Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dingchang Zheng, Coventry University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Philipp Berg, Otto Von Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany Omid Amili, University of Toledo, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yuanyuan Liu, ⊠ liuyuanyuan@csu.edu.cn Chuntao Li, ⊠ chuntao.li@csu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 03 February 2023 ACCEPTED 25 September 2023 PUBLISHED 21 November 2023

CITATION

Chen B, Huang S, Zhang L, Yang L, Liu Y and Li C (2023), Global tendencies and frontier topics in hemodynamics research of intracranial aneurysms: a bibliometric analysis from 1999 to 2022. *Front. Physiol.* 14:1157787. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1157787

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Chen, Huang, Zhang, Yang, Liu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

(CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Global tendencies and frontier topics in hemodynamics research of intracranial aneurysms: a bibliometric analysis from 1999 to 2022

Bo Chen^{1.2.3†}, Siting Huang^{4†}, Liyang Zhang^{1.3.5}, Liting Yang^{1.3.5}, Yuanyuan Liu³* and Chuntao Li^{1.3.5}*

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, ²Department of Surgery, LKS Faculty of Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR, China, ³National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, ⁴Department of Dermatology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, ⁵Hypothalamic-Pituitary Research Center, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

Background: Hemodynamics plays a crucial role in the initiation, enlargement, and rupture of intracranial aneurysms (IAs). This bibliometric analysis aimed to map the knowledge network of IA hemodynamic research.

Methods: Studies on hemodynamics in IAs published from 1999 to 2022 were retrieved from the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC). The contributions of countries, institutions, authors, and journals were identified using VOSviewer, Scimago Graphica, and Microsoft Excel. Tendencies, frontier topics, and knowledge networks were analyzed and visualized using VOSviewer and CiteSpace.

Results: We identified 2,319 publications on hemodynamics in IAs. The annual number of publications exhibited an overall increasing trend. Among these, the United States, Japan, and China were the three major contributing countries. Capital Medical University, State University of New York (SUNY) Buffalo University, and George Mason University were the three most productive institutions. Meng H ranked first among authors regarding the number of articles and citations, while Cebral JR was first among co-cited authors. The *American Journal of Neuroradiology* was the top journal in terms of the number of publications, citations, and co-citations. In addition, the research topics can be divided into three clusters: hemodynamics itself, the relationship of hemodynamics with IA rupture, and the relationship of hemodynamics with IA treatment. The frontier directions included flow diverters, complications, morphology, prediction, recanalization, and four-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging (4D flow MRI).

Abbreviations: AUC, Area under the curve; C/P, Citations/papers; CFD, Computational fluid dynamics; FSI, Fluid-structure interaction; 4D flow MRI, four-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging; IF, Impact factor; IA, Intracranial aneurysms; LS, link strength; LSA%, Low shear index%; N, Number; OSI, Oscillatory shear index; PIV, Particle image velocimetry; PTV, Particle tracking velocimetry; TC, Total citation; TLS, Total link strength; WSS, Wall shear stress; WoSCC, Web of Science Core Collection; WFNS, World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies; WSSG, WSS gradient.

Conclusion: This study drew a knowledge map of the top countries, institutions, authors, publications, and journals on IA hemodynamics over the past 2 decades. The current and future hotspots of IA hemodynamics mainly include hemodynamics itself (4D flow MRI), its relationship with IA rupture (morphology and prediction), and its relationship with IA treatment (flow diverters, complications, and recanalization).

KEYWORDS

intracranial aneurysm, hemodynamics, bibliometric, knowledge map, hotspot

1 Introduction

Intracranial aneurysm (IA) is a pathologically saccular or fusiform dilatation of the cerebral arteries that occurs in approximately 2%-5% of the population and can be lifethreatening upon rupture (Brown and Broderick, 2014; Zhu et al., 2022). Because of the inevitable impingement of blood flow to the arterial wall, IA is closely related to hemodynamics. Once hemodynamic damage exceeds the structural strength of the arterial wall, the arterial wall is injured, and IA may occur. Hemodynamics interacts with other complex biological factors that contribute to IA initiation, development, growth, and potential stability or rupture (Frösen et al., 2012; Morel et al., 2021). However, in the early years, technical limitations made the measurement of hemodynamic parameters in humans difficult. The advent of computational and radiographic modeling has allowed for hemodynamic research on IAs. Studies have found that blood flow pulsation affects both the arterial wall surface (such as the wall shear stress and oscillatory shear index) (Soldozy et al., 2019) and inner structures (such as the medial gap and intimal pad) (Kataoka et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2022a), which can contribute to IA initiation, enlargement, and rupture. Hemodynamics also affects the biological signals of the arterial wall and can serve as a tool to understand the molecular pathogenesis of IAs (Levitt et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2022b; Chen et al., 2023). In addition, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) can be used to predict IA rupture (Tang et al., 2021), inform stent design (Suzuki et al., 2017; Bisighini et al., 2023), and allow surgical improvement (Bao et al., 2021). Overall, hemodynamic research in IAs is rich, diverse, and valuable. Clarifying the current status and hot topics may benefit new researchers in this field and permit better research.

Bibliometrics is a widely accepted method for reviewing numerous articles in a specific field through quantitative analysis (Donthu et al., 2021). Through bibliometrics, we can identify crucial contributors (e.g., authors, institutions, and countries), collaborative networks, and frontier research topics (Zhang et al., 2022). Several bibliometric studies on IAs have been conducted. Chen et al. (2022) investigated the research trends and hotspots of stent application in acutely ruptured IAs. Lu et al. (2021) described a research shift of unruptured IAs, especially in terms of endovascular treatment. Zhang et al. (2022) explored the application of animal models in IA research and found that mice were the optimal model (Chen et al., 2022). However, no bibliometric analyses of hemodynamic research in IAs have been reported to date. Accordingly, based on the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC) from 1999 to 2022, this study applied bibliometric tools (VOSviewer, CiteSpace, and Scimago Graphica) to uncover publication trends, influential contributors, top collaborators, and emerging frontier topics in the field of hemodynamic research in IAs.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data source and search strategy

The literature search was performed on the WoSCC website (https://www.webofscience.com/wos/woscc/advanced-search) to identify publications indexed between 1 January 1999, and 31 December 2022. The specific search formula was as follows: Topic (TS) = ("intracranial aneurysm*" OR "cerebral aneurysm*" OR "brain aneurysm*" OR "intracerebral aneurysm*" OR "cranial aneurysm*") AND TS = ("hemodynamic*" OR "haemodynamic*" OR "computational fluid dynamic*" OR "CFD" OR "4D-Flow MRI" OR "optical imaging modalities" OR "particle image velocimetry" OR "PIV" OR "particle tracking velocimetry" OR "PTV" OR "shear stress" OR "flow velocity" OR "flow rate"). To avoid bias, two independent investigators (B Chen and LY Zhang) performed the literature search and filtering and a senior researcher (CT Li) resolved any discrepancies in findings between these investigators.

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

This analysis included original review articles on the hemodynamics of IAs indexed in the WoSCC database between 1 January 1999, and 31 December 2022. The exclusion criteria were 1) unpublished papers, 2) articles requiring manual research, and 3) articles written in languages other than English. Of the 2,815 publications initially identified, 496 were excluded, and 2,319 were finally included in the analyses.

2.3 Data extraction and bibliometric analysis

The extracted bibliometric parameters included journal names, publication times, titles, countries/regions, institutions, authors, keywords, references, and citations. Journal impact factors (IFs) were collected from the most recent Journal Citation Reports (2022). In addition, VOSviewer (version 1.6.18), CiteSpace (version 6.1 R6), Scimago Graphica (version 1.0.26), and Microsoft Excel 2019 were used to perform the bibliometric analysis and visualization.





Annual publications of the top five countries/regions.

Microsoft Excel was used for the time and contribution analyses. Scimago Graphica was used for the country collaboration analysis. VOSviewer was used to visualize the institutional cooperation map, author cooperation map, author co-citation network, and keyword co-occurrence network. CiteSpace was used to visualize the keyword and reference burst figures and reference co-citation network.



3 Results

3.1 Overall characteristics

A total of 2,319 publications on the hemodynamics of IAs indexed between 1 January 1999, and 31 December 2022, were finally identified, including 2,142 (92.4%) original articles and 177 (7.6%) reviews (Figure 1). Although there were some slight fluctuations, the number of published articles showed an overall upward trend from 31 in 1999 to 151 in 2022, peaking at 186 in 2021. Additionally, the timing of the mean total citations (TC) per year could be divided into three periods: Phase I, 1999-2003 (remaining stable); Phase II, 2003-2013 (showing dramatic fluctuations); and Phase III, 2013-2022 (declining) (Figure 2A). Figure 2B shows the annual publications from the top five countries in this field. Among these, the US contributed the most publications. China began publishing articles in 2006, relatively late but has developed rapidly, surpassing the US in the number of published articles in 2021.

3.2 Countries/regions

More than 60 countries/regions have contributed to this field, the top ten of which are listed in Figure 3. Among these, the US was first, with 807 publications, followed by Japan (379 publications) and China (379 publications). Regarding TC, the US again ranked first (31,785 TC), followed by Japan (9520 TC) and England (4716 TC). The US also ranked first in citations per paper (C/P) (39.4 C/P), followed by England (39.3 C/P) and the Netherlands (35.2 C/P) (Figure 3A). An international collaboration map drawn using VOSviewer with the minimum number of publications set at 40 included 15 countries/regions that met the criteria. Of these, the US, Japan, and China appeared as center nodes, with the closest cooperations between the US and Japan (link strength, LS = 69) and the US and China (LS = 52) (Figure 3B).

3.3 Institutions

In total, 861 institutions participated in the publication of articles on hemodynamics in IAs. Among the top ten productive institutions, five were located in the US, two in China, and one each in Canada, Germany, and Japan. Capital Medical University contributed the most publications (105 publications), followed by the State University of New York (SUNY) Buffalo (88 publications) and George Mason University (88 publications). Regarding TC and C/P, the top three institutions were SUNY Buffalo University (TC = 5,911, C/*p* = 67.2), George Mason University (TC = 5,364, C/*p* = 61), and Inova Fairfax Hospital (TC = 3,798, C/*p* = 99.9) (Figure 4A). Figure 4B illustrates the cooperation among the 54 institutions with >15 publications. Of these, George Mason University had the widest cooperation (total link strength, TLS = 108), followed by Capital Medical University (TLS = 60) (Figure 4B).

3.4 Authors and co-authors

Table 1 shows the top ten prolific authors and the most co-cited authors, most of whom were from the US. Author co-citation was defined as ≥ 2 authors meanwhile cited in ≥ 1 publication. Among the authors, Meng, H published the most articles (69 articles, 4,326 citations), followed by Yang, Xj (50 articles, 929 citations) and Cebral, Jr (38 articles, 1,047 citations). The top three co-cited authors were Cebral, Jr (1902 co-citations), Meng, H (743 cocitations), and Xiang, Jp (532 co-citations). The visualized map analysis revealed that widely cooperating authors, including Meng, H (TLS = 173) and Yang Xj (TLS = 192), were active in the relatively early phase (average publication years 2013-2016), while recently active authors, such as Ishibashi, T and Berg, P (average publication year 2019) had relatively narrow cooperation networks (TLS $_{Ishibashi}$ = 70, TLS $_{Berg}$ = 30) (Figure 5A). The map of the top 34 co-cited authors with >200 co-citations showed the highest number of co-citations between Torii, R and Tezduyar, Te (LS = 2,443) (Figure 5B).



institution cooperation network. Node size, number of produced articles; line thickness, cooperated strength; color, clusters.

Rank	Author	Publications	Citations	Country	Co-cited author	Co-citations	Country
1	Meng, H	69	4,326	United States	Cebral, Jr	1,902	United States
2	Yang, Xj	50	929	China	Meng, H	743	United States
3	Cebral, Jr	38	1,047	United States	Xiang, Jp	532	China
4	Siddiqui, Ah	36	2,020	United States	Shojima, M	489	Japan
5	Xiang, Jp	34	1,332	China	Wiebers, D	455	United States
6	Zhang, Y	33	703	China	Aoki, T	453	Japan
7	Liu, J	32	491	China	Jou, Ld	417	United States
8	Berg, P	29	394	Germany	Ujiie, H	380	Japan
9	Mut, F	28	602	United States	Steinman, Da	368	Canada
10	Malek, Am	28	801	United States	Castro, Ma	358	United States

TABLE 1 Top 10 prolific authors and co-cited authors on hemodynamics research in IAs.

3.5 Journals, co-cited journals, and impact factors

Altogether, 461 journals published articles on hemodynamics research in IAs. The top ten active journals and co-cited journals are listed in Table 2. Journal co-citation was defined as ≥ 2 journals being cited simultaneously in ≥ 1 publications. In terms of publication quantity, the *American Journal of Neuroradiology* was first, with 155 publications, followed by *Neurosurgery* (94 publications) and *World Neurosurgery* (92 publications). Regarding citations and cocitations, the *American Journal of Neuroradiology* ranked first (7,906 citations, 8,108 co-citations), followed by *Stroke* 4,722 citations, 7,166 co-citations) and *Journal of Neurosurgery* (4,030 citations, 5,499 co-citations). In addition, among these ten journals and co-cited journals, the highest and lowest IFs were 8.3 (*Stroke*) and 1.7 (*Interventional Neuroradiology* and *J Biomech Eng-T Asme*), respectively.

3.6 Keywords

Figure 6A illustrates a visualization of keywords that cooccurred at least 50 times in hemodynamics research in IAs. A total of 66 keywords were identified and grouped into three clusters. Cluster #1 (red) indicates research on hemodynamics itself, with keywords including "computational fluid dynamics," "wall shear stress," and "fluid-structure interaction". Cluster #2 (blue) represents research on aneurysm rupture, with keywords "arachnoid hemorrhage," "rupture risk," and including "prediction". Cluster 3 (green) indicates research on aneurysm treatment, with keywords including "endovascular treatment," "stent pipeline," and "coil embolization". In addition, the keywords in Figure 6B are colored based on the average publication years. The concepts of "saccular aneurysms," "carotid artery," and "subarachnoid hemorrhage" appeared early (blue), while frontier topics including "inflammation" and "flow



FIGURE 5

Author collaboration and co-cited author networks on IA hemodynamics. (A) Collaborated map of productive authors from 2014 to 2019. (B) Cocited author map. Node size, number of produced articles; line thickness, cooperated strength; color, (A) average publication year, (B) clusters.

Rank	Journal	Publications	Citations	IF	Co-cited journal	Co-citations	IF
1	Am J Neuroradiol	155	7,906	3.5	Am J Neuroradiol	8,108	3.5
2	Neurosurgery	94	3,877	4.8	Stroke	7,166	8.3
3	World Neurosurg	92	992	2.0	J Neurosurg	5,499	4.1
4	J Neurosurg	86	4,030	4.1	Neurosurgery	5,138	4.8
5	J Neurointerv Surg	83	1,519	4.8	J Biomech	2,341	2.4
6	J Biomech	78	1,975	2.4	Ann Biomed Ang	1,993	3.8
7	Interv Neuroradiol	53	254	1.7	J Neurointerv Surg	1,700	4.8
8	Stroke	47	4,722	8.3	J Biomech Eng-T Asme	1,539	1.7
9	Ann Biomed Eng	46	2,265	3.8	Neuroradiology	1,275	2.8
10	Int J Numer Method Biomed Eng	44	843	2.1	Acta Neurochir	1,174	2.4

TABLE 2 Top 1	10 prolific journals and	co-cited journals on	hemodynamics	research in IAs.
---------------	--------------------------	----------------------	--------------	------------------

IF, impact factor.

diverter," appeared recently (yellow). Next, the CiteSpace burst module was applied to identify the research tendencies and shifts in central topics. Bursts refer to sudden increases over time. The 25 keywords with the strongest citation bursts are shown in Figure 6C. Among them, the topics gradually shifted from "saccular aneurysm," "Guglielmi detachable coil," and "internal carotid artery" to "angiography, complex hemodynamics, fluidstructure interaction" and "morphology, prediction, diversion, complication, recanalization, and four-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging (4D flow MRI)".

3.7 Top cited articles and co-cited references

Table 3 lists the top 10 most-cited papers in hemodynamics research on IAs, with the number of citations ranging from 351 to

566. Among these, nine were original articles and one was a review article. Four studies were published in Stroke and two in the American Journal of Neuroradiology. An article by Shojima et al. (2004) had the highest number of citations (566), followed by articles from Helgadottir et al. (2008) (550 citations) and Cebral et al. (2005) (514 citations). In addition, we performed a burst analysis of the reference co-citations. Reference co-citations are defined as two or more references cited in one or more papers simultaneously. Figure 7A shows the reference cocitation map colored by publication year from 1999 to 2022, in which the burst co-cited references were mainly concentrated in the middle period. Figure 7B displays the 20 references with the strongest citation bursts. Of them, "Meng et al., 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1254" had the highest burst strength (54.42), followed by "Cebral et al., 2005, AM J NEURORADIOL, V26, P2550" (strength = 45.15) and "Shojima et al., 2004, STROKE, V35, P2500" (strength = 42.86). The citation burst of "Cebral et al., 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V38, P119" ended in 2022, indicating high attention in recent years.

Α	valogaam	C Top 25 Keyword	ls wit	n the St	ronge	st Cit	ation Bursts
	morphology prediction gugielmi douchable colls	Keywords	Year	Strength	Begin	End	1999 - 2022
	subarachnoid hemorrhage followup collemination	saccular aneurysm	1999	19.29	1999	2008	
	naturalebistory reputersk.	guglielmi detachable coil	1999	12.27	1999	2008	
inflammation Unrupti	eccus encoyme eccesteroryme ec	internal carotid artery	1999	10.23	1999	2008	
prevalence	espectrans percenter terrebral aneurysms ben percenter terrebral aneurysms	subarachnoid hemorrhage	1999	8.52	1999	2002	
	erouch hemodynamics fixers reconguition dynamic	blood flow velocity	1999	5.87	1999	2011	
Viel	rist Cerebral aneurysm attery	model	2002	8.86	2002	2009	_
patient	wall shear-stress	rat	2002	6.69	2002	2009	
	goodery strategrees caroulances magins annuation	neuroform stent	2005	6.29	2005	2013	
	-Biographic	parent vessel	2007	5.65	2007	2011	
	well show stress Bypanes Preduce velocity	angiography	1999	8.07	2008	2011	
A VOSviewer	fluid structure interaction in two arteries	complex hemodynamics	2008	7.47	2008	2011	
в	vasopperm	fluid-structure interaction	2006	7.11	2009	2011	_
		placement	2004	6.33	2010	2013	
	prediction gugielmi detachable colis	reconstruction	2005	10.6	2011	2012	
	subarachnoid hemorrhage collembaltzation embolization	validation	2009	5.75	2014	2017	
	stee secular assormment	pipieline embolization device	2011	5.29	2014	2019	
inflammation unrupture	intracranial aneurysm expansion	fluid dynamics	2011	6.49	2015	2017	
prevalence	aspet ratio cerebral aneurysms tite tite tite tite tite tite tite tit	diversion	2014	8.55	2016	2022	_
Val	growth hemodynamics inverso reconstruction diversion	parameter	2015	5.5	2017	2019	
	risk cerebral aneurysm erusy computational fluid dynamics	complication	2018	6.67	2018	2020	
patient	wall shear stress	morphology	2011	5.64	2018	2022	
	geogeny Shearstress zeroldsartery inglefs simulation boold how	prediciton	2015	12.82	2019	2022	
	bitliggton Cristian	recanalization	2007	6.3	2019	2022	
	waii sneer stress zynamits vetaciy	impact	2015	5.58	2019	2022	
A VOSviewer	fluid-structule interection in even arteries	4d flow mri	2016	6.26	2020	2022	

FIGURE 6

Analysis of keywords on IA hemodynamics. (A) Keyword co-occurrence network colored by clusters. (B) Keyword co-occurrence network colored by average publication years. Node size, keyword frequency. (C) Top 25 keywords with the strongest citation bursts. Red segment, burst duration.

4 Discussion

Hemodynamics is widely involved in IA formation, enlargement, and rupture (Soldozy et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2023). Hemodynamics can also be used to predict the prognosis and improve therapeutic approaches for patients with IA (Suzuki et al., 2017; Bao et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2021). In the current study, we performed a bibliometric analysis of publications on hemodynamics in IAs. This analysis identified publication trends, influential contributors (e.g., authors, journals, institutions, and countries), corresponding cooperation networks, and emerging frontier topics. Importantly, the results of this study may help new researchers to quickly learn about this field and perform better research in the future.

4.1 Overall trends and major contributing countries

The number of published articles reflects the interest of researchers in the field (Durieux and Gevenois, 2010; Huang et al., 2023). Overall, the number of publications on hemodynamics in IA displayed an upward tendency from 1999 to 2022, indicating the increasing interest of researchers in this field. Of these, the US was the most productive country, while China was an emerging country, with a steeper increase in the number of publications. Several factors may explain the increase in IA hemodynamics in China. First, China has a large population and a high prevalence of unruptured IA (7% among Chinese adults aged 35–75 years) (Li et al., 2013). Second, the number of neurosurgeons and the level of IA detection have grown strikingly in recent years.

Rank	Title	Journal	Document type	Corresponding author	Affiliation	Year	Citations
1	Magnitude and role of wall shear stress on cerebral aneurysm: computational fluid dynamic study of 20 middle cerebral artery aneurysms	Stroke	Article	Kirino, T	University of Tokyo	2004	566
2	The same sequence variant on 9p21 associates with myocardial infarction, abdominal aortic aneurysm and intracranial aneurysm	Nat Genet	Article	Stefansson, K	deCODE Genet	2008	550
3	Characterization of cerebral aneurysms for assessing risk of rupture by using patient- specific computational hemodynamics models	AJNR Am J Neuroradiol	Article	Putman, CM	Inova Fairfax Hospital	2005	514
4	Hemodynamic-morphologic discriminants for intracranial aneurysm rupture	Stroke	Article	Meng, H	State University of New York (SUNY_ Buffalo	2011	481
5	High WSS or low WSS? Complex interactions of hemodynamics with intracranial aneurysm initiation, growth, and rupture: toward a unifying hypothesis	AJNR Am J Neuroradiol	Review	Siddiqui, A	SUNY Buffalo	2014	465
6	Efficient pipeline for image-based patient- specific analysis of cerebral aneurysm hemodynamics: technique and sensitivity	IEEE Trans Med Imaging	Article	Frangi, AF	George Mason University	2005	434
7	Complex hemodynamics at the apex of an arterial bifurcation induces vascular remodeling resembling cerebral aneurysm initiation	Stroke	Article	Kolega, J	SUNY Buffalo	2007	408
8	Prospective evaluation of surgical microscope-integrated intraoperative near- infrared indocyanine green video angiography during aneurysm surgery	J Neurosurg	Article	Spetzler, RF	Barrow Neurological Institute	2005	384
9	Aneurysm Growth Occurs at Region of Low Wall Shear stress patient-specific correlation of hemodynamics and growth in a longitudinal study	Stroke	Article	Saloner, D	Vet Adm Med Ctr	2008	366
10	Morphology parameters for intracranial aneurysm rupture risk assessment	Neurosurgery	Article	Kassell, NF	SUNY Buffalo	2008	351

TABLE 3 Top 10 most cited publications related to hemodynamics research in intracranial aneurysms.

According to the reports of the World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS), China has possessed the most neurosurgeons (around 11,000) worldwide since 2016 (Yu et al., 2019). Third, the Chinese government has recently expanded its funding in the field of basic research. However, an increased number of publications does not necessarily represent highly influential affiliations, authors, and articles. For example, China accounted for only two of the top ten productive institutions, one of the top prolific co-cited authors, and none of the top ten most cited articles.

The number of citations represents the performance of a publication (Durieux and Gevenois, 2010; Liang et al., 2023). In our study, the timing of the mean TCs on hemodynamics in IAs could be divided into three periods. Phase II (2003–2013) had a dramatically higher number of citations than the other two periods, reflecting better publication performance. During this Phase II, some representative keywords with high research value showed bursts, including "parent vessel," "angiography," and "fluid-structure interaction". In addition, the top ten cited publications emerged during this Phase. Therefore, we conclude that Phase II was a crucial stage for research on hemodynamics in IAs, which laid the

major foundation for current research. In addition, one reason for the declining citation phase of Phase III (2013–2022) might be the nonstandardized acquisition of relevant hemodynamic parameters. Excessive assumptions, simplifications, and imprecise pre- and postsimulation steps may lead to incorrect findings in this field (Berg et al., 2019a) and could partially explain why hemodynamics have not yet been widely implemented for the investigation of IAs in clinical practice.

4.2 Active institutions, authors, journals, and co-cited journals

Identifying influential authors and institutions may help researchers choose their collaborating partners. Meng H (SUNY Buffalo University) was the most productive and cited author of hemodynamic research on IAs. She and her team performed CFD histology mapping on a dog IA model and found that high wall shear stress (WSS) and a high WSS gradient (WSSG) were dangerous hemodynamic conditions for IA initiation (Meng et al., 2007). Later, based on clinical imaging data, she built a combined model of hemodynamics and morphology to

Steinman DA. 2003, MJ J NEURORADIOL, V24, P559 2003 29,72 2004 2005 Steinman DA. 2003, MJ J NEURORADIOL, V24, P559 2005 2004 42.86 2005 2009 Steinman DA (2003) Cehral JR, 2005, JIEEE T MED IMAGINO, V24, P457, 2005 33,97 2006 2010 Cehral JR, 2005, IEEE T MED IMAGINO, V24, P457, 2005 33,97 2006 2010 Cehral JR, 2005, IEEE T MED IMAGINO, V24, P457, 2005 33,97 2006 2010 Cehral JR, 2005, IEEE T MED IMAGINO, V24, P457, 2005 36,5 2008 2011 Cehral JR, 2005, IEEE T MED IMAGINO, V24, P457, 2005 36,5 2008 2011 Cehral JR, 2005, IEEE T MED IMAGINO, V24, P457, 2005 36,5 2008 2011 DOI 10 1015187100000, P167 Cehral JR, 2007, STRCKE, V38, P1824, 2007 2006 25,45 2010 2013 DOI 10 1015187100000, P167 Doi 10 10151871000000, V29, P1761, 2008 2018 2011 2012 2016 DOI 10 1015187100000, V20, P1771, 2008 DOI 10 1015187100000, V20, P1761, 2008 2011 33.9 2012 2016 DOI 10 1015187100000, V20, P1771, 2008 DOI 10 1015187100000, V20,			References	Year	Strength	Begin	End	1999 - 2022
Shojima N, 2004, STROKE, V38, P5200, DOI 10.1116/10.1STR.000014444.888172.00 2004 4.2.86 2009 Cebral JR, 2005, AUJ NEURORADIOL, V28, P5500, DOI 10.1116/JTAN 2005, S44158.8017.00 2005 45.15 2008 2010 Cebral JR, 2005, MUL NEURORADIOL, V28, P5500, DOI 10.1109/TMI 2005, S44158.2017. 2006 33.97 2006 2010 Scheiman DA (2003) Cebral JR, 2005, StrOKE, V38, P1924, DOI 10.1101/JTAN 2005, S44159. 2006 25.45 2008 2011 Cebral JR (2005) Cebral JR (2006) Cebral JR (2007) 2006 26.27 2010 2013 Minsel L (2000, Cebral JR (2011) Cebral R (2011) Cebral R (2011) 2008 26.17 2010 2013 Storat DR (2006) Cebral R (2011) Cebral R (2011) 2008 26.27 2010 2013 Storat DR (2007) Cebral R (2011) Cebral R (2011) 2008 26.27 2010 2013 Storat DR (2004) Cebral R (2011) Cebral R (2011) 2008 2012 2016 Cebral R (2011) Cebral R (2011) Cebral R (2011) 2008 2012 2016			Steinman DA, 2003, AM J NEURORADIOL, V24, P558	2003	29.72	2004	2008	_
Cebral JR, 2005, AM J NEURORADIOL, V28, P250. 2005 45.15 2006 2010 Cebral JR, 2005, EEET T MURACING, V24, P457. 2005 33.97 2006 2010 Cebral JR, 2005, SH159 2007 36.5 2008 2011 Cebral JR, 2005, Cebral JR, 2005, Cebral JR, 2007 36.5 2008 2011 Cebral JR, 2005, Cebral JR, 2007, Cebral JR, 2011, Cebral			Shojima M, 2004, STROKE, V35, P2500, DOI 10.1161/01.STR.0000144648.89172.0f.	2004	42.86	2005	2009	_
Steinman DA (2003) Cebrai JR, 2005, IEEEE T MED MAGING, V24, P457, 2005 33.97 2006 2010 Stoijima M (2004) Cebrai JR (2005) Castro MA (2006, AU J NEURORADICL, V27, P1703 2006 25.45 2008 2011 Boussel L (2005) Cebrai JR (2006) Castro MA (2008, AU J NEURORADICL, V27, P1703 2006 25.45 2008 2011 Boussel L (2005) Boussel L (2005) Castro MA (2008, AU J NEURORADICL, V27, P1703 2008 26.57 2010 2013 Boussel L (2005) Boussel L (2006) Doi 10.1161/STROKEAHA 108.521617. 2008 26.456 2010 2013 Boussel L (2007) Boussel L (2008) Stora DM (2008, AU J NEURORADICL, V29, P1761, 2008 20.08 20.10 2014 Stora DM (2007) Boussel L (2008) Stora DM (2008, AU J NEURORADICL, V29, P1761, 2009 23.8 2010 2014 Stora DM (2007) Boussel L (2011) Kusser 7(2011) Stora DM (2008, AU J NEURORADICL, V29, P1761, 2009 23.8 2010 2016 Cabrai JR (2014) FMarg V(2013) FMarg V(2013) FMarg V(2013) 2016 2016 2016 2016 Cabrai J (2017) FMarg V(2013) FMarg V(Cebral JR, 2005, AM J NEURORADIOL, V26, P2550.	2005	45.15	2006	2010	_
Steinman DA (2003) Meng H, 2007, STERCKE, V38, P1234, 2007 36.5 2008 2012 Shoijma M (2004) Castro MA (2005) Castro MA (2006) 2014 2014 Scient MA (2005) Boussel L, 2008, STROKE, V39, P2997, 2008 26.27 2010 2013 Boussel L, 2008, SM J NEURORADIOL, V27, P1703 2008 24.56 2010 2013 Boussel L, 2008, SM J NEURORADIOL, V29, P1781, 2009 23.8 2010 2014 Joint DJ 103774/ajnr.A31100. Stora DM, 2009, AM U NEURORADIOL, V29, P1781, 2009 23.8 2010 2014 Joint DJ 2017 Stora DM, 2009, AM U NEURORADIOL, V32, P184, 2011 43.9 2012 2016 Doi 10.116/37R0XEAHA 108.521617. Stora DM, 2009, AM U NEURORADIOL, V32, P184, 2011 43.9 2012 2016 Doi 10.116/37R0XEAHA 108.522637 2011 43.25 2012 2016 2016 Doi 10.116/37R0XEAHA 108.522637 2011 24.56 2018 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 <			Cebral JR, 2005, IEEE T MED IMAGING, V24, P457, DOI 10.1109/TMI.2005.844159	2005	33.97	2006	2010	_
Shoijina M (2004) Cebral JR (2005) Castro MA (2006) Castro MA (2007) Castro Marco Castro	Steinman DA (2003)		Meng H, 2007, STROKE, V38, P1924, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.106.481234.	2007	36.5	2008	2012	_
Cebral JR (2005) Castro MA (2006) Boussel L (2008) STROKE K- V39, P2967, DOI 10.116/STROKEAHA 108.521617. 2008 26.27 2010 2013 Meddelka AG (2008) Cebral JR (2011) Storz a Diff (2009) Cebral JR (2011) Liou L2020, ANU JNEURORADIOL, V39, P1761, DOI 10.1146/annurev. Mid. 40.111406.102128. 2009 23.8 2010 2014 Meing H (2007) Liou L2020, ANU JNEURORADIOL, V39, P1761, DOI 10.1146/annurev. Mid. 40.111406.102128. 2011 43.9 2012 2016 Meing H (2017) Liou L2020, ANU JNEURORADIOL, V32, P144, DI 01.1146/annurev. Mid. 40.111406.102128. 2011 34.25 2012 2016 Mang J 2011 Marg A (2012) Cebral JR (2011) Kang J 2014 Meing H (2014) Waten stendata K (2014) Greving JP (2014) Kater V (2013) Kang J 2014 2011 AM JNEURORADIOL, V32, P264, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 28.8 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, AM JNEURORADIOL, V32, P264, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, MJ JNEURORADIOL, V32, P264, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 28.8 2013 2016 Cebral JR, 2017 Meing H (2014) Meing H (2014), Meing H (2014, AU JNEURORADIOL, V32, P145, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA 111.2675306. 2012 21.9 2014 2017 Cebral JR, 2017	Sbojima M (2004) Cebral JB (2005)		Castro MA, 2006, AM J NEURORADIOL, V27, P1703	2006	25.45	2008	2011	-
Haduellin AG (2008) Dol 10.30774/ajmr.AS7100 2008 24.56 2010 2013 Jou LD, 2008, AM J, NEURORADIOL, V29, P1761, Stora DM (2009) Jou LD, 2008, AM J, NEURORADIOL, V29, P1761, Stora DM (2009) 20.88 2010 2014 Jou LD, 2008, AM J, NEURORADIOL, V29, P1761, Stora DM (2008) Loyde P (2011) Loyde P (2012) Cebral JR (2011) 2016 2016 Jou LD (2008) Loyde P (2011) Fuldeer Z (2011) Fuldeer Z (2011) Cebral JR (2011) 2016 2016 Stora DM (2009) Cebral JR (2011) Fuldeer Z (2011) Cebral JR (2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P145, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.592823 2011 34.25 2012 2016 Stora DM (2014) Meng J (2014) Cebral JR (2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P264, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A2274 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Cebral JR (2014) Meng J (2014) Monta A, 2012, STROKE, V43, P1436, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A2388 2011 20.88 2013 2016 Monta A, 2012, STROKE, V43, P1436, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111540995. 2012 19.31 2013 2017 Monta A, 2012, STROKE, V44, P519, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.11154095. 2012 19.31 2014 2018 Stora DM (2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V3	Cebral JR (2005) Castro MA (2006)		Boussel L, 2008, STROKE, V39, P2997, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.521617.	2008	26.27	2010	2013	-
Boussel (2008) Boussel (2007) Doi L0.1046/annurev. fuld 40.11406.012022. 2009 23.8 2010 2014 Meng H (2007) Doi L0.1046/annurev. fuld 40.11406.012022. 2011 43.9 2012 2016 Bing JP, 2011, STROKE, V42, P141, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.592923 2011 34.25 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2017) Bing JP, 2013, STROKE, V42, P144, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.592923 2011 34.25 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2017) Meng H (2007) Meng H (2017) Bing JP, 2014, STROKE, V42, P143, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 34.95 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P264, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P274, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Meng H, 2014, Meng H, 2012, STROKE, V43, P143, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.1114.64095. 2012 19.31 2017 Minita A, 2012, NEW ENGL J MED, V366, P2474, DOI 10.1161/STROKE, V44, P159, DOI 10.1174/anjr.A2355. 2014 5.015 2019 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P184, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A3558. 2014 20.15 2019 Cebral JR, 2017, DOI 10.1161/ST	Radaellia AG (2008)		Jou LD, 2008, AM J NEURORADIOL, V29, P1761, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A1180.	2008	24.56	2010	2013	_
Mileng H (2007) Lower (789) Lower (789) Lower (789) Color 10,115/TROKE 44A, 2110,5922233 2011 43.9 2012 2016 Mileng H (2017) Milenser Z (2011) Britight (W (2013)) Dol 10,115/TROKE A4A, 110,5922233 2011 34.25 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, M J NEURORADIOL, V32, P145, Dol 10,3174/ajnr.A2419. 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P274, Dol 10,3174/ajnr.A2274 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P274, Dol 10,3174/ajnr.A2298 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P274, Dol 10,1161/STROKEA4A, 111640985, 2011 20.88 2013 2017 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P274, Dol 10,1161/STROKEA4A, 111640985, 2012 19.31 2013 2017 Morita A, 2012, NEW ENGJ MED, V366, P2474, DOl 10,1161/STROKEA4A, 1112.659306, 2012 21.94 2014 2017 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P154, DOI 10,1174/ajnr.A3559, 2014 54.42 2015 2019 Cebral JR, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P154, DOI 10,3174/ajnr.A3559, 2014 50.42 2019 2014 <t< td=""><td>Boussel L (2008) Cebral JR (2011) Storza DM (2009)</td><td></td><td>Sfora DM, 2009, ANNU REV FLUID MECH, V41, P91, DOI 10.1146/annurev. fluid. 40.111406. 102126.</td><td>2009</td><td>23.8</td><td>2010</td><td>2014</td><td>_</td></t<>	Boussel L (2008) Cebral JR (2011) Storza DM (2009)		Sfora DM, 2009, ANNU REV FLUID MECH, V41, P91, DOI 10.1146/annurev. fluid. 40.111406. 102126.	2009	23.8	2010	2014	_
Cebrail JR (2011) Kulcsar Z (2011) Nang JP (2011) Kulcsar Z (2011) Dol 10.3174/ajin:A2398 2011 34.25 2012 2016 Sciental JR (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) Cebrail JR (2011) 2016 2016 Meng H (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) 2016 2016 2016 Cebrail JR (2014) Meng H (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) 2011 20.88 2013 2016 Cebrail JR (2014) Mains J (2014) Mains J (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) 2016 2016 Cebrail JR (2014) Mains J (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) Cebrail JR (2014) 2017 2018 2017 Cebrail JR (2014) Mains J (2017) Mains J (2017) Morita A (2012, STROKE VA3, P1436, DOI 10.1016/STROKEAHA.111.640995. 2012 19.31 2014 2017 Morita A (2012, NEW ENGL J MED, VISEO, MED, VISEO, VISEO, VISEO, P1849, DOI 10.1016/STROKEAHA.1112.64095. 2013 18.6 2014 2018 Morita A (2017) Morita A (2012, AM J NEURORADIOL, VISE, P126, DOI 10.1016/STROKEAHA.1112.64095. 2013 18.6 2014 2018 Col	Meng H (2007)		Xiang JP, 2011, STROKE, V42, P114, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.592923	2011	43.9	2012	2016	-
Takao H (2012 Cebral JR (2013) Morita A (2012) Serving JR (2013) Milliare Y (2013) Cebral JR, 2011, MJ NEURORADIOL, V32, P264. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 28.93 2012 2016 Meng H (2014) Mulers Vendesdal & (2014) DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274 2011 20.88 2013 2016 Cebral JR, 2011, MJ NEURORADIOL, V32, P27. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2298 2012 19.31 2013 2017 Cebral JR, 2011, MJ NEURORADIOL, V32, P27. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2398 2012 19.31 2013 2017 Cebral JR, 2017 Morita A, 2012, STROKE, V43, P1436, 2012 21.94 2014 2017 Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P27. DOI 10.1016/ISTROKEAHA.1112.40995. 2012 21.94 2014 2017 Cebral JR, 2017 Morita A, 2012, NEW ENGL J MED, V366, P2474. DOI 10.1016/ISTROKEAHA.1112.675308. 2013 18.6 2014 2018 2022 DOI 10.3174/anjr.A3558. Greiving JP, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P184. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2011 Xang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P184. 2014 19.73 2019 2019 2011 DOI 10.3174/anjr.A45710 Cebral JR, 20	Cebral JR (2010) Cebral JR (2011) Viang IP (2011)		Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P145, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A2419.	2011	34.25	2012	2016	-
Skiam J. (2014) Meng H. (2014) Greving JP. [2014). Cabral JR, 2011, AM. J. NEURORADIOL, V32, P27, Dol 10.3174/anjr. A2388 2011 20.88 2013 2016 Greving JP. [2014). Main. Sandata K (2014) Greving JP. [2014). Takso H. 2012, STROKE, V43, P1436, Dol 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.640995. 2012 19.31 2013 2017 Morta A. 2012, NEW ENGL J MED, V386, P2474, Dol 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.2675306. 2012 21.94 2014 2017 Morta A. 2012, NEW ENGL J MED, V386, P2474, Dol 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.2675306. 2013 18.6 2014 2018 2022 Dol 10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.675306. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2011 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1244, Dol 10.3174/anjr.A3559. 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xing J. 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, Dol 10.3174/anjr.A3510 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xing J. 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, Dol 10.3174/anjr.A4951. 2014 19.73 2015 2019	Takao H (2012) Brinikiji W (201 Takao H (2012) Cebral JK (2011) Morta A (2012) Miura Y (2013)	3)	Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P264, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A2274	2011	28.93	2012	2016	_
Orewing PL 2014. Takao H, 2012. STROKE, V43, P1436. DOI 10.1016/STROKEAHA.111.640995. 2012 19.31 2013 2017 Cebral J (2017) Morta A. 2012. REW NGL J MED, V366, P2474. DOI 10.1056/NEJMoa11113260. 2013 18.6 2014 2014 2017 Mura Y. 2013. STROKE, V44, P519. DOI 10.1016/STROKEAHA.1112.675306. 2013 18.6 2014 2014 2019 2022 Morg H, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1254. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A3558. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1254. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A3558. 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A4951. 2014 20.5 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849. DOI 10.3174/anjr.A4951. 2014 19.73 2015 2019	Xiang J (2014) Meng H (2014)		Cebral JR, 2011, AM J NEURORADIOL, V32, P27, DOI 10.3174/anjr. A2398	2011	20.88	2013	2016	-
Kortia A. 2012, NEW ENGL J. MED, V366, P2474, DOI 10.056/NEJMod1113260. 2012 21.94 2014 2017 Mortia A. 2013, STROKE, V44, P519, DOI 10.1181/STROKEAHA.112.675306. 2013 18.6 2014 2018 2022 DOI 10.1181/STROKEAHA.112.675306. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2021 OI 10.3174/anji.AS558. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J. 2014, MJ NEURORADIOL, V35, P154, DOI 10.1174/anji.AS558. 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J. 2014, MJ NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/anji.rA3710 2014 19.73 2015 2019 2001 Cebral J. 2017, MJ J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/anji.rA4951. 2017 2.5.62 2018 2022	Greving JP (2014)	X	Takao H, 2012, STROKE, V43, P1436, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.640995.	2012	19.31	2013	2017	_
Miura Y, 2013, STROKE, V44, P519, DOI 10.1181/STROKEAHA.112.675306. 2013 18.6 2014 2018 2022 Mong H, 2014, AJ, NEURORADIOL, V35, P1254, DOI 10.0174/anjr.A3558. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2010 10.3174/anjr.A3558. Creving JP, 2014, LANCET NEUROL, V13, P59, DOI 10.01046/s1474.442(13)70283-1 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A3710 2014 19.73 2015 2019 2010 Cebrai J, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/anjr.44851. 2017 2.5.62 2018 2022	Cebral J (2017)	~	Morita A, 2012, NEW ENGL J MED, V366, P2474, DOI 10.1056/NEJMoa1113260.	2012	21.94	2014	2017	_
Meng H, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1254, DOI 10.1714/anjr.A3559. 2014 54.42 2015 2019 2022 Greving JP, 2014, LANCET NEUROL, V13, P59, DOI 10.01168/s1474-4422(13)70283-1 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A4951. 2014 19.73 2015 2019 2020 Cebral J, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V38, P119, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A4951. 2017 25.62 2018 2022			Miura Y, 2013, STROKE, V44, P519, DOI 10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.675306.	2013	18.6	2014	2018	_
Greving JP 2014 LANCET NEUROL. V13, P59. DOI 10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70283-1 2014 20.85 2015 2019 2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A3710 2014 19,73 2015 2019 2010 Cebral J, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A4951. 2017 2.562 2018 2022		2022	Meng H, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1254, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A3558.	2014	54.42	2015	2019	_
2011 Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/ajinr.A3710 2014 19.73 2015 2019 Cebral J, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V38, P119, DOI 10.3174/ajinr.A4951. 2017 25.62 2018 2022			Greving JP, 2014, LANCET NEUROL, V13, P59, DOI 10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70263-1	2014	20.85	2015	2019	_
Cebral J, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V38, P119, 2017 25.62 2018 2022		2011	Xiang J, 2014, AM J NEURORADIOL, V35, P1849, DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A3710	2014	19.73	2015	2019	_
		1999	Cebral J, 2017, AM J NEURORADIOL, V38, P119, DOI 10.3174/anjr.A4951.	2017	25.62	2018	2022	

predict IA rupture (Xiang et al., 2011). These studies were the most cited publications and references with the strongest citation bursts, laying the foundation for subsequent research. Regarding prolific affiliations, Capital Medical University in China ranked first but ranked low in citations per paper. Several reasons may account for the contradiction between quantity and quality in China. First, the number of publications in China will surpass that in the US for the first time in 2021, indicating that Chinese publications might need more time to be cited. Second, compared to the US, a lower percentage of Chinese studies are published in high-IF journals such as *Stroke* (IF = 8.3).

Journal productivity represents the interest of a journal in a specific field; thus, the top co-cited journals can be regarded as authoritative journals. In research on hemodynamics in IA, the *American Journal of Neuroradiology, Neurosurgery*, and *World Neurosurgery* are the most productive journals, while the *American Journal of Neuroradiology, Stroke*, and *Journal of Neurosurgery* were the most frequently cited journals. If Chinese researchers want to improve their influence on IA hemodynamics, they should deepen their studies and select more influential target journals.

4.3 Research hotspots and frontier trends

Quick learning in a field can be obtained through keyword cooccurrence analysis (Ai et al., 2023). In our study, the major keywords could be divided into three clusters representing different topics and frontier trends.

Cluster 1 (red): Research on hemodynamics itself. Hemodynamic analyses in IA are mainly performed through approaches including CFD, 4D-Flow MRI, and optical imaging. CFD calculates the blood flow by solving the governing equations of fluid mechanics; therefore, the flow field in CFD is slightly virtual. 4D-Flow MRI measures blood flow in vivo and in vitro; thus, the flow field is more real (Kamada et al., 2022). Previous studies have reported a strong correlation between 4D flow MRI and CFD for the inflow hemodynamics of IA (Misaki et al., 2021). Despite being more consistent with the real hemodynamics in the human body, 4D flow MRI also has shortcomings, including relatively low spatiotemporal resolutions and limited accuracy due to imaging noise (Wu et al., 2022). Moreover, optical imaging techniques are commonly utilized for the in vitro hemodynamic validation of CFD and 4D flow MRI, as they offer well-controlled and high-resolution flow fields and do not require the use of ionizing radiation (Wu et al., 2022). The common optical imaging techniques research on hemodynamics in IA include particle image velocimetry (PIV), particle tracking velocimetry (PTV), and others (Liou et al., 2007; Medero et al., 2020). Some international studies on IA hemodynamics have reported that the accuracy of hemodynamic calculation is affected by model segmentation, boundary conditions, hemodynamic parameters, solver algorithms, and others

(Steinman et al., 2013; Berg et al., 2015; Berg et al., 2018; Valen-Sendstad et al., 2018; Berg et al., 2019b; Voß et al., 2019). Researchers should combine actual clinical situations to evaluate IA hemodynamics and use optical imaging techniques to validate the results. Furthermore, to enhance the reliability of research on hemodynamics in IA, Berg et al. (2019a) proposed flow analysis standardization in comparison studies, as well as numerical investigations in uncertainty quantification and validation studies.

Cluster 2 (blue): Research on the relationship between hemodynamics and IA rupture. IA rupture comprises 80%-85% of non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhages and can lead to high mortality (Brown and Broderick, 2014). Considering the long-term impingement of blood flow on the arterial wall, IA rupture is closely related to hemodynamics such as WSS, WSSG, oscillatory shear index (OSI), flow patterns, and others (Soldozy et al., 2019). One meta-analysis identified average WSS as a protective hemodynamic parameter, whereas OSI and low shear index% (LSA%) were harmful hemodynamic parameters of IA rupture (Han et al., 2021). However, hemodynamics are complex and the role of WSS in IA rupture remains controversial. Zhang et al. (2018) found that an excessively high WSS in the parent artery could predict rupture of anterior communicating artery aneurysms. Accordingly, regarding the "high-versus-low WSS" controversy, Meng et al. (2014) proposed a widely accepted unifying hypothesis that low WSS and high OSI contributed to the rupture of large and atherosclerotic IA phenotypes, while high WSS and positive WSSG facilitated the rupture of small or secondary bleb IA phenotype. In addition, compared to unruptured IAs, ruptured IAs have more complex and unstable flow patterns (Byrne et al., 2014) such as a higher number of vortices (Xiang et al., 2011) and more complex inflow jet patterns (Futami et al., 2017). Some retrospective cohort studies have shown that hemodynamics can be integrated with geometric and clinically relevant information, such as IA site and focal wall enhancement, to predict IA rupture (Janiga et al., 2015a; Berg et al., 2019b; Larsen et al., 2020). The area under the curve (AUC) value for predicting IA rupture accuracy can reach 0.820-0.910 (Chen et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2021). However, prospective, large-sample, multicenter cohort studies are needed to compare hemodynamics and IA rupture.

Cluster 3 (green): Research on the relationship between hemodynamics and IA treatment. Over the past 30 years, multiple therapeutic approaches have been developed for IA, including clipping and endovascular treatment (coils, stents, and flow diverters). These treatments commonly change the hemodynamic status. Both coil embolization and flow diverters decrease intra-aneurysmal blood flow velocity and WSS, which explains their protection against rupture (Goubergrits et al., 2014; Jing et al., 2016). When treatments fail, the high WSS at the neck remnant could require recanalization, while a lack of decreased flow velocity and undiminished high-WSS areas might lead to postoperative rupture (Goubergrits et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2021). Moreover, hemodynamic research may be an effective tool to improve IA treatment. Janiga et al. (2015b) used CFD to identify an optimal flow-diverting stent for patient-specific IAs. Chen et al. (2021) employed CFD to propose the proximal densification of flow diverters to reduce IA rupture risk. The combination of CFD and structural analysis can optimize flow diverter design, including the weave angle and wire thickness (Suzuki et al., 2017). Notably, in our study, the keyword "diversion" appeared in the average time of 2017, indicating that the flow diverter received more attention in IA treatments. However, flow diverters still have limitations and complications such as in-stent stenosis (John et al., 2016), thromboembolic complications (Leung et al., 2012), and others. Future hemodynamic research on IA treatment should focus on these topics.

5 Limitations

This study had several limitations. First, we only collected literature from the WoSCC, which provided the most suitable data format for CiteSpace and VOSviewer. Other databases such as Scopus and PubMed were also used to confirm our findings. Second, our study only included publications written in English and excluded non-English publications, which may have caused a selection bias. Third, record updates in the WoSCC may have led to retrieval disparities.

6 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study drew a knowledge map of the top countries, institutions, authors, publications, and journals on IA hemodynamics over the past 2 decades. The current and future hotspots of IA hemodynamics mainly include hemodynamics itself (4D flow MRI), its relationship with IA rupture (morphology and prediction), and its relationship with IA treatment (flow diverters, complications, and recanalization).

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

BC, LY, SH, and CL designed and drafted the manuscript; BC, LZ, and CL organized figures and edited legends. BC, LZ, SH, CL, LY, and YL revised the article; BC, LY, and LZ conducted the data analysis; All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University (No. 2021zzts1036).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Ai, S., Li, Y., Tao, J., Zheng, H., Tian, L., Wang, Y., et al. (2023). Bibliometric visualization analysis of gut-kidney axis from 2003 to 2022. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1176894. doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1176894

Bao, Q., Meng, X., Hu, M., Xing, J., Jin, D., Liu, H., et al. (2021). Simulation analysis of aneurysm embolization surgery: hemorheology of aneurysms with different embolization rates (CTA). *Bio-Med. Mat. Eng.* 32, 295–308. doi:10.3233/bme-211225

Berg, P., Roloff, C., Beuing, O., Voss, S., Sugiyama, S., Aristokleous, N., et al. (2015). The computational fluid dynamics rupture challenge 2013--phase II: variability of hemodynamic simulations in two intracranial aneurysms. *J Biomech Eng.* 137, 121008. doi:10.1115/1.4031794

Berg, P., Saalfeld, S., Voß, S., Beuing, O., and Janiga, G. (2019a). A review on the reliability of hemodynamic modeling in intracranial aneurysms: why computational fluid dynamics alone cannot solve the equation. *Neurosurg. Focus* 47, E15. doi:10.3171/2019.4.Focus19181

Berg, P., Voß, S., Janiga, G., Saalfeld, S., Bergersen, A. W., Valen-Sendstad, K., et al. (2019b). Multiple Aneurysms AnaTomy CHallenge 2018 (MATCH)-phase II: rupture risk assessment. *Int. J. Comput. Assist. Radiol. Surg.* 14, 1795–1804. doi:10.1007/s11548-019-01986-2

Berg, P., Voß, S., Saalfeld, S., Janiga, G., Bergersen, A. W., Valen-Sendstad, K., et al. (2018). Multiple aneurysms AnaTomy CHallenge 2018 (MATCH): phase I: segmentation. *Cardiovasc. Eng. Technol.* 9, 565–581. doi:10.1007/s13239-018-00376-0

Bisighini, B., Aguirre, M., Biancolini, M. E., Trovalusci, F., Perrin, D., Avril, S., et al. (2023). Machine learning and reduced order modelling for the simulation of braided stent deployment. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1148540. doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1148540

Brown, R. D., Jr., and Broderick, J. P. (2014). Unruptured intracranial aneurysms: epidemiology, natural history, management options, and familial screening. *Lancet Neurol.* 13, 393–404. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(14)70015-8

Byrne, G., Mut, F., and Cebral, J. (2014). Quantifying the large-scale hemodynamics of intracranial aneurysms. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 35, 333–338. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3678

Cebral, J. R., Castro, M. A., Burgess, J. E., Pergolizzi, R. S., Sheridan, M. J., and Putman, C. M. (2005). Characterization of cerebral aneurysms for assessing risk of rupture by using patient-specific computational hemodynamics models. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 26, 2550–2559.

Cebral, J., Ollikainen, E., Chung, B. J., Mut, F., Sippola, V., and Jahromi, B. R. (2017). Flow conditions in the intracranial aneurysm lumen are associated with inflammation and degenerative changes of the aneurysm wall. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 38, 119–126. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4951

Chen, B., Tao, W., Li, S., Zeng, M., Zhang, L., Huang, Z., et al. (2022a). Medial gap: a structural factor at the arterial bifurcation aggravating hemodynamic insult. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 81, 282–290. doi:10.1093/jnen/nlac017

Chen, B., Xie, K., Zhang, J., Yang, L., Zhou, H., Zhang, L., et al. (2023). Comprehensive analysis of mitochondrial dysfunction and necroptosis in intracranial aneurysms from the perspective of predictive, preventative, and personalized medicine. *Apoptosis* 28, 1452–1468. doi:10.1007/s10495-023-01865-x

Chen, B., Zhou, H., Zhou, X., Yang, L., Xiong, Y., and Zhang, L. (2022b). Comprehensive analysis of endoplasmic reticulum stress in intracranial aneurysm. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 16, 865005. doi:10.3389/fncel.2022.865005

Chen, G., Lu, M., Shi, Z., Xia, S., Ren, Y., Liu, Z., et al. (2020). Development and validation of machine learning prediction model based on computed tomography angiography-derived hemodynamics for rupture status of intracranial aneurysms: a Chinese multicenter study. *Eur. Radiol.* 30, 5170–5182. doi:10.1007/s00330-020-06886-7

Chen, J., Liu, J., Liu, X., Zeng, C., Chen, Z., Li, S., et al. (2022c). Animal model contributes to the development of intracranial aneurysm: a bibliometric analysis. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9, 1027453. doi:10.3389/fvets.2022.1027453

Chen, R., Wei, Y., Zhang, G., Zhang, R., Zhang, X., Dai, D., et al. (2022d). Worldwide productivity and research trends of publications concerning stent application in acutely ruptured intracranial aneurysms: a bibliometric study. *Front. Neurol.* 13, 1029613. doi:10.3389/fneur.2022.1029613

Chen, S., Bai, B., Lv, N., Cheng, Y., and Ji, B. (2021). Hemodynamic analysis and implantation strategies of delayed intracranial aneurysm rupture after flow diverter treatment. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 9, 1735. doi:10.21037/atm-21-5939

Donthu, N., Kumar, S., Mukherjee, D., Pandey, N., and Lim, W. M. (2021). How to conduct a bibliometric analysis: an overview and guidelines. *J. Bus. Res.* 133, 285–296. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.070

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Durieux, V., and Gevenois, P. A. (2010). Bibliometric indicators: quality measurements of scientific publication. *Radiology* 255, 342–351. doi:10.1148/radiol. 09090626

Frösen, J., Tulamo, R., Paetau, A., Laaksamo, E., Korja, M., Laakso, A., et al. (2012). Saccular intracranial aneurysm: pathology and mechanisms. *Acta Neuropathol.* 123, 773–786. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0939-3

Futami, K., Nambu, I., Kitabayashi, T., Sano, H., Misaki, K., Uchiyama, N., et al. (2017). Inflow hemodynamics evaluated by using four-dimensional flow magnetic resonance imaging and the size ratio of unruptured cerebral aneurysms. *Neuroradiology* 59, 411–418. doi:10.1007/s00234-017-1801-7

Goubergrits, L., Schaller, J., Kertzscher, U., Woelken, T., Ringelstein, M., and Spuler, A. (2014). Hemodynamic impact of cerebral aneurysm endovascular treatment devices: coils and flow diverters. *Expert Rev. Med. Devices* 11, 361–373. doi:10.1586/17434440. 2014.925395

Han, P., Jin, D., Wei, W., Song, C., Leng, X., Liu, L., et al. (2021). The prognostic effects of hemodynamic parameters on rupture of intracranial aneurysm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Surg.* 86, 15–23. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.12.012

Helgadottir, A., Thorleifsson, G., Magnusson, K. P., Grétarsdottir, S., Steinthorsdottir, V., Manolescu, A., et al. (2008). The same sequence variant on 9p21 associates with myocardial infarction, abdominal aortic aneurysm and intracranial aneurysm. *Nat. Genet.* 40, 217–224. doi:10.1038/ng.72

Huang, X., Zheng, J., Ma, Y., Hou, M., and Wang, X. (2023). Analysis of emerging trends and hot spots in respiratory biomechanics from 2003 to 2022 based on CiteSpace. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1190155. doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1190155

Janiga, G., Berg, P., Sugiyama, S., Kono, K., and Steinman, D. A. (2015a). The computational fluid dynamics rupture challenge 2013—phase I: prediction of rupture status in intracranial aneurysms. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 36, 530–536. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4157

Janiga, G., Daróczy, L., Berg, P., Thévenin, D., Skalej, M., and Beuing, O. (2015b). An automatic CFD-based flow diverter optimization principle for patient-specific intracranial aneurysms. *J. Biomech.* 48, 3846–3852. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.09.039

Jing, L., Zhong, J., Liu, J., Yang, X., Paliwal, N., Meng, H., et al. (2016). Hemodynamic effect of flow diverter and coils in treatment of large and giant intracranial aneurysms. *World Neurosurg.* 89, 199–207. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2016.01.079

John, S., Bain, M. D., Hui, F. K., Hussain, M. S., Masaryk, T. J., Rasmussen, P. A., et al. (2016). Long-term follow-up of in-stent stenosis after pipeline flow diversion treatment of intracranial aneurysms. *Neurosurgery* 78, 862–867. doi:10.1227/neu. 000000000001146

Kamada, H., Nakamura, M., Ota, H., Higuchi, S., and Takase, K. (2022). Blood flow analysis with computational fluid dynamics and 4D-flow MRI for vascular diseases. *J. Cardiol.* 80, 386–396. doi:10.1016/j.jjcc.2022.05.007

Kataoka, H., Yagi, T., Ikedo, T., Imai, H., Kawamura, K., Yoshida, K., et al. (2020). Hemodynamic and histopathological changes in the early phase of the development of an intracranial aneurysm. *Neurol. Med. Chir. (Tokyo)* 60, 319–328. doi:10.2176/nmc.st. 2020-0072

Larsen, N., Flüh, C., Saalfeld, S., Voß, S., Hille, G., Trick, D., et al. (2020). Multimodal validation of focal enhancement in intracranial aneurysms as a surrogate marker for aneurysm instability. *Neuroradiology* 62, 1627–1635. doi:10.1007/s00234-020-02498-6

Leung, G. K., Tsang, A. C., and Lui, W. M. (2012). Pipeline embolization device for intracranial aneurysm: a systematic review. *Clin. Neuroradiol.* 22, 295–303. doi:10.1007/s00062-012-0178-6

Levitt, M. R., Mandrycky, C., Abel, A., Kelly, C. M., Levy, S., Chivukula, V. K., et al. (2019). Genetic correlates of wall shear stress in a patient-specific 3D-printed cerebral aneurysm model. *J. Neurointerv. Surg.* 11, 999–1003. doi:10.1136/neurintsurg-2018-014669

Li, M. H., Chen, S. W., Li, Y. D., Chen, Y. C., Cheng, Y. S., Hu, D. J., et al. (2013). Prevalence of unruptured cerebral aneurysms in Chinese adults aged 35 to 75 years: a cross-sectional study. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 159, 514–521. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-159-8-201310150-00004

Liang, G., Wang, W., and He, Z. (2023). Sepsis associated with acute lung injury over the period 2012-2021: a bibliometric analysis. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1079736. doi:10.3389/ fphys.2023.1079736

Liou, T. M., Li, Y. C., and Juan, W. C. (2007). Numerical and experimental studies on pulsatile flow in aneurysms arising laterally from a curved parent vessel at various angles. *J. Biomech.* 40, 1268–1275. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2006.05.024

Liu, Z., Yuan, Y., Jiang, R., Zhao, B., Gu, J., He, X., et al. (2023). How to create a nervous system aneurysm model in canines? ligation of the lingual artery is a simple and effective method. *Front. Physiol.* 14, 1137564. doi:10.3389/fphys.2023.1137564

Lu, V. M., Chen, S. H., Young, C. C., and Starke, R. M. (2021). Nature, content and shifts over time of the most impactful unruptured intracranial aneurysms articles: a bibliometric analysis. *J. Neurointerv. Surg.* 13, 177–181. doi:10.1136/neurintsurg-2020-016238

Medero, R., Falk, K., Rutkowski, D., Johnson, K., and Roldán-Alzate, A. (2020). *In vitro* assessment of flow variability in an intracranial aneurysm model using 4D flow MRI and tomographic PIV. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 48, 2484–2493. doi:10.1007/s10439-020-02543-8

Meng, H., Tutino, V. M., Xiang, J., and Siddiqui, A. (2014). High WSS or low WSS? Complex interactions of hemodynamics with intracranial aneurysm initiation, growth, and rupture: toward a unifying hypothesis. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 35, 1254–1262. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3558

Meng, H., Wang, Z., Hoi, Y., Gao, L., Metaxa, E., Swartz, D. D., et al. (2007). Complex hemodynamics at the apex of an arterial bifurcation induces vascular remodeling resembling cerebral aneurysm initiation. *Stroke* 38, 1924–1931. doi:10.1161/strokeaha. 106.481234

Misaki, K., Futami, K., Uno, T., Nambu, I., Yoshikawa, A., Kamide, T., et al. (2021). Inflow hemodynamics of intracranial aneurysms: a comparison of computational fluid dynamics and 4D flow magnetic resonance imaging. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 30, 105685. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2021. 105685

Morel, S., Schilling, S., Diagbouga, M. R., Delucchi, M., Bochaton-Piallat, M. L., Lemeille, S., et al. (2021). Effects of low and high aneurysmal wall shear stress on endothelial cell behavior: differences and similarities. *Front. Physiol.* 12, 727338. doi:10. 3389/fbhvs.2021.727338

Shi, Z., Chen, G. Z., Mao, L., Li, X. L., Zhou, C. S., Xia, S., et al. (2021). Machine learning-based prediction of small intracranial aneurysm rupture status using CTAderived hemodynamics: a multicenter study. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 42, 648–654. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A7034

Shojima, M., Oshima, M., Takagi, K., Torii, R., Hayakawa, M., Katada, K., et al. (2004). Magnitude and role of wall shear stress on cerebral aneurysm: computational fluid dynamic study of 20 middle cerebral artery aneurysms. *Stroke* 35, 2500–2505. doi:10. 1161/01.STR.0000144648.89172.0f

Soldozy, S., Norat, P., Elsarrag, M., Chatrath, A., Costello, J., Sokolowski, J., et al. (2019). The biophysical role of hemodynamics in the pathogenesis of cerebral aneurysm formation and rupture. *Neurosurg. Focus* 47, E11. doi:10.3171/2019.4. Focus19232

Steinman, D. A., Hoi, Y., Fahy, P., Morris, L., Walsh, M. T., Aristokleous, N., et al. (2013). Variability of computational fluid dynamics solutions for pressure and flow in a giant aneurysm: the ASME 2012 Summer Bioengineering Conference CFD Challenge. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 135, 021016. doi:10.1115/1.4023382

Suzuki, T., Takao, H., Fujimura, S., Dahmani, C., Ishibashi, T., Mamori, H., et al. (2017). Selection of helical braided flow diverter stents based on hemodynamic performance and mechanical properties. *J. Neurointerv. Surg.* 9, 999–1005. doi:10. 1136/neurintsurg-2016-012561

Tang, X., Zhou, L., Wen, L., Wu, Q., Leng, X., Xiang, J., et al. (2021). Morphological and hemodynamic characteristics associated with the rupture of multiple intracranial aneurysms. *Front. Neurol.* 12, 811281. doi:10.3389/fneur.2021.811281

Valen-Sendstad, K., Bergersen, A. W., Shimogonya, Y., Goubergrits, L., Bruening, J., Pallares, J., et al. (2018). Real-world variability in the prediction of intracranial aneurysm wall shear stress: the 2015 international aneurysm CFD challenge. *Cardiovasc. Eng. Technol.* 9, 544–564. doi:10.1007/s13239-018-00374-2

Voß, S., Beuing, O., Janiga, G., and Berg, P. (2019). Multiple aneurysms AnaTomy CHallenge 2018 (MATCH)-Phase ib: effect of morphology on hemodynamics. *PLoS One* 14, e0216813. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0216813

Wu, X., Gürzing, S., Schinkel, C., Toussaint, M., Perinajová, R., van Ooij, P., et al. (2022). Hemodynamic study of a patient-specific intracranial aneurysm: comparative assessment of tomographic PIV, stereoscopic PIV, *in vivo* MRI and computational fluid dynamics. *Cardiovasc. Eng. Technol.* 13, 428–442. doi:10.1007/s13239-021-00583-2

Xiang, J., Natarajan, S. K., Tremmel, M., Ma, D., Mocco, J., Hopkins, L. N., et al. (2011). Hemodynamic-morphologic discriminants for intracranial aneurysm rupture. *Stroke* 42, 144–152. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.110.592923

Yu, J., Gao, J., Chen, J., and Sun, Y. (2019). Academic versus non-academic neurosurgeons in China: a national cross-sectional study on workload, burnout and engagement. *BMJ Open* 9, e028309. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028309

Zhang, Q., Chen, J., and Liu, J. (2022). Global trends and hot-spots in research on virtual simulation in nursing: a bibliometric analysis from 1999 to 2021. *Front. Public Health* 10, 890773. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.890773

Zhang, X., Karuna, T., Yao, Z. Q., Duan, C. Z., Wang, X. M., Jiang, S. T., et al. (2018). High wall shear stress beyond a certain range in the parent artery could predict the risk of anterior communicating artery aneurysm rupture at follow-up. *J. Neurosurg.* 131, 868–875. doi:10.3171/2018.4.Jns173179

Zhu, G., Luo, X., Yang, T., Cai, L., Yeo, J. H., Yan, G., et al. (2022). Deep learningbased recognition and segmentation of intracranial aneurysms under small sample size. *Front. Physiol.* 13, 1084202. doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.1084202