specific leaf development-related gene EiGIF1 in triploid loguats and their parents based on the results of our previous research that the leaf morphologies (length and width) of triploid loquats exhibited pronounced heterosis. Based on the results of EjGIF1 ectopic expression in Arabidopsis, we further investigated the expression level of EjGIF1 in triploid loquats



and their parents. It was found that EjGIF1 was expressed AHP in most of the triploid loquats, showing a non-additive expression pattern, and this was basically consistent with our previous studies on leaf morphology heterosis of triploid loquats (Liu et al., 2018b). These suggested that high expression of EjGIF1 in triploid hybrids played a critical role in the leaf size heterosis formation.

Gene expression was greatly regulated by *Cis*-element, which could affect the transcriptional efficiency and stability (Garí et al., 1997; Mei et al., 2008). In order to ascertain the structure of *EjGIF1* promoter, we have successfully obtained a 2,475 bp promoter sequence by using the method of genome walking. After making a prediction for the promoter online, it was found that the light-responsive elements were significantly more than other elements, suggesting that the expression of *EjGIF1* may be greatly sensitive to light changes. In fact, many studies have found that light can affect the leaf size development, for example, light quality affects the trophic effects through photosynthesis and further determines the leaf morphogenesis or leaf area (Tardieu et al., 1999; Cookson and Granier, 2006). In this study, we indeed found

that there were more light-responsive elements in the EjGIF1 promoter, so we suggested that the expression of *EjGIF1* may be largely regulated by light changes. On the other hand, Baldissera et al. (2014) studied the alfalfa plants and found that plant branch development and the number of shoot per plant were most affected by light. Furthermore, Horiguchi et al. (2005) found that AN3 was expressed at a high level in the basal region of leaf primordia, therefore, based on the results discussed above, we further proposed that EjGIF1 could also promote the formation of leaf primordium. If this is the case, the transgenic Arabidopsis of EiGIF1 should have more leaves than the WTs. Intriguingly, the transgenic plantlets did have more leaves than the WTs. Taken together, we speculated that the expression of EjGIF1 was greatly induced by the light changes, and EjGIF1 may also have an effect on the formation of leaf primordia. However, whether or how the light works on these issues are important questions and still need to be deeply studied.

Recent studies found that polyploidization could trigger extensive DNA methylation remodeling in the first or the following few generations due to the fact that it is an effective



way for polyploid to maintain the genome stability (Wang et al., 2004; Fort et al., 2016). That is, DNA methylation occurred in the whole genome could regulate gene expression, inhibit transposable elements (TEs) transposition, and maintain the structure stability of chromatin (Feinberg, 2007; Bucher et al., 2012). Among them, methylation through promoter region is an effective way to inhibit gene expression without DNA sequence variation (Maunakea et al., 2010). These make more and more researchers believe that there must be a correlation between heterosis and DNA methylation, and begin to explain the heterosis mechanisms from the aspect of epigenetic (Groszmann et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018). To ascertain the methylation level of *EiGIF1* promoter among triploid loguats and their parents, we have analyzed the methylation levels in three randomly selected triploid loquats and their parents by bisulfite sequencing. It was found that the total methylation level of EjGIF1 promoter in triploid loquats showed a decreasing trend compared with MPV, and this was consistent with the qRT-PCR results. Since the three hybrids (A-3, A-5, and A-6) used for methylation level analysis were selected randomly in this study, it was worth noting that the expression levels of EjGIF1 in some hybrids exhibited a low expression level, and we still did not know the methylation levels of EjGIF1 promoter in these hybrids. Therefore, the methylation levels of these low expressed hybrids need to be further detected for verifying the association between the expression level and the methylation level.

Taken together, our results suggested that (1) compared with previous studies, our study found that *EjGIF1* showed significant regulation effects on the development of leaf size; and (2) demethylation of *EjGIF1* promoter made *EjGIF1* exhibit over-dominance expression pattern in triploid loquats, and this further promoted the formation of triploid loquat heterosis. In short, *EjGIF1* played an important role in the formation of triploid loquat leaf size heterosis.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CL and RH conducted the experiments and the paper writing. LW helped to revise the English. GL provided the funding support. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### REFERENCES

- Agbo, C. U., and Teixeira da Silva, J. A. (2014). Expression of heterosis and heritability in vegetative traits of *Gongronema latifolia*. Open J. Genet. 4, 146–156. doi: 10.4236/ojgen.2014.42015
- Alasaari, J., Lagus, M., Ollila, H. M., Toivola, A., Kivimäki, M., Vahtera, J., et al. (2012). Environmental stress affects DNA methylation of a CpG rich promoter region of serotonin transporter gene in a nurse cohort. *PLoS One* 7:e45813. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0045813
- Arikan, B., Özden, S., and Turgut-Kara, N. (2018). DNA methylation related gene expression and morphophysiological response to abiotic stresses in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Environ. Exp. Bot.* 149, 17–26. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2018.01.011
- Baldissera, T. C., Frak, E., Carvalho, P. C., and Louarn, G. (2014). Plant development controls leaf area expansion in alfalfa plants competing for light. Ann. Bot. 113, 145–157. doi: 10.1093/aob/mct251
- Bucher, E., Reinders, J., and Mirouze, M. (2012). Epigenetic control of transposon transcription and mobility in *Arabidopsis. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 15, 503–510. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2012.08.006
- Chen, Z. J. (2007). Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms for gene expression and phenotypic variation in plant polyploids. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 58, 377–406. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103835
- Chen, Z. J. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of polyploid and hybrid vigor. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 57–71. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.12.003
- Chen, L., Bian, J., Shi, S., Yu, J., Khanzada, H., Wassan, G. M., et al. (2018). Genetic analysis for the grain number heterosis of a super-hybrid rice WFYT025 combination using RNA-Seq. *Rice* 11:37. doi: 10.1186/s12284-018-0229-y
- Clough, S. J., and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 16, 735–743.
- Cookson, S. J., and Granier, C. (2006). A dynamic analysis of the shade-induced plasticity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* rosette leaf development reveals new components of the shade-adaptative response. *Ann. Bot.* 97, 443–452. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcj047
- Crew, A. J., Clark, J., Fisher, C., Gill, S., Grimer, R., Chand, A., et al. (1995). Fusion of SYT to two genes, SSX1 and SSX2, encoding proteins with homology to the Kruppel-associated box in human synovial sarcoma. *EMBO* J. 14, 2333–2340.
- De Smet, C., Lurquin, C., Lethé, B., Martelange, V., and Boon, T. (1999). DNA methylation is the primary silencing mechanism for a set of germ line- and tumor-specific genes with a CpG-rich promoter. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19, 7327–7335.
- Dkhar, J., and Pareek, A. (2014). What determines a leaf's shape? *EvoDevo* 5:47. doi: 10.1186/2041-9139-5-47
- East, E. M. (1936). Heterosis. Genetics 21, 375-397.
- Feinberg, A. P. (2007). Phenotypic plasticity and the epigenetics of human disease. *Nature* 447, 433–440. doi: 10.1038/nature05919
- Fort, A., Ryder, P., McKeown, P. C., Wijnen, C., Aarts, M. G., Sulpice, R., et al. (2016). Disaggregating polyploidy, parental genome dosage and hybridity contributions to heterosis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol.* 209, 590–599. doi: 10.1111/nph.13650

### FUNDING

This work was supported by "Xinglin Scholar" Scientific Research Promotion Program for Academic Talents of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (BSH2019018).

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.612055/ full#supplementary-material

- Garí, E., Piedrafita, L., Aldea, M., and Herrero, E. (1997). A set of vectors with a tetracycline-regulatable promoter system for modulated gene expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 13, 837–848.
- Gong, W., Qi, P., Du, J., Sun, X., Wu, X., Song, C., et al. (2014). Transcriptome analysis of shade-induced inhibition on leaf size in relay intercropped soybean. *PLoS One* 9:e98465. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098465
- Gonzalez, N., Vanhaeren, H., and Inze, D. (2012). Leaf size control: complex coordination of cell division and expansion. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 332–340. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.02.003
- Groszmann, M., Greaves, I. K., Fujimoto, R., Peacock, W. J., and Dennis, E. S. (2013). The role of epigenetics in hybrid vigour. *Trends Genet.* 29, 684–690. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2013.07.004
- Guo, M., and Rafalski, J. A. (2013). "Gene expression and heterosis in maize hybrids" in *Polyploid and hybrid genomics*. eds. Z. J. Chen and J. A. Birchler (New York: Wiley), 59–84.
- Guo, M., Rupe, M. A., Yang, X., Crasta, O., Zinselmeier, C., Smith, O. S., et al. (2006). Genome-wide transcript analysis of maize hybrids: allelic additive gene expression and yield heterosis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 113, 831–845. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0335-x
- Higgins, D., Thompson, J., Gibson, T., Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680.
- Hofmann, N. R. (2012). A global view of hybrid vigor: DNA methylation, small RNAs, and gene expression. *Plant Cell* 24:841. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 112.240312
- Horiguchi, G., Kim, G. T., and Tsukaya, H. (2005). The transcription factor AtGRF5 and the transcription coactivator AN3 regulate cell proliferation in leaf primordia of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 43, 68–78. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-313X.2005.02429.x
- Jiao, K., Li, X., Guo, Y., Guan, Y., Guo, W., Luo, D., et al. (2019). Regulation of compound leaf development in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) by CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON/NO APICAL MERISTEM (CUC/NAM) gene. *Planta* 249, 765–774. doi: 10.1007/s00425-018-3038-z
- Jones, D. F. (1917). Dominance of linked factors as a means of accounting for heterosis. *Genetics* 3, 310–312.
- Kato, H., Tjernberg, A., Zhang, W., Krutchinsky, A. N., An, W., Takeuchi, T., et al. (2002). SYT associates with human SNF/SWI complexes and the C-terminal region of its fusion partner SSX1 targets histones. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 5498–5505. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M108702200
- Kim, J. H., Choi, D. S., and Kende, H. (2003). The AtGRF family of putative transcription factors is involved in leaf and cotyledon growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 36, 94–104. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2003.01862.x
- Kim, J. H., and Kende, H. (2004). A transcriptional coactivator, AtGIF1, is involved in regulating leaf growth and morphology in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 13374–13379. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0405450101
- Kim, J. S., Mizoi, J., Kidokoro, S., Maruyama, K., Nakajima, J., Nakashima, K., et al. (2012). Arabidopsis growth-regulating factor7 functions as a transcriptional

repressor of abscisic acid- and osmotic stress-responsive genes, including DREB2A. *Plant Cell* 24, 3393–3405. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.100933

- Kim, G. T., Shoda, K., Tsuge, T., Cho, K. H., Uchimiya, H., Yokoyama, R., et al. (2002). The ANGUSTIFOLIA gene of *Arabidopsis*, a plant CtBP gene, regulates leaf-cell expansion, the arrangement of cortical microtubules in leaf cells and expression of a gene involved in cell wall formation. *EMBO J.* 21, 1267–1279. doi: 10.1093/emboj/21.6.1267
- Kuijt, S. J., Greco, R., Agalou, A., Shao, J., Hoen, C. C., Overnas, E., et al. (2014). Interaction between the GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR and KNOTTED1- LIKE HOMEOBOX families of transcription factors. *Plant Physiol.* 164, 1952–1966. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.222836
- Li, X., Shahid, M. Q., Xia, J., Lu, Z., Fang, N., Wang, L., et al. (2017). Analysis of small RNAs revealed differential expressions during pollen and embryo sac development in autotetraploid rice. *BMC Genomics* 18:129. doi: 10.1186/ s12864-017-3526-8
- Liu, J., Hua, W., Yang, H. L., Zhan, G. M., Li, R. J., Deng, L. B., et al. (2012). The BnGRF2 gene (GRF2-like gene from Brassica napus) enhances seed oil production through regulating cell number and plant photosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 3727–3740. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ers066
- Liu, C., Liu, T., Ohlson, E. W., Wang, L., Wu, D., Guo, Q., et al. (2019). Loquat *Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) circadian clock gene cloning and heterosis studies of artificial triploid loquat. *Sci. Hortic.* 246, 328–337. doi: 10.1016/j. scienta.2018.10.068
- Liu, D., Song, Y., Chen, Z., and Yu, D. (2009). Ectopic expression of miR396 suppresses GRF target gene expression and alters leaf growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Physiol. Plant.* 136, 223–236. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01229.x
- Liu, C., Wang, M., Wang, L., Guo, Q., and Liang, G. (2018b). Extensive genetic and DNA methylation variation contribute to heterosis in triploid loquat hybrids. *Genome* 61, 437-447. doi: 10.1139/gen-2017-0232
- Liu, C., Wu, D., Wang, L., Dang, J., He, Q., Guo, Q., et al. (2018a). Cis-regulated additively expressed genes play a fundamental role in the formation of triploid loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl.) Heterosis. Mol. Gen. Genomics 293, 967–981. doi: 10.1007/s00438-018-1433-6
- Liu, Y. X., Yang, X. H., Lin, S. Q., Hu, G. B., and Liu, C. M. (2005). An improved procedure for nuclear DNA isolation from Eriobotrya plants and its application. J. Fruit Sci. 22, 182–185. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1009-9980.2005.02.021
- Maunakea, A. K., Nagarajan, R. P., Bilenky, M., Ballinger, T. J., D'Souza, C., Fouse, S. D., et al. (2010). Conserved role of intragenic DNA methylation in regulating alternative promoters. *Nature* 466, 253–257. doi: 10.1038/ nature09165
- Mei, G., Sean, Y., Mary, R., Bin, H., David, R. B., Lane, A., et al. (2008). Genome-wide allele-specific expression analysis using massively parallel signature sequencing (MPSSTM) reveals *cis*- and *trans*-effects on gene expression in maize hybrid meristem tissue. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 66, 551–563. doi: 10.1007/s11103-008-9290-z
- Miller, M., Song, Q., Shi, X., Juenger, T. E., and Chen, Z. J. (2015). Natural variation in timing of stress-responsive gene expression predicts heterosis in intraspecific hybrids of *Arabidopsis*. Nat. Commun. 6:7453. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms8453
- Mizukami, Y., and Fischer, R. L. (2000). Plant organ size control: AINTEGUMENTA regulates growth and cell numbers during organogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 942–947. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.2.942
- Monteith, J. L. (1977). Climate and the efficiency of crop production in Britain. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 281, 277–294.
- Nakamura, S., and Hosaka, K. (2010). DNA methylation in diploid inbred lines of potatoes and its possible role in the regulation of heterosis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120, 205–214. doi: 10.1007/s00122-009-1058-6
- Osnato, M., Stile, M. R., Wang, Y., Meynard, D., Curiale, S., Guiderdoni, E., et al. (2010). Cross talk between the KNOX and ethylene pathways is mediated by intron-binding transcription factors in barley. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 1616–1632. doi: 10.1104/pp.110.161984
- Relichova, J. (1976). Some new mutants. Arabidopsis Inf. Serv. 13, 25-28.
- Sattler, M. C., Carvalho, C. R., and Clarindo, W. R. (2016). The polyploidy and its key role in plant breeding. *Planta* 243, 281–296. doi: 10.1007/ s00425-015-2450-x
- Shi, X., Ng, D. W. K., Zhang, C., Comai, L., Ye, W., and Chen, Z. J. (2012). *Cis-* and *trans-*regulatory divergence between progenitor species determines gene-expression novelty in *Arabidopsis* allopolyploids. *Nat. Commun.* 3:950. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1954

- Stebbins, G. L. (1971). Chromosomal evolution in higher plants. London: Addison-Wesley.
- Taliercio, E., Eickholt, D., Rouf, R., and Carter, T. (2017). Changes in gene expression between a soybean F1 hybrid and its parents are associated with agronomically valuable traits. *PLoS One* 12:e0177225. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177225
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Steche, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2011). MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2731–2739. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Tardieu, T., Granier, C., and Muller, B. (1999). Modelling leaf expansion in a fluctuating environment: are changes in specific leaf area a consequence of changes in expansion rate? *New Phytol.* 143, 33–43.
- Thaete, C., Brett, D., Monaghan, P., Whitehouse, S., Rennie, G., Rayner, E., et al. (1999). Functional domains of the SYT and SYT-SSX synovial sarcoma translocation proteins and co-localization with the SNF protein BRM in the nucleus. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 8, 585–591.
- Thiemann, A., Fu, J., Seifert, F., Grant-Dwonton, R. T., Schrag, T. A., Pospisil, H., et al. (2014). Genome-wide meta-analysis of maize heterosis reveals the potential role of additive gene expression at pericentromeric loci. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14:88. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-88
- Turner, J. H. (1953). A study of heterosis in upland cotton I. yield of hybrids compared with varieties. II. Combining ability and inbreeding effect. Agron. J. 45, 485–490.
- Vercruyssen, L., Tognetti, V. B., Gonzalez, N., Van Dingenen, J., De Milde, L., Bielach, A., et al. (2015). GROWTH REGULATING FACTOR5 stimulates Arabidopsis chloroplast division, photosynthesis, and leaf longevity. *Plant Physiol.* 167, 817–832. doi: 10.1104/pp.114.256180
- Wang, H., Fang, Y., Wang, L., Zhu, W., Ji, H., Wang, H., et al. (2015). Heterosis and differential gene expression in hybrids and parents in *Bombyx mori* by digital gene expression profling. *Sci. Rep.* 5:8750. doi: 10.1038/ srep08750
- Wang, J., Tian, L., Madlung, A., Lee, H. S., Chen, M., Lee, J. J., et al. (2004). Stochastic and epigenetic changes of gene expression in *Arabidopsis* polyploids. *Genetics* 167, 1961–1973. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.027896
- Wang, Y., Zhang, K., Sun, L., Han, X., Fan, S., Li, X., et al. (2018). Study on the relationship between genetic variation of DNA methylation and heterosis in soybean leaves. *Euphytica* 214:85. doi: 10.1007/s10681-018-2161-z
- Wei, D., Li, A., Zhao, C., Wang, H., Mei, C., Khan, R., et al. (2018). Transcriptional regulation by CpG sites methylation in the core promoter region of the bovine SIX1 gene: roles of histone H4 and E2F2. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:213. doi: 10.3390/ijms19010213
- Wittkopp, P. J., and Kalay, G. (2012). Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and evolutionary processes underlying divergence. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13, 59–69. doi: 10.1038/nrg3095
- Wu, D., Fan, W., He, Q., Guo, Q., Spano, A. J., Wang, Y., et al. (2015). Genetic diversity of loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl.) native to Guizhou Province (China) and its potential in the genetic improvement of domesticated cultivars. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 33, 952–961. doi: 10.1007/ s11105-014-0809-y
- Xiong, W., Li, X., Fu, D., Mei, J., Li, Q., Lu, G., et al. (2013). DNA methylation alterations at 59-CCGG sites in the interspecific and intraspecific hybridizations derived from *Brassica rapa* and *B. napus. PLoS One* 8:e65946. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0065946
- Yan, S., Yan, C. J., and Gu, M. H. (2008). Molecular mechanism of leaf development. *Hereditas* 30, 1127–1135. doi: 10.3724/sp.j.1005.2008.01127
- Yu, S. B., Li, J. X., Tan, Y. F., Gao, Y. J., Li, X. H., Zhang, Q. F., et al. (1997). Importance of epistasis as the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94, 9226–9231.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Liu, Huang, Wang and Liang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.