



# Structural Study of Agmatine Iminohydrolase From *Medicago truncatula*, the Second Enzyme of the Agmatine Route of Putrescine Biosynthesis in Plants

Bartosz Sekula\* and Zbigniew Dauter

Synchrotron Radiation Research Section of Macromolecular Crystallography Laboratory, National Cancer Institute, Argonne, IL, United States

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Rubén Alcázar,  
University of Barcelona, Spain

### Reviewed by:

Jarrod B. French,  
Stony Brook University,  
United States  
Pedro Carrasco,  
University of Valencia, Spain

### \*Correspondence:

Bartosz Sekula  
bartosz.sekula@nih.gov;  
sekula.bartosz@gmail.com

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Plant Metabolism and  
Chemodiversity,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 28 December 2018

Accepted: 27 February 2019

Published: 28 March 2019

### Citation:

Sekula B and Dauter Z (2019)  
Structural Study of Agmatine  
Iminohydrolase From *Medicago  
truncatula*, the Second Enzyme of the  
Agmatine Route of Putrescine  
Biosynthesis in Plants.  
*Front. Plant Sci.* 10:320.  
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00320

Plants are unique eukaryotes that can produce putrescine (PUT), a basic diamine, from arginine *via* a three-step pathway. This process starts with arginine decarboxylase that converts arginine to agmatine. Then, the consecutive action of two hydrolytic enzymes, agmatine iminohydrolase (AIH) and *N*-carbamoylputrescine amidohydrolase, ultimately produces PUT. An alternative route of PUT biosynthesis requires ornithine decarboxylase that catalyzes direct putrescine biosynthesis. However, some plant species lack this enzyme and rely only on agmatine pathway. The scope of this manuscript concerns the structural characterization of AIH from the model legume plant, *Medicago truncatula*. *MtAIH* is a homodimer built of two subunits with a characteristic propeller fold, where five  $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$  repeated units are arranged around the fivefold pseudosymmetry axis. Dimeric assembly of this plant AIH, formed by interactions of conserved structural elements from one repeat, is drastically different from that observed in dimeric bacterial AIHs. Additionally, the structural snapshot of *MtAIH* in complex with 6-aminohexanamide, the reaction product analog, presents the conformation of the enzyme during catalysis. Our structural results show that *MtAIH* undergoes significant structural rearrangements of the long loop, which closes a tunnel-shaped active site over the course of the catalytic event. This conformational change is also observed in AIH from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, indicating the importance of the closed conformation of the gate-keeping loop for the catalysis of plant AIHs.

**Keywords:** polyamine biosynthesis, putrescine, beta/alpha propeller fold, penteins, agmatine deiminase, guanidine-modifying enzymes

## INTRODUCTION

Biosynthesis of putrescine (PUT) starts from arginine (ARG) and follows one of the two pathways which comprise agmatine (AGM) or ornithine (ORN) biotransformation (Michael, 2017). The AGM route is important and widely spread among plants, algae, and prokaryotic organisms (Michael, 2016). First, ARG is decarboxylated to AGM by arginine decarboxylase (ADC). The

later conversion of AGM to PUT in plants is carried out by agmatine iminohydrolase (AIH) and further by *N*-carbamoylputrescine amidohydrolase (CPA), an octameric protein with a quaternary structure resembling an incomplete left-handed helix (Sekula et al., 2016). *AIH* and *CPA* genes have been acquired by plants through an endosymbiotic gene transfer from the cyanobacterial ancestor of the chloroplast (Illingworth et al., 2003). Actually, plants are unique eukaryotes to biosynthesize PUT *via* the AGM biotransformation, which makes ADC, AIH, and CPA potential targets for herbicide design (Böger and Sandmann, 1989). In some bacteria, AGM-to-PUT conversion is also catalyzed by agmatine ureohydrolase (agmatinase) (Satishchandran and Boyle, 1986) and most of the cyanobacteria use this enzyme instead of AIH and CPA (Fuell et al., 2010). Some Gram-positive bacteria may also obtain PUT in a catabolic pathway which produces ATP from the carbamoyl phosphate obtained from the AGM-to-PUT transformation (Llacer et al., 2007). The second manner of PUT biosynthesis, the ORN route, is dominant for most eukaryotes, including animals and fungi, and involves ORN decarboxylation catalyzed by ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) (Janowitz et al., 2003). Some plant species like *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Physcomitrella patens* do not have the *ODC* gene (Hanfrey et al., 2001) and they rely only on the AGM-to-PUT bioconversion. Other plants, which have preserved *ODC*, may obtain PUT either from AGM or ORN.

PUT is the starting backbone for larger polyamines (PAs) which are produced by specialized aminopropyltransferases, enzymes which use decarboxylated *S*-adenosylmethionine as a donor of the aminopropyl group. Therefore, the first transfer of the aminopropyl group to PUT, catalyzed by spermidine synthase (SPDS), yields triamine spermidine (SPD). SPD is the substrate for the second transfer which yields symmetrical spermine or unsymmetrical thermospermine. For a long time, it was elusive whether both tetraamines are biosynthesized in plants, but they are actually formed by two distinct proteins, spermine synthase (SPMS) and thermospermine synthase (TSPS). Aminopropyltransferases are distinguished by several structural features that favor each enzyme toward the specific PA production (Sekula and Dauter, 2018).

PAs are essential for the regulation of various physiological processes which secure the proper growth and development of higher plants (Takano et al., 2012; Jimenez-Bremont et al., 2014; Minocha et al., 2014; Tiburcio et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015). The cationic character of PAs promotes their interactions with anionic proteins and nucleic acids, thus affecting transcription, translation (Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Igarashi and Kashiwagi, 2010; Tiburcio et al., 2014), and the rate of membrane transport (Pottosin et al., 2014; Pottosin and Shabala, 2014). SPD is an important donor of aminobutyl group for the posttranslational modification of the hypusine-containing translation elongation factor eIF5A in eukaryotes and archaea (Prunetti et al., 2016).

**Abbreviations:** ADC, Arginine decarboxylase; AHX, 6-aminohexanamide; AIH, Agmatine iminohydrolase; CPA, *N*-carbamoylputrescine amidohydrolase; GME, Guanidine-modifying enzyme; NCP, *N*-carbamoylputrescine; ODC, Ornithine decarboxylase; PA, Polyamine; PUT, Putrescine; SPD, Spermidine; SPM, Spermine.

PAs can also modulate the activity of antioxidant enzymes and thereby influence the concentration of reactive oxygen species (Radhakrishnan and Lee, 2013; Kamiab et al., 2014; Mostofa et al., 2014). PA accumulation is often related with its protective role for the environmental stress conditions and leads to an increase of stress tolerance of the plant (Capell et al., 2004; Alcazar et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Berberich et al., 2015). Meanwhile, defects of PA biosynthesis pathway result in the retardation, sterility, and other developmental pathologies in plants (Hanzawa et al., 2000).

Herein, we describe the structural characterization of AIH from *Medicago truncatula* (*MtAIH*), the model legume plant. The enzyme is responsible for the second step of the AGM pathway of PUT biosynthesis, that is the hydrolytic conversion of AGM to *N*-carbamoylputrescine (NCP) with the release of ammonia. Plant AIHs, as well as CPAs, do not contain chloroplast-targeting peptides and they act in the cytoplasm. This is opposite to the first enzyme of the pathway, ADC, which initializes PUT biosynthesis in plastids (Illingworth et al., 2003). The advantage of AGM production outside plastids could be explained by the availability of AGM in the cytoplasm not only for PUT production but also for the biosynthesis of *N*-hydroxycinnamoyl conjugates, which may serve as precursors of defensive compounds (Burhenne et al., 2003). AIH belongs to one of the seven types of guanidine-modifying enzymes (GMEs) (Shirai et al., 2006). It is a member of the pentein superfamily that is characterized by the propeller-like arrangement of five repeated motifs that form a narrow channel with a central, negatively charged core (Hartzoulakis et al., 2007). The conserved catalytic triad of GMEs (His, Asp, and Cys) is responsible for a range of activities, which cover transferase and hydrolytic reactions on the guanidine-containing compounds (Hartzoulakis et al., 2007). Although AIHs from various plant species, including corn (Yanagisawa and Suzuki, 1981), soybean (Park and Cho, 1991), and maize (Yanagisawa, 2001) were isolated, there is no published structural characterization of any plant AIH available, except for unpublished reported entries in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) of AIH from *A. thaliana* (*AtAIH*, PDB ID 3H7K, 3H7C, 1VKP, Center for Eukaryotic Structural Genomics).

In this work, we present the high-resolution crystal structure of non-liganded *MtAIH* and the structure with the reaction product analog—6-aminohexanamide (AHX). This, combined with the in-solution small-angle X-ray scattering analysis, provides data for the characterization of this plant AIH and a detailed comparison of plant AIHs (*MtAIH* and *AtAIH*) with their bacterial orthologs.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cloning, Overexpression, and Purification of *MtAIH*

In order to express and purify *MtAIH* (UniProt ID G7JT50), we used the protocol which was recently successfully applied in the studies of other plant enzymes (Ruszkowski et al., 2018; Sekula et al., 2018). Briefly, the following primers, forward: TACTTCCAATCCAATGCCCATGGCTTTCACATGCCTGCAG

AAT and reverse: TTATCCACTTCCAATGTTACTAAATGGCTG GTTGTGCTGAGTGAT and the cDNA from leaves of *M. truncatula* as a template were used in a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) prior to obtaining *MtAIH* open reading frame (MTR\_4g112810) with encoded protein starting from codon number 11. The incorporation of *MtAIH* gene into the pMCSG68 vector (Midwest Center for Structural Genomics) was performed according to the ligase-independent cloning (Kim et al., 2011) protocol. The vector introduces an N-terminal His<sub>6</sub>-tag followed by the Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease cleavage site to the cloned protein and the Ser-Asn-Ala linker that is not cleaved from the expressed protein. In the next step, the BL21 Gold *E. coli* competent cells (Agilent Technologies) were transformed with the vector containing the *MtAIH* gene. The cells were precultured at 37°C in LB medium with the addition of ampicillin (150 µg/ml) overnight. Next, 1.5% v/v of the culture was used as the inoculum of the fresh LB medium with ampicillin. It was cultured at 37°C until OD<sub>600</sub> reached a value 1.0. In the next step, the culture was cooled to 10°C for 2 h and then the protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The protein overexpression was carried out at 18°C for 16 h. Before pelleting the cells in the centrifuge at 3,500 × g for 30 min, the culture was cooled to 4°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in 35 ml of the binding buffer [50 mM HEPES pH 7.4; 500 mM NaCl; 20 mM imidazole; 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, TCEP] and frozen at -80°C. Thawed cells were disrupted by sonication in an ice/water bath for 4 min (bursts of 4 s with 26-s intervals). Then, the cellular debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 25,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C.

The first step of *MtAIH* purification was performed on a column packed with 5 ml of HisTrap HP resin (GE Healthcare) connected to the Vac-Man laboratory vacuum manifold (Promega). The supernatant was applied to the column and washed five times with 40 ml of the binding buffer. The protein elution was performed with 20 ml of the elution buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4; 500 mM NaCl; 400 mM imidazole; 1 mM TCEP). His<sub>6</sub>-tagged TEV protease (final concentration of 0.1 mg/ml) was used to cleave the His<sub>6</sub>-tag from *MtAIH*. This step was simultaneous to the overnight dialysis at 4°C against the dialysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0; 500 mM NaCl; 1 mM TCEP). After dialysis, the sample was applied on HisTrap HP resin to remove the cleaved His<sub>6</sub>-tag and His<sub>6</sub>-tagged TEV protease. The final step of the purification of *MtAIH* was size exclusion chromatography on HiLoad Superdex 200 16/60 column (GE Healthcare) connected to an AKTA FPLC system (Amersham Biosciences). The column was equilibrated in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 50 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP.

## Crystallization and Data Collection

*MtAIH* was concentrated with Amicon concentrators (Millipore) to the final concentration of 8 mg/ml, determined by the absorbance measurement at 280 nm with the extinction coefficient of 77,920. The composition of the protein buffer was the same as the buffer used for the size exclusion chromatography. The sample was subjected to crystallization trials with use of Morpheus Screen (Molecular Dimensions) and PEG/Ion Screen (Hampton Research). Unused protein

was stored in -80°C in 50-µl aliquots for later use. Crystals of *MtAIH* were grown in 0.2 M sodium acetate, 20% PEG 3350 at pH 8.0. The *MtAIH*-AHX complex was obtained by cocrystallization of *MtAIH* with 10 mM of the ligand in 37th conditions of Morpheus Screen (Molecular Dimensions; 0.12 M Alcohols, 0.1 M Buffer System 1 at pH 6.5, 30% v/v Precipitant Mix 1) diluted with water to 70% of original concentration. Glycerol (25%) was used as a cryoprotectant for the freezing of native crystals. Crystals of *MtAIH*-AHX were cryoprotected by original 37th conditions of Morpheus Screen. Protein was crystallized by sitting and hanging drop methods.

Diffraction data were collected at SER-CAT 22-ID beamline at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory, USA. The data were processed with XDS (Kabsch, 2010) and scaled using anisotropic diffraction limits with STARANISO<sup>1</sup>. The anisotropic cut-off surface for *MtAIH* data has been determined with best and worst diffraction limits 1.20 and 1.42 Å, respectively. In the case of *MtAIH*-AHX, the diffraction resolution was truncated between 2.20 and 2.66 Å. **Table 1** provides detailed statistics for spherical and anisotropic truncation. Anisotropic data treatment improved the electron density maps of refined structures. Coordinates and structure factors were deposited in the PDB with the following IDs: 6NIB (*MtAIH*), 6NIC (*MtAIH*-AHX).

## Structure Determination and Refinement

The structure of *MtAIH* was solved by molecular replacement in *Phaser* (McCoy et al., 2007) with the structure of *AtAIH* (PDB ID 1VKP) as a search model. The initial model was rebuilt in *PHENIX AutoBuild* (Terwilliger et al., 2008). Then, the structure was subjected to manual and automatic refinement with *Coot* (Emsley et al., 2010) and *Phenix* (Adams et al., 2010) with anisotropic *B*-factors. Refined structure of unliganded *MtAIH* was used as a model for determination of the structure of *MtAIH*-AHX that was refined with isotropic *B*-factors and TLS (Winn et al., 2001, 2003) in *Refmac* (Murshudov et al., 2011). The refinement was carried out until the *R*<sub>work</sub> and *R*<sub>free</sub> values (Brunger, 1992), the geometric parameters, and the overall difference electron density maps were satisfactory. Evaluation of the final structures was performed in *PROCHECK* (Laskowski et al., 1993) and *MolProbity* (Chen et al., 2010). The final refinement statistics are given in **Table 1**.

## Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering Data Collection and Analysis

SAXS data were collected from 5.5 mg/ml *MtAIH* solution at the BioCAT 18-ID beamline (Fischetti et al., 2004) at APS with in-line size exclusion chromatography (SEC-SAXS) to separate sample from aggregates, thus ensuring optimal sample homogeneity. The sample was loaded on a WTC-015S5 column (Wyatt Technologies) connected to an Infinity II HPLC (Agilent Technologies). The sample after the column was sent to the Agilent UV detector, a Multi-Angle Light Scattering (MALS)

<sup>1</sup><http://staraniso.globalphasing.org/cgi-bin/staraniso.cgi>

**TABLE 1** | Data-collection and refinement statistics.

Structure	MtAIH	MtAIH-AHX
<b>Data collection</b>		
Beamline	22-ID	22-ID
Wavelength (Å)	1.00	1.00
Temperature (K)	100	100
Oscillation range (°)	0.5	0.5
Space group	C2	P6 <sub>2</sub> 2
Unit cell parameters (Å, °)	$a = 147.0$ $b = 75.5$ $c = 47.1$ , $\beta = 108.6$	$a = b = 142.4$ $c = 345.4$
Resolution <sup>1</sup> (Å)	39.96–1.20 <sup>2</sup> (1.28–1.20)	50.19–2.20 <sup>3</sup> (2.38–2.20)
Reflections collected/ unique	440,225/121248 (17,439/5584)	634,341/80033 (28,294/4106)
Completeness (%)		
Spherical	79.2 (19.5)	76.7 (19.3)
Ellipsoidal	93.8 (58.5)	94.7 (68.2)
Multiplicity	3.6 (3.1)	7.9 (6.9)
$R_{\text{merge}}$ (%)	3.1 (46.3)	12.1 (72.6)
$\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle$	19.1 (2.5)	13.1 (2.7)
CC1/2 (%)	100 (75.8)	99.8 (81.4)
<b>Refinement</b>		
$R_{\text{free}}$ reflections	1,568	1,232
No. of atoms (non-H)		
Protein	2,898	11,351
Ligands	34	80
Solvent	587	773
$R_{\text{work}}/R_{\text{free}}$ (%)	11.2/13.7	16.5/20.4
Mean ADP <sup>4</sup> (Å <sup>2</sup> )	10.4	33.8
RMSD from ideal geometry		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.014	0.018
Bond angles (°)	1.4	1.9
Ramachandran statistics (%)		
Favored	98	96
Allowed	2	4
Outliers	0	0
PDB code	6NIB	6NIC

<sup>1</sup>Best anisotropic diffraction limit cut-off.


<sup>2</sup>Worst diffraction limit after cut-off is 1.42 Å.

<sup>3</sup>Worst diffraction limit after cut-off is 2.66 Å.

<sup>4</sup>ADP, atomic displacement parameter.

Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

detector, and a Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) detector (DAWN Helios II, Wyatt Technologies), and an RI detector (Optilab T-rEX, Wyatt). Molecular weights and hydrodynamic radii were calculated from the MALS and DLS data respectively using the ASTRA 7 software (Wyatt). Afterward, the sample was sent to the SAXS flow cell, a 1.5-mm quartz capillary. Scattering intensity was recorded at 1.03-Å wavelength at room temperature, with 0.5-s exposures every 2 s on a Pilatus3 1M detector (Dectris) placed 3.5 m from the capillary (collected  $q$ -range was 0.004–0.4 Å<sup>-1</sup>). Data reduction and analysis were performed by *BioXTAS RAW* 1.5.1 (Hopkins et al., 2017). Frames corresponding to the elution peak of the chromatogram were averaged to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio. Several frames immediately proximal to the sample peak (buffer frames) were averaged and subtracted from the sample scattering to obtain the final SAXS curve (**Figure 1A**). The  $R_g$  value calculated from the Guinier (**Figure 1B**) and distance distribution analysis (**Figure 1C**) was 30 Å. The calculated maximum dimension of the particle ( $D_{\text{max}}$ ) was 96 Å. The  $qR_g$  limits for further calculations were 0.43–1.29.



*Ab initio* envelopes with the restraint of twofold symmetry were calculated in *DAMMIF* (Franke and Svergun, 2009), averaged with *DAMAVR* (Volkov and Svergun, 2003), refined with *DAMMIN* (Svergun, 1999), and filtered with *DAMFILT*. *SUPCOMB* was used for the superposition of the SAXS envelope with the crystallographic dimer of MtAIH. *DENSS* (Grant, 2018) was used for the calculation of the *ab initio* electron density maps directly from the SAXS data with no prior information about the symmetry of the molecule.

## Other Software Used


Molecular illustrations were created with UCSF *Chimera* (Pettersen et al., 2004). Ramachandran plot was calculated in *Rampage* (Lovell et al., 2003). Secondary structure was recognized with *ProMotif* (Hutchinson and Thornton, 1996) within the *PDBsum* server (de Beer et al., 2014). Sequence alignments were performed in *CLUSTAL W* (Thompson et al., 1994) and edited in *BioEdit* (Hall, 1999).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *MtAIH* Presents the Pentain $\alpha/\beta$ Propeller Fold

AIHs are assigned by the Structural Classification of Proteins (SCOPe) (Fox et al., 2014) to the porphyromonas-type peptidylarginine deiminase family that is a part of the Superfamily of pentains, characterized by a propeller-like arrangement of five  $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$  units which form a narrow channel in the core (Hartzoulakis et al., 2007). Pentains share a conserved group of residues that recognize the guanidine moiety of the substrate—His, Cys, and two acidic, guanidine-binding residues (usually Asp) which serve to catalyze a range of reactions (Linsky and Fast, 2010). The monomer of *MtAIH* is no different in this matter, i.e., five motif repeats (I–V) are arranged around fivefold pseudosymmetry axis that is aligned with the catalytic tunnel in the core of the protein (Figures 2A,B). Class, Architecture, Topology, Homology (CATH) server (Sillitoe et al., 2015) matches *MtAIH* with the L-arginine/glycine amidinotransferase superfamily that belongs to the Class 3 of alpha beta proteins with the architecture of a five-bladed propeller.

The overall globular shape of the *MtAIH* monomer resembles pentagonal prism, where the longest helices ( $\eta 1/\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 1$ ,  $\alpha 7$ ,  $\alpha 9$ ,  $\alpha 11$ ) are positioned in the imaginary vertices of the pentagon with all  $\beta$ -strands running along the direction marked by these helices. Sixteen strands in *MtAIH* form five  $\beta$ -sheets, one four-stranded, and four with three strands each. All  $\beta$ -sheets are oriented toward the center of the molecule forming five “blades” of the propeller (Figure 2B). Repeat I with its four-stranded  $\beta$ -sheet actually disturbs the overall fivefold pseudosymmetry of the molecule, i.e., it has the additional strand  $\beta 3$  and the helix  $\eta 2/\alpha 2$  which are placed outside the pentagonal shape. Moreover, the  $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta$  motif of unit I is, in fact, discontinuous and it is fully formed with the complementation of C-termini, more precisely, by  $\alpha 14$  and  $\beta 16$  (Figure 2A). In the center of the molecule, four short helices ( $\alpha 3$ ,  $\eta 6$ ,  $\eta 9$ ,  $\alpha 14$ ), that directly precede internal strands from repeats I–IV, line the surface of the negatively charged central channel, that is the active site. These core helices are placed on N-terminal sides of the inner strands of  $\beta$  sheets from repeats I–IV. Only the inner strand of repeat V ( $\beta 13$ ) is not directly preceded by a short helix. Instead, the first helix of this repeat ( $\alpha 11$ ) is actually longer than helices that build the active site and it is placed almost outside of the outline of the protein which precludes it from the interactions with the substrate in the active site. Additionally,  $\alpha 11$  is flanked by long coils. One of these coils (residues 291–314) covers the active site entrance and plays a crucial role in the substrate recognition (see below for details). *MtAIH* has a very high structural similarity to the other plant ortholog, *AtAIH* (unpublished, PDB ID 3H7K, overall sequence identity is 70%), with the 0.6 Å root mean square deviation of the superposed structures. Both plant AIHs have a similar organization of secondary structure and almost identical architecture of the active site.



## MtAIH Forms Symmetric Dimers


The molecular mass of *MtAIH* calculated from MALS is 81 kDa, which almost ideally agrees with the molecular weight of two monomers (theoretical mass of the *MtAIH* construct is 41.2 kDa). The dimeric assembly of *MtAIH* is also shown by SAXS envelope even though the calculated mass of *MtAIH* from SAXS was underestimated to be ~60 kDa. The envelope with twofold symmetry restraints (Figure 3A) and *ab initio* electron density map that was calculated with no information about the molecule symmetry (Figure 3B) clearly correspond to the *MtAIH* dimer in the crystal lattice where two subunits are related by twofold symmetry. It is worth to note that both crystal structures, *MtAIH* and *MtAIH*-AHX, present different crystallographic symmetry (see Table 1) with one and four chains in the asymmetric unit, respectively. In the case of the unliganded structure, the dimer is created by a crystallographic twofold axis, while in the *MtAIH*-AHX complex, there are two almost identical dimers in the asymmetric unit. The comparison of our results with the crystal structure of *AtAIH* (Figure 3C) clearly shows that *AtAIH* also forms dimers analogical to *MtAIH*.


The results are somewhat contrary to the analysis of the *MtAIH* crystal structure done with the PISA server (Krissinel and Henrick, 2007). It shows that monomer of *MtAIH* has the surface area ~14,000 Å<sup>2</sup> with the biggest interface area ~900 Å<sup>2</sup> that is shared with the closest monomer in the crystal lattice. It is about 6.5% of the monomer surface and it was estimated by the PISA server to have no role in the complex formation. However, in both *MtAIH* crystal forms, this interface area between two subunits is preserved and, taking it together with SAXS and MALS results, it is, in fact, responsible for the formation of *MtAIH* dimers. The intersubunit interactions on this interface involve 25 residues (the same set from both interacting subunits) where about half of them create 20 hydrogen

bonds or salt bridges. The interface residues belong to the β2, β3, and η2/α2 from repeat I and α5 which belongs to the repeat II (Figure 2B). Two subunits of *AtAIH* in the crystal structure (PDB ID 3H7K) share the analogical interface area and the PISA server analysis does not recognize it as a dimer either. Most of the interacting residues are preserved in both plant orthologs, but *AtAIH* evidently presents fewer interacting residues which altogether form only 14 hydrogen bonds.


A dimeric assembly was independently reported for the other plant AIHs, including *AtAIH* (Janowitz et al., 2003), *AIH* from maize (*ZmAIH*) (Yanagisawa, 2001) and rice (*OsAIH*) (Mohan Chaudhuri and Ghosh, 1985). The reported exception (Park and Cho, 1991) is a 70-kDa monomeric protein from soybean that was described to have AIH activity. However, the authors did not provide the sequence of the isolated protein. Also, any record classified as AIH matches to the reported description. The sequence alignment (Figure 4) of the dimeric plant AIHs shows that 19 residues (out of the pool of 25 which form the interface in *MtAIH*) are identical or very similar in all four plant AIHs. A similar extent of conservation applies to polar and hydrophobic residues on the dimer interface. Identical polar positions are: Gln24, Glu58, Thr61, Ser65, Gln68, Arg73, Arg81, Glu84, Ser86, Lys145, Glu150, and Arg151. These residues in *MtAIH* are involved in 14 hydrogen bonds, that is, 70% of all hydrogen bonds found in the interface analysis.

Analyzing sequence conservation of all plant AIHs (Figure 5), there is no obvious highly conserved area around the dimer interface that can be distinguished right away. However, when considering the conservation of particular residues involved in the hydrogen bonding between both subunits, most of them stand out as highly conserved (Val60, Arg73, Arg81, Val82, Glu84, Ser86, Lys145), whereas only three are very variable residues (Asp148, Val149, Arg151). Moreover, hydrophobic





**FIGURE 4 |** Sequence alignment of selected A1Hs. The alignment was made with the following A1H sequences (*UniProt* accession numbers are given in square brackets), as well as the sequence identity with *MtA1H*: *MtA1H* [G7JT50], *AtA1H* [Q8GW77, 70% sequence identity], *OsA1H* [Q01KF3, 65%], *ZmA1H* [C0PHP8, 64%], *CjA1H* [Q0P9V0, 26%], *HpA1H* [Q24890, 26%], *PgA1H* [Q7MXM8, 27%], *EFA1H* [Q837U5, 44%], *Sma1H* [Q8DW17, 45%]. Sequence positions above the alignment and annotation of the secondary structure elements ( $\alpha$  helices and  $3_{10}$  helices,  $\eta$ , are shown as red cylinders and  $\beta$  strands are shown as cyan arrows) correspond to *MtA1H*. Residues are color-coded by type. Green circles indicate residues that form the active site or participate in the interactions with the bound substrate. Blue and green lines below the alignment indicate residues that form dimer interface in *MtA1H* and *HpA1H*, respectively. The gate-keeping loop over the active site of plant A1Hs is marked with a purple dotted line.



interactions seem to be important for the dimer formation as well—at the center of the interface between dimer mates there is a patch of apolar residues (Trp69, Val83, Ile85, Val149). All of these residues are apolar in plant AIHs.

### Bacterial AIH Analogs With Various Biological Assemblies


In the PDB, there are several structures of bacterial representatives of AIHs that not necessarily form dimers like plant AIHs (**Figure 6A**). The bacterial AIHs form tetramers, like AIH from *Streptococcus mutans* (SmAih, PDB ID 2EWO) and *Enterococcus faecalis* (EfAIH, PDB ID 2JER) (Llacer et al., 2007) or monomers like AIH from *Campylobacter jejuni* (CjAIH, PDB ID 6B2W) (Shek et al., 2017). There are also dimeric bacterial AIHs like *Helicobacter pylori* (HpAIH, PDB ID 3HVM) (Jones et al., 2010a) or *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (PgAIH, PDB ID 1ZBR). HpAIH and PgAIH both present analogical dimer interface, however, it is different than the dimer interface of plant AIHs (**Figure 6B**). In these two bacterial dimeric AIHs, the interface residues are from repeats II and III. To be more precise, these residues correspond to the residues from  $\beta 5$ ,  $\alpha 4$ ,  $\alpha 5$ ,  $\beta 6$ , and the loop between  $\alpha 7$  and  $\alpha 8$  of MtAIH (**Figure 4**). This dimer interface of both bacterial AIHs is even smaller ( $\sim 700 \text{ \AA}^2$ , slightly above 5% of the monomer surface) than that of plant AIHs. A closer look at the superposition of MtAIH with bacterial dimeric AIHs (**Figure 6B**, right panel) reveals the bacterial interface to be placed very close to the region of repeat II that in MtAIH forms short helix  $\alpha 4$ . In plant AIHs, this region is important for the ligand binding and the conformation of  $\alpha 4$  shows that it would create severe steric clashes with the dimer mate. These regions of bacterial dimeric AIHs and CjAIH are five residues shorter. On the other hand, a closer look at the dimer interface of plant AIHs (**Figure 6A**, left panel) shows the different orientation of two helices in bacterial dimeric AIHs— $\eta 2/\alpha 2$  and  $\alpha 5$  with significantly different residues in these helices.

### Substrate Binding Mode of Plant AIHs

The MtAIH-AHX structure was obtained by cocrystallization and presents the bound AHX in three out of four protein chains that are present in the asymmetric unit. The ligand used for this study structurally differs from the physiological reaction product of MtAIH, NCP, by the methylene which substitutes the secondary amine of NCP (adjacent to the carbamoyl moiety). Therefore, the conformation of the complex is similar to the conformation of the enzyme with the bound product after the reaction, representing a highly probable NCP binding mode (**Figure 7A**). It is worth to note that the MtAIH-AHX structure shows a somewhat dynamic character where relative conformation of the ligand and surrounding residues (especially close to the terminal amine of AHX) is slightly different in each chain. Residues which are closer to the entrance of the active site have the B factor value significantly higher than the average B factor for the structure. The mean B factor value of the AHX ( $\sim 35 \text{ \AA}^2$ ) is comparable to the structure average ( $\sim 34 \text{ \AA}^2$ ), but it is still higher than the B factor of residues placed deep in the cavity. This can be explained by the nonphysiological character of AHX in comparison to AGM or even NCP; binding of the ligand was enabled due to its high concentration. The other available plant AIH structure which shows the details about the ligand binding mode is the structure of AtAIH with the reaction intermediate (**Figure 7B**, PDB ID 3H7K, unpublished structure). Therefore, the substrate binding mode of plant AIHs can be described by the analogy of these two AIHs.

The active site of MtAIH is formed as a negatively charged channel (**Figure 8**) that is covered by coil region which links repeat IV and V (residues 291–314) which forms a kind of a lid over the catalytic site (**Figure 2B**). The active site itself is highly conserved among plant AIHs with the exception of Trp125 which in plant species can also be replaced by Tyr. Most likely, this does not drastically alter the shape and character of the channel. In the case of MtAIH, the channel is formed







by side chains of Trp91 and Trp125 where the planes of their indole rings are positioned almost perpendicularly to each other. The character of this region resembles the active site entrance of *MtCPA* (Sekula et al., 2016), where also Trp residues shape the tunnel which guides to the catalytic Cys residue. In plant AIHs on the other side of the tunnel, there is Gly361 which due to the lack of side chain leaves the necessary void space for the ammonia and water molecules that are important for catalysis (see below for details).

Generally, GMEs bind their substrates with three different modes—1, 2A, 2B (Shirai et al., 2006). Of course, the bound substrates are structurally very diverse and also the orientation of the guanidine moiety placed in the vicinity of the catalytic triad is not always the same. In mode 1, substrates are bound in a way that their terminal parts (more distant from the catalytic triad) interact with residues from repeat IV and V. This promotes a completely different orientation of guanidine moiety at the bottom of the catalytic channel, which is rotated in comparison

to the other two binding modes. The bound ligands in modes 2A and 2B interact with residues from repeat II and III. In the case of plant AIHs, the substrate binding mode corresponds to the mode 2 and is more similar to 2A, where the terminal amine group of AGM interacts with residues from repeat II. Therefore, the guanidine moiety of bound AGM reaches the active site bottom with a catalytic triad (in *MtAIH* these are Cys366, Asp226, and His224) pointing toward Asp226. Polar residues that interact with the AGM guanidine moiety are Asn94, Asn226, and His224. They are responsible for the positioning of the plane of guanidine moiety very similar to the orientation of amide moiety of AHX (Figure 7A) so that it is susceptible to the nucleophilic attack from sulfur atom of Cys366 that is placed almost ideally on the line normal to the amide plane of AHX. The terminal amine of AGM placed by the entrance of the channel is stabilized by direct H-bonds with Asp220, Ala360, and a water-mediated H-bond with Asp89, analogous to the interactions observed in the *AtAIH* structure (Figure 7B,




PDB ID 3H7K, unpublished structure). Therefore, AGM that binds within the catalytic site of AIH is stabilized by a network of polar interactions involving every heteroatom in the substrate and by a series of hydrophobic interactions between its trimethylene moiety and the hydrophobic residues in the active site channel that connects the entrance with the catalytic site.

### Concerted Conformational Rearrangements Upon Ligand Binding

The close vicinity of the *MtAIH* active site is surrounded by two very flexible regions built mostly by long loops. One is the gate-keeping loop covering the active site (residues 291–314), which is a linker between repeat IV and V (**Figure 2B**). The other concerns the fragment 122–136 which belongs to the

repeat II, where  $\alpha 4$  is located. The gate-keeping loop is very variable in plant AIHs except for Arg301 and Arg306. Both regions are disordered in the non-liganded *MtAIH* structure (**Figure 9A**), more precisely fragments between Glu129-Cys134 and Pro300-Tyr-293 were excluded from the structure due to the lack of electron density maps that would show their conformation. On the other hand, in the structure of *MtAIH*-AHX complex, the electron density clearly shows their position (**Figure 9B**). This feature is also observed in *AtAIH* (PDB ID 3H7K) with reaction intermediate, where the conformation of these coiled regions is fully modeled. Altogether, when the ligand is bound in the active site, these two regions come close together to form hydrogen bonds: between carbonyl oxygen of Cys132 and amide nitrogen of Lys299, and between



backbone nitrogen of Cys134 and carbonyl oxygen of Gly297. Additionally, the guanidine group of Arg301 from the gate-keeping loop creates H-bonds with Asp220 and Asn35 in close vicinity of the AGM binding site. Therefore, this disorder-to-order transition secures the appropriate conformation of the bound substrate before reaction and the opening of the lid loop helps with product release after catalysis. The concerted disorder-to-order transition upon ligand binding was also observed in *CjAIH* (Shek et al., 2017), however, it concerned different regions. More precisely, in *CjAIH*, regions that showed conformational change upon substrate binding correspond to residues 122–136 and 212–224 of *MtAIH*, therefore to the loops which are flanking  $\alpha 4$  of repeat II and  $\eta 9$  which links repeats III and IV. The latter fragment in plant AIHs has a different sequence which, together with 18-residues shorter region of 278–314, results in the different recognition of the terminal amine of bound AGM in bacterial AIHs. Moreover, the sequence comparison of the plant AIHs suggests that the concerted conformational change of the gate-keeping coiled regions upon substrate binding can be characteristic for other plant AIHs as well. Likely, this feature can distinguish plant and bacterial orthologs, especially from those which present a shorter loop link between repeat IV and V.

### Cys366 Forms a Covalent Intermediate With AGM

The catalytic mechanism of guanidine-modifying enzymes is very similar to the cysteine proteases (Shirai et al., 2006). For AIHs it was structurally studied with bacterial orthologs (Llacer et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2010b) and involves the creation of a thioester covalent intermediate.

The reaction starts after binding of AGM when the gate-keeping loop is closed and the sulfur atom of Cys366 is ready to perform a nucleophilic attack on the central carbon of AGM guanidine moiety to form a tetrahedral covalent adduct. Then, His224 (positioned on the other side of the plane of amide moiety of AHX, **Figure 7A**) donates the proton to the closest amine of the intermediate, thus acting as a general acid for the reaction. This leads to the break of the adjacent bond and release of ammonia. Subsequently, ammonia is most likely H-bonded with the OD1 of Asp226 and it can be replaced by a water molecule (most likely the one, which is H-bonded with Asp218 in *MtAIH*-AHX structure, **Figure 9A**) so the reaction can proceed. This water molecule is presumably moved deeper in the active site to be activated by transferring a proton to the His224/Glu226 charge relay network to form a hydroxide ion, so it can make a nucleophilic attack on the carbon of amidino intermediate to form another tetrahedral intermediate. The most probable position of the hydroxide ion which attacks the central carbon is represented by water in *AtAIH* structure (**Figure 7B**). The intermediate collapses to form *N*-carbamoyl putrescine with a planar ureido carbon. The product of enzymatic action of AIH presents the conformation analogical to that of AHX (with an additional hydrogen bond with Asp94). Finally, the gate-keeping loops can be opened to release the product.

## CONCLUSIONS

The presented work described *MtAIH* and compared its crystal structures with the other plant dimeric ortholog, AIH from *A. thaliana*. We have cross-validated our results with the reports on different plant AIHs highlighting residues that take part in the formation of AIH dimers in plants. These are residues from  $\beta 2$ ,  $\beta 3$ , and  $\eta 2/\alpha 2$  from repeat I and  $\alpha 5$  from repeat II. Plant AIHs are characterized by a different dimer interface to that observed in dimeric bacterial AIHs.

The crystallographic snapshots of *MtAIH* together with deposited *AtAIH* structures showed the detailed conformation of the coiled region that during the catalysis form a lid over the active site of plant AIHs. This loop is responsible for the recognition of the terminal amine of the bound AGM and provides necessary stabilization of the ligand in time of the catalytic event. Interestingly, the structural analysis of plant AIHs showed different disorder-to-order transition of the gate-keeping loops to that observed in bacterial orthologs, which shows that substrate recognition mechanism of plant AIHs differentiates them from bacterial AIH orthologs, especially those which present a shorter loop link between repeat IV and V.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study can be found in Protein Data Bank, 6NIB, 6NIC.

## REFERENCES

- Adams, P. D., Afonine, P. V., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V. B., Davis, I. W., Echols, N., et al. (2010). PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 213–221. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909052925
- Alcazar, R., Planas, J., Saxena, T., Zarza, X., Bortolotti, C., Cuevas, J., et al. (2010). Putrescine accumulation confers drought tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis plants over-expressing the homologous Arginine decarboxylase 2 gene. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 547–552. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.02.002
- Ashkenazy, H., Abadi, S., Martz, E., Chay, O., Mayrose, I., Pupko, T., et al. (2016). ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W344–W350. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw408
- Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J., and McCammon, J. A. (2001). Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 10037–10041. doi: 10.1073/pnas.181342398
- Berberich, T., Sagor, G. H. M., and Kusano, T. (2015). “Polyamines in plant stress response” in *Polyamines: A universal molecular nexus for growth, survival, and specialized metabolism*. eds. T. Kusano and H. Suzuki (Tokyo, Japan: Springer), 155–168.
- Böger, P., and Sandmann, G. (1989). *Target sites of herbicide action*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Brunger, A. T. (1992). Free R value: a novel statistical quantity for assessing the accuracy of crystal structures. *Nature* 355, 472–475. doi: 10.1038/355472a0
- Burhenne, K., Kristensen, B. K., and Rasmussen, S. K. (2003). A new class of N-hydroxycinnamoyltransferases. Purification, cloning, and expression of a barley agmatine coumaroyltransferase (EC 2.3.1.64). *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 13919–13927. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M213041200
- Capell, T., Bassie, L., and Christou, P. (2004). Modulation of the polyamine biosynthetic pathway in transgenic rice confers tolerance to drought stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101, 9909–9914. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0306974101

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BS planned and performed the experiments, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript. ZD analyzed the results and supervised the work.

## FUNDING

This project was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NCI, Center for Cancer Research. Diffraction data were collected at the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) at the SER-CAT beamline 22-ID, supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract W-31-109-Eng-38. SAXS research on the 18-ID BioCAT beamline used resources of APS, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by ANL (contract DE-AC02-06CH11357), a project supported by grant 9 P41 GM103622 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS). Use of the PILATUS 3 1M detector was provided by grant 1S10OD018090-01 from NIGMS.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Srinivas Chakravarthy, BioCAT, for the assistance during SAXS experiments.

- Chen, V. B., Arendall, W. B., Headd, J. J., Keedy, D. A., Immormino, R. M., Kapral, G. J., et al. (2010). MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 12–21. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909042073
- de Beer, T. A., Berka, K., Thornton, J. M., and Laskowski, R. A. (2014). PDBsum additions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D292–D296. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt940
- Dolinsky, T. J., Nielsen, J. E., McCammon, J. A., and Baker, N. A. (2004). PDB2PQR: an automated pipeline for the setup of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, W665–W667. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh381
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 486–501. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910007493
- Finn, R. D., Attwood, T. K., Babbitt, P. C., Bateman, A., Bork, P., Bridge, A. J., et al. (2017). InterPro in 2017—beyond protein family and domain annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D190–D199. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1107
- Fischetti, R., Stepanov, S., Rosenbaum, G., Barrea, R., Black, E., Gore, D., et al. (2004). The BioCAT undulator beamline 18ID: a facility for biological non-crystalline diffraction and X-ray absorption spectroscopy at the advanced photon source. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* 11, 399–405. doi: 10.1107/S0909049504016760
- Fox, N. K., Brenner, S. E., and Chandonia, J.-M. (2014). SCOPe: structural classification of proteins—extended, integrating SCOP and ASTRAL data and classification of new structures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D304–D309. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1240
- Franke, D., and Svergun, D. I. (2009). DAMMIF, a program for rapid ab-initio shape determination in small-angle scattering. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 42, 342–346. doi: 10.1107/S0021889809000338
- Fuell, C., Elliott, K. A., Hanfrey, C. C., Franceschetti, M., Michael, A. J. (2010). Polyamine biosynthetic diversity in plants and algae. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 513–520. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.02.008
- Gill, S. S., and Tuteja, N. (2010). Polyamines and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 5, 26–33. doi: 10.4161/psb.5.1.10291
- Grant, T. D. (2018). Ab initio electron density determination directly from solution scattering data. *Nat. Methods* 15, 191–193. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4581

- Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* 41, 95–98.
- Hanfrey, C., Sommer, S., Mayer, M. J., Burtin, D., and Michael, A. J. (2001). Arabidopsis polyamine biosynthesis: absence of ornithine decarboxylase and the mechanism of arginine decarboxylase activity. *Plant J.* 27, 551–560. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3113X.2001.01100.x
- Hanzawa, Y., Takahashi, T., Michael, A. J., Burtin, D., Long, D., Pineiro, M., et al. (2000). ACAULIS5, an Arabidopsis gene required for stem elongation, encodes a spermine synthase. *EMBO J.* 19, 4248–4256. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.16.4248
- Hartzoulakis, B., Rossiter, S., Gill, H., O'Hara, B., Steinke, E., Gane, P. J., et al. (2007). Discovery of inhibitors of the penten superfamily protein dimethylarginine dimethylaminohydrolase (DDAH), by virtual screening and hit analysis. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 17, 3953–3956. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.04.095
- Hopkins, J. B., Gillilan, R. E., and Skou, S. (2017). BioXTAS RAW: improvements to a free open-source program for small-angle X-ray scattering data reduction and analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 50, 1545–1553. doi: 10.1107/S1600576717011438
- Hutchinson, E. G., and Thornton, J. M. (1996). PROMOTIF—a program to identify and analyze structural motifs in proteins. *Protein Sci.* 5, 212–220. doi: 10.1002/pro.5560050204
- Igarashi, K., and Kashiwagi, K. (2010). Modulation of cellular function by polyamines. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 42, 39–51. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2009.07.009
- Illingworth, C., Mayer, M. J., Elliott, K., Hanfrey, C., Walton, N. J., and Michael, A. J. (2003). The diverse bacterial origins of the Arabidopsis polyamine biosynthetic pathway. *FEBS Lett.* 549, 26–30. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(03)00756-7
- Janowitz, T., Kneifel, H., and Piotrowski, M. (2003). Identification and characterization of plant agmatine iminohydrolase, the last missing link in polyamine biosynthesis of plants. *FEBS Lett.* 544, 258–261. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(03)00515-5
- Jimenez-Bremont, J. F., Marina, M., Guerrero-Gonzalez Mde, L., Rossi, F. R., Sanchez-Rangel, D., Rodriguez-Kessler, M., et al. (2014). Physiological and molecular implications of plant polyamine metabolism during biotic interactions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:95. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00095
- Jones, J. E., Causey, C. P., Lovelace, L., Knuckley, B., Flick, H., Lebioda, L., et al. (2010a). Characterization and inactivation of an agmatine deiminase from *Helicobacter pylori*. *Bioorg. Chem.* 38, 62–73. doi: 10.1016/j.bioorg.2009.11.004
- Jones, J. E., Dreyton, C. J., Flick, H., Causey, C. P., and Thompson, P. R. (2010b). Mechanistic studies of agmatine deiminase from multiple bacterial species. *Biochemistry* 49, 9413–9423. doi: 10.1021/bi101405y
- Kabsch, W. (2010). Xds. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 125–132. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909047337
- Kamiab, F., Talaie, A., Khezri, M., and Javanshah, A. (2014). Exogenous application of free polyamines enhance salt tolerance of pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) seedlings. *Plant Growth Regul.* 72, 257–268. doi: 10.1007/s10725-013-9857-9
- Kim, Y., Babnigg, G., Jedrzejcak, R., Eschenfeldt, W. H., Li, H., Maltseva, N., et al. (2011). High-throughput protein purification and quality assessment for crystallization. *Methods* 55, 12–28. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2011.07.010
- Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2007). Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. *J. Mol. Biol.* 372, 774–797. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.022
- Laskowski, R. A., MacArthur, M. W., Moss, D. S., and Thornton, J. M. (1993). Procheck—a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 26, 283–291. doi: 10.1107/S0021889892009944
- Liebschner, D., Afonine, P. V., Moriarty, N. W., Poon, B. K., Sobolev, O. V., Terwilliger, T. C., et al. (2017). Polder maps: improving OMIT maps by excluding bulk solvent. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 73, 148–157. doi: 10.1107/s2059798316018210
- Linsky, T., and Fast, W. (2010). Mechanistic similarity and diversity among the guanidine-modifying members of the penten superfamily. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1804, 1943–1953. doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2010.07.016
- Liu, J. H., Wang, W., Wu, H., Gong, X., and Moriguchi, T. (2015). Polyamines function in stress tolerance: from synthesis to regulation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:827. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00827
- Llcer, J. L., Polo, L. M., Tavarez, S., Alarcon, B., Hilario, R., and Rubio, V. (2007). The gene cluster for agmatine catabolism of *Enterococcus faecalis*: study of recombinant putrescine transcarbamylase and agmatine deiminase and a snapshot of agmatine deiminase catalyzing its reaction. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 1254–1265. doi: 10.1128/jb.01216-06
- Lovell, S. C., Davis, I. W., Arendall, W. B. III., de Bakker, P. I., Word, J. M., Prisant, M. G., et al. (2003). Structure validation by Calpha geometry: phi, psi and Cbeta deviation. *Proteins* 50, 437–450. doi: 10.1002/prot.10286
- McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., and Read, R. J. (2007). Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 40, 658–674. doi: 10.1107/s0021889807021206
- Michael, A. J. (2016). Biosynthesis of polyamines and polyamine-containing molecules. *Biochem. J.* 473, 2315–2329. doi: 10.1042/bcj20160185
- Michael, A. J. (2017). Evolution of biosynthetic diversity. *Biochem. J.* 474, 2277–2299. doi: 10.1042/bcj20160823
- Minocha, R., Majumdar, R., and Minocha, S. C. (2014). Polyamines and abiotic stress in plants: a complex relationship. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:175. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00175
- Mohan Chaudhuri, M., and Ghosh, B. (1985). Agmatine deiminase in rice seedlings. *Phytochemistry* 24, 2433–2435. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(00)83058-7
- Mostofa, M. G., Yoshida, N., and Fujita, M. (2014). Spermidine pretreatment enhances heat tolerance in rice seedlings through modulating antioxidative and glyoxalase systems. *Plant Growth Regul.* 73, 31–44. doi: 10.1007/s10725-013-9865-9
- Murshudov, G. N., Skubak, P., Lebedev, A. A., Pannu, N. S., Steiner, R. A., Nicholls, R. A., et al. (2011). REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 355–367. doi: 10.1107/S0907444911001314
- Park, K. H., and Cho, Y. D. (1991). Purification of monomeric agmatine iminohydrolase from soybean. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 174, 32–36. doi: 10.1016/0006-291X(91)90480-U
- Petersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084
- Pottosin, I., and Shabala, S. (2014). Polyamines control of cation transport across plant membranes: implications for ion homeostasis and abiotic stress signaling. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:154. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00154
- Pottosin, I., Velarde-Buendia, A. M., Bose, J., Fuglsang, A. T., and Shabala, S. (2014). Polyamines cause plasma membrane depolarization, activate Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and modulate H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase pump activity in pea roots. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 2463–2472. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru133
- Prunetti, L., Graf, M., Blaby, I. K., Peil, L., Makkay, A. M., Starosta, A. L., et al. (2016). Deciphering the translation initiation factor 5A modification pathway in halophilic archaea. *Archaea* 2016:7316725. doi: 10.1155/2016/7316725
- Radhakrishnan, R., and Lee, I. J. (2013). Spermine promotes acclimation to osmotic stress by modifying antioxidant, abscisic acid, and jasmonic acid signals in soybean. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 32, 22–30. doi: 10.1007/s00344-012-9274-8
- Ruszkowski, M., Sekula, B., Ruszkowska, A., and Dauter, Z. (2018). Chloroplastic serine hydroxymethyltransferase from *Medicago truncatula*: a structural characterization. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:584. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00584
- Satishchandran, C., and Boyle, S. M. (1986). Purification and properties of agmatine ureohydrolyase, a putrescine biosynthetic enzyme in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* 165, 843–848. doi: 10.1128/jb.165.3.843-848.1986
- Sekula, B., and Dauter, Z. (2018). Crystal structure of thermospermine synthase from *Medicago truncatula* and substrate discriminatory features of plant aminopropyltransferases. *Biochem. J.* 475, 787–802. doi: 10.1042/bcj20170900
- Sekula, B., Ruszkowski, M., and Dauter, Z. (2018). Structural analysis of phosphoserine aminotransferase (Isoform I) from *Arabidopsis thaliana*—the enzyme involved in the phosphorylated pathway of serine biosynthesis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:876. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00876
- Sekula, B., Ruszkowski, M., Malinska, M., and Dauter, Z. (2016). Structural investigations of N-carbamoylputrescine amidohydrolase from *Medicago truncatula*: insights into the ultimate step of putrescine biosynthesis in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:350. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00350
- Shek, R., Dattmore, D. A., Stives, D. P., Jackson, A. L., Chatfield, C. H., Hicks, K. A., et al. (2017). Structural and functional basis for targeting *Campylobacter jejuni* agmatine deiminase to overcome antibiotic resistance. *Biochemistry* 56, 6734–6742. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00982
- Shirai, H., Mokrab, Y., and Mizuguchi, K. (2006). The guanidino-group modifying enzymes: structural basis for their diversity and commonality. *Proteins* 64, 1010–1023. doi: 10.1002/prot.20863
- Sillitoe, I., Lewis, T. E., Cuff, A., Das, S., Ashford, P., Dawson, N. L., et al. (2015). CATH: comprehensive structural and functional annotations for genome sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D376–D381. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku947

- Svergun, D. I. (1999). Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from solution scattering using simulated annealing. *Biophys. J.* 76, 2879–2886. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77443-6
- Takano, A., Kakehi, J., and Takahashi, T. (2012). Thermospermine is not a minor polyamine in the plant kingdom. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 53, 606–616. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcs019
- Terwilliger, T. C., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Afonine, P. V., Moriarty, N. W., Zwart, P. H., Hung, L. W., et al. (2008). Iterative model building, structure refinement and density modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 64, 61–69. doi: 10.1107/S090744490705024X
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
- Tiburcio, A. F., Altabella, T., Bitrian, M., and Alcazar, R. (2014). The roles of polyamines during the lifespan of plants: from development to stress. *Planta* 240, 1–18. doi: 10.1007/s00425-014-2055-9
- Volkov, V. V., and Svergun, D. I. (2003). Uniqueness of ab initio shape determination in small-angle scattering. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 36, 860–864. doi: 10.1107/S0021889803000268
- Wang, B. Q., Zhang, Q. F., Liu, J. H., and Li, G. H. (2011). Overexpression of PtADC confers enhanced dehydration and drought tolerance in transgenic tobacco and tomato: effect on ROS elimination. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 413, 10–16. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.08.015
- Winn, M. D., Isupov, M. N., and Murshudov, G. N. (2001). Use of TLS parameters to model anisotropic displacements in macromolecular refinement. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 57, 122–133. doi: 10.1107/S0907444900014736
- Winn, M. D., Murshudov, G. N., and Papiz, M. Z. (2003). Macromolecular TLS refinement in REFMAC at moderate resolutions. *Methods Enzymol.* 374, 300–321. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(03)74014-2
- Yanagisawa, H. (2001). Agmatine deiminase from maize shoots: purification and properties. *Phytochemistry* 56, 643–647. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(00)00491-X
- Yanagisawa, H., and Suzuki, Y. (1981). Corn agmatine iminohydrolase: purification and properties. *Plant Physiol.* 67, 697–700. doi: 10.1104/pp.67.4.697

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Sekula and Dauter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.