Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Silvia Pogliaghi, University of Verona, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Anna Sofia Delussu, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy Nicholas Houstis, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Wang Yan, ⊠ wyweiwei@126.com

RECEIVED 13 June 2024 ACCEPTED 25 October 2024 PUBLISHED 12 November 2024

CITATION

Jianghua H, Feier M, Dong Z, Qiuying L, Ya W and Yan W (2024) Meta-analysis of the effects of different exercise modes on cardiac function and peak oxygen uptake in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front. Physiol.* 15:1448385. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2024.1448385

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jianghua, Feier, Dong, Qiuying, Ya and Yan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Meta-analysis of the effects of different exercise modes on cardiac function and peak oxygen uptake in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

He Jianghua¹, Ma Feier¹, Zhu Dong², Li Qiuying², Wen Ya² and Wang Yan¹*

¹School of Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation, Beijing Sport University, Beijing, China, ²College of Sports Medicine and Healthcare, Hunan University of Medicine, Huaihua, China

Background: The benefits of exercise for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular events have been reported in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). However, the effects of exercise on cardiac structure and function require clarification.

Methods: A literature search for clinical studies reporting on the effects of exercise on cardiac structure, cardiac function, and VO₂peak in T2DM patients was conducted. PubMed, Embase, EBSCO, Web of Science, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure were systematically searched for original articles published from January 2000 to July 2023. The effect size was expressed as the mean difference (MD) or standardized mean difference (SMD) and its 95% confidence interval (CI). Subgroup analyses were performed by exercise mode (high-intensity interval training [HIIT] or moderate-intensity continuous training [MICT]) and intervention duration (>6 or ≤6 months).

Results: Compared to usual care, both HIIT and MICT significantly affected left ventricular end-diastolic volume (MD: 19.44, 95% CI: 13.72 to 25.17, p < 0.00001; $I^2 = 42\%$; MD: 13.90, 95% CI: 7.64 to 20.16, p < 0.0001; $I^2 = 0\%$), but only HIIT significantly affected left ventricular mass (MD: 17.04 g, 95% CI: 5.45 to 28.62, p = 0.004; $I^2 = 0\%$). HIIT significantly improved left ventricular ejection fraction (MD: 5.52, 95% CI: 2.31 to 8.73, p = 0.0008; $I^2 = 0\%$), as did MICT in the ≤ 6 months subgroup (MD: 1.36, 95% CI: 0.61 to 2.10, p = 0.0004; $I^2 = 0\%$). Neither significantly affected systolic tissue velocity. HIIT significantly improved VO₂peak (MD: 8.04, 95% CI: 6.26 to 9.83, p < 0.00001; $I^2 = 0\%$), as did MICT in the ≤ 6 months subgroup (MD: 3.33, 95% CI: 2.39 to 4.27, p < 0.00001; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Conclusion: Exercise significantly improved cardiac structure, systolic function, and VO_2 peak, but did not significantly affect diastolic function in T2DM patients. HIIT seemed to be superior to MICT at improving VO_2 peak and left ventricular ejection fraction in T2DM patients.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/, PROSPERO registration no.: CRD4242018087376

KEYWORDS

type 2 diabetes mellitus, exercise, cardiac function, peak oxygen uptake, systolic function, diastolic function

1 Background

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients have an increased risk of heart failure (HF), up to 2-5 fold, compared to age-matched controls (Segar et al., 2021; Kannel et al., 1974; Nichols et al., 2004). Even after adjusting for other risk factors, such as age, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and coronary artery disease, the incidence of HF among T2DM patients remains high (Kannel et al., 1974; Nichols et al., 2004; Gulsin et al., 2018). Thus, the term "diabetic cardiomyopathy" was proposed and was initially used to describe ventricular dysfunction in the absence of coronary artery disease and hypertension in T2DM patients (Adeghate and Singh, 2014; Ritchie and Abel, 2020; Dillmann, 2019). Diabetic cardiomyopathy seems to worsen with time (Salzano et al., 2022; Jankauskas et al., 2021). The initial stage is characterized by left ventricular hypertrophy, increased atrial filling pressure, and diastolic dysfunction accompanied by HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF); this is followed by systolic dysfunction accompanied by HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Young T2DM patients with a short disease course (mean age 32 years) exhibit subclinical diastolic impairment and changes in their cardiac anatomical and functional parameters (Khan et al., 2014). Once HF occurs, it is linked to an unfavorable prognosis. Therefore, it is crucial to identify treatments that can alter the course of T2DM and prevent cardiac comorbidity.

Exercise is well established as a powerful tool to reduce blood glucose levels and improve cardiorespiratory fitness, and its effects on the prognosis (including all-cause death, cardiovascular death, and HF hospitalization) of T2DM have been well demonstrated (Marwick et al., 2009; Arrieta-Leandro et al., 2023; Wing et al., 2013; Colberg et al., 2010). Based on clinical evidence, the latest guidelines strongly recommend exercise as a management option for T2DM and HF patients (Jingming et al., 2023; Junbo et al., 2022; Kemps et al., 2019; Virani et al., 2023). However, the mechanisms and intermediate links underlying the effects of exercise on the heart in T2DM remain to be clarified. The results of clinical studies assessing the effects of exercise on cardiac structure and function in T2DM have been inconsistent. A study by Schrauwen-Hinderling et al. (2011) showed that 12 weeks of exercise improved left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in T2DM but not left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV). Several studies (Van Ryckeghem et al., 2022; Jonker et al., 2013; Cadeddu et al., 2016) reported that exercise did not improve cardiac function in T2DM. Studies on exercise mode revealed the benefits of highintensity interval training (HIIT) on cardiac structure (Cassidy et al., 2016) and systolic (Cassidy et al., 2016; Hollekim-Strand et al., 2014) and diastolic (Cassidy et al., 2016; Hollekim-Strand et al., 2014) function, while moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT) improved diastolic but not systolic function (Hollekim-Strand et al., 2014).

It remains unclear which exercise parameters (intensity, type, duration, etc.,) improve cardiac function and reverse adverse remodeling. Therefore, this meta-analysis was conducted to clarify the effects of different exercise modes on cardiac structure, cardiac function, and VO₂peak in T2DM patients. Subgroup analyses by exercise mode (HIIT or MICT) and duration (>6 or \leq 6 months) were performed.

2 Methods

2.1 Data sources

PubMed, Embase, EBSCO, Web of Science, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure were systematically searched for original articles published from January 2020 to September 2023 using the following keywords: Exercise (OR Physical Activity OR Exercise Intervention OR Training) AND Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2 (OR Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus OR Type 2 Diabetes) AND Cardiac Function (OR Diastolic OR Systolic OR Cardiac OR Heart).

2.2 Study reporting and eligibility criteria

This meta-analysis is reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009; Page et al., 2021). The protocol was registered with PROSPERO (ref. CRD42018087376).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) type of studies: published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and nonrandomized controlled trial in Chinese or English; (2) participants: adult T2DM patients (\geq 18 years); (3) intervention: exercise, with detailed descriptions of exercise regimen (4) comparator: usual care (i.e., intervention that any patient would have received in the framework of T2DM management); with no exercise intervention; (5) primary outcome measure: included at least one cardiac function parameter; and (6) study duration: exercise intervention period \geq 8 weeks.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies using animal models, conference abstracts, book chapters, reviews, or unpublished articles; (2) outcome measures did not meet the inclusion requirements; (3) exercise intervention was combined with diet intervention or other lifestyle changes; (4) lack of required control group (usual care); (5) repeat publications; and (6) study did not meet the inclusion criteria.

2.3 Data extraction

The extracted data included (1) general information (first author, publication year, sample size, and mean age of each group);

(2) intervention information (duration, exercise type and site, and session length, intensity, and frequency); (3) cardiac imaging method; and (4) outcomes.

2.4 Outcome measures

The outcome measures were (1) cardiac anatomic changes, including left ventricular mass (LVM), left ventricular mass index (LVMI), left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), and left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD); (2) cardiac systolic function changes, including left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and systolic tissue velocity (S); (3) cardiac diastolic function changes, including peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocity (E), peak late diastolic mitral inflow velocity (A), ratio of peak early to late diastolic mitral inflow velocity (E/A), mitral inflow to mitral relaxation velocity ratio (E/e'), and E-wave deceleration time (Dt); and (4) changes in VO₂peak.

2.5 Quality assessment of included studies

Methodological quality was assessed by two investigators (H-JH and Z-D) using the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale. This includes eligibility criteria, random allocation, concealed allocation, group similarity at baseline, subject blinding, therapist blinding, assessor blinding, dropouts \leq 15%, intention-to-treat analysis, between-group comparisons, and point and variability measures. Methodological quality was evaluated based on manually calculated PEDro scores, with >5, 4–5, and 0–3 representing high (Sherrington et al., 2000), moderate, and low quality, respectively.

Risk of bias was assed using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool (Higgins et al., 2011). This includes random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other sources of bias. For each study, the risk of bias was reported as low, unclear, or high risk. Studies with >2 or >4 high-risk components were considered to have moderate and high risk of bias, respectively.

The certainty of the evidence for each outcome was evaluated using the Grading Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach. The Guideline Development Tool (https://www.Gradepro.org) was used to formulate the evidence profile table.

Any inconsistencies between the two investigators (H-JH and Z-D) were discussed with a third investigator (M-FR) until a consensus was reached.¹

2.6 Statistical analysis

All the variables of interest were continuous and are expressed as mean \pm SD. The effects of exercise on the outcomes were compared between the intervention and control groups. If the same outcome

measurement scale was used in different studies, the pooled results were expressed as mean difference (MD) and 95% confidence interval (CI); otherwise, the results were expressed as standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% CI. A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered significant. The heterogeneity of the results was assessed using I² statistics.

The sensitivity analyses involved using the leave-one-out method, with SMD being used to assess the effect size. Subgroup analyses by exercise mode (HIIT or MICT) were to be conducted if each subgroup contained ≥ 2 studies. Subgroup analyses by exercise intervention duration (>6 or ≤ 6 months) were also to be conducted if each subgroup contained ≥ 2 studies.

All analyses were performed using Review Manager v5.4 and STATA v17.0.

3 Results

3.1 Search results and baseline characteristics

The literature search results and assessment process are shown in Figure 1. Of the 15,264 articles identified by the literature search, 351 underwent full-text assessment after screening the titles and/or abstracts. Of these, 12 articles (Cassidy et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Weiwei et al., 2019; Sacre et al., 2014; McGavock et al., 2004; Loimaala et al., 2007) were included in this meta-analysis. Two articles (Jianghua, 2022; Weiwei et al., 2019) had two different exercise protocols along with a non-exercise control group. This provided two independent comparisons in each article, so 14 exercise arms with 14 sets of data were finally included, and the sample size of the control group was halved or split into (n-1)/2 and (n+1)/2 for the two abovementioned articles (Jianghua, 2022; Weiwei et al., 2019).

Among the 12 studies, a total of 714 participants were enrolled, comprising 367 in the exercise groups and 347 in the control groups. The mean age ranged from 48 to 60 years old. The exercise intervention durations ranged from 10 weeks to 3 years, with the majority having a duration of 3 months, only one study (McGavock et al., 2004) having a duration of <3 months, and three studies (Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2009; Loimaala et al., 2007) having a duration of >1 year. The exercise session frequency ranged from 3 to 5 times/week. The exercise session length ranged from 20 to 60 min. Among the 14 exercise arms, there were 11 MICT groups and 3 HIIT groups. The main characteristics of the participants and exercise interventions are presented in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the main results of the included studies. LVM, LVMI, LVEDV, LVEDD, LVEF, E, A, S, Dt, E/A ratio, E/e' ratio, and VO_2 peak were reported in 5 (Cassidy et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hordern et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2019), 6 (Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Sacre et al., 2014), 4 (Cassidy et al., 2016; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013), 4 (Jianghua, 2022; Hordern et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007), 10 (Cassidy et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2016; Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2020; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2020; Hare et al., 20

¹ https://www.Gradepro.org



2009; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Weiwei et al., 2019; Sacre et al., 2014), 8 (Hordern et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Weiwei et al., 2019; Sacre et al., 2014; McGavock et al., 2004; Loimaala et al., 2007), 6 (Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Weiwei et al., 2019; McGavock et al., 2004; Loimaala et al., 2007), 4 (Hordern et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Sacre et al., 2014), 4 (Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Sacre et al., 2014; McGavock et al., 2004), 8 (Gulsin et al., 2020a; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Weiwei et al., 2019; Sacre et al., 2014; McGavock et al., 2004; Loimaala et al., 2007), 4 (Gulsin et al., 2020a; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Sacre et al., 2014) and 10 (Jianghua, 2022; Gulsin et al., 2020a; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Sacre et al., 2014; McGavock et al., 2004; Loimaala et al., 2007) studies, respectively. Cardiac structure and function were evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging in 1 study (Cassidy et al., 2016), echocardiography in 10 studies (Jianghua, 2022; Hare et al., 2011; Hordern et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Brassard et al., 2007; Weiwei et al., 2019; Sacre et al., 2014; McGavock et al., 2004; Loimaala et al., 2007), and cardiac magnetic resonance in 1 study (Gulsin et al., 2020a).

3.2 Quality assessment and risk of bias in individual studies

Quality assessments of the 12 studies are shown in Table 3. Of the 12 studies, 8 were considered high quality, 2 moderate quality, and 2 low quality, which was mainly driven by the lack of blinded designs in any study plus the nonrandom design in the studies by Sacre et al. (2014) and Schmidt et al. (2013).

The overall risk of bias was low in most studies. The details are presented in the Additional material (Additional file: Supplementary Figure 6).

3.3 Results of the main and subgroup analyses

3.3.1 Effects of exercise on LV dimensions and structure

Compared to usual care, HIIT significantly improved LVM (3 HIIT studies, MD: 17.04 g, 95% CI: 5.45 to 28.62, p = 0.004; $I^2 = 0\%$), but MICT did not have a significant effect (3 MICT studies, MD: 0.15 g, 95% CI: -8.23 to 8.54, p = 0.97; $I^2 = 43\%$)

TABLE 1 Baseline	characteristics of eligible stu	idies.						
Article first	Participant		Exercise intervention			Measurements	Cardiorespiratory	Cardiac
author and year	characteristics	Exercise program type and site	Session length and intensity	Duration and frequency	Exercise mode		nuness	structure and function indices
Cassidy et al. (2016)	Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: $n = 12$; age $59 \pm 9 y$ Usual care: $n = 11$; age $61 \pm 9 y$	Aerobic training (supervised)	40 min; 16~17 RPE 5 × 2–3:50 (16~17RPE) interval 3 min	12 weeks; 3/week	ТІІН	MRI	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVM, LVEDV
	Asymptomatic T2DM				НПТ			
Jianghua (2022)	Exercise1: n = 16; age 54.8 ± 6.0 y Exercise2: n = 15; age56.5 ± 6.8 y Usual care: n = 16; age 55.5 ± 7.4 y	Aerobic and resistance training (supervised)	1: 60 min; 4 × 4 min (70–90%HRmax) interval 3 min (<50%HRmax) 2: 60 min; 30 min (55–70%HRmax)	12 weeks; 3/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVEDD, LVM
Gulsin et al. (2020a)	 Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: n = 22; age 50.1 ± 7.3 y Usual care: n = 30; age50.1 ± 6.1 y 	Aerobic training (supervised)	50 min; ~60%VO₂peak	12 weeks; 3/week	MICT	Echo CMR	VO _{2Peak}	LYER, LVM, LYEDV,E/A, E/e
Hare et al. (2011)	T2DM Exercise: $n = 94$, age 56 \pm 11 y Usual care: $n = 92$, age 55 \pm 8 y	Aerobic and resistance training Initial 4 weeks (supervised) After 4 weeks (unsupervised)	60 min; 12–13 RPE	3 years; 2/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVMI, E
Hordern et al. (2005	 12DM Exercise: n = 111; age 56.1 ± 11.7 y Usual care: n = 112; age 55 ± 8.5 y 	Aerobic and resistance training Initial 4 weeks (supervised) After 4 weeks (unsupervised)	60 min; 12–13 RPE	1 year; 2/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVM, LVEDD, E, S
Wilson et al. (2019)	Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: $n = 11$; age $52 \pm 2 y$ Usual care: $n = 5$; age $51 \pm 5 y$	Aerobic training (supervised)	20 min; Month1:10 × 1 min (>90%HRpeak) interval 1 min Month2:5 × 2 min (>90%HRpeak) interval 2 min Month3:4 × 3 min (>90%HRpeak) interval 2 min	3 months; 3/week	НПТ	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LYER, LVMI, LYEDV, E, A, E/A, E/e, S
							(Continue	ed on the following page)

05

ticle first	Participant characteristics		Exercise interve	ntion		Measurements	Cardiorespiratory	Cardiac structure and
2		Exercise program type and site	Session length and intensity	Duration and frequency	Exercise mode		2	function indices
iidt et al. (2013)	Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: $n = 7$; age 50.7 \pm 7.1 y Usual care: $n = 9$; age 48.7 \pm 9.2 y	Aerobic training (supervised)	60 min; 5 × 10 min (76–88% HRmax) interval 2 min	12 weeks; 2/ week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVMI, LVEDD, E, A, E/A, E/e, Dt, S
ard et al. (2007)	T2DM and LVDD Exercise: $n = 11$; age 58 ± 5 y Usual care: $n = 9$; age 57 ± 6 y	Aerobic training (supervised)	60 min; 60–70% VO ₂ max	12 weeks; 3/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVM, LVMI, LVEDD, E, A, E/A, Dt
	Asymptomatic T2DM	1: Aerobic training	1. 60 min. 60 000/11D		MICT			
ei et al. (2019)	EXERCISE1: $n = 9$; age 50.2 ± 1.0 y Exercise2: $n = 7$; age 52.7 ± 6 y Usual care: $n = 10$; age 52.6 ± 6.6 y	2: Aerobic and resistance training	1: 00 mm; 00-90%нтктах 2: 60 min; 60-80%HRmax	6 months; 6/week	MICT	Echo	I	LVEF, E, A, E/A
et al. (2014)	Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: $n = 24$; age $59 \pm 10 y$ Usual care: $n = 25$; age $60 \pm 9 y$	Aerobic and resistance training Initial 4 weeks (supervised) After 4 weeks (unsupervised)	75; 20-40 min12~13 RPE	6 months; 3/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVER, LVMI, E, A, E/A, E/e, Dt, S
avock et al. ()	Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: $n = 11$; age 58 \pm 7 y Usual care: $n = 7$; age 59 \pm 5 y	Aerobic and resistance training (supervised)	—; 30–55 min 65–75%HRreserve 3sets 10–15rp: 50–65% 1RM	10 weeks; 3/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	E, A, E/A, Dt
aala et al.)	Asymptomatic T2DM Exercise: $n = 24$; age 52.8 ± 6 y Usual care: $n = 24$; age 52.8 ± 5.2 y	Aerobic and resistance training	30 min; 65–75% VO2max 3sets 10–12rp at 70–80% 1RM	12 months; 2/week	MICT	Echo	VO _{2Peak}	LVEF, LVEDD, E, A,
ft ventricular mass	:: I.VMI. left ventricular mass indexed: I.VEDV. left	ventricular end-diastolic vol	lume: I.VEDD. left ventricular end-dia	astolic diameter: LVEE left	t ventricular ejection f	raction: S systolic tissue veloc	city: E neak early diastolic mitr	al inflow velocities: A

TABLE 1 (Continued) Baseline characteristics of eligible studies.

peak late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; *E/A* the ratio of peak early to late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; *E/e* the mitral inflow to mitral relaxation velocity ratio; Dt the E-wave deceleration time; VO2peak peak early to late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; *E/e* the mitral inflow velocities; *E/e* LVM, I

References	LVM	LVMI	LVEDV	LVEDD	LVEF	Е	А	S	Dt	E/A	E/e	VO ₂ peak
Cassidy et al. (2016)	104/116	NR	118/126	NR	65/70	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Jianghua	110.5/129.8		NR	3.86/4	66.7/70.5							20.9/27.4
(2022)	101.3/111	NR	NR	3.57/3.69	64.3/67.1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	19.2/22.4
Gulsin et al. (2020a)	123.1/122	57/56.9	147.2/145.1	NR	66.8/66	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.94/1	8.6/8.6	17.2/18.2
Hare et al. (2011)	NR	85.5/88.4	NR	NR	66/64.6	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	21.5/24.7
Hordern et al. (2009)	203.3/201.5	96.7/96.4	NR	4.7/4.8	70.9/72.8	5.5/6.1	NR	5.3/6	NR	NR	NR	21.9/24.2
Wilson et al. (2019)	204.5/205.8	NR	113.5/125	NR	59/60	63/68	70/71	8/8	NR	0.93/0.95	8.5/9.9	24.1/27.6
Schmidt et al. (2013)	NR	79/91.4	119.2/135	5/5.3	58.1/59.5	0.07/0.09	0.11/0.11	6.1/6.9	214.8/186	0.9/1.1	9.7/8.4	30.5/34.1
Brassard et al. (2007)	NR	88/81	NR	4.9/5	66/67	60/62	63/56	NR	209/223	0.76/0.96	NR	28.6/32.7
Weiwei et al.	NR	NR	ND	ND	60.4/61.3	52.2/54.9	62.8/53.9	ND	ND	0.83/1.03	ND	ND
(2019)	NR	NR	NK	NK	60.9/61.8	53.6/56.2	65.3/56.4	NK	NK	0.82/0.99	NK	INK
Sacre et al. (2014)	NR	79/86	NR	NR	62/59	4.7/5.8	NR	5.3/5.7	232/213	0.89/0.94	13.4/15.9	26.8/29.8
McGavock et al. (2004)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	87/88	95/92	NR	256/253	1/1	NR	21.3/24.5
Loimaala et al. (2007)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.64/0.7	0.68/0.7	NR	NR	0.94/1	NR	32/34.7

TABLE 2 The outcome changes of eligible studies.

Exercise-pre/Exercise-post; LVM, left ventricular mass; LVMI, left ventricular mass indexed; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; S systolic tissue velocity; E peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocities; A peak late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; E/A the ratio of peak early to late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; E/e the mitral inflow to mitral relaxation velocity ratio; Dt the E-wave deceleration time; VO2peak peak oxygen uptake; NR, not report.

(Figure 2). Exercise did not significantly affect LVMI or LVEDD (6 *MICT studies*, MD: 1.35, 95% CI: -6.24 to 9.12, p = 0.73; $I^2 = 93\%$; 4 *MICT studies and 1 HIIT studies*, MD: 0.21cm, 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.43, p = 0.07; $I^2 = 89\%$) (Figure 2). Both HIIT and MICT significantly affected LVEDV (2 *HIIT studies*, MD: 19.44 mL, 95% CI: 13.72 to 25.17, p < 0.00001; $I^2 = 42\%$; 2 *MICT studies*, MD: 13.90 mL, 95% CI: 7.64 to 20.16, p < 0.0001; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 3).

In the subgroup analyses by intervention duration, MICT did not significantly affect LVMI in either the ≤ 6 months subgroup (4 *MICT studies*, MD: 4.09, 95% CI: -5.22 to 13.40, p = 0.39; I² = 95%) or the >6 months subgroup (2 *MICT studies*, MD: -4.89, 95% CI: -10.72 to 0.94, p = 0.10; I² = 0%) (Additional file: Supplementary Figure 1). No subgroup analyses by intervention duration were conducted for LVM (only 3 MICT studies), LVEDD (only 1 MICT study >6 months), or LVEDV (only 2 MICT studies), as these subgroup analyses were to be performed only if each subgroup contained ≥ 2 studies.

3.3.2 Effects of exercise on systolic function

Both HIIT and MICT significantly improved LVEF (3 *HIIT* studies, MD: 5.52, 95% CI: 2.31 to 8.73, p = 0.0008; $I^2 = 0\%$; 8 *MICT studies*, MD: 1.14, 95% CI: 0.45 to 1.84, p = 0.001; $I^2 = 12\%$) (Figure 3). Exercise did not significantly affect S (3 *MICT studies* and 1 *HIIT study*; SMD: -0.29, 95% CI: -1.41 to 0.84, p = 0.62; $I^2 = 91\%$) (Figure 3).

In the subgroup analyses by intervention duration, MICT significantly improved LVEF in the ≤ 6 months subgroup (6 *MICT studies*, MD: 1.36, 95% CI: 0.61 to 2.10, p = 0.0004; $I^2 = 0\%$), but the result was non-significant in the >6 months subgroup (2 *MICT studies*, MD: -0.20, 95% CI: -2.06 to 1.67, p = 0.84; $I^2 = 18\%$) (Additional file: Supplementary Figure 2). No subgroup analysis by intervention duration was conducted for S (3 **MICT studies and 1 HIIT study**).

3.3.3 Effects of exercise on diastolic function

Exercise improved the E/e' ratio (3 MICT studies and 1 HIIT studies, MD: 1.20, 95% CI: 0.37 to 2.03, p = 0.005; $I^2 = 88\%$)

Total PEDro score	7	4	4	ю	ю	7	б	м	7	n	9	9
Intention- to-treat analysis	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
Between- group comparisons	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dropouts≤15%	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
Point and variability measures	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Assessor blinding	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Therapist blinding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subject blinding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Similarity baseline	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	Π	1	0	1	0
Concealed allocation	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
Allocation of randomization	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	o	1	1
References	Cassidy et al. (2016)	Jianghua (2022)	Gulsin et al. (2020a)	Hare et al. (2011)	Hordern et al. (2009)	Wilson et al. (2019)	Schmidt et al. (2013)	Brassard et al. (2007)	Weiwei et al. (2019)	Sacre et al. (2014)	McGavock et al. (2004)	Loimaala et al. (2007)

Effects of exercise on LVM



Effects of exercise on LVMI



Effects of exercise on LVEDD



FIGURE 2

Forest plots of the effects of exercise on LVM, LVMI, and LVEDD. LVM left ventricular mass; LVMI left ventricular mass indexed; LVEDD left ventricular end-diastolic diameter.

(Figure 4), but not E, A, Dt, or the E/A ratio (7 *MICT studies and* 1 *HIIT studies*, SMD: -0.08, 95% CI: -0.27 to 0.12, p = 0.44; I² = 0%; 5 *MICT studies and* 1 *HIIT studies*, SMD: -0.16, 95% CI: -0.73 to 0.41, p = 0.59; I² = 61%; 4 *MICT studies*, MD: -0.62 m, 95% CI: -1.55 to 0.32, p = 0.20; I² = 78%; 7 *MICT studies and* 1 *HIIT studies*, MD: 0.02, 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.06, p = 0.34; I² = 78%) (Figures 4, 5).

In the subgroup analyses by intervention duration, MICT did not significantly affect E in the ≤ 6 months subgroup (5 MICT studies, SMD: -0.04, 95% CI: -0.39 to 0.31, p = 0.83; I² = 18%) or the >6 months subgroup (2 MICT studies, SMD: -0.11, 95% CI: -0.35 to 0.13, p = 0.37; I² = 0%) (Additional file: Supplementary Figure 3). No subgroup analyses by intervention duration were conducted for E/e and Dt (**0** studies ≤ 6 months) or A and E/A (only 1 MICT study >6 months).

Effects of exercise on LVEDV

	Ex	ercise	•	Usi	ial car	e		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.1 LVEDV: MICT									
Gulsin 2020	145.1	13.8	22	128.7	16.1	30	26.9%	16.40 [8.25, 24.55]	_
Schmidt 2013	126.7	7	7	116.4	12.7	9	18.6%	10.30 [0.52, 20.08]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			29			39	45.5%	13.90 [7.64, 20.16]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.88, df	= 1 (P	= 0.35)); I ² = 09	6				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.35	i (P < 0	0.0001)						
1.5.2 LVEDV: HIIT									
Cassidy 2016	126	30	12	122	28	11	3.2%	4.00 [-19.70, 27.70]	
Wilson 2018	125	4.8	11	104.6	5.9	5	51.3%	20.40 [14.50, 26.30]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			23			16	54.5%	19.44 [13.72, 25.17]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	: 1.73, df	= 1 (P	= 0.19); I ^z = 42	%				
Test for overall effect	Z = 6.68	i (P < 0	0.00001	D)					
Total (95% CI)			52			55	100.0%	16.92 [12.70, 21.15]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	: 4.25, df	= 3 (P	= 0.24)); I ^z = 29	%				-50 -25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect:	: Z = 7.85	i (P < C	0.00001	I)					Favours [Usual care] Favours [Exercise]
Test for subaroup dif	ferences	: Chi ⁼÷	= 1.64.	df = 1 (F	P = 0.2	0), I ^z =	39.0%		

Effects of exercise on LVEF

	Ex	ercise		Usu	ial car	е		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.6.1 LVEF: MICT									
Brassard 2007	67	5	11	62	6	9	1.9%	5.00 [0.09, 9.91]	
Chen weiwei 2019	61.29	2.31	9	59.4	2.48	5	6.6%	1.89 [-0.76, 4.54]	+
Chen weiwei@ 2019	61.82	1.65	7	59.4	2.48	5	7.4%	2.42 [-0.07, 4.91]	
Gulsin 2020	66	6.2	22	66.4	5.2	28	4.4%	-0.40 [-3.63, 2.83]	
Hare 2011	64.6	8.8	94	63.7	9.9	92	6.4%	0.90 [-1.79, 3.59]	_
Hordern 2009	72.8	10.5	111	74	9.1	112	6.9%	-1.20 [-3.78, 1.38]	
Jianghua He© 2022	67.1	2.52	15	65.4	2.74	8	8.8%	1.70 [-0.59, 3.99]	+
Sacre 2014	59	1	24	64	1	25	0.0%	-5.00 [-5.56, -4.44]	
Schmidt 2013	60.6	0.8	7	59.5	1.1	9	53.1%	1.10 [0.17, 2.03]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			276			268	95.5%	1.14 [0.45, 1.84]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 8	.00, df=	7 (P =	0.33);	l ^z = 12%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.22 (P = 0.0	001)						
1.6.2 LVEF: HIIT									
Cassidy 2016	70	6	12	63	10	11	1.0%	7.00 [0.18, 13.82]	
Jianghua He① 2022	70.5	6.34	16	65.4	2.74	8	3.5%	5.10 [1.46, 8.74]	
Wilson 2018	60	2	11	62	2	5	0.0%	-2.00 [-4.11, 0.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			28			19	4.5%	5.52 [2.31, 8.73]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	.23, df =	1 (P =	0.63);	l² = 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.37 (P = 0.0)008)						
Total (95% CI)			304			287	100.0%	1.34 [0.66, 2.02]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	5.06, df:	= 9 (P :	= 0.09)	; I² = 40	%				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.86 (P = 0.0	0001)						Eavours [Leval care] Eavours [Evercise]
Test for subaroup differ	ences:	Chi²=	6.83. d	f=1 (P :	= 0.00	9), ² = (85.4%		

Effects of exercise on S

	Ex	ercis	e	Usu	al ca	re		Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.14.1 S										
Hordern 2009	6	1	111	6	1.5	112	28.3%	0.00 [-0.26, 0.26]		•
Sacre 2014	5.7	0.2	24	6.1	0.2	25	25.9%	-1.97 [-2.66, -1.28]		
Schmidt 2013	7	0.7	7	6.5	0.2	9	22.9%	0.98 [-0.08, 2.04]		
Wilson 2018	8	0.1	11	8	1	5	22.9%	0.00 [-1.06, 1.06]		-
Subtotal (95% CI)			153			151	100.0%	-0.29 [-1.41, 0.84]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 1.15; C	hi² =	32.14,	df = 3 (P	< 0.0	0001);	I ² = 91%			
Test for overall effect	Z = 0.50) (P =	0.62)							
									+	5 0 5 10
									-10	-5 U 5 10
Test for subaroup dif	ferences	: Not	silaas	able						Favours (Exercise) Favours (Osual care)

FIGURE 3 Forest plots of the effects of exercise on LVEDV, LVEF, and S. LVEDV left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction; S systolic tissue velocity.

Effects of exercise on E

	Ex	ercise		Usi	ial car	e		Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI		IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.9.1 E										
Brassard 2007	62	15	11	65	18	12	5.6%	-0.17 [-0.99, 0.65]		
Chen weiwei 2019	56.19	1.94	7	53.27	4.05	5	2.5%	0.91 [-0.32, 2.14]		+
Chen weiwei@ 2019	54.97	3.05	9	53.27	4.05	5	3.0%	0.47 [-0.65, 1.58]		
Hordern 2009	6.1	1.4	111	6.3	1.6	112	54.4%	-0.13 [-0.40, 0.13]		
Loimaala 2007	0.7	0.03	24	0.7	0.03	24	11.7%	0.00 [-0.57, 0.57]		_ _
McGavock 2004	88	15	11	91	22	7	4.2%	-0.16 [-1.11, 0.79]		
Sacre 2014	5.8	0.2	24	5.8	0.2	25	12.0%	0.00 [-0.56, 0.56]		_ _
Schmidt 2013	0.09	0.01	7	0.1	0.01	9	3.4%	-0.95 [-2.00, 0.11]		
Wilson 2018	68	5	11	67	4	5	3.3%	0.20 [-0.86, 1.26]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			215			204	100.0%	-0.08 [-0.27, 0.12]		•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 6	6.63, df=	8 (P =	0.58);	l² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.78 (P = 0.4	14)							
									+	
									-4	-2 U Z 4
Test for subaroup diffe	erences: I	Not ap	plicabl	е						Favours [Exercise] Favours [Osual care]

Effects of exercise on E/A

	Ex	ercise	•	Usi	ial car	e		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.11.1 E/A									
Brassard 2007	0.97	0.32	11	0.7	0.13	12	3.3%	0.27 [0.07, 0.47]	
Chen weiwei① 2019	1.03	0.09	9	0.89	0.06	5	12.1%	0.14 [0.06, 0.22]	_ _ _
Chen weiwei© 2019	0.99	0.05	7	0.89	0.06	5	14.4%	0.10 [0.04, 0.16]	— —
Gulsin 2020	1	0.21	22	1.02	0.25	30	7.0%	-0.02 [-0.15, 0.11]	
Loimaala 2007	1	0.02	24	1.01	0.02	24	22.8%	-0.01 [-0.02, 0.00]	•
McGavock 2004	1	0.3	11	1.1	0.3	7	1.8%	-0.10 [-0.38, 0.18]	
Sacre 2014	0.94	0.04	24	0.96	0.04	25	21.7%	-0.02 [-0.04, 0.00]	-
Schmidt 2013	1	0.1	7	1.1	0.1	9	9.5%	-0.10 [-0.20, -0.00]	
Wilson 2018	0.95	0.05	11	0.97	0.13	5	7.6%	-0.02 [-0.14, 0.10]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			126			122	100.0%	0.02 [-0.02, 0.06]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).00; Chi	z = 36.	58, df=	:8 (P <	0.0001); l ² = 7	'8%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.96 (P = 0.3	34)						
									s
									-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5
									Favours [Usual care] Favours [Exercise]

Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

Effects of exercise on E/e

	Exe	ercis	е	Usu	al ca	re		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	I IV, Random, 95% CI
1.12.1 E/e									
Gulsin 2020	8.6	2.5	22	8.3	1.9	30	20.5%	0.30 [-0.95, 1.55]]
Sacre 2014	15.9	0.8	24	13.4	0.8	25	27.2%	2.50 [2.05, 2.95]]
Schmidt 2013	8.5	0.7	7	7.5	0.6	9	25.8%	1.00 [0.35, 1.65]	
Wilson 2018	9.9	0.7	11	9.2	0.4	5	26.6%	0.70 [0.16, 1.24]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			64			69	100.0%	1.18 [0.12, 2.25]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 1.03; C	hi² =	33.30,	df = 3 (P	o < 0.0	00001);	I ² = 91%		
Test for overall effect	: Z = 2.19) (P =	0.03)						
									-4 -2 U Z 4
Test for subaroup dif	ferences	: Not	applica	able					Favours (Osuarcare) Favours (Exercise)

FIGURE 4

Forest plots of the effects of exercise on E, E/A, and E/e. E peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocities; E/A the ratio of peak early to late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; E/e the mitral inflow to mitral relaxation velocity ratio.

3.3.4 Effects of exercise on cardiopulmonary function

Both HIIT and MICT significantly improved VO₂peak (3 HIIT studies, MD: 8.04 mL kg⁻¹ min⁻¹, 95% CI: 6.26 to 9.83, p < 0.00001;

 $I^2 = 0\%$; 9 *MICT studies*, MD: 2.08 mL kg⁻¹ min⁻¹, 95% CI: 0.23 to 3.93, p = 0.03; $I^2 = 87\%$) (Figure 5).

In the subgroup analyses by intervention duration, MICT significantly improved VO₂peak in the ≤ 6 months subgroup

Effects of exercise on A

	Ex	ercise	e	Usi	ial cai	re		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.8.1 A									
Brassard 2007	56	13	11	70	17	12	15.7%	-0.89 [-1.75, -0.02]	
Chen weiwei 2019	53.86	4.8	9	59.57	5.3	5	11.9%	-1.08 [-2.26, 0.11]	
Chen weiwei@ 2019	56.42	3.68	7	59.57	5.3	5	11.9%	-0.66 [-1.85, 0.53]	
Loimaala 2007	0.7	0.02	24	0.69	0.02	24	19.6%	0.49 [-0.08, 1.07]	+
McGavock 2004	92	20	11	87	23	7	14.6%	0.22 [-0.73, 1.18]	
Schmidt 2013	0.11	0.01	7	0.1	0.01	9	13.3%	0.95 [-0.11, 2.00]	
Wilson 2018	71	4	11	74	8	5	13.1%	-0.52 [-1.60, 0.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			80			67	100.0%	-0.16 [-0.73, 0.41]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.35; Chi	i ² = 15.	.31, df=	= 6 (P =	0.02);	l ² = 619	%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.54 ((P = 0.9	59)						

Test for subaroup differences: Not applicable

Favours [Exercise] Favours [Usual care]

Effects of exercise on Dt

	Exe	ercis	e	Usu	al ca	re	:	Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl		IV, Random, 95% Cl	
1.13.1 Dt											
Brassard 2007	223	44	11	235	52	9	25.4%	-0.24 [-1.13, 0.64]			
McGavock 2004	253	54	11	223	27	7	24.2%	0.62 [-0.35, 1.60]		+ - -	
Sacre 2014	213	11	24	227	11	25	28.7%	-1.25 [-1.87, -0.64]			
Schmidt 2013	178	8.7	7	198.3	14	9	21.7%	-1.60 [-2.77, -0.42]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			53			50	100.0%	-0.62 [-1.55, 0.32]		◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.70; C	hi² =	13.42,	df = 3 (P	= 0.0)04); I ² :	= 78%				
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.29	9 (P =	0.20)								
									+		+
									-10	-0 U 0	10
Test for subaroup dif	ferences	: Not	applic	able						ravouis (Exercise) ravouis (Osuarcare)	

Effects of exercise on VO2peak

	Ex	ercis	е	Usual care				Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI	
1.15.1 VO_peak: MIC	Г									
Brassard 2007	32.7	5.7	11	27.3	6.2	9	6.6%	5.40 [0.13, 10.67]		
Gulsin 2020	18.2	4.9	22	16.2	4.1	28	10.8%	2.00 [-0.55, 4.55]	+	
Hare 2011	24.7	5.4	94	27	6.3	92	12.1%	-2.30 [-3.99, -0.61]		
Hordern 2009	24.2	4.3	111	23.7	4.3	112	12.8%	0.50 [-0.63, 1.63]		
Jianghua He© 2022	22.4	3.4	15	18.9	3.9	8	9.7%	3.50 [0.30, 6.70]	_	
Loimaala 2007	34.7	0.6	24	31.5	1.1	24	13.2%	3.20 [2.70, 3.70]	+	
McGavock 2004	24.5	4.2	11	21.3	3.3	7	9.2%	3.20 [-0.28, 6.68]	<u>⊢</u>	
Sacre 2014	29.8	2.4	24	26.4	1.7	25	0.0%	3.40 [2.23, 4.57]		
Schmidt 2013	34	2.5	7	27.5	9.8	9	5.1%	6.50 [-0.17, 13.17]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			295			289	79.5%	2.08 [0.23, 3.93]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4.89; Chi ² = 53.82, df = 7 (P < 0.00001); i ² = 87%										
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.21	(P = 0).03)							
4 45 2 VO pooks UNT										
1.15.2 VO2peak: HIT	27.4	E 4	40	40.0			0.00	0.5014.00 40.401		
Jiangnua Hetti 2022	27.4	0.1	10	18.9	3.9	8	8.9%	8.50 [4.82, 12.18]		
Wilson 2018	27.0	2	11	19.7	1.9	5	11.0%	7.90 [5.86, 9.94]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			21			13	20.5%	8.04 [0.20, 9.83]	•	
Heterogeneity: lau-= L).00; Ch	r = 0.	.08, at =	= 1 (P = I	J.78)	1-= 09	0			
i est for overall effect: Z	.= 8.83 ((P < L	1.00001)						
Total (95% CI)			322			302	100.0%	3.42 [1.51, 5.33]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 7	7.08; Chi	i ² = 8	9.34. di	í= 9 (P =	0.00	1001); P	= 90%			
Test for overall effect $7 = 3.52$ (P = 0.0004) -20 -10 0 10 20										
Test for subaroup diffe	rences:	Chi⁼∶	= 20.62	. df = 1 (ΈP < 0	.00001), I ^z = 95.3	2%	Favours [Usual care] Favours [Exercise]	

FIGURE 5 Forest plots of the effects of exercise on A, Dt, and VO₂peak. A peak late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; Dt the E-wave deceleration time; VO2peak peak oxygen uptake.

(6 *MICT studies*, MD: $3.33 \text{ mL kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$, 95% CI: 2.39 to 4.27, p < 0.00001; $I^2 = 0\%$), but the result was non-significant in the >6 months subgroup (3 *MICT studies*, MD: $0.55 \text{ mL kg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$, 95% CI: -2.46 to 3.57, p = 0.72; $I^2 = 96\%$) (Additional file: Supplementary Figure 4).

3.4 Sensitivity analyses

To assess the robustness of the effects, sensitivity analyses were performed by using SMD for LVM, LVEDV, LVEF, and VO₂peak. The effects sizes for the effects of HIIT on LVM, LVEF, and VO₂peak were consistent with the main analysis (LVM, SMD: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.08 to 1.14, p = 0.02; $I^{2=}0\%$; LVEF, SMD: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.24 to 1.48, p = 0.006; $I^{2=}0\%$; VO₂peak, SMD: 2.61, 95% CI: 0.61 to 4.60, p = 0.01; $I^{2=}73\%$), but non-significant for LVEDV (SMD: 0.74, 95% CI: -0.01 to 1.48, p = 0.05; $I^{2=}92\%$). The effect sizes for the effects of MICT on LVEDV and VO₂peak were consistent with the main analysis, but non-significant for LVEF (Additional file: Supplementary Figure 5).

According to the GRADE evidence profile (Table 4), the certainty of the evidence was moderate or low for most of the outcomes, except for the effect of HIIT on LVEF and VO₂peak, which had high certainty. Low certainty was mostly driven by the high risk of bias and inconsistency.

4 Discussion

This meta-analysis comprehensively and quantitively analyzed the effects of exercise on cardiac structure, cardiac function, and VO₂peak in T2DM patients. The main findings included the following: (1) Both HIIT and MICT significantly affected LVEDV, and HIIT but not MICT significantly affected LVM. (2) Both HIIT and the ≤ 6 months MICT subgroup, but not the >6 months MICT subgroup, significantly improved LVEF, and exercise did not significantly affect S. (3) Exercise significantly affected the E/e' ratio. (4) Both HIIT and MICT, but not the >6 months MICT subgroup, significantly improved VO₂peak. Importantly, the findings of this meta-analysis suggest that HIIT is superior to MICT at improving VO₂peak and LVEF in T2DM patients.

Left ventricular hypertrophy is common in T2DM and predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes, including HF (Dawson et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2018). Reducing left ventricular hypertrophy can lower the associated cardiovascular risk (Koren et al., 2002). This meta-analysis showed that different exercise modes (HIIT vs. MICT) have different effects on LVM in T2DM. MICT significantly affected LVEDV, but it did not significantly affect LVM or LVMI, while HIIT significantly affected LVM and LVEDV. The HIITinduced increase in LVM is known as "physiological hypertrophy" [a benign adaptive change that occurs after long-term exercise and does not cause cardiac fibrosis or cardiomyocyte apoptosis, but instead promotes left ventricular remodeling through a physiological response to growth signals (Frey et al., 2004)]. It should not be confused with "pathological hypertrophy" [characterized by collagen accumulation, increased wall thickness, myocyte hypertrophy and disarray as well as interstitial fibrosis, ultimately leading to cardiac dysfunction (Marian and Braunwald, 2017)], which is common in T2DM.

In clinical practice, left ventricular systolic function is primarily assessed by measuring LVEF, which is considered the gold standard for assessing this parameter despite some limitations (Lund et al., 2022). Its value is generally thought to be >50%. The main and subgroup analyses suggested that both HIIT and MICT significantly improved LVEF in T2DM patients, HIIT compared to usual care increased LVEF by 5.52% (95% CI: 2.31 to 8.73, $I^2 = 0$ %), while MICT compared to usual care increased LVEF by 1.14% (95% CI: 0.45 to 1.84, $I^2 = 12\%$). However, MICT for ≤ 6 months but not >6 months significantly improved LVEF, suggesting inadequate adherence by T2DM patients to long-term exercise. Although most of the included studies did not show impaired LVEF at baseline, the findings demonstrate the potential positive effects of exercise on systolic function. Exercise did not significantly affect S, unlike in a study by Hollekim-Strand et al. (2014), which showed that HIIT compared to MICT significantly improved S, which needs to be explored in future studies.

Diastolic dysfunction is the most commonly reported cardiac dysfunction induced by T2DM. T2DM patients with diastolic dysfunction compared to those with normal diastolic function face a 1.6-2.2 times higher risk of HF and death (From et al., 2010). The E/e' and E/A ratios provide information about the diastolic filling pressure of the left ventricle. E/e' ratios >15 are related to increased mean left ventricular diastolic filling pressure (obtained with ventricular catheters), while E/e' ratios of 8-15 are unrelated (Nagueh et al., 2016; Ommen et al., 2000). The pooled result of this meta-analysis showed that exercise significantly affected the E/e' ratio. However, the 4 included studies (Gulsin et al., 2020a; Wilson et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2013; Sacre et al., 2014) that reported on the effect of exercise on the E/e' ratio showed that the baseline E/e' ratios of patients with uncomplicated T2DM were within 8-14 [indicating normal E/e' ratios, according to the recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (Nagueh et al., 2016)]. E/A <1 has been interpreted as "diastolic dysfunction" in T2DM, and exercise (compared to usual care) did not improve E/A. The influence of exercise on diastolic function (E/e' ratio, E/A ratio and Dt) remains unclear, and large trials are still needed. Notably, age should be taken into account when evaluating diastolic function using E/A (Lang et al., 2015; Caballero et al., 2015). Normal aging is associated with several cardiac changes, which may result in elderly individuals having filling patterns that resemble those observed in younger patients with mild diastolic dysfunction. In contrast, the E/e' ratio is less age dependent (Nagueh et al., 2016; Caballero et al., 2015).

Decreased peak oxygen uptake (objectively measured by cardiopulmonary exercise testing) is common in T2DM (Nesti et al., 2021; Gulsin et al., 2020b). Exercise (compared to usual care) significantly improved cardiorespiratory fitness, based on VO₂peak, HIIT induce higher increases in VO₂peak than MICT according to the findings of this meta-analysis; HIIT compared to usual care increased VO₂peak by 8.04 mL/kg/min (95% CI 6.26 to 9.83, $I^2 = 0\%$), while MICT compared to usual care increased VO₂peak by 2.08 mL/kg/min (95% CI 0.23 to 3.93, $I^2 = 87\%$), which is consistent with the findings of previous meta-analyses (Liu et al., 2019; de Mello et al., 2022). A systemic analysis analyzing 13 studies by Liu et al. (2019). Showed that HIIT is more effective than continuous training in improving VO₂peak by 3.37 mL/kg/min

Importance		IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	on the following page)
Certainty		⊕⊕⊕0 Moderate	⊕⊕⊕0 Moderate	Low	⊕⊕00 Low	⊕⊕00 Low	⊕⊕⊕0 Moderate	⊕⊕⊖0 Moderate	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High	⊕000 Very low	(Continued
Effect	Absolute (95% CI)	MD 0.15 higher (8.23 lower to 8.54 higher)	MD 17.04 higher (5.45 higher to 28.62 higher)	MD 1.35 higher (6.24 lower to 9.12 higher)	MD 0.21 higher (0.01 lower to 0.43 higher)	MD 13.9 higher (7.64 higher to 20.16 higher)	MD 19.44 higher (13.72 higher to 25.17 higher)	MD 1.14 higher (0.45 higher to 1.84 higher)	MD 5.52 higher (2.31 higher to 8.73 higher)	SMD 0.29 lower (1.41 lower to 0.84 higher)	
	Relative (95% CI)		1	ı	1	1			ı	1	
atients	[Usual care]	148	24	278	149	39	16	268	19	155	
No of pa	[Exercise]	148	39	269	160	29	23	276	28	153	
	Other considerations	none	none	none	none	none	none	none	none	none	
	Imprecision	serious	serious	serious	serious	not serious	serious	serious	not serious	serious	
nty ient	Indirectness	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	
Certaii assessm	Inconsistency	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not se rious	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious	
offile.	Risk of bias	not serious	not serious	serious	serious	very serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious	
E evidence pr	Study design	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	
TABLE 4 GRADI	No of studies	LVM:MICT 3	LVM: HIIT 3	6 6	LVEDD 4	LVEDV:MICT 2	LVEDV: HIIT 2	LVEF: MICT 7	LVEF: HIIT 2	S 4	

Importance		IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT
Certainty		⊕⊕⊖O Moderate	Low	⊕⊕⊖O Moderate	⊕⊕00 Low	⊕000 Very low	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High	⊕⊕00Low
Effect	Absolute (95% CI)	SMD 0.08 lower (0.27 lower to 0.12 higher)	MD 0.02 higher (0.02 lower to 0.06 higher)	MD 1.18 higher (0.12 higher to 2.25 higher)	SMD 0.16 lower (0.73 lower to 0.41 higher)	SMD 0.62 lower (1.55 lower to 0.32 higher)	MD 8.04 higher (6.26 higher to 9.83 higher)	MD 2.08 higher (0.23 higher to 3.93 higher)
	Relative (95% CI)	ı	ı	ı	ı	·	ı	1
itients	[Usual care]	204	122	69	67	50	13	289
No of pa	[Exercise]	215	126	64	80	53	27	295
	Other considerations	none	none	none	none	none	none	none
Certainty assessment	Imprecision	serious	serious	not serious	serious	serious	not serious	serious
	Indirectness	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious
	Inconsistency	not serious	serious	not serious	serious	serious	not serious	serious
	Risk of bias	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	serious	not serious	not serious
	Study design	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials	randomised trials
	No of studies	<u>н</u> ∞	E/A 8	E/e 4	A 6	Dt 4	VO2peak: HIIT 2	VO2peak: MICT 9

TABLE 4 (Continued) GRADE evidence profile.

Frontiers in Physiology

tricular mass. IVMI, left ventricular mass indexed; IVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; IVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; IVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; S systolic tissue velocity; E peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocities; A peak late diastolic mitral inflow velocities; E/e the mitral inflow to mitral relaxation velocity ratio; Dt the E-wave deceleration time; VO2peak peak oxygen uptake. Dt the E-wave deceleration time: VO₂peak peak oxygen uptake; Echo echocardiograph; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance. (95% CI 1.88 to 4.87, $I^2 = 48\%$). A more recent meta-analysis including 449 T2DM patients by de Mello et al. (2022). Revealed that HIIT yielded a significant increase VO₂max by 5.09 mL/kg/min (95% CI 2.99 to 7.19, $I^2 = 80.89$) *versus* the control. According to the Fick equation (Nesti et al., 2020), VO₂peak is related to the rate of blood flow through the body (cardiac output) and the amount of oxygen extracted by the tissues as the blood flows from the arteries to the veins ($\Delta(a - v)O_2$). Therefore, the fact that HIIT increased LVEF and LVEDV may have translated into significantly greater improvements in VO₂peak.

Despite the clinically meaningful improvements in cardiopulmonary function and cardiac structure and function outcomes by exercise, the underlying mechanisms required further investigation. The most likely mechanisms underlying exerciseinduced cardiac benefits involve decreased LV cardiac fibrosis and inflammation as well as improved mitochondrial oxidative capacity (D'Haese et al., 2023; Lund et al., 2015; Kar et al., 2019; Cassidy et al., 2017), which are mainly based on animal studies. Decreased LV cardiac fibrosis improves myocardial systolic function and reduces left ventricular filling pressure. This could be the reason for the significant effects of exercise on LVEF, LVEDV, E/e' ratio, and VO₂peak in this meta-analysis. However, the increased LVM cannot be fully explained by this theory. Other mechanisms such as reducing plasma advanced glycation end-products (Hansen et al., 2013; Van den Eynde et al., 2020) and using more efficient energy sources (such as ketone bodies and fatty acids) instead of glucose (Anderson et al., 2009; van den Brom et al., 2009), may also be involved. Further research is required to illuminate the pathways underlying the cardiac effects of exercise in T2DM.

Two previous systematic and narrative reviews (Verboven et al., 2019; Anand et al., 2018) summarized completed and ongoing studies available on the same topic as ours. However, Verboven et al. (2019) conducted a narrative review instead of a meta-analysis, so the study lacked quantitative analyses. Furthermore, the meta-analysis by Anand et al. (2018) only included six nonrandomized trials, and several important recent studies were not included. The present meta-analysis included only intervention studies with usual care as the control group.

Although the present meta-analysis strictly followed the PRISMA guidelines, it has several limitations. First, in the subgroup analyses, the duration was stratified as >6 or \leq 6 months, but most durations were 3 months. Second, heterogeneity in exercise interventions and study methods was not completely avoidable. Third, subgroup analyses by exercise type (endurance, resistance, and aerobic plus resistance), session frequency, session length, and imaging modality were not conducted due to insufficient data, so future studies are needed to clarify the effects of these factors.

5 Conclusion

This meta-analysis showed that exercise improves cardiac structure and systolic function parameters and VO_2 peak in

T2DM patients, but does not significantly affect cardiac diastolic function parameters. HIIT seems to be superior to MICT for improving VO₂peak and LVEF in T2DM patients. Future studies are anticipated to further elucidate the mechanisms underlying the effects of exercise.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

HJ: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. MF: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-original draft. ZD: Investigation, Methodology, Writing-review and editing. LQ: Writing-review and editing. WeY: Writing-review and editing, Data curation, Investigation. WaY: Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Funding H-JH acknowledges funding support from Hunan Office of Philosophy and Social Science (XSP2023JYZ024).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physiology/articles/10. 3389/fphys.2024.1448385/abstract#supplementary-material

References

Adeghate, E., and Singh, J. (2014). Structural changes in the myocardium during diabetes-induced cardiomyopathy. *Heart Fail Rev.* 19 (1), 15–23. doi:10.1007/s10741-013-9388-5

Anand, V., Garg, S., Garg, J., Bano, S., and Pritzker, M. (2018). Impact of exercise training on cardiac function among patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Cardiopulm. Rehabil. Prev.* 38 (6), 358–365. doi:10.1097/hcr.00000000000353

Anderson, E. J., Kypson, A. P., Rodriguez, E., Anderson, C. A., Lehr, E. J., and Neufer, P. D. (2009). Substrate-specific derangements in mitochondrial metabolism and redox balance in the atrium of the type 2 diabetic human heart. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 54 (20), 1891–1898. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.07.031

Arrieta-Leandro, M. C., Moncada-Jiménez, J., Morales-Scholz, M. G., and Hernández-Elizondo, J. (2023). The effect of chronic high-intensity interval training programs on glycaemic control, aerobic resistance, and body composition in type 2 diabetic patients: a meta-analysis. *J. Endocrinol. Invest.* 46 (12), 2423–2443. doi:10.1007/s40618-023-02144-x

Brassard, P., Legault, S., Garneau, C., Bogaty, P., Dumesnil, J. G., and Poirier, P. (2007). Normalization of diastolic dysfunction in type 2 diabetics after exercise training. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 39 (11), 1896–1901. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e318145b642

Caballero, L., Kou, S., Dulgheru, R., Gonjilashvili, N., Athanassopoulos, G. D., Barone, D., et al. (2015). Echocardiographic reference ranges for normal cardiac Doppler data: results from the NORRE Study. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc Imaging* 16 (9), 1031-1041. doi:10.1093/ehjci/jev083

Cadeddu, C., Nocco, S., Cugusi, L., Deidda, M., Fabio, O., Bandino, S., et al. (2016). Effects of metformin and exercise training, alone or in combination, on cardiac function in individuals with insulin resistance. *Cardiol. Ther.* 5 (1), 63–73. doi:10.1007/s40119-016-0057-3

Cassidy, S., Thoma, C., Hallsworth, K., Parikh, J., Hollingsworth, K. G., Taylor, R., et al. (2016). High intensity intermittent exercise improves cardiac structure and function and reduces liver fat in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised controlled trial. *Diabetologia* 59 (1), 56–66. doi:10.1007/s00125-015-3741-2

Cassidy, S., Thoma, C., Houghton, D., and Trenell, M. I. (2017). High-intensity interval training: a review of its impact on glucose control and cardiometabolic health. *Diabetologia* 60 (1), 7–23. doi:10.1007/s00125-016-4106-1

Colberg, S. R., Sigal, R. J., Fernhall, B., Regensteiner, J. G., Blissmer, B. J., Rubin, R. R., et al. (2010). Exercise and type 2 diabetes: the American college of sports medicine and the American diabetes association: joint position statement. *Diabetes Care* 33 (12), e147–e167. doi:10.2337/dc10-9990

Dawson, A., Morris, A. D., and Struthers, A. D. (2005). The epidemiology of left ventricular hypertrophy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 48 (10), 1971–1979. doi:10.1007/s00125-005-1896-y

de Mello, M. B., Righi, N. C., Schuch, F. B., Signori, L. U., and da Silva, A. M. V. (2022). Effect of high-intensity interval training protocols on VO(2)max and HbA1c level in people with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 65 (5), 101586. doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2021.101586

D'Haese, S., Verboven, M., Evens, L., Deluyker, D., Lambrichts, I., Eijnde, B. O., et al. (2023). Moderate- and high-intensity endurance training alleviate diabetes-induced cardiac dysfunction in rats. *Nutrients* 15 (18), 3950. doi:10.3390/nu15183950

Dillmann, W. H. (2019). Diabetic cardiomyopathy. Circ. Res. 124 (8), 1160-1162. doi:10.1161/circresaha.118.314665

Frey, N., Katus, H. A., Olson, E. N., and Hill, J. A. (2004). Hypertrophy of the heart: a new therapeutic target? *Circulation* 109 (13), 1580–1589. doi:10.1161/01.Cir.0000120390.68287.Bb

From, A. M., Scott, C. G., and Chen, H. H. (2010). The development of heart failure in patients with diabetes mellitus and pre-clinical diastolic dysfunction a population-based study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 55 (4), 300–305. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.12.003

Gulsin, G. S., Henson, J., Brady, E. M., Sargeant, J. A., Wilmot, E. G., Athithan, L., et al. (2020b). Cardiovascular determinants of aerobic exercise capacity in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 43 (9), 2248–2256. doi:10.2337/dc20-0706

Gulsin, G. S., Swarbrick, D. J., Athithan, L., Brady, E. M., Henson, J., Baldry, E., et al. (2020a). Effects of low-energy diet or exercise on cardiovascular function in workingage adults with type 2 diabetes: a prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded end point trial. *Diabetes Care* 43 (6), 1300–1310. doi:10.2337/dc20-0129

Gulsin, G. S., Swarbrick, D. J., Hunt, W. H., Levelt, E., Graham-Brown, M. P. M., Parke, K. S., et al. (2018). Relation of aortic stiffness to left ventricular remodeling in younger adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 67 (7), 1395–1400. doi:10.2337/db18-0112

Hansen, A. L., Carstensen, B., Helge, J. W., Johansen, N. B., Gram, B., Christiansen, J. S., et al. (2013). Combined heart rate- and accelerometer-assessed physical activity energy expenditure and associations with glucose homeostasis markers in a population at high risk of developing diabetes: the ADDITION-PRO study. *Diabetes Care* 36 (10), 3062–3069. doi:10.2337/dc12-2671

Hare, J. L., Hordern, M. D., Leano, R., Stanton, T., Prins, J. B., and Marwick, T. H. (2011). Application of an exercise intervention on the evolution of diastolic dysfunction

in patients with diabetes mellitus: efficacy and effectiveness. *Circ. Heart Fail* 4 (4), 441-449. doi:10.1161/circheartfailure.110.959312

Higgins, J. P., Altman, D. G., Gøtzsche, P. C., Jüni, P., Moher, D., Oxman, A. D., et al. (2011). The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *Bmj* 343, d5928. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928

Hollekim-Strand, S. M., Bjørgaas, M. R., Albrektsen, G., Tjønna, A. E., Wisloff, U., and Ingul, C. B. (2014). High-intensity interval exercise effectively improves cardiac function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and diastolic dysfunction: a randomized controlled trial. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 64 (16), 1758–1760. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2014.07.971

Hordern, M. D., Coombes, J. S., Cooney, L. M., Jeffriess, L., Prins, J. B., and Marwick, T. H. (2009). Effects of exercise intervention on myocardial function in type 2 diabetes. *Heart* 95 (16), 1343–1349. doi:10.1136/hrt.2009.165571

Jankauskas, S. S., Kansakar, U., Varzideh, F., Wilson, S., Mone, P., Lombardi, A., et al. (2021). Heart failure in diabetes. *Metabolism* 125, 154910. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154910

Jia, G., Hill, M. A., and Sowers, J. R. (2018). Diabetic cardiomyopathy: an update of mechanisms contributing to this clinical entity. *Circ. Res.* 122 (4), 624–638. doi:10.1161/circresaha.117.311586

Jianghua, H. (2022). Effects of different intensity combined exercise on cardiovascular function and risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitu. Master. Beijing, China: China institute of sport science. doi:10.27984/d.cnki.ggjty.2022.000019

Jingming, Z., Hua, W., and Liwen, L. (2023). Chinese experts' consensus on the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction 2023. *Chin. Circulation J.* 38 (04), 375–393.

Jonker, J. T., de Mol, P., de Vries, S. T., Widya, R. L., Hammer, S., van Schinkel, L. D., et al. (2013). Exercise and type 2 diabetes mellitus: changes in tissue-specific fat distribution and cardiac function. *Radiology* 269 (2), 434–442. doi:10.1148/radiol.13121631

Junbo, G., Jianping, W., and Qiang, Z. (2022). Chinese expert consensus on risk assessment and management of pan-vascular disease in patients with type 2 diabetes (2022 version). *Chin. Circulation J.* 37 (10), 974–990.

Kannel, W. B., Hjortland, M., and Castelli, W. P. (1974). Role of diabetes in congestive heart failure: the Framingham study. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 34 (1), 29–34. doi:10.1016/0002-9149(74)90089-7

Kar, S., Shahshahan, H. R., Hackfort, B. T., Yadav, S. K., Yadav, R., Kambis, T. N., et al. (2019). Exercise training promotes cardiac hydrogen sulfide biosynthesis and mitigates pyroptosis to prevent high-fat diet-induced diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 8 (12), 638. doi:10.3390/antiox8120638

Kemps, H., Kränkel, N., Dörr, M., Moholdt, T., Wilhelm, M., Paneni, F., et al. (2019). Exercise training for patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease: what to pursue and how to do it. A Position Paper of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC). *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 26 (7), 709–727. doi:10.1177/2047487318820420

Khan, J. N., Wilmot, E. G., Leggate, M., Singh, A., Yates, T., Nimmo, M., et al. (2014). Subclinical diastolic dysfunction in young adults with Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a multiparametric contrast-enhanced cardiovascular magnetic resonance pilot study assessing potential mechanisms. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc Imaging* 15 (11), 1263–1269. doi:10.1093/ehjci/jeu121

Koren, M. J., Ulin, R. J., Koren, A. T., Laragh, J. H., and Devereux, R. B. (2002). Left ventricular mass change during treatment and outcome in patients with essential hypertension. *Am. J. Hypertens.* 15 (12), 1021–1028. doi:10.1016/s0895-7061(02)03061-3

Lang, R. M., Badano, L. P., Mor-Avi, V., Afilalo, J., Armstrong, A., Ernande, L., et al. (2015). Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr.* 28 (1), 1–39. doi:10.1016/j.echo.2014.10.003

Liu, J. X., Zhu, L., Li, P. J., and Xu, Y. B. (2019). Effectiveness of high-intensity interval training on glycemic control and cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* 31 (5), 575–593. doi:10.1007/s40520-018-1012-z

Loimaala, A., Groundstroem, K., Rinne, M., Nenonen, A., Huhtala, H., and Vuori, I. (2007). Exercise training does not improve myocardial diastolic tissue velocities in Type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 5, 32. doi:10.1186/1476-7120-5-32

Lund, J., Hafstad, A. D., Boardman, N. T., Rossvoll, L., Rolim, N. P., Ahmed, M. S., et al. (2015). Exercise training promotes cardioprotection through oxygen-sparing action in high fat-fed mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 308 (8), H823–H829. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00734.2014

Lund, L. H., Pitt, B., and Metra, M. (2022). Left ventricular ejection fraction as the primary heart failure phenotyping parameter. *Eur. J. Heart Fail* 24 (7), 1158–1161. doi:10.1002/ejhf.2576

Marian, A. J., and Braunwald, E. (2017). Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: genetics, pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and therapy. *Circ. Res.* 121 (7), 749–770. doi:10.1161/circresaha.117.311059

Marwick, T. H., Hordern, M. D., Miller, T., Chyun, D. A., Bertoni, A. G., Blumenthal, R. S., et al. (2009). Exercise training for type 2 diabetes mellitus: impact on cardiovascular risk: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 119 (25), 3244–3262. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.109.192521

McGavock, J., Mandic, S., Lewanczuk, R., Koller, M., Muhll, I. V., Quinney, A., et al. (2004). Cardiovascular adaptations to exercise training in postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 3, 3. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-3-3

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., and PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.* 6 (7), e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

Nagueh, S. F., Smiseth, O. A., Appleton, C. P., Byrd, B. F., Dokainish, H., Edvardsen, T., et al. (2016). Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography: an update from the American society of echocardiography and the European association of cardiovascular imaging. *J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr.* 29 (4), 277–314. doi:10.1016/j.echo.2016.01.011

Nesti, L., Pugliese, N. R., Sciuto, P., De Biase, N., Mazzola, M., Fabiani, I., et al. (2021). Mechanisms of reduced peak oxygen consumption in subjects with uncomplicated type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 20 (1), 124. doi:10.1186/s12933-021-01314-6

Nesti, L., Pugliese, N. R., Sciuto, P., and Natali, A. (2020). Type 2 diabetes and reduced exercise tolerance: a review of the literature through an integrated physiology approach. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 19 (1), 134. doi:10.1186/s12933-020-01109-1

Nichols, G. A., Gullion, C. M., Koro, C. E., Ephross, S. A., and Brown, J. B. (2004). The incidence of congestive heart failure in type 2 diabetes: an update. *Diabetes Care* 27 (8), 1879–1884. doi:10.2337/diacare.27.8.1879

Ommen, S. R., Nishimura, R. A., Appleton, C. P., Miller, F. A., Oh, J. K., Redfield, M. M., et al. (2000). Clinical utility of Doppler echocardiography and tissue Doppler imaging in the estimation of left ventricular filling pressures: a comparative simultaneous Doppler-catheterization study. *Circulation* 102 (15), 1788–1794. doi:10.1161/01.cir.102.15.1788

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Bmj* 372, n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

Ritchie, R. H., and Abel, E. D. (2020). Basic mechanisms of diabetic heart disease. *Circ. Res.* 126 (11), 1501–1525. doi:10.1161/circresaha.120.315913

Sacre, J. W., Jellis, C. L., Jenkins, C., Haluska, B. A., Baumert, M., Coombes, J. S., et al. (2014). A six-month exercise intervention in subclinical diabetic heart disease: effects on exercise capacity, autonomic and myocardial function. *Metabolism* 63 (9), 1104–1114. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2014.05.007

Salzano, A., D'Assante, R., Iacoviello, M., Triggiani, V., Rengo, G., Cacciatore, F., et al. (2022). Progressive right ventricular dysfunction and exercise impairment in patients with heart failure and diabetes mellitus: insights from the T.O.S.CA. Registry. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 21 (1), 108. doi:10.1186/s12933-022-01543-3

Schmidt, J. F., Andersen, T. R., Horton, J., Brix, J., Tarnow, L., Krustrup, P., et al. (2013). Soccer training improves cardiac function in men with type 2 diabetes. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 45 (12), 2223–2233. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31829ab43c

Schrauwen-Hinderling, V. B., Meex, R. C., Hesselink, M. K., van de Weijer, T., Leiner, T., Schär, M., et al. (2011). Cardiac lipid content is unresponsive to a physical activity training intervention in type 2 diabetic patients, despite improved ejection fraction. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 10, 47. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-10-47

Segar, M. W., Khan, M. S., Patel, K. V., Butler, J., Tang, W. H. W., Vaduganathan, M., et al. (2021). Prevalence and prognostic implications of diabetes with cardiomyopathy in community-dwelling adults. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 78 (16), 1587–1598. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2021.08.020

Sherrington, C., Herbert, R. D., Maher, C. G., and Moseley, A. M. (2000). PEDro. A database of randomized trials and systematic reviews in physiotherapy. *Man. Ther.* 5 (4), 223–226. doi:10.1054/math.2000.0372

van den Brom, C. E., Huisman, M. C., Vlasblom, R., Boontje, N. M., Duijst, S., Lubberink, M., et al. (2009). Altered myocardial substrate metabolism is associated with myocardial dysfunction in early diabetic cardiomyopathy in rats: studies using positron emission tomography. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 8, 39. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-8-39

Van den Eynde, M. D. G., Streese, L., Houben, A., Stehouwer, C. D. A., Scheijen, J. L. J. M., Schalkwijk, C. G., et al. (2020). Physical activity and markers of glycation in older individuals: data from a combined cross-sectional and randomized controlled trial (EXAMIN AGE). *Clin. Sci. (Lond)* 134 (9), 1095–1105. doi:10.1042/cs20200255

Van Ryckeghem, L., Keytsman, C., De Brandt, J., Verboven, K., Verbaanderd, E., Marinus, N., et al. (2022). Impact of continuous vs. interval training on oxygen extraction and cardiac function during exercise in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 122 (4), 875–887. doi:10.1007/s00421-022-04884-9

Verboven, M., Van Ryckeghem, L., Belkhouribchia, J., Dendale, P., Eijnde, B. O., Hansen, D., et al. (2019). Effect of exercise intervention on cardiac function in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Sports Med.* 49 (2), 255–268. doi:10.1007/s40279-018-1003-4

Virani, S. S., Newby, L. K., Arnold, S. V., Bittner, V., Brewer, L. C., Demeter, S. H., et al. (2023). 2023 AHA/ACC/ACCP/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline for the management of patients with chronic coronary disease: a report of the American heart association/American college of cardiology joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. *Circulation* 148 (9), e9–e119. doi:10.1161/cir.000000000001168

Weiwei, C., Honglei, Z., Xiaofeng, Z., Yue, L., and Yan, L. (2019). Effects of different exercise prescriptions on left ventricular function and pulse wave velocity in middleaged and elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitu. *Chin. J. Gerontology* 39 (05), 1107–1110.

Wilson, G. A., Wilkins, G. T., Cotter, J. D., Lamberts, R. R., Lal, S., and Baldi, J. C. (2019). HIIT improves left ventricular exercise response in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 51 (6), 1099–1105. doi:10.1249/mss.00000000001897

Look AHEAD Research Group, Wing, R. R., Bolin, P., Brancati, F. L., Bray, G. A., Clark, J. M., et al. (2013). Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 369 (2), 145–154. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1212914