Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chong Qi, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

REVIEWED BY Egle Tomasi, CEA Saclay, France Vladimir Pascalutsa, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE N. Sparveris, ⊠ sparveri@temple.edu

RECEIVED 30 April 2024 ACCEPTED 06 June 2024 PUBLISHED 05 July 2024

CITATION

Sparveris N (2024), Proton electromagnetic generalized polarizabilities. *Front. Phys.* 12:1426128. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2024.1426128

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Sparveris. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Proton electromagnetic generalized polarizabilities

N. Sparveris*

Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, United States

Electromagnetic polarizabilities are fundamental properties of the proton that characterize its response to an external electromagnetic (EM) field. The generalization of the EM polarizabilities to non-zero four-momentum transfer opens up a powerful path to study the internal structure of the proton. They map out the spatial distribution of the polarization densities in the proton, provide access to key dynamical mechanisms that contribute to the electric and magnetic polarizability effects, and allow for the determination of fundamental characteristics of the system, such as the electric and magnetic polarizability radii. This article reviews our knowledge about proton EM generalized polarizabilities (GPs). An introduction is given to the basic concepts and the theoretical framework, which is then followed by a discussion that emphasizes the recent developments and findings of the virtual Compton scattering (VCS) experiments and future perspectives on the topic.

KEYWORDS

proton, polarizabilities, hadrons, virtual Compton scattering, nucleon

1 Introduction

Explaining how the proton emerges from the interactions of its quark and gluon constituents is a central goal of modern nuclear physics. In order to understand the underlying dynamics of quarks and gluons in the proton as governed by quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of the strong interaction and theoretical calculations require experimental guidance and confrontation with precise measurements of the fundamental properties of the system. Starting with the simple electromagnetic (EM) process, $\gamma^* p \rightarrow p$, one can access the charge and magnetization densities in the proton via the measurement of the proton elastic form factors. A wealth of information on the dynamics of the proton is hidden in the response of the charge and magnetization densities of the proton to an external electromagnetic field, i.e., in the EM polarizabilities. The classical concept of polarizabilities was extended to the case of the nucleon with the first Compton scattering measurements on the proton in the 1950s [1–5]. A polarizability is a fundamental structural constant for any composite system, and the two scalar polarizabilities of the proton [6, 7] – the electric, α_E , and the magnetic, β_M – can be interpreted as the response of the proton structure to an external electric and magnetic field, respectively. They describe how easily the charge and magnetization distributions inside the proton are distorted by the EM field and provide the net result of the spatial distributions of the system. In order to measure the polarizabilities, one must generate an electric (\vec{E}) and a magnetic (\vec{H}) field. The electric field strength needed to induce any appreciable polarizability of the nucleon can be estimated as the ratio of the average energy level spacing in the nucleon to the size of the nucleon, i.e., $\approx 100 \text{ MeV}/(e \text{ fm}) = 10^{23} \text{ Volt/m}$. Static electric field strengths of this intensity are not available in a laboratory. Nevertheless, in the case of the proton, this is provided by the

photons in the Compton scattering process. A classical estimate of the electric field strength of a 100 MeV photon in Compton scattering from the nucleon is approximately 10^{23} V/m, allowing the Compton scattering process to be used for the experimental access of the nucleon polarizabilities.

The two scalar polarizabilities appear as second-order terms in the expansion of the real Compton scattering (RCS) amplitude in the energy of the photon, as shown in Eq. 1.

$$H_{eff}^{(2)} = -4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_E \vec{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_M \vec{H}^2\right).$$
 (1)

Moving on to higher orders, the third-order term depends on the nucleon spin, and the corresponding polarizabilities are called spin polarizabilities [8]. Here, there is no analog in classical electrodynamics, but they practically describe the coupling of the induced EM moments with the nucleon spin, and unlike the scalar polarizabilities, they are not invoked by static EM fields. Coming back to the two scalar polarizabilities, one can provide a simplistic description of these quantities based on the resulting effect of an electromagnetic perturbation applied to the nucleon constituents. An electric field moves the positive and negative charges inside the proton in opposite directions. The induced electric dipole moment is proportional to the electric field, and the proportionality coefficient is the electric polarizability, which quantifies the stiffness of the proton. On the other hand, a magnetic field has a different effect on the quarks and pion cloud within the nucleon, giving rise to two different contributions in the magnetic polarizability, a paramagnetic and a diamagnetic contribution, respectively. Compared to the atomic polarizabilities, which are of the size of the atomic volume, the proton electric polarizability α_E (see Figure 1) is much smaller than the volume scale of a nucleon [6], and the typical units adopted for the polarizabilities are 10^{-4} fm³. The small magnitude underlines the stiffness of the proton, a direct consequence of the strong binding of its constituents, and indicates the intrinsic relativistic character of the system.

The first Compton scattering measurement of the proton polarizabilities using a tagged photon beam was reported by [9]. More Compton scattering measurements on the proton followed in the next few years, e.g., by the LEGS group [10] and the TAPS at the Mainz Microtron (MAMI) setup [11] in 2001. Recent advances in proton polarizability measurements involve the use of linear polarization as an analyzer to measure the electric and magnetic polarizabilities independently from each other, not relying on the Baldin sum rule (see Eq. 2) [12, 13] (i.e., not relying on the value of their sum), where the sum rule expresses the sum of dipole polarizabilities in terms of an integral of the total photoabsorption cross-section σ_T :

$$\alpha_E + \beta_M = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{\nu_0}^{\infty} \nu \frac{\sigma_T(\nu)}{\nu^2}.$$
 (2)

Two such measurements were reported recently. At the Mainz Microtron, Compton scattering measurements on the proton below the pion threshold were performed using a tagged photon beam and a nearly 4π detector [14]. The electron beam, with an energy of 883 MeV, impinged on a 10-µm-thin diamond radiator, producing a linear polarized photon beam via coherent Bremsstrahlung. The resulting photon beam passed a 3-mm-diameter lead collimator and was incident on a 10-cm-long liquid hydrogen target, while the final state particles were

detected using the Crystal Ball/TAPS setup [14]. The two static polarizabilities of the proton were determined as $\alpha_E = (10.99 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.16$ $0.47 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.34$) $10^{-4} fm^3$ and $\beta_M = (3.14 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.20 \pm 0$ 0.35) 10^{-4} fm³. The second experiment [15] was performed at the High Intensity Gamma-Ray Source (HIGS) facility at the Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, reporting for two polarizabilities $\alpha_E = (13.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3) \ 10^{-4} \ fm^3 \ \text{and} \ \beta_M = (0.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3)$ 10^{-4} fm³. The tensions between the MAMI and HIGS measurements illustrate the difficulty in conducting these experiments and highlight the need to be cautious in the treatment of the experimental uncertainties. The first simultaneous extraction of the six leading-order proton polarizabilities, namely, the two scalar and the four spin-dependent polarizabilities, was recently performed using an innovative bootstrap-based fitting method and the complete set of experimental world data [16], leading to α_E = $(12.7 \pm 0.8_{(fit)} \pm 0.1_{(model)}) \ 10^{-4} \ fm^3$ and $\beta_M = (2.4 \pm 0.6_{(fit)} \pm$ $0.1_{(model)}$) $10^{-4} fm^3$.

The generalization of the two scalar polarizabilities in fourmomentum transfer space [17], α_E (Q²) and β_M (Q²), is an extension of the static electric and magnetic polarizabilities obtained in RCS. The generalized polarizabilities (GPs) are studied through measurements of the virtual Compton scattering (VCS) process [17]: $\gamma^* p \rightarrow p\gamma$. VCS is accessed experimentally through the ep \rightarrow epy reaction, where the incident real photon of the RCS process is replaced by a virtual photon. The virtuality of the incident photon (Q^2) sets the scale of the observation and allows one to map out the spatial distribution of the polarization densities in the proton, while the outgoing real photon provides the EM perturbation to the system. The meaning of the GPs is analogous to that of the nucleon form factors. Their Fourier transform will map out the spatial distribution density of the polarization induced by an EM field. They probe the quark substructure of the nucleon, offering a unique insight into the underlying nucleon dynamics. They allow for the determination of fundamental characteristics of the system, such as the EM polarizability radii, and frequently enter as input parameters in various scientific problems, e.g., in the hadronic two-photon exchange corrections, which are needed for the precise extraction of the proton charge radius from muonic hydrogen spectroscopy measurements [18]. The following sections review our knowledge about proton scalar generalized polarizabilities. First, the theoretical context and theoretical calculations of the GPs are discussed, followed by a review of the virtual Compton scattering experiments with an emphasis on recent developments, ongoing projects, and the consideration of future prospects. This paper adds to and complements previous reviews including those by [17, 19, 20] on the VCS; [18, 21] on dispersion relations for Compton scattering; [22] on effective field theory and Compton scattering experiments; [23] on the pion, nucleon, and kaon polarizabilities; and [24] on covariant baryon chiral perturbation theories (BChPTs), while we can refer to [25] for the extension of the discussion to the spin structure of the nucleon that is not covered here.

2 Theoretical framework for VCS

2.1 VCS at low energy and the LET

The VCS [17] reaction can be obtained from RCS by replacing the incident real photon with a virtual photon γ^* . Experimentally, VCS can be accessed as a subprocess of the reaction $e(k) + N(p) \rightarrow e$ (k') + N $(p') + \gamma(q')$. The particle four-momentum vectors are denoted as k^{μ} and k'^{μ} for the incoming and scattered electrons, q^{μ} and q'^{μ} for the virtual photon and final real photon, and p^{μ} and p'^{μ} for the initial and final protons, respectively. The modulus of the three momenta is denoted as $q = |\mathbf{q}|$. The variables that are indexed "cm" are in the center-of-mass (c.m.) frame of the initial proton + virtual photon, i.e., the c.m. of the Compton process. The kinematics of the reaction are defined by five independent variables. The set of variables that is typically adopted involves $(q_{cm}, q', \epsilon, \theta_{cm}, \phi)$, where ϵ (see Eq. 3) is the virtual photon polarization parameter, i.e.,

$$\epsilon = 1 / \left[1 + 2 \frac{q_{\text{lab}}^2}{Q^2} \tan^2 \left(\theta_{e \, \text{lab}}^{\,\prime} / 2 \right) \right]. \tag{3}$$

 q_{cm} and q' are the three-momentum moduli of the virtual and final photons in the c.m., respectively, and θ_{cm} and ϕ are the c.m. angles of the Compton process, i.e., the polar and azimuthal angles of the outgoing real photon with respect to the virtual photon. In the above reaction, the real final photon can be emitted by either the electron or nucleon. The first contribution corresponds to the Bethe–Heitler (BH) process, which is well known and calculable from QED with the nucleon electromagnetic form factors as an input. The second part involves the VCS subprocess. VCS can, in turn, be decomposed further into a Born term, where the intermediate state is a nucleon, and a non-Born term, which contains all nucleon excitations and meson-loop contributions, as shown in Figure 2. The non-Born amplitude T^{NB} contains the physics of interest and is parametrized at low energy by the nucleon GPs. The three amplitudes add up coherently (see Eq. 4) to form the total photon electroproduction amplitude, i.e.,

$$T^{ep \to epy} = T^{BH} + T^{Born} + T^{NB} = T^{BH} + T^{VCS}.$$
(4)

In obtaining the non-Born amplitude, a multipole expansion [26] is performed in the c.m. frame, yielding the multipoles $H_{\text{NB}}^{(\rho'L',\rho L)S}(q'_{cm},q_{cm})$. Here, L (L') represents the angular momentum of the initial (final) electromagnetic transition in the $(\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma p)$ process, and S differentiates between the spinflip (S = 1) or non-spin-flip (S = 0) transition at the nucleon side. [ρ $(\rho') = 0, 1, 2$ characterizes the longitudinal (L), electric (E), or magnetic (M) nature of the initial (final) photon. The GPs are obtained as the limit of these multipoles when q'_{cm} tends to be zero at arbitrarily fixed q_{cm} . At this strict threshold, the final photon has zero frequency, its electric and magnetic fields are constant, corresponding to a "static field," and the GPs represent the generalization at finite q_{cm} of the polarizability in classical electromagnetism. For small values of q'_{cm} , one may use the dipole approximation (L' = 1), corresponding to electric and magnetic final-state radiation that is dipolar only. In this case, angular momentum and parity conservation lead to 10 different dipole GPs [26] that the nucleon crossing combined with charge conjugation symmetry then reduces further to 6 independent GPs [27, 28], namely, the 2 scalar GPs (S = 0) and the 4 spin-dependent (or spin-flip) GPs (S = 1). The two scalar GPs, the electric and magnetic, are thus defined as

$$\alpha_{E}(Q^{2}) = -\frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot P^{(L1,L1)0}(Q^{2}), \qquad (5)$$

$$\beta_M(Q^2) = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \cdot P^{(M1,M1)0}(Q^2), \qquad (6)$$

with $e^2/4\pi = \alpha_{QED} = 1/137$, and at $Q^2 = 0$, they coincide with RCS static electromagnetic polarizabilities α_E and β_M .

At low energy q' of the emitted photons, the low-energy theorem (LET) and the low-energy expansion (LEX) for VCS [26] state that the non-Born term starts at order q', whereas the Born term enters at 1/q'. They offer a model-independent approach that allows us to express the VCS cross-section in terms of the GPs and to access GPs through experiments via the $ep \rightarrow epy$ reaction. The LEX formula yields for the photon electroproduction cross-section below the pion-production threshold:

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{BH+Born} + \Phi q'_{cm} \Psi_0 + O(q'^2_{cm}), \qquad (7)$$

$$\Psi_0 = V_1 \left(P_{LL} - P_{TT} / \epsilon \right) + V_2 P_{LT}, \tag{8}$$

where, $d\sigma_{BH+Born}$ is the BH + Born cross-section, which is entirely calculable in QED and requires the nucleon elastic form factors G_E and G_M as inputs. It contains no polarizability effect and serves as an important cross-section of reference throughout the formalism. $(\Phi q'_{cm})$, V_1 , and V_2 are kinematical factors. The term $(\Phi q'_{cm} \Psi_0)$ is where the GPs first appear in the expansion. Ψ_0 is the first-order polarizability term obtained from the interference between the BH + Born and non-Born amplitudes at the lowest order. It is therefore of order $q_{cm}^{\prime 0}$, i.e., independent of q_{cm}^{\prime} . In the phase-space factor $(\Phi q'_{cm})$, the term q'_{cm} has been explicitly factored out in order to emphasize the fact that when q'_{cm} tends to be zero, $(\Phi q'_{cm} \Psi_0)$ tends to be zero and the whole cross-section tends to be $d\sigma_{BH+Born}$. The $O(q_{cm}^{\prime 2})$ term represents all the higher-order terms of the expansion and contains GPs of all orders. Below the pion-production threshold, $d\sigma_{BH+Born}$ dominates the cross-section, Ψ_0 is the leading polarizability term, and the higher-order terms $O(q_{cm}^{2})$ are expected to be negligible. The Ψ_0 term contains three VCS response functions or structural functions, namely, P_{LL}, P_{LT}, and P_{TT} , as defined in Eqs 9, 10, 11, which are combinations of the lowest-order GPs.

$$P_{LL}(Q^2) = -2\sqrt{6}M_N \cdot G_E^p(Q^2) \cdot P^{(L1,L1)0}(Q^2),$$
(9)

$$P_{TT}(Q^{2}) = -3G_{M}^{p}(Q^{2}) \cdot \frac{q_{cm}^{2}}{\tilde{q}^{0}} \cdot \left(P^{(M1,M1)1}(Q^{2}) -\sqrt{2}\,\tilde{q}^{0} \cdot P^{(L1,M2)1}(Q^{2})\right),$$
(10)

$$P_{LT}(Q^{2}) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{M_{N} \cdot q_{cm}}{\tilde{Q}} \cdot G_{E}^{p}(Q^{2}) \cdot P^{(M1,M1)0}(Q^{2}) + \left[\frac{3}{2} \frac{q_{cm}\sqrt{Q^{2}}}{\tilde{q}^{0}} \cdot G_{M}^{p}(Q^{2}) \cdot P^{(L1,L1)1}(Q^{2})\right], \quad (11)$$

where M_N is the mass of the nucleon. Here, we can point out that P_{LL} is proportional to the electric GP, P_{LT} has a spin-independent part that is proportional to the magnetic GP, plus a spin-dependent part $P_{LT \ spin}$, and P_{TT} is a combination of two spin GPs.

2.2 Dispersion relation formalism

The LEX of the VCS observables provides a model-independent method to analyze VCS experiments below the pion-production threshold in terms of structural functions that contain information about GPs. Nevertheless, the sensitivity of the VCS cross-section to the GPs is enhanced in the region between the pion-production threshold and the Δ -resonance region, where the LEX does not hold.

Here, the dispersive approach provides a valuable theoretical framework to extract the GPs. In the DR formalism, the non-Born contribution to the VCS scattering amplitude is parametrized in terms of 12 independent amplitudes $F_i(Q^2, v, t)$, i = 1, ..., 12, which depend on 3 kinematical invariants, Q^2 , t, and the crossing-symmetric variable $\nu = (s - u)/(4M_N)$ [29]. The GPs are expressed in terms of the non-Born part F_i^{NB} at the points $t = -Q^2$ and $\nu = 0$. Assuming an appropriate analytic and highenergy behavior, these amplitudes fulfill unsubtracted DRs in the variable ν at fixed t and fixed Q^2 :

$$\operatorname{Re} F_{i}^{NB}(Q^{2},\nu,t) = F_{i}^{pole}(Q^{2},\nu,t) - F_{i}^{B}(Q^{2},\nu,t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{thr}}^{+\infty} d\nu' \frac{\nu' \operatorname{Im} F_{i}(Q^{2},\nu',t)}{\nu'^{2} - \nu^{2}}, \quad (12)$$

where F_i^B is the Born contribution as defined by [20, 26], whereas F_i^{pole} denotes the nucleon pole contributions. Furthermore, Im F_i are the discontinuities across the s-channel cuts, starting at the pionproduction threshold $v_{thr} = m_{\pi} + (m_{\pi}^2 + t/2 + Q^2/2)/(2M)$. The validity of the unsubtracted DRs in Eq. 12 relies on the assumption that at high energies ($\nu \rightarrow \infty$, fixed t, and fixed Q^2), the amplitudes decrease fast enough such that the integrals converge. The high-energy behavior of the amplitudes F_i was investigated [29, 30], with the finding that the integrals diverge for F_1 and F_5 . For energies up to the Δ -resonance region, one can saturate the schannel dispersion integral by the πN contribution, setting the upper limit of integration to $v_{max} = 1.5$ GeV. The remainder can be estimated by energy-independent functions, which parametrize the asymptotic contribution due to t-channel poles, as well as the residual dispersive contributions beyond the value $v_{max} = 1.5$ GeV. The asymptotic contribution to F_5 is saturated by the π^0 pole [29]. The asymptotic contribution to F_1 can be described phenomenologically as the exchange of an effective σ meson in the same spirit as for unsubtracted DRs in the RCS case. The Q^2 dependence of this term is unknown. It can be parametrized in terms of a function directly related to the magnetic dipole GP $\beta_M(Q^2)$ and fitted to VCS observables. Furthermore, it was found that the unsubtracted DR for the amplitude F_2 is not so well saturated by πN intermediate states only. The additional *s*-channel contributions beyond the πN states can effectively be accounted for with an energy-independent function at fixed Q^2 and $t = -Q^2$. This amounts to introducing an additional fit function, which is directly related to the electric dipole GP α_E (Q²). In order to provide predictions for VCS observables, it is convenient to adopt the following parametrizations for the fit functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{E}(Q^{2}) - \alpha_{E}^{\pi N}(Q^{2}) &= \frac{\alpha_{E}^{exp} - \alpha_{E}^{\pi N}}{\left(1 + Q^{2}/\Lambda_{\alpha}^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad \beta_{M}(Q^{2}) - \beta_{M}^{\pi N}(Q^{2}) \\ &= \frac{\beta_{M}^{exp} - \beta_{M}^{\pi N}}{\left(1 + Q^{2}/\Lambda_{\beta}^{2}\right)^{2}}, \end{aligned}$$
(13)

where α_E and β_M are the RCS polarizabilities, with superscripts *exp* and πN indicating the experimental value and the πN contribution evaluated from unsubtracted DRs, respectively. In Eq. 13, the mass scale parameters Λ_{α} and Λ_{β} are free parameters, not necessarily constant with Q^2 , which can be adjusted by a fit to the experimental cross-sections.

The LEX and DR formalism have been widely tested in a series of experiments discussed in the following sections. Data below the pion-production threshold have been analyzed and compared with both the LEX and DR. Furthermore, the polarizabilities have been extracted via VCS measurements above the pion threshold by utilizing the DR formalism, and the results are in excellent agreement with those from the measurements below the pionproduction threshold. A fundamental difference between the two (LEX vs. DR) analysis methods is that the DR formalism allows for the direct extraction of the scalar GPs by fitting the parameters Λ_{α} and Λ_{β} to the data, whereas the LEX analysis provides access to structural functions depending linearly on both scalar and spin GPs [26]. In order to disentangle the scalar GPs, the contribution from the spin GPs to the structural functions has to be subtracted using a model, and for that, one typically uses the DR prediction for the spin GPs. The complementarity and synergy of the two frameworks extend further to the use of DR formalism toward the estimation of higher-order terms in the LEX analysis. The LEX and DR frameworks have so far illustrated remarkable agreement and consistency in their extracted values of the scalar GPs from all the experimental measurements.

2.3 Theoretical calculations of the generalized polarizabilities

Theoretical calculations for the virtual Compton scattering have been performed within a spectrum of frameworks that allow a complementary insight into the mechanisms that govern the GPs. The early calculations were conducted within the context of the nonrelativistic constituent quark model (NRCQM) [26, 31, 32], which was later extended [33] to include relativistic effects by considering a Lorentz covariant multipole expansion of the VCS amplitude and a light-front relativistic calculation of the nucleon excitations. The constituent quark model calculations have some limitations since truncations are introduced that, e.g., lead to a violation of gauge invariance. Nevertheless, the calculations have been constructive in providing a first approximate estimate for the nucleon resonance contributions to the GPs. The resonance contribution to the GPs has been accounted for more accurately within the effective Lagrangian model (ELM). These calculations are founded on a fully relativistic effective Lagrangian framework, which includes baryon resonance contributions and π^0 and σ exchanges in the *t*-channel [34, 35] or on calculations that use a coupled-channel unitary approach [36].

Calculations within the framework of the linear sigma model (LSM) and chiral effective field theories offer a different perspective through the prism of chiral symmetry and the pionic degrees of freedom. The LSM allows for a simplistic description of the nucleon that is based on relevant symmetries like Lorentz, gauge, and chiral invariance. These calculations [37, 38] have revealed the existence of relations between the VCS multipoles in addition to the usual constraints of parity and angular momentum conservation [27, 39]. The contribution of the pion-cloud effects can be accounted for using chiral perturbation theories (ChPTs), an expansion in the external momenta, and the pion mass ("p"expansion). The VCS amplitude is consistent with electromagnetic gauge invariance, the pattern of chiral symmetry breaking in QCD, and Lorentz covariance to a given order of the small parameter $p \equiv \{P, m_{\pi}\}/\Lambda$, where P stands for each component of the four momenta of the photons and the three momenta of the



nucleons and Λ is the breakdown scale of the theory. The first calculation [40, 41] was performed at $O(p^3)$ with only nucleons and pions as explicit degrees of freedom, using the heavy-baryon (HB) expansion for the nucleon propagators, which amounts to making an expansion in $1/M_N$ along with the expansion in p. The calculations were later extended to $O(p^4)$ and $O(p^5)$ [42, 43]. The inclusion of $\Delta(1232)$ as an explicit degree of freedom in the calculation of the VCS process was first accounted for by [44] by introducing the excitation energy of $\Delta(1232)$ as an additional expansion parameter (" ϵ expansion"). An alternative counting has also been proposed by [45] (" δ -expansion"), and it was employed for the VCS process by [46] using a manifestly Lorentz invariant version of the baryon chiral perturbation theory (BChPT).

A representative group of the above calculations is shown in Figure 3. The differences in the Q^2 dependence of the calculations are driven by a number of parameters, i.e., in the NRCQM, the excited states of the nucleon are given by resonances, and the Q^2 behavior of the GPs is determined by the electromagnetic transition form factors. The LSM accounts for the excitation spectrum as pion-nucleon scattering states with a different Q^2 dependence and predicts a rapid variation at small momentum transfer and a smooth variation at higher momentum, while the ELM allows for both resonant and non-resonant contributions. Nevertheless, all these calculations underestimate the static electric polarizability at the real photon point $(Q^2 = 0)$ by 30%–40%. For the magnetic GP, the pion cloud contributes to a positive slope at the origin, while the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ transition form factor drives the paramagnetic contribution, which decreases as a function of Q^2 . The LSM describes only the negative diamagnetic component; the NRCQM accounts only for the positive paramagnetic contribution; and the interplay of the two competing effects can be observed in the ELM calculation. The calculation at the next-to-leading order in BChPT [46] is also shown in Figure 3. Here, the consideration of the pion-cloud effects allows us to overcome the shortcomings of the previous models in accounting for the magnitude of the static electric polarizability. Nevertheless, the BChPT prediction comes with a sizable theoretical uncertainty of \sim 30%, highlighting the need for the next order calculation.

2.4 Radiative corrections to virtual Compton scattering

The radiative corrections to virtual Compton scattering have been developed in analogy with the radiative corrections to elastic scattering. These corrections have been extensively studied by [47] and extended to the high-energy limit for deeply virtual Compton scattering by [48]. The main elements of the radiative corrections to the VCS experiments are summarized here, while a detailed description of the steps applied in the data analysis of the VCS experiments can be found in several works, such as those by [49–52].

The calculation distinguishes between virtual corrections that involve the exchange of a supplementary virtual photon and real radiative corrections that involve the emission of a supplementary real photon. The supplementary virtual photon is produced by a variety of processes, such as vacuum polarization, electron selfenergy, or an additional loop between the lines of the reaction diagram. The correction term, δ_V , varies slowly with Q^2 and is nearly constant as a function of the other variables. For the part of the real photon that can be emitted before or after the hadronic part of the interaction, the process can be expressed as the sum of two terms, δ_{R1} and δ_{R2} . The first term depends on the maximum energy that the soft photon can reach and on the missing-mass squared cut that is used for each event in the data analysis, while δ_{R2} contains correction



FIGURE 2

Feynman diagrams of photon electroproduction. The small circles represent the interaction vertex of a virtual photon with a proton considered a point-like particle, while the ellipse denotes the non-Born VCS amplitude.



terms that do not involve such a dependence. The acceptancedependent part is implemented in the simulation of the experiment, while the acceptance-independent correction is treated analytically and has a weak dependence on Q^2 and is nearly constant, excluding the proximity of the BH peaks. Real external corrections, δ' , i.e., real radiation coming from another nucleus in the target, are treated in a classical way, as described by [53]. Thus, for the radiatively corrected cross-section, one obtains the following expression of Eqs 14, 15 [47]:

C

$$l\sigma_{exp}^{corr} = d\sigma_{exp}^{raw} \cdot F_{rad}, \tag{14}$$

with

$$F_{rad} = \left[1 + \delta_V + \delta_{R1} + \delta_{R2} + \delta'\right]^{-1},$$
(15)

where $d\sigma_{exp}^{raw}$ is the raw measured cross-section. Beyond these primary corrections, one can improve further by adding smaller terms, e.g., the two-photon exchange contribution, δ_1 , and radiative corrections at the

proton side, $\delta_2^{(0)}$ [47]. An example for $Q^2 = 0.3 \ GeV^2$ is at the kinematics of the first VCS experiment at MAMI and the recent experiment at JLab (VCS-I), $F_{rad} \approx 0.95$ and ≈ 0.99 , respectively. The F_{rad} factor is determined with an accuracy of ±2%. Nevertheless, in the case of the azimuthal asymmetry measurements, the effect of these uncertainties in the extraction of the polarizabilities is significantly suppressed.

3 Experimental measurements of the generalized polarizabilities

3.1 Unpolarized cross-section measurements

The VCS experimental program brings together measurements that were conducted at the MAMI, MIT Bates, and JLab electron accelerator facilities. The measurements span a period of three decades, with the first one taking place in 1995 [54, 55]. The experiments followed a similar technique, i.e., utilized an electron beam, a liquid hydrogen target, and the detection of the two charged particles e' and p' in magnetic spectrometers. The exclusive reaction is then identified using the missing mass square of the undetected photon. The extraction of GPs from the VCS cross-section measurements is not direct and requires a fit made within a theoretical framework. The experiments use two different frameworks, with different domains of validity in W, that were discussed in the previous section: a model-independent one based on the LEX and a model-dependent one based on dispersion relations.

The LEX formalism is valid only below the pion-production threshold. The VCS amplitude is considered real, a property that holds only for $W < (M_N + m_{\pi})$. As soon as hadronic intermediate states beyond that of the nucleon can be created on-shell, starting with a nucleon plus a pion, the VCS amplitude acquires an imaginary part, and the LEX formalism [26] is not valid anymore. The LEX fit is performed at fixed q_{cm} and ϵ and yields the two structural functions $P_{LL} - P_{TT}/\epsilon$ and P_{LT} . The scalar GPs can then be deduced if one subtracts from these two structural functions their spin-dependent part, namely, PTT and PLTspin. In the absence of available measurements of the spin GPs, this subtraction relies on a model calculation. In practice, $d\sigma_{BH+Born}$ is the cross-section without the polarizability effect, and the structural functions $P_{LL} - P_{TT}/\epsilon$ and P_{LT} are obtained by fitting the deviation of $d\sigma_{exp}$ from $d\sigma_{BH+Born}$. The dispersion relations provide a powerful formalism to analyze VCS experiments both below and above the pion-production threshold. The imaginary part of the VCS amplitude is a central ingredient of the model, entering dispersive integrals saturated by πN intermediate states. The existence of free parameters in the model related to the unconstrained part of α_E (Q²) and β_M (Q²) allows us to perform an experimental fit in order to extract the scalar GPs. In practice, the DR fit is based on the comparison of the measured cross-section to the one calculated using the DR model and is less straightforward than the LEX fit since the structural functions or GPs do not appear in a simple analytic form in the model cross-section. Here, the spin GPs are fully constrained in the DR model and cannot be fitted. The formalism is suited for values of W up to ~1.3 GeV, i.e., slightly above the $\pi\pi N$ threshold and covering most of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance region.

The first experimental measurements involved the MAMI-I experiment [54, 55], which made use of the A1 experimental setup at MAMI (see Figure 4). The experiment was pioneering since it was the first to establish and implement all the experimental considerations of the VCS measurements, from the design of the experiment to the numerous aspects of the data analysis, e.g., the radiative corrections, dedicated Monte Carlo simulations, the implementation of the LEX fit methods for the GP extraction. The measurements targeted the region below the pionproduction threshold, covering an extended range of photon energies, but were limited to in-plane angles. The first experiment at JLab [56, 57] explored the highest photon virtualities so far, in the range $Q^2 = 1-2 \ GeV^2$. The GPs were found to be very small, pointing to a rapid fall-off with Q^2 . For a common Q², data were taken both below and above the pionproduction threshold, and the GPs were extracted independently for the two datasets. This allowed us to show for the first time that the GP extractions both below and above the pion-production threshold, using LEX and DR formalisms, respectively, yielded consistent results. It was also shown that the sensitivity to polarizabilities is amplified when one measures above the pion threshold and into the nucleon resonance region. The MIT Bates experiment [58, 59] was the first to use an extracted CW beam from the MIT Bates South Hall Ring, and it exploited the potential of the out-of-plane kinematics. It took advantage of the unique strengths of the out-of-plane spectrometer (OOPS) system to access large out-ofplane angles, combined with the ability to perform simultaneous measurements at different azimuthal angles, using up to four identical, lightweight magnetic spectrometers. This, in turn, allowed a significant reduction in the systematic uncertainties of the measurement. Data were taken at the smallest Q^2 so far (i.e., at 0.057 GeV²), enabling the first extraction of the mean-square electric polarizability radius of the proton. This experiment was also the first to evidence a bias in the LEX fit and highlight the limitations of using this method of extraction for the GPs.

The above group of experiments took place during the first decade of the VCS program and shaped a preliminary understanding of the proton electric and magnetic GPs, covering a broad range in momentum transfer. The measurements came with relatively large experimental uncertainties, primarily for the magnetic polarizability, highlighting the experimental challenges in extracting the weak magnetic polarizability signal. One puzzling observation of the reported measurements involved the electric GP. Here, the data pointed to an enhancement of the α_E magnitude at $Q^2 = 0.33 \text{ GeV}^2$ compared to the other measurements, which violated the theoretical expectation for a monotonic fall-off as a function of Q². Consequently, a follow-up experiment was conducted at MAMI, at the same $Q^2 = 0.33$ GeV², aiming to cross-check and confirm the findings of MAMI-I. The measurements of the MAMI-IV experiment [60] used the same experimental setup, focused on angular kinematics very similar to MAMI-I, and confirmed the results that were previously found.

The confirmation of the puzzling structure of α_E (Q²) by two independent experiments motivated the need for further measurements. The experiments that followed focused on providing a finer Q² mapping of the polarizabilities and improving the precision of the results. The MAMI-V experiment [61] determined the electric GP at $Q^2 = 0.20$ GeV² from the crosssection and asymmetry measurements in the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance. Here, the α_E extraction was performed exclusively within the DR framework since the LEX formalism is not applicable in the $\Delta(1232)$ region. In parallel to the polarizability measurement, the experiment offered the first extraction of the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ quadrupole amplitude through the photon excitation channel, thus providing a stringent control to the model uncertainties of the $N \rightarrow \Delta$ transition form factors that have relied exclusively on measurements of the dominant pion-electroproduction channel. The MAMI-VI experiment [62] was performed at three values of $Q^2 = 0.10, 0.20,$ and 0.45 GeV². The structural functions and scalar GPs were extracted with high precision from cross-section data below the pion-production threshold, utilizing both LEX and the DR formalism. Out-of-plane kinematics were selected at each Q^2 , in line with the approach of the MIT Bates experiment, but they covered a larger angular phase space. Capitalizing on the experience and lessons learned from the previous measurements, a novel bin selection method was developed, aiming to suppress the higher-order terms of the LEX. The DR model was utilized to provide an estimate of the higher-order terms of the LEX expansion. The theoretical cross-sections, $d\sigma_{\rm DR}$ and $d\sigma_{\rm LEX}$, were calculated using the same input values of structural functions. Since $d\sigma_{\rm DR}$ includes all orders in $q'_{c.m.}$, the difference $(d\sigma_{\rm DR} - d\sigma_{\rm LEX})$ is a measure of the higher-order terms $O(q'^2_{c.m.})$ of Eq. 7, as given by the DR model. Accordingly, the following dimensionless estimator of Eq. 16 was constructed:

$$O(q_{\rm c.m.}^{\prime 2})_{DR} = \frac{d\sigma_{\rm DR} - d\sigma_{\rm LEX}}{d\sigma_{\rm BH+Born}},$$
(16)

at each point in the VCS phase space. The model-dependent estimator was used first in the design of the experiment in order to define kinematics, where $O(q_{c.m.}^{'2})$ is expected to be small. It was later employed in the data analysis of the experiment to study the behavior of the LEX fit under varying conditions. LEX fits were performed including a varying number of experimental bins, corresponding to gradually increased values of the $O(q_{c.m.}^{'2})_{DR}$ estimator by setting the condition $O(q_{c.m.}^{\prime 2})_{DR} \leq K$ and letting the threshold K vary. Polarizability LEX fits were performed, and their results were compared with and without the novel bin selection method, while a comparison was also done with the results of the DR fit analysis that is not bound to similar restrictions in the phasespace selection. The analysis concluded with the selection of $K_{optimal} = 0.025$ as the value providing the most reliable LEX fit. The studies indicated that the effect of the higher-order contributions becomes small and less of a concern at momentum transfers higher than 0.4 GeV². Careful consideration is to be given in the data analysis at lower-momentum transfers, where the resulting phase-space masking takes a typical shape, as shown in Figure 5. The refinements in the data analysis procedure of MAMI-VI allowed us to eliminate any bias arising from the higher-order contributions and minimize the systematic uncertainties in the extraction of the GPs. The refined analysis procedure of MAMI-VI was utilized for a reanalysis [63] of the MAMI-I and MAMI-IV data. Compared to the results of the original analysis, the extracted values for the α_E were slightly reduced, but the change was not considerable so as to eliminate the observed structure in the electric GP.

The most recent measurements involve the VCS program in Hall C at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility. The first stage of this effort (the VCS-I experiment) [63] acquired data in the region $Q^2 =$ 0.28 GeV² to 0.40 GeV², aiming for high-precision measurements in the region where the puzzling structure of $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ [56, 60] was previously reported. The experiment capitalized on the unique capabilities of the experimental setup in Hall C at Jefferson Lab that allowed us to conduct measurements of the scalar GPs with unprecedented precision. Crosssection measurements were conducted for azimuthally symmetric kinematics in the photon angle, i.e., for $(\phi_{\gamma^*\gamma}, \pi - \phi_{\gamma^*\gamma})$, since the measurement of the azimuthal asymmetry in the cross-section enhances the sensitivity in the extraction of the polarizabilities and suppresses part of the systematic uncertainties. Moreover, the $ep \rightarrow ep\pi^0$ reaction was measured simultaneously with the $ep \rightarrow epy$ reaction. The cross-section of the pion-electroproduction process is well understood in this kinematic regime, and its measurement offers a stringent, realtime normalization control for the measurement of the $ep \rightarrow epy$ crosssection. Overall, a significant improvement was made in the precision of the extracted generalized polarizabilities compared to previous measurements.

The VCS-I data were acquired in Hall C of Jefferson Lab. Electrons with energies of 4.56 GeV at a beam current of up to 20 μ A were produced by the Jefferson Lab Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) and were scattered from a 10cm-long liquid-hydrogen target. The Super High Momentum Spectrometer (SHMS) and the High Momentum Spectrometer (HMS) of Hall C were used to detect, in coincidence, the scattered electrons and recoil protons, respectively (see Figure 6). Both spectrometers are equipped with similar detector packages, including a set of scintillator planes that were used to form the trigger and provide time-of-flight information and a pair of drift chambers used for tracking. The coincidence time was determined as the difference in the time-of-flight between the two spectrometers, accounting for path-length variation corrections from the central trajectory and for the individual start times. The experimental setup offered a resolution of ~1 ns (FWHM) in the coincidence timing spectrum. Random coincidences were subtracted using the side (accidental) bands of the coincidence time spectrum. The events of the exclusive reaction $ep \rightarrow epy$ were identified from the missing-mass reconstruction through a selection cut around the photon peak in the missing-masssquare spectrum. Data were taken with an empty target in order to account for the background contributions from the target walls. Elastic scattering measurements with a proton target were performed throughout the experiment for calibration and normalization studies.

The measurement of the absolute VCS cross-section, $\sigma \equiv d^5 \sigma / dE'_e d\Omega'_e d\Omega_{cm}$, requires the determination of the five-fold solid angle, where dE'_e and $d\Omega'_e$ are the differential energy and solid angle of the scattered electron in the laboratory frame, respectively, and $d\Omega_{cm}$ is the differential solid angle of the photon in the centerof-mass frame. The experimental acceptance was calculated with the Hall C Monte Carlo simulation program, SIMC, which integrates the beam configuration, target geometry, spectrometer acceptances, resolution effects, energy losses, and radiative corrections. The cross-section of the $ep \rightarrow epy$ process receives contributions from the photon that is emitted by either the lepton, i.e., the BH process, or by the proton, the fully virtual Compton scattering (FVCS) process. The FVCS amplitude can, in turn, be decomposed into a Born contribution, with the intermediate state being the nucleon, and a non-Born contribution that carries the physics of interest and is parametrized by the GPs. The BH and the Born-VCS contributions are well known and calculable in terms of the proton electromagnetic form factors. The GPs were extracted from the measured cross-sections through a fit that employs the DR model [21, 29, 30] for VCS. In DR formalism, the two scalar GPs enter unconstrained and can be adjusted as free parameters, while the proton electromagnetic form factors are introduced as input. The experimental cross-sections were compared to the DR model predictions for all possible values for the two GPs, and $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ and $\beta_M(Q^2)$ were fitted by χ^2 minimization. The extracted electric and magnetic GPs from the VCS-I experiment are shown in Figure 7. The measurements suggest a local enhancement of α_E (Q^2) in the measured region, at the same Q^2 as previously reported by [54, 55, 60], but with a smaller magnitude than what was originally suggested. The Q2-dependence of the electric GP was



Three magnetic spectrometer setup of A1 at MAMI. The photo was provided by M. Weis, PhD thesis, University of Mainz, 2003.

explored using two types of approaches [64], namely, methods that use traditional fits to the data using predefined functional forms and methods that are based on data-driven techniques that assume no direct underlying functional form. Both methods point to a Q^2 dependence for $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ that is consistent with the presence of a structure in the measured region, in sharp contrast with the current theoretical understanding that suggests a monotonic dependence of $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ with Q^2 . For $\beta_M(Q^2)$, the results point to a smooth Q^2 dependence and the near-cancellation of the paramagnetic and the diamagnetic contributions in the proton at ~ $Q^2 = 0.4$ GeV². A comparison with the theory predictions of BChPT [46], NRQCM [32], LSM [37], ELM [36] and DR [21, 29, 30] is shown in Figure 7. As shown in the figure, the theoretical predictions for the GPs vary notably, and the experimental results impose strict constraints to the theory.

3.2 Spin asymmetry measurements

The VCS experimental program has been extended beyond the unpolarized cross-section measurements to measurements of beam single-spin asymmetries (SSAs) and double-spin asymmetries (DSAs). Here, the goal is to study observables beyond the two scalar GPs, including the four lowest-order spin-dependent GPs. This effort has so far involved a couple of exploratory beam SSA and DSA experiments that turned out to be challenging and offered limited sensitivity to the observables of interest.

The beam single-spin asymmetry in VCS [65] was introduced to access non-trivial phases of QCD and test the diquark model predictions. The observable of interest is the asymmetry $(d\sigma^+$ – $d\sigma^{-})/(d\sigma^{+} + d\sigma^{-})$, where $d\sigma^{+}$ and $d\sigma^{-}$ stand for the photon electroproduction cross-sections with a longitudinally polarized electron beam of helicity $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. The numerator of the asymmetry is equal to $\mathcal{I}m(T^{VCS}) \cdot \mathcal{R}e(T^{VCS} + T^{BH})$ and illustrates that the beam SSA is proportional to the imaginary part of the VCS amplitude. Consequently, one must measure above the pion-production threshold to access this asymmetry. Furthermore, the asymmetry vanishes at azimuthal angles of 0° and 180°, so one must measure at out-of-plane kinematics. One can distinguish the two terms that drive the beam SSA numerator. The first term, $\mathcal{I}m(T^{VCS}) \cdot \mathcal{R}e(T^{VCS})$, is exclusively due to the VCS contribution and measures the relative phase between longitudinal and transverse virtual Compton helicity amplitudes. The second one, $\mathcal{I}m(T^{\text{VCS}}) \cdot \mathcal{R}e(T^{\text{BH}})$, is an interference term that measures the relative phases between the VCS and BH amplitudes. In kinematics where the BH dominates the cross-section, this interference amplifies the VCS contribution and enhances the asymmetry. The MAMI-II experiment [66, 67] measured the beam SSA in the first resonance region at $Q^2 = 0.35$ GeV², covering out-ofplane c.m. angles up to 40°. The SSA was small, less than 10%,



FIGURE 5

Phase space masking based on the higher-order estimator for one of the kinematics of the MAMI-VI experiment. The red area of the phase space is excluded from the data analysis. Figure was provided by Jure Bericic, PhD thesis, University of Ljubljana, 2015. and the measurement was of a limited precision. The data illustrated a good agreement with the DR calculation that adopts the $(\gamma^{(*)}N \rightarrow \pi N)$ multipoles of the MAID [68, 69] analysis, but in the kinematics of the experiment, the DR calculation had little sensitivity to the GPs. On the other hand, the measurement had good sensitivity to the two longitudinal multipoles S_{1+} and S_{0+} in the $(p\pi^0)$ channel that are involved in the $(p\pi^0)$ intermediate state of the VCS process.

The theoretical context of the doubly polarized VCS has been studied by [20, 35]. The observables, i.e., the doubly polarized crosssections or asymmetries, require polarization on both the leptonic and hadronic parts. Experimentally, the double-polarization observable is determined via Eq. 17

$$\mathcal{P}_{\hat{i}}^{\rm cm} = \frac{d^5\sigma(h,\hat{i}) - d^5\sigma(h,-\hat{i})}{d^5\sigma(h,\hat{i}) + d^5\sigma(h,-\hat{i})},\tag{17}$$

where $\hat{i} = x$, y, z is the c.m. axis for the recoil proton polarization component, $h = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ is the beam helicity, and $d^5\sigma(h, \hat{i})$ is the doubly polarized $(ep \rightarrow e'p'y)$ cross-section. The LET expansion for the double-polarization observables [20, 35], which is valid below the pion threshold, leads to Eq. 18

$$\mathcal{P}_{\hat{i}}^{\rm cm} = \frac{\Delta d^5 \sigma^{\rm BH+B} + \phi q'_{cm} \Delta \mathcal{M}^{\rm nB}(h, \hat{i}) + \mathcal{O}(\dot{q}_{cm}^{2})}{2d^5 \sigma}, \qquad (18)$$



FIGURE 6

(A) Experimental setup of the VCS-I experiment at Jefferson Lab. An electron beam impinges on a liquid hydrogen (red sphere) target. The interaction is mediated through the exchange of a virtual photon (orange wavy line). The scattered electron and recoil proton are detected using two magnetic spectrometers in coincidence. The real photon (green wavy line) that is produced in the reaction provides the electromagnetic perturbation and allows us to measure the proton polarizabilities. (B) The (undetected) real photon is identified through the reconstruction of the missing mass spectrum of the reaction and allows the selection of the VCS events. (C) The cross-section of the VCS reaction measures proton generalized polarizabilities. The dashed line denotes the Bethe–Heitler + Born contributions to the cross-section.



where $\Delta d^5 \sigma^{BH+B}$ is the difference between the doubly polarized crosssections $d^5\sigma^{BH+B}(h,\hat{i}) - d^5\sigma^{BH+B}(h,-\hat{i})$, $d^5\sigma$ is the unpolarized (*ep* $\rightarrow e'p'\gamma$) cross-section, and $(\phi q'_{cm})$ is a phase-space factor. The non-Born terms ΔM^{nB} are linear combinations of the VCS structural functions P_{LT}^{\perp} , P_{TT}^{\perp} , $P_{TT}^{\prime \perp}$, $P_{LT}^{\prime \perp}$, P_{LT}^{z} , and $P_{LT}^{\prime z}$, which can be expressed as linear combinations of the six GPs, namely, the two scalar and the four spin-dependent GPs. More detailed formulas are given in the studies by [20, 35]. Double-polarization observables were explored in the MAMI-IV experiment, at kinematics similar to those of the MAMI-I experiment. The beam was longitudinally polarized, and a focal-plane polarimeter (FPP) was used to measure the recoil proton transverse polarization components. From the double-polarization observables, the structural function P_{LT}^{\perp} was extracted for the first time. P_{LT}^{\perp} is a linear combination of the structural functions P_{LL} and P_{TT} , where P_{LL} is proportional to the electric GP and P_{TT} is a combination of the two spin GPs $P^{(M1,M1)1}$ and $P^{(L1,M2)1}$. The extracted value $P_{LT}^{\perp} = (-15.4 \pm 3.3_{stat} + 1.5_{-2.4 syst}) \text{ GeV}^{-2} [70]$ is larger in magnitude than most model predictions. This exploratory double-polarization experiment turned out to be more challenging than the unpolarized experiments, and the measured double-spin asymmetry was less sensitive than expected to the GPs. In order to disentangle all six lowest-order GPs, one will require an extensive experimental program, with more data that span a wider range of the kinematical variables.

4 Induced polarization in the proton

The measurements of the generalized polarizabilities provide access to the spatial deformation of the quark distributions in the proton subject to the influence of an external electromagnetic field [71]. Here, the method follows an extension of the formalism to extract the light-front quark charge densities [72] from the proton form factor data. The induced polarization in the proton from the GP world data was extracted by [64]. In this work, an accurate parametrization of the polarizabilities was first derived from a fit to the experimental data, and from that, the induced polarization in the proton, P_0 , defined by Eqs 19, 20 was extracted based on the formalism discussed by [71], i.e.,

$$\vec{P}_{0}(\vec{b}) = \hat{b} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dQ}{(2\pi)} Q J_{1}(b Q) A(Q^{2}), \qquad (19)$$

where \vec{b} is the transverse position, $b = |\vec{b}|$, $\hat{b} = \vec{b}/b$, and J_1 is the first-order Bessel function. *A* is a function of the GPs:

$$\begin{split} A &= -(2M_N) \sqrt{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1+2\tau}{1+\tau}} \\ &\times \left\{ -P^{(L1,L1)0} + \frac{1}{2} P^{(M1,M1)0} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} P^{(L1,L1)1} \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (1+\tau) \left[P^{(M1,M1)1} + \sqrt{2} (2M_N \tau) P^{(L1,M2)1} \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(20)$$

The GPs are expressed in the typical multipole notation $P^{(\rho'l',\rho h)S}$ [26], where ρ (ρ') refers to the Coulomb/electric (L) or magnetic (M) nature of the initial (final) photon, l (l' = 1) is the angular momentum of the initial (final) photon, and S differentiates between the spin-flip (S = 1) and non-spin-flip (S = 0) transition at the nucleon side. $\tau \equiv Q^2/(4M_N^2)$, with M_N being the nucleon mass. In calculating the A function, the two scalar GPs are defined using Eqs 5, 6, and the spin GPs are fixed by the dispersion relations. For the asymptotic part of α_E (Q^2), one uses the following parametrization of Eq. 21 that is derived from an experimental fit to the world data:

$$\alpha_{E}(Q^{2}) = \left[p0*e^{-0.5*\left(\frac{Q^{2}-p1}{p2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{1}{\left(p3 + Q^{2}/p4\right)^{2}}\right] (fm^{3}), \quad (21)$$

with $p0 = (30.4 \pm 6.1)10^{-5}$ (fm³), $p1 = 0.345 \pm 0.008$ (GeV²), $p2 = 0.040 \pm 0.003$ (GeV²), $p3 = 34.217 \pm 1.136$ (fm^{-3/2}), and $p4 = 0.014 \pm 0.002$ (GeV² fm^{3/2}). For $\beta_M(Q^2)$, the world data are described accurately using the DR model [21, 29, 30], following a single-dipole behavior for the unconstrained part of the scalar GPs with a mass scale parameter of $\Lambda_{\beta} = 0.5$ GeV. The derived induced polarization as a function of the transverse position of the proton is shown in Figure 8. The distribution follows a change of sign of ~0.25 fm and exhibits a secondary maximum in the amplitude of ~0.35 fm.



Induced polarization in the proton [64] when submitted to an EM field as a function of the transverse position with photon polarization along the *x*-axis for $b_y = 0$. The x-y axis defines the transverse plane, with the *z*-axis being the direction of the fast-moving protons.



5 Electric and magnetic polarizability radii

A primary measure that quantifies the extension of a spatial distribution is the mean square radius. The mean square electric polarizability radius of the proton $\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle$ can be determined from measurements of the VCS reaction since it is related to the slope of α_E (Q^2) at $Q^2 = 0$ as defined by Eq. 22

$$\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle = \frac{-6}{\alpha_E(0)} \cdot \frac{d}{dQ^2} \alpha_E(Q^2)|_{Q^2=0}.$$
 (22)

The first $\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle$ extraction became possible with the MIT Bates GP measurements at $Q^2 = 0.057 \ GeV^2$ [58]. In this work, the mean square electric polarizability radius was determined from a dipole fit to the RCS and the MIT Bates data points, giving $\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle = 1.95 \pm 0.33 \ fm^2$ [58]. A more reliable extraction was done recently, taking into account a more extensive dataset from modern experiments in tandem with a comprehensive consideration of the fitted functions and the fitting range. Li et al. (2022a) conducted the $\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle$ extraction using a variety of

functional forms that can fit the data, i.e., combinations of polynomial, dipole, Gaussian, and exponential functions. The fits were explored in two groups: one group considered the full Q² range and the second focused on a limited range at low- Q^2 that does not include the α_E anomaly, i.e., within $Q^2 = [0,0.28]$ GeV². For the experiments where the polarizabilities were derived by both the dispersion relations and the low-energy expansion analysis, the variance of the two results is treated as a model uncertainty for each data point. For each group of fits, the final value for $\langle r_{\alpha_x}^2 \rangle$ is determined from the weighted average of the results of the individual fits. The uncertainty of $\langle r_{\alpha_F}^2 \rangle$ receives contributions from two terms, the uncertainty of the weighted average and the weighted variance of the individual fit results, which effectively reflect the model dependence on the choice of the fitted parametrization. The final result is then derived from the average of the two group values, with their spread accounted for as a model uncertainty. The extraction of the polarizability radius is sensitive to the value of the static $(Q^2 = 0)$ electric polarizability. If one considers the recent measurement from MAMI [14], α_E (0) = (10.99 ± $0.16 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.34$) 10^{-4} fm³, the extracted value for the polarizability radius following the above analysis procedure is $\langle r_{\alpha_{\rm F}}^2 \rangle = 1.36 \pm 0.29 \ fm^2$. We note that the MAMI measurement [14] is in excellent agreement with the α_E (0) PDG value. Nevertheless, a recent experiment at HIGS [15] points to a tension compared to the MAMI measurement and reports a higher value of α_E $(0) = (13.8 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3) \ 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3$, albeit with a higher experimental uncertainty. If one adopts the HIGS value for α_E (0), one derives the polarizability radius $\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle = 1.67 \pm 0.50 \ fm^2$. In both cases, extracted $\langle r_{\alpha_F}^2 \rangle$ is considerably larger than the mean square charge radius of the proton, i.e., $\langle r_E^2 \rangle \sim 0.7 \ fm^2$ [6, 73] as shown in Figure 9. The dominant contribution to this effect is expected to arise from the deformation of the mesonic cloud in the proton under the influence of an external EM field.

For the extraction of the mean square magnetic polarizability radius from the magnetic polarizability measurements, one follows a procedure that is equivalent to the extraction of $\langle r_{\alpha_E}^2 \rangle$ since the magnetic polarizability radius expression (Eq. 23) is similar, i.e.,

$$\langle r_{\beta_M}^2 \rangle = \frac{-6}{\beta_M(0)} \cdot \frac{d}{dQ^2} \beta_M(Q^2)|_{Q^2=0}.$$
 (23)

The reported values for $\beta_M(0)$ from the MAMI [14] and HIGS [15] experiments exhibit tensions similar to those were reported for α_E (0). If one adopts the MAMI measurement, $\beta_M(0) = (3.14 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.35) 10^{-4} fm^3$, that is of higher precision and in good agreement with the PDG value, one derives $\langle r_{\beta_M}^2 \rangle = 0.63 \pm 0.31 fm^2$ [64]. If instead one adopts the HIGS measurement, $\beta_M(0) = (0.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3) 10^{-4} fm^3$, then the magnetic polarizability radius is consistent with 0, i.e., $\langle r_{\beta_M}^2 \rangle = 0.20 \pm 0.36 fm^2$.

6 Future experiments and prospects

6.1 Unpolarized cross-section measurements

The next phase of the VCS program at Jefferson Lab will involve the VCS-II experiment [74]. Cross-section measurements of the VCS reaction with an unpolarized electron beam will use the VCS-I experimental setup in Hall

C to extend the kinematic coverage and improve the precision of the measured GPs. Data will be acquired for 62 days of beam-ontarget, with an $E_{\circ} = 1.1 \text{ GeV}$ and 2.2 GeV electron beam at I = 75 μ A impinged on a 10-cm liquid-hydrogen target. Measurements will be taken within the region $Q^2 = 0.05$ $(GeV/c)^2$ to $Q^2 = 0.50$ $(GeV/c)^2$ to provide high-precision data combined with a fine mapping as a function of Q^2 . The experiment will explore α_E with a set of measurements that are all uniform regarding their systematic uncertainties. This will make it possible to identify the shape of the observed structure in terms of electric polarizability more reliably and with high precision. Moreover, a systematic set of measurements will be conducted in kinematics, where the suggested structure in α_E emerges in an anti-diametric way in the VCS cross section. As shown in Figure 10, the sensitivity of the VCS cross-section to α_E undergoes a crossing point and reverses for the two wings of the resonance. Targeted measurements on both wings of the resonance will allow to decouple the observation of the nontrivial structure in the polarizability from the influence of experimental systematic uncertainties. The precision of the β_M measurements will be further improved to allow a good handle on the interplay between the diamagnetic and paramagnetic contributions in the nucleon. The projected VCS-II measurements are shown in Figure 11.

6.2 Beam-charge and beam-spin asymmetry measurements

The recent measurements for the GPs highlight the need to access these quantities by employing alternative experimental methods. This will allow us to provide an independent confirmation, in particular for the observed structure in the electric polarizability. So far, the experimental measurements of the proton generalized polarizabilities have used unpolarized electron beams. The only exception is that of the exploratory MAMI measurement of beam-spin asymmetries that, as discussed in the previous section, had a weak sensitivity to polarizabilities. An alternative and powerful avenue to access the proton GPs beyond the unpolarized VCS measurements with an electron beam is presented by the use of polarized and positron beams [75]. The lepton beam charge (e) and polarization (λ) dependence of the $lp \rightarrow lp\gamma$ differential cross-section are given by Eq. 24

$$d\sigma_{\lambda}^{e} = d\sigma_{\rm BH} + d\sigma_{\rm VCS} + \lambda \, d\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm VCS} + e \, (d\sigma_{\rm INT} + \lambda \, d\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm INT}), \tag{24}$$

where $d\sigma$ ($d\tilde{\sigma}$) represents the polarization-independent (dependent) contributions, which are even (odd) functions of the azimuthal angle ϕ . $d\sigma_{\rm INT}$ involves the real part of the VCS amplitude that contains the GP effects, while $d\tilde{\sigma}_{\rm INT}$ is proportional to the imaginary part of the VCS amplitude, which does not depend on the GPs. Combining lepton beams of opposite charge and different polarizations enables the complete separation of the four unknown INT and VCS contributions. By employing unpolarized electron and positron beams, one can construct the unpolarized beam-charge asymmetry (BCA) A_{UU}^{C} as defined in Eq. 25

$$A_{UU}^{C} = \frac{(d\sigma_{+}^{+} + d\sigma_{-}^{+}) - (d\sigma_{-}^{-} + d\sigma_{-}^{-})}{d\sigma_{+}^{+} + d\sigma_{-}^{+} + d\sigma_{-}^{-} + d\sigma_{-}^{-}}$$

$$= \frac{d\sigma_{\rm INT}}{d\sigma_{\rm BH} + d\sigma_{\rm VCS}}.$$
(25)

With polarized lepton beams, on the other hand, one can construct the lepton beam-spin asymmetry (BSA), as defined by Eq. 26.

$$A_{LU}^{e} = \frac{d\sigma_{+}^{e} - d\sigma_{-}^{e}}{d\sigma_{+}^{e} + d\sigma_{-}^{e}}$$

$$= \frac{d\tilde{\sigma}_{VCS} + ed\tilde{\sigma}_{INT}}{d\sigma_{BH} + d\sigma_{VCS} + e\,d\sigma_{INT}}.$$
(26)

The theoretical groundwork and the first study for the potential of this type of experiment were presented by [75]. It was illustrated that targeted measurements of un-polarized BCAs and polarized BSAs exhibit remarkable sensitivity to both scalar GPs. A combination of both types of asymmetries, such as in Eqs 27, 28

$$\widetilde{A}_{VCS} \equiv A_{LU}^{+} (1 + A_{UU}^{C}) + A_{LU}^{-} (1 - A_{UU}^{C})
= \frac{2d\widetilde{\sigma}_{VCS}}{d\sigma_{BH} + d\sigma_{VCS}},$$
(27)

and

$$\tilde{A}_{INT} \equiv A_{LU}^{+} \left(1 + A_{UU}^{C}\right) - A_{LU}^{-} \left(1 - A_{UU}^{C}\right) \\
= \frac{2d\tilde{\sigma}_{INT}}{d\sigma_{BH} + d\sigma_{VCS}},$$
(28)

is powerful toward separating the contribution from the $d\tilde{\sigma}_{VCS}$ and $d\tilde{\sigma}_{INT}$ terms, offering not only sensitivity to the GPs but also a crosscheck of the unitarity input in the dispersive formalism, as discussed by [75].

Plans for a first experiment with beam-charge and beam-spin asymmetry measurements at Jefferson Lab have been presented at JLab, PAC51 Letter-of-Intent LOI-12-23-001 [76]. For the unpolarized beam-charge asymmetries, the proposed experiment targets $Q^2 = 0.35 \text{ GeV}^2$, the kinematics of high interest for the electric GP. The experiment will require a combination of electron and positron beam measurements at an energy of $E_{\circ} = 2.2$ GeV. The SHMS and HMS magnetic spectrometers in Hall C will measure $e^{(+,-)}$ and p, respectively, while the range of center-of-mass energies that exhibit optimal sensitivity to the polarizabilities spans W =1,150 MeV-W = 1,190 MeV. The measurements will access out-ofplane kinematics up to $\phi = 30^{\circ}$, and $\theta_{\gamma^*\gamma}$ spans a range, as shown in Figure 12, for one bin in the center-of-mass energy. The experiment aims for a 1% statistical uncertainty for each of the (+,-) measurements, and the results will be limited by the systematic uncertainties. The experiment will require 1 week of beam-on-target with an electron beam at a current of $I \sim 50 \ \mu A$. The measurements with a positron beam will require more beam time since the accelerator cannot offer such a high level of beam current for the positrons. The beam time will depend on the final performance of the delivered positron beam, which is currently under development at Jefferson Lab. For an unpolarized positron beam, it is expected at the level of a few ~ μA . In an optimistic scenario where one can achieve 5 μ A, approximately 10 weeks of beam-on-target will be needed for the experiment. The projected measurement for α_E is shown in Figure 12.

The use of a polarized beam offers one more alternative path for GP measurements. Here, the goal is to measure BSAs, which can be done independently with either an electron or a positron beam. Such







measurements with a polarized electron beam can become readily available at Jefferson Lab. A measurement is considered again at $Q^2 = 0.35 \ GeV^2$ with an electron beam energy of $E_{\circ} = 2.2 \ GeV$. Here, the sensitivity to the polarizabilities is enhanced at higher center-ofmass energies, in the range $W = 1210 \ MeV-W = 1250 \ MeV$. The projected results for the proposed measurements, considering ~2 weeks of beam-on-target with an electron beam of $I = 70 \ \mu A$ at 85% polarization, are shown in Figure 13. The beam-spin asymmetry allows us to suppress many of the systematic uncertainties, and the statistics become the limiting factor for these measurements. One can thus run additional beam time in order to further reduce the experimental uncertainties that are shown in Figure 13. The scenario of a BSA measurement with a positron beam has also been considered. For a group of similar measurements (i.e., at the same kinematics and with the same precision as the electron BSA measurements), one can, in principle, achieve an equally competitive extraction of the polarizabilities compared to the measurements with an electron beam. Nevertheless, the limitation here involves the beam time that is needed for the measurements, since the beam current for a polarized positron beam at Jefferson Lab will be significantly suppressed. Considering a beam current of $I \sim 50$ nA and a



beam polarization of 60%, one will need a beam time that is three orders of magnitude higher compared to the measurements with an electron beam. As such, this path is not viable based on the expected performance of a future positron beam at JLab.

6.3 Conclusion and outlook

The Compton scattering process provides a unique tool to study the electromagnetic polarizability mechanisms in the proton. The generalization of the polarizabilities to non-zero four-momentum transfer extends the applicability of these measurements to the study of the spatial distribution of the polarization densities in the proton, offering a unique insight into the underlying dynamics of the fundamental quark and gluon constituents. The experimental signal of interest is very small, and the measurements come with significant challenges. Three decades of virtual Compton scattering experiments have led to remarkable progress in the measurement of these fundamental properties of the proton. The experimental progress has been enabled by the advances in the theoretical front and by the close synergy of the theoretical and experimental efforts. The recent experiments illustrated that we accomplished a significant improvement in the precision of the measurements compared to the early VCS experiments. The electric and magnetic GPs of the proton are now understood with a good level of precision. The magnetic polarizability signal is more challenging to extract, considering the cancellation of the paramagnetic and diamagnetic contributions in the proton. Nevertheless, there is room for improvement, and upcoming experiments will add further to our understanding of both scalar GPs. A question remains regarding the observed structure in the electric GP that the theory is not able to explain. This structure is suggested by three independent experimental measurements [54, 55, 60, 64]. The world data suggest a deviation from a monotonic fall-off with Q^2 at intermediate momentum transfers within ~3 σ [64]. This allows for a $\sim 1\%$ probability that the observation is coincidental. Here, one may consider the possibility that some of the systematic uncertainties associated with the analysis of the VCS measurements may have been underestimated and that they are not under control within the reported level. Toward that end, it becomes important to come forward with different methods of measurement for the GPs. This was proposed recently [76] by pursuing beam-charge and beam-spin asymmetry measurements. If the observed structure in the electric GP is confirmed by a new experiment that involves a different framework for the extraction of the polarizabilities, then a definitive answer will have been provided on the existence of the effect. A measurement of beam-spin asymmetries with an electron beam can be done at Jefferson Lab with the existing capabilities of the laboratory. A beam-charge asymmetry measurement will become possible in the near future once a positron beam at Jefferson Lab becomes available.

The experimental measurements of the GPs serve as highprecision benchmark data for the theory. They offer guidance to the theoretical calculations and our understanding of the underlying dynamics in the nucleon. Considering the size of the theoretical uncertainties, the experiment is currently ahead of the theory in terms of precision. The chiral effective field theory offers a quantum field theory with the Lagrangian written in terms of hadronic fields, in contrast to QCD, which is written in terms of quark and gluon fields and allows a QCD description of low-energy phenomena. The most recent work involves the next-to-leading order BChPT calculation [46] that is shown in Figure 14. The theory is in good agreement with the experimental data, but as shown in the figure, the results come with relatively large uncertainties, pointing to the need for the next-order calculation. These calculations are expected to become available in the near future. Regardless of the size of the theoretical uncertainties, BChPT prediction does not account for any irregularity in $\alpha_E(Q^2)$ that breaks the monotonic fall-off with Q^2 . The lattice QCD (LQCD) allows an alternative path to calculate the polarizabilities through a space-time discretization of the theory based on the fundamental quark and gluon degrees of freedom, starting from the original QCD Lagrangian. The progress on that



front is steady but still slow. These calculations are still limited to static polarizabilities. The LQCD is in agreement with the experimental data but within relatively large uncertainties. The recent LQCD calculation [77] at the physical pion mass reports for the electric polarizability $\alpha_E = (11.8 \pm 2.3 \pm 3.7) \ 10^{-4} \ fm^3$. By the end of the decade, one can expect that the LQCD uncertainties for the static polarizabilities will improve to a level of precision that is comparable to the experiment, and that the first LQCD calculations for the GPs will become available.

While the chiral effective field theory and LQCD are making progress to provide refined calculations, parallel efforts focus on the study of potential mechanisms that could account for the observed structure in the α_E (Q^2). A recent study focused on the role of the resonance contributions to the generalized electric and magnetic nucleon polarizabilities, analyzed within the holographic QCD model [78]. Based on this calculation, as shown in Figure 15, the resonance contributions alone cannot account for the observed structure in α_E (Q^2). Nevertheless, there may be confusion because the type of GPs in the calculation involves only the symmetric GPs that are calculated in the forward VVCS and have to be distinguished from the ones in the VCS, as discussed by [46].

The article discussed the recent progress and developments of the VCS program and the proton EM generalized polarizabilities. Future experiments have the potential to extend the application of the VCS program beyond the study of the scalar GPs to study the spin-dependent GPs. Although the spin-dependent polarizability mechanisms do not allow for an intuitive and simplistic description of the underlying effects, their measurement can contribute constructively to the understanding of the nucleon structure. Here, we outline in brief some opportunities along this line of measurement. One prospect is presented through the measurement of the P_{TT} structural function, which is a combination of two spindependent GPs, as indicated in Eq. 10. P_{TT} appears in the LEX formalism (i.e., in Eqs 7, 8) in the $(P_{LL} - P_{TT}/\epsilon)$ term. Thus, one can



extract P_{TT} by conducting unpolarized VCS measurements at different values of ϵ for a common Q^2 . The magnitude of P_{TT} is expected to be small, according to DR and BChPT calculations, and this renders the extraction of the experimental signal challenging. Another path is to target a combination of unpolarized and polarized observables at a common value of ϵ , as discussed by [79, 80], in order to measure different linear combinations of P_{LL} and P_{TT} and ultimately accomplish their separation. This method was first explored in the MAMI-IV experiment, but the correlation of the two structural functions from the two (polarized/unpolarized) measurements had a strong overlap and did not allow a good separation of the two terms. One can revisit this strategy, targeting an optimal combination of kinematics that will allow the extraction of P_{LL} and P_{TT} . Additional opportunities can be



presented in the future once the DR model is further developed to allow the spin-dependent GPs (currently predicted by the DR) to enter as free parameters in the calculation. This will empower the DR framework to explore the simultaneous extraction of the scalar and spin GPs by combining an extended set of VCS measurements and taking advantage of the enhanced sensitivity of the GPs in the Δ resonance region. Lastly, sum rules [81] have been derived in recent years, relating VCS, RCS, and doubly virtual Compton scattering (VVCS) at low-momentum transfers. [82], by generalizing the Gerasimov-Drell-Hearn sum rule [83-85] to finite photon virtuality, obtained two new model-independent relations, linking parameters that characterize different sectors of lowenergy interactions between the nucleon spin structure and electromagnetic waves. These parameters are extracted from experimental information in VCS, RCS, and VVCS, i.e., they involve the generalized polarizabilities, the spin polarizabilities, the longitudinal-transverse polarizability, and the generalized GDH integral, while the nucleon form factors and the anomalous magnetic moments enter as additional input in the sum rules. These sum rules can be tested with experimental measurements and can be useful in constraining the low-energy spin structure of the nucleon.

In summary, this article reviews our knowledge about a fundamental property of the proton, i.e., its response to an external electromagnetic field, which is described by the scalar polarizabilities and their generalization to non-zero momentum transfer. The recent progress of the last 5 years involves, for the most part, new experimental results. As such, the paper emphasizes the recent developments and findings of the virtual Compton scattering experiments and the discussion of future perspectives on the topic, which are discussed in tandem with the advances on the theory front. The first conclusion is that experimental progress is currently ahead of theory. The recent experiments have achieved a high level of precision in the measurement of the GPs and will soon be extended across the full range of the kinematical phase space. GPs are a key element of the proton structure, and they complement the information that is provided by the nucleon form factors, providing access to the spatial distribution of the polarization densities in the proton. The induced polarization as a function of the transverse position of the proton has been measured quite accurately, providing an insight into the spatial dependence of the polarizability effects. Fundamental characteristics of the system, such as the electric and magnetic polarizability radii, have been determined with good precision due to the recent experimental advances of the VCS experiments. A longstanding puzzle involves electric polarizability. A structure in α_E (Q^2) has been observed at intermediate momentum transfers, which breaks the monotonic dependence with Q^2 . This observation cannot be explained by the theory at the moment. The effect has been confirmed by three independent experiments at the 3σ level. This leaves a possibility of ~1% that the observation may be coincidental, while one should consider the possibility that part of the systematic uncertainties of the VCS experiments may have been underestimated and should be revisited. A critical part of future experimental studies will involve the measurement and confirmation of this effect using a different method, as proposed by the beam-spin and beam-charge asymmetry measurements. The measurements of the magnetic GP are more challenging than those of the electric GP, but considering the experimental level of precision, it is expected that within this decade, we will be able to meaningfully disentangle the magnitude of the paramagnetic and diamagnetic contributions in the proton. The experimental measurements have provided high-precision benchmark data for the theory, and now, progress in the ChPT and LQCD calculations is needed in order to achieve a deep understanding of the key dynamical mechanisms that contribute to the electric and magnetic polarizability effects.

Author contributions

NS: writing-original draft and writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under contract no. DE-SC0016577.

Acknowledgments

The author thank F. Hagelstein, J. Bericic, V. Pascalutsa, and F. Castellani for providing figures for this article.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Oxley CL, Telegdi VL. Scattering of 30- to 95-mev photons by protons. *Phys Rev* (1955) 100:435–6. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.100.435

2. Oxley CL. Scattering of 25-87 Mev photons by protons. *Phys Rev* (1958) 110:733–7. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.110.733

3. Pugh GE, Gomez R, Frisch DH, Janes GS. Nuclear scattering of 50- to 130-mev gamma rays. *Phys Rev* (1957) 105:982–95. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.105.982

4. Hyman LG, Ely R, Frisch DH, Wahlig MA. Scattering of 50- to 140-mev photons by protons and deuterons. *Phys Rev Lett* (1959) 3:93–6. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.3.93

5. Bernardini G, Hanson AO, Odian AC, Yamagata T, Auerbach LB, Filosofo I. Proton compton effect. *Nuovo Cim* (1960) 18:1203–36. doi:10.1007/BF02733177

6. Zyla PA, Barnett RM, Beringer J, Dahl O, Dwyer DA, Groom DE, et al. Review of particle physics. *PTEP 2020* (2020) 2020:083C01. doi:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104

7. Downie EJ, Fonvieille H. Real and virtual compton scattering: the nucleon polarisabilities. *Eur Phys J ST* (2011) 198:287–306. doi:10.1140/epjst/e2011-01495-x

8. Ragusa S. Third order spin polarizabilities of the nucleon. *Phys Rev D* (1993) 47: 3757–67. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.47.3757

9. Federspiel FJ, Eisenstein RA, Lucas MA, MacGibbon BE, Mellendorf K, Nathan AM, et al. The Proton Compton effect: a Measurement of the electric and magnetic polarizabilities of the proton. *Phys Rev Lett* (1991) 67:1511–4. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 67.1511

10. Blanpied G, Blecher M, Caracappa A, Deininger R, Djalali C, Giordano G, et al. N —> delta transition and proton polarizabilities from measurements of p (gamma polarized, gamma), p (gamma polarized, pi0), and p (gamma polarized, pi+). *Phys Rev C* (2001) 64:025203. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.64.025203

11. de León VO, Wissmann F, Achenbach P, Ahrens J, Arends HJ, Beck R, et al. Low-energy Compton scattering and the polarizabilities of the proton. *Eur Phys J* (2001) 10:207–15. doi:10.1007/s100500170132

12. Baldin A. Polarizability of nucleons. Nucl Phys (1960) 18:310-7. doi:10.1016/0029-5582(60)90408-9

13. Lapidus L. Scattering of gamma quanta and polarizability of nuclei and nucleons. Sov Phys JETP (1963) 16:964.

14. Mornacchi E, Martel P, Abt S, Achenbach P, Adlarson P, Afzal F, et al. Measurement of compton scattering at mami for the extraction of the electric and magnetic polarizabilities of the proton. *Phys Rev Lett* (2022) 128:132503. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.128.132503

15. Li X, Ahmed M, Banu A, Bartram C, Crowe B, Downie E, et al. Proton compton scattering from linearly polarized gamma rays. *Phys Rev Lett* (2022) 128:132502. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevLett.128.132502

16. Mornacchi E, Rodini S, Pasquini B, Pedroni P. First concurrent extraction of the leading-order scalar and spin proton polarizabilities. *Phys Rev Lett* (2022) 129:102501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.102501

17. Fonvieille H, Pasquini B, Sparveris N. Virtual compton scattering and nucleon generalized polarizabilities. *Prog Part Nucl Phys* (2020) 113:103754. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp. 2020.103754

18. Pasquini B, Vanderhaeghen M. Dispersion theory in electromagnetic interactions. Ann Rev Nucl Part Sci (2018) 68:75–103. doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-101917-020843

19. Hagelstein F, Miskimen R, Pascalutsa V. Nucleon polarizabilities: from compton scattering to hydrogen atom. *Prog Part Nucl Phys* (2016) 88:29–97. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp. 2015.12.001

20. Guichon PAM, Vanderhaeghen M. Virtual Compton scattering off the nucleon. Prog Part Nucl Phys (1998) 41:125-90. doi:10.1016/S0146-6410(98)00056-8

21. Drechsel D, Pasquini B, Vanderhaeghen M. Dispersion relations in real and virtual Compton scattering. *Phys Rept* (2003) 378:99–205. doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(02)00636-1

22. Griesshammer HW, McGovern JA, Phillips DR, Feldman G. Using effective field theory to analyse low-energy Compton scattering data from protons and light nuclei. *Prog Part Nucl Phys* (2012) 67:841–97. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2012.04.003

23. Holstein BR, Scherer S. Hadron polarizabilities. Ann Rev Nucl Part Sci (2014) 64: 51–81. doi:10.1146/annurev-nucl-102313-025555

24. Geng L. Recent developments in SU(3) covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory. *Front Phys (Beijing)* (2013) 8:328–48. doi:10.1007/s11467-013-0327-7

25. Deur A, Brodsky SJ, De Téramond GF. The spin structure of the nucleon. *Report on Progress in Physics* (2018) 82:076201. doi:10.1088/1361-6633/ab0b8f

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

26. Guichon PAM, Liu GQ, Thomas AW. Virtual Compton scattering and generalized polarizabilities of the proton. *Nucl Phys* (1995) A591:606-38. doi:10. 1016/0375-9474(95)00217-O

27. Drechsel D, Knochlein G, Korchin AY, Metz A, Scherer S. Structure analysis of the virtual Compton scattering amplitude at low-energies. *Phys Rev* (1998) C57:941–52. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.57.941

28. Drechsel D, Knochlein G, Korchin AY, Metz A, Scherer S. Low-energy and low momentum representation of the virtual Compton scattering amplitude. *Phys Rev* (1998) C58:1751–7. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1751

29. Pasquini B, Gorchtein M, Drechsel D, Metz A, Vanderhaeghen M. Dispersion relation formalism for virtual Compton scattering off the proton. *Eur Phys J* (2001) A11: 185–208. doi:10.1007/s100500170084

30. Pasquini B, Drechsel D, Gorchtein M, Metz A, Vanderhaeghen M. Dispersion relation formalism for virtual Compton scattering and the generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon. *Phys Rev* (2000) C62:052201. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.62.052201

31. Liu GQ, Thomas AW, Guichon PAM. Virtual Compton scattering from the proton and the properties of nucleon excited states. *Austral J Phys* (1996) 49:905–18. doi:10.1071/PH960905

32. Pasquini B, Scherer S, Drechsel D. Generalized polarizabilities of the proton in a constituent quark model revisited. *Phys Rev* (2001) C63:025205. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC. 63.025205

33. Pasquini B, Salmè G. Nucleon generalized polarizabilities within a relativistic constituent quark model. *Phys Rev* (1998) C57:2589–96. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.57.2589

34. Vanderhaeghen M. Virtual Compton scattering study below pion production threshold. *Phys Lett* (1996) B368:13–9. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(95)01495-0

35. Vanderhaeghen M. Double polarization observables in virtual Compton scattering. *Phys Lett* (1997) B402:243–50. doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00500-5

36. Korchin AY, Scholten O. Nucleon polarizabilities in virtual Compton scattering. *Phys Rev* (1998) C58:1098–100. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1098

37. Metz A, Drechsel D. Generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon studied in the linear sigma model. Z Phys (1996) A356:351–7. doi:10.1007/s002180050188

38. Metz A, Drechsel D. Generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon studied in the linear sigma model. 2. Z Phys (1997) A359:165-72. doi:10.1007/s002180050384

39. Drechsel D, Knochlein G, Metz A, Scherer S. Generalized polarizabilities and the spin averaged amplitude in virtual Compton scattering off the nucleon. *Phys Rev* (1997) C55:424–30. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.55.424

40. Hemmert TR, Holstein BR, Knochlein G, Scherer S. Virtual Compton scattering off the nucleon in chiral perturbation theory. *Phys Rev* (1997) D55:2630–43. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevD.55.2630

41. Hemmert TR, Holstein BR, Knochlein G, Scherer S. Generalized polarizabilities and the chiral structure of the nucleon. *Phys Rev Lett* (1997) 79:22–5. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.79.22

42. Kao C-W, Vanderhaeghen M. Generalized spin polarizabilities of the nucleon in heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory at next-to-leading order. *Phys Rev Lett* (2002) 89:272002. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.272002

43. Kao C-W, Pasquini B, Vanderhaeghen M. New predictions for generalized spin polarizabilities from heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory. *Phys.Rev.* (2004) D70: 114004. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.114004

44. Hemmert TR, Holstein BR, Knochlein G, Drechsel D. Generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon in chiral effective theories. *Phys Rev* (2000) D62:014013. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevD.62.014013

45. Pascalutsa V, Phillips DR. Effective theory of the Delta(1232) in Compton scattering off the nucleon. *Phys Rev* (2003) C67:055202. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.67. 055202

46. Lensky V, Pascalutsa V, Vanderhaeghen M. Generalized polarizabilities of the nucleon in baryon chiral perturbation theory. *Eur Phys J* (2017) C77:119. doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4652-9

47. Vanderhaeghen M, Friedrich JM, Lhuillier D, Marchand D, Van Hoorebeke L, Van de Wiele J. QED radiative corrections to virtual Compton scattering. *Phys Rev* (2000) C62:025501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.62.025501

48. Bytev V, Kuraev EA, Tomasi-Gustafsson E. Radiative corrections to the deeply virtual compton scattering electron tensor. *Phys Rev C* (2008) 77:055205. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevC.77.055205

49. Correa L. Measurement of the generalized polarizabilities of the proton by virtual Compton scattering at MAMI and $Q^2 = 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$. Ph.D. thesis, Clermont-Fd (France) and Mainz (Germany) Universities (2016).

50. Friedrich J. Messung der Virtuellen Comptonstreuung an MAMI zur Bestimmung Generalisiert Polarisierbarkeiten des Protons. (Germany): Johannes Gutenberg-Universitat Mainz (2000). Ph.D. thesis.

51. Lhuillier D. *Diffusion Compton virtuelle à basse énergie*. France: Caen University (1997). Ph.D. thesis.

52. Marchand D. Calcul des corrections radiatives à la diffusion Compton virtuelle. In: Mesure absolue de l'énergie du faisceau d'électrons de Jefferson Lab (Hall A) par une méthode magnétique: projet ARC. France: University Blaise Pascal of Clermont-Fd (1998). Ph.D. thesis.

53. Tsai Y-S. Radiative corrections to electron scatterings (1971). SLAC-PUB-0848.

54. Roche J, Friedrich JM, Lhuillier D, Bartsch P, Baumann D, Berthot J, et al. First determination of generalized polarizabilities of the proton by a virtual compton scattering experiment. *Phys Rev Lett* (2000) 85:708–11. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.708

55. d'Hose N. Virtual compton scattering at MAMI. *Eur Phys J* (2006) A28S1:117–27. doi:10.1140/epja/i2006-09-013-6

56. Laveissiere G, Todor L, Degrande N, Jaminion S, Jutier C, Di Salvo R, et al. Measurement of the generalized polarizabilities of the proton in virtual Compton scattering at $Q^2 = 0.92$ GeV² and 1.76 GeV². *Phys Rev Lett* (2004) 93:122001. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevLett.93.122001

57. Fonvieille H, Laveissière G, Degrande N, Jaminion S, Jutier C, Todor L, et al. Virtual compton scattering and the generalized polarizabilities of the proton at Q^2 = 0.92 and 1.76 GeV². *Phys.Rev.* (2012) C86:015210. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.86.015210

58. Bourgeois P, Sato Y, Shaw J, Alarcon R, Bernstein AM, Bertozzi W, et al. Measurements of the generalized electric and magnetic polarizabilities of the proton at low Q^2 using the VCS reaction. *Phys Rev Lett* (2006) 97:212001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.212001

59. Bourgeois P, Sato Y, Shaw J, Alarcon R, Bernstein AM, Bertozzi W, et al. Measurements of the generalized electric and magnetic polarizabilities of the proton at low Q² using the virtual Compton scattering reaction. *Phys Rev* (2011) C84:035206. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.84.035206

60. Janssens P, Doria L, Achenbach P, Ayerbe Gayoso C, Baumann D, Bernauer JC, et al. A New measurement of the structure functions $P_{LL} - P_{TT}/\epsilon$ and P_{LT} in virtual Compton scattering at $Q^2 = 0.33$ (GeV/c)². *Eur Phys J* (2008) A37:1–8. doi:10.1140/epja/i2008-10609-3

61. Blomberg A, Atac H, Sparveris N, Paolone M, Achenbach P, Benali M, et al. Virtual Compton Scattering measurements in the nucleon resonance region. *Eur Phys J* (2019) A55:182. doi:10.1140/epja/i2019-12877-0

62. Beričič J, Correa L, Benali M, Achenbach P, Ayerbe Gayoso C, Bernauer J, et al. New insight in the Q^2 -dependence of proton generalized polarizabilities. *Phys Rev Lett* (2019) 123:192302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.192302

63. Fonvieille H. Virtual compton scattering on the proton. In: New avenues in lepton scattering, parallel workshop (*EINN 2017 conference*) (2017).

64. Li R, Sparveris N, Atac H, Jones MK, Paolone M, Akbar Z, et al. Measured proton electromagnetic structure deviates from theoretical predictions. *Nature* (2022) 611: 265–70. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05248-1

65. Kroll P, Schurmann M, Guichon PAM. Virtual Compton scattering off protons at moderately large momentum transfer. *Nucl Phys* (1996) A598:435–61. doi:10.1016/0375-9474(96)00002-4

66. Bensafa IK, et al. Beam-helicity asymmetry in photon and pion electroproduction in the Delta(1232) resonance region at $Q^2 = 0.35$ (GeV/c)². Eur Phys J (2007) A32:69–75. doi:10.1140/epja/i2006-10277-3

67. Bensafa IK. Mesure de l'asymétrie de spin de faisceau en diffusion Compton virtuelle polarisée sur le proton. In: *Etude du spectre d'énergie du nucléon par le modèle de potentiel de type QCD*. University Blaise Pascal of Clermont-Fd (France (2006). Ph.D. thesis.

68. Drechsel D, Hanstein O, Kamalov SS, Tiator L. A Unitary isobar model for pion photoproduction and electroproduction on the proton up to 1-GeV. *Nucl Phys A* (1999) 645:145–74. doi:10.1016/S0375-9474(98)00572-7

69. Kamalov SS, Chen G-Y, Yang S-N, Drechsel D, Tiator L. π 0 Photo- and electroproduction at threshold within a dynamical model. *Phys Lett B* (2001) 522: 27–36. doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01241-2

70. Doria L, Janssens P, Achenbach P, Ayerbe Gayoso C, Baumann D, Bensafa I, et al. Measurement of the beam-recoil polarization in low-energy virtual compton scattering from the proton. *Phys Rev C* (2015) 92:054307. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.92.054307

71. Gorchtein M, Lorce C, Pasquini B, Vanderhaeghen M. Light-front interpretation of proton generalized polarizabilities. *Phys Rev Lett* (2010) 104:112001. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.104.112001

72. Atac H, Constantinou M, Meziani ZE, Paolone M, Sparveris N. Measurement of the neutron charge radius and the role of its constituents. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12:1759. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22028-z

73. Tiesinga E, Mohr PJ, Newell DB, Taylor BN. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2018. *Rev Mod Phys* (2021) 93:025010. doi:10.1103/ RevModPhys.93.025010

74. Atac H, et al. Measurement of the generalized polarizabilities of the proton in virtual compton scattering. *nucl-ex arXiv:2308.07197* (2023).

75. Pasquini B, Vanderhaeghen M. Virtual Compton scattering at low energies with a positron beam. *Eur Phys J* (2021) 57:316. doi:10.1140/epja/s10050-021-00630-5

76. Sparveris N, et al. Measurement of the Generalized Polarizabilities of the Proton with positron and polarized electron beams (2023). JLab PAC51 LoI-12-23-001.

77. Wang X, et al. Nucleon electric polarizabilities and nucleon-pion scattering at the physical pion mass. *hep-lat arXiv:2310* (2023):01168.

78. Castellani F. Nucleon electric and magnetic polarizabilities in Holographic QCD (2024). hep-ph arXiv:2402.07553.

79. Janssens P. Double-polarized virtual Compton scattering as a probe of the proton structure. Belgium: Gent University (2007). Ph.D. thesis.

80. Doria L. Polarization observables in virtual compton scattering. (Germany): Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz (2008). Ph.D. thesis.

81. Pascalutsa V, Vanderhaeghen M. Polarizability relations across real and virtual Compton scattering processes. Phys Rev D (2015) 91:051503. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.051503

82. Lensky V, Pascalutsa V, Vanderhaeghen M, Kao C. Spin-dependent sum rules connecting real and virtual Compton scattering verified. *Phys Rev D* (2017) 95:074001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.074001

83. Gell-Mann M, Goldberger ML, Thirring WE. Use of causality conditions in quantum theory. *Phys Rev* (1954) 95:1612–27. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.95.1612

84. Gerasimov SB. A sum rule for magnetic moments and the damping of the nucleon magnetic moment in nuclei. *Sov J Nucl Phys* (1966) 2:430.

85. Drell SD, Hearn AC. Exact sum rule for nucleon magnetic moments. *Phys Rev Lett* (1966) 16:908–11. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.16.908

86. Hagelstein F. Nucleon polarizabilities and compton scattering as playground for chiral perturbation theory. *Symmetry* (2020) 12:1407. doi:10.3390/sym12091407

87. Bernauer JC, Achenbach P, Ayerbe Gayoso C, Böhm R, Bosnar D, Debenjak L, et al. High-precision determination of the electric and magnetic form factors of the proton. *Phys Rev Lett* (2010) 105:242001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.242001

88. Atac H, Constantinou M, Meziani ZE, Paolone M, Sparveris N. Charge radii of the nucleon from its flavor dependent Dirac form factors. *Eur Phys J* (2021) 57:65. doi:10. 1140/epja/s10050-021-00389-9

89. Pohl R, Antognini A, Nez F, Amaro FD, Biraben F, Cardoso JMR, et al. The size of the proton. *Nature* (2010) 466:213-6. doi:10.1038/nature09250

90. Antognini A, Nez F, Schuhmann K, Amaro FD, Biraben F, Cardoso JMR, et al. Proton structure from the measurement of 2S - 2P transition frequencies of muonic hydrogen. *Science* (2013) 339:417–20. doi:10.1126/science.1230016

91. Xiong W, Gasparian A, Gao H, Dutta D, Khandaker M, Liyanage N, et al. A small proton charge radius from an electron–proton scattering experiment. *Nature* (2019) 575:147–50. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1721-2

92. Fleurbaey H, Galtier S, Thomas S, Bonnaud M, Julien L, Biraben F, et al. New measurement of the 1S-3S transition frequency of hydrogen: contribution to the proton charge radius puzzle. *Phys Rev Lett* (2018) 120:183001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.183001

93. Bezginov N, Valdez T, Horbatsch M, Marsman A, Vutha AC, Hessels EA. A measurement of the atomic hydrogen Lamb shift and the proton charge radius. *Science* (2019) 365:1007–12. doi:10.1126/science.aau7807

94. Grinin A, Matveev A, Yost DC, Maisenbacher L, Wirthl V, Pohl R, et al. Twophoton frequency comb spectroscopy of atomic hydrogen. *Science* (2020) 370:1061–6. doi:10.1126/science.abc7776