



Corrigendum: Plastid Genomes of Five Species of Riverweeds (Podostemaceae): Structural Organization and Comparative Analysis in Malpighiales

Ana M. Bedoya^{1*}, Bradley R. Ruhfel², C. Thomas Philbrick³, Santiago Madriñán⁴, Claudia P. Bove⁵, Attila Mesterházy⁶ and Richard G. Olmstead¹

¹ Department of Biology and Burke Museum, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ² University of Michigan Herbarium, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, ³ Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Western Connecticut State University, Danbury, CT, United States, ⁴ Laboratorio de Botánica y Sistemática, Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia, ⁵ Departamento de Botânica, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ⁶ Directorate of Hortobágy National Park, Debrecen, Hungary

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Carl J. Rothfels,
University of California, Berkeley,
United States

*Correspondence:

Ana M. Bedoya
ambedoya@uw.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Plant Systematics and Evolution,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 24 August 2020

Accepted: 07 September 2020

Published: 09 November 2020

Citation:

Bedoya AM, Ruhfel BR, Philbrick CT, Madriñán S, Bove CP, Mesterházy A and Olmstead RG (2020) Corrigendum: Plastid Genomes of Five Species of Riverweeds (Podostemaceae): Structural Organization and Comparative Analysis in Malpighiales. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11:598458. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.598458

Keywords: genome rearrangements, Malpighiales, phylogenomics, plastome, Podostemaceae

A Corrigendum on

Plastid Genomes of Five Species of Riverweeds (Podostemaceae): Structural Organization and Comparative Analysis in Malpighiales

by Bedoya, A. M., Ruhfel, B. R., Philbrick, C. T., Madriñán, S., Bove, C. P., Mesterházy, A., et al. (2019). *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1035. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01035

In the original article, there was an error. Throughout the paper it was stated that *ycf1*, *ycf2*, *accD*, *rpl22*, and *clpP* were pseudogenized in Podostemaceae. However, new inspection of our data revealed that *rpl22* and *clpP* are in frame and therefore, their functionality cannot be assessed based on sequence data alone. *accD* is highly divergent in Podostemaceae but is in frame in *Apinagia riedellii*, *Marathrum utile*, and *Tristicha trifaria*. The gene is not in frame in *Marathrum capillaceum* and in *Monostylis capillacea*. Therefore, we confirm that *accD* may be a pseudogene in two of the evaluated Podostemaceae species, but it may be functional in three of them. We also found that *rpl23*, reported as present in our study, is not in frame and that *rpl32*, not mentioned in our paper, is present and functional.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Bedoya, Ruhfel, Philbrick, Madriñán, Bove, Mesterházy and Olmstead. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.