



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Nicholas P. Blockley,  
University of Nottingham,  
United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Michael S. Stringer,  
✉ m.stringer@ed.ac.uk

SPECIALTY SECTION  
This article was submitted to Vascular  
Physiology, a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Physiology

RECEIVED 22 November 2022  
ACCEPTED 29 November 2022  
PUBLISHED 09 December 2022

CITATION  
Sleight E, Stringer MS, Marshall I,  
Wardlaw JM and Thrippleton MJ (2022),  
Corrigendum: Cerebrovascular  
reactivity measurement using magnetic  
resonance imaging: A  
systematic review.  
*Front. Physiol.* 13:1105285.  
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.1105285

COPYRIGHT  
© 2022 Sleight, Stringer, Marshall,  
Wardlaw and Thrippleton. This is an  
open-access article distributed under  
the terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,  
distribution or reproduction in other  
forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright  
owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is  
cited, in accordance with accepted  
academic practice. No use, distribution  
or reproduction is permitted which does  
not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Cerebrovascular reactivity measurement using magnetic resonance imaging: A systematic review

Emilie Sleight<sup>1,2</sup>, Michael S. Stringer<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Ian Marshall<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Joanna M. Wardlaw<sup>1,2</sup> and Michael J. Thrippleton<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>UK Dementia Research Institute, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

## KEYWORDS

cerebrovascular reactivity, magnetic resonance imaging, blood oxygen-level dependent, arterial spin labelling MRI, hypercapnia (CO<sub>2</sub>) inhalation, systematic review

## A Corrigendum on

### Cerebrovascular reactivity measurement using magnetic resonance imaging: A systematic review


by Sleight E, Stringer MS, Marshall I, Wardlaw JM and Thrippleton MJ (2021). *Front. Physiol.* 12: 643468. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.643468

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 5](#) as published: the wrong [Figure 5A](#) was inserted. The corrected [Figure 5](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

**FIGURE 5**

Distribution of the **(A)** CVR processing and **(B)** delay computation methods with the associated year of publication of the paper. The category "Others" in **(B)** includes deconvolution to find the HRF between the EtCO<sub>2</sub> and the MRI signal, and GLM with two ("fast" and "slow") regressors. STD, standard deviation of MRI signal; HRF, haemodynamic response function; GLM, general linear model.