Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jiande Chen, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Michigan, United States

REVIEWED BY Weifang Rong, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

Jahangir Alam, University of Michigan, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Guo-Ming Shen, is shengm_66@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Gastrointestinal Sciences, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

RECEIVED 20 October 2022 ACCEPTED 02 February 2023 PUBLISHED 15 February 2023

CITATION

Wang H, Liu W-J, Wang X-Y, Chen X-Q, Cai R-L, Zhang M-T, Wang H-T, He G-W, Zhang Z and Shen G-M (2023), A central amygdala input to the dorsal vagal complex controls gastric motility in mice under restraint stress. *Front. Physiol.* 14:1074979. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1074979

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Liu, Wang, Chen, Cai, Zhang, Wang, He, Zhang and Shen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A central amygdala input to the dorsal vagal complex controls gastric motility in mice under restraint stress

Hao Wang^{1,2}, Wen-Jian Liu³, Xi-Yang Wang¹, Xiao-Qi Chen¹, Rong-Lin Cai⁴, Meng-Ting Zhang¹, Hai-Tao Wang¹, Guang-Wei He², Zhi Zhang⁵ and Guo-Ming Shen^{1*}

¹College of Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine (School of Life Sciences), Anhui University of Chinese Medicine, Hefei, Anhui, China, ²Hefei Institute of Pharmaceutical Industry Co., Ltd., Hefei, Anhui, China, ³Department of Thoracic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, China, ⁴Research Institute of Acupuncture and Meridian, Anhui University of Chinese Medicine, Hefei, Anhui, China, ⁵Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, Department of Biophysics and Neurobiology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China

Background/aims: Psychological and physiological stress can cause gastrointestinal motility disorders. Acupuncture has a benign regulatory effect on gastrointestinal motility. However, the mechanisms underlying these processes remain unclear.

Methods: Herein, we established a gastric motility disorder (GMD) model in the context of restraint stress (RS) and irregular feeding. The activity of emotional center—central amygdala (CeA) GABAergic neurons and gastrointestinal center—dorsal vagal complex (DVC) neurons were recorded by electrophysiology. Virus tracing and patch clamp analysis of the anatomical and functional connection between the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow dorsal vagal complex pathways were performed. Optogenetics inhibiting or activating CeA^{GABA} neurons or the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow dorsal vagal complex pathway were used to detect changes in gastric function.

Results: We found that restraint stress induced delayed gastric emptying and decreased gastric motility and food intake. Simultaneously, restraint stress activated CeA GABAergic neurons, inhibiting dorsal vagal complex neurons, with electroacupuncture (EA) reversing this phenomenon. In addition, we identified an inhibitory pathway in which CeA GABAergic neurons project into the dorsal vagal complex. Furthermore, the use of optogenetic approaches inhibited CeA^{GABA} neurons and the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow dorsal vagal complex pathway in gastric motility disorder mice, which enhanced gastric movement and gastric emptying, whereas activation of the CeA^{GABA} and CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow dorsal vagal complex pathway pathway mimicked the symptoms of weakened gastric movement and delayed gastric emptying in naïve mice.

Conclusion: Our findings indicate that the $CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow dorsal vagal complex pathway may be involved in regulating gastric dysmotility under restraint stress conditions, and partially reveals the mechanism of electroacupuncture.$

KEYWORDS

central amygdala, dorsal vagal complex, neural circuit, gastric motility disorder, electroacupuncture



Introduction

Excessive stress is associated with gastrointestinal motility and mood disorders (Doney et al., 2022). The prevalence of symptoms of depression and anxiety is positively correlated with functional gastrointestinal diseases (FGIDs), and epidemiological data provide evidence that FGIDs and mood disorders interact with each other (Koloski et al., 2020). The high incidence of psychological disorders in FGID patients suggests an intimate and complex link between the gastrointestinal tract and brain, known as the brain-gut axis (Mukhtar et al., 2019; Person and Keefer, 2021). The brain-gut axis suggests how psychological factors directly influence FGIDs by top-down signalling, which is intriguing but poorly understood. The treatment of FGIDs are based on a biopsychosocial model involving the management of physical symptoms and potential psychological comorbidity (Reed et al., 2020; Fikree and Byrne, 2021). Patients with FGIDs frequently also resort to complementary medicine, including acupuncture (Rabitti et al., 2021). Acupuncture is a traditional non-drug therapy that assists the overall regulation of complex systems. In previous studies, we demonstrated that electroacupuncture (EA) at BL21 (Weishu) and RN12 (Zhongwan) promoted gastric movement (Wang et al., 2015). In another clinical functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) project, we demonstrated the effects of acupuncture at RN12 plus BL21 on gastric motility were related to changes in amplitude of lowfrequency fluctuations (ALFF) within the amygdala. Moreover, studies have found that EA at the ST36 (Zusanli) improved visceral hypersensitivity and anxiety in functionally dyspepsic rats through inhibition of neuronal discharge of the amygdala (Chen

et al., 2022). Therefore, we sought to determine the impact of emotional center, the amygdala, on the modulation of gastric movement upon treatment with EA.

The amygdala is an important component of the limbic system, which is involved in a variety of complex behaviors including emotion, motivation, memory, learning, as well as the modulation of gastric motility (Janak and Tye, 2015; He and Ai, 2016). Mood change is associated with alterated gastric functions (Israelyan et al., 2019). However, the role of this emotional center in gastrointestinal system function remains largely unknown. The amygdala consists of multiple subdivisions, of which the central amygdala (CeA) is the main output nucleus projecting to the brainstem and hypothalamus to control autonomic and motor responses (LeDoux et al., 1988; Sah et al., 2003). Furthermore, physiological and anatomical studies have demonstrated that the CeA projects to the dorsal vagal complex (DVC) which is involved directly in gastrointestinal regulation (Liubashina et al., 2000; Jin et al., 2020). Researchers have uncovered that electrical stimulation of the CeA can alter the basic firing rate of 65% of gastrointestinalrelated neurons in the DVC and can also adjust the response of DVC neurons to gastrointestinal stimulation (Zhang et al., 2003). The DVC is composed of the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve (DMV) and the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS), and is important for autonomic regulation. Gastric motility is regulated by the vagal pathway originating in the DMV, and the NTS is the recipient of gastrointestinal sensory input (Travagli and Anselmi, 2016). GABAergic neurotransmission to the DMV plays an important role in regulation of gastric motility (Jiang et al., 2019). The CeA is a nucleus predominantly composed of GABAergic inhibitory



The effect of RS and EA on gastric motility . (A) GMD model building; (B) An outline of the experimental procedure in GMD mice. (C–F) Gastric function tests of food intake, gastric emptying, and gastric motility. (n = 6 mice per group). All data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. ^{##}p < 0.01, **p < 0.01, one-way ANOVA for (C, D, F).

neurons, and the axonal projections of these GABAergic neurons from the CeA distribute themselves to the midbrain, forebrain and brainstem (Ciocchi et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2021a). Therefore we further investigated the role of CeA GABAergic neurons in targeting and regulation of brainstem DVC neuronal activity to improve RS-induced gastric motility disorders.

Methods

Animals

We used Ai9 (RCL-tdT), GAD2-Cre, and C57BL/6J mice (purchased from Jackson Laboratories or Charles River) at

8-10 weeks of age. All mice were maintained at a stable temperature (23°C-25°C) under a 12-h light/dark cycle. Before surgery, mice were housed in cages of six in a colony. The animal protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Anhui University of Chinese Medicine.

Gastric motility disorder (GMD) model

We used restraint stress (RS) combined with irregular feeding to establish our GMD model. Mice were periodically restrained in a 50 mL syringe for 1 h once per day to constrain their movements and they were fed irregularly (fed on 1 day, fasted for 1 day) for 21 days. Holes were drilled in the syringes to allow the mice to breathe. Control mice were allowed to freely move, and they had *ad libitum* access to food.

Electroacupuncture (EA) stimulation

The EA group received EA stimulation on the 15th day of the experiment (Figure 1B). Mice were anesthetized by inhalation of isoflurane (1.5%-3.0%) via an anesthesia machine. EA was performed with a disperse-dense wave mode for 20 min once per day for 7 days, with electrical current range of 1-2 mA, and a frequency of 2/15 Hz by using a SDZ-IV electronic instrument (Huatuo Brand). EA stimulation was performed at the abdominal RN12 (Zhongwan) and dorsal BL21 (Weishu) acupoints by inserting a $0.18 \times 13 \text{ mm}$ acupuncture needle. The RN12 acupoint is located on the anterior median line of the upper abdomen, 10 mm below the xiphisternal synchondroses. The BL21 acupoint is located under the spinous process of the 12th thoracic vertebra.

Measurement of food intake and gastric emptying

Food intake and gastric emptying studies were performed as in other studies (Sampath et al., 2021). Mice were fasted overnight (water available ad libitum). The next day, each mouse was caged separately and fed with pre-weighed food for 30 min. In optogenetic experiments, each mouse was fed with light on, and was deprived with light off, the food intake recorded also for 30 min. The remaining unconsumed food was weighed to determine how much food each mouse ate. Food intake (g) = pre-weighed amount-remaining amount. Thereafter, each mouse was placed in a separate clean cage without food and water for 90 min. Mice were then anaesthetized with pentobarbital and euthanized by cervical dislocation. The stomach was removed and weighed (whole stomach weight). The gastric contents were removed from the stomach and the stomach was weighed again (empty stomach weight). The rate of gastric emptying (GE) was calculated as follows: GE(%) = [1-(whole stomach weight-empty stomachweight)/weight of food intake] \times 100.

Measurement of gastric motility

Gastric motility studies were performed as described previously (Wang et al., 2020). Mice were fasted overnight (water *ad libitum*) and anesthetized with pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, intraperitoneally). Following a laparotomy, a miniature strain gauge (1 mm × 1 mm, 120 Ω) was fixed to the circular smooth muscle of the gastric antrum. The laparotomy was then closed with a 5–0 suture with the strain gauge leads exteriorized. Strain gauge signals were amplified, filtered, digitized *via* powerlab 8/30 signal system, and recorded using labchart software (AD Instruments). The waves of gastric contraction were monitored for at least 30 min.

In vivo electrophysiology

A custom-made tetrode array was implanted into the DMV (ML: -0.4 mm, AP: -7.64 mm, DV: -4.45 mm) or CeA (ML: -2.77 mm, AP: -1.22 mm, DV: -4.52 mm) in different batches of mice at the beginning of experiments. The coordinates were defined as dorsal-ventral (DV) from brain surface, anterior-posterior (AP) from bregma and mediolateral (ML) from midline. The screw-based microdrive scaffolds for lowering the electrodes were cemented onto the skull. Each tetrode was made of four twisted fine platinum/ iridium wires (diameter 12.5 µm, California Fine Wire). The mice were allowed to recover for 3 days, and the electrodes were attached to a 16-channel signal acquisition system to collect the spontaneous discharge. Then the mice received restraint stress and electroacupuncture treatment. On the next day after the completion of EA treatment, the neuronal activities were recorded, and the data filtered at a bandwidth of 300-5,000 Hz were stored using Neurostudio software. Neuroexplorer 4 (Nex Technologies, United States) was used to calculate the firing rates of the sorted units. We sorted more than 24 units from the recorded spikes of different groups. Only those units with signal noise ratio exceeding 2.5 and average amplitude exceeding 50 µV were included for comparison. However, we removed those with much noise or smaller amplitude to minimize the potential artifact effect.

Virus injection

We anaesthetized the mice and restrained them in a stereotaxic frame (RWD). A volume of 200 nL of virus was injected into the CeA using a glass microelectrode, which was connected to an infusion pump (micro 4, WPI, United States).

For anterograde tracing of the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC circuit, we injected the Cre-dependent virus AAV-DIO-mCherry into the unilateral CeA of GAD2-Cre mice. For inhibition of the CeA^{GABA} neurons or CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC circuit, we injected the AAV-DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP viruses into the bilateral CeA; However, we injected the AAV-DIO-ChR2-mCherry viruses into the unilateral CeA to activate the CeA^{GABA} neurons or CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC circuit with optogenetic manipulation. The AAV-DIO-mCherry and AAV-DIO-EYFP viruses were used as controls. All viruses were packaged by BrainVTA (Wuhan). 21 days later, the mice were transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The brain was sectioned for imaging. Images of viral expression were obtained using a confocal microscope (LSM 710; ZEISS, Germany).

Optogenetic manipulations

An optical fiber (200 μ m in diameter) was implanted into the CeA or DVC. The implant was fixed to the skull of mouse using dental cement. The delivery of a 30 min yellow light (594 nm, 5–8 mW, light on 10 min/light off 5 min, three cycles) or 30 min pulsed blue light (473 nm, 2–5 mW, 10 ms pulses, 20 Hz, light on 10 min/light off 5 min, three cycles) was controlled by a master-8 pulse stimulator (A.M.P.I., Israel) (Figures 3D–L). After the experiment, we examined the location of the fibers and removed data from situations in which the fibers weren't in the proper target.



The effect of RS and EA on CeA and DVC neuronal activity (A, D) Schematic of in vivo electrophysiological recording of CeA and DVC neurons discharge. (B, C, E, F) Representative traces (B, E) and summarized data (C, F) show the firing rate of CeA neurons and DVC neurons in mice with multiple channel recordings (n = 24 units from six mice per group). (G) Representative image of GABA-tdTOM mice (GABAergic neurons with red tdTOM). Scale bar, 100 µm. (H, I) Sample traces (H) and statistical data (I) for action potential firings recorded from GABAergic neurons in the CeA in mice (n = 18 neurons from six mice per group). All of the data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. ^{##}p < 0.01, **p < 0.01. One-way ANOVA for (C, F); two-way repeated-measures (RM) ANOVA for (I).

Brain slice electrophysiology

Brain slice preparation

Anesthetized mice were perfused intracardially with modified ice-cold oxygenated N-methyl-d-glucamine (NMDG) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), containing 93 mM NMDG, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES, 30 mM NaHCO3, 2 mM thiourea, 25 mM glucose, 3 mM Na-pyruvate, 5 mM Na-ascorbate, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 3 mM glutathione (GSH), and 10 mM MgSO₄ (osmolarity: 300-310 mOsm/kg, pH: 7.3-7.4). Coronal slices (300 µm) containing the DVC or CeA were obtained using a vibrating microtome (VT1200s, Leica).

Brain slices were incubated in 33°C NMDG ACSF for 12 min and transferred to 25°C N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) ACSF that contained 92 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 2.5 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES, 30 mM NaHCO₃, 5 mM Na-ascorbate, 25 mM glucose, 2 mM thiourea, 3 mM Na-pyruvate, 2 mM MgSO₄, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 3 mM GSH (osmolarity: 300-310 mOsm/kg, pH: 7.3-7.4) for 1 h. Brain sections were put into a slice chamber (Warner Instruments) for recordings, and the slice chamber was continuously perfused with standard ACSF that contained 2.4 mM CaCl₂, 129 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1.3 mM MgSO₄, 3 mM HEPES, 20 NaHCO₃, glucose KH₂PO₄, and 10 mM (osmolarity: 1.2 mM 300-310 mOsm/kg, pH: 7.3-7.4) at ~3 mL/min.

Whole-cell patch-clamp

CeA GABAergic neurons in the slice from GAD2-tdT mice were visualized using an upright microscope (BX51WI, Olympus). Current-evoked action potential was recorded in current-clamp mode. Signals were obtained by a Multiclamp 700B amplifier, low-pass filtered at 2.8 kHz, digitized at 10 kHz and analyzed with Clampfit software (Molecular Devices, United States).

Light-evoked response

To verify functional characteristics of AAV-DIO-ChR2-mCherry and AAV-DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP, pulsed blue light (473 nm, 10 mW, 10 ms pulses) with 5-Hz, 10-Hz, and 20-Hz stimulation protocols, and sustained yellow light (594 nm, 10 mW, 100 ms) were delivered using a laser (Fiblaser, Shanghai) through an optical fiber, respectively.



The relationship among CeA^{GABA} neurons and gastric motility. (A) Schematic of AAV-DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP or AAV-DIO-EYFP viral injection and optic fibre implantation in the CeA. (B) Representative image of viral expression in the CeA of *GAD2-Cre* mice. (D) Scale bar: 100 μ m. (B) Sample traces of action potentials evoked by the injected current with photostimulation (594 nm, yellow bar) recorded from eNpHR3.0-EYFP+CeA^{GABA} neurons in acute slices from *GAD2-Cre* mice. An outline of the optogenetic experimental procedure in GMD mice. (E–H) Gastric motility effects of the optical silencing of CeA^{GABA} neurons with CeA injection of AAV-DIO-eNpHR3.0-EYFP in *GAD2-Cre* GMD mice [n = 6 mice per group, food intake (E), gastric emptying (F) and gastric motility (G, H)]. (I) Schematic of AAV-DIO-ChR2-mCherry or AAV-DIO-mCherry viral injection and optic fibre implantation in the CeA. Representative image of viral expression in acute slices from *GAD2-Cre* mice. (L) An outline of the optogenetic experimental procedure in naive mice. (M–P) Gastric motility effects of the optical activation of CeA^{GABA} neurons in acute slices from *GAD2-Cre* mice. (L) An outline of the optogenetic experimental procedure in naive mice. (M–P) Gastric motility effects of the optical activation of CeA^{GABA} neurons [n = 6 mice per group, food intake (M), gastric emptying (N), gastric motility (O, P)]. All of the data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM. #p < 0.01, **p < 0.01. Unpaired *t*-test for (E, F, H, M, N, P).

10.3389/fphys.2023.1074979

Light-evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) were recorded at 0 mV with a blue light (10 mW, 10 ms) in the presence of 4-AP (1 mM) and TTX (1 μ M).

Statistical analysis

SPSS 25.0 software was used for statistical analysis. An unpaired *t*-test was performed for two-group comparisons. ANOVA (two-way or one-way) with a *post hoc* Tukey's test was used for multiple group comparisons. The significance levels are indicated as **p < 0.01, ##p < 0.01. All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

Results

RS induces gastric motility disorder (GMD), which is alleviated by EA

Physiological and psychological stress can cause FGIDs, and acupuncture improves gastrointestinal motility. To confirm this phenomenon, we established a GMD model in the context of RS and irregular feeding and performed EA (Figures 1A, B). The results illustrated that RS induced delayed gastric emptying, decreased food intake and gastric motility, and EA alleviated GMD (Figures 1C–F).

RS enhances CeA and suppresses DVC neuronal activity, which is reversed by EA

The emotional center (CeA) and gastrointestinal center (DVC) are involved in stress-induced GMD. We recorded the neuronal activity across the CeA and DVC in GMD mice. We demonstrated that RS increased the firing rate of CeA neurons and decreased the firing rate in DVC neurons in free-moving mice, with EA reversing this phenomenon (Figures 2A-F). GABAergic neurons are distributed throughout the CeA, and GABAergic projections play an important role in control of DMV neuronal firing. Therefore, we focused on CeAGABA neurons. Patch clamp recordings were performed in CeAGABA neurons in acute brain slices. To visualize GABAergic neurons, Ai9 (RCL-tdT) mice were crossed with GAD2-Cre mice to reproduce transgenic mice with red tdTomatoexpressing GABAergic neurons (GAD2-tdT, Figure 2G). We demonstrated an increase in action potentials with the currentelicited in GMD mice and a decrease in action potentials in GMD + EA mice (Figures 2H, I). These results indicate that CeAGABA neurons and the DVC neurons are involved in the regulation of gastric motility with EA. However, the role of CeAGABA neurons in modulating the activity of DVC neurons to regulate gastric motility is still unclear.

Inhibition of CeA^{GABA} neurons alleviates GMD

Given the enhanced CeA^{GABA} neuronal activity in GMD mice, we subsequently aimed to inhibit CeA^{GABA} neurons and observe the change in gastric motility in GMD mice. We injected Cre-dependent eNpHR3.0 into the CeA to selectively suppress CeA^{GABA} neurons. The functionality of the eNpHR3.0 virus was verified by patch clamp (Figures 3A–C). Gastric motility data illustrated that optical inhibition of CeA^{GABA} neurons resulted in significantly increased food intake, gastric movement, and gastric emptying in GMD mice (Figures 3D–H).

In naïve mice, we injected Cre-dependent ChR2 into the CeA to selectively activate CeA^{GABA} neurons. The functionality of the ChR2 virus was verified by patch clamp (Figures 3I–K). The results showed that activation of CeA^{GABA} neurons reduced food intake, delayed gastric emptying and decreased gastric motility (Figures 3L–P). These results establish the functional linkage between CeA^{GABA} neurons and gastric motility.

An inhibitory pathway from CeA^{GABA} to DVC

Previous evidence suggested a direct link between the CeA and DVC. To confirm the presence of a CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC projection, an anterograde transmonosynaptic tracing system was employed. Credependent AAV was injected into the CeA (Figure 4A). 21 days later, we examined mCherry⁺ cell bodies within the CeA (Figure 4B), and numerous additional mCherry⁺ signals were observed in the DVC (Figure 4C). These findings suggest an anatomical connection from CeA^{GABA} neurons to the DVC.

To test the functional connection between the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC pathway, brain slice recordings were performed. Brief light stimulation of ChR2-containing CeA^{GABA} terminals in the DVC reliably elicited IPSCs in DVC neurons, which were eliminated by the GABA receptor antagonist picrotoxin (PTX) (Figures 4D–F). These data verify that CeA^{GABA} neurons send inhibitory afferents to the DVC.

CeA^{GABA} neurons through DVC to regulate gastric motility

We mapped the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC pathway to examine whether it participated in regulation of RS-induced GMD. Optogenetic manipulations were employed in GMD mice. We found that optogenetic inhibition of the activity of the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC circuit significantly promoted food intake, gastric movement, and gastric emptying in GMD mice (Figures 5A-E). However, in naïve mice, we found that activation of the CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC circuit reduced food intake, delayed gastric emptying, and subsequently decreased gastric motility (Figures 5F-J). These results suggest that activation of GABAergic projections from CeA to DVC may mimic the symptoms of RS-induced GMD, and inhibition of these projections may relieve symptoms of GMD.

Discussion

Our study found that activation of the GABAergic projections from CeA to DVC may be responsible for restrained stress (RS)induced gastric motility disorder (GMD). However, electroacupuncture (EA) is capable of inhibiting CeA and



The anatomical and functional connection between CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC pathway (A) Schematic of the viral injection. (B, C) Representative images of viral expression in the CeA (B) and mCherry signals in the DVC (C). Scale bars: 100 µm. (D) Schematic of viral injection in *GAD2-Cre* mice and the whole-cell recording configuration in brain slices. (E, F) Representative traces (E) and summarized data (F) of inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) in DVC neurons induced by photostimulation (473 nm, blue bars) of CeA^{GABA} terminals in the DVC in the presence of ACSF or the GABA_A receptor antagonist PTX (n = 6 cells from three mice).

activating DVC neuronal activity to restore gastric motility, partially revealing the mechanism of EA.

Psychological (e.g., fear, anxiety, and anger) and physiological stress (e.g., hunger, overeating, and restraint) can cause FGIDs (Labanski et al., 2020; Chuang et al., 2021; Ge et al., 2022). Many studies have shown that stress delays gastric emptying, inhibits feeding, induces gastric hypersensitivity, and suppresses antral motility in animals (Bulbul et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Jiang and Travagli, 2020). Consistent with this notion, we demonstrated that RS and irregular feeding delayed gastric emptying, decreased gastric motility, and food intake.

Chronic stress is a precipitating factor for emotional disorders, which is associated with the effects of chronic stress on the amygdala (Liu et al., 2020a; Song et al., 2020). One study reported that repeated restraint stress increased the neuronal activity of the amygdala (Zhang and Rosenkranz, 2012). Furthermore, another study showed that chronic restraint stress enhanced the activity of CeA GABAergic neurons (Zhu et al., 2019). In our study, we found that the activity of CeA GABAergic neurons was increased in RS-induced GMD mice. However, the functional linkage between CeA^{GABA} neurons and gastric motility remains largely unknown. The connection is confirmed by our findings that optogenetic inhibition of CeA^{GABA} neurons relieved the RS-induced GMD, and optogenetic activation of CeA^{GABA} neurons induced the GMD in naïve mice. Of note, the mechanism of CeA^{GABA} neurons regulation of gastric motility is still unclear.

The CeA is an integrative hub of multisensory information which transforms sensory stimuli of emotional relevance into behavioral and physiological responses, which is accomplished through large efferent fibers sent to a number of downstream nuclei involved in behavioral and autonomic responses (Gilpin et al., 2015). Studies have shown that CeA nesfatin-1 may operate through DMV pathway to regulate gastric distentionsensitive neurons and gastric motility (Wang et al., 2014). Other studies revealed that CeA orexin-A regulated food intake and gastric motility, and that the CeA-DMV-vagus-stomach pathway may be involved in the effect (Jin et al., 2020). Moreover, studies have found that chemogenetic activation of GABAergic neurons from CeA to the lateral hypothalamus (LHA) induced emotional and intestinal motility disorders (He et al., 2022). As the major center of gastrointestinal regulation, the DVC plays an important role in the regulation of gastric motility. Anatomical studies have uncovered that the CeA sends GABAergic projections to the NTS (Saha et al., 2000). In our study, we identified a pathway between $CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC$, suggesting that CeA release the neurotransmitter GABA to DVC directly. In addition, injection of GABA-receptor antagonists into the DVC is capable of increasing gastric motility (Sivarao et al., 1998; Babic et al., 2011). Based on our findings, we propose a hypothesis for the neural circuit responsible RS-induced GMD, in which inhibition of the $CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC$ pathway alleviates GMD, and activation of the pathway results in the symptoms observed in GMD.

Acupuncture stimulation is an ancient practice used to treat human diseases. One core ideal is that stimulation of specific somatic tissues (acupoints) can regulate internal organ function (Liu et al., 2020b). However, the underlying neural mechanism is still poorly understood. Professor Qiufu Ma has proposed that acupuncture effects can be realized through somatosensory-autonomic reflexes, and they found



The CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC pathway controls gastric motility (**A**) Schematic of viral injection in the CeA and optical fibre implantation in the DVC. (**B**–**E**) Gastric motility effects of optical silencing of CeA^{GABA} terminals in the DVC in *GAD2-Cre* GMD mice. [n = 6 mice per group, food intake (**B**), gastric emptying (**C**) and gastric motility (**D**, **E**)] Schematic of viral injection in the CeA and optical fibre implantation in the DVC. (**G**–**J**) Gastric motility effects of optical activation of CeA^{GABA} terminals in the DVC of *GAD2-Cre* mice [n = 6 mice per group, food intake (**G**), gastric emptying (**H**), gastric contraction (**I**, **J**)]. All of the data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. ##p < 0.01, **p < 0.01. Unpaired *t*-test for (**B**, **C**, **E**, **G**, **H**, **J**).

that EA stimulation at ST36 activated the Phox2a projection neurons within the spinal dorsal horn, and the neurons densely project to the NTS (Ma, 2020; Liu et al., 2021b). Furthermore, studies have highlighted acupuncture alter specific brain regions such as cardiovascular regulation-related paraventricular nucleus (PVN) (Cheng et al., 2018), psychomotor response-related CeA (Kim et al., 2021), and drug dependence-related cuneate nucleus (Chang et al., 2019) and so on, which suggest that acupuncture-evoked brain response. Acupuncture stimulation at different acupoints has been reported to drive different autonomic pathways associated with gastrointestinal-motility control (Li et al., 2007). The autonomic nervous system is divided into sympathetic and parasympathetic

systems, in which the DMV is the nucleus of origin of parasympathetic nerves. Studies have revealed that EA inhibited the expression of GABA receptors in DMV neurons (Yang et al., 2021). Other studies have found that EA suppressed GABA transmission to DMV to improve gastric motility (Lu et al., 2019). Consistent with previous results, we demonstrated that EA alleviated GMD, inhibition of the GABAergic projection neurons, and activation of DVC neurons in GMD mice. In view of the relationship of $CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC$ pathway and gastric motility, we proposed that EA regulated gastric motility may through modulate the activity of $CeA^{GABA} \rightarrow DVC$ pathway. Admittedly, limitations of this study include: 1) Our way of measuring gastric emptying rate did not eliminate the compounding

effect of gastric secretion, and ideally the gastric content should have been dried. 2) Our acupuncture research is rather preliminary, although the study provides a new outlook for the research of mechanism of acupuncture from the perspective of neural circuit.

Overall, the CeA sends GABAergic projections to DVC which contribute to restraint stress-induced gastric motility disorder, which provides a neuroanatomical explanation for stress-induced GMD. Moreover, our study also reveals the overall regulatory mechanism effects of acupuncture.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Care and Use Committee of Anhui University of Chinese Medicine.

Author contributions

G-MS and HW designed the study. HW wrote the manuscript; HW, W-JL, X-YW, X-QC, and M-TZ performed animal experiments and data analysis; G-MS, R-LC, H-TW,G-WH, and ZZ revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the discussions and gave final approval for the submitted manuscript.

References

Babic, T., Browning, K. N., and Travagli, R. A. (2011). Differential organization of excitatory and inhibitory synapses within the rat dorsal vagal complex. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 300 (1), G21–G32. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00363.2010

Bulbul, M., Sinen, O., Izgut-Uysal, V. N., Akkoyunlu, G., Ozturk, S., and Uysal, F. (2019). Peripheral apelin mediates stress-induced alterations in gastrointestinal motor functions depending on the nutritional status. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* 46 (1), 29–39. doi:10.1111/1440-1681.13032

Chang, S., Ryu, Y., Fan, Y., Bang, S. K., Kim, N. J., Lee, J. G., et al. (2019). Involvement of the cuneate nucleus in the acupuncture inhibition of drug-seeking behaviors. *Front. Neurosci.* 13, 928. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.00928

Chen, F., Yang, J., Zhang, H., Shen, L., Wang, J. Q., Jin, T., et al. (2022). The role of amygdala neuropsin/serpinb6 pathway in the regulation of gastric hypersensitivity and anxiety by electroacupuncture. *J. Dig. Dis.* 23 (3), 149–156. doi:10.1111/1751-2980.13089

Cheng, L., Li, P., Patel, Y., Gong, Y., Guo, Z. L., Wu, H., et al. (2018). Moxibustion modulates sympathoexcitatory cardiovascular reflex responses through paraventricular nucleus. *Front. Neurosci.* 12, 1057. doi:10.3389/fnins.2018.01057

Chuang, D. J., Pethaperumal, S., Siwakoti, B., Chien, H. J., Cheng, C. F., Hung, S. C., et al. (2021). Activating transcription factor 3 protects against restraint stress-induced gastrointestinal injury in mice. *Cells* 10 (12), 3530. doi:10.3390/cells10123530

Ciocchi, S., Herry, C., Grenier, F., Wolff, S. B. E., Letzkus, J. J., Vlachos, I., et al. (2010). Encoding of conditioned fear in central amygdala inhibitory circuits. *Nature* 468 (7321), 277–282. doi:10.1038/nature09559

Doney, E., Cadoret, A., Dion-Albert, L., Lebel, M., and Menard, C. (2022). Inflammation-driven brain and gut barrier dysfunction in stress and mood disorders. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 55 (9-10), 2851–2894. doi:10.1111/ejn.15239

Fikree, A., and Byrne, P. (2021). Management of functional gastrointestinal disorders. *Clin. Med. (Lond)* 21 (1), 44–52. doi:10.7861/clinmed.2020-0980

Funding

Support for this study was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81973936 to G-MS, 81704166 to HW, 81904095 to M-TZ), the Talent Support Project of Anhui University of TCM (Grant No. DT2100000552 to HW), the Excellent Youth Project of Anhui Universities (2022AH030065 to HW).

Acknowledgments

We thank Y. Jin and 715 LAB of the University of Science and Technology of China for technical support and provision of the platform.

Conflict of interest

HW was employed by Hefei Industrial Pharmaceutical Institute Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Ge, L., Liu, S., Li, S., Yang, J., Hu, G., Xu, C., et al. (2022). Psychological stress in inflammatory bowel disease: Psychoneuroimmunological insights into bidirectional gut-brain communications. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 1016578. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.1016578

Gilpin, N. W., Herman, M. A., and Roberto, M. (2015). The central amygdala as an integrative hub for anxiety and alcohol use disorders. *Biol. Psychiatry* 77 (10), 859–869. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.09.008

He, F., and Ai, H. B. (2016). Effects of electrical stimulation at different locations in the central nucleus of amygdala on gastric motility and spike activity. *Physiol. Res.* 65 (4), 693–700. doi:10.33549/physiolres.933125

He, X., Ji, P., Guo, R., Ming, X., Zhang, H., Yu, L., et al. (2022). Regulation of the central amygdala on intestinal motility and behavior via the lateral hypothalamus in irritable bowel syndrome model mice. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 35, e14498. doi:10. 1111/nmo.14498

Israelyan, N., Del Colle, A., Li, Z., Park, Y., Xing, A., Jacobsen, J. P. R., et al. (2019). Effects of serotonin and slow-release 5-hydroxytryptophan on gastrointestinal motility in a mouse model of depression. *Gastroenterology* 157 (2), 507–521. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.04.022

Janak, P. H., and Tye, K. M. (2015). From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. *Nature* 517 (7534), 284–292. doi:10.1038/nature14188

Jiang, Y., Babic, T., and Travagli, R. A. (2019). Sex differences in GABAergic neurotransmission to rat DMV neurons. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 317 (4), G476-G483. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00112.2019

Jiang, Y., and Travagli, R. A. (2020). Hypothalamic-vagal oxytocinergic neurocircuitry modulates gastric emptying and motility following stress. *J. Physiol.* 598 (21), 4941–4955. doi:10.1113/JP280023

Jin, T., Jiang, Z., Luan, X., Qu, Z., Guo, F., Gao, S., et al. (2020). Exogenous orexin-A microinjected into central nucleus of the amygdala modulates feeding and gastric motility in rats. *Front. Neurosci.* 14, 274. doi:10.3389/fnins.2020.00274

Kim, M. S., Fan, Y., Lee, S. M., Chang, S. C., Kim, H. K., Ryu, Y., et al. (2021). Role of the central amygdala in acupuncture inhibition of methamphetamine-induced behaviors in rats. *Addict. Biol.* 26 (1), e12862. doi:10.1111/adb.12862

Koloski, N., Holtmann, G., and Talley, N. J. (2020). Is there a causal link between psychological disorders and functional gastrointestinal disorders? *Expert Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 14 (11), 1047–1059. doi:10.1080/17474124.2020.1801414

Labanski, A., Langhorst, J., Engler, H., and Elsenbruch, S. (2020). Stress and the braingut axis in functional and chronic-inflammatory gastrointestinal diseases: A transdisciplinary challenge. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 111, 104501. doi:10.1016/j. psyneuen.2019.104501

LeDoux, J. E., Iwata, J., Cicchetti, P., and Reis, D. J. (1988). Different projections of the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral correlates of conditioned fear. *J. Neurosci.* 8 (7), 2517–2529. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.08-07-02517.1988

Li, H., Buisman-Pijlman, F. T. A., Nunez-Salces, M., Christie, S., Frisby, C. L., Inserra, A., et al. (2019). Chronic stress induces hypersensitivity of murine gastric vagal afferents. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 31 (12), e13669. doi:10.1111/nmo.13669

Li, Y. Q., Zhu, B., Rong, P. J., and Ben, H. (2007). Neural mechanism of acupuncturemodulated gastric motility. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 13 (5), 709–716. doi:10.3748/wjg.v13.i5.709

Liu, J., Hu, T., Zhang, M. Q., Xu, C. Y., Yuan, M. Y., and Li, R. X. (2021). Differential efferent projections of GABAergic neurons in the basolateral and central nucleus of amygdala in mice. *Neurosci. Lett.* 745, 135621. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135621

Liu, S., Wang, Z. F., Su, Y. S., Ray, R. S., Jing, X. H., Wang, Y. Q., et al. (2020). Somatotopic organization and intensity dependence in driving distinct NPY-expressing sympathetic pathways by electroacupuncture. *Neuron* 108 (3), 436–450. doi:10.1016/j. neuron.2020.07.015

Liu, S., Wang, Z., Su, Y., Qi, L., Yang, W., Fu, M., et al. (2021). A neuroanatomical basis for electroacupuncture to drive the vagal-adrenal axis. *Nature* 598 (7882), 641-645. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04001-4

Liu, W. Z., Zhang, W. H., Zheng, Z. H., Zou, J. X., Liu, X. X., Huang, S. H., et al. (2020). Identification of a prefrontal cortex-to-amygdala pathway for chronic stress-induced anxiety. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 2221. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15920-7

Liubashina, O., Jolkkonen, E., and Pitkanen, A. (2000). Projections from the central nucleus of the amygdala to the gastric related area of the dorsal vagal complex: A phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin study in rat. *Neurosci. Lett.* 291 (2), 85–88. doi:10. 1016/s0304-3940(00)01392-6

Lu, M., Chen, C., Li, W., Yu, Z., and Xu, B. (2019). EA at PC6 promotes gastric motility: Role of brainstem vagovagal neurocircuits. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2019, 7457485. doi:10.1155/2019/7457485

Ma, Q. (2020). Somato-autonomic reflexes of acupuncture. Med. Acupunct. 32 (6), 362–366. doi:10.1089/acu.2020.1488

Mukhtar, K., Nawaz, H., and Abid, S. (2019). Functional gastrointestinal disorders and gut-brain axis: What does the future hold? *World J. Gastroenterol.* 25 (5), 552–566. doi:10.3748/wjg.v25.i5.552

Person, H., and Keefer, L. (2021). Psychological comorbidity in gastrointestinal diseases: Update on the brain-gut-microbiome axis. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 107, 110209. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110209

Rabitti, S., Giovanardi, C. M., and Colussi, D. (2021). Acupuncture and related therapies for the treatment of gastrointestinal diseases. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 55 (3), 207–217. doi:10.1097/MCG.00000000001455

Reed, B., Buzenski, J., and van Tilburg, M. A. L. (2020). Implementing psychological therapies for gastrointestinal disorders in pediatrics. *Expert Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 14 (11), 1061–1067. doi:10.1080/17474124.2020.1806055

Sah, P., Faber, E. S. L., Lopez De ArMentia, M., and Power, J. (2003). The amygdaloid complex: Anatomy and physiology. *Physiol. Rev.* 83 (3), 803–834. doi:10.1152/physrev. 00002.2003

Saha, S., Batten, T. F., and Henderson, Z. (2000). A GABAergic projection from the central nucleus of the amygdala to the nucleus of the solitary tract: A combined anterograde tracing and electron microscopic immunohistochemical study. *Neuroscience* 99 (4), 613–626. doi:10.1016/s0306-4522(00)00240-2

Sampath, C., Wilus, D., Tabatabai, M., Freeman, M. L., and Gangula, P. R. (2021). Mechanistic role of antioxidants in rescuing delayed gastric emptying in high fat diet induced diabetic female mice. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 137, 111370. doi:10.1016/j. biopha.2021.111370

Sivarao, D. V., Krowicki, Z. K., and Hornby, P. J. (1998). Role of GABAA receptors in rat hindbrain nuclei controlling gastric motor function. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 10 (4), 305–313. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2982.1998.00110.x

Song, A. Q., Gao, B., Fan, J. J., Zhu, Y. J., Zhou, J., Wang, Y. L., et al. (2020). NLRP1 inflammasome contributes to chronic stress-induced depressive-like behaviors in mice. *J. Neuroinflammation* 17 (1), 178. doi:10.1186/s12974-020-01848-8

Travagli, R. A., and Anselmi, L. (2016). Vagal neurocircuitry and its influence on gastric motility. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 13 (7), 389–401. doi:10.1038/nrgastro. 2016.76

Wang, H., Liu, W. J., Hu, M. J., Zhang, M. T., and Shen, G. M. (2020). Acupuncture at gastric back-shu and front-mu acupoints enhances gastric motility via the inhibition of the glutamatergic system in the Hippocampus. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2020, 3524641. doi:10.1155/2020/3524641

Wang, H., Liu, W. J., Shen, G. M., Zhang, M. T., Huang, S., and He, Y. (2015). Neural mechanism of gastric motility regulation by electroacupuncture at RN12 and BL21: A paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus-dorsal vagal complex-vagus nerve-gastric channel pathway. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 21 (48), 13480–13489. doi:10.3748/wjg. v21.i48.13480

Wang, Q., Guo, F., Sun, X., Gao, S., Li, Z., Gong, Y., et al. (2014). Effects of exogenous nesfatin-1 on gastric distention-sensitive neurons in the central nucleus of the amygdala and gastric motility in rats. *Neurosci. Lett.* 582, 65–70. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2014.09.003

Yang, N. N., Yang, J. W., Ye, Y., Huang, J., Wang, L., Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Electroacupuncture ameliorates intestinal inflammation by activating a7nAChRmediated JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway in postoperative ileus. *Theranostics* 11 (9), 4078–4089. doi:10.7150/thno.52574

Zhang, W., and Rosenkranz, J. A. (2012). Repeated restraint stress increases basolateral amygdala neuronal activity in an age-dependent manner. *Neuroscience* 226, 459–474. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.08.051

Zhang, X., Cui, J., Tan, Z., Jiang, C., and Fogel, R. (2003). The central nucleus of the amygdala modulates gut-related neurons in the dorsal vagal complex in rats. *J. Physiol.* 553 (3), 1005–1018. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2003.045906

Zhu, X., Zhou, W., Jin, Y., Tang, H., Cao, P., Mao, Y., et al. (2019). A central amygdala input to the parafascicular nucleus controls comorbid pain in depression. *Cell Rep.* 29 (12), 3847–3858. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.003