



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Editorial Office,
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 03 October 2024
ACCEPTED 03 October 2024
PUBLISHED 09 October 2024

CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2024) Retraction:
OGT-mediated KEAP1 glycosylation
accelerates NRF2 degradation leading to high
phosphate-induced vascular calcification in
chronic kidney disease.
Front. Physiol. 15:1505634.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2024.1505634

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in this
journal is cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does not
comply with these terms.

Retraction: OGT-mediated KEAP1 glycosylation accelerates NRF2 degradation leading to high phosphate-induced vascular calcification in chronic kidney disease

Frontiers Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Original Research Article

**OGT-mediated KEAP1 glycosylation accelerates NRF2 degradation
leading to high phosphate-induced vascular calcification in chronic
kidney disease**

by Xu T-H, Du Y, Sheng Z, Li Y, Qiu X, Tian B and Yao L (2020). *Frontiers in Physiology* 11:01092.
doi: [10.3389/fphys.2020.01092](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.01092)

The journal retracts the 26 October 2020 article cited above.

Following publication, concerns were raised regarding the integrity of the images in the published figures or regarding the validity of the data presented in the article. The authors failed to provide the raw data or a satisfactory explanation during the investigation, which was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies. Given the concerns about the validity of the data, and the lack of raw data, the editors no longer have confidence in the findings presented in the article.

This retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors received a communication regarding the retraction and had a chance to respond. This communication has been recorded by the publisher.