



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Sylvia Anton,
Institut National de Recherche pour
l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement
(INRAE), France

*CORRESPONDENCE

Steeve H. Thany,
✉ steeve.thany@univ-orleans.fr

RECEIVED 17 February 2023

ACCEPTED 06 May 2024

PUBLISHED 30 May 2024

CITATION

Cartereau A, Taillebois E, Selvam B, Martin C,
Graton J, Le Questel J-Y and Thany SH (2024),
Corrigendum: Cloning and expression of
cockroach $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine
receptor subunit.
Front. Physiol. 15:1168531.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2024.1168531

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cartereau, Taillebois, Selvam, Martin,
Graton, Le Questel and Thany. This is an open-
access article distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
The use, distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in this
journal is cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does not
comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Cloning and expression of cockroach $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit

Alison Cartereau¹, Emiliane Taillebois¹, Balaji Selvam²,
Carine Martin¹, Jérôme Graton³, Jean-Yves Le Questel³ and
Steeve H. Thany^{1*}

¹LBLGC, UPRES EA 1207-USC INRA 1328, Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France, ²Roger Adams Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, United States, ³CEISAM-UMR CNRS 6230, Faculté des Sciences et des Techniques, Université de Nantes, Nantes, France

KEYWORDS

nicotinic receptor, insect, $\alpha 7$ subunit, acetylcholine, nicotine, neonicotinoid

A Corrigendum on

Cloning and expression of cockroach $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit

by Cartereau A, Taillebois E, Selvam B, Martin C, Graton J, Le Questel J-Y and Thany SH (2020).
Front. Physiol. 11:418. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00418

In the published article, there were some errors in **Supplementary Figures A, B** and their legend. Figure A was the wrong figure, while Figure B contained a mistake. It indicated 10 cycles in the first histograms, while the correct number is 15. The legend indicated that no significant amplification was found at 10 and 15 cycles except for actin (figure A). The correct statement is "No significant amplification was found at 10 cycles except for actin (figure A)". The correct figures A and B and their legend appear in the updated Supplementary Material.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.