



Corrigendum: Propofol Alleviates DNA Damage Induced by Oxygen Glucose Deprivation and Reperfusion via FoxO1 Nuclear Translocation in H9c2 Cells

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Tommaso Angelone,
Università della Calabria, Italy

*Correspondence:

Zejian Wang
wangzejian@sjtu.edu.cn
Jiang Hong
jhong.pku@163.com

†These authors have contributed
equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Vascular Physiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 18 December 2019

Accepted: 21 January 2020

Published: 06 February 2020

Citation:

Zhou D, Zhuang J, Wang Y, Zhao D,
Zhao L, Zhu S, Pu J, Yin M, Zhang H,
Wang Z and Hong J (2020)
Corrigendum: Propofol Alleviates DNA
Damage Induced by Oxygen Glucose
Deprivation and Reperfusion via
FoxO1 Nuclear Translocation in H9c2
Cells. *Front. Physiol.* 11:59.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00059

Dandan Zhou^{1†}, Jinqiang Zhuang^{1†}, Yihui Wang¹, Dandan Zhao¹, Lidong Zhao¹,
Shun Zhu^{1,2}, Jinjun Pu³, Ming Yin², Hongyu Zhang⁴, Zejian Wang^{2*} and Jiang Hong^{1*}

¹ Department of Internal and Emergency Medicine, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ² School of Pharmacy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ³ Department of Emergency Medicine, Putuo Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ⁴ Department of Biomedicine, KG Jebsen Centre for Research on Neuropsychiatric Disorders, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

Keywords: propofol, oxygen glucose deprivation and reperfusion, ROS, DNA damage, FoxO1

A Corrigendum on

Propofol Alleviates DNA Damage Induced by Oxygen Glucose Deprivation and Reperfusion via FoxO1 Nuclear Translocation in H9c2 Cells

by Zhou, D., Zhuang, J., Wang, Y., Zhao, D., Zhao, L., Zhu, S., et al. (2019). *Front. Physiol.* 10:223.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.00223

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Figure 6** as published. In Part A, the images of IRS-1 and p-IRS-1 were the same as the images of AMPK and p-AMPK. The corrected **Figure 6** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Zhou, Zhuang, Wang, Zhao, Zhao, Zhu, Pu, Yin, Zhang, Wang and Hong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.




FIGURE 6 | Propofol inhibited FoxO1 phosphorylation through Akt and AMPK pathways. **(A)** The expression of proteins in FoxO1-related pathways in H9c2 cells. **(B)** The expression of p-FoxO1 after being treated with inhibitors and activators of Akt and AMPK pathways. **(C)** Cell viability was assessed by MTT assay after FoxO1 siRNA transfection in H9c2 cells. **(D-E)** Cell viability was assessed by MTT assay after being treated with inhibitors and activators of Akt and AMPK pathways. The data are presented as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001 versus control, #*p* < 0.05, ###*p* < 0.01, ###*p* < 0.001 versus OGD/R treated group without drugs.