Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bradford Julian Smith, University of Colorado Denver, United States

REVIEWED BY Debanjali Dasgupta, Mayo Clinic, United States Obaid U Khurram, Mayo Clinic, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Gergely H. Fodor, ⊠ fodor.gergely@med.u-szeged.hu

RECEIVED 28 June 2023 ACCEPTED 05 September 2023 PUBLISHED 18 September 2023

CITATION

Ballók B, Schranc Á, Tóth I, Somogyi P, Tolnai J, Peták F and Fodor GH (2023), Comparison of the respiratory effects of commonly utilized general anaesthesia regimes in male Sprague-Dawley rats. *Front. Physiol.* 14:1249127. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1249127

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ballók, Schranc, Tóth, Somogyi, Tolnai, Peták and Fodor. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Comparison of the respiratory effects of commonly utilized general anaesthesia regimes in male Sprague-Dawley rats

Bence Ballók¹, Álmos Schranc^{1,2}, Ibolya Tóth¹, Petra Somogyi^{1,3}, József Tolnai¹, Ferenc Peták¹ and Gergely H. Fodor^{1*}

¹Department of Medical Physics and Informatics, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical School, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary, ²Unit for Anaesthesiological Investigations, Department of Anaesthesiology, Pharmacology, Intensive Care, and Emergency Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland, ³Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Medicine, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical School, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

Background: Respiratory parameters in experimental animals are often characterised under general anaesthesia. However, anaesthesia regimes may alter the functional and mechanical properties of the respiratory system. While most anaesthesia regimes have been shown to affect the respiratory system, the effects of general anaesthesia protocols commonly used in animal models on lung function have not been systematically compared.

Methods: The present study comprised 40 male Sprague-Dawley rats divided into five groups (N = 8 in each) according to anaesthesia regime applied: intravenous (iv) Na-pentobarbital, intraperitoneal (ip) ketamine-xylazine, iv propofol-fentanyl, inhaled sevoflurane, and ip urethane. All drugs were administered at commonly used doses. End-expiratory lung volume (EELV), airway resistance (Raw) and tissue mechanics were measured in addition to arterial blood gas parameters during mechanical ventilation while maintaining positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) values of 0, 3, and 6 cm H₂O. Respiratory mechanics were also measured during iv methacholine (MCh) challenges to assess bronchial responsiveness.

Results: While PEEP influenced baseline respiratory mechanics, EELV and blood gas parameters (p < 0.001), no between-group differences were observed (p > 0.10). Conversely, significantly lower doses of MCh were required to achieve the same elevation in Raw under ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia compared to the other groups.

Conclusion: In the most frequent rodent model of respiratory disorders, no differences in baseline respiratory mechanics or function were observed between commonly used anaesthesia regimes. Bronchial hyperresponsiveness in response to ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia should be considered when designing experiments using this regime. The findings of the present study

01

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analyses of variance; BHR, bronchial hyperreactivity; ED100_{Raw}, methacholine dose needed for 100% elevation of airway resistance; EELV, end-expiratory lung volume; FOT, forced oscillation technique; G, tissue damping; H, tissue elastance; HR, heart rate; Iaw, airway inertance; ip, intraperitoneal; iv, intravenous; KX, ketamine-xylazine; MAC, minimum alveolar concentration; MAP, mean arterial pressure; MCh, methacholine; PaCO₂, partial pressure of arterial oxygen; PB, sodium pentobarbital; Pbox, pressures inside the sealed plexiglas box; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; FF, propofol and fentanyl; Ptr, pressure measured inside the trachea; Raw, airway resistance; S, sevoflurane; TERM, termination of the animal; U, urethane; Zrs, input impedance of the respiratory system.

indicate commonly used anaesthetic regimes allow fair comparison of respiratory mechanics in experimental animals undergoing any of the examined anaesthesia protocols.

KEYWORDS

respiratory mechanics, general anaesthesia, animal model, lung function, rat

Introduction

The assessment of pulmonary function and mechanics in experimental animals, particularly rodents, typically requires anaesthesia of the animal as opposed to practically all similar measurements in human subjects (Bates, 2017). General anaesthesia itself has a dose-dependent influence on the respiratory system (Fagerlund et al., 2020), predominantly due to respiratory depression or changes in breathing patterns caused by perturbed control of breathing and loss of respiratory muscle tone (Hans et al., 2009). This can lead to rapid formation of atelectasis and airway closure resulting in reduced functional residual capacity, hypoxia, hypercapnia and increased intrapulmonary shunting (Brismar et al., 1985; Hedenstierna and Edmark, 2005; Hans et al., 2009). These blood gas abnormalities may also have bronchial and pulmonary vascular effects, which ultimately alter respiratory mechanics (Hedenstierna and Edmark, 2005; Hans et al., 2009). These effects can be mitigated by mechanical ventilation, thereby preventing most of these complications (Brismar et al., 1985; Tokics et al., 1987).

Agents used for general anaesthesia can have various direct and indirect effects on the respiratory system. Several previous studies have provided evidence of the bronchodilator effects of volatile anaesthetics in animal and human models (Mitsuhata et al., 1994; Habre et al., 1997; Habre et al., 2001; Balogh et al., 2017). Other studies have described serious respiratory adverse effects of other anaesthetic drugs, such as xylazine-induced pulmonary oedema and surfactant dysfunction (Amouzadeh et al., 1991; Adam et al., 2022), although xylazine is commonly used for sedation alone or for general anaesthesia in combination with ketamine. Studies of the effects of other anaesthesia agents on respiratory function and mechanics have reported ambiguous and contradicting results.

Despite the lack of clarity, the effects of anaesthesia protocols commonly used in animal models on lung function have not been systematically compared. Accordingly, the present study aimed to assess the respiratory effects of agents frequently used for general anaesthesia in rats as anaesthesia is used in most rodent models of respiratory disorders.

Materials and methods

Ethical approval

The present study received ethical approval from the National Food Chain Safety and Animal Health Directorate of Csongrád County, Hungary (no. XXXII./2110/2019) on 16 December 2019. The study described in this manuscript was conducted in compliance with the guidelines of the Scientific Committee of Animal Experimentation of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (updated Law and Regulations on Animal Protection: 40/2013 [II. 14.], the Government of Hungary) and the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. Results were reported according to the ARRIVE guidelines (Percie du Sert et al., 2020).

Group allocation according to anaesthesia induction and maintenance

Forty male Sprague-Dawley rats (280-540 g, CD[®] IGS Rat, Charles River, Germany; 8-14 weeks of age) were randomly allocated to one of five experimental groups (N = 8 in each)group). Groups were assigned according to the anaesthetic agent used for induction: group PB were administered an intraperitoneal (ip) bolus of sodium pentobarbital (45 mg/kg, (Wixson and Smiler, 1997)), group KX were administered an ip injection of ketamine (60 mg/kg) and xylazine [10 mg/kg, (Wixson and Smiler, 1997)), group U were administered an ip injection of urethane (1,000 mg/kg, (Wixson and Smiler, 1997)] and groups PF and group S were administered inhalational sevoflurane. Those animals were placed in a body box filled with room air and 5% sevoflurane. Inhalational sevoflurane at a concentration of 1-2 MAC (2.2%-3.5% (Obal et al., 2001)) was then continuously administered through a face mask and then using a small animal ventilator (Model 683, Harvard Apparatus, South Natick, MA, United States) through a tracheostomy. Animals were surgically prepared, and anaesthesia was maintained according to group allocation. Anaesthesia was maintained using repeated intravenous (iv) boluses of sodium pentobarbital (12 mg/kg, every 30 min) in group PB (Wixson and Smiler, 1997), applying repeated ip boluses of ketamine (60 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg) every 30 min in group KX (Wixson and Smiler, 1997), using a continuous iv infusion of propofol 30 mg/kg/ h) and fentanyl (5 µg/kg/h) in group PF (Wixson and Smiler, 1997) or continuous inhalation of sevoflurane at a dose of 1-2 MAC (2.2%-3.5%, (Obal et al., 2001)) through a tracheostomy using a ventilator in group S. Additional maintenance of anaesthesia following induction was not required in group U (Wixson and Smiler, 1997).

Animal preparation

Following anaesthesia induction, subcutaneous injection of lidocaine (2–4 mg/kg) was locally administered and tracheostomy was performed using a 2.5-mm metal cannula (tracheal cannula with Luer end, 2.5 mm OD, # 732725, Harvard Apparatus, South Natick, MA, United States). Mechanical ventilation was initiated with a rodent ventilator using room air at a tidal volume of 10 ml/kg using a frequency that achieved normocapnia. End-tidal CO₂ was



TERM, termination of the animal

monitored using a sidestream rodent capnograph (Type 340, Harvard Apparatus, South Natick, MA, United States). The left femoral artery and vein were cannulated using a polyethylene tube (Abbocath 22G). The arterial line was connected to a pressure transducer (MLT0380 Reusable blood pressure Transducer, ADInstruments, Dunedin, New Zealand) and was also used to draw blood samples for arterial blood gas measurements (epoc Reader and Host, Epocal Inc., Ottawa, ON, Canada). The femoral venous line was used for delivery of the anaesthetic agent, of pipecuronium a neuromuscular blocking agent at 0.1 mg/kg every 30 min and for administration of the MCh infusion. As a continuous iv infusion was required for anaesthesia maintenance in group PF, an additional venous catheter was inserted into the right jugular vein in the animals of this group. Animals were placed in the supine position on a heating pad with a rectal thermometer connected and set to 37.0°C ± 0.5°C (Model 507223F, Harvard Apparatus, South Natick, MA, United States). Vital parameters (ECG, arterial blood pressure, body temperature, and exhaled CO₂) were monitored and recorded using a data collection and acquisition system (Powerlab 8/ 35 and Labchart, ADInstruments, Dunedin, New Zealand).

Measurement of end-expiratory lung volume (EELV)

EELV was measured using a custom-made whole-body plethysmography box as detailed previously (Janosi et al., 2006). Briefly, the animal was placed in a sealed plexiglas box and ventilated normally. During measurements, the trachea and box were closed for 10-15 s at end-expiration and pressures inside the box (Pbox) and the trachea (Ptr) were measured during spontaneous breathing efforts of the animal against the closed trachea. Measurements were performed at different levels of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). EELV was calculated from simultaneously measured pressure signals by applying the Boyle-Mariotte law. To compensate for differences in body size between animals, EELV values were normalised to body mass (Janosi et al., 2006).

Measurement of respiratory mechanics

Respiratory mechanical parameters were measured by the forced oscillatory method as described in detail previously (Petak et al., 1997; Fodor et al., 2019; Schranc et al., 2021). Briefly, a short (8 s) endexpiratory apnoea was induced, and the tracheal cannula was connected to a loudspeaker-in-box system by turning a three-way tap. The loudspeaker delivered a small amplitude (<±1 cmH₂O) pseudorandom forcing pressure signal consisting of 23 non-integer multiples of a 0.25-Hz fundamental frequency between 0.5 and 20.75 Hz through a polyethylene wave-tube (100 cm length, 2 mm internal diameter). Lateral pressures on both ends of the wave-tube were measured (P1 and P2) using two identical differential pressure transducers (24PCEFA6D, Honeywell, Charlotte, NC, United States), low-pass filtered at 25 Hz, and digitised using an analogue-digital converter of a data acquisition board (USB-6211, National Instruments, Austin TX) at a sampling rate of 256 Hz. Pressure transfer functions (P1/P2) were calculated with fast Fourier transformation (4-s time windows and 95% overlapping) from each 6-s recording. The input impedance of the respiratory system (Zrs) was calculated as the load impedance of the wave-tube from the recordings (Franken et al., 1981), and at least three consecutive and consistent Zrs measurements were ensemble-averaged under each experimental condition. The input impedance of the endotracheal tube and the connections had previously been determined and subsequently subtracted from each Zrs spectrum.

The constant-phase model was fitted to the Zrs spectra by minimizing the relative difference between the measured and modelled impedance data (Hantos et al., 1992). The model included frequency-independent resistance (Raw) and inertance (Iaw). The model also contained a constant-phase tissue compartment consisting of tissue damping (G) and tissue elastance (H). G describes the dissipative properties (damping and resistance) while H describes the stiffness (elastance) of the respiratory tissues (lung and chest wall). Raw and Iaw describe the flow resistance and inertance of mainly the conducting airways as the contribution of the chest wall is minimal on these parameters (Petak et al., 1998).

Study protocol

The experimental scheme is illustrated in Figure 1. Following animal preparation, EELV was measured using whole-body plethysmography with increasing levels of PEEP at 0, 3, and 6 cm H₂O, respectively. Animals were then removed from the body box and neuromuscular blockade was initiated. Respiratory mechanics were determined using forced oscillations while maintaining the

TABLE 1 Body masses of the rats in each group. Data is displayed as mean \pm SD. No significant differences were observed. N = 8 in each group.

Group KX	Group PB	Group PF	Group S	Group U
405.0 ± 74.4 g	395.6 ± 86.2 g	421.9 ± 56.8 g	420.0 ± 52.4 g	405.0 ± 43.3 g

same PEEP levels as with EELV (0, 3, and 6 cm H_2O , again in an increasing order). Arterial blood samples were collected and analysed at each PEEP level to assess the partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), pH and serum glucose level. Finally, the responsiveness of the respiratory system to an exogeneous bronchoconstrictor stimulus was measured at baseline and then with administration of a continuous iv infusion of methacholine (MCh) at increasing doses (4, 8, 16, and 32 µg/kg/min) while maintaining a PEEP of 3 cm H₂O. All measurements were performed under anaesthesia maintained in accordance with group allocation. Animals were euthanized at the end of the experiment using an iv bolus of 200 mg/kg pentobarbital sodium.

Primary outcome variables

Primary outcome variables were EELV, respiratory mechanical parameters (Raw, G and H), and respiratory responsiveness

(ED100 $_{Raw}$ defined as the MCh dose required for a 100% increase in Raw).

Secondary outcome variables

Secondary outcomes were blood gas parameters (PaO₂, PaCO₂, pH and serum glucose) and baseline haemodynamic status measured with mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR).

Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean \pm SD for normally distributed variables. Normality was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Two-way repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVA) with Holm-Sidak *post hoc* tests were performed to assess the effects of various anaesthesia regimes on PEEP-dependence or MCh dosedependence of respiratory or vital parameters. One-way ANOVA was applied to test for anaesthesia-related differences in the responsiveness of the respiratory system. Airway resistance was the primary outcome variable for estimating the sample size required for repeated-measures ANOVA with a power of 0.8 and an alpha of 0.05. Based on previous data (Sudy et al., 2019), the power analysis revealed that at least six animals were required in each protocol group to detect a 40% difference as statistically



End-expiratory lung volumes normalised to body mass. KX, ketamine-xylazine; PB, pentobarbital sodium; PF, propofol-fentanyl; S, sevoflurane; U, urethane; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure. *p < 0.05 for all groups. N = 8 in each group.



significant. Two-tailed *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

The body masses of rats are demonstrated in Table 1. No significant differences in body masses were observed between groups (p = 0.92). EELV was normalised to body mass due to a wide distribution of body masses within groups. EELV values normalised to body mass are shown in Figure 2. Significant increases in EELV were observed at higher PEEP levels in all groups (p < 0.001 for all), with no differences observed between any of the experimental groups (p > 0.10).

Baseline respiratory mechanical parameters are illustrated in Figure 3. Raw significantly decreased with increasing PEEP (p < 0.001 for all), with no differences observed between any of the

experimental groups (p = 0.54). Similar trends were observed in terms of the tissue mechanical parameters G and H, with marked effects of PEEP (p < 0.001 for all) and no differences between experimental groups (p = 0.36 for G and p = 0.33 for H).

Baseline blood gas parameters are depicted in Figure 4. No significant differences in PaO₂ values were observed between groups (p = 0.27); however, a negative PEEP-dependence was observed (p < 0.001). Increased PEEP caused significant increases in PaCO₂ in all groups (p < 0.05 in all), with no differences observed between any of the experimental groups (p = 0.52). Increasing PEEP levels had no effect on arterial pH (p = 0.63), with no differences in arterial pH values observed between experimental groups (p = 0.84). Nonfasting serum glucose levels were not affected by PEEP and were within the normal range in groups PB and PF (p > 0.05 in all groups). While PEEP had no significant effect on the serum glucose levels in group S (p > 0.50), significantly higher serum glucose levels were observed in group S at a PEEP of 0 cm H₂O compared to groups PB



FIGURE 4

Baseline arterial blood gas parameters. (A) Partial pressure of O₂ (PaO₂). (B) Partial pressure of CO₂ (PaO₂). (C) Arterial pH. (D) Serum glucose. KX, ketamine-xylazine; PB, pentobarbital sodium; PF, propofol-fentanyl; S, sevoflurane; U, urethane; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure. *p < 0.05 for all groups; #p < 0.05 for group KX vs. other groups; †p < 0.05 for group U vs. other groups; §p < 0.05 for group S vs other groups; %p < 0.05 vs. other PEEP levels in group KX. No significant differences in arterial pH values were observed. N = 8 in each group.

and PF (p = 0.03 and p = 0.02, respectively). There was a tendency for this difference to be also present at a PEEP of 3 cm H₂O (p = 0.06 for PB and p = 0.15 for PF), while serum glucose levels in group S did not differ from those in groups PB or PF at a PEEP of 6 cmH₂O (p =0.41 and p = 0.61, respectively). Of note, serum glucose levels remained within the normal range for most animals in group S. Conversely, markedly elevated serum glucose levels that exceeded the normal range were observed in groups KX and U, and these values were significantly higher than in all other groups (p < 0.001). While PEEP had no effect on the above-normal glucose levels with increasing PEEP was observed in group KX (p < 0.02).

Baseline haemodynamic parameters (MAP and HR) are shown in Figure 5. HR was stable in all groups (p = 0.57) regardless of the PEEP applied, with no significant differences between experimental groups (p = 0.18). MAP decreased in response to elevated PEEP levels in all groups (p < 0.01). Animals in groups S and U had significantly lower MAP values compared to the other three groups (p < 0.01). Due to technical difficulties, sufficient haemodynamic data could not be obtained during the MCh provocation.

Respiratory mechanics during intravenous MCh provocation are shown in Figure 6. In terms of Raw, group KX exhibited increased reactivity compared to the other groups, with statistically significant increases observed at 8 and 16 µg/kg/min compared to baseline. Accordingly, the highest increases in Raw were in group KX compared to other groups (p < 0.001). Due to severe bronchoconstriction (535% ± 223% increase compared to baseline), MCh provocation was aborted in all animals in group KX at 16 µg/kg/min instead of 32 µg/kg/min in other groups. In all other groups, significant elevations in Raw were observed during MCh infusion rates of 16 and 32 µg/kg/min compared to baseline. This difference in airway reactivity was also reflected in the average values of ED100_{Raw}, with the lowest ED100_{Raw} values observed in group KX (p < 0.03). FIGURE 5



Baseline haemodynamic parameters. (A) Mean arterial blood pressure (MAP). (B) Heart rate (HR). KX, ketamine-xylazine; PB, pentobarbital sodium; PF, propofol-fentanyl; S, sevoflurane; U, urethane; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure. *p < 0.05 for all groups; #p < 0.05 versus group S; $\dagger p < 0.05$ versus group U; \$p < 0.05 versus group PF. No significant differences in HR were observed. N = 8 in each group.

Significant elevations of G were also observed in group KX at MCh infusions at 4 µg/kg/min and above compared to baseline (p < 0.001). No differences were observed in G between the other experimental groups (p > 0.29), with significantly higher G values observed at MCh infusions of 16 and 32 µg/kg/min (p < 0.001). In terms of H, no significant differences were observed between experimental groups (p = 0.80), with significant elevations observed starting at MCh infusion rates 4 µg/kg/min and above (p < 0.001).

Changes in blood gas parameters during iv MCh provocation are shown in Figure 7. MCh administration had no effect on PaO₂ in most groups; however, lower PaO₂ values were observed in group S (p < 0.01). Similar trends were observed with PaCO₂ values, with decreased PaCO₂ values observed in group U at the two highest doses of MCh (p < 0.04). Significant differences in arterial pH were observed at the highest dose of MCh compared to baseline in all groups (p < 0.04) with the exception of group U (p = 0.7). Despite the differences in these trends, no between-group differences were observed at any of the MCh infusion rates used.

Discussion

The present study aimed to characterise the respiratory effects of iv sodium pentobarbital, iv propofol-fentanyl, ip ketamine-xylazine, inhaled sevoflurane and ip urethane, which are all commonly used anaesthesia regimes in rats. No significant differences in lung volume, baseline respiratory mechanics or blood gas parameters were observed between the anesthaesia regimes at three different levels of PEEP. On the contrary, significant bronchial hyperreactivity (BHR) was observed with the administration of increasing doses of iv methacholine in rats undergoing ketaminexylazine anaesthesia. Respiratory parameters in all groups were in good agreement with data acquired previously by us in healthy animals in the same mass range and rats undergoing pentobarbital anaesthesia (Fodor et al., 2016; Sudy et al., 2019).

The lack of differences in baseline respiratory mechanical parameters between experimental groups in the present study may be attributable to a number of reasons. General anaesthesia induces respiratory depression with practically all anaesthetic agents to various extents (Brismar et al., 1985; Hedenstierna and Edmark, 2005; Hans et al., 2009), and such effects are particularly evident with the use of pentobarbital (Brown et al., 1973; Gasser et al., 1975) and propofol (Jiang et al., 2021). However, all animals were mechanically ventilated in the present study, which prevented lung volume loss and subsequently contributed to the similar EELV values observed between experimental groups. This feature of the present study in addition to physiologically low basal airway smooth muscle tone can explain the lack of the previously described bronchodilator effects of sevoflurane (Balogh et al., 2017) and propofol (Peratoner et al., 2004). While the bronchodilator effect of sevoflurane has been consistently reported in subjects with increased bronchial tone (e.g., asthma (von Ungern-Sternberg et al., 2008), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Volta et al., 2005) and following cardiopulmonary bypass (Balogh et al., 2017)), the bronchodilator effect of sevoflurane in normal subjects remains controversial (Mitsuhata et al., 1994; Habre et al., 1997; Correa et al., 2001). Similar to our findings, no bronchoactive properties of propofol (Brown and Wagner, 1999) or sevoflurane (Habre et al., 2001; Lele et al., 2013) were demonstrated under baseline conditions in a previous study, with propofol found to have no bronchoprotective effect against bronchoconstrictive agonists (Biddle et al., 1995; Brown and Wagner, 1999).

In contrast to previous findings under baseline conditions, anaesthesia regimes can significantly affect respiratory function during MCh-induced bronchoconstriction. Significant increases in Raw during ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia demonstrated severe BHR compared to all other anaesthetic regimes. The mechanism underlying this hyperreactivity remains unclear. Ketamine acts against cholinergic bronchoconstriction in isolated human (Gateau et al., 1989) and guinea pig bronchi (Leblanc et al., 1987; Rock et al., 1989), and sensitised rats (Zhu et al., 2007) and sheep (Brown and Wagner, 1999) *in vivo*. Previous studies on the bronchial effects of xylazine are limited; however, almost all describe a bronchodilatative effect of xylazine through activation of bronchial alpha-2 receptors (Watney et al., 1988). The discrepancy between the previously described bronchial effects of these two anaesthetics



(A–C) Changes in respiratory mechanical parameters during intravenous methacholine (MCh) challenge (A) Airway resistance. (B) Tissue damping. (C) Tissue elastance. Solid grey lines indicate baseline values (0 μ g/kg/min). Dashed grey lines indicate dose required for a 100% increase in airway resistance. *p < 0.05 vs baseline for group KX; †p < 0.05 Group KX vs. other groups; #p < 0.05 vs. baseline for all groups (D) MCh dose needed to reach 100% elevation of airway resistance (ED100_{Raw}). *: p < 0.05. N = 8 in each group.

when used in isolation and our results with the combined administration of ketamine and xylazine indicates that the combination of the two drugs may result in increased airway reactivity. This increased airway resistance was also coupled with increased tissue damping and decreased arterial pH. While Raw is considered a measure of flow resistance in central conducting airways (Petak et al., 1998), G has more contributing factors. Elevation of G can most prominently indicate ventilation heterogeneity due to inhomogeneous small airway constriction and/or closure (Hantos et al., 1992; Lutchen et al., 1996). As ketamine reportedly increases the collapsibility of the lung periphery, subsequent increases in ventilation heterogeneity may explain substantial increases in G (Alves-Neto et al., 2001). While the protective effects of volatile anaesthetics (including sevoflurane) against cholinergic bronchoconstriction are well-established (Mitsuhata et al., 1994; Habre et al., 1997; Habre et al., 2001; Balogh et al., 2017), we were unable to demonstrate a protective effect of sevoflurane on bronchoconstriction in the present study. This finding may be attributable to the sustained bronchoconstriction and prolonged administration of sevoflurane in our study protocol. Indeed, sevoflurane has previously been shown to reverse cholinergic bronchoconstriction only transiently with a short duration of effect (approximately 5 min) (Schutz et al., 2004).

Apart from the respiratory effects of the applied anaesthetics, their applicability and other vital parameters also warrant discussion. Non-fasting serum glucose values were within the normal range in animals under pentobarbital, propofol-fentanyl or sevoflurane anaesthesia. However, blood sugar values above the



normal range were observed under urethane and ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia. Urethane-induced hyperglycaemia may be mediated by increased sympathetic activation via alpha-2 receptors (Sanchez-Pozo et al., 1988; Aisaka et al., 1989; Takeuchi et al., 1994). The progressive hyperglycaemia observed in group KX has previously been observed in studies using ketamine (Reyes Toso et al., 1995; Zuurbier et al., 2014) or xylazine (Steffey et al., 2000) alone and in combination (Safaei et al., 2021). This finding may also be attributable to an alpha-2 receptor-mediated response, resulting in inhibition of insulin secretion (Reyes Toso et al., 1995; Safaei et al., 2021).

Normal MAP values and heart rates were observed in all groups, with the lowest mean arterial pressure values (approximately 60 mmHg) observed in animals under sevoflurane and urethane anaesthesia. This finding is in concordance with the results of previous studies describing the haemodynamic effects of these anaesthetic agents (De Wildt et al., 1983; Himori and Ishimori,

1988; Conzen et al., 1992; Brammer et al., 1993; Albrecht et al., 2014; Zuurbier, 2019; Fortea et al., 2020).

Our findings on the effects of anaesthetic agents on pulmonary system can also be considered in the context of their generalized potential to influence the function of various organ systems. Tendency for neuroprotection was proposed for volatile agents, such as sevoflurane against neuronal injury in rats (Wang et al., 2007; Schifilliti et al., 2010), barbiturates like pentobarbital (Hoffman et al., 1998; Kobayashi et al., 2007), propofol (Kobayashi et al., 2007) and ketamine (Sakai et al., 2000). Conversely, the neurological effects of urethane are different compared to other anaesthetics without a major effect on the peripheral nervous system and various subcortical areas (Maggi and Meli, 1986), thereby allowing the induction of various peripheral reflexes or characterizing cerebral processes like natural sleep (Pagliardini et al., 2013). This characteristic of urethane also results in a lesser amount of respiratory depression in spontaneously breathing animals without inducing apnoeic periods observed with other anaesthetics (Pagliardini et al., 2013). Related to the effects of short-term general anaesthesia on the liver, various studies have described either dose-dependent or idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity after using sevoflurane (Arslan et al., 2010) or propofol (Huang et al., 2014), but not with most barbiturates used for sedation or anaesthesia, or ketamine (Authors Anonymous, 2012). These hepatotoxic effects need however, a longer timeframe to develop. It is important to note the carcinogenic effects described with urethane, resulting in the development of both benignant and malignant tumours in various organs of the animals, especially after repeated injections (Du et al., 2013; Sozio et al., 2021). Thus, it is not recommended to use urethane anaesthesia in surviving animals (Wixson and Smiler, 1997).

Regarding the depth of anaesthesia, no signs of inadequate anaesthesia or inconsistency in the plane of anaesthesia were observed in any of the experimental groups, as confirmed by the stability of heart rate and blood pressure in similar experimental conditions and also before and after the administration of boluses where applicable. The depth of anaesthesia was also comparable between the different study groups, as the vital parameters of the animals were comparable with differences explainable by the known effects of the various regimes. Of note, the onset of anaesthesia was extremely long in group U (approximately 1 h), and while the dose was determined based on previous studies and published best practices, half of the animals (N = 4) required an additional injection of approximately 50% of the dose administered before baseline measurements.

Limitations

The present study has several limitations that require discussion. First, the present study comprised only male Sprague-Dawley rats. While males and female mice have previously been reported to have similar baseline respiratory mechanics when adjusted for body size (Card et al., 2006), metabolic differences may influence the effects of various anaesthetics. Sex differences have been found in the metabolic rate of pentobarbital (Zambricki and Dalecy, 2004), propofol (Kodaka et al., 2005; Mukai et al., 2015), and ketamine (Saland and Kabbaj, 2018), with faster metabolism and higher doses needed in male rats or humans. While sex differences in the rate of metabolism of ketamine were also demonstrated, the effect of the actual plasma levels of various sex hormones was negligible (Saland and Kabbaj, 2018). No sex differences were found when using sevoflurane anaesthesia in humans (Kodaka et al., 2005). It is to be noted, though, that some studies have also described a faster metabolism in female humans when using propofol (Pleym et al., 2003; Maeda et al., 2016). Also, sex differences were found in mice in terms of airway responsiveness, with males showing significantly greater airway responses to methacholine provocation (Card et al., 2006).

Regarding different rat strains, differences can be expected in respiratory parameters (absolute values and responsiveness of the animals) (Boyd et al., 1982; Nakano et al., 2014; Baumann et al., 2021) and in metabolic and functional aspects of anaesthesia (Gong et al., 1998; Avsaroglu et al., 2007). However, the baseline respiratory mechanical data presented in the current study are in good agreement with those of previous studies using Wistar rats of the same size (Sudy et al., 2020; Schranc et al., 2021). All animals used in the present study were considered to be young adults (body mass ranging between 280 g and 540 g, approximately 8–14 weeks of age). While most experiments include animals of a similar age and weight, the use of animals of differing ages may give different results due to age-related differences in anaesthesia. This can manifest as dose differences and potentially differences in respiratory function. However, the observed differences in respiratory function between the different anaesthetic regimes in the present study are likely to be genuine as age-related differences in respiratory function are predominantly related to differences in body size.

The present study comprised normal healthy rats without pathologies related to the respiratory or other organ systems. The presence of pathologic changes may alter the effects of anaesthetic agents observed in the present study, particularly when using rats as a model for respiratory diseases such as asthma, COPD or lung cancer.

The duration of the study protocol was limited. While the length of the experiments (approximately 2–2.5 h) was similar to the most frequently used experimental protocols, the examined outcomes may have differed with the use of a more prolonged experimental protocol, partly due to haemodynamical changes and heart-lung interactions.

Regarding the choice of anaesthetics, the agents and their respective doses evaluated in the present study were selected based on an extensive literature search and overview of the most commonly applied regimes. Accordingly, some anaesthetic agents were used alone (urethane, pentobarbital and sevoflurane) as they have previously been shown to have appropriate analgesic effects in rodents (De Wildt et al., 1983; Inada et al., 2020; Reimer et al., 2020). Other agents were used in combinations. Propofol alone has an insufficient analgesic effect (Goto et al., 1994) and the combination of propofol with the opioid analgesic fentanyl is routinely used in human anaesthesia. Ketamine is commonly used with xylazine in animal anaesthesia due to their synergistic analgesic and anaesthetic effects (Richardson and Flecknell, 2005). Since the effects of general anaesthesia are dose-dependent (Fagerlund et al., 2020), usage of different doses of the investigated agents can be a confounding variable and may be a subject of forthcoming investigations.

The current study aimed at characterizing the respiratory changes of animals undergoing general anaesthesia with ventilatory support. While it is known that general anaesthesia causes respiratory depression in a mostly dose-dependent manner (Fagerlund et al., 2020), changes the breathing patterns (Brismar et al., 1985; Hedenstierna and Edmark, 2005), and may result in lung volume loss via airway closure and atelectasis formation, the exact characterization of these phenomena in spontaneously breathing animals with the individual anaesthesia regimes is beyond the scope of this study.

Summary and conclusion

The present study compared the respiratory effects of commonly used general anaesthesia regimes in ventilated male Sprague-Dawley rats. While no differences in baseline respiratory mechanics and function were observed between the different regimes, BHR developed under ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia. Accordingly, ketamine-xylazine anaesthesia may not be suitable for use in studies where bronchial reactivity is a key aspect of the protocol (e.g., sensitisation studies). Our results indicate that the baseline respiratory mechanics of ventilated rats are not influenced by commonly used anaesthesia regimes in generally administered doses, thereby allowing for a fair comparison of experimental animals undergoing different anaesthesia protocols.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the National Food Chain Safety and Animal Health Directorate of Csongrád County, Hungary. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

BB participated in data collection, data analysis, interpretation and manuscript drafting. AS, IT, PS, and JT participated in data collection, data analysis and interpretation. FP participated in experiment planning, data analysis, interpretation and manuscript drafting. GF participated in experiment planning, data collection, data analysis, interpretation and manuscript drafting. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

Adam, M., Linden, J., Raekallio, M., Meller, A., Mannerstrom, B., Abu-Shahba, A., et al. (2022). Effects of vatinoxan on xylazine-induced pulmonary alterations in sheep. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther.* 45, 117–125. doi:10.1111/jvp.13013

Aisaka, K., Kihara, T., Koike, M., Kuroki, M., and Ishihara, T. (1989). Effect of yohimbine on urethane-induced hyperglycemia in rats. *Jpn. J. Pharmacol.* 49, 523–527. doi:10.1254/jjp.49.523

Albrecht, M., Henke, J., Tacke, S., Markert, M., and Guth, B. (2014). Influence of repeated anaesthesia on physiological parameters in male wistar rats: A telemetric study about isoflurane, ketamine-xylazine and a combination of medetomidine, midazolam and fentanyl. *BMC Vet. Res.* 10, 310. doi:10.1186/s12917-014-0310-8

Alves-Neto, O., Tavares, P., Rocco, P. R., and Zin, W. A. (2001). Respiratory mechanics and morphometric changes during anesthesia with ketamine in normal rats. *Braz J. Med. Biol. Res.* 34, 1217–1223. doi:10.1590/s0100-879x2001000900016

Amouzadeh, H. R., Sangiah, S., Qualls, C. W., Jr., Cowell, R. L., and Mauromoustakos, A. (1991). Xylazine-induced pulmonary edema in rats. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 108, 417–427. doi:10.1016/0041-008x(91)90088-v

Arslan, M., Ozkose, Z., Akyol, G., and Barit, G. (2010). The age- and genderdependent effects of desflurane and sevoflurane on rat liver. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* 62, 35–43. doi:10.1016/j.etp.2008.12.011

Authors Anonymous (2012). *LiverTox: Clinical and research information on drug-induced liver injury*. Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

Avsaroglu, H., van der Sar, A. S., van Lith, H. A., van Zutphen, L. F., and Hellebrekers, L. J. (2007). Differences in response to anaesthetics and analgesics between inbred rat strains. *Lab. Anim.* 41, 337–344. doi:10.1258/002367707781282811

Balogh, A. L., Petak, F., Fodor, G. H., Sudy, R., and Babik, B. (2017). Sevoflurane relieves lung function deterioration after cardiopulmonary bypass. J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth. 31, 2017–2026. doi:10.1053/j.jvca.2017.02.186

Bates, J. H. T. (2017). Corp: measurement of lung function in small animals. J. Appl. Physiol. (1985) 123, 1039–1046. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00243.2017

Funding

This work was supported by the Hungarian Basic Research Council Grant (OTKA-NKFIH FK134274 and K138032) and the UNKP-22-3-SZTE-236 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology of the Hungarian Government.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the technical assistance of Orsolya Ivánkovits-Kiss and Noémi Nagy.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Baumann, P., Wiegert, S., Greco, F., Ersch, J., and Cannizzaro, V. (2021). Strainspecific differences in lung tissue viscoelasticity of mechanically ventilated infant Sprague-Dawley and Wistar rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 320, L220–L231. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00100.2020

Biddle, N. L., Gelb, A. W., and Hamilton, J. T. (1995). Propofol differentially attenuates the responses to exogenous and endogenous norepinephrine in the isolated rat femoral artery *in vitro*. *Anesth. analgesia* 80, 793–799. doi:10.1097/00000539-199504000-00025

Boyd, R. L., Halderman, L. W., Harris, J. O., and Mangos, J. A. (1982). Strain differences in pulmonary function of laboratory rats. *Lab. Anim. Sci.* 32, 42-43.

Brammer, A., West, C. D., and Allen, S. L. (1993). A comparison of propofol with other injectable anaesthetics in a rat model for measuring cardiovascular parameters. *Lab. Anim.* 27, 250–257. doi:10.1258/002367793780745354

Brismar, B., Hedenstierna, G., Lundquist, H., Strandberg, A., Svensson, L., and Tokics, L. (1985). Pulmonary densities during anesthesia with muscular relaxation-a proposal of atelectasis. *Anesthesiology* 62, 422–428. doi:10.1097/0000542-198504000-00009

Brown, C. R., Forrest, W. H., Jr., and Hayden, J. (1973). The respiratory effects of pentobarbital and secobarbital in clinical doses. J. Clin. Pharmacol. New Drugs 13, 28–35. doi:10.1002/j.1552-4604.1973.tb00065.x

Brown, R. H., and Wagner, E. M. (1999). Mechanisms of bronchoprotection by anesthetic induction agents: propofol versus ketamine. *Anesthesiology* 90, 822–828. doi:10.1097/00000542-199903000-00025

Card, J. W., Carey, M. A., Bradbury, J. A., DeGraff, L. M., Morgan, D. L., Moorman, M. P., et al. (2006). Gender differences in murine airway responsiveness and lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation. *J. Immunol.* 177, 621–630. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.177.1.621

Conzen, P. F., Vollmar, B., Habazettl, H., Frink, E. J., Peter, K., and Messmer, K. (1992). Systemic and regional hemodynamics of isoflurane and sevoflurane in rats. *Anesth. analgesia* 74, 79–88. doi:10.1213/00000539-199201000-00014

Correa, F. C., Ciminelli, P. B., Falcao, H., Alcantara, B. J., Contador, R. S., Medeiros, A. S., et al. (2001). Respiratory mechanics and lung histology in normal rats anesthetized with sevoflurane. J. Appl. Physiol. (1985) 91, 803–810. doi:10.1152/jappl.2001.91.2.803

De Wildt, D. J., Hillen, F. C., Rauws, A. G., and Sangster, B. (1983). Etomidateanaesthesia, with and without fentanyl, compared with urethane-anaesthesia in the rat. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 79, 461–469. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.1983.tb11019.x

Du, G., Sun, T., Zhang, Y., Lin, H., Li, J., Liu, W., et al. (2013). The mitochondrial dysfunction plays an important role in urethane-induced lung carcinogenesis. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 715, 395–404. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.04.031

Fagerlund, M. J. (2020). "Respiratory depression," in *Personalized anaesthesia: Targeting physiological systems for optimal effect*. Editors J. F. A. Hendrickx and P. L. Gambús (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 146–156.

Fodor, G. H., Babik, B., Czovek, D., Doras, C., Balogh, A. L., Bayat, S., et al. (2016). Fluid replacement and respiratory function: comparison of whole blood with colloid and crystalloid: A randomised animal study. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 33, 34–41. doi:10. 1097/EJA.00000000000251

Fodor, G. H., Habre, W., Balogh, A. L., Sudy, R., Babik, B., and Petak, F. (2019). Optimal crystalloid volume ratio for blood replacement for maintaining hemodynamic stability and lung function: an experimental randomized controlled study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 19, 21. doi:10.1186/s12871-019-0691-0

Fortea, J. I., Puerto, M., Fernandez-Mena, C., Asensio, I., Arriba, M., Almagro, J., et al. (2020). Sevoflurane versus ketamine+diazepam anesthesia for assessing systemic and hepatic hemodynamics in rats with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension. *PLoS One* 15, e0233778. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0233778

Franken, H., Clement, J., Cauberghs, M., and Van de Woestijne, K. P. (1981). Oscillating flow of a viscous compressible fluid through a rigid tube: A theoretical model. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 28, 416–420. doi:10.1109/TBME.1981.324725

Gasser, J. C., Kaufman, R. D., and Bellville, J. W. (1975). Respiratory effects of lorazepam, pentobarbital, and pentazocine. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 18, 170–174. doi:10. 1002/cpt1975182170

Gateau, O., Bourgain, J. L., Gaudy, J. H., and Benveniste, J. (1989). Effects of ketamine on isolated human bronchial preparations. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 63, 692–695. doi:10.1093/bja/63.6.692

Gong, D., Fang, Z., Ionescu, P., Laster, M. J., Terrell, R. C., and Eger, E. I. (1998). Rat strain minimally influences anesthetic and convulsant requirements of inhaled compounds in rats. *Anesth. analgesia* 87, 963–966. doi:10.1097/00000539-199810000-00040

Goto, T., Marota, J. J., and Crosby, G. (1994). Pentobarbitone, but not propofol, produces pre-emptive analgesia in the rat formalin model. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 72, 662–667. doi:10.1093/bja/72.6.662

Habre, W., Petak, F., Sly, P. D., Hantos, Z., and Morel, D. R. (2001). Protective effects of volatile agents against methacholine-induced bronchoconstriction in rats. *Anesthesiology* 94, 348–353. doi:10.1097/00000542-200102000-00026

Habre, W., Wildhaber, J. H., and Sly, P. D. (1997). Prevention of methacholineinduced changes in respiratory mechanics in piglets: A comparison of sevoflurane and halothane. *Anesthesiology* 87, 585–590. doi:10.1097/00000542-199709000-00019

Hans, G. A., Sottiaux, T. M., Lamy, M. L., and Joris, J. L. (2009). Ventilatory management during routine general anaesthesia. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 26, 1–8. doi:10.1097/EJA.0b000e000000f1fb

Hantos, Z., Daroczy, B., Suki, B., Nagy, S., and Fredberg, J. J. (1992). Input impedance and peripheral inhomogeneity of dog lungs. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (1985) 72, 168–178. doi:10. 1152/jappl.1992.72.1.168

Hedenstierna, G., and Edmark, L. (2005). The effects of anesthesia and muscle paralysis on the respiratory system. *Intensive Care Med.* 31, 1327–1335. doi:10.1007/s00134-005-2761-7

Himori, N., and Ishimori, T. (1988). Different responses to beta-adrenoceptor blocking drugs of the blood pressure and heart rate in the urethane-anesthetized dog and rat. *Jpn. J. Pharmacol.* 47, 71–80. doi:10.1254/jjp.47.71

Hoffman, W. E., Charbel, F. T., Edelman, G., and Ausman, J. I. (1998). Thiopental and desflurane treatment for brain protection. *Neurosurgery* 43, 1050–1053. doi:10.1097/00006123-199811000-00026

Huang, F., Li, S., Gan, X., Wang, R., and Chen, Z. (2014). Propofol inhibits gap junctions by attenuating sevoflurane-induced cytotoxicity against rat liver cells *in vitro*. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 31, 219–224. doi:10.1097/01.EJA.0000435059.98170.da

Inada, Y., Funai, Y., Yamasaki, H., Mori, T., and Nishikawa, K. (2020). Effects of sevoflurane and desflurane on the nociceptive responses of substantia gelatinosa neurons in the rat spinal cord dorsal horn: an *in vivo* patch-clamp analysis. *Mol. Pain* 16, 1744806920903149. doi:10.1177/1744806920903149

Janosi, T. Z., Adamicza, A., Zosky, G. R., Asztalos, T., Sly, P. D., and Hantos, Z. (2006). Plethysmographic estimation of thoracic gas volume in apneic mice. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (1985) 101, 454–459. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00011.2006

Jiang, J., Jiao, Y., Gao, P., Yin, W., Zhou, W., Zhang, Y., et al. (2021). Propofol differentially induces unconsciousness and respiratory depression through distinct interactions between GABAA receptor and GABAergic neuron in corresponding nuclei. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai)* 53, 1076–1087. doi:10.1093/abbs/gmab084

Kobayashi, M., Takeda, Y., Taninishi, H., Takata, K., Aoe, H., and Morita, K. (2007). Quantitative evaluation of the neuroprotective effects of thiopental sodium, propofol, and halothane on brain ischemia in the gerbil: effects of the anesthetics on ischemic depolarization and extracellular glutamate concentration. J. Neurosurg. Anesthesiol. 19, 171-178. doi:10.1097/ANA.0b013e318051743d

Kodaka, M., Johansen, J. W., and Sebel, P. S. (2005). The influence of gender on loss of consciousness with sevoflurane or propofol. *Anesth. analgesia* 101, 377–381. doi:10. 1213/01.ANE.0000154534.71371.4F

Leblanc, P. H., Buckner, C. K., Brunson, D. B., Laravuso, R. B., and Will, J. A. (1987). Differential effect of ketamine on cholinergic- and noncholinergic-induced contractions of isolated Guinea-pig bronchi. *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther.* 287, 120–132.

Lele, E., Petak, F., Carnesecchi, S., Virag, K., Argiroffo, C. B., and Habre, W. (2013). The protective effects of volatile anesthestics against the bronchoconstriction induced by an allergic reaction in sensitized rabbit pups. *Anesth. analgesia* 116, 1257–1264. doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e31828e5ccf

Lutchen, K. R., Hantos, Z., Petak, F., Adamicza, A., and Suki, B. (1996). Airway inhomogeneities contribute to apparent lung tissue mechanics during constriction. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (1985) 80, 1841–1849. doi:10.1152/jappl.1996.80.5.1841

Maeda, S., Tomoyasu, Y., Higuchi, H., Honda, Y., Ishii-Maruhama, M., and Miyawaki, T. (2016). Female patients require a higher propofol infusion rate for sedation. *Anesth. Prog.* 63, 67–70. doi:10.2344/0003-3006-63.2.67

Maggi, C. A., and Meli, A. (1986). Suitability of urethane anesthesia for physiopharmacological investigations in various systems. Part 1: general considerations. *Experientia* 42, 109–114. doi:10.1007/BF01952426

Mitsuhata, H., Saitoh, J., Shimizu, R., Takeuchi, H., Hasome, N., and Horiguchi, Y. (1994). Sevoflurane and isoflurane protect against bronchospasm in dogs. *Anesthesiology* 81, 1230–1234. doi:10.1097/0000542-199411000-00017

Mukai, M., Isobe, T., Okada, K., Murata, M., Shigeyama, M., and Hanioka, N. (2015). Species and sex differences in propofol glucuronidation in liver microsomes of humans, monkeys, rats and mice. *Pharmazie* 70, 466–470. doi:10.1691/ph.2015.5525

Nakano, Y., Yokohira, M., Hashimoto, N., Yamakawa, K., Kishi, S., Ninomiya, F., et al. (2014). Rat strain differences in levels and effects of chronic inflammation due to intratracheal instillation of quartz on lung tumorigenesis induced by DHPN. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* 66, 391–401. doi:10.1016/j.etp.2014.06.002

Obal, D., Preckel, B., Scharbatke, H., Mullenheim, J., Hoterkes, F., Thamer, V., et al. (2001). One MAC of sevoflurane provides protection against reperfusion injury in the rat heart *in vivo*. Br. J. Anaesth. 87, 905–911. doi:10.1093/bja/87.6.905

Pagliardini, S., Funk, G. D., and Dickson, C. T. (2013). Breathing and brain state: urethane anesthesia as a model for natural sleep. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 188, 324–332. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2013.05.035

Peratoner, A., Nascimento, C. S., Santana, M. C., Cadete, R. A., Negri, E. M., Gullo, A., et al. (2004). Effects of propofol on respiratory mechanic and lung histology in normal rats. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 92, 737–740. doi:10.1093/bja/aeh128

Percie du Sert, N., Hurst, V., Ahluwalia, A., Alam, S., Avey, M. T., Baker, M., et al. (2020). The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: updated guidelines for reporting animal research. *PLoS Biol.* 18, e3000410. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000410

Petak, F., Hall, G. L., and Sly, P. D. (1998). Repeated measurements of airway and parenchymal mechanics in rats by using low-frequency oscillations. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 84, 1680–1686. doi:10.1152/jappl.1998.84.5.1680

Petak, F., Hantos, Z., Adamicza, A., Asztalos, T., and Sly, P. D. (1997). Methacholineinduced bronchoconstriction in rats: effects of intravenous vs. aerosol delivery. *J. Appl. Physiol.* (1985) 82, 1479–1487. doi:10.1152/jappl.1997.82.5.1479

Pleym, H., Spigset, O., Kharasch, E. D., and Dale, O. (2003). Gender differences in drug effects: implications for anesthesiologists. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* 47, 241–259. doi:10.1034/j.1399-6576.2003.00036.x

Reimer, J. N., Schuster, C. J., Knight, C. G., Pang, D. S. J., and Leung, V. S. Y. (2020). Intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital has the potential to elicit pain in adult rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *PLoS One* 15, e0238123. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0238123

Reyes Toso, C. F., Linares, L. M., and Rodriguez, R. R. (1995). Blood sugar concentrations during ketamine or pentobarbitone anesthesia in rats with or without alpha and beta adrenergic blockade. *Med. (B Aires)* 55, 311–316.

Richardson, C. A., and Flecknell, P. A. (2005). Anaesthesia and post-operative analgesia following experimental surgery in laboratory rodents: are we making progress? *Altern. Lab. Anim.* 33, 119–127. doi:10.1177/026119290503300207

Rock, M. J., Reyes de la Rocha, S., Lerner, M., Brackett, D., and Wilson, M. F. (1989). Effect on airway resistance of ketamine by aerosol in Guinea pigs. *Anesth. analgesia* 68, 506–510. doi:10.1213/00000539-198904000-00015

Safaei, F., Tamaddonfard, E., Nafisi, S., and Imani, M. (2021). Effects of intraperitoneal and intracerebroventricular injection of cinnamaldehyde and yohimbine on blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations in ketamine-xylazine induced acute hyperglycemia. *Vet. Res. Forum* 12, 149–156. doi:10.30466/vrf.2019.106805.2538

Sakai, T., Ichiyama, T., Whitten, C. W., Giesecke, A. H., and Lipton, J. M. (2000). Ketamine suppresses endotoxin-induced NF-kappaB expression. *Can. J. Anaesth.* 47, 1019–1024. doi:10.1007/BF03024876

Saland, S. K., and Kabbaj, M. (2018). Sex differences in the pharmacokinetics of lowdose ketamine in plasma and brain of male and female rats. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 367, 393–404. doi:10.1124/jpet.118.251652 Sanchez-Pozo, A., Alados, J. C., and Sanchez-Medina, F. (1988). Metabolic changes induced by urethane-anesthesia in rats. *Gen. Pharmacol.* 19, 281–284. doi:10.1016/0306-3623(88)90077-8

Schranc, A., Fodor, G. H., Sudy, R., Ballok, B., Kulcsar, R., Tolnai, J., et al. (2021). Lung and chest wall mechanical properties in metformin-treated and untreated models of type 2 diabetes. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 132 (2022), 1115–1124. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00724.2021

Schutz, N., Petak, F., Barazzone-Argiroffo, C., Fontao, F., and Habre, W. (2004). Effects of volatile anaesthetic agents on enhanced airway tone in sensitized Guinea pigs. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 92, 254–260. doi:10.1093/bja/aeh049

Sozio, F., Schioppa, T., Sozzani, S., and Del Prete, A. (2021). Urethane-induced lung carcinogenesis. *Methods Cell. Biol.* 163, 45–57. doi:10.1016/bs.mcb.2020.09.005

Steffey, E. P., Pascoe, P. J., Woliner, M. J., and Berryman, E. R. (2000). Effects of xylazine hydrochloride during isoflurane-induced anesthesia in horses. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 61, 1225–1231. doi:10.2460/ajvr.2000.61.1225

Sudy, R., Fodor, G. H., Dos Santos Rocha, A., Schranc, A., Tolnai, J., Habre, W., et al. (2019). Different contributions from lungs and chest wall to respiratory mechanics in mice, rats, and rabbits. *J. Appl. Physiol. (1985)* 127, 198–204. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol. 00048.2019

Sudy, R., Schranc, A., Fodor, G. H., Tolnai, J., Babik, B., and Petak, F. (2020). Lung volume dependence of respiratory function in rodent models of diabetes mellitus. *Respir. Res.* 21, 82. doi:10.1186/s12931-020-01334-y

Takeuchi, K., Niida, H., Ohuchi, T., and Okabe, S. (1994). Influences of urethane anesthesia on indomethacin-induced gastric mucosal lesions in rats. Relation to blood glucose levels. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 39, 2536–2542. doi:10.1007/BF02087687

Tokics, L., Hedenstierna, G., Strandberg, A., Brismar, B., and Lundquist, H. (1987). Lung collapse and gas exchange during general anesthesia: effects of spontaneous breathing, muscle paralysis, and positive end-expiratory pressure. *Anesthesiology* 66, 157–167. doi:10.1097/00000542-198702000-00009 Volta, C. A., Alvisi, V., Petrini, S., Zardi, S., Marangoni, E., Ragazzi, R., et al. (2005). The effect of volatile anesthetics on respiratory system resistance in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Anesth. analgesia* 100, 348–353. doi:10.1213/01. ANE.0000140240.83236.AC

von Ungern-Sternberg, B. S., Saudan, S., Petak, F., Hantos, Z., and Habre, W. (2008). Desflurane but not sevoflurane impairs airway and respiratory tissue mechanics in children with susceptible airways. *Anesthesiology* 108, 216–224. doi:10.1097/01.anes. 0000299430.90352.d5

Wang, C., Jin Lee, J., Jung, H. H., and Zuo, Z. (2007). Pretreatment with volatile anesthetics, but not with the nonimmobilizer 1,2-dichlorohexafluorocyclobutane, reduced cell injury in rat cerebellar slices after an *in vitro* simulated ischemia. *Brain Res.* 1152, 201–208. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.030

Watney, G. C., Hall, L. W., Jordan, C., and Nolan, A. M. (1988). Effects of xylazine and acepromazine on bronchomotor tone of anaesthetised ponies. *Equine Vet. J.* 20, 185–188. doi:10.1111/j.2042-3306.1988.tb01496.x

Wixson, S. K., and Smiler, K. L. (1997). "Chapter 9: anesthesia and analgesia in rodents," in *Anesthesia and analgesia in laboratory animals*. Editors D. F. Kohn, S. K. Wixson, W. J. White, and G. J. Benson (San Diego, CA: Academic Press), 193–195.

Zambricki, E. A., and Dalecy, L. G. (2004). Rat sex differences in anesthesia. Comp. Med. 54, 49-53.

Zhu, M. M., Zhou, Q. H., Zhu, M. H., Rong, H. B., Xu, Y. M., Qian, Y. N., et al. (2007). Effects of nebulized ketamine on allergen-induced airway hyperresponsiveness and inflammation in actively sensitized Brown-Norway rats. *J. Inflamm. (Lond)* 4, 10. doi:10. 1186/1476-9255-4-10

Zuurbier, C. J. (2019). Ketamine-(Dex)Medetomidine, hyperglycemia, glycocalyx, and vascular permeability. *Anesth. analgesia* 129, e102. doi:10.1213/ANE. 000000000004181

Zuurbier, C. J., Koeman, A., Houten, S. M., Hollmann, M. W., and Florijn, W. J. (2014). Optimizing anesthetic regimen for surgery in mice through minimization of hemodynamic, metabolic, and inflammatory perturbations. *Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)* 239, 737–746. doi:10.1177/1535370214524877