



Enhancing Biomass and Lutein Production From Scenedesmus almeriensis: Effect of Carbon Dioxide Concentration and Culture Medium Reuse

Antonio Molino¹, Sanjeet Mehariya^{1,2}, Angela Iovine^{1,2}, Patrizia Casella¹, Tiziana Marino², Despina Karatza², Simeone Chianese^{2*} and Dino Musmarra²

¹ Department of Sustainability-CR Portici, ENEA Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Portici, Italy, ² Department of Engineering, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Aversa, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Briardo Llorente, Macquarie University, Australia

Reviewed by:

Norbert Mehlmer, Technical University of Munich, Germany Jianhua Fan, East China University of Science and Technology, China

*Correspondence:

Simeone Chianese simeone.chianese@unicampania.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Crop and Product Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 16 June 2019 **Accepted:** 23 March 2020 **Published:** 21 April 2020

Citation:

Molino A, Mehariya S, Iovine A, Casella P, Marino T, Karatza D, Chianese S and Musmarra D (2020) Enhancing Biomass and Lutein Production From Scenedesmus almeriensis: Effect of Carbon Dioxide Concentration and Culture Medium Reuse. Front. Plant Sci. 11:415. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00415 The main purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of operative parameters and bioprocess strategies on the photo-autotrophic cultivation of the microalgae *Scenedesmus almeriensis* for lutein production. *S. almeriensis* was cultivated in a vertical bubble column photobioreactor (VBC-PBR) in batch mode and the bioactive compounds were extracted by accelerated solvent extraction with ethanol at 67°C and 10 MPa. The cultivation with a volume fraction of CO₂ in the range 0–3.0%v/v showed that the highest biomass and lutein concentrations – 3.7 g/L and 5.71 mg/g, respectively – were measured at the highest CO₂ concentration and using fresh growth medium. Recycling the cultivation medium from harvested microalgae resulted in decreased biomass and lutein content. The nutrient chemical composition analysis showed the highest consumption rates for nitrogen and phosphorus, with values higher than 80%, while sulfate and chloride were less consumed.

Keywords: microalgae, photo-autotrophic cultivation, Scenedesmus almeriensis, lutein, ASE

INTRODUCTION

Lutein is a carotenoid found in plants and phototrophic microorganisms. It is classified as a primary xanthophyll because of the presence of two hydroxyl functional groups in its chemical structure (Chen et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2017; Bowen et al., 2018). Lutein acts as a light-harvesting pigment that improves photosynthesis efficiency and prevents photo-damage in plant cells (Ruban et al., 2007). For its outstanding antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and colorant properties, lutein is widely used as a nutraceutical for human health (Fernández-Sevilla et al., 2010). Lutein is used in the treatment of age-related macular degeneration, and for the prevention of cardiovascular diseases and some types of cancers (Coleman and Chew, 2007; Cerón et al., 2008). The existing commercial source of natural lutein is marigold (*Tagetes erecta L.*) petals (Lin et al., 2015b). However, the lutein content of marigold petals is around 0.03% (based on dry biomass weight), and their harvesting and separation carry a high cost (Lin et al., 2015a). Therefore, there is a need for an alternative source for cost-effective production.

Microalgae have been considered as a potential source of natural lutein as their pigment content (0.5-1.2% based on dry biomass weight) is far higher than that of conventional sources (Cerón et al., 2008; Sánchez et al., 2008b; Lin et al., 2015a,b; Chen et al., 2017). Additionally, producing lutein from microalgae offers several environmental benefits, such as a carbon dioxide mitigation as their photosynthesis efficiency is 10-15 times higher than that of terrestrial plants (Lam et al., 2012; Yen et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2014). The microalgae growth rate is 5-10 times higher than that of higher plants. They can be grown in seawater and brackish water, as well as on non-arable land, therefore they do not compete with conventional agriculture crops for resources (Del Campo et al., 2001; Utomo et al., 2013; Ho et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2015a). Scenedesmus almeriensis is recognized as a rich source of lutein, containing up to 4.5 mg/g (dry weight), when grown in outdoor culture conditions (Del Campo et al., 2007); moreover, lutein content can be increased by manipulating growth conditions, such as light intensity and temperature, till to 5.4 mg/g (dry weight) (Sánchez et al., 2008b).

Christian et al. (2018) repot that the astaxanthin content of *Haematococcus pluvialis* using high concentrations of CO_2 (15%v/v) as the carbon source can achieve the value of about 36 mg/g (dry weight). Cheng et al. (2016) observed the highest biomass (0.65 g/L) and astaxanthin (45 mg/L) concentrations in *Haematococcus pluvialis* grown in 6% CO_2 .

Microalgae cultivation requires large amounts of water and nutrients, which reduces the cost-effectiveness of the entire biocompound extraction process (Hadj-Romdhane et al., 2013). The re-use of culture media could be a solution for the development of large-scale cultures to minimize water use and nutrient consumption (Fret et al., 2017). For the cultivation of *Scenedesmus obliquus*, Livansky et al. (1996) found that water use and nutrient consumption could be reduced of about 64 and 16%, respectively, by recycling the growth medium. For *Chlorella vulgaris* Hadj-Romdhane et al. (2012) reported that the use of optimized culture conditions during medium recycling could decrease water use and nutrient consumption of 75 and 62%, respectively.

As CO₂ is used as the carbon source, microalgae cultivation also contributes to CO₂ sequestration, which makes it one of the most promising approaches to deal with global warming (Salih, 2011; Chen and Liu, 2018). Not only does the higher CO₂ concentration increase the carbon source available for the growth of microalgae, but it also improves the assimilation of nutrients in their biomass (de Assis et al., 2019). The microalgae can efficiently convert atmospheric CO2 into organic biomass via carbon fixation. Several inexpensive sources of CO2 can be explored, such as CO2 -rich industrial exhaust gases and fermentation effluent gases that could make the microalgae cultivation process cost-effective and eco-friendly (Lam et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2014; Chen and Liu, 2018). Patel et al. (2019) cultivated Chlorella protothecoides in 5% of CO₂ and obtained 4.12 g/L of biomass. Xie et al. (2017) cultivated Desmodesmus sp. F51 and evaluated the effect of CO₂ concentration on microalgae biomass and lutein production. Six different CO₂ concentrations (0.03, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0, and 12.5%) were used. The biomass productivity and the specific growth rate were higher when

 CO_2 concentration increased from 0.03 to 2.5% and decreased when CO_2 concentration was further increased to 12.5% (Xie et al., 2017). On the basis of the above-mentioned studies 3.0% was chosen as maximum value to evaluate the effect of CO_2 concentration in this study.

Moheimani (2016) showed that *Tetraselmis suecica* can be grown in CO_2 from a coal-fired power plant flue gas and by reusing the growth medium. CO_2 biofixation with nutrient recycling and the addition of monoethanolamine were tested on *Spirulina* sp. cultivation by da Rosa et al. (2015). They highlighted that *Spirulina* can be produced using recycled medium, in spite of a reduce protein and lipid content. Cui et al. (2019) showed that using flue gas from a biomass plant and recycling the growth to cultivate *Spirulina* sp. a 42% lower nutrient consumption was achieved, with no significant differences between fresh medium and recycled medium in terms of protein and phycocyanin contents.

To the best of the authors knowledge, the combined effect of CO_2 concentration and medium recycling on the *S. almeriensis* growth and lutein production has not been investigated anywhere before. The present study aims at this dual purpose: developing a lab-scale methodology to recycle the supernatant/filtrate growth medium obtained from the harvesting of the microalgae biomass, and assessing how different CO_2 concentrations (0-3% v/v) and fresh and recycled growth media influence biomass and lutein production. The biomass was harvested by filtration, then lutein was obtained by accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) at 67°C and 10 MPa. Ethanol, a green solvent belonging to the class of the Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) solvents, was used during the extraction step. The lutein content was measured by u-HPLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microalgae and Growth Medium

Seed culture of *S. almeriensis* was provided by AlgaRes Srl (Rome, Italy), and used for the cultivation under laboratory conditions. Microalgae cells were cultivated in a modified Mann & Myers medium (Mann and Myers, 1968; Barceló-Villalobos et al., 2019), consisting of NaNO₃ (1.0 g/L), K_2 HPO₄ (0.1 g/L), MgSO₄*7H₂O (1.2 g/L), and CaCl₂ (0.3 g/L).

Moreover, 10 mL of a solution of micronutrients, containing Na₂EDTA (0.001 mg/L), MnCl₂ (1.4 mg/L), ZnSO₄*7H₂O (0.33 mg/L), FeSO₄*2H₂O (2 mg/L), CuSO₄*5H₂O (0.002 mg/L), and Co(NO₃)₂*6H₂O (0.007 mg/L), were added to 990 mL of the growth medium.

Photo-Bioreactor

Scenedesmus almeriensis was cultivated in a vertical bubble column photo-bioreactor (VBC-PBR), made of plexiglass, with a working volume of 1.25 L (effective height = 680 mm; external diameter = 60 mm; thickness = 10 mm) and with a volume to surface ratio (V/S) of 11.5 L/m^2 . The VBC-PBR was fed with a gaseous mixture (N₂/O₂/CO₂) from tanks and was equipped to a monitor and control system which allowed fine tuning of the gaseous mixture flow rate, the temperature, the pH and the light intensity. The bottom of the reactor was equipped with three

TABLE 1 | Scheme of the inoculum and the medium reuse during the cultivation of *Scenedesmus almeriensis* cultures under batch mode at different cultivation conditions.

		CO ₂ concentration (%v/v)		Growth phase - composed by			
Experiment	Experimental setup		Source of inoculum	Inoculum volume	Fresh medium volume	Reuse medium volume	
A	Fresh medium	Air	Algares	200	1000	0	
В	Fresh medium	0.5	Culture transfer after 10 days of growth with air*	1200	0	0	
С	Reuse medium	0.5	Culture from 0.5%v/v CO ₂ with fresh medium**	270	550	390	
D	Fresh medium	1.5	Culture from 3.0% v/v CO_2 with reuse medium***	40	1160	0	
E	Reuse medium	1.5	Culture from 1.5% v/v CO_2 with fresh medium****	280	550	370	
F	Fresh medium	3	Culture from 0.5% v/v CO $_2$ with reuse medium*****	70	1130	0	
G	Reuse medium	3	Culture from 3.0% v/v CO_2 with fresh medium *****	230	660	310	

*Inoculum derived from sample A; **inoculum derived from sample B; ***inoculum derived from sample G; ****inoculum derived from sample D; *****inoculum derived from sample F.



sintered steel spargers, installed through 3 fileted holes (1/8''), to feed the gaseous mixture into the reactor. The gaseous mixture flow rate was regulated by Bronkhorst gas flow controllersTM, with a flow control accuracy of 0.5%. The top of the reactor was equipped with a temperature sensor (thermocouple) and with a pH sensor. The temperature control system consisted of an AISI 316L coaxial pipe (diameter = 60.3 mm; thickness = 1 mm) in which water was used as cooling fluid. Thanks to a heat pump, the temperature control system allowed to regulate the temperature inside the reactor with a precision of $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C in the range 15–35°C.

The lighting system consisted of a semi-cylinder structure, located at a distance of 100 mm from the VBC-PBR, with blue, white and red lights from a selective LED system (only blue/only white/only red or a mix of them). The lighting

system was controlled and regulated by a SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) system, equipped with a touchscreen, a custom software and a PC to collect and to record experimental data of temperature, gas flow rate, pH, and light intensity. A schematization of the experimental set-up is sketched in **Figure 1**.

Growth Conditions

The microalgae were grown under white light with a lux intensity of 4000 lux on the surface of the VBC-PBR, and with a gaseous mixture flow rate (N₂/O₂/CO₂) of 50 mL/min, in which the CO₂ content was varied in the range 0–3.0%v/v (O₂ = 21%v/v). The temperature was kept constant at 28°C. The pH of the culture medium changed in the range 7.5–8.5 due to the addition of CO₂.



Two cultivation conditions were investigated. The microalgae were first cultured in the fresh medium from Mann and Myers with the composition described herein (see section "Microalgae and growth medium"). Then around 300 mL of the culture medium were stored to be used as an inoculum in further experiments and the remaining culture was filtered to measure the biomass and lutein content. In the second step, the growth medium recovered by filtration was mixed with the fresh medium and with a given amount of inoculum to achieve an optical

density of 0.6–0.7 at 420 nm (see section "Microalgae and growth medium"). In both cases, CO_2 varied in the range 0–3.0%v/v. Each experimental step was carried out with a working volume of 1.2 L and the compositions of the medium reused are reported in **Table 1**. Each experimental condition for microalgae growth (expressed as chlorophyll content and biomass concentration), including, nutrient chemical analysis, extraction yield and lutein content measurements, was investigated in replicates, and for each condition, the standard deviation (SD) value was calculated.



Microalgae Growth Assessment

The *S. almeriensis* cell growth was monitored by determining the absorbance of the samples at 420 nm (Chlorophylla), 480 nm (Chlorophyll-b), 690 nm (Chlorophyll-a), and 620 nm (Chlorophyll-b) with a UV/Visible spectrophotometer (Multiskan, Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States), as reported in the literature (Lu et al., 2017; Almomani and Örmeci, 2018; Chirivella-Martorell et al., 2018). The biomass dry weight (BDW) was calculated using the absorbance values at different biomass concentrations measured during the growth phase in the recycled medium. The following calibration curve between absorbance and concentration was obtained:

$$DBC = (0.0867 * A) - 0.1868$$

where DBC is the concentration of biomass on dry weight (g/L) and A is the total absorbance obtained from the sum of the absorbance values at the four chlorophyll wavelengths (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

For the final dry weight determination, cell cultures were dewatered by vacuum filtration using a vacuum filter with a pore size of 0.45 μ m (Sigma-Aldrich, United States) and the

pellets were lyophilized for 24 h. Three biological replications were carried out.

Accelerated Solvent Extraction

Lutein was extracted from mechanically pre-treated *S. almeriensis* cells by the accelerated solvent extraction method, using the Dionex-ASE 200 extractor (Salt Lake City, UT, United States). The pre-treatment was performed via ball milling according to the procedure described elsewhere (Mehariya et al., 2019). Four consecutive extraction cycles were performed using ethanol, a green solvent belonging to the class of the Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) solvents, at 67°C and 10 MPa for the complete biomass discoloring. The extraction conditions were optimized elsewhere (Molino et al., 2018c). At the end of each extraction run (20 min), the extracts were collected in 40 mL amber glass vials, by flushing the system with 6.6 mL of fresh solvent, and the system was purged for 1 min with nitrogen (Purity \geq 99.999%). Five technical replications were carried out.

Growth Medium Characterization

The chemical analysis of the nutrient concentrations (initial and final) was carried out using an ion Chromatograph (Dionex ICS-1100, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, United States). The Dionex ICS-1100 is an integrated ion chromatography system equipped with a pump, an injection valve, and a conductivity detector. Several nutrients, such as Mg^{2+} , SO_4^{2-} , Na^+ , NO_3^- , NO_2^- , Ca_2^+ , Cl^- , K^+ , and PO_4^{3-} were analyzed.

The extract obtained after each extraction cycle was divided in equal parts and placed in two different vials adding



weight) at different CO₂ contents with fresh and with recycled growth medium. Standard deviation was calculated on three biological replications.

TABLE 2 | *Scenedesmus almeriensis* productivity (based on dry biomass weight) at different CO₂ contents with fresh and with recycled growth medium: ANOVA (one-way; $\alpha = 0.05$) results.

CO_2 concentration (%v/v)	p-value
0.5	0.00049
1.5	0.0017
3	0.088

BHT at 0.1wt% as an antioxidant for saponification and gravimetric analysis.

Lutein Measurement

The total lutein content was gravimetrically quantified after the complete removal of the solvent using a Zymark TurboVap evaporator (Zymark, Hopkinton, MA, United States). Before measuring lutein, the saponification of the samples was carried out in order to remove lipids and chlorophyll, for avoiding the overlap of the spectra with the species present in the carotenoid family (Vechpanich and Shotipruk, 2011; Molino et al., 2018a,b; Sanzo et al., 2018). In particular, the saponification was carried out adding 1 mL of a NaOH solution in methanol (0.05 M) to 5 mL of the extract. This solution was left in the dark in an inert atmosphere for 7 h. Once this step was completed, the sample was neutralized using 3 mL of a NH₄Cl solution in methanol (0.05 M). After saponification, lutein was measured using a u-HPLC Agilent 1290 Infinity II with Zorbax reverse phase C18 column with the methanol-water (95:5, v/v) mixture as the mobile phase solvent. Flow rate and column temperature were kept constant at 0.4 mL/min and 28°C, respectively. Five technical replications were carried out.

Statistical Analysis

ANOVA analysis (one-way; $\alpha = 0.05$) was carried out to compare the results of the effect of the growth medium (fresh and recycled) at different CO₂ on the biomass productivity, on the nutrient consumption, and on the extraction yield and lutein content.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CO₂ Content and Recycled Medium Effects on Chlorophyll Content

During their autotrophic growth, the microalgae perform photosynthesis using CO_2 as the inorganic source of carbon. The effects of CO₂ concentration and medium reuse on the chlorophyll content during the autotrophic cultivation of S. almeriensis were evaluated (Figure 2). The results show that the accumulation of chlorophyll increases when CO₂ content raises and decreases when the medium is recycled. The results also demonstrate that the higher the CO₂ concentration the higher the chlorophyll content and the lower the time required to reach the peak. The maximum concentrations of chlorophyll-a at 420 nm and chlorophyll-b at 480 nm, 13.6 and 11.6 respectively, were achieved in 10 days with a CO₂ content of 3.0%v/v and the fresh medium. In addition, the recycled growth medium exhibited a lower photosynthetic efficiency, in terms of chlorophyll content reduction (Figure 2), which could be due to a lower amount of nutrients available. The amount of chlorophyll needed for an efficient light absorption in autotrophic cultivation, where light is the only source of energy, may explain these results. Moreover, the toxic compounds accumulating in the medium during the first culture step may inhibit the photosynthesis efficiency, which may lead to a decrease in the chlorophyll content.

CO₂ Content and Recycled Medium Effects on Biomass Concentration

Figure 3 reports S. almeriensis concentration (based on dry biomass weight) as a function of growth time for different CO₂ contents with fresh and recycled growth medium. Figure 4 reports S. almeriensis productivity (based on dry biomass weight) for different CO₂ contents with fresh and with recycled growth medium. Results in Figure 3 show that with the fresh growth medium, the higher the CO_2 content the higher the microalgae concentration and the lower the cultivation time. The highest biomass concentration was equal to 3.7 g/L and was achieved by aeration with 3.0%v/v of CO₂ in 10 days. With the CO₂ contents of 1.5%v/v and of 0.5%v/v, the biomass concentration was about 2.3 g/L (cultivation time = 14 days) and about 1.9 g/L (cultivation time 18 days), respectively. The biomass concentration decreased when the recycled growth medium was used. After 6 days of cultivation, the reused medium caused saturation in cell growth for all the investigated CO₂ concentrations. Results in Figure 4 show that S. almeriensis productivity markedly increases as CO₂ concentrations is increased, and evidence that the productivity is higher by using fresh medium than recycled medium. These results agree with those found for continuous cultures of C. vulgaris, grown in a recycled medium (Hadj-Romdhane et al., 2012). The recovered medium might lead to an osmotic stress with adverse effects on biomass production and quality (Hadj-Romdhane et al., 2012). Rodolfi et al. (2003) reported that the cultivation of Nannochloropsis sp. in fed-batch mode with the recycled medium came to a halt when cell concentration reached about 3 g/L (growth time of 16 days). When the fresh medium was used, the biomass concentration increased above 5 g/L (growth time of 28 days). According to Rodolfi et al. (2003), Nannochloropsis sp. released soluble inhibitors and particulate organic matter in the supernatant that seemed to inhibit the cell growth, which could justify the finding of this study. Nevertheless, a statistical analysis (one-way ANOVA with $\alpha = 0.05$) on the outcomes shown in Figure 4 was performed and the results are reported in Table 2. Significant differences in

biomass productivity were observed between fresh and recycled growth media at 0.5% and 1.5% v/v CO_2 contents. No statistically significant differences were observed at 3% v/v CO_2 content.

Both in the presence of the fresh medium and of the recycled medium, the biomass productivity increases concomitantly with the CO_2 content, passing from about 100 to about 360 mg/L/day with the fresh medium, and from about 75 mg/L/day to about 340 mg/L/day with the recycled medium.

Dineshkumar et al. (2015a) reported that, by increasing CO₂ concentration from 0.8 to 2.5%, the photosynthesis efficiency improved, which may improve the biomass productivity of Chlorella minutissima MCC-27, while a further increase in CO₂ (>5%) negatively influenced the productivity of microalgae. The optimal value of CO₂ content, able to enhance the growth rate and the product accumulation in C. minutissima MCC- 27, of 3.5%v/v was found by the particle swarm optimization technique. However, it should be noted that the optimal CO₂ content for microalgae growth usually differs from species to species and depends on the culture medium and the growth conditions. It was found that Chlorella sp. and Nannochloropsis oculata exhibited an optimal growth with a CO₂ concentration of 2%v/v and the growth of microalgae was completely inhibited when the CO₂ was higher than 5%v/v (Chiu et al., 2008, 2009). Liu et al. (2019) found that the dynamics of biomass concentration, and the biomass productivity, was higher in Arthrospira platensis cultures aerated with a CO₂ content of 0.5%, while the growth decreased at lower or higher CO₂ contents than 0.5%v/v. When CO₂ contents were higher than 10%v/v, the algal cells only exhibited a slight increase at initial hours to days and finally bleached by the end of experiments. In summary, the optimum CO₂ level should be identified for each microalgae species to attain the highest biomass productivity (Santos et al., 2018).

CO₂ Content and Recycled Medium Effects on Nutrient Consumption

Microalgae growth requires an adequate amount of several nutrients. Among them, nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) are

TABLE 3 Initial and final nutrient concentration during the cultivation of *Scenedesmus almeriensis* cultures under batch mode at different cultivation conditions.

		Concentration (mg/L)										
	0.5%v/v CO ₂			1.5%v/v CO ₂			3.0%v/v CO ₂					
	Fresh r	nedium	Reused	medium	Fresh r	nedium	Reused	medium	Fresh r	nedium	Reused	medium
Nutrients	IC	FC	IC	FC	IC	FC	IC	FC	IC	FC	IC	FC
Mg ₂ +	90.25	56.26	80.21	68.88	115.22	58.88	88.41	72.10	111.06	50.55	90.56	70.78
SO4 ²⁻	435.21	355.76	440.12	400.56	406.28	378.61	410.58	398.25	448.87	400.25	425.21	411.72
Na ⁺	245.23	158.25	167.85	147.25	291.80	150.25	236.85	180.63	270.73	120.35	217.23	160.10
NO3-	520.38	9.85	333.97	10.52	722.34	10.70	356.25	70.58	630.89	0.00	426.60	11.77
Ca_2^+	81.46	57.89	85.07	65.86	101.45	28.74	66.25	45.15	94.18	23.59	81.79	47.10
CI-	147.85	92.20	136.55	119.95	183.84	144.30	160.58	152.32	182.53	157.25	125.25	123.67
K ⁺	35.81	22.65	35.22	30.83	42.41	24.12	38.98	33.52	39.42	20.79	41.03	34.35
PO4 ³⁻	17.81	0.00	23.65	0.00	52.79	0.00	22.52	0.00	40.18	0.00	25.49	0.00

Standard deviation was less than 5% in all operative conditions. Where- IC, initial concentration; FC, final concentration.





essential for the development of cells and their metabolic activity. They are usually used as buffer agents (Choi et al., 2017). In this study, initial and final concentrations of nutrients were measured (**Table 3**). The chemical analysis shows that the highest nutrient concentrations were found in the fresh medium. The initial concentration of nutrients was slightly different due to the varied nutrient concentration in the inoculum and filtrate.

Figure 5 shows nutrient consumption during the cultivation of *S. almeriensis* with fresh and reused growth medium in different CO_2 contents. N and P were highly consumed in all experimental conditions, as they are the main contributors to sustain microalgae growth (Lam et al., 2012; Choi et al., 2017).

At the end of the experiments $PO_4{}^{3-}$ was totally used up in every CO_2 concentration with both fresh and reused growth media, even if its initial concentration was varied in the range 17.81–52.79 mg/L (**Table 3**). This may suggest that $PO_4{}^{3-}$ is necessary for *S. almeriensis* growth, which confirms the results by Hadj-Romdhane et al. (2012). A similar consideration can be put forward for $NO_3{}^-$: 98–100% consumption rate with the fresh medium and a slightly lower rate (80–97%) with the recycled medium.

Results in **Table 3** indicate that the higher the CO_2 content the higher the consumption of nutrients, except for Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} . The consumption of Cl^- and of SO_4^{2-} was lower than



37 and 18%, respectively, with both the fresh and the recycled growth medium for all the investigated CO₂ contents, this results suggests that by growing *S. almeriensis* in the presence of CO₂ the biomass produced has a different composition and in particular a lower amount of Cl⁻ and SO₄²⁻. Similar results were obtained in tests of *Chlorella vulgaris* cultivation by growth medium recycling (Hadj-Romdhane et al., 2013). The consumption of Ca₂⁺, Cl⁻, K⁺, Mg₂⁺, Na⁺, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻ was reduced up to 40% using the recycled medium. Remarkably, during the growth with a CO₂ content of 0.5%v/v, the consumption of Cl⁻ was around 37 and 12% with the fresh and the reused growth

TABLE 4 Nutrient consumption at different CO ₂ contents with fresh and with
recycled growth medium: ANOVA (one-way; $\alpha = 0.05$) results.

		p-value				
	CO ₂ concentration (%v/v)					
Nutrients	0.5	1.5	3			
Mg ²⁺	0.000022	0.000022	0.000026			
SO ₄₂₋	0.000065	0.000035	0.000012			
Na ⁺	0.000019	0.000047	0.000041			
NO ₃₋	0.90	0.002564	0.37			
Ca ²⁺	0.002159	0.000033	0.000099			
CI-	0.000014	0.000008	0.000004			
K+	0.000016	0.000015	0.000018			

medium, respectively. During the growth with the recycled medium, an increase in osmolality can be found, leading to a decrease of the consumption of nutrients (Hadj-Romdhane et al., 2012). Therefore, the recycled growth medium could limit the growth of *S. almeriensis*, by decreasing the microalgae nutrient utilization.

Results of statistical analysis are reported in **Table 4**. Significant differences in nutrient consumption were observed at all CO_2 contents and for all nutrients, except NO_3^- , between fresh and recycled growth media. No statistically significant differences were observed in NO_3^- consumption at 0.5 and 3% v/v CO_2 contents.

CO₂ Content and Recycled Medium Effects on Extraction Yield and Lutein Production

The effects of CO_2 and of the recycled growth medium on the extraction yield and lutein content are shown in **Figure 6**. The higher the CO_2 concentration the higher the extraction yield and the lutein content, both with the fresh and with the recycled growth medium. However, with the recycled growth medium, slightly lower extraction yield and lutein content values were found with respect to the growth with the fresh one. The highest extraction yield (307.44 mg/g) and the highest lutein content (5.71 mg/g) were achieved with a CO_2 content of 3.0%v/v with the fresh growth medium.

TABLE 5 Extraction yield and lutein extraction at different CO2 contents with
fresh and with recycled growth medium: ANOVA (one-way; $\alpha = 0.05$) results.

	p-value			
CO ₂ concentration (%v/v)	Extraction yield	Lutein extraction		
0.5	0.0042	0.00010		
1.5	0.0151	0.00017		
3	0.0073	0.00013		

With the recycled growth medium, a 1.3-fold decrease in the extraction yield and a 2-fold decrease in the lutein content (maximum reductions) were measured, which might be attributed to the lower photosynthetic activity observed during the cultivation with the recovered growth medium (Hadj-Romdhane et al., 2012, 2013).

A slightly lower lutein content (5.56 mg/g) was obtained with 150 mg/L ammonium-N from *Desmodesmus* sp. F51 (Xie et al., 2017). Chen and Liu (2018) evaluated the effect of medium replacement during a two-stage cultivation process of *Chlorella sorokiniana* MB-1. The 60% replacement of the effluent from the first stage to the second stage with the fresh medium resulted in a lutein content below 3.5 mg/g, while the 80% replacement of the effluent showed a lutein concentration lower than 2.5 mg/g (Chen and Liu, 2018).

Results of statistical analysis are reported in **Table 5**. Significant differences in extraction yield and lutein extraction were observed between fresh and recycled growth media at all CO_2 contents.

The lutein content obtained in this study, equal to 5.71 mg/g, was lower than the value of 8.54 mg/g reported by Molino et al. (2019) who investigated the performance of the same microalgal species using a vertical bubble column photo-bioreactor with a volume to surface ratio (V/S) of 56.6 L/m². The different lutein content can be explained by the different photo-bioreactor configuration in the two research works: V/S ratio = 11.5 L/m^2 (this work) and = 56.6 L/m^2 (Molino et al., 2019). On the other hand, the value reported in this work is slightly higher than those reported in microalgae-based lutein studies using batch phototrophic conditions (Sánchez et al., 2008b; Ho et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014, 2017), while it is comparable with the values reported by Sánchez et al. (2008a,b) who studied S. almeriensis growth in a continuous mode. Ho et al. (2014) optimized the effect of light intensity founding that 75 μ mol/m²/s was the optimum choice to attain higher lutein contents with continuous aeration of 2.5%v/v CO2; the maximum lutein content of 5.52 mg/g from Scenedesmus obliquus FSP-3 was produced by supplying 8.0 mM calcium nitrate as the nitrogen source during cultivation (Ho et al., 2014). Dineshkumar et al. (2015a) cultivated Chlorella minutissima MCC-27 in a 2-L airlift photo-bioreactor and achieved a maximum lutein productivity of 3.45 mg/L/d in batch system. Moreover, lutein productivity of Chlorella minutissima MCC-27 could be further enhanced to 4.32 mg/L/d by optimization of the operative parameters such as light intensity, CO₂ concentration and gaseous flow rate (Dineshkumar et al., 2015a). These observations highlight the

TABLE 6 Lutein content: microalgae comparison (adapted from Molino et al.,	
2019).	

Microalgal	Lutein content	References
strain	(mg/g dry biomass)	
Chlorella sorokiniana	3.0	Cordero et al., 2011
Scenedesmus obliquus FSP-3	4.52	Ho et al., 2014
Chlorella zofingiensis	7.2	Del Campo et al., 2004
Scenedesmus almeriensis	5.5	Sánchez et al., 2008a
Scenedesmus almeriensis	5.3	Sánchez et al., 2008b
<i>Desmodesmu</i> s sp. F51	5.5	Xie et al., 2013
Coccomyxa onubensis	6.2	Vaquero et al., 2012
<i>Muriellopsis</i> sp.	4.3	Del Campo et al., 2007
Chlorella zofingiensis	3.4	Del Campo et al., 2007
Chlorella minutissima	6.37	Dineshkumar et al., 2015a
Chlorella minutissima MCC-27	6.05	Dineshkumar et al., 2015b
Scenedesmus almeriensis	8.54	Molino et al., 2019
Chlorella sorokiniana MB-1	3.5 (60% recycled medium)	Chen and Liu, 2018
	2.5 (80% recycled medium)	
Scenedesmus almeriensis	5.71 (fresh medium)	This study
	2.93 (recycled medium)	

importance of the photo-bioreactor configuration choice for the selected microalgae strain.

A comparison between the lutein content extracted in this study and the literature results is reported in the following table (**Table 6**):

CONCLUSION

The effects of the fresh and the recycled growth medium, at different CO_2 concentrations on the productivity and the concentration of *S. almeriensis*, and on the lutein production were investigated. The recycled medium was found to limit the growth of *S. almeriensis*, as a decreased amount of available nutrients was observed, which corresponded to a slight decrease in the biomass productivity and the lutein content. On the other hand, *S. almeriensis* resulted to be potentially effective for CO_2 bio-fixation with promising performance rates; in particular, the higher the CO_2 content the higher the biomass productivity, as well as the microalgae concentration and the lutein content. *S. almeriensis* could be an ideal candidate for the commercial production of lutein from microalgae and for bio-fixation of CO_2 at the same time.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DM and AM: conceptualization. SM, AI, and DM: data curation. AI: formal analysis. SM: investigation. AI, PC, DK, and SC: methodology. SM and TM: writing – original draft. SM: writing – review draft. AM: project administration and resources. DM and AM: supervision.

REFERENCES

- Almomani, F. A., and Örmeci, B. (2018). Monitoring and measurement of microalgae using the first derivative of absorbance and comparison with chlorophyll extraction method. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 190:90. doi: 10.1007/ s10661-018-6468-y
- Barceló-Villalobos, M., Serrano, C. G., Zurano, A. S., García, L. A., Maldonado, S. E., Peña, J., et al. (2019). Variations of culture parameters in a pilot-scale thinlayer reactor and their influence on the performance of *Scenedesmus almeriensis* culture. *Bioresour. Technol. Rep.* 6, 190–197. doi: 10.1016/j.biteb.2019. 03.007
- Bowen, P. E., Herbst-Espinosa, S. M., Hussain, E. A., and Stacewicz-Sapuntzakis, M. (2018). Esterification does not impair lutein bioavailability in humans. *J. Nutr.* 132, 3668–3673. doi: 10.1093/jn/132.12.3668
- Cerón, M. C., Campos, I., Sánchez, J. F., Acién, F. G., Molina, E., and Fernández-Sevilla, J. M. (2008). Recovery of lutein from microalgae biomass: development of a process for *Scenedesmus almeriensis* biomass. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 11761–11766. doi: 10.1021/jf8025875
- Chen, C. Y., Ho, S. H., Liu, C. C., and Chang, J. S. (2017). Enhancing lutein production with *Chlorella sorokiniana* Mb-1 by optimizing acetate and nitrate concentrations under mixotrophic growth. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 79, 88–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jtice.2017.04.020
- Chen, C. Y., and Liu, C. C. (2018). Optimization of lutein production with a two-stage mixotrophic cultivation system with *Chlorella sorokiniana* MB-1. *Bioresour. Technol.* 262, 74–79. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018. 04.024
- Cheng, J., Li, K., Yang, Z., Zhou, J., and Cen, K. (2016). Enhancing the growth rate and astaxanthin yield of *Haematococcus pluvialis* by nuclear irradiation and high concentration of carbon dioxide stress. *Bioresour. Technol.* 204, 49–54. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.076
- Chirivella-Martorell, J., Briz-Redón, Á, and Serrano-Aroca, Á (2018). Modelling of biomass concentration, multi-wavelength absorption and discrimination method for seven important marine microalgae species. *Energies* 11:1089. doi: 10.3390/en11051089
- Chiu, S. Y., Kao, C. Y., Chen, C. H., Kuan, T. C., Ong, S. C., and Lin, C. S. (2008). Reduction of CO2 by a high-density culture of *Chlorella* sp. in a semicontinuous photobioreactor. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 3389–3396. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech. 2007.08.013
- Chiu, S.-Y., Kao, C.-Y., Tsai, M.-T., Ong, S.-C., Chen, C.-H., and Lin, C.-S. (2009). Lipid accumulation and CO2 utilization of *Nannochloropsis oculata* in response to CO2 aeration. *Bioresour. Technol.* 100, 833–838. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008. 06.061
- Choi, Y. Y., Joun, J. M., Lee, J., Hong, M. E., Pham, H. M., Chang, W. S., et al. (2017). Development of large-scale and economic pH control system for outdoor cultivation of microalgae *Haematococcus pluvialis* using industrial flue gas. *Bioresour. Technol.* 244, 1235–1244. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.05.147
- Christian, D., Zhang, J., Sawdon, A. J., and Peng, C. A. (2018). Enhanced astaxanthin accumulation in *Haematococcus pluvialis* using high carbon dioxide concentration and light illumination. *Bioresour. Technol.* 256, 548–551. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.074

FUNDING

This research was funded by a Bio Based Industries Joint Undertaking under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant agreement no. 745695 (VALUEMAG).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00415/ full#supplementary-material

- Coleman, H., and Chew, E. (2007). Nutritional supplementation in age-related macular degeneration. *Curr. Opin. Ophthalmol.* 18, 220–223. doi: 10.1097/ICU. 0b013e32814a586b
- Cordero, B. F., Obraztsova, I., Couso, I., Leon, R., Vargas, M. A., and Rodriguez, H. (2011). Enhancement of lutein production in *Chlorella sorokiniana* (chorophyta) by improvement of culture conditions and random mutagenesis. *Mar. Drugs* 9, 1607–1624. doi: 10.3390/md9091607
- Cui, H., Yang, Z., Lu, Z., Wang, Q., Liu, J., and Song, L. (2019). Combination of utilization of CO2 from flue gas of biomass power plant and medium recycling to enhance cost-effective Spirulina production. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 31, 2175–2185. doi: 10.1007/s10811-019-1736-y
- da Rosa, G. M., Moraes, L., Cardias, B. B., Souza, M., de Souze, R. A. Z., and Costa, J. A. V. (2015). Chemical absorption and CO2 biofixation via the cultivation of *Spirulina* in semicontinuous mode with nutrient recycle. *Bioresour. Technol.* 192, 321–327. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.020
- de Assis, T. C., Calijuri, M. L., Assemany, P. P., de PaulaPereira, A. S. A., and Martins, M. A. (2019). Using atmospheric emissions as CO2 source in the cultivation of microalgae: productivity and economic viability. J. Clean. Prod. 215, 1160–1169. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.093
- Del Campo, J. A., García-González, M., and Guerrero, M. G. (2007). Outdoor cultivation of microalgae for carotenoid production: current state and perspectives. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 74, 1163–1174. doi: 10.1007/s00253-007-0844-9
- Del Campo, J. A., Rodríguez, H., Moreno, J., Vargas, M. A., Rivas, J., and Guerrero, M. G. (2001). Lutein production by *Muriellopsis* sp. in an outdoor tubular photobioreactor. J. Biotechnol. 85, 289–295. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1656(00) 00380-1
- Del Campo, J. A., Rodríguez, H., Moreno, J., Vargas, M. Á, Rivas, J., and Guerrero, M. G. (2004). Accumulation of astaxanthin and lutein in *Chlorella zofingiensis* (Chlorophyta). *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 64, 848–854. doi: 10.1007/s00253-003-1510-5
- Dineshkumar, R., Dash, S. K., and Sen, R. (2015a). Process integration for microalgal lutein and biodiesel production with concomitant flue gas CO2 sequestration: a biorefinery model for healthcare, energy and environment. RSC Adv. 5, 73381–73394. doi: 10.1039/c5ra09306f
- Dineshkumar, R., Dhanarajan, G., Dash, S. K., and Sen, R. (2015b). An advanced hybrid medium optimization strategy for the enhanced productivity of lutein in *Chlorella minutissima. Algal Res.* 7, 24–32. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2014.11.010
- Fernández-Sevilla, J. M., Acién Fernández, F. G., and Molina Grima, E. (2010). Biotechnological production of lutein and its applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 86, 27–40. doi: 10.1007/s00253-009-2420-y
- Fret, J., Roef, L., Blust, R., Diels, L., Tavernier, S., Vyverman, W., et al. (2017). Reuse of rejuvenated media during laboratory and pilot scale cultivation of *Nannochloropsis* sp. *Algal Res.* 27, 265–273. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2017.09.018
- Hadj-Romdhane, F., Jaouen, P., Pruvost, J., Grizeau, D., Van Vooren, G., and Bourseau, P. (2012). Development and validation of a minimal growth medium for recycling *Chlorella vulgaris* culture. *Bioresour. Technol.* 123, 366–374. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.07.085
- Hadj-Romdhane, F., Zheng, X., Jaouen, P., Pruvost, J., Grizeau, D., Croué, J. P., et al. (2013). The culture of *Chlorella vulgaris* in a recycled supernatant: effects

on biomass production and medium quality. *Bioresour. Technol.* 132, 285–292. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.01.025

- Ho, S. H., Chan, M. C., Liu, C. C., Chen, C. Y., Lee, W. L., Lee, D. J., et al. (2014). Enhancing lutein productivity of an indigenous microalga *Scenedesmus obliquus* FSP-3 using light-related strategies. *Bioresour. Technol.* 152, 275–282. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.11.031
- Lam, M. K., Lee, K. T., and Mohamed, A. R. (2012). Current status and challenges on microalgae-based carbon capture. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 10, 456–469. doi: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.07.010
- Lin, J. H., Lee, D. J., and Chang, J. S. (2015a). Lutein in specific marigold flowers and microalgae. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng. 49, 90–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jtice.2014. 11.031
- Lin, J. H., Lee, D. J., and Chang, J. S. (2015b). Lutein production from biomass: marigold flowers versus microalgae. *Bioresour. Technol.* 184, 421–428. doi: 10. 1016/j.biortech.2014.09.099
- Liu, W., Wang, J., and Liu, T. (2019). Low pH rather than high CO 2 concentration itself inhibits growth of Arthrospira. *Sci. Total Environ.* 666, 572–580. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.312
- Livansky, K., Dedic, K., Binova, J., Tichy, V., Novotny, P., and Doucha, J. (1996). Influence of the nutrient solution recycling on the productivity of *Scenedesmus obliquus*, utilization of nutrients and water in outdoor cultures. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 114, 105–113. doi: 10.1127/algol_stud/81/1996/105
- Lu, L., Yang, G., Zhu, B., and Pan, K. (2017). A comparative study on three quantitating methods of microalgal biomass. *Indian J. Geo Mar. Sci.* 46, 2265– 2272.
- Mann, J. E., and Myers, J. (1968). On pigments, growth, and photosynthesis of phaeodactylum tricornutum 2. J. Phycol. 4, 349–355. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-8817. 1968.tb04707.x
- Mehariya, S., Iovine, A., Di Sanzo, G., Larocca, V., Martino, M., Leone, G., et al. (2019). Supercritical fluid extraction of lutein from *Scenedesmus almeriensis*. *Molecules* 24:1324. doi: 10.3390/molecules24071324
- Moheimani, N. R. (2016). Tetraselmis suecica culture for CO2 bioremediation of untreated flue gas from a coal-fired power station. J. Appl. Phycol. 28, 2139–2146. doi: 10.1007/s10811-015-0782-3
- Molino, A., Iovine, A., Casella, P., Mehariya, S., Chianese, S., Cerbone, A., et al. (2018a). Microalgae characterization for consolidated and new application in human food, animal feed and nutraceuticals. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 15:2436. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15112436
- Molino, A., Mehariya, S., Iovine, A., Larocca, V., Di Sanzo, G., Martino, M., et al. (2018b). Extraction of astaxanthin and lutein from microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis* in the red phase using CO2 supercritical fluid extraction technology with ethanol as co-solvent. *Mar. Drugs* 16:432. doi: 10.3390/md16110432
- Molino, A., Rimauro, J., Casella, P., Cerbone, A., Larocca, V., Chianese, S., et al. (2018c). Extraction of astaxanthin from microalga *Haematococcus pluvialis* in red phase by using generally recognized as safe solvents and accelerated extraction. J. Biotechnol. 283, 51–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.07.010
- Molino, A., Mehariya, S., Karatza, D., Chianese, S., Iovine, A., Casella, P., et al. (2019). Bench-scale cultivation of microalgae *Scenedesmus almeriensis* for CO2 capture and lutein production. *Energies* 12:2806. doi: 10.3390/en12142806
- Patel, A. K., Joun, J. M., Hong, M. E., and Sim, S. J. (2019). Effect of light conditions on mixotrophic cultivation of green microalgae. *Bioresour. Technol.* 282, 245–253. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.03.024
- Rodolfi, L., Zittelli, G. C., Barsanti, L., Rosati, G., and Tredici, M. R. (2003). Growth medium recycling in *Nannochloropsis* sp. mass cultivation. *Biomol. Eng.* 20, 243–248. doi: 10.1016/s1389-0344(03)00063-7
- Ruban, A. V., Berera, R., Ilioaia, C., Van Stokkum, I. H. M., Kennis, J. T. M., Pascal, A. A., et al. (2007). Identification of a mechanism of photoprotective energy dissipation in higher plants. *Nature* 450, 575–578. doi: 10.1038/nature06262

- Salih, F. M. (2011). Microalgae tolerance to high concentrations of carbon dioxide: a review. J. Environ. Prot. 02, 648–654. doi: 10.4236/jep.2011.25074
- Sánchez, J. F., Fernández-Sevilla, J. M., Acién, F. G., Cerón, M. C., Pérez-Parra, J., and Molina-Grima, E. (2008a). Biomass and lutein productivity of *Scenedesmus almeriensis*: influence of irradiance, dilution rate and temperature. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 79, 719–729. doi: 10.1007/s00253-008-1494-2
- Sánchez, J. F., Fernández, J. M., Acién, F. G., Rueda, A., Pérez-Parra, J., and Molina, E. (2008b). Influence of culture conditions on the productivity and lutein content of the new strain Scenedesmus almeriensis. *Process Biochem.* 43, 398–405. doi: 10.1016/j.procbio.2008.01.004
- Santos, L. O., De, M. G., Grima, E. M., Alberto, J., Costa, V., and Aci, F. G. (2018). Engineering strategies for the enhancement of *Nannochloropsis gaditana* outdoor production: influence of the CO2 flow rate on the culture performance in tubular photobioreactors. *Process Biochem.* 76, 171–177. doi: 10.1016/j. procbio.2018.10.010
- Sanzo, G., Mehariya, S., Martino, M., Larocca, V., Casella, P., Chianese, S., et al. (2018). Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of astaxanthin, lutein, and fatty acids from *Haematococcus pluvialis* microalgae. *Mar. Drugs* 16:334. doi: 10. 3390/md16090334
- Utomo, R. P., Chang, Y. R., Lee, D. J., and Chang, J. S. (2013). Lutein recovery from *Chlorella* sp. *ESP*-6 with coagulants. *Bioresour. Technol.* 139, 176–180. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.04.025
- Vaquero, I., Ruiz-Domínguez, M. C., Márquez, M., and Vílchez, C. (2012). Cumediated biomass productivity enhancement and lutein enrichment of the novel microalga *Coccomyxa onubensis*. *Process Biochem.* 47, 694–700. doi: 10. 1016/j.procbio.2012.01.016
- Vechpanich, J., and Shotipruk, A. (2011). Recovery of free lutein from tagetes erecta: determination of suitable saponification and crystallization conditions. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 46, 265–271. doi: 10.1080/01496395.2010.506904
- Xie, Y., Ho, S. H., Chen, C. N. N., Chen, C. Y., Ng, I. S., Jing, K. J., et al. (2013). Phototrophic cultivation of a thermo-tolerant *Desmodesmus* sp. for lutein production: effects of nitrate concentration, light intensity and fed-batch operation. *Bioresour. Technol.* 144, 435–444. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.06. 064
- Xie, Y., Zhao, X., Chen, J., Yang, X., Ho, S. H., Wang, B., et al. (2017). Enhancing cell growth and lutein productivity of *Desmodesmus* sp. F51 by optimal utilization of inorganic carbon sources and ammonium salt. *Bioresour. Technol.* 244, 664–671. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.022
- Xie, Y. P., Ho, S. H., Chen, C. Y., Chen, C. N. N., Liu, C. C., Ng, I. S., et al. (2014). Simultaneous enhancement of CO 2 fixation and lutein production with thermo-tolerant *Desmodesmus* sp. F51 using a repeated fedbatch cultivation strategy. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 86, 33–40. doi: 10.1016/j.bej.2014. 02.015
- Yen, H. W., Hu, I. C., Chen, C. Y., Ho, S. H., Lee, D. J., and Chang, J. S. (2013). Microalgae-based biorefinery - From biofuels to natural products. *Bioresour. Technol.* 135, 166–174. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.099

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Molino, Mehariya, Iovine, Casella, Marino, Karatza, Chianese and Musmarra. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.