



Reprogramming of Cell Fate During Root Regeneration by Transcriptional and Epigenetic Networks

Tingting Jing^{1,2†}, Rhomi Ardiansyah^{1,3†}, Qijiang Xu^{1,2}, Qian Xing^{1,2*} and Ralf Müller-Xing^{1,3*}

¹ Key Laboratory of Saline-Alkali Vegetation Ecology Restoration (Northeast Forestry University), Ministry of Education, Harbin, China, ² Institute of Development, College of Life Science, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, China, ³ Institute of Genetics, College of Life Science, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Mary Byrne, The University of Sydney, Australia

Reviewed by:

Kalika Prasad, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, India Uwe Druege, University of Applied Sciences Erfurt, Germany

*Correspondence:

Qian Xing Q.Xing@nefu.edu.cn Ralf Müller-Xing Ralf.Mueller@hhu.de; Ralf.Muellerxing@nefu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Development and EvoDevo, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 30 October 2019 Accepted: 04 March 2020 Published: 25 March 2020

Citation:

Jing T, Ardiansyah R, Xu Q, Xing Q and Müller-Xing R (2020) Reprogramming of Cell Fate During Root Regeneration by Transcriptional and Epigenetic Networks. Front. Plant Sci. 11:317. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00317 Many plant species are able to regenerate adventitious roots either directly from aerial organs such as leaves or stems, in particularly after detachment (cutting), or indirectly, from over-proliferating tissue termed callus. In agriculture, this capacity of de novo root formation from cuttings can be used to clonally propagate several important crop plants including cassava, potato, sugar cane, banana and various fruit or timber trees. Direct and indirect de novo root regeneration (DNRR) originates from pluripotent cells of the pericycle tissue, from other root-competent cells or from non-root-competent cells that first dedifferentiate. Independently of their origin, the cells convert into root founder cells, which go through proliferation and differentiation subsequently forming functional root meristems, root primordia and the complete root. Recent studies in the model plants Arabidopsis thaliana and rice have identified several key regulators building in response to the phytohormone auxin transcriptional networks that are involved in both callus formation and DNRR. In both cases, epigenetic regulation seems essential for the dynamic reprogramming of cell fate, which is correlated with local and global changes of the chromatin states that might ensure the correct spatiotemporal expression pattern of the key regulators. Future approaches might investigate in greater detail whether and how the transcriptional key regulators and the writers, erasers, and readers of epigenetic modifications interact to control DNRR.

Keywords: root regeneration, adventitious roots, DNRR, callus, pluripotency, transcriptional networks, epigenetics

INTRODUCTION

During embryogenesis of higher plants, the shoot apical meristem (SAM) and the root apical meristem (RAM) are established at the opposite poles of the central axis. It is assumed that all other meristems derive from these two types of embryonic meristems, although all secondary SAMs or RAMs arise from partially differentiated cells, which need to go through reprogramming to become meristematic cells again. Root branching is based on these *de novo* RAMs that give rise to extensive root networks enabling plants to gain a stable hold in the ground, explore the soil and facilitate the uptake of water and mineral nutrients (Casimiro et al., 2003; Gonzali et al., 2005). Although the root system is genetically determined, they display a high plasticity in response to environmental variables such as water availability, nutrient levels, physical barriers or damage (Al-Ghazi et al., 2003; Sena and Birnbaum, 2010; Sugimoto et al., 2010; van Norman et al., 2013).

In dicotyledonous plants, such as Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis), the primary root grows as a thick central taproot (Bellini et al., 2014). Lateral roots (LRs) emerge postembryonically and derive from pericycle cells close to the xylem pole cells of the primary root (De Smet et al., 2006); nonetheless, the morphology of primary root and LRs is basically identical (Birnbaum, 2016). Furthermore, adventitious roots (ARs) can be formed directly from various aerial organs (Fattorini et al., 2018) or indirectly from callus (Verstraeten et al., 2014). Depending on the status of the AR source cells, they can be directly fate-converted to AR root founder cells by a rootinducing signal or they first have to acquire root competence involving dedifferentiation (Druege et al., 2019). The natural ability of plants to regenerate is widely used in tissue culture, modern horticulture, and agriculture (Sussex, 2008; Druege and Franken, 2019). In rice and other cereals, ARs represent the main components of the root system as the primary root originating from the embryonic RAM is short-lived. During post-embryonic development, shoot-borne ARs form from nodes of the stem (Kawata et al., 1963; Liu et al., 2005). Some researchers prefer the term shoot-borne crown roots for these type of ARs, because they are part of the normal developmental program of cereals (Hochholdinger et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2009). Nevertheless, ARs are also a common part of the regular root system of Arabidopsis under natural growth conditions in soil (Sheng et al., 2017). In recent years, research on plant root systems has made significant progress not only on natural root development but also on de novo root regeneration (DNRR). Besides classical DNRR research, which deals with the origin of ARs, some root regeneration studies have focused on reestablishment of the main RAM after pruning the root meristem tip (Efroni et al., 2016) or the replacement of single cells (Marhava et al., 2019). Here, we will give an overview of root regeneration systems and describe how recent breakthroughs in the model plants Arabidopsis and other plant species have changed our view of the molecular basis of cell fate reprogramming during DNRR focusing on transcriptional and epigenetic gene regulation. Furthermore, we will provide a short summary of the role of phytohormone signaling in DNRR, but the reviews of Lakehal and Bellini (2019) and Druege et al. (2019) provide a more comprehensive view on hormonal crosstalk and hormone-metabolic interactions in excision-induced AR formation.

The Role of Auxin in DNRR Systems

Adventitious shoots and roots, derived from isolated or injured tissues and organs, provide an important survival strategy for plants in natural conditions (Duclercq et al., 2011; Sugimoto et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2014). In 1957, Skoog and Miller made the breakthrough discovery of experimentally induced phytohormone-dependent de novo regeneration of shoot and roots (Skoog and Miller, 1957). This gave rise to tissue culture methods which are still in use today in agriculture, industry and research (Duclercq et al., 2011). Hence, we can distinguish two types of *de novo* shoot and root regeneration, one under tissue culture conditions and the other in natural surrounding (Chen et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2017). In tissue culture, isolated plant tissues or organs named explants are cultured on nutrient-rich media containing an appropriate ratio of the phytohormones auxin and cytokinin, which can promote root or shoot formation in a controlled manner (Skoog and Miller, 1957). Under natural conditions, isolated organs can produce adventitious shoots and roots, and in some cases form whole plants: for example, some species from the Crassulaceae family are able to regenerate shoots and roots from leaves placed on soil (Chen et al., 2014; Xu and Huang, 2014; Ikeuchi et al., 2016). Nevertheless, endogenous hormones are crucial to induce adventitious shoot and root formation under natural conditions, for example in petunia, polar auxin transport and early IAA accumulation are essential for AR formation (Ahkami et al., 2013; Xu and Huang, 2014).

Auxin plays an important role in root growth and development, especially in LR and AR initiation (Klerk et al., 1999; Overvoorde et al., 2010; Lavenus et al., 2013; Bellini et al., 2014). ARs initiate near the wounding site of detached organs, which likely depends on auxin accumulation in the area (Liu et al., 2014). ARs can form from young *Arabidopsis* leaves without application of exogenous auxin, whereas exogenous auxin can increase the chance of root regeneration from older leaves that have decreased levels of endogenous auxin (Shoji et al., 1951; Chen et al., 2014). In addition, DNRR from most trees and other hard-to-root plants requires the application of exogenous auxin to induce ARs (Klerk et al., 1999; Díaz-Sala, 2014). The earliest studies on DNRR were carried out by Zimmerman and Hitchcock (1935) using aerial roots of grapes (*Vitis* sp.). These did not develop LRs until touching the soil,

Abbreviations: ABA, Abscisic acid; ALF4, ABERRANT LATERAL ROOT FORMATION4; ARFs/7/10/16/19, AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORs/7/10/16/19; ALR1, ARG1-Like1; ARP, adventitious root primordia; AR, adventitious root; ASA1, ANTHRANILATE SYNTHASEα1; AtGCN5, Arabidopsis thaliana GENERAL CONTROL NON-REPRESSED5; ATX1/2, ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA TRITHORAX1/2; ATXR3, ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA TRITHORAX-RELATED3; Aux, Auxin; AuxREs, auxin response elements; BR, Brassinosteroid; CEP, Cys endopeptidase; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; CIM, Callusinducing medium; CK, Cytokinin; CLF, CURLY LEAF; COI1, CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1; DAPA, DNA-affinity precipitation assay; DNRR, De Novo Root Regeneration; EF, epigenetic factor; EMF2, EMBRYONIC FLOWER2; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; ERF109/115, ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR109/115; ET, Ethylene; GA, Gibberellin; H3K27me3, tri-methylation on Lys27 of histone H3; HAG1, HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE OF THE GNAT FAMILY1; HMT, histone methyltransferase; IAA, indoleacetic acid; IAA14, INDOLE-ACETIC ACID14; IAMT1, IAA CARBOXYL METHYLTRANSFERASE1; IBA, indolebutyric acid; IPA, indole-3-pyruvic

acid; JA, Jasmonic acid; JA-Ile, jasmonoyl-isoleucine; JAZ, JASMONATE ZIMdomain; JMJ705, JUMONJI705; Kac, (histone) lysine acetylation; LBDs/16/19, LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAINs/16/19; LR, lateral root; LRP, lateral root primordia; MEA, MEDEA; NAA, alpha-naphthaleneacetic acid; NAC1, NAM/ATAF/CUC domain1; NIT2, NITRILASE2; Os, Oryza sativa; PcG, Polycomb Group; PINs/1/2/3/5/7, PIN-FORMEDs/1/2/3/5/7; PKL, PICKLE; PKR, PICKLE RELATED; PLT1/2/3/5/7, PLETHORA1/2/3/5/7; PRC2, Polycomb Repressive Complex2; QC, quiescent center; RAM, root apical meristem; REF6, RELATIVE OF EARLY FLOWERING6; RIM, root-inducing medium; SAM, shoot apical meristem; SAW1/2, SAWTOOTH1/2; SCR, SCARECROW; SDG2, SET-DOMAIN GROUP2; SHR, SHORT ROOT; SIM, shoot inducing medium; SL, Strigolactone; SLR, SOLITARY ROOT; SWN, SWINGER; TAA1, TRYPTOPHAN AMINOTRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS1; tar2-2, tryptophan aminotransferase related2-2; TCP, teosinte-branched cycloidea PCNA; TF, transcription factor; TIR1, TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESISTANT1; Trp, Tryptophan; TrxG, Trithorax Group; wei8-1, weak ethylene insensitive8-1; WOX5/7/11/12, WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX5/7/11/12; WUS, WUSCHEL; YUCs, YUCCAs/1/2/4/6.

however, several new roots occurred if the aerial roots were cut and placed in solutions containing "root-forming" substances (Zimmerman and Hitchcock, 1935). In the same year several growth substances were tested for their ability to promote ARs and alpha-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA) and indolebutyric acid (IBA) were found to be the most effective root-forming substances (Zimmerman and Wilcoxon, 1935). Exogenous application of the natural auxin indole-acetic acid (IAA) can induce a large number of roots from tomato leaf explants (Coleman et al., 1980). In vitro, a supply of exogenous sucrose also supports root regeneration by providing carbohydrate for plant growth (Calamar and de Klerk, 2002) and leaf explants fail to induce roots when growing on media in the dark without sucrose (Chen et al., 2014). A low concentration of sugar promotes LR initiation, while a high concentration of sugar inhibits LR initiation (Malamy and Ryan, 2001).

In tissue culture, the ratio of auxin to cytokinin can influence de novo organogenesis in nutrient-rich growth media. Callusinducing medium (CIM) has a balanced ratio of auxin to cytokinin. The transfer of the callus to root-inducing medium (RIM) with a high ratio of auxin-to-cytokinin induces root regeneration, whereas transfer to media with a low ratio induces shoot regeneration (Skoog and Miller, 1957; Valvekens et al., 1988). Recently, it was shown that the transfer from CIM with high auxin levels to B5 medium without auxin triggers AR formation as well (Yu et al., 2017). Callus, which derives from pericycle or related cells in the vascular tissue, depends on several key transcriptional regulators, which are also involved in LR and AR formation (Che et al., 2007; Atta et al., 2009; Sugimoto et al., 2010; Kareem et al., 2015; Ikeuchi et al., 2016). Root regeneration from callus might be one of the simplest case of cell fate reprogramming in plants since callus itself has an identity resembling that of lateral root primordia (LRP) (Sugimoto et al., 2010). Interestingly, the induction of so-called endogenous callus, which is presumed to be the first required step for DNRR from leaf explants, can be achieved without the application of exogenous auxin (Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018). Recently, AR formation from Arabidopsis leaf explants was intensively studied and can be divided into three phases: (I) early after wounding, signaling pathways trigger (II) auxin production in so-called converter cells (0-4 hours after leaf explant detachment [HAD]), followed by auxin accumulation in the region of AR formation by directed auxin transport (around 12 HAD) and (III) fate transition from regeneration-competent cells into fully formed ARs (Xu, 2018). The fate transition phase can be subdivided into four steps: During the "priming" step (24-48 HAD), regeneration-competent cells became root founder cells by cell fate transition; in the "initiation" step, root founder cells start to divide to form a dome-shaped LRP (48-96 HAD); during the "patterning" step, continuous cell division and differentiation generate a well-organized RAM, whereas in the "emergence" step the new formed AR breaks through the epidermis of the leaf explant (Figure 1; Yu et al., 2017; Xu, 2018).

Root regeneration from detached organs relies on neobiosynthesis of endogenous auxin, which is partly induced by wounding and/or the auxin accumulation resulting from the cutting off of the basal auxin drain (Cai et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Chen X. et al., 2016; Druege et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Near the wounding sites, auxin level can increase rapidly in mesophyll cells, and then polar transport results in auxin accumulating in competent cells of procambium and vascular parenchyma to trigger cell fate transition (Liu et al., 2014; Chen L. et al., 2016). Loss of function of auxin influx carriers (AUX1 and LAX3) and auxin efflux carriers (ABCB19) reduces the regenerative potential of hypocotyl and leaf explants, demonstrating the importance of auxin transport for DNRR (Sukumar et al., 2013; Della Rovere et al., 2015; Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018). One of the main endogenous auxin biosynthesis pathways is conducted in two steps (Figure 1): first, the TRYPTOPHAN AMINOTRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS (TAA) family of aminotransferases converts the main precursor for IAA, tryptophan (Trp) to indole-3-pyruvic acid (IPA). Then, the YUCCA (YUC) family of flavin monooxygenases participate in the conversion of IPA to IAA (Mashiguchi et al., 2011; Won et al., 2011). During DNRR, the expression of YUC1 and YUC4 is up-regulated in response to wounding, which promotes auxin production in both mesophyll cells and competent cells resulting in cell fate transition (Table 1; Chen L. et al., 2016). The TAA1 mutation weak ethylene insensitive 8-1 (wei8-1) causes defects in AR formation, whereas the double mutant of wei8-1 tar2-2 (tryptophan aminotransferase related 2-2) was mostly unable to regenerate AR from leaf explants (Sun et al., 2016). Similarly, double mutations in YUC1/YUC4 and YUC2/YUC6 can partially block the rooting of leaf explants, while in yuc1246 quadruple mutants, rooting was severely blocked (Chen L. et al., 2016). In rice, OsYUC1 overexpression causes massive proliferation of ARs or crown roots, respectively, whereas loss of OsTAA1 reduces AR development (Zhang et al., 2018) confirming the central role of TAA and YUC mediated auxin biogenesis for AR formation that seems conserved between monocots and dicots.

Regulation of DNRR by Other Phytohormones

Beside the master player auxin, other phytohormones promote or antagonize DNRR: Cytokinin (CK), strigolactone (SL), and abscisic acid (ABA) suppress auxin production and/or AR formation whereas brassinosteroid (BR) and ethylene (ET) have positive effects (Table 1; Su and Zhang, 2014; Druege et al., 2019; Lakehal and Bellini, 2019). Although older studies suggest that gibberellin (GA) treatment inhibits AR formation (Busov et al., 2006; Mauriat et al., 2014), loss of components of GA synthesis (GA1 and GA5, GA REQUIRING) or GA signaling (GAI, GIBBERELLIC ACID INSENSITIVE) causes defective vascular proliferation and consequently delayed AR formation in leaf explants indicating a positive role for GA in DNRR (Ibáñez et al., 2019). The stress phytohormone jasmonic acid (JA) and its biologically active form jasmonoyl-isoleucine (JA-Ile) are derivates of the lipid α -linolenic acid (Huang et al., 2017). After wounding, JA and JA-Ile levels increase rapidly in local and undamaged distal plant tissue (Glauser et al., 2008). Until recently, it was a matter of dispute whether JA facilitates or inhibits DNRR (Lakehal and Bellini, 2019). Ahkami et al. (2009) suggested that JA is a positive regulator of AR formation since



it rapidly accumulates at the wounding site before ARs emerge in petunia leafy stem explants. On the other hand, continuous JA treatment inhibits AR formation whereas it promotes LR formation (Sun et al., 2009; Lischweski et al., 2015). The latter is partially inhibited in *yuc* mutants indicating that JA triggered LR formation is dependent on auxin synthesis (Sun et al., 2009; Cai et al., 2014). Reviewing the experimental details of diverse studies on the role of JA in adventitious rooting and considering relations to auxin homeostasis and signaling, Druege et al. (2019) recently provided a coherent explanation for the different findings and postulated that early, particularly wound-induced, JA accumulation stimulates AR formation in cuttings via IAA accumulation in the stem base and/or canalization toward AR source cells, while induction of invertases as molecular drivers of sink activity may be further involved. According to these theories, it was recently shown by Zhang et al. (2019) that in detached leaf explants, JA concentration and expression of JA response genes rise very quickly, reach a maxima after 1 hour and then decline in Arabidopsis leaf explants whereas auxin synthesis and other auxin-related genes reach their expression maxima one hour later (2 h after leaf detachment) indicating that JA signaling,

triggered by wounding, precedes auxin signaling dependent cell fate reprogramming during DNRR. Similarly, ET biosynthesis is also triggered by wounding and stimulates AR formation at the stem base of cuttings in petunia, whereas auxin controls the timing of different phases of DNRR (Druege et al., 2014). There is indication in the literature that the ET signal is important for the early reprogramming of the AR source cells and may act via enhanced auxin level and/or sensitivity (Druege et al., 2019; Lakehal and Bellini, 2019).

Key Transcriptional Regulators of DNRR

At the onset of DNRR in excised *Arabidopsis* leaves, wounding triggers the immediate accumulation of JA which activates the expression of *ERF109* (*ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR109*) encoding a transcription factor (TF) (**Figure 1** and **Table 1**; Cai et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). Loss-of-function mutants of *ERF109* or the JA receptor COI1 (CORONATINE INSENSITIVE1) display defective rooting from leaf explants (Zhang et al., 2019). ERF109 upregulates directly *ANTHRANILATE SYNTHASE* α 1 (*ASA1*) – a rate-limiting enzyme in tryptophan (Trp) biosynthesis – and *YUC2*

Genes	PR	LR	Callus
ABCB19 (MDR1)	٠	•Δ	
ALF4	•	•Δ	Δ
ARF7,19	•	•Δ	Δ
ASA1	∙nd	∙ne∆	
AUX1, LAX3	•	•Δ	
COI1	•(A)	•	

LRs, double mutants fail to produce any LRs, whereas DNRR is normal but callus formation is reduced, Exp in the root vasculature, LRPs and developing LRs (Okushima et al., 2005; 2007; Liu et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2017) Δ IAA Syn LFM have less DNRR (Zhang et al., 2019), less LRs in response to JA (Sun et al., 2009); Directly activated by ERF109 (Cai et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019) •Δ IAA Tra LFM have less LRs and detached mutant hypocotyls show a reduced rooting capacity for ARs, Exp in PR, LRs and ARP (Marchant et al., 2002; Swarup et al., 2008; Della Rovere et al., 2013; 2015; Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018) JA Sig JA receptor, LFM causes reduced DNRR (Zhang et al., 2019) and LR •(**∆**) Δ Δ formation in response to JA (Raya-González et al., 2012); Exp in RAM, LFM are insensitive to root growth inhibition by JA (Chen et al., 2011) TF JA induced after leaf detachment, LFM have reduced DNRR (Zhang et al., nd •Δ •Δ 2019); LFM have less LRs, ativates ASA1 and YUC2 (Cai et al., 2014) ? ? TF •Δ •Δ (Δ) Induced by JA, IAA and ERF109 in protoxylem and QC cells, involved in root cell regeneration (Zhou et al., 2019); ERF115-SRDX blocks callus formation (Ikeuchi et al., 2017); controls QC cell devision (Heyman et al., 2013) Two homologous B3 TFs interact to activate directly YUC4 during LR FUS3. LEC2 ? TFs •Δ •Δ formation (Tang et al., 2017); precocious growth of PR during embryogenesis (Vicente-Carbajosa and Carbonero, 2005) GA1 (CPS1), GA5 (GA20OX1) GA Svn Ent-Copalvl Diphosphate Synthetase and GA 20-Oxidase, respectively: •Δ involved in vascular proliferation in DNRR, LFM have less AR capacity (Ibáñez et al., 2019) gai-1 is insensitive to GAs, involved in vascular proliferation during DNRR, GA Sig •Δ LFM have reducted AR capacity (Ibáñez et al., 2019) IAA14 (SLR) •(**∆**) Δ Δ IAA Sig TF GFM, fail to produce LRs (Fukaki et al., 2002); less callus and DNRR (Shang Δ Δ et al., 2016; Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018); PR has less root hairs, Exp in RAM of PR and LRP (Fukaki et al., 2002; Vanneste et al., 2005)

phytohormone signaling evidently or putatively involved in DNRR further indicating their role in primary and lateral root development or callus formation.

Em

Pro F

IAA Tra

IAA Sia

IAA Sig TFs

Comments

et al., 2013)

et al., 2018)

LFM display shorter LRs and reduced DNRR from hypocotyl explants, but DNRR is not impaired from intact hypocotyls (Wu et al., 2007; Sukumar

Regulator of SCF-TIR1 receptor, LFM acumulate IAAs, Exp in PR and LRs

(Bagchi et al., 2018); LFM fail to produce LRs, callus and DNRR (Celenza et al., 1995; Sugimoto et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014; Bustillo-Avendaño

Directly activate LBD16/29 (Okushima et al., 2007), ARF7 LFM have less

Pa

DNRR phases and stages

Pr

Δ

In

111:

Δ

nd

Т

nd

Ш

Δ

nd

(Continued)

Transcriptional and Epigenetic Networks Control DNRF

Jing et

മ

ERF109

ERF115

GAI

Genes PR			Callus	DNRR phases and stages								
	PR	LR		I	П	111:	Pr	In	Ра	Em	Pro F	Comments
LBD16,29	•	•Δ	Δ			•Δ	•Δ	(•)			TFs	OE enhances AR formation (Liu et al., 2014); Direct target of ARF7/19 (Okushima et al., 2007); LFM have less LRs and callus (Fan et al., 2012)
NAC1		•Δ				•Δ				•Δ	TF	Dominant-negative lines (NAC1-SRDX) have less LRs (Xie et al., 2000) and less ARs (Chen X. et al., 2016)
PIN 1,2,3,7	•Δ	•Δ			•Δ						IAA Tra	Exp in leaf vasculature after excision, LFM have less ARs (Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018); Invoved PR and LR development (Petrásek and Friml, 2009)
PLT1,2	•Δ	•Δ				Δ		Δ			TFs	Activate WOX5 (Shimotohno et al., 2018); double mutants: less DNRR (Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018), shorter PR but more LRs (Aida et al., 2004); Exp in LRPs and RAM of PR (Hofhuis et al., 2013; Du and Scheres, 2017)
PLT3,5,7		•Δ	•			?			?	?	TFs	Promotes LR emergence, triple mutants have less LRs, Exp in a subset of pericycle cells requiring ARF7/19 as activators (Hofhuis et al., 2013)
SCR	•Δ	•	•			•Δ		•	•	•	TF	AR formation from hypocotyl is inhibited in LFM (Della Rovere et al., 2015); Involved in positioning the stem cell niche of RAMs, Exp in endodermis, QC and callus (Sabatini et al., 2003; Sugimoto et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2018)
SHR	۰Δ	•Δ	•			Δ		Δ			TF	LFM have reduced AR and LR formation as well as growth of the PR, Exp in the stelle, <i>shr plt1,2</i> triple mutants fails to produce ARs (Helariutta et al., 2000; Lucas et al., 2011; Della Rovere et al., 2015; Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018)
TAA1 (WEI8), TAR2	•				•Δ	•					IAA Syn	Ubiquitously induced in leaf explants, double mutants are impaired in DNRF (Sun et al., 2016); Exp in RAM of PR (Stepanova et al., 2008)
TCP20,21	(•∆)					?			?		TFs	Interact with PLT1/3 and SCR to bind and induce WOX5, Exp in precursor QC cells (in embryos) (Shimotohno et al., 2018)
WOX5,7	•Δ	•۵	∙nd			•Δ		•Δ	۰Δ	•	TF	Activated by WOX11/12, WOX5 LFM have reduced DNRR, which is enhanced in double mutants (Hu and Xu, 2016); WOX5 maintains the stem cell niche of RAM, Exp in QC and callus (Sarkar et al., 2007; Sugimoto et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2018), whereas WOX7 is involved in LR initiation (Kong et al., 2016)
WOX11,12			(Δ)			•Δ	•Δ				TF	LFM have less ARs, whereas OE inhibits AR and callus formation, Exp in AF founder cells, promotes <i>LBD16/19</i> (Liu et al., 2014; Sheng et al., 2017)
YUC1,2,4,6		•Δ			•Δ						IAA Syn	Induced in mesophyll cells of leaf explants, double mutants are partially, quadruple mutants severely impaired in DNRR (Chen L. et al., 2016), LFM of YUC4 reduces LR formation (Tang et al., 2017)

•, expressed; Δ, mutant phenotype; ?, assumed involvement in DNRR; I, Phase I; II, Phase I; II, Phase II; III:, Phase III:; Pr, Priming; In, Initiation; Pa, Patterning; En, Emergence; AR, adventitious root; ARP, adventitious root primordia; Exp, Expressed; GA sig, GA signaling; GA syn, GA biosynthesis; GFM, gain of function mutants; IAA sig, auxin signaling; IAA syn, auxin biosynthesis; IAA tra, auxin transport; JA sig, JA signaling; LFM, loss of function mutants; LR, lateral root formation; LRP, lateral root primordia; methylase, histone lysine methyl-transferase; nd, no defects observed; ne, not expressed; OE, overexpression; PR, primary (main) root; Pro F, protein function; QC, quiescent center; RAM, root apical meristem; TF(s), transcription factor(s).

Transcriptional and Epigenetic Networks Control DNRR

indicating that ERF109 mediates cross-talk between JA and auxin biosynthesis during DNRR (Cai et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019). To prevent hypersensitivity to wounding, JASMONATE ZIMdomain (JAZ) repressors bind physically to ERF109 proteins and so inhibit the activation of ASA1 by ERF109 (Zhang et al., 2019). Later, the accumulation of auxin at the wounding site triggers the expression of further key TFs forming a regulatory network (Figure 1) that is initially different but subsequently identical to the transcriptional network controlling regular root development. Thereby, the signaling pathway from auxin perception to transcriptional responses consists of only two steps: First, the binding of auxin to the receptor and F-box protein TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESISTANT1 (TIR1) triggers the ubiquitin-mediated degradation of the AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID (Aux/IAA) transcriptional repressors. Then, the degradation of the Aux/IAAs breaks the physical inhibition of the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORs (ARFs), which bind as transcriptional activators to auxin response elements (AuxREs) in the promoters of auxin response genes (reviewed in more detail in Weijers and Wagner, 2016). In aberrant lateral root formation4-1 (alf4-1) mutants, the CULLIN1 subunit of the SCF^{TIR1} auxin receptor complex is destabilized leading to increases in the levels of Aux/IAA proteins, the repressors of ARFs (Bagchi et al., 2018). As the name implies, alf4-1 mutant plants are impaired in lateral root formation (Celenza et al., 1995) but they also fail to regenerate roots from leaf explants (Liu et al., 2014). Furthermore, the alf4-1 mutation blocks callus induction suggesting that callus and LR formation are under the same genetic control (Sugimoto et al., 2010). The reduction of auxin response in the alf4 mutant during LR and callus formation is also caused by the increasing level of IAA14 (Perez-Garcia and Moreno-Risueno, 2018). solitary root-1 (slr-1) mutants, which carry a dominant-negative version of IAA14, are not able to form regular LRs or callus on CIM (Shang et al., 2016) but can grow LRs after cutting the main root (root pruning) (Table 1; Xu et al., 2017).

During DNRR, the newly formed auxin maximum induces quickly the expression of WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX11 (WOX11) and its functional homolog WOX12 in procambium and parenchyma cells, which mediates cell fate transition toward the establishment of root founder cells (Figure 1; Liu et al., 2014; Xu, 2018). Notably, the current state of research cannot rule-out that root founder cells of ARs can also initiate from differentiated cells beside procambium and parenchyma tissue via cell fate reprogramming (Yu et al., 2017). Although loss of OsWOX11 causes severe growth defects including a near abolition of crown root production in rice, wox11 and wox12 single and double mutants show only slightly reduced AR formation in Arabidopsis (Zhao et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2014). The WOX11 promoter region carries several AuxREs, which are essential for the auxin response indicating direct binding and activation of WOX11 by ARFs (Liu et al., 2014). Although WOX11 promotes AR and callus formations, WOX11 is not involved in regular lateral root initiation (Liu et al., 2014; Sheng et al., 2017). The transition from root founder cells to root primordium cells is accompanied by decreasing WOX11 and WOX12 expression levels while those of WOX5 and WOX7 increase (Liu et al., 2014; Hu and Xu, 2016). This temporal succession of WOX11 and WOX5 expression is very similar to the temporal expression pattern during callus development supporting the idea that callus and AR initiation share the same genetic pathway at the cellular and molecular level (Liu et al., 2014). Recently, it has been shown that WOX11/12 directly activate WOX5/7 by binding to the promoters of WOX5 and WOX7 (Hu and Xu, 2016). WOX5, which encodes the functional homolog of the shoot stem cell promoting factor WUSCHEL (WUS), is expressed in the quiescent center (QC) of RAMs (Sarkar et al., 2007). Although wox5-1 mutants form roots with disorganized RAMs, wox5-1 mutant roots fail to maintain distal (columella) stem cells and, redundantly with the loss of other regulators, proximal stem cells during root development (Sarkar et al., 2007). In contrast to the activation of WOX11/12 by ARFs, ARF10 and ARF16 repress and restrict WOX5 to the QC (Ding and Friml, 2010). Interestingly, auxin is also required to activate WOX5/7 expression in root founder cells, which divide to form root primordia cells during DNRR (Hu and Xu, 2016). As WOX5 is expressed in callus as well as in RAMs of primary root, LRs and ARs, it is difficult to predict the stages of direct DNRR that would be affected by loss of WOX5 (Table 1).

WOX11/12 regulate at least partially the formation of AR and callus through activation of LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN16 (LBD16) and LBD29 (Figure 1; Liu et al., 2014), whereby WOX11 directly binds to the WOX-binding sites in the LBD16 promoter region (Sheng et al., 2017). The relationship between WOX11 and LBD16 is also important for shoot regeneration because both promote the pluripotency acquisition in callus cells (Liu et al., 2018). However, the activation of LBD16 by WOX11 is not required for regular LRs that are also known as non-WOX11-mediated roots (Sheng et al., 2017). LBD16/29 are also direct targets of ARF7 and ARF19 during lateral root formation, and arf7-1 arf19-1 double mutants produce defective lateral roots (Okushima et al., 2005, 2007; Xu et al., 2017). Conversely, leaves of arf7-1 arf19-1 double mutant are still able to induce root regeneration and callus formation (Liu et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2017) and can recover LRs after cutting the tip of the primary root (Sheng et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017).

Although LBD16 and WOX5 are regulated by WOX11/12 and auxin, their expression patterns are different: LBD16 is expressed in dividing root founder cells and the root primordia, but decreases during the formation of the root meristem whereas WOX5 is restricted to the stem cell niche in the new RAM (Hu and Xu, 2016). Overexpression of LBD16/29 can induce callus without exogenous auxin treatment, while LBD16-induced callus displays ectopic expression of WOX5 and PLETHORA (PLT1) (Fan et al., 2012). PLT1 and PLT2 genes, whose transcription requires auxin accumulation and ARFs, are essential for QC specification and stem cell activity in the RAM (Aida et al., 2004). During embryogenesis and LR formation, PLT proteins physically interact with SCARECROW (SCR) and TCP (teosinte-branched cycloidea PCNA) TFs to specify and maintain the new formed QC and stem cell niche (Shimotohno et al., 2018). PLT-TCP-SCR complexes assemble on PLT-binding sites in the WOX5 promoter to

induce WOX5 expression (Shimotohno et al., 2018). In turn, WOX5 is needed for PLT1 expression in RAMs (Ding and Friml, 2010). Interestingly, the PLT genes PLT3/5/7, which are essential in shoot regeneration, facilitate pluripotency in callus tissues by activating the root-specific stem cell regulators PLT1 and PLT2 (Kareem et al., 2015). During callus formation, PLT1/2, WOX5, and SCR can act as major regulators in the establishment and maintenance of cell regeneration capacity and possible pluripotency by inhibiting factors that in turn promote differentiation (Kim et al., 2018). plt1 plt2 double mutants as well as short-root (shr) single mutants display significant reduction of leaf explants rooting and in rooting capacity (Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018). In shr plt1 plt2 triple mutants, AR primordia initiation is fully blocked, because the postembryonic root founder cells cannot form. These results demonstrate the importance of PLT1, PLT2, and SHR for DNRR (Table 1; Bustillo-Avendaño et al., 2018).

During DNRR, the emergence of ARs seems an easy task but leaf explants expressing a dominant-negative version of the NAC1 TF (NAC1-SRDX) fail to grow-out ARs, although they are unaffected in the earlier steps of auxin-mediated cell fate transition (Chen X. et al., 2016). NAC1 induces the expression of *CEP* (*Cys endopeptidase*) genes, which encode proteins that might be involved in programed cell death and in degradation of extensin proteins in the cell wall (Chen X. et al., 2016). Therefore, the NAC1 pathway controls auxin-independently the emerging of ARs by loosening of cell walls of the surrounding tissue (**Table 1**).

Callus is an organized tissue similar to LRP (Sugimoto et al., 2010), while LRP and adventitious root primordia (ARP) differ only in their early steps of formation (Sheng et al., 2017). All three developmental events largely share TFs, which control the morphological changes in similar hierarchical networks (see model for DNRR in Figure 1). Most components of the transcriptional network are direct targets of ARFs that might suggest simultaneous expression rather than the observed activation in a chronological order. Obviously, the reciprocal and hierarchical regulations of the TFs contribute to their distinct spatiotemporal expression pattern. Nevertheless, epigenetic regulation can stabilize gene networks, restrict gene expression to specific tissue and/or provide a time buffer, which allows delayed transcriptional response to an upstream TFs (Müller and Goodrich, 2011; Xiao et al., 2016).

Epigenetic Regulation of DNRR by the Repressive Mark H3K27me3

Epigenetic gene regulation here refers to mitotically or occasionally meiotically heritable changes in transcriptional activity that are not caused by changes in the DNA sequence but rather by covalent modifications to histone residues and DNA methylation (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Smith and Meissner, 2013). The covalent nature of epigenetic chromatin modifications allows both stability through cell division as well as reversibility during development in response to extrinsic signals and endogenous clues (Bannister and Kouzarides, 2011; Müller-Xing et al., 2014b). For every chromatin modification like lysine methylation of histones exist both writers, which refers here to histone methyltransferases, and erasers, which refer here to histone demethylases, functioning as single proteins or protein complexes with enzyme activity (Xiao et al., 2016). Furthermore, chromatin modifications are specifically bound by so-called readers, proteins with domains which provide docking modules for the enzyme complexes (Andrews et al., 2016). While epigenetic regulation of callus formation and regular RAM development were subjects of several studies (Lee and Seo, 2018; Takatsuka and Umeda, 2015), the relationship of epigenetics and DNRR is a relatively new frontier, which was recently outlined as one of the hot topics for future research on adventitious rooting in cuttings (Chen L. et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2018; Druege et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). To complete the current model of DNRR regulation and indicate future research directions, we also draw here parallels between the epigenetic control of callus formation, which is one of the earliest steps of cell fate reprogramming during AR initiation, and regular root development (Figure 1 and Table 2).

Reprogramming of cell fate requires the activation and repression not only of a few genes but whole transcriptional networks controlling developmental programs and it is accompanied by local and global changes in epigenetic modifications (Lee and Seo, 2018). The Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) is a key "writer" which deposits the repressive marks di- and tri-methylation of Lys27 on histone H3 (H3K27me2/3). It is assembled from four highly conserved core components as well as a more variable collection of associated proteins, some of which are DNA binding proteins that may guide the PRC2 to Polycomb (PcG) target genes (Xiao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018). In Arabidopsis, three partially redundant homologs CURLY LEAF (CLF), MEDEA (MEA), and SWINGER (SWN) encode the catalytic subunit of the PRC2 histone methyltransferase (HMT), while EMBRYONIC FLOWER2 (EMF2) encodes a second core PRC2 component (Schmitges et al., 2011; Müller-Xing et al., 2014a). In contrast, RELATIVE OF EARLY FLOWERING6 (REF6) and its two close paralogs, EARLY FLOWERING6 and JMJ13, are three partially redundant H3K27me3 demethylases (erasers) with important functions in reprogramming during plant development (Table 2; Yan et al., 2018).

During callus formation from leaf explants, over 400 PcG targets lose H3K27me3, whereas less than 200 targets gain H3K27me3, indicating the significance of reactivation of former silenced genes (He et al., 2012). The levels of H3K27me3 decrease first at several genes of the auxin pathway including YUC4, NITRILASE2 (NIT2), IAA CARBOXYL METHYLTRANSFERASE1 (IAMT1), and PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1). Subsequently, H3K27me3 levels increase at leaf specific genes but decrease at genes involved in root development suggesting a central role for the repressive epigenetic mark H3K27me3 during regeneration (He et al., 2012). WOX11 expression, which is essential for establishing root founder cells during DNRR, depends on endogenic auxin synthesis by YUC proteins (Liu et al., 2014; Chen L. et al., 2016).

Genes PR LR			DNRR phases and stages								
	LR	Callus	I	П	III:	Pr	In	Ра	Em	Comments	
SDG2 (ATXR3)	Δ	Δ	nd			?			?		H3K4me3 methylase involved in RAM organisation (Yao et al., 2013)
ATX1	Δ	Δ	nd			?					H3K4me3 methylase required for resrtriction of QC markers and for LR initiation, morphogenesis, and emergence (Napsucialy-Mendivil et al., 2014)
SDG8		Δ	nd	Δ							H3K36me3 methylase required for activation of AS/1 by ERF109 (Zhang et al., 2019), LOF causes less LR (Cazzonelli et al., 2009)
ATXR2		Δ	Δ			Δ	Δ				H3K36me3 methylase, interacts with ARF7/9 and activates <i>LBD16/29</i> (Lee et al., 2017, 2018)
CLF	Δ	Δ	nd		?	?					H3K27me3 methylase of PRC2, represses PIN1, LOF causes increased RAM, root size and the number of LRs (Gu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019)
SWN	Δ		nd			?					H3K27me3 methylase of PRC2, LOF causes decreased RAM and root size (Lucas et al., 2016)
CLF,SWN	Δ	Δ	Δ		?	Δ					Double mutants fail to silence leaf identity genes, which prevent callus (He et al., 2012) and also AR formation (Liu et al., 2014)
EMF2	Δ	Δ	Δ		?	?					Component of PRC2, LOF inhibits PR growth, callus and LR formation (He et al., 2012; Gu et al., 2014)
REF6		Δ	nd			?	?				H3K27me3 demethylase, activates PIN1/3/7 that allows auxin transport and accumulation in LRP founder cells (Wang et al., 2019)
PKL	Δ					?					CHD3 chromatin remodeler, conteracts as TrxG factor PRC2 function and maintains root stem cells (Aichinger et al., 2009, 2011)
HAG1 (GCN5)	Δ		Δ !			nd					Histone acetyltransferase, activates PLT genes and maintains the stem cell niche (Kornet and Scheres, 2009), LOF causes faster callus growth (!) but does not impair indirect DNRR (Kim et al., 2018)

TABLE 2 | Epigenetic factors evidently or putatively involved in DNRR further indicating their role in primary and lateral root development or callus formation.

Δ, mutant phenotype; ?, assumed involvement in DNRR; I, Phase I; II, Phase I; III, Phase II; III; Pr, Priming; In, Initiation; Pa, Patterning; En, Emergence; AR, adventitious root; LOF, loss of function; LR, lateral root formation; LRP, lateral root primordia; methylase, histone lysine methyl-transferase; nd, no defects observed in callus formation (He et al., 2012) or indirect DNRR (Kim et al., 2018); PR, primary (main) root; RAM, root apical meristem; QC, quiescent center.

The gene loci of *YUC1* and *YUC4*, as well as *WOX11*, are H3K27me3-marked PcG targets whose levels decline in callus tissue cultured on CIM (He et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Chen L. et al., 2016). Principally, reduction of H3K27me3 levels at target genes can be achieved either by active removal through H3K27me3 demethylases or by H3K27me3 dilution through cell divisions (Sun et al., 2014). During DNRR from leaf explants, the activation of *YUC1* and *YUC4* expression is accompanied by decreasing H3K27me3 levels after only a few hours on B5 medium (Chen L. et al., 2016) suggesting an active removal, e.g., by H3K27me3 demethylases as little or no cell division and DNA replication occurs in this time period.

In primary root and LRs, the polar auxin transport and local auxin maxima are widely achieved by the family of PIN-FORMED (PIN) efflux carriers, which includes PIN1, PIN2, PIN3, PIN4, and PIN7 (Petrásek and Friml, 2009). PRC2 inhibits LR formation and root growth by depositing the repressive H3K27me3 mark on chromatin at the PIN1 locus (Gu et al., 2014). Whereas the H3K27me3 demethylase REF6 binds to a specific DNA sequence (CTCTGYTY) and de-represses PIN1/3/7 during LR formation (Lu et al., 2011; Cui et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Accordingly, *ref6* mutants have fewer LRs than wild-type (Wang et al., 2019). In contrast, ref6 mutants are not impaired in callus formation from leaf explants (He et al., 2012), the first step of AR initiation (Sheng et al., 2017). As the contribution of REF6 to DNRR has not yet been tested, it remains unclear whether in ref6 mutant explants, AR formation is unaffected, as suggested by the result of callus formation, or delayed like LR formation in ref6. During rice shoot development, OsWOX11 recruits the REF6 homolog JUMONJI705 (JMJ705) to promote gene expression by H3K27me3 demethylation (Cheng et al., 2018). It would be interesting to test whether WOX11 and REF6 cooperate similarly during regular root development and DNRR in Arabidopsis.

PRC2 complexes containing either the HMT CLF or SWN are essential for post-embryonic plant development (Gutzat et al., 2012) and apparently play a role in DNRR. Although *clf-50 swn-1* double mutants can form normal callus from root tissue, clf-50 swn-1 double and emf2 single mutants are defective in callus formation from leaf explants (He et al., 2012). In the latter case, the plants with reduced PRC2 activity fail to silence leaf identity genes such as SAWTOOTH1 (SAW1) and SAW2, whereas the root identity genes WOX5 and SHR are de-repressed as during normal callus formation (Kumar et al., 2007; He et al., 2012). Similarly, clf-50 swn-1 double mutants fail to form AR from leaf explants (Liu et al., 2014) but it is not yet clear whether impaired silencing of SAW1/2 or other leaf-regulatory genes plays a role. swn clf \pm mutant explants, presumably harboring reductions in H3K27me3 levels, display an earlier re-activation of WUS enabling faster shoot regeneration (Zhang et al., 2017). This faster activation of WUS is likely achieved by easier access of the B-Type ARR and HD-ZIP class III TFs to the WUS chromatin (Zhang et al., 2017). Facilitated access to DNRR-related genes may also accelerate root regeneration in PcG mutants. Loss of CLF causes ectopic LR formation, a longer primary root (Gu et al., 2014), and higher root meristem activity as indicated by increased

WOX5 expression and meristem size (Aichinger et al., 2011). On the other hand, the loss of the *CLF* homolog *SWN* causes shorter roots and decrease in the RAM size indicating that root growth defects varies in different PcG mutants (Lucas et al., 2016). Hence, alternative CLF-PRC2 and SWN-PRC2 complexes might target selectively different genes, which either promote or inhibit regular root development, but possibly also DNRR (**Table 2**).

Epigenetic Regulations of DNRR by Histone Modifications With Positive Effects on Transcription

Trithorax group (TrxG) proteins are a diverse group of antagonists of PcG-mediated gene repression that were originally defined genetically by their ability to suppress PcG mutant phenotypes (Kennison and Tamkun, 1992). Some TrxG proteins promote PcG target gene expression by catalyzing activation marks, others by removing the repressive H3K27me3 mark and yet others by chromatin remodeling to slide nucleosomes and facilitate access. The principle marks associated with transcriptional activation are di- and tri-methylation of histone H3 at lysine 4 and/or lysine 36 (H3K4me2/3 and H3K36me2/3) (Papp and Müller, 2006). In the early phase of DNRR, the upregulation of ASA1 by JA-activated ERF109 required H3K36me3 pre-deposition by SET DOMAIN GROUP8 (SDG8), which is also involved in LR formation (Table 2; Cazzonelli et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2019). Another H3K36me3 HMTase, ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA TRITHORAX-RELATED2 (ATXR2) is involved in callus and AR formation by activating LBD16 and LBD29 expression (Lee et al., 2017, 2018). ATXR2 binds directly to the promoter region of LBD16 and LBD29 to depositing H3K36me3 at these loci (Lee et al., 2017). In addition, ATXR2 interacts physically with the known activators of LBD16 and LBD29 ARF7 and ARF19, suggesting that these ARF TFs could recruit ATXR2 to both LBD promoters (Lee et al., 2017). SDG2, also known as ATXR3, encodes the main H3K4me3 HMTase (Berr et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2010) and is required for the organization and function of stem cell niche in the RAM (Yao et al., 2013). sdg2-3 mutants have a shorter root and fewer LRs than wild type (Yao et al., 2013) but nonetheless are still able to induce callus formation (He et al., 2012), leaving the question unanswered whether DNRR initiation or only the later step of the emergence of ARs are impaired in sdg2 mutants. The preliminary data concerning other TrxG proteins such as ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA TRITHORAX1 (ATX1, encoding a H3K4me3 HMTase) are inconclusive or contradictory, for example inactivation causes reduced root length and LR number yet the mutants are able to form callus from leaf explant (He et al., 2012; Napsucialy-Mendivil et al., 2014). The chromatin remodeler PICKLE (PKL) counteract PcG function as *pkl* mutants display reduced expression of some PcG target genes (Aichinger et al., 2009) and have shorter roots and reduced root stem cell activity (Aichinger et al., 2011). It was proposed that PKL, together with PICKLE RELATED2 (PKR2), activate PcG targets outside of the RAM to promote cell differentiation, whereas PKL specifically maintains root stem cell (Aichinger et al., 2011). Plants carrying the slr-1 mutation are blocked in auxin signaling, and so the initiation of LRs is blocked (Shang et al., 2016). In *slr-1 pkl* double mutants, LR formation is rescued in an ARF7/19 function dependent manner revealing that chromatin remodeling by PKL regulates negatively auxin-mediated LR formation (Fukaki et al., 2006). It remains to be tested whether PKL or PKR2 have a similar function in AR formation.

Histone lysine acetylation (Kac) may have more direct links to transcriptional control than most other chromatin modifications, as Kac promotes transcription not only by recruiting reader proteins but also by neutralizing the positive charge on the lysine side chain, which directly facilitates RNA synthesis by making the DNA more accessible to the transcriptional machinery (Zhao et al., 2018). Kac can overcome repressive histone marks such as H3K27me3 or can be replaced by such epigenetic marks. The histone acetyltransferase HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE OF THE GNAT FAMILY1 (HAG1), also known as A. thaliana GENERAL CONTROL NON-REPRESSED5 (AtGCN5) (Vlachonasios et al., 2003; Servet et al., 2010), plays a key role in the establishment of callus pluripotency and subsequent shoot regeneration (Kim et al., 2018). The expression of PLT genes is positively regulated by AtGCN5 to maintain the stem cell niche in roots (Kornet and Scheres, 2009). hag1-6 mutants display very short roots and a smaller root meristem size (Kornet and Scheres, 2009) but faster-growing callus on CIM, which is associated with decreasing expression of the root key regulators WOX5, SCR, PLT1, and PLT2 (Kim et al., 2018). Although hag1-6 mutant callus fails to induce shoot regeneration on shoot inducing medium (SIM), it is surprisingly able to regenerate roots after transferring from CIM to RIM (Table 2; Kim et al., 2018). In rice, OsWOX11 interacts with the ADA2-GCN5 histone acetyltransferase complex to activate downstream target genes during AR formation (Zhou et al., 2017) giving a textbook example of how TFs acts as recruiter of epigenetic factors (EFs) for long-term transcription regulation.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

The integration of genes and their protein products in transcriptional networks, such as the one controlling DNRR, often start with the description of their mutant phenotypes and their expression patterns. Nevertheless, the components of transcriptional-epigenetic networks have to be interconnected through direct binding of one component to the promoter of another component or by direct protein-protein interaction or by phytohormone or other signaling pathways. These physical interactions need to be tested by yeast-two-hybrid (Y-2-H) or proteomics assays, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), DNAaffinity precipitation assay (DAPA) and other approaches. Recent progress in DNRR research allowed us to develop a model on the transcriptional and epigenetic reprogramming network controlling DNRR (Figure 1). However, several links of the model are not yet verified in DNRR systems and several gaps remain obvious, for example: (1) Taking into account that ERF109, which functions upstream of ERF115 (Zhou et al.,

2019), is JA inducible, it would be interesting to test whether MYC2, the main JA response factor, can directly bind to the ERF109 promoter or whether the loss of other JA signaling components impairs DNRR, like for mutations in the JA receptor COI1 (Zhang et al., 2019). (2) Although several publications showed that auxin can induce NAC1 (Xie et al., 2000; Guo et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006), a recent publication suggests that NAC1 expression near the wounding side does not require auxin (Chen X. et al., 2016) raising the question whether JA or another signal could be the missing link. (3) Considering the linkages between ET signaling, the WIND (WOUND INDUCED DEDIFFERENTIATION) TF gene family, micro RNAs and epigenetic processes recently outlined by Druege et al. (2019), these relationships should additionally be taken into account. (4) It is also unclear whether WOX5/7 or PLT1/2 are direct targets of LBD16/29. Another open question is whether ARs only originates from cells, which are root regeneration-competent from the beginning or is it possible that ARs also derivate from cells, which have first to acquire root regeneration competence.

Writing and erasing of histone marks facilitates and stabilizes long-term changes in transcriptional programs. Therefore, the recruitment of EFs by long non-coding RNAs and TFs is highly important for DNRR yet our current knowledge is very limited and only a few interactions between TFs and EFs are known. Using DNRR-related TFs as bait, approaches, like Y-2-H screen or immunoaffinity-purification with subsequent massspectrometry, can identify further TF/EF protein complexes. On the other hand, if the up-stream TFs are unknown, they can be identified in yeast-one-hybrid screens using promoter sequences of DNRR-related genes.

DNRR itself is an inducible system that can give us temporal resolution of gene expression and changes of epigenetic marks during the reprogramming of cell fate. Nevertheless, most DNRR studies, involving ChIPs and other chromatin-related approaches, used callus, mixed callus/explant tissue or whole leaf explants. At least after accumulation of auxin in the competent cells, using the mixed tissue cannot provide the needed spatiotemporal resolution. An approach combining DNRR and INTACT (isolation of nuclei tagged in specific cell types) system (Deal and Henikoff, 2011) or classical protoplast sorting (Birnbaum et al., 2003) will provide more specific and accurate data.

The question of transferability of DNRR studies in *Arabidopsis* to crop plants and trees seems to be a big issue as *Arabidopsis* is still the main genetic and molecular biological tool in plant research. *Arabidopsis* belongs to the Brassicaceae family, which include many important agricultural crop varieties, such as canola and cabbage. Therefore, the knowledge gained from *Arabidopsis* research has also agricultural significance (Paulraj and Yeung, 2012). Interestingly, several key regulators of DNRR were first described in rice including (*Os*)*WOX11* and *ARL1/LOB29* (Liu et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2009) with similar functions in AR development indicating a high degree of conservation of the DNRR transcriptional networks between monocots and dicots and therefore likely between most of the crop plants. Nevertheless, that has to be confirmed by further efforts to study the molecular mechanisms of DNRR in crops.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project Nos. 31640054 and 31771602), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, China (Grant No. 2572016DA03), and the Natural Science Foundation

REFERENCES

- Ahkami, A. H., Lischewski, S., Haensch, K.-T., Porfirova, S., Hofmann, J., Rolletschek, H., et al. (2009). Molecular physiology of adventitious root formation in *Petunia hybrida* cuttings: involvement of wound response and primary metabolism. *New Phytol.* 181, 613–625. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008. 02704.x
- Ahkami, A. H., Melzer, M., Ghaffari, M. R., Pollmann, S., Ghorbani Javid, M., Shahinnia, F., et al. (2013). Distribution of indole-3-acetic acid in *Petunia hybrida* shoot tip cuttings and relationship between auxin transport, carbohydrate metabolism and adventitious root formation. *Planta* 238, 499– 517. doi: 10.1007/s00425-013-1907-z
- Aichinger, E., Villar, C. B. R., Di Mambro, R., Sabatini, S., and Köhler, C. (2011). The CHD3 chromatin remodeler PICKLE and polycomb group proteins antagonistically regulate meristem activity in the *Arabidopsis* root. *Plant Cell* 23, 1047–1060. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.083352
- Aichinger, E., Villar, C. B. R., Farrona, S., Reyes, J. C., Hennig, L., and Köhler, C. (2009). CHD3 proteins and polycomb group proteins antagonistically determine cell identity in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genet*. 5:e1000605. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1000605
- Aida, M., Beis, D., Heidstra, R., Willemsen, V., Blilou, I., Galinha, C., et al. (2004). The PLETHORA genes mediate patterning of the *Arabidopsis* root stem cell niche. *Cell* 119, 109–120. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.09.018
- Al-Ghazi, Y., Muller, B., Pinloche, S., Tranbarger, T. J., Nacry, P., Rossignol, M., et al. (2003). Temporal responses of *Arabidopsis* root architecture to phosphate starvation: evidence for the involvement of auxin signalling. *Plant Cell Environ*. 26, 1053–1066. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.01030.x
- Andrews, F. H., Strahl, B. D., and Kutateladze, T. G. (2016). Insights into newly discovered marks and readers of epigenetic information. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 662–668. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.2149
- Atta, R., Laurens, L., Boucheron-Dubuisson, E., Guivarc'h, A., Carnero, E., Giraudat-Pautot, V., et al. (2009). Pluripotency of *Arabidopsis* xylem pericycle underlies shoot regeneration from root and hypocotyl explants grown in vitro. *Plant J.* 57, 626–644. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03715.x
- Bagchi, R., Melnyk, C. W., Christ, G., Winkler, M., Kirchsteiner, K., Salehin, M., et al. (2018). The Arabidopsis ALF4 protein is a regulator of SCF E3 ligases. *EMBO J.* 37, 255–268. doi: 10.15252/embj.201797159
- Bannister, A. J., and Kouzarides, T. (2011). Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res.* 21, 381–395. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.22
- Bellini, C., Pacurar, D. I., and Perrone, I. (2014). Adventitious roots and lateral roots: similarities and differences. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 65, 639–666. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-035645
- Berr, A., McCallum, E. J., Ménard, R., Meyer, D., Fuchs, J., Dong, A., et al. (2010). *Arabidopsis* SET DOMAIN GROUP2 is required for H3K4 trimethylation and is crucial for both sporophyte and gametophyte development. *Plant Cell* 22, 3232–3248. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.079962
- Birnbaum, K., Shasha, D. E., Wang, J. Y., Jung, J. W., Lambert, G. M., Galbraith, D. W., et al. (2003). A gene expression map of the *Arabidopsis* root. *Science* 302, 1956–1960. doi: 10.1126/science.1090022
- Birnbaum, K. D. (2016). How many ways are there to make a root? *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 34, 61–67. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.10.001

of Heilongjiang Province of China, General Program (Grant No. C2016007) to QXi and RM. We are also grateful for financial support from the Northeast Forestry University Starting Grant for Distinguished Young Scholar to RM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Justin Goodrich and Lin Xu for critical reading and comments on the manuscript and all members of our group for helpful discussions and apologize for references not cited due to space limitations.

- Busov, V., Meilan, R., Pearce, D. W., Rood, S. B., Ma, C., Tschaplinski, T. J., et al. (2006). Transgenic modification of gai or rgl1 causes dwarfing and alters gibberellins, root growth, and metabolite profiles in *Populus. Planta* 224, 288–299. doi: 10.1007/s00425-005-0213-9
- Bustillo-Avendaño, E., Ibáñez, S., Sanz, O., Sousa Barros, J. A., Gude, I., Perianez-Rodriguez, J., et al. (2018). Regulation of hormonal control, cell reprogramming, and patterning during de novo root organogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* 176, 1709–1727. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00980
- Cai, X.-T., Xu, P., Zhao, P.-X., Liu, R., Yu, L.-H., and Xiang, C.-B. (2014). Arabidopsis ERF109 mediates cross-talk between jasmonic acid and auxin biosynthesis during lateral root formation. Nat. Commun. 5:5833. doi: 10.1038/ ncomms6833
- Calamar, A., and de Klerk, G.-J. (2002). Effect of sucrose on adventitious root regeneration in apple. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 70, 207–212. doi: 10.1023/A: 1016356123367
- Casimiro, I., Beeckman, T., Graham, N., Bhalerao, R., Zhang, H., Casero, P., et al. (2003). Dissecting *Arabidopsis* lateral root development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 8, 165–171. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(03)00051-7
- Cazzonelli, C. I., Yin, K., and Pogson, B. J. (2009). Potential implications for epigenetic regulation of carotenoid biosynthesis during root and shoot development. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 4, 339–341. doi: 10.4161/psb.4.4.8193
- Celenza, J. L., Grisafi, P. L., and Fink, G. R. (1995). A pathway for lateral root formation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genes Dev. 9, 2131–2142. doi: 10.1101/gad. 9.17.2131
- Che, P., Lall, S., and Howell, S. H. (2007). Developmental steps in acquiring competence for shoot development in *Arabidopsis* tissue culture. *Planta* 226, 1183–1194. doi: 10.1007/s00425-007-0565-4
- Chen, L., Tong, J., Xiao, L., Ruan, Y., Liu, J., Zeng, M., et al. (2016). YUCCAmediated auxin biogenesis is required for cell fate transition occurring during de novo root organogenesis in *Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 4273–4284. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw213
- Chen, Q., Sun, J., Zhai, Q., Zhou, W., Qi, L., Xu, L., et al. (2011). The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor MYC2 directly represses PLETHORA expression during jasmonate-mediated modulation of the root stem cell niche in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 23, 3335–3352. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.089870
- Chen, X., Cheng, J., Chen, L., Zhang, G., Huang, H., Zhang, Y., et al. (2016). Auxinindependent NAC pathway acts in response to explant-specific wounding and promotes root tip emergence during de novo root organogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 170, 2136–2145. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01733
- Chen, X., Qu, Y., Sheng, L., Liu, J., Huang, H., and Xu, L. (2014). A simple method suitable to study de novo root organogenesis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:208. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00208
- Cheng, S., Tan, F., Lu, Y., Liu, X., Li, T., Yuan, W., et al. (2018). WOX11 recruits a histone H3K27me3 demethylase to promote gene expression during shoot development in rice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 2356–2369. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky017
- Coleman, W. K., Huxter, T. J., Reid, D. M., and Thorpe, T. A. (1980). Ethylene as an endogenous inhibitor of root regeneration in tomato leaf discs cultured in vitro. *Physiol. Plant.* 48, 519–525. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1980.tb03298.x
- Cui, X., Lu, F., Qiu, Q., Zhou, B., Gu, L., Zhang, S., et al. (2016). REF6 recognizes a specific DNA sequence to demethylate H3K27me3 and regulate organ boundary formation in *Arabidopsis. Nat. Genet.* 48, 694–699. doi: 10.1038/ng.3556

- De Smet, I., Vanneste, S., Inzé, D., and Beeckman, T. (2006). Lateral root initiation or the birth of a new meristem. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 60, 871–887. doi: 10.1007/s11103-005-4547-2
- Deal, R. B., and Henikoff, S. (2011). The INTACT method for cell type-specific gene expression and chromatin profiling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 56–68. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2010.175
- Della Rovere, F., Fattorini, L., D'Angeli, S., Veloccia, A., Del Duca, S., Cai, G., et al. (2015). *Arabidopsis* SHR and SCR transcription factors and AUX1 auxin influx carrier control the switch between adventitious rooting and xylogenesis in planta and in in vitro cultured thin cell layers. *Ann. Bot.* 115, 617–628. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcu258
- Della Rovere, F., Fattorini, L., D'Angeli, S., Veloccia, A., Falasca, G., and Altamura, M. M. (2013). Auxin and cytokinin control formation of the quiescent centre in the adventitious root apex of *Arabidopsis. Ann. Bot.* 112, 1395–1407. doi: 10.1093/aob/mct215
- Díaz-Sala, C. (2014). Direct reprogramming of adult somatic cells toward adventitious root formation in forest tree species: the effect of the juvenile-adult transition. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:310. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00310
- Ding, Z., and Friml, J. (2010). Auxin regulates distal stem cell differentiation in Arabidopsis roots. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 12046–12051. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1000672107
- Druege, U., and Franken, P. (2019). *Petunia* as model for elucidating adventitious root formation and mycorrhizal symbiosis: at the nexus of physiology, genetics, microbiology and horticulture. *Physiol. Plant.* 165, 58–72. doi: 10.1111/ppl. 12762
- Druege, U., Franken, P., Lischewski, S., Ahkami, A. H., Zerche, S., Hause, B., et al. (2014). Transcriptomic analysis reveals ethylene as stimulator and auxin as regulator of adventitious root formation in *Petunia* cuttings. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:494. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00494
- Druege, U., Hilo, A., Pérez-Pérez, J. M., Klopotek, Y., Acosta, M., Shahinnia, F., et al. (2019). Molecular and physiological control of adventitious rooting in cuttings: phytohormone action meets resource allocation. *Ann. Bot.* 123, 929–949. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcy234
- Du, Y., and Scheres, B. (2017). PLETHORA transcription factors orchestrate de novo organ patterning during Arabidopsis lateral root outgrowth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 11709–11714. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1714410 114
- Duclercq, J., Sangwan-Norreel, B., Catterou, M., and Sangwan, R. S. (2011). De novo shoot organogenesis: from art to science. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 597–606. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.08.004
- Efroni, I., Mello, A., Nawy, T., Ip, P.-L., Rahni, R., DelRose, N., et al. (2016). Root regeneration triggers an embryo-like sequence guided by hormonal interactions. *Cell* 165, 1721–1733. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.046
- Fan, M., Xu, C., Xu, K., and Hu, Y. (2012). LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN transcription factors direct callus formation in *Arabidopsis* regeneration. *Cell Res.* 22, 1169–1180. doi: 10.1038/cr.2012.63
- Fattorini, L., Hause, B., Gutierrez, L., Veloccia, A., Della Rovere, F., Piacentini, D., et al. (2018). Jasmonate promotes auxin-induced adventitious rooting in dark-grown *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings and stem thin cell layers by a crosstalk with ethylene signalling and a modulation of xylogenesis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18:182. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1392-4
- Fukaki, H., Tameda, S., Masuda, H., and Tasaka, M. (2002). Lateral root formation is blocked by a gain-of-function mutation in the SOLITARY-ROOT/IAA14 gene of *Arabidopsis. Plant J.* 29, 153–168. doi: 10.1046/j.0960-7412.2001.01201. x
- Fukaki, H., Taniguchi, N., and Tasaka, M. (2006). PICKLE is required for SOLITARY-ROOT/IAA14-mediated repression of ARF7 and ARF19 activity during *Arabidopsis* lateral root initiation. *Plant J.* 48, 380–389. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-313X.2006.02882.x
- Glauser, G., Grata, E., Dubugnon, L., Rudaz, S., Farmer, E. E., and Wolfender, J.-L. (2008). Spatial and temporal dynamics of jasmonate synthesis and accumulation in *Arabidopsis* in response to wounding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 16400–16407. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M801760200
- Gonzali, S., Novi, G., Loreti, E., Paolicchi, F., Poggi, A., Alpi, A., et al. (2005). A turanose-insensitive mutant suggests a role for WOX5 in auxin homeostasis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J*. 44, 633–645. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005. 02555.x

- Gu, X., Xu, T., and He, Y. (2014). A histone H3 lysine-27 methyltransferase complex represses lateral root formation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol. Plant 7, 977–988. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssu035
- Guo, H.-S., Xie, Q., Fei, J.-F., and Chua, N.-H. (2005). MicroRNA directs mRNA cleavage of the transcription factor NAC1 to downregulate auxin signals for *Arabidopsis* lateral root development. *Plant Cell* 17, 1376–1386. doi: 10.1105/ tpc.105.030841
- Guo, L., Yu, Y., Law, J. A., and Zhang, X. (2010). SET DOMAIN GROUP2 is the major histone H3 lysine corrected 4 trimethyltransferase in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 18557–18562. doi: 10.1073/pnas.10104 78107
- Gutzat, R., Borghi, L., and Gruissem, W. (2012). Emerging roles of RETINOBLASTOMA-RELATED proteins in evolution and plant development. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 139–148. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.12.001
- He, C., Chen, X., Huang, H., and Xu, L. (2012). Reprogramming of H3K27me3 is critical for acquisition of pluripotency from cultured *Arabidopsis* tissues. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002911. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002911
- Helariutta, Y., Fukaki, H., Wysocka-Diller, J., Nakajima, K., Jung, J., Sena, G., et al. (2000). The SHORT-ROOT gene controls radial patterning of the *Arabidopsis* root through radial signaling. *Cell* 101, 555–567. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 80865-X
- Heyman, J., Cools, T., Vandenbussche, F., Heyndrickx, K. S., van Leene, J., Vercauteren, I., et al. (2013). ERF115 controls root quiescent center cell division and stem cell replenishment. *Science* 342, 860–863. doi: 10.1126/ science.1240667
- Hochholdinger, F., Park, W. J., Sauer, M., and Woll, K. (2004). From weeds to crops: genetic analysis of root development in cereals. *Trends Plant Sci.* 9, 42–48. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2003.11.003
- Hofhuis, H., Laskowski, M., Du, Y., Prasad, K., Grigg, S., Pinon, V., et al. (2013). Phyllotaxis and rhizotaxis in *Arabidopsis* are modified by three PLETHORA transcription factors. *Curr. Biol.* 23, 956–962. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.048
- Hu, X., and Xu, L. (2016). Transcription factors WOX11/12 directly activate WOX5/7 to promote root primordia initiation and organogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* 172, 2363–2373. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.01067
- Huang, H., Liu, B., Liu, L., and Song, S. (2017). Jasmonate action in plant growth and development. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 1349–1359. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw495
- Ibáñez, S., Ruiz-Cano, H., Fernández, M. Á, Sánchez-García, A. B., Villanova, J., Micol, J. L., et al. (2019). A network-guided genetic approach to identify novel regulators of adventitious root formation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:461. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00461
- Ikeuchi, M., Iwase, A., Rymen, B., Lambolez, A., Kojima, M., Takebayashi, Y., et al. (2017). Wounding triggers callus formation via dynamic hormonal and transcriptional changes. *Plant Physiol.* 175, 1158–1174. doi: 10.1104/pp.17. 01035
- Ikeuchi, M., Ogawa, Y., Iwase, A., and Sugimoto, K. (2016). Plant regeneration: cellular origins and molecular mechanisms. *Development* 143, 1442–1451. doi: 10.1242/dev.134668
- Kareem, A., Durgaprasad, K., Sugimoto, K., Du, Y., Pulianmackal, A. J., Trivedi, Z. B., et al. (2015). PLETHORA genes control regeneration by a two-step mechanism. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 1017–1030. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.02.022
- Kawata, S.-I., Yamazaki, K., Ishihara, K., Shibayama, H., and Lai, K.-L. (1963). Studies on root system formation in rice plants in a paddy. *Jpn. J. Crop Sci.* 32, 163–180. doi: 10.1626/jcs.32.163
- Kennison, J. A., and Tamkun, J. W. (1992). Trans-regulation of homeotic genes in Drosophila. New Biol. 4, 91–96.
- Kim, J.-Y., Yang, W., Forner, J., Lohmann, J. U., Noh, B., and Noh, Y.-S. (2018). Epigenetic reprogramming by histone acetyltransferase HAG1/AtGCN5 is required for pluripotency acquisition in *Arabidopsis. EMBO J.* 37:e98726. doi: 10.15252/embj.201798726
- Klerk, G.-J., de, van der Krieken, W., and de Jong, J. C. (1999). Review the formation of adventitious roots: new concepts, new possibilities. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant* 35, 189–199. doi: 10.1007/s11627-999-0076-z
- Kong, D., Hao, Y., and Cui, H. (2016). The WUSCHEL related homeobox protein WOX7 regulates the sugar response of lateral root development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Plant* 9, 261–270. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015.11. 006

- Kornet, N., and Scheres, B. (2009). Members of the GCN5 histone acetyltransferase complex regulate PLETHORA-mediated root stem cell niche maintenance and transit amplifying cell proliferation in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 21, 1070–1079. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.065300
- Kumar, R., Kushalappa, K., Godt, D., Pidkowich, M. S., Pastorelli, S., Hepworth, S. R., et al. (2007). The Arabidopsis BEL1-LIKE HOMEODOMAIN proteins SAW1 and SAW2 act redundantly to regulate KNOX expression spatially in leaf margins. *Plant Cell* 19, 2719–2735. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.048769
- Lakehal, A., and Bellini, C. (2019). Control of adventitious root formation: insights into synergistic and antagonistic hormonal interactions. *Physiol. Plant.* 165, 90–100. doi: 10.1111/ppl.12823
- Lavenus, J., Goh, T., Roberts, I., Guyomarc'h, S., Lucas, M., de Smet, I., et al. (2013). Lateral root development in *Arabidopsis*: fifty shades of auxin. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 450–458. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2013.04.006
- Lee, K., Park, O.-S., and Seo, P. J. (2017). *Arabidopsis* ATXR2 deposits H3K36me3 at the promoters of LBD genes to facilitate cellular dedifferentiation. *Sci. Signal.* 10:eaan0316. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aan0316
- Lee, K., Park, O.-S., and Seo, P. J. (2018). ATXR2 as a core regulator of de novo root organogenesis. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 13:e1449543. doi: 10.1080/15592324. 2018.1449543
- Lee, K., and Seo, P. J. (2018). Dynamic epigenetic changes during plant regeneration. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23, 235–247. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.11.009
- Lischweski, S., Muchow, A., Guthörl, D., and Hause, B. (2015). Jasmonates act positively in adventitious root formation in *Petunia* cuttings. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15:229. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0615-1
- Liu, H., Wang, S., Yu, X., Yu, J., He, X., Zhang, S., et al. (2005). ARL1, a LOBdomain protein required for adventitious root formation in rice. *Plant J.* 43, 47–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02434.x
- Liu, J., Hu, X., Qin, P., Prasad, K., Hu, Y., and Xu, L. (2018). The WOX11-LBD16 pathway promotes pluripotency acquisition in callus cells during de novo shoot regeneration in tissue culture. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 59, 734–743. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcy010
- Liu, J., Sheng, L., Xu, Y., Li, J., Yang, Z., Huang, H., et al. (2014). WOX11 and 12 are involved in the first-step cell fate transition during de novo root organogenesis in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 26, 1081–1093. doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.12 2887
- Lu, F., Cui, X., Zhang, S., Jenuwein, T., and Cao, X. (2011). Arabidopsis REF6 is a histone H3 lysine 27 demethylase. Nat. Genet. 43, 715–719. doi: 10.1038/ng.854
- Lucas, M., de Pu, L., Turco, G., Gaudinier, A., Morao, A. K., Harashima, H., et al. (2016). Transcriptional regulation of *Arabidopsis* polycomb repressive complex 2 coordinates cell-type proliferation and differentiation. *Plant Cell* 28, 2616–2631. doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00744
- Lucas, M., Swarup, R., Paponov, I. A., Swarup, K., Casimiro, I., Lake, D., et al. (2011). Short-Root regulates primary, lateral, and adventitious root development in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 155, 384–398. doi: 10.1104/pp.110. 165126
- Malamy, J. E., and Ryan, K. S. (2001). Environmental regulation of lateral root initiation in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 127, 899–909. doi: 10.1104/pp. 010406
- Marchant, A., Bhalerao, R., Casimiro, I., Eklöf, J., Casero, P. J., Bennett, M., et al. (2002). AUX1 promotes lateral root formation by facilitating indole-3-acetic acid distribution between sink and source tissues in the *Arabidopsis* seedling. *Plant Cell* 14, 589–597. doi: 10.1105/tpc.010354
- Marhava, P., Hoermayer, L., Yoshida, S., Marhavi, P., Benková, E., and Friml, J. (2019). Re-activation of stem cell pathways for pattern restoration in plant wound healing. *Cell* 177, 957–969.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019. 04.015
- Mashiguchi, K., Tanaka, K., Sakai, T., Sugawara, S., Kawaide, H., Natsume, M., et al. (2011). The main auxin biosynthesis pathway in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 18512–18517. doi: 10.1073/pnas.11084 34108
- Mauriat, M., Petterle, A., Bellini, C., and Moritz, T. (2014). Gibberellins inhibit adventitious rooting in hybrid aspen and *Arabidopsis* by affecting auxin transport. *Plant J.* 78, 372–384. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12478
- Müller, R., and Goodrich, J. (2011). Sweet memories: epigenetic control in flowering. F1000 Biol. Rep. 3:13. doi: 10.3410/B3-13
- Müller-Xing, R., Clarenz, O., Pokorny, L., Goodrich, J., and Schubert, D. (2014a). Polycomb-group proteins and FLOWERING LOCUS T maintain commitment

to flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 26, 2457–2471. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 114.123323

- Müller-Xing, R., Xing, Q., and Goodrich, J. (2014b). Footprints of the sun: memory of UV and light stress in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:474. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014. 00474
- Napsucialy-Mendivil, S., Alvarez-Venegas, R., Shishkova, S., and Dubrovsky, J. G. (2014). Arabidopsis homolog of trithorax1 (ATX1) is required for cell production, patterning, and morphogenesis in root development. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 6373–6384. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru355
- Okushima, Y., Fukaki, H., Onoda, M., Theologis, A., and Tasaka, M. (2007). ARF7 and ARF19 regulate lateral root formation via direct activation of LBD/ASL genes in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 19, 118–130. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.047761
- Okushima, Y., Overvoorde, P. J., Arima, K., Alonso, J. M., Chan, A., Chang, C., et al. (2005). Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR gene family members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: unique and overlapping functions of ARF7 and ARF19. *Plant Cell* 17, 444–463. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.028316
- Overvoorde, P., Fukaki, H., and Beeckman, T. (2010). Auxin control of root development. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2:a001537. doi: 10.1101/ cshperspect.a001537
- Papp, B., and Müller, J. (2006). Histone trimethylation and the maintenance of transcriptional ON and OFF states by trxG and PcG proteins. *Genes Dev.* 20, 2041–2054. doi: 10.1101/gad.388706
- Paulraj, S., and Yeung, E. C. (2012). Improved shoot regeneration from root explants using an abscisic acid-containing medium. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 877, 183–189. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-818-4_15
- Perez-Garcia, P., and Moreno-Risueno, M. A. (2018). Stem cells and plant regeneration. *Dev. Biol.* 442, 3–12. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.06.021
- Petrásek, J., and Friml, J. (2009). Auxin transport routes in plant development. Development 136, 2675–2688. doi: 10.1242/dev.030353
- Raya-González, J., Pelagio-Flores, R., and López-Bucio, J. (2012). The jasmonate receptor COI1 plays a role in jasmonate-induced lateral root formation and lateral root positioning in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Plant Physiol. 169, 1348–1358. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.05.002
- Sabatini, S., Heidstra, R., Wildwater, M., and Scheres, B. (2003). SCARECROW is involved in positioning the stem cell niche in the *Arabidopsis* root meristem. *Genes Dev.* 17, 354–358. doi: 10.1101/gad.252503
- Sarkar, A. K., Luijten, M., Miyashima, S., Lenhard, M., Hashimoto, T., Nakajima, K., et al. (2007). Conserved factors regulate signalling in *Arabidopsis thaliana* shoot and root stem cell organizers. *Nature* 446, 811–814. doi: 10.1038/nature05703
- Schmitges, F. W., Prusty, A. B., Faty, M., Stützer, A., Lingaraju, G. M., Aiwazian, J., et al. (2011). Histone methylation by PRC2 is inhibited by active chromatin marks. *Mol. Cell* 42, 330–341. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.03.025
- Sena, G., and Birnbaum, K. D. (2010). Built to rebuild: in search of organizing principles in plant regeneration. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 20, 460–465. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2010.04.011
- Servet, C., Conde e Silva, N., and Zhou, D.-X. (2010). Histone acetyltransferase AtGCN5/HAG1 is a versatile regulator of developmental and inducible gene expression in *Arabidopsis. Mol. Plant* 3, 670–677. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssq018
- Shang, B., Xu, C., Zhang, X., Cao, H., Xin, W., and Hu, Y. (2016). Very-long-chain fatty acids restrict regeneration capacity by confining pericycle competence for callus formation in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 5101–5106. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1522466113
- Sheng, L., Hu, X., Du, Y., Zhang, G., Huang, H., Scheres, B., et al. (2017). Non-canonical WOX11-mediated root branching contributes to plasticity in *Arabidopsis* root system architecture. *Development* 144, 3126–3133. doi: 10. 1242/dev.152132
- Shimotohno, A., Heidstra, R., Blilou, I., and Scheres, B. (2018). Root stem cell niche organizer specification by molecular convergence of PLETHORA and SCARECROW transcription factor modules. *Genes Dev.* 32, 1085–1100. doi: 10.1101/gad.314096.118
- Shoji, K., Addicott, F. T., and Swets, W. A. (1951). Auxin in relation to leaf blade abscission. *Plant Physiol.* 26, 189–191. doi: 10.1104/pp.26.1.189
- Skoog, F., and Miller, C. O. (1957). Chemical regulation of growth and organ formation in plant tissues cultured in vitro. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 11, 118–130.
- Smith, Z. D., and Meissner, A. (2013). DNA methylation: roles in mammalian development. Nat. Rev. Genet. 14, 204–220. doi: 10.1038/nrg3354
- Stepanova, A. N., Robertson-Hoyt, J., Yun, J., Benavente, L. M., Xie, D.-Y., Dolezal, K., et al. (2008). TAA1-mediated auxin biosynthesis is essential for hormone

crosstalk and plant development. Cell 133, 177–191. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.01. 047

- Su, Y. H., and Zhang, X. S. (2014). The hormonal control of regeneration in plants. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 108, 35–69. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-391498-9.000 10-3
- Sugimoto, K., Gordon, S. P., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (2011). Regeneration in plants and animals: dedifferentiation, transdifferentiation, or just differentiation? *Trends Cell Biol.* 21, 212–218. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2010.12.004
- Sugimoto, K., Jiao, Y., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (2010). Arabidopsis regeneration from multiple tissues occurs via a root development pathway. Dev. Cell 18, 463–471. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2010.02.004
- Sukumar, P., Maloney, G. S., and Muday, G. K. (2013). Localized induction of the ATP-binding cassette B19 auxin transporter enhances adventitious root formation in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 162, 1392–1405. doi: 10.1104/pp.113. 217174
- Sun, B., Chen, L., Liu, J., Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Liu, W., et al. (2016). TAA family contributes to auxin production during de novo regeneration of adventitious roots from *Arabidopsis* leaf explants. *Sci. Bull.* 61, 1728–1731. doi: 10.1007/ s11434-016-1185-9
- Sun, B., Looi, L.-S., Guo, S., He, Z., Gan, E.-S., Huang, J., et al. (2014). Timing mechanism dependent on cell division is invoked by polycomb eviction in plant stem cells. *Science* 343:1248559. doi: 10.1126/science.12 48559
- Sun, J., Xu, Y., Ye, S., Jiang, H., Chen, Q., Liu, F., et al. (2009). Arabidopsis ASA1 is important for jasmonate-mediated regulation of auxin biosynthesis and transport during lateral root formation. *Plant Cell* 21, 1495–1511. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.064303
- Sussex, I. M. (2008). The scientific roots of modern plant biotechnology. *Plant Cell* 20, 1189–1198. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.058735
- Swarup, K., Benková, E., Swarup, R., Casimiro, I., Péret, B., Yang, Y., et al. (2008). The auxin influx carrier LAX3 promotes lateral root emergence. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 10, 946–954. doi: 10.1038/ncb1754
- Takatsuka, H., and Umeda, M. (2015). Epigenetic control of cell division and cell differentiation in the root apex. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:1178. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015. 01178
- Tang, L. P., Zhou, C., Wang, S. S., Yuan, J., Zhang, X. S., and Su, Y. H. (2017). FUSCA3 interacting with LEAFY COTYLEDON2 controls lateral root formation through regulating YUCCA4 gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytol. 213, 1740–1754. doi: 10.1111/nph. 14313
- Valvekens, D., van Montagu, M., and van Lijsebettens, M. (1988). Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana root explants by using kanamycin selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 5536–5540. doi: 10.1073/pnas.85.15.5536
- van Norman, J. M., Xuan, W., Beeckman, T., and Benfey, P. N. (2013). To branch or not to branch: the role of pre-patterning in lateral root formation. *Development* 140, 4301–4310. doi: 10.1242/dev.090548
- Vanneste, S., Rybel, B., de Beemster, G. T. S., Ljung, K., de Smet, I., van Isterdael, G., et al. (2005). Cell cycle progression in the pericycle is not sufficient for SOLITARY ROOT/IAA14-mediated lateral root initiation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* 17, 3035–3050. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.035493
- Verstraeten, I., Schotte, S., and Geelen, D. (2014). Hypocotyl adventitious root organogenesis differs from lateral root development. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:495. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00495
- Vicente-Carbajosa, J., and Carbonero, P. (2005). Seed maturation: developing an intrusive phase to accomplish a quiescent state. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 49, 645–651. doi: 10.1387/ijdb.052046jc
- Vlachonasios, K. E., Thomashow, M. F., and Triezenberg, S. J. (2003). Disruption mutations of ADA2b and GCN5 transcriptional adaptor genes dramatically affect *Arabidopsis* growth, development, and gene expression. *Plant Cell* 15, 626–638. doi: 10.1105/tpc.007922
- Wang, X., Gao, J., Gao, S., Li, Z., Kuai, B., and Ren, G. (2019). REF6 promotes lateral root formation through de-repression of *PIN1/3/7* genes. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 61, 383–387. doi: 10.1111/jipb.12726
- Wang, Y., Duan, L., Lu, M., Li, Z., Wang, M., and Zhai, Z. (2006). Expression of NAC1 up-stream regulatory region and its relationship to the lateral root initiation induced by gibberellins and auxins. *Sci. China C Life Sci.* 49, 429–435. doi: 10.1007/s11427-006-2021-2

- Weijers, D., and Wagner, D. (2016). Transcriptional responses to the auxin hormone. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 67, 539–574. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-112122
- Won, C., Shen, X., Mashiguchi, K., Zheng, Z., Dai, X., Cheng, Y., et al. (2011). Conversion of tryptophan to indole-3-acetic acid by TRYPTOPHAN AMINOTRANSFERASES OF ARABIDOPSIS and YUCCAs in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108, 18518–18523. doi: 10.1073/pnas.110843 6108
- Wu, G., Lewis, D. R., and Spalding, E. P. (2007). Mutations in *Arabidopsis* multidrug resistance-like ABC transporters separate the roles of acropetal and basipetal auxin transport in lateral root development. *Plant Cell* 19, 1826–1837. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.048777
- Xiao, J., Jin, R., Yu, X., Shen, M., Wagner, J. D., Pai, A., et al. (2017). Cis and trans determinants of epigenetic silencing by Polycomb repressive complex 2 in *Arabidopsis. Nat. Genet.* 49, 1546–1552. doi: 10.1038/ng.3937
- Xiao, J., Lee, U.-S., and Wagner, D. (2016). Tug of war: adding and removing histone lysine methylation in *Arabidopsis. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 34, 41–53. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2016.08.002
- Xie, Q., Frugis, G., Colgan, D., and Chua, N. H. (2000). Arabidopsis NAC1 transduces auxin signal downstream of TIR1 to promote lateral root development. Genes Dev. 14, 3024–3036. doi: 10.1101/gad.852200
- Xu, D., Miao, J., Yumoto, E., Yokota, T., Asahina, M., and Watahiki, M. (2017). YUCCA9-mediated auxin biosynthesis and polar auxin transport synergistically regulate regeneration of root systems following root cutting. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 58, 1710–1723. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcx107
- Xu, L. (2018). De novo root regeneration from leaf explants: wounding, auxin, and cell fate transition. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 41, 39–45. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2017.08. 004
- Xu, L., and Huang, H. (2014). Genetic and epigenetic controls of plant regeneration. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* 108, 1–33. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-391498-9.00009-7
- Yan, W., Chen, D., Smaczniak, C., Engelhorn, J., Liu, H., Yang, W., et al. (2018). Dynamic and spatial restriction of Polycomb activity by plant histone demethylases. *Nat. Plants* 4, 681–689. doi: 10.1038/s41477-018-0219-5
- Yao, X., Feng, H., Yu, Y., Dong, A., and Shen, W.-H. (2013). SDG2-mediated H3K4 methylation is required for proper *Arabidopsis* root growth and development. *PLoS One* 8:e56537. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056537
- Yu, J., Liu, W., Liu, J., Qin, P., and Xu, L. (2017). Auxin control of root organogenesis from callus in tissue culture. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1385. doi: 10. 3389/fpls.2017.01385
- Zhang, G., Zhao, F., Chen, L., Pan, Y., Sun, L., Bao, N., et al. (2019). Jasmonatemediated wound signalling promotes plant regeneration. *Nat. Plants* 5, 491– 497. doi: 10.1038/s41477-019-0408-x
- Zhang, T., Li, R., Xing, J., Yan, L., Wang, R., and Zhao, Y. (2018). The YUCCAauxin-WOX11 module controls crown root development in rice. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:523. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00523
- Zhang, T.-Q., Lian, H., Zhou, C.-M., Xu, L., Jiao, Y., and Wang, J.-W. (2017). A two-step model for de novo activation of WUSCHEL during plant shoot regeneration. *Plant Cell* 29, 1073–1087. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00863
- Zhao, S., Zhang, X., and Li, H. (2018). Beyond histone acetylation-writing and erasing histone acylations. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 53, 169–177. doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2018.10.001
- Zhao, Y., Hu, Y., Dai, M., Huang, L., and Zhou, D.-X. (2009). The WUSCHELrelated homeobox gene WOX11 is required to activate shoot-borne crown root development in rice. *Plant Cell* 21, 736–748. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.061655
- Zhou, S., Jiang, W., Long, F., Cheng, S., Yang, W., Zhao, Y., et al. (2017). Rice homeodomain protein WOX11 recruits a histone acetyltransferase complex to establish programs of cell proliferation of crown root meristem. *Plant Cell* 29, 1088–1104. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00908
- Zhou, W., Lozano-Torres, J. L., Blilou, I., Zhang, X., Zhai, Q., Smant, G., et al. (2019). A jasmonate signaling network activates root stem cells and promotes regeneration. *Cell* 177, 942–956.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.006
- Zhou, Y., Wang, Y., Krause, K., Yang, T., Dongus, J. A., Zhang, Y., et al. (2018). Telobox motifs recruit CLF/SWN-PRC2 for H3K27me3 deposition via TRB factors in *Arabidopsis. Nat. Genet.* 50, 638–644. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0109-9
- Zimmerman, P. W., and Hitchcock, A. E. (1935). The response of roots to "Root-Forming" substances. Contrib. Boyce Thompson Inst. 7, 439–455.

Zimmerman, P. W., and Wilcoxon, F. (1935). Several chemical growth substances which cause initiation of roots and other responses in plants. *Contrib. Boyce Thompson Inst.* 7, 209–229.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Jing, Ardiansyah, Xu, Xing and Müller-Xing. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.