## Check for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giuseppe D'Antona, University of Pavia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Kylie Harmon, Syracuse University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hugo M. Pereira, [hugomax@ou.edu](mailto:hugomax@ou.edu)

RECEIVED 14 February 2023 ACCEPTED 02 May 2023 PUBLISHED 16 May 2023

#### CITATION

Pereira HM and Hunter SK (2023), Cognitive challenge as a probe to expose sex- and age-related differences during static contractions. Front. Physiol. 14:1166218. doi: [10.3389/fphys.2023.1166218](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2023.1166218)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Pereira and Hunter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [Cognitive challenge as a probe to](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2023.1166218/full) [expose sex- and age-related](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2023.1166218/full) [differences during static](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2023.1166218/full) [contractions](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2023.1166218/full)

## Hugo M. Pereira<sup>1\*</sup> and Sandra K. Hunter<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Health and Exercise Science, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, United States

Despite activities of daily living being frequently performed simultaneously with a cognitive task, motor function is often investigated in isolation, which can hinder the applicability of findings. This brief review presents evidence that 1) performing a cognitive challenge simultaneously with a motor task can negatively impact force steadiness and fatigability of limb muscles during a static contraction, 2) the negative impact on old adults (>65 years old), particularly older women is greater than young when a cognitive challenge is simultaneously performed with a static motor task, 3) age-related mechanisms potentially explain impairments in motor performance in the presence of a cognitive challenge, and 4) the mechanisms for the age-related decrements in motor performance can be distinct between men and women. These observations are highly relevant to the older adults, given the increased risk of accidents and injury when a motor task is performed with a high cognitive-demand task, especially in light of the expanding reliance on an aging workforce.

### KEYWORDS

fatigue, aging, sex difference, gender difference, force steadiness, cognitive stress, motor unit, dual-task

# Introduction

Motor function differs between the sexes and declines with age ([Hunter et al., 2016\)](#page-6-0). Two important attributes of motor function, for example, are holding steady contractions and fatigability of limb muscles. Considerable progress in understanding the sex and age-related differences and their underlying mechanisms has occurred over the last 20 years by studying these attributes independently from cognitive function [for review [\(Kluger et al., 2013;](#page-6-1) [Schrack et al., 2020;](#page-6-2) [Enoka and Farina, 2021\)](#page-5-0)]. However, many work-related tasks and activities of daily living are rarely executed in isolation (e.g., typing simultaneously when watching a lecture and performing error corrections) and optimal functional performance often requires increased cognitive function during a motor task. Additionally, recent developments in technologies prompting constant human interaction (e.g., driving while simultaneously operating a virtual assistant using a voice interface) bring an extra layer of complexity to motor tasks, particularly if cortical networks are shared between activities ([Mac-Auliffe et al., 2021;](#page-6-3) [Walker et al., 2021\)](#page-6-4). Some motor tasks require static (i.e., isometric) sustained and repeated muscle contractions performed during prolonged periods in the presence of heightened levels of arousal and stress depending on the environment.

In the laboratory setting, experimental models have mimicked these stressful conditions found in daily activities or sport events by imposing a cognitive task before or during the motor task of interest [\(Koch et al., 2018;](#page-6-5) [Pageaux and Lepers, 2018\)](#page-6-6). The cognitive tasks used typically target working memory, processing speed, cognitive flexibility and executive function, which are important constructs required in daily activities. By using these controlled experimental models, it is possible to noninvasively challenge aspects of the neuromuscular system that are frequently required in sports or daily tasks, as well as to investigate the physiological adaptations involved in the presence of the cognitive task. For example, in young individuals it was observed a reduction in shoulder moments when a difficult cognitive task was performed simultaneously with a static shoulder abduction task ([MacDonell and Keir, 2005](#page-6-7)), as well as modulation of proximal muscles activity (e.g., upper trapezius) [\(Waersted and Westgaard,](#page-6-8) [1996\)](#page-6-8). These physiological alterations have potential implications for daily activities that depend on static contractions of proximal muscles to support dynamic activity of distal joints.

Motor and cognitive function diminishes with aging with more rapid declines after 65 years [\(Grady, 2012;](#page-6-9) [Hunter et al., 2016](#page-6-0)), with important implications for older men and women, who remain in the workforce longer than previously [\(Goldin and Katz, 2018](#page-6-10); [Bureau of](#page-5-1) [Labor Statistics, 2021](#page-5-1)), and particularly for women who have greater longevity than men [\(Kochanek et al., 2020](#page-6-11)). Age-related changes that affect motor function are reviewed in detail elsewhere [\(Aagaard et al.,](#page-5-2) [2010;](#page-5-2) [Hepple and Rice, 2016;](#page-6-12) [Hunter et al., 2016](#page-6-0); [Cruz-Jentoft et al.,](#page-5-3) [2019\)](#page-5-3) but briefly include adaptations at all levels of the neuromuscular system, such as apoptosis of spinal neurons, changes in properties of existing motor units as well as reductions in the muscle fiber size and number. All these factors contribute to the loss of muscle force, slowing of movements, declines in muscle power, increased variability of motor task performance, and reduced overall physical function. Cognitive function is also altered by age-related adaptations in the brain ([Spreng](#page-6-13) [and Turner, 2019](#page-6-13)). Older adults typically have difficulties in tasks requiring episodic memory and executive function due to a myriad of age-related brain adaptations that include thinning of the frontal lobes as well as losses in the integrity of the corpus callosum and hippocampus [\(Grady, 2012\)](#page-6-9). Adequate performance of motor activities simultaneously with a cognitive task depend on the integrity of all these structures that are largely affected by aging.

This brief review will highlight the impact of increased cortical input via imposing a challenging cognitive task during fatiguing and non-fatiguing static contractions in young and older men and women. We focus on force steadiness and time to task failure of limb muscles. The evidence summarized in this review suggests that imposing a cognitive challenge during a motor task can expose sex and age differences in motor performance that are subclinical and not typically observed in the absence of cognitive challenge. Exposing these sex and age-related differences in motor function when simultaneously performing cognitive and a motor tasks, and understanding the physiological mechanisms involved are relevant for designing interventions to improve performance during similar work-related tasks.

# Cognitive challenge and performance fatigability

Fatigue is a disabling symptom in which physical and cognitive function are limited by interactions between performance

fatigability and perceived fatigability [\(Enoka and Duchateau,](#page-5-4) [2016;](#page-5-4) [Schrack et al., 2020\)](#page-6-2). Quantifying performance fatigability typically involves an objective measurement of motor performance, such as decline in force or time-to-failure of a sustained task ([Hunter, 2018\)](#page-6-14). Perceived fatigability is often quantified via selfreported questionnaire items used to quantify its magnitude as well as its presence and can be assessed either at rest or during the exercise ([Glynn et al., 2015;](#page-6-15) [Enoka and Duchateau, 2016\)](#page-5-4).

Some work-related tasks and activities of daily living are performed for prolonged periods in the presence of cognitive demand and stress. To understand the effects of cognitive demand and stress on motor fatigability, the time-to-task failure of sustained static and intermittent contractions was determined while individuals simultaneously solved a challenging mental math designed to increases the perceived stress (i.e., high-cognitive challenge) [\(Yoon et al., 2009](#page-6-16); [Mehta and Agnew,](#page-6-17) [2011\)](#page-6-17) with distinct results depending on the limb used by young and older men and women. For example, for the elbow flexor muscles, young women, but not young men, had a brief time-to-task failure for a sustained fatiguing task (i.e., were more fatigable) for a high-cognitive challenge (subtractions by 13s) compared with a low-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 1) or control task (no math) [\(Figure 1A](#page-2-0)) ([Yoon et al.,](#page-6-16) [2009;](#page-6-16) [Keller-Ross et al., 2014a\)](#page-6-18). Conversely, for the lower extremity muscles (i.e., dorsiflexor muscles), no reduction in time-to-task failure in presence of either cognitive challenges (i.e., subtractions by 1 or 13) was observed in young men or women [\(Vanden Noven et al., 2014\)](#page-6-19).

Although not fully understood, there are some insights on the potential physiological mechanisms that might explain the sex differences in young adults and limb dependency on the reduction in time-to-task failure in the presence of a high-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 13). The increased cardiovascular response in the presence of the high-cognitive challenge was not associated with the magnitude of the reduction in time-to-task failure, regardless of the limb assessed ([Yoon et al., 2009;](#page-6-16) [Mehta and Agnew, 2011;](#page-6-17) [Keller-Ross](#page-6-20) [et al., 2014b;](#page-6-20) [Vanden Noven et al., 2014;](#page-6-19) [Pereira et al., 2015a](#page-6-21)). However, maximal strength was a predictor of the reduction in time-to-task failure in the presence of high-cognitive challenge [\(Figure 1C\)](#page-2-0). Notably, the ability of the central nervous system to activate the muscles was similar between the control and high-cognitive challenge conditions with no sex differences [\(Keller-Ross et al., 2014a](#page-6-18)). To further examine the influence of strength on the reduction in time-to-task failure in the presence of high-cognitive challenge, [Keller-Ross et al. \(2014\)](#page-6-18) pooled the data from 75 participants and showed that weakest individuals, independent of sex, had larger reductions in time to task failure in presence of high cognitive challenge compared with stronger individuals. Additionally, the sex differences in time to task failure in presence of high-cognitive challenge was not present when maximal strength was entered as a covariate in the statistical analysis [\(Keller-Ross et al., 2014a\)](#page-6-18). Thus, strength rather than sex-based differences, was responsible for the brief time-to-task failure in presence of high-cognitive challenge.

Limb differences in maximal strength may also explain the minimal reduction of time-to-task failure in presence of high-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 13) for the low extremity muscles but not upper extremity muscles. Specifically, the initial maximal force obtained on the upper extremity muscles (i.e., elbow flexors) was larger than lower extremity muscles (i.e., tibialis anterior) and the magnitude of sex differences in strength was also larger for the upper extremity compared with the lower extremity [\(Yoon et al., 2009;](#page-6-16) [Vanden Noven](#page-6-19) [et al., 2014\)](#page-6-19). Combined, these findings suggest that mechanisms involved



## <span id="page-2-0"></span>FIGURE 1

subtracting by 13) during a low-to-moderate intensity isometric contraction (20% of maximal voluntary force (MVC)) with the elbow flexor muscles performed at different test sessions for young (A) and older (B) men and women. There was a reduction in time-to-task failure during the high-CC compared with control for young and older women, but not men (session × sex: p < 0.05 for both age groups). Low-CC reduced time-to-task failure for older women only (session × sex: p < 0.05). During control and low-CC sessions women had longer time-to-task failure than men for young and older groups (sex effect: p < 0.05). Data on C shows that initial maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVC) torque of the elbow flexor muscles was negatively associated with the relative difference in time-to-task failure between the control and the high-CC session (all groups pooled:  $r = -0.44$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 105$ ). Older women are weaker than other groups and the magnitude of reduction in time-to-(Continued ) FIGURE 1 (Continued)

task failure with imposition of high-CC was larger in this group. In C the regression line is solid and the 95% predicted interval is indicated as dotted lines. In C values above zero in the y-axis indicate reduction in time-to-task failure in presence of the high-CC. Data from [Keller-Ross et al. \(2014\)](#page-6-20) J Appl Physiol, [Yoon et al. \(2009\)](#page-6-16) J Appl Physiol and [Pereira et al. \(2015\)](#page-6-21) Clin Orthop Relat Res were used to construct the figures.

in the production of force during a fatiguing contraction, which are independent of sex or the ability of the central nervous system to activate the muscles, as partially responsible for the reduction in time-to-task failure in presence of a high-cognitive challenge. This is in accordance with previous observations in young adults showing that strength and its mechanisms were altered when a difficult cognitive task (i.e., incongruent Stroop color word task) was performed before a handgrip task ([Bray et al.,](#page-5-5) [2008;](#page-5-5) [Bray et al., 2012](#page-5-6)).

Age-related decrements in maximal force [\(Hunter et al., 2016](#page-6-0)) and the role of initial strength in predicting increased fatigability with a cognitive challenge has consequences for healthy older individuals (i.e., >65 years old), particularly older women who are typically weaker than men [\(Charlier et al., 2016](#page-5-7)). Accordingly, for the upper extremity muscles, healthy older women had a larger magnitude of reduction in time-to-task failure in the presence of high-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 13) compared with healthy older men or young adults ([Figure 1C](#page-2-0)). Older women were also vulnerable to increased fatigability (i.e., reduction in time-to-task failure) with the imposition of a low-cognitive challenge (simple mental math of subtracting by 1) with no reduction in time-to-task failure in the young men and women, and older men [\(Figure 1B](#page-2-0)) [\(Pereira et al.,](#page-6-21) [2015a](#page-6-21)). For older individuals, sex differences in the presence of a highcognitive challenge were also limb dependent, with a more brief time-totask failure in the presence of a high-cognitive challenge for the upper extremity but not for the lower extremity in comparison with a control session [\(Vanden Noven et al., 2014;](#page-6-19) [Pereira et al., 2015a](#page-6-21)). In older individuals, the magnitude of sex differences in maximal force for the upper extremity was larger compared with lower extremity, supporting the role of strength-related mechanisms on the reduction in time-to-task failure in presence of high-cognitive challenge.

In the lower limb experiments, however, a key component of the age-related decrements in motor performance was exposed. The high-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 13) increased the betweensubject variability in performance fatigability of the lower extremity muscles for older adults compared with young individuals. This was seen as a much larger reductions of the time-to-task failure in the presence of a high-cognitive challenge for some older individuals but not others, with the group average not changing ([Vanden Noven et al., 2014](#page-6-19)). The greater variability in motor performance with aging can often mask age-related differences when group average results are reported ([Hunter et al., 2016\)](#page-6-0). The imposition of a cognitive challenge during a motor task has the potential to expose these subtle individual differences in physiology and motor performance that are not always detected during control conditions.

## Cognitive challenge and force steadiness

In the laboratory setting, force steadiness during static tasks can be quantified with the fluctuations in force output normalized by the average of target force [Coefficient of variation (CV)] ([Enoka and](#page-5-0)

[Farina, 2021](#page-5-0)). During control conditions (i.e., without the imposition of a cognitive challenge), force steadiness is reduced at very low compared to moderate levels of contraction, commonly referred as signal dependent noise [\(Harris and Wolpert, 1998;](#page-6-22) [Stein et al., 2005\)](#page-6-23). Older individuals and women are typically less steady (i.e., greater CV of force) than young adults and men respectively, for several different muscles of the upper and lower extremity muscles [\(Galganski et al., 1993;](#page-6-24) [Tracy and Enoka, 2002](#page-6-25); [Tracy, 2007](#page-6-26); [Pereira et al., 2018](#page-6-27)), although there were no sex differences in steadiness during trunk flexion with the abdominal muscles [\(Deering et al., 2017](#page-5-8)). This is important because optimal performance in functional tests that resemble daily activities and work related tasks is largely determined by force steadiness and cognitive function [\(Ashendorf et al., 2009](#page-5-9); [Marmon et al., 2011;](#page-6-28) [Mello et al., 2013;](#page-6-29) [Müller et al., 2015;](#page-6-30) [Davis et al., 2020](#page-5-10)). These daily and work activities often require increased cognitive flexibility, working memory and executive function [\(Kalechstein et al., 2003;](#page-6-31) [Müller et al., 2015](#page-6-30); [Fisher](#page-6-32) [et al., 2017\)](#page-6-32). We and others have shown that force steadiness decreased when individuals characterized at a normal range of cognitive function simultaneously performed cognitive tasks targeting these cognitive constructs, such as math, n-back task, or auditory choice reaction tasks simultaneously with the motor task [\(Noteboom et al., 2001;](#page-6-33) [Lorist et al., 2002](#page-6-34); [Voelcker-Rehage et al., 2006](#page-6-35); [Pereira et al., 2015b\)](#page-6-36).

The magnitude of impairment in force steadiness in the presence of the cognitive challenge (i.e., subtraction by 13s) was larger at very low force levels, such as 5% of maximum than at moderate levels of contraction (i.e., 30–40% of maximum) [\(Pereira et al., 2015b\)](#page-6-36). Age and sex differences were also observed and older individuals, particularly older women, exhibited larger impairments in force steadiness (larger fluctuations in force) than older men and young adults, respectively ([Figures 2A, B](#page-4-0)). Older women were also more vulnerable than older men. This was observed when a low-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtraction by 1) reduced force steadiness in older women but not the young adults or older men [\(Yoon et al., 2009](#page-6-16); [Pereira et al., 2015b\)](#page-6-36). Combined, these findings indicate that imposing a cognitive challenge (i.e., mental math) during the motor task can expose age-related reductions in force steadiness, particularly at very low force levels, with a greater magnitude in the reductions in women.

The mechanisms for the force fluctuations during a static contraction involve variability in the signals from the central nervous system to the skeletal muscle. Experiments without a cognitive challenge using recent advances in non-invasive technology, such as the ability to quantify motor unit behavior using high-definition electrodes [\(Farina et al., 2016](#page-5-11)), observed that force steadiness was largely influenced by the variability in the common synaptic input to motor units in young individuals [\(Negro et al.,](#page-6-37) [2009;](#page-6-37) [Farina and Negro, 2015\)](#page-5-12). Using this technology, we observed that older individuals have greater variability in the common synaptic input to motor units during control conditions (i.e., no cognitive task), and the imposition of a high-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 13s) during very low forces (5% of maximum) increased the variability in the common synaptic input to motor units and more so in older adults than young. Additionally, the increased variability in common synaptic input



## <span id="page-4-0"></span>FIGURE 2

Coefficient of Variation (CV) of force for young (A) and older (B) individuals during control and high cognitive challenge (High-CC, subtracting by 13) trials at different force levels performed with the elbow flexor muscles. Large CV of force values indicate less steadiness. Older individuals had larger increases in CV of force in presence of high-CC compared with young individuals, particularly at very low forces (cognitive challenge × age group × force level:  $p \le 0.05$ ). Data is mean and standard error. (C, D) show the increase in CV of force of the elbow flexor muscles with imposition of highcognitive challenge (CC) was associated with the results of trails B relative to normative values (i.e., Z-score) for older men but not older women (r = 0.55,  $p < 0.01$  vs.  $r = 0.12$ ,  $p = 0.50$ , respectively). Negative Z-score values indicate the performance is better than normative data. Data for A and B is from [Hunter et al., 2016](#page-6-0) J Appl Physiol and [Pereira et al., 2015](#page-6-36) Eur J Appl Physiol. (C, D) was constructed with data from [Pereira et al., 2018](#page-6-27) Front Physiol.

induced by this high-cognitive challenge was associated with reductions in force steadiness [\(Pereira et al., 2019\)](#page-6-38).

Factors influencing the increased variability of common synaptic input to motor units and impaired force steadiness, particularly at very low force levels, with the imposition of a high-cognitive challenge potentially involve disruptions in cortical mechanisms that are yet to be fully understood. The high-cognitive challenge can alter the oscillations in the effective neural drive to motor neuron pool, as observed by increased oscillatory activity between cortex and muscle (i.e., corticomuscular coherence) when a difficult mental math was imposed during very low intensity contractions of the hand muscles in older but not young individuals [\(Johnson and Shinohara, 2012\)](#page-6-39). Further evidence of the cortical involvement was obtained from an experiment showing the magnitude of reduction in force steadiness of the elbow flexor muscles with the imposition of a high-cognitive challenge (i.e., subtractions by 13) was associated with poor performance on the Trail Making Test in older adults [\(Pereira et al., 2018](#page-6-27)) ([Figures 2C, D](#page-4-0)). This test consists of sequentially connecting letters and numbers while maintaining accuracy as well as speed. It is typically used to provide insight into the individual executive function during neuropsychology assessments ([Reitan, 1958;](#page-6-40) [Strauss](#page-6-41)

[E., 2006\)](#page-6-41). The predictive capacity of the Trail Making Test was maintained after accounting for normative results based on each individual age and education to minimize the potential effects of age-related reduction in executive function [\(Tombaugh, 2004\)](#page-6-42). Because this study was conducted in healthy older adults, these observations highlight the fact that reductions in force steadiness in the presence of increased levels of cognitive demand are likely subclinical and can expose deficits in motor function with aging.

Additionally, the magnitude of influence of the Trail Making Test results on the impaired force steadiness with high-cognitive challenge was larger in older men compared with older women ([Figures 2C, D\)](#page-4-0), indicating potential sex differences in cortical mechanisms involved. Others have shown the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence, which provides the intelligence quotient, was associated with impairments in force steadiness of the knee extensor muscles during control conditions (i.e., without cognitive challenge) in individuals with multiple sclerosis [\(Gould](#page-6-43) [et al., 2018](#page-6-43)). Considering the frequently described impairments in motor function when a cognitive task is performed simultaneously with a motor task in some clinical conditions, such as multiple sclerosis [\(Rooney et al., 2020](#page-6-44)), there is ample opportunity for high impact studies to identify the role of different domains of cognitive function on the impaired force steadiness in presence of heightened levels of cognitive demand.

The force steadiness obtained with laboratory-based tests using static contractions during control conditions is frequently associated with dynamic functional tasks of the upper and lower extremities that resemble activities of daily living [\(Kornatz et al., 2005;](#page-6-45) [Marmon](#page-6-28) [et al., 2011](#page-6-28); [Mello et al., 2013;](#page-6-29) [Almuklass et al., 2016;](#page-5-13) [Davis et al.,](#page-5-10) [2020\)](#page-5-10). Regardless, it is yet to be understood if these associations for dynamic functional tasks occur in the presence of a cognitive challenge and mechanisms involved. Although there are several reports indicating the cognitive cost during walking [\(Patel et al.,](#page-6-46) [2014;](#page-6-46) [Papegaaij et al., 2017](#page-6-47); [Koren et al., 2022](#page-6-48)), there are technical limitations to assess activation of motor units during dynamic tasks. It is not known, for example, if the increased variability in the common synaptic input to motor units in the presence of highcognitive challenge is observed during such dynamic tasks, nor is it understood the relevance of the variability in the signals from the central nervous system to the muscle during fast dynamic activities ([Enoka and Farina, 2021\)](#page-5-0).

## Summary

Imposing a cognitive challenge during very low and moderate intensity static contractions can further increase the differences in motor performance between young and older men and women. A difficult cognitive challenge executed simultaneously with a motor task can amplify age-related decrements in motor function including brief time-to-task failure and reduction in force steadiness especially in women. Emerging evidence indicates that cortical mechanisms are involved with the loss of force steadiness with the imposition of cognitive challenge and include more variable common synaptic input to motor units. Peripheral mechanisms associated with strength, however, are predictive of the brief time-to-task failure during static contractions in presence of a cognitive challenge. These findings have potential implications for an aging workforce

## References

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Aagaard, P., Suetta, C., Caserotti, P., Magnusson, S. P., and Kjaer, M. (2010). Role of the nervous system in sarcopenia and muscle atrophy with aging: Strength training as a countermeasure. Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports 20, 49–64. doi[:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01084.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01084.x)

<span id="page-5-13"></span>Almuklass, A. M., Price, R. C., Gould, J. R., and Enoka, R. M. (2016). Force steadiness as a predictor of time to complete a pegboard test of dexterity in young men and women. J. Appl. Physiol. 120(1985), 1410–1417. doi:[10.1152/japplphysiol.01051.2015](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01051.2015)

<span id="page-5-9"></span>Ashendorf, L., Vanderslice-Barr, J. L., and Mccaffrey, R. J. (2009). Motor tests and cognition in healthy older adults. Appl. Neuropsychol. 16, 171–176. doi:[10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/09084280903098562) [09084280903098562](https://doi.org/10.1080/09084280903098562)

<span id="page-5-6"></span>Bray, S. R., Graham, J. D., Martin Ginis, K. A., and Hicks, A. L. (2012). Cognitive task performance causes impaired maximum force production in human hand flexor muscles. Biol. Psychol. 89, 195–200. doi[:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.10.008)

<span id="page-5-5"></span>Bray, S. R., Martin Ginis, K. A., Hicks, A. L., and Woodgate, J. (2008). Effects of selfregulatory strength depletion on muscular performance and EMG activation. Psychophysiology 45, 337–343. doi:[10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.00625.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.00625.x)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S.D.O.L (2021). Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, the Economics Daily, Number of people 75 and older in the labor force is expected to grow 96.5 percent by 2030. Available at: [https://www.bls.gov/](https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2021/number-of-people-75-and-older-in-the-labor-force-is-expected-to-grow-96-5-percent-by-2030.htm) [opub/ted/2021/number-of-people-75-and-older-in-the-labor-force-is-expected-to](https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2021/number-of-people-75-and-older-in-the-labor-force-is-expected-to-grow-96-5-percent-by-2030.htm)[grow-96-5-percent-by-2030.htm](https://www.bls.gov/opub/ted/2021/number-of-people-75-and-older-in-the-labor-force-is-expected-to-grow-96-5-percent-by-2030.htm) (Accessed).

<span id="page-5-7"></span>Charlier, R., Knaeps, S., Mertens, E., Van Roie, E., Delecluse, C., Lefevre, J., et al. (2016). Age-related decline in muscle mass and muscle function in flemish caucasians: A 10-year follow-up. Age (Dordr) 38, 36. doi:[10.1007/s11357-016-9900-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-016-9900-7)

considering that accident proneness and injuries from workrelated tasks are associated with the magnitude of cognitive stress.

## Author contributions

The main draft of the paper was done by HP with contributions from SH. All authors revised the manuscript and approved its final version. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

HP received financial support from the Office of the Vice President for Research and Partnerships and the Office of the Provost, the University of Oklahoma—Norman Campus. SH was supported by the National Institute on Aging (R15-AG-039697 and R21-AG-045766).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Bahat, G., Bauer, J., Boirie, Y., Bruyere, O., Cederholm, T., Cooper, C., Landi, F., Rolland, Y., Sayer, A. A., Schneider, S. M., Sieber, C. C., Topinkova, E., Vandewoude, M., Visser, M., and Zamboni, M. (2019). Writing group for the European working group on sarcopenia in older sarcopenia: Revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing 48, 16–31.

<span id="page-5-10"></span>Davis, L. A., Allen, S. P., Hamilton, L. D., Grabowski, A. M., and Enoka, R. M. (2020). Differences in postural sway among healthy adults are associated with the ability to perform steady contractions with leg muscles. Exp. Brain Res. 238, 487–497. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-019-05719-4) [1007/s00221-019-05719-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-019-05719-4)

<span id="page-5-8"></span>Deering, R. E., Senefeld, J. W., Pashibin, T., Neumann, D. A., and Hunter, S. K. (2017). Muscle function and fatigability of trunk flexors in males and females. Biol. Sex. Differ. 8, 12. doi:[10.1186/s13293-017-0133-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-017-0133-y)

<span id="page-5-4"></span>Enoka, R. M., and Duchateau, J. (2016). Translating fatigue to human performance. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc 48, 2228–2238. doi:[10.1249/MSS.](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000929) [0000000000000929](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000929)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Enoka, R. M., and Farina, D. (2021). Force steadiness: From motor units to voluntary actions. Physiol. (Bethesda) 36, 114–130. doi:[10.1152/physiol.00027.2020](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00027.2020)

<span id="page-5-12"></span>Farina, D., and Negro, F. (2015). Common synaptic input to motor neurons, motor unit synchronization, and force control. Exerc Sport Sci. Rev. 43, 23-33. doi:[10.1249/JES.](https://doi.org/10.1249/JES.0000000000000032) [0000000000000032](https://doi.org/10.1249/JES.0000000000000032)

<span id="page-5-11"></span>Farina, D., Negro, F., Muceli, S., and Enoka, R. M. (2016). Principles of motor unit physiology evolve with advances in technology. Physiol. (Bethesda) 31, 83–94. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00040.2015) [1152/physiol.00040.2015](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00040.2015)

<span id="page-6-32"></span>Fisher, G. G., Chaffee, D. S., Tetrick, L. E., Davalos, D. B., and Potter, G. G. (2017). Cognitive functioning, aging, and work: A review and recommendations for research and practice. J. Occup. health Psychol. 22, 314–336. doi:[10.1037/ocp0000086](https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000086)

<span id="page-6-24"></span>Galganski, M. E., Fuglevand, A. J., and Enoka, R. M. (1993). Reduced control of motor output in a human hand muscle of elderly subjects during submaximal contractions. J. Neurophysiol. 69, 2108–2115. doi[:10.1152/jn.1993.69.6.2108](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1993.69.6.2108)

<span id="page-6-15"></span>Glynn, N. W., Santanasto, A. J., Simonsick, E. M., Boudreau, R. M., Beach, S. R., Schulz, R., et al. (2015). The pittsburgh fatigability scale for older adults: Development and validation. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 63, 130–135. doi:[10.1111/jgs.13191](https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13191)

<span id="page-6-10"></span>Goldin, C., and Katz, L. F. (2018). Women working longer: Increased employment at older ages. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

<span id="page-6-43"></span>Gould, J. R., Reineberg, A. E., Cleland, B. T., Knoblauch, K. E., Clinton, G. K., Banich, M. T., et al. (2018). Adjustments in torque steadiness during fatiguing contractions are inversely correlated with IQ in persons with multiple sclerosis. Front. Physiol. 9, 1404. doi[:10.3389/fphys.2018.01404](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01404)

<span id="page-6-9"></span>Grady, C. (2012). The cognitive neuroscience of ageing. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13, 491–505. doi:[10.1038/nrn3256](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3256)

<span id="page-6-22"></span>Harris, C. M., and Wolpert, D. M. (1998). Signal-dependent noise determines motor planning. Nature 394, 780–784. doi:[10.1038/29528](https://doi.org/10.1038/29528)

<span id="page-6-12"></span>Hepple, R. T., and Rice, C. L. (2016). Innervation and neuromuscular control in ageing skeletal muscle. J. Physiol. 594, 1965–1978. doi[:10.1113/JP270561](https://doi.org/10.1113/JP270561)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Hunter, S. K., Pereira, H. M., and Keenan, K. G. (2016). The aging neuromuscular system and motor performance. J. Appl. Physiol. 121(1985), 982–995. doi:[10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00475.2016) [japplphysiol.00475.2016](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00475.2016)

<span id="page-6-14"></span>Hunter, S. K. (2018). Performance fatigability: Mechanisms and task specificity. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 8, a029728. doi[:10.1101/cshperspect.a029728](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029728)

<span id="page-6-39"></span>Johnson, A. N., and Shinohara, M. (2012). Corticomuscular coherence with and without additional task in the elderly. J. Appl. Physiol. 112, 970–981. doi:[10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01079.2011) [japplphysiol.01079.2011](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01079.2011)

<span id="page-6-31"></span>Kalechstein, A. D., Newton, T. F., and Van Gorp, W. G. (2003). Neurocognitive functioning is associated with employment status: A quantitative review. J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol. 25, 1186–1191. doi[:10.1076/jcen.25.8.1186.16723](https://doi.org/10.1076/jcen.25.8.1186.16723)

<span id="page-6-18"></span>Keller-Ross, M. L., Pereira, H. M., Pruse, J., Yoon, T., Schlinder-Delap, B., Nielson, K. A., et al. (2014a). Stressor-induced increase in muscle fatigability of young men and women is predicted by strength but not voluntary activation. J. Appl. Physiol. 116(1985), 767–778. doi:[10.1152/japplphysiol.01129.2013](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01129.2013)

<span id="page-6-20"></span>Keller-Ross, M. L., Schlinder-Delap, B., Doyel, R., Larson, G., and Hunter, S. K. (2014b). Muscle fatigability and control of force in men with posttraumatic stress disorder. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc 46, 1302–1313. doi[:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000244](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000244)

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Kluger, B. M., Krupp, L. B., and Enoka, R. M. (2013). Fatigue and fatigability in neurologic illnesses: Proposal for a unified taxonomy. Neurology 80, 409–416. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827f07be) [1212/WNL.0b013e31827f07be](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827f07be)

<span id="page-6-5"></span>Koch, I., Poljac, E., Muller, H., and Kiesel, A. (2018). Cognitive structure, flexibility, and plasticity in human multitasking-An integrative review of dual-task and taskswitching research. Psychol. Bull. 144, 557–583. doi:[10.1037/bul0000144](https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000144)

<span id="page-6-11"></span>Kochanek, K. D., Xu, J. Q., and Arias, E. (2020). Mortality in the United States, 2019. NCHS Data Brief [Online]. Available: [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db395-H.pdf) [db395-H.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db395-H.pdf).

<span id="page-6-48"></span>Koren, Y., Rozenfeld, E., Elefant, I., Khir, N., Glassberg, E., and Batcir, S. (2022). Does cognitive loading interfere with walking control? Gait Posture 96, 185-189. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2022.05.032) [j.gaitpost.2022.05.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2022.05.032)

<span id="page-6-45"></span>Kornatz, K. W., Christou, E. A., and Enoka, R. M. (2005). Practice reduces motor unit discharge variability in a hand muscle and improves manual dexterity in old adults. J. Appl. Physiol. 98(1985), 2072–2080. doi:[10.1152/japplphysiol.01149.2004](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.01149.2004)

<span id="page-6-34"></span>Lorist, M. M., Kernell, D., Meijman, T. F., and Zijdewind, I. (2002). Motor fatigue and cognitive task performance in humans. J. Physiol. 545, 313–319. doi[:10.1113/jphysiol.](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2002.027938) [2002.027938](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2002.027938)

<span id="page-6-3"></span>Mac-Auliffe, D., Chatard, B., Petton, M., Croize, A. C., Sipp, F., Bontemps, B., et al. (2021). The dual-task cost is due to neural interferences disrupting the optimal spatiotemporal dynamics of the competing tasks. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 15, 640178. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.640178) [3389/fnbeh.2021.640178](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2021.640178)

<span id="page-6-7"></span>Macdonell, C. W., and Keir, P. J. (2005). Interfering effects of the task demands of grip force and mental processing on isometric shoulder strength and muscle activity. Ergonomics 48, 1749–1769. doi:[10.1080/00140130500319757](https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130500319757)

<span id="page-6-28"></span>Marmon, A. R., Pascoe, M. A., Schwartz, R. S., and Enoka, R. M. (2011). Associations among strength, steadiness, and hand function across the adult life span. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc 43, 560–567. doi:[10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181f3f3ab](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181f3f3ab)

<span id="page-6-17"></span>Mehta, R. K., and Agnew, M. J. (2011). Influence of mental workload on muscle endurance, fatigue, and recovery during intermittent static work. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 112, 2891–2902. doi[:10.1007/s00421-011-2264-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-2264-x)

<span id="page-6-29"></span>Mello, E. M., Magalhaes, F. H., and Kohn, A. F. (2013). Larger plantar flexion torque variability implies less stable balance in the young: An association affected by knee position. Hum. Mov. Sci. 32, 1310–1324. doi:[10.1016/j.humov.2013.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2013.05.004)

<span id="page-6-30"></span>Müller, A., De Lange, A., Weigl, M., Van Der Heijden, B., Ackermans, J., and Wilkenloh, J. (2015). Task performance among employees above age 65: The role of cognitive functioning and job demand-control. Work, Aging Retire. 1, 296–308. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/workar/wav001) [1093/workar/wav001](https://doi.org/10.1093/workar/wav001)

<span id="page-6-37"></span>Negro, F., Holobar, A., and Farina, D. (2009). Fluctuations in isometric muscle force can be described by one linear projection of low-frequency components of motor unit discharge rates. J. Physiol. 587, 5925–5938. doi:[10.1113/jphysiol.](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2009.178509) [2009.178509](https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2009.178509)

<span id="page-6-33"></span>Noteboom, J. T., Fleshner, M., and Enoka, R. M. (2001). Activation of the arousal response can impair performance on a simple motor task. J. Appl. Physiol. 91, 821–831. doi[:10.1152/jappl.2001.91.2.821](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.2001.91.2.821)

<span id="page-6-6"></span>Pageaux, B., and Lepers, R. (2018). The effects of mental fatigue on sport-related performance. Prog. Brain Res. 240, 291–315. doi:[10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2018.10.004)

<span id="page-6-47"></span>Papegaaij, S., Hortobagyi, T., Godde, B., Kaan, W. A., Erhard, P., and Voelcker-Rehage, C. (2017). Neural correlates of motor-cognitive dual-tasking in young and old adults. PLoS One 12, e0189025. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0189025](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189025)

<span id="page-6-46"></span>Patel, P., Lamar, M., and Bhatt, T. (2014). Effect of type of cognitive task and walking<br>speed on cognitive-motor interference during dual-task walking. Neuroscience 260. 140–148. doi:[10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.12.016)

<span id="page-6-38"></span>Pereira, H. M., Schlinder-Delap, B., Keenan, K. G., Negro, F., Farina, D., Hyngstrom, A. S., et al. (2019). Oscillations in neural drive and age-related reductions in force steadiness with a cognitive challenge. J. Appl. Physiol. 126, 1056–1065. doi:[10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00821.2018) [japplphysiol.00821.2018](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00821.2018)

<span id="page-6-27"></span>Pereira, H. M., Schlinder-Delap, B., Nielson, K. A., and Hunter, S. K. (2018). Force steadiness during a cognitively challenging motor task is predicted by executive function in older adults. Front. Physiol. 9, 1316. doi:[10.3389/fphys.2018.01316](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01316)

<span id="page-6-21"></span>Pereira, H. M., Spears, V. C., Schlinder-Delap, B., Yoon, T., Harkins, A., Nielson, K. A., et al. (2015a). Sex differences in arm muscle fatigability with cognitive demand in older adults. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res. 473, 2568–2577. doi[:10.1007/s11999-015-4205-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-015-4205-1)

<span id="page-6-36"></span>Pereira, H. M., Spears, V. C., Schlinder-Delap, B., Yoon, T., Nielson, K. A., and Hunter, S. K. (2015b). Age and sex differences in steadiness of elbow flexor muscles with imposed cognitive demand. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 115, 1367–1379. doi[:10.1007/s00421-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-015-3113-0) [015-3113-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-015-3113-0)

<span id="page-6-40"></span>Reitan, R. M. (1958). Validity of the Trail Making test as an indicator of organic brain damage. Percept. Mot. Ski. 8, 271–276. doi:[10.2466/pms.8.7.271-276](https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.8.7.271-276)

<span id="page-6-44"></span>Rooney, S., Ozkul, C., and Paul, L. (2020). Correlates of dual-task performance in eople with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review. Gait Posture 81, 172-182. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.07.069) [1016/j.gaitpost.2020.07.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.07.069)

<span id="page-6-2"></span>Schrack, J. A., Simonsick, E. M., and Glynn, N. W. (2020). Fatigability: A prognostic indicator of phenotypic aging. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 75, e63–e66. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa185) [1093/gerona/glaa185](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa185)

<span id="page-6-13"></span>Spreng, R. N., and Turner, G. R. (2019). The shifting architecture of cognition and brain function in older adulthood. Perspect. Psychol. Sci. 14, 523–542. doi:[10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619827511) [1745691619827511](https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619827511)

<span id="page-6-23"></span>Stein, R. B., Gossen, E. R., and Jones, K. E. (2005). Neuronal variability: Noise or part of the signal? Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 389–397. doi[:10.1038/nrn1668](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1668)

<span id="page-6-41"></span>Strauss, E., S. E. M. S., and Spreen, O. (2006). A compendium of neuropsychological tests: Administration, norms, and commentary. Oxford University Press.

<span id="page-6-42"></span>Tombaugh, T. N. (2004). Trail making test A and B: Normative data stratified by age and education. Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol. 19, 203–214. doi:[10.1016/S0887-6177\(03\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177(03)00039-8) [00039-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177(03)00039-8)

<span id="page-6-25"></span>Tracy, B. L., and Enoka, R. M. (2002). Older adults are less steady during submaximal isometric contractions with the knee extensor muscles. J. Appl. Physiol. 92, 1004–1012. doi[:10.1152/japplphysiol.00954.2001](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00954.2001)

<span id="page-6-26"></span>Tracy, B. L. (2007). Force control is impaired in the ankle plantarflexors of elderly adults. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 101, 629–636. doi:[10.1007/s00421-007-0538-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-007-0538-0)

<span id="page-6-19"></span>Vanden Noven, M. L., Pereira, H. M., Yoon, T., Stevens, A. A., Nielson, K. A., and Hunter, S. K. (2014). Motor variability during sustained contractions increases with cognitive demand in older adults. Front. Aging Neurosci. 6, 97. doi[:10.3389/fnagi.2014.00097](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2014.00097)

<span id="page-6-35"></span>Voelcker-Rehage, C., Stronge, A. J., and Alberts, J. L. (2006). Age-related differences in working memory and force control under dual-task conditions. Neuropsychol. Dev. Cogn. B Aging Neuropsychol. Cogn. 13, 366–384. doi[:10.1080/138255890969339](https://doi.org/10.1080/138255890969339)

<span id="page-6-8"></span>Waersted, M., and Westgaard, R. H. (1996). Attention-related muscle activity in different body regions during VDU work with minimal physical activity. Ergonomics 39, 661–676. doi:[10.1080/00140139608964488](https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139608964488)

<span id="page-6-4"></span>Walker, H. E. K., Eng, R. A., and Trick, L. M. (2021). Dual-task decrements in driving performance: The impact of task type, working memory, and the frequency of task<br>performance. Transp. Res. Part F-Traffic Psychol. Behav. 79, 185-204. doi[:10.1016/j.trf.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2021.04.021) [2021.04.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2021.04.021)

<span id="page-6-16"></span>Yoon, T., Keller, M. L., De-Lap, B. S., Harkins, A., Lepers, R., and Hunter, S. K. (2009). Sex differences in response to cognitive stress during a fatiguing contraction. J. Appl. Physiol. 107, 1486-1496. doi:[10.1152/japplphysiol.00238.2009](https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00238.2009)