



# [Dissipative Magnetic Soliton in a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphy.2021.805841/full) [Spinor Polariton Bose](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphy.2021.805841/full)–[Einstein](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphy.2021.805841/full) **[Condensate](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphy.2021.805841/full)**

Chunyu Jia<sup>1</sup>, Rukuan Wu<sup>1</sup>, Ying Hu<sup>2,3</sup>\*, Wu-Ming Liu<sup>4,5,6</sup> and Zhaoxin Liang<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China, <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Quantum Optics Devices, Institute of Laser Spectroscopy, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China, <sup>3</sup>Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China, <sup>4</sup>Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>5</sup>School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>6</sup>Songshan Lake Materials Laboratory, Dongguan, China

Magnetic soliton is an intriguing nonlinear topological excitation that carries magnetic charges while featuring a constant total density. So far, it has only been studied in the ultracold atomic gases with the framework of the equilibrium physics, where its stable existence crucially relies on a nearly spin-isotropic, antiferromagnetic, interaction. Here, we demonstrate that magnetic soliton can appear as the exact solutions of dissipative Gross–Pitaevskii equations in a linearly polarized spinor polariton condensate with the framework of the non-equilibrium physics, even though polariton interactions are strongly spin anisotropic. This is possibly due to a dissipation-enabled mechanism, where spin excitation decouples from other excitation channels as a result of gain-and-loss balance. Such unconventional magnetic soliton transcends constraints of equilibrium counterpart and provides a novel kind of spin-polarized polariton soliton for potential application in optospintronics.

### **OPEN ACCESS**

# Edited by:

Xiaoyong Hu, Peking University, China

### Reviewed by:

Hanquan Wang, Yunnan University of Finance And Economics, China Ying Wang, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Ying Hu [huying@sxu.edu.cn](mailto:huying@sxu.edu.cn) Zhaoxin Liang [zhxliang@gmail.com](mailto:zhxliang@gmail.com)

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Optics and Photonics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physics

Received: 31 October 2021 Accepted: 15 November 2021 Published: 23 December 2021

#### Citation:

Jia C, Wu R, Hu Y, Liu W-M and Liang Z (2021) Dissipative Magnetic Soliton in a Spinor Polariton Bose–Einstein Condensate. Front. Phys. 9:805841. doi: [10.3389/fphy.2021.805841](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2021.805841)

Keywords: exciton–polariton Bose-Einstein condensate, soliton, excitation, Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation, spinor

# I INTRODUCTION

Spinor polariton condensate in semiconductor microcavities [[1](#page-5-0)–[4\]](#page-5-1) provides a unique out-ofequilibrium platform for exploring exotic nonlinear excitations with spin textures, which may even transcend usual restrictions of equilibrium systems. Formed from strong couplings between excitons and photons, polaritons possess peculiar spin properties: the  $J_z = \pm 1$  (spin-up or spin-down) spin projections of the total angular momentum of excitons along the growth axis of the structure directly correspond to the right- and left-circularly polarized photons absorbed or emitted by the cavity, respectively [\[1\]](#page-5-0). Therefore, the properties of a spinor polariton fluid (e.g., density and phase distributions) can be probed from the properties of the emitted light [\[5\]](#page-5-2). In addition, the polariton–polariton interaction features a strong spin anisotropy [[6](#page-5-3)–[8\]](#page-5-4), with a repulsive interaction between same spins  $(g > 0)$  and a weaker, attractive, interaction between opposite spins ( $g_{12}$  < 0). Furthermore, a polariton condensate is intrinsically open dissipative, distinguishing it fundamentally from its atomic counterpart [\[9\]](#page-5-5). Recently, half-soliton [\[10](#page-5-6), [11](#page-5-7)] and half-vortices [[12,](#page-5-8) [13\]](#page-5-9) behaving like magnetic monopoles have been experimentally observed in spinor polariton condensates under coherent pumping. There, the key prerequisite for such excitation is the spinanisotropic antiferromagnetic interaction, while dissipation only occurs as a perturbation. Instead, below, we present a new kind of polariton soliton that carries magnetic charges—dissipative



<span id="page-1-0"></span>magnetic soliton (DMS). In particular, whereas magnetic soliton cannot occur in equilibrium condensates with strongly spinanisotropic (antiferromagnetic) interactions, it can nevertheless appear in non-equilibrium spinor polariton condensates harnessing dissipation as essential resources.

Magnetic soliton [[14](#page-5-10)–[16\]](#page-5-11) is a localized nonlinear topological excitation, which exhibits a density dip in one component and a hump in the other, but featuring a constant total density. It is a fundamentally important entity in the nonlinear context, as it provides an exceptional example of exact vector soliton solution that can exist outside the paradigmatic Manakov limit ( $g = g_{12}$ ); within this limit, a multicomponent nonlinear system is integrable [[17](#page-5-12)–[19](#page-5-13)]. It also attracts considerable interests in the condensed matter, offering interesting perspectives as regards many-body phenomenon of solitonic matter [[20\]](#page-5-14). So far, magnetic soliton has only been realized in a spinor Bose–Einstein condensate (BEC) with nearly-isotropic spin interactions of antiferromagnetic type [[14](#page-5-10), [21,](#page-5-15) [22](#page-5-16)],  $0 < g - g_{12}$  $\ll g$ . This requirement is essential because it makes the density depletion—inevitably induced alongside spin excitation—strongly suppressed by a high energy cost, thus ensuring the characteristic constant density background of magnetic soliton. Beyond this regime, a stable magnetic soliton cannot occur in an atomic superfluid.

In this work, we theoretically show that a stable magnetic soliton can be formed in a linearly polarized polariton condensate under non-resonant excitations with a spatially homogeneous pump, even though  $g - g_{12} > g$ . It is an *exact* soliton solution to the multicomponent driven-dissipative Gross–Pitaevskii (GP) equation, preserving its energy over infinitely long times—so coined as DMS. It stems from a dissipation-enabled mechanism rather than an energetic mechanism (cf. [Figure 1](#page-1-0)): the spinpolarization excitation, originally coupled to other dissipative

excitations in a multicomponent quantum fluid, becomes decoupled conditionally on the local balance of gain and loss, thus allowing non-decaying localized spin texture far from the spin-isotropic Manakov limit. We remark that DMS exists for a time-independent and spatially uniform pump, which affords an appealing advantage in view of potential application [\[23](#page-5-17), [24\]](#page-5-18): while polariton soliton has been well known to promise applications in opto-spintronics, present schemes for the generation and stabilization of solitons usually rely on complex engineering of the space–time profile of the pump [\[25](#page-5-19)–[30](#page-6-0)], which requires optical isolation that has hitherto been challenging to integrate at acceptable performance levels and introduce redundant and power-hungry electronic components.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In [Section II](#page-1-1), we present our theoretical model of dissipative Gross–Pitaevskii equations, based on which we solve for the novel magnetic solitons that carry magnetic charges while featuring a constant total density. In [Section IV](#page-4-0), we present a comprehensive study of the physical mechanism of the magnetic solitons with the help of the dynamic structure factors. Finally, we conclude with a summary in [Section V](#page-4-1), and all the detailed mathematical derivations are outlined in Section A

# <span id="page-1-1"></span>II DISSIPATIVE GROSS–PITAEVSKII EQUATIONS AT QUASI-1D

Motivated by Ref. [\[31\]](#page-6-1), we consider a spinor polariton BEC formed under a homogeneous incoherent pumping in a wireshaped microcavity that bounds the polaritons to a quasi-1D channel in the following geometry: In the  $x$ -direction, the polariton BEC is homogeneous; in the  $\nu$ -direction, the wire size  $d$  is sufficiently small compared to the wire length, thus providing a strong lateral quantum confinement. Moreover, the incoherent pump is also restricted to a small transverse size comparable to d. When  $\hbar^2/(md^2) \gg gn_0$ , where m is the effective mass of polaritons and  $n_0$  is the 1D polarion density, the polarion motion in the  $y$  direction can be seen as frozen. In this case, the order parameter for the polariton BEC at quasi-1D can be effectively described by a complex vector [[32](#page-6-2)–[36\]](#page-6-3),  $\psi(x, t) \equiv [\psi_1(x, t), \psi_2(x, t)]^T$ , in the circular basis. Here,  $\psi_1$ and  $\psi_2$  are the spin-up and spin-down wavefunctions, and we denote the density in each component by  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively. The system dynamics is governed by driven-dissipative GP equations coupled to a rate equation for the reservoir density  $n_R$  [[37](#page-6-4)–[41\]](#page-6-5):

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + g |\psi_1|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_2|^2 \right] \psi_1
$$
  
+  $g_R n_R \psi_1 + D_s \psi_1$ , (1)

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial t} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + g |\psi_2|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_1|^2 \right] \psi_2 \tag{2}
$$

$$
+g_R n_R \psi_2 + D_s \psi_2,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial n_R}{\partial t} = P - \left[ \gamma_R + R \left( \left| \psi_1 \right|^2 + \left| \psi_2 \right|^2 \right) \right] n_R. \tag{3}
$$

Here, interactions between polaritons are typically  $g_{12} < 0$ ,  $g >$ 0, and  $|g_{12}| < g$ . The interaction between the condensate and reservoir is modeled by constant  $g_R$ . Condensed polaritons decay at a rate  $\gamma_c$  but are replenished from the reservoir at a rate R. This process is captured by  $D_s = i\hbar(Rn_R - \gamma_C)/2$ . Reservoir polariton decays at a rate  $\gamma_R$  and is driven by an off-resonant continuouswave pump, which is spatially homogeneous. Note that, here, we have assumed that the reservoir lacks spin selectivity due to infinite fast spin relaxation [\[37](#page-6-4)].

<span id="page-2-4"></span>The steady-state solutions of [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)–[3](#page-1-3) are given by

$$
\psi_{1(2)}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{P/\gamma_{C} - \gamma_{R}/R}{2}},
$$
\n
$$
n_{R}^{0} = \gamma_{C}/R,
$$
\n(4)

where  $\psi_{1(2)}^0$  and  $n_R^0$  denote the steady-state condensate wavefunction of each component and reservoir density, respectively. As shown, the steady-state polariton BEC has a uniform density determined by  $n_0 = P/\gamma_C - \gamma_R/R$  and is linearly polarized with a stochastic polarization direction in the absence of pinning [\[1](#page-5-0)]. Note that [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)-[3](#page-1-3) in the limit of fast reservoir [[3,](#page-5-20) [42](#page-6-6)] are of immediate relevance in the context of the complex Ginzburg Landau equations [\[23](#page-5-17), [24\]](#page-5-18). In the following, we choose system parameters where such steady state is within the modulation stable regime [\[42](#page-6-6)–[45](#page-6-7)] (this can be further seen in [Section IV](#page-4-0)).

### III DISSIPATIVE MAGNETIC SOLITON

On top of the steady state, two kinds of excitations can occur: density excitation and spin-polarization excitation. These excitations are, in general, correlated with each other and with the reservoir, so that fluctuations in one channel can induce that in another and are dissipative. As shown below, the central result of this work is that under the condition

$$
D_s \psi_s = 0,\t\t(5)
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>the spin-polarization excitation decouples from other dissipative channels, such that it can support a new kind of nonlinear excitation against the steady-state background in situations not allowed in the equilibrium case.

We look for an analytical solution  $\psi(x - vt) \equiv [\psi_1(x - vt), \psi_2(x - vt)]^T$  (in the circular basis) satisfying [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)-[3](#page-1-3), which describes a moving soliton with velocity *v*. For simplicity, hereafter, we will denote  $\eta = x - vt$ . To describe populations in each component, we rewrite  $n_1 = n_0 (1$ +  $\delta n_1$ )/2 and  $n_2 = n_0 (1 - \delta n_2)$ /2 in terms of the total density  $n_0$ and the dimensionless variables  $\delta n_{1(2)}$ . We, moreover, define a linear polarization angle  $\varphi_r$  and global phase  $\varphi_{g_r}$ . The order parameter can then be generically written as

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{n_0}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 + \delta n_1} e^{i\frac{\varphi_r}{2}} \\ \sqrt{1 - \delta n_2} e^{-i\frac{\varphi_r}{2}} \end{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_g/2} e^{-i\frac{\mu_R t}{\hbar}}, \tag{6}
$$

with  $\mu_R = g_R \gamma_C/R$ . We consider general boundary conditions:  $\lim_{\eta \to \pm \infty} \delta n_{1(2)}(\eta) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\eta \to \pm \infty} \partial_{\eta} \varphi_{r(g)}(\eta) = 0$ . Our goal next is to determine  $n_0$ ,  $\delta n_{1(2)}$ ,  $\varphi_r$ , and  $\varphi_g$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>Exact solutions for [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)–[3](#page-1-3) can be found under condition (5). The detailed calculations can be found in [Appendix A](#page-7-0). The results are:

$$
\delta n_1 = \delta n_2 = \sqrt{1 - U^2} \mathrm{sech}\left[\frac{\eta}{\xi_s} \sqrt{1 - U^2}\right],\tag{7}
$$

$$
\varphi_r = \arctan\left[\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{\xi_s}\sqrt{1-U^2}\right)}{U}\right] + \frac{\pi}{2},\tag{8}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\varphi_g = -\arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{1 - U^2} \tanh\left(\frac{\eta}{\xi_s} \sqrt{1 - U^2}\right)}{U}\right]
$$
\n
$$
-\arctan\left[\frac{\sqrt{1 - U^2}}{U}\right].
$$
\n(9)

Here,  $U=v/\sqrt{n_0(g-g_{12})/2m}$  is a dimensionless velocity and  $\xi_s = \hbar / \sqrt{2mn_0(g - g_{12})}$  denotes the spin healing length.

A typical space–time profile of the above soliton solution is illustrated in [Figure 2A](#page-3-0) for  $g_{12} = -0.1g$ . The density distribution  $n_{1(2)}$  in each component and  $\varphi_r$  and  $\varphi_g$  at a chosen time are shown in [Figure 2B](#page-3-0). We see that, unlike half-solitons, the vector soliton here is characterized by a density notch in one component and a hump in the other, whereas  $n_1 + n_2 \equiv n_0$  is constant, i.e., it is magnetic soliton (see [Figure 2A](#page-3-0) and top panel of [Figure 2B](#page-3-0)). The linear polarization angle  $\varphi_r$  and the global phase  $\varphi_\varrho$  vary simultaneously in space (see bottom panel of [Figure 2B](#page-3-0)):  $\varphi_r$  always jumps by  $\pi$  across the soliton,  $\lim_{\eta \to +\infty} \varphi_r - \lim_{\eta \to -\infty} \varphi_r = \pi$ , regardless of soliton velocity. In contrast, the phase jump of  $\varphi_{g}$  is velocity dependent, with the maximum shift  $-\pi$  only for stationary case.

To verify the above analytical solution, we have numerically solved [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)–[3](#page-1-3) starting from an initial order parameter given by [Eqs.](#page-2-0) [6](#page-2-0)–[9](#page-2-1) for  $t = 0$  along with  $n_R (0) = \gamma_C/R$ . Comparisons of numerical and analytical solutions show perfect agreement; see [Figure 2B](#page-3-0) for  $t/\tau$  = 15. We have numerically verified the stability of our solution by time evolving an initial order parameter where  $n_1$  (0) –  $n_2$  (0) is perturbed from [Eq. 7](#page-2-2) while keeping  $n_0 = n_1 (0) + n_2 (0)$  fixed.

The polarization texture of polariton magnetic soliton in [Eqs.](#page-2-0) [6](#page-2-0)–[9](#page-2-1) can be characterized by standard Stokes parameters [[33](#page-6-8), [46,](#page-6-9) [47](#page-6-10)],  $S(\eta) = (S_x, S_y, S_z)$ , with  $S_x(\eta) = 2\Re(\psi_1^* \psi_2)/n_0$ ,  $S_y(\eta) = 2\mathfrak{F}(\psi_1^* \psi_2)/n_0$ , and  $S_z(\eta) = (|\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2)/n_0$ . Here,  $\Re$ and  $\Im$  denote the real and imaginary part, respectively. For the stationary case  $U = 0$ ,  $S(\eta)$  is entirely in the  $(S_x, S_z)$  plane and presents an ingoing divergent spin texture whose direction defines the magnetic charge (see top panel of [Figure 2C](#page-3-0)): The degree of circularization  $S_z$  reaches unity at the center, while the linear polarization  $S_x$  flips its direction crossing the soliton core due to the  $\pi$  jump in  $\varphi_r$ . In comparison, a moving soliton (see bottom panel of [Figure 2C](#page-3-0)) has a broadened localization width,  $l_w = \xi_s / \sqrt{1 - U^2}$ , and its polarization becomes strongly elliptical in the  $(S_v, S_z)$  plane near the core, with a decreased circular polarization (i.e., magnetization) given by  $S_z(\eta) = \sqrt{1 - U^2}$ . However, the linear polarization still flips across the soliton, independent of U.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIGURE 2 | Properties of DMS. (A) Density of each component,  $n_1 = n_0 (1 + \delta n_1)/2$  and  $n_2 = n_0 (1 - \delta n_2)/2$  (see [Eqs. 6](#page-2-0), [7](#page-2-2)), in space and time. (B) Spatial distribution of  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , the linear polarization angle  $\varphi$ <sub>r</sub>, and the global phase  $\varphi$ <sub>g</sub>, at a dimensionless time t/ $\tau$  = 15. Top panel:  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ ; bottom panel:  $\varphi$ <sub>r</sub> and  $\varphi$ <sub>g</sub>. In both panels, analytical results are compared to numerical solutions of [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)-[3](#page-1-3). (C) Polarization texture. Top panel: schematics in the stationary case. Bottom panel: Stokes parameters for a moving DMS. In all plots, we take  $\gamma_C$  = 0.01ps<sup>−1</sup>, R = 0.01ps<sup>−1</sup>μm<sup>2</sup>, g = 0.01meVμm<sup>2</sup>, p = 0.41ps<sup>−1</sup>μm<sup>2</sup>, γ<sub>R</sub>/γ<sub>C</sub> = 40, g<sub>12</sub>/g = − 0.1, and U = 0.6.



<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>To see whether the polariton magnetic soliton in an opendissipative spinor condensate decays with time, we calculated its energy *E* as [[41](#page-6-5), [48](#page-6-11), [49\]](#page-6-12).

$$
E = \int dx \psi^{\dagger} \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \psi + \frac{g - g_{12}}{4} \int dx (n_1 - n_2)^2 + \frac{g + g_{12}}{4} \int dx (n_1 + n_2 - n_0)^2.
$$
 (10)

<span id="page-3-3"></span>Here, the second term corresponds to the spin–spin interaction associated with  $S_z$ , and the third term is the energy associated with the density depletion. Once the gain balances loss, as formulated by [Eq. 5](#page-2-3), we derive straightforwardly (see [Eq. B2](#page-7-1) in [Appendix](#page-7-2))

$$
\frac{dE}{dt} = -2\Re \int \left[ D_s \left( \frac{\partial \psi_1^*}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \psi_2^*}{\partial t} \right) \right] dx = 0.
$$
 (11)

Such non-decaying polariton magnetic soliton, therefore, belongs to dissipative solitons [\[50](#page-6-13)–[52](#page-6-14)].

Such dissipative magnetic soliton (DMS) is quite unconventional, as its creation cannot be understood along the line of the well known example in the equilibrium context. In Bose condensed atomic gas, the key prerequisite for creating magnetic soliton is an antiferromagnetic interaction satisfying  $g - g_{12} \ll g$ , i.e., close to the spin-isotropic Manakov limit ( $g = g_{12}$ ). This condition, as can be seen from [Eq. 10](#page-3-1), creates a large energy separation between the density and spin-polarization excitations: The density depletion from  $n_0$  near the soliton core requires much more energy than that associated with  $S<sub>z</sub>$  making the former energetically suppressed and thus ensuring a constant total density that characterizes magnetic soliton. However, such scenario fails here because polaritons feature  $g - g_{12} > g$ .

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>IV DISSIPATION-ENABLED FORMATION MECHANISM

To understand this unconventional phenomenon, the fact that [Eqs. 6](#page-2-0)–[9](#page-2-1) are exact solutions offer a "sweet point." We see that the balance of gain and loss [[Eq. 5](#page-2-3)] is the key for fixing the background density at  $n_0 = P/\gamma_C - \gamma_R/R$ . Simultaneously, this gives rise to a closed real equation for the magnetization  $[dS_z(y)/dy]^2 + S_z^4(y) - (1 U^2$ ) $S_z^2$  (*y*) = 0 with  $y = \eta/\xi_s$ ; that is, the spin polarization excitation is decoupled from other excitation channels. We emphasize that such conditionally coherent dynamics has a fundamentally different origin from that in a purely conservative system such as atomic BEC. As such, magnetic soliton in the former case can occur far from the Manakov limit, in contrast to the latter where it is only possible when the deviation from  $g = g_{12}$  is small breaking slightly system integrability.

The above dissipation-enabled decoupling of excitations is at the heart of DMS formation, which also manifests itself in the linear excitation regimes, e.g., in the excitation spectrum and linear response function. Briefly, to describe a spinor polariton BEC linearly perturbed from the steady state, we substitute [Eq. 6](#page-2-0) into [Eqs. 1](#page-1-2)–[3](#page-1-3) and follow the standard Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) approach (see details in [Appendix C](#page-7-3)). The eigen-energy  $\hbar \omega_q$  of excitations solves the equation  $[(\hbar \omega_q)^2 - (\hbar \omega_S)]$ 2 ]  $\times \{(\hbar \omega_q)^3 + i(Rn_0 + \gamma_R)(\hbar \omega_q)^2 [Rn_0\gamma_C + (\hbar\omega_B)^2]$  $[Rn_0\gamma_C + (\hbar\omega_B)^2]\hbar\omega_q + ic(q)] = 0,$  where  $\hbar\omega_S = \sqrt{\varepsilon_q^0[\varepsilon_q^0 + (g - g_{12})n_0]}$ ,  $\hbar\omega_B = \sqrt{\varepsilon_q^0[\varepsilon_q^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0]}$ , and  $c(q) = -(Rn_0 + \gamma_R)(\hbar\omega_B)^2 + 2gn_0\gamma_c\epsilon_q^0$ , with  $\epsilon_q^0 = \hbar^2q^2/(2m)$ being the free-particle energy. Two decoupled equations follow: the quadratic equation immediately yields  $\hbar \omega_q$  =  $\pm\hbar\omega_{\rm S}$  for the energy of the spin-polaridzation excitation, whereas the cubic equation reflects the coupled linear excitations in the reservoir and density channel of polariton BEC. Importantly, we see  $\omega_s$  is purely real ([Figure 3A](#page-3-2)), regardless of whether the reservoir is fast or slow compared to the polariton BEC. This feature of the linear spin polarization excitation contrasts to the linear density excitation that generically exhibits a complex energy  $\hbar\omega_D$  and eventually damps out. The latter is most transparent in the fast reservoir limit  $\gamma_R/\gamma_C \gg 1$ . There, an adiabatic elimination of the reservoir gives  $\hbar \omega_D = -i\Gamma/2 \pm \hbar \omega_0$ , with  $\hbar \omega_0 =$ 

$$
\sqrt{\varepsilon_q^0 \left[\varepsilon_q^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0 - 2g_R \hbar \Gamma/R\right] - \Gamma^2/4}
$$
 and

 $\Gamma = n_0 n_R^0 R^2 \hbar / (\gamma_R + n_0 R)$ . Note that  $\omega_D$  is purely imaginary for  $|q| \leq q_c$  due to polariton losses, with  $q_c =$  $\sqrt{m(\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \Gamma^4/4} - \alpha^2)/\hbar^2}$ . Here, we introduced parameter  $\alpha = P/P_{th} - 1$  and the threshold value  $P_{th} = \gamma_R \gamma_C/R$ . In [Figures](#page-3-2) [3A,B](#page-3-2), we show the complex spectrum of linear density excitation for  $\gamma_R/\gamma_C \gg 1$  where the analytical results agree with numerical solutions of BdG equations. Note that the damping spectrum in [Figure 3B](#page-3-2) shows that the considered steady state is indeed modulationally stable.

To further visualize the decoupling of excitations as a result of the balance between gain and loss, we analyze the linear response of the system. Considering an external density perturbation described by  $\lambda e^{i(qx-\omega t)} + H$ . c with  $\lambda \ll 1$  is acted on the exciton–polariton BEC, we calculate the density static structure factor  $S_D(q)$  and the spin-density static structure factor  $S_S(q)$  [\[53](#page-6-15)]. For simplicity of analytical derivation, we assume fast reservoir limit and obtain (see the details in [Appendix C](#page-7-3))

$$
S_D(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}^0}{\pi \hbar |\hbar \omega_0|} \log \left( \frac{\Gamma + 2|\hbar \omega_0|}{\Gamma - 2|\hbar \omega_0|} \right) & q < q_c, \\ \frac{4\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}^0}{\pi \hbar \Gamma} & q = q_c, \quad (12) \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{4\omega_0^2 - \Gamma^2}{4\Gamma \omega_0} \right) & \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}^0}{\hbar \omega_0} \end{cases}
$$

and we also find  $S_S(q) = 0$ . [Figure 3C](#page-3-2) shows  $\lim_{s \to 0} S_D(q) \to 1$ , meaning the response of a polariton  $BEC^{d\rightarrow\infty}_{1}$  a density perturbation is exhausted by the density excitation, without collateral generations of excitations in other excitation sectors. If the system is instead subjected to a spin-dependent perturbation  $\lambda \sigma_z e^{i(qx - \omega t)} + H$ . c, we find  $S_S(q) = hq^2/(2m\omega_S)$ , which approaches unity for  $q \to \infty$  and  $S_D(q) = 0$  (see [Figure 3D](#page-3-2)). This further verifies that a perturbation in the spin polarization sector only induces spin excitations.

### <span id="page-4-1"></span>V CONCLUDING DISCUSSIONS

Summarizing, we theoretically show that a new kind of soliton DMS can be created in a spinor polariton condensate. The value and significance of our work are twofold. First, DMS has no atomic counterpart and relies crucially on the open-dissipative property of the system, in contrast to solitons discussed in Refs. [\[25](#page-5-19)–[30,](#page-6-0) [37](#page-6-4), [54,](#page-6-16) [55](#page-6-17)] and half-solitons in Refs. [[10,](#page-5-6) [11\]](#page-5-7). Second, DMS provides a rare example of exact solutions to the dissipative GP equations at quasi-1D. In the future, it is interesting to explore concrete proposals for the experimental observation of the predicted phenomenon within feasible facilities and to study the unique quantum many-body physics associated with a collection of DMSs with same (opposite) magnetic charges. Furthermore, in our present

theoretical illustration, the condition of [Eq. 5](#page-2-3) reduces to  $D_s = 0$ , but the concept of dissipation-enabled decoupled excitations applies for generic cases where  $D_s \psi_s = 0$  rather than  $D_s = 0$  holds. Thus, it is also interesting to explore in a broader context other new kinds of dissipative solitons that can arise from excitation decoupling.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZL and YH have developed and supervised the research projects with the help of W-ML. CJ and RW have done the detailed calculations. All the authors contribute to writing the manuscript.

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>1. Shelykh IA, Kavokin AV, Rubo YG, Liew TCH, Malpuech G. Polariton Polarization-Sensitive Phenomena in Planar Semiconductor Microcavities. Semicond Sci Technol (2010) 25:013001. doi:[10.1088/0268-1242/25/1/013001](https://doi.org/10.1088/0268-1242/25/1/013001)
- 2. Deng H, Haug H, Yamamoto Y. Exciton-polariton Bose-Einstein Condensation. Rev Mod Phys (2010) 82:1489–537. doi:[10.1103/revmodphys.82.1489](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.82.1489)
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>3. Carusotto I, Ciuti C. Quantum Fluids of Light. Rev Mod Phys (2013) 85: 299–366. doi:[10.1103/revmodphys.85.299](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.85.299)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>4. Byrnes T, Kim NY, Yamamoto Y. Exciton-polariton Condensates. Nat Phys. (2014) 10:803–13. doi:[10.1038/nphys3143](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3143)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>5. Lagoudakis KG, Wouters M, Richard M, Baas A, Carusotto I, André R, et al. Quantized Vortices in an Exciton-Polariton Condensate. Nat Phys (2008) 4: 706–10. doi[:10.1038/nphys1051](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1051)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>6. Renucci P, Amand T, Marie X, Senellart P, Bloch J, Sermage B, et al. Microcavity Polariton Spin Quantum Beats without a Magnetic Field: A Manifestation of Coulomb Exchange in Dense and Polarized Polariton Systems. Phys Rev B (2005) 72:075317. doi:[10.1103/physrevb.72.075317](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.72.075317)
- 7. Takemura N, Anderson MD, Navadeh-Toupchi M, Oberli DY, Portella-Oberli MT, Deveaud B. Spin Anisotropic Interactions of Lower Polaritons in the Vicinity of Polaritonic Feshbach Resonance. Phys Rev B (2017) 95:205303. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.95.205303](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.95.205303)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>8. Navadeh-Toupchi M, Takemura N, Anderson MD, Oberli DY, Portella-Oberli MT. Polaritonic Cross Feshbach Resonance. Phys Rev Lett (2019) 122:047402. doi[:10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.047402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.047402)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>9. Franco D, Giorgini S, Pitaevskii LP, Stringari S. Theory of Bose-Einstein Condensation in Trapped Gases. Rev Mod Phys (1999) 71:463–512.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>10. Flayac H, Solnyshkov DD, Malpuech G. Oblique Half-Solitons and Their Generation in Exciton-Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev B (2011) 83:193305. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.83.193305](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.83.193305)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>11. Hivet R, Flayac H, Solnyshkov DD, Tanese D, Boulier T, Andreoli D, et al. Half-solitons in a Polariton Quantum Fluid Behave like Magnetic Monopoles. Nat Phys (2012) 8:724–8. doi[:10.1038/nphys2406](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2406)
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>12. Yuri GR. Half Vortices in Exciton Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev Lett (2007) 99:106401. doi:[10.1103/PHYSREVLETT.99.106401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PHYSREVLETT.99.106401)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>13. Lagoudakis KG, Ostatnický T, Kavokin AV, Rubo YG, André R, Deveaud-Plédran B. Observation of Half-Quantum Vortices in an Exciton-Polariton Condensate. Science (2009) 326:974–6. doi[:10.1126/science.1177980](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177980)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>14. Qu C, Pitaevskii LP, Stringari S. Magnetic Solitons in a Binary Bose-Einstein Condensate. Phys Rev Lett (2016) 116:160402. doi:[10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.116.160402) [physrevlett.116.160402](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.116.160402)

# FUNDING

The authors declare that they received funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11975208 to the author RW.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge constructive suggestions from Augusto Smerzi, and thank Xingran Xu, Biao Wu, Chao Gao, and Yan Xue for stimulating discussions. This work is financially supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. LZ21A040001), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12074344, 11874038, 11434015, and 61835013) and by the key projects of the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11835011). WM-L is also supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant Nos. 2016YFA0301500) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant Nos. XDB01020300 and XDB21030300).

- 15. Qu C, Tylutki M, Stringari S, Pitaevskii LP. Magnetic Solitons in Rabi-Coupled Bose-Einstein Condensates. Phys Rev A (2017) 95:033614. doi[:10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.95.033614) [physreva.95.033614](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.95.033614)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>16. Fujimoto K, Hamazaki R, Ueda M. Flemish Strings of Magnetic Solitons and a Nonthermal Fixed point in a One-Dimensional Antiferromagnetic Spin-1 Bose Gas. Phys Rev Lett (2019) 122:173001. doi[:10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.122.173001) [physrevlett.122.173001](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.122.173001)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>17. Malomed BA, Mihalache D, Wise F, Torner L. Spatiotemporal Optical Solitons. J Opt B: Quan Semiclass. Opt. (2005) 7:R53–R72. doi[:10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/7/5/r02) [1464-4266/7/5/r02](https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/7/5/r02)
- 18. Kartashov YV, Malomed BA, Torner L. Solitons in Nonlinear Lattices. Rev Mod Phys (2011) 83:247–305. doi:[10.1103/revmodphys.83.247](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.83.247)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>19. Konotop VV, Yang J, Zezyulin DA. Nonlinear Waves in \${\\Mathcal {PT}}\$fx105-symmetric Systems. Rev Mod Phys (2016) 88:035002. doi:[10.1103/revmodphys.88.035002](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.88.035002)
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>20. Lev P. Magnetic Solitons in Binary Mixtures of Bose–Einstein Condensates. Rendiconti Lincei Scienze Fisiche e Naturali (2019) 30:269–76. doi[:10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-019-00797-6) [s12210-019-00797-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-019-00797-6)
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>21. Farolfi A, Trypogeorgos D, Mordini C, Lamporesi G, Ferrari G. Observation of Magnetic Solitons in Two-Component Bose-Einstein Condensates. Phys Rev Lett (2020) 125:030401. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.030401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.030401)
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>22. Chai X, Lao D, Fujimoto K, Hamazaki R, Ueda M, Raman C. Magnetic Solitons in a Spin-1 Bose-Einstein Condensate. Phys Rev Lett (2020) 125:030402. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.030402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.030402)
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>23. Haus HA, Wong WS. Solitons in Optical Communications. Rev Mod Phys (1996) 68:423–44. doi:[10.1103/revmodphys.68.423](https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.68.423)
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>24. Marin-Palomo P, Kemal JN, Karpov M, Kordts A, Pfeifle J, Pfeiffer MHP, et al. Microresonator-based Solitons for Massively Parallel Coherent Optical Communications. Nature (2017) 546:274–9. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22387) [nature22387](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22387)
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>25. Yulin AV, Egorov OA, Lederer F, Skryabin DV. Dark Polariton Solitons in Semiconductor Microcavities. Phys Rev A (2008) 78:061801(R). doi[:10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.78.061801) [physreva.78.061801](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.78.061801)
- 26. Ostrovskaya EA, Abdullaev J, Desyatnikov AS, Fraser MD, Kivshar YS. Dissipative Solitons and Vortices in Polariton Bose-Einstein Condensates. Phys Rev A (2012) 86:013636. doi[:10.1103/physreva.86.013636](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.86.013636)
- 27. Sich M, Krizhanovskii DN, Skolnick MS, Gorbach AV, Hartley R, Skryabin DV, et al. Observation of Bright Polariton Solitons in a Semiconductor Microcavity. Nat Photon (2012) 6:50–5. doi:[10.1038/nphoton.2011.267](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2011.267)
- 28. Egorov OA, Gorbach AV, Lederer F, Skryabin DV. Two-dimensional Localization of Exciton Polaritons in Microcavities. Phys Rev Lett (2010) 105:073903. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.073903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.073903)
- 29. Ma X, Egorov OA, Schumacher S. Creation and Manipulation of Stable Dark Solitons and Vortices in Microcavity Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev Lett (2017) 118:157401. doi[:10.1103/physrevlett.118.157401](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.118.157401)
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>30. Ma X, Schumacher S. Vortex Multistability and Bessel Vortices in Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev Lett (2018) 121:227404. doi:[10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.121.227404) [physrevlett.121.227404](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.121.227404)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>31. Wertz E, Ferrier L, Solnyshkov DD, Johne R, Sanvitto D, Lemaître A, et al. Spontaneous Formation and Optical Manipulation of Extended Polariton Condensates. Nat Phys (2010) 6:860–4. doi[:10.1038/nphys1750](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1750)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>32. Borgh MO, Keeling J, Berloff NG. Spatial Pattern Formation and Polarization Dynamics of a Nonequilibrium Spinor Polariton Condensate. Phys Rev B (2010) 81:235302. doi:[10.1103/physrevb.81.235302](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.81.235302)
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>33. Ohadi H, Dreismann A, Rubo YG, Pinsker F, delValle InclanRedondo Y, Tsintzos SI, et al. Spontaneous Spin Bifurcations and Ferromagnetic Phase Transitions in a Spinor Exciton-Polariton Condensate. Phys Rev X (2015) 5: 031002. doi:[10.1103/physrevx.5.031002](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevx.5.031002)
- 34. Liew TCH, Egorov OA, Matuszewski M, Kyriienko O, Ma X, Ostrovskaya EA. Instability-induced Formation and Nonequilibrium Dynamics of Phase Defects in Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev B (2015) 91:085413. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.91.085413](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.91.085413)
- 35. Li G, Liew TCH, Egorov OA, Ostrovskaya EA. Incoherent Excitation and Switching of Spin States in Exciton-Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev B (2015) 92:064304. doi:[10.1103/physrevb.92.064304](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.92.064304)
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>36. Askitopoulos A, Kalinin K, Liew TCH, Cilibrizzi P, Hatzopoulos Z, Savvidis PG, et al. Nonresonant Optical Control of a Spinor Polariton Condensate. Phys Rev B (2016) 93:205307. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.93.205307](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.93.205307)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>37. Pinsker F, Flayac H. On-demand Dark Soliton Train Manipulation in a Spinor Polariton Condensate. Phys Rev Lett (2014) 112:140405. doi:[10.1103/](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.112.140405) [physrevlett.112.140405](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.112.140405)
- 38. Pinsker F. Approximate Solutions for Half-Dark Solitons in Spinor Nonequilibrium Polariton Condensates. Ann Phys (2015) 362:726–38. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2015.09.008) [j.aop.2015.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2015.09.008)
- 39. Pinsker F, Flayac H. Bright Solitons in Non-equilibrium Coherent Quantum Matter. Proc R Soc A (2016) 472:20150592. doi:[10.1098/rspa.2015.0592](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2015.0592)
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>40. Xu X, Hu Y, Zhang Z, Liang Z. Spinor Polariton Condensates under Nonresonant Pumping: Steady States and Elementary Excitations. Phys Rev B (2017) 96:144511. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.96.144511](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.96.144511)
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>41. Xu X, Chen L, Zhang Z, Liang Z. Dark-bright Solitons in Spinor Polariton Condensates under Nonresonant Pumping. J Phys B: Mol Opt Phys (2019) 52: 025303. doi:[10.1088/1361-6455/aaf4dd](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aaf4dd)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>42. Bobrovska N, Matuszewski M. Adiabatic Approximation and Fluctuations in Exciton-Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev B (2015) 92:035311. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.92.035311](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.92.035311)
- 43. Bobrovska N, Ostrovskaya EA, Matuszewski M. Stability and Spatial Coherence of Nonresonantly Pumped Exciton-Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev B (2014) 90:205304. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.90.205304](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.90.205304)
- 44. Bobrovska N, Matuszewski M, Daskalakis KS, Maier SA, Kéna-Cohen S. Dynamical Instability of a Nonequilibrium Exciton-Polariton Condensate. ACS Photon (2018) 5:111–8. doi:[10.1021/acsphotonics.7b00283](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.7b00283)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>45. Baboux F, De Bernardis D, Goblot V, Gladilin VN, Gomez C, Galopin E, et al. Unstable and Stable Regimes of Polariton Condensation. Optica (2018) 5: 1163–70. doi[:10.1364/optica.5.001163](https://doi.org/10.1364/optica.5.001163)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>46. Shelykh IA, Rubo YG, Malpuech G, Solnyshkov DD, Kavokin A. Polarization and Propagation of Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev Lett (2006) 97:066402. doi:[10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.066402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.066402)
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>47. Sich M, Tapia-Rodriguez LE, Sigurdsson H, Walker PM, Clarke E, Shelykh IA, et al. Spin Domains in One-Dimensional Conservative Polariton Solitons. ACS Photon (2018) 5:5095–102. doi:[10.1021/acsphotonics.8b01410](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.8b01410)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>48. Kivshar YS, Yang X. Perturbation-induced Dynamics of Dark Solitons. Phys Rev E Stat Phys Plasmas Fluids Relat Interdiscip Top (1994) 49:1657–70. doi:[10.1103/physreve.49.1657](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.49.1657)
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>49. Smirnov LA, Smirnova DA, Ostrovskaya EA, Kivshar YS. Dynamics and Stability of Dark Solitons in Exciton-Polariton Condensates. Phys Rev B (2014) 89:235310. doi[:10.1103/physrevb.89.235310](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.89.235310)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>50. Kippenberg TJ, Gaeta AL, Lipson M, Gorodetsky ML. Dissipative Kerr Solitons in Optical Microresonators. Science (2018) 361:567. doi[:10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8083) [science.aan8083](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8083)
- 51. Grelu P, Akhmediev N. Dissipative Solitons for Mode-Locked Lasers. Nat Photon (2012) 6:84–92. doi[:10.1038/nphoton.2011.345](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2011.345)
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>52. Purwins H-G, Bödeker HU, Amiranashvili S. Dissipative Solitons. Adv Phys (2010) 59:485–701. doi[:10.1080/00018732.2010.498228](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2010.498228)
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>53. Nozieres P, Pines D. The Theory of Quantum Liquids: Vol. II. New York: Addison-Wesley (1990).
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>54. Amo A, Pigeon S, Sanvitto D, Sala VG, Hivet R, Carusotto I, et al. Polariton Superfluids Reveal Quantum Hydrodynamic Solitons. Science (2011) 332: 1167–70. doi[:10.1126/science.1202307](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1202307)
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>55. Xue Y, Matuszewski M. Creation and Abrupt Decay of a Quasistationary Dark Soliton in a Polariton Condensate. Phys Rev Lett (2014) 112:216401. doi:[10.1103/physrevlett.112.216401](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.112.216401)

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Jia, Wu, Hu, Liu and Liang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>APPENDIX A: DERIVATIONS OF EXACT SOLITON SOLUTION

Here, we present detailed derivation of the exact solutions in [Eqs.](#page-2-4) [4](#page-2-4)–[7](#page-2-2) in the main text. We want to solve the effective 1D drivendissipative GP equations for the order parameter  $[\psi_1, \psi_2]^T$  of spinor polariton BEC, which are coupled to the rate equation of the density  $n_R$  of the polariton reservoir, i.e.,

<span id="page-7-6"></span>
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + g |\psi_1|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_2|^2 + g_R n_R + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left[ R n_R - \gamma_C \right] \right] \psi_1,
$$
\n(A1)

<span id="page-7-7"></span><span id="page-7-5"></span>
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial t} = \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + g |\psi_2|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_1|^2 + g_R n_R + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left[ R n_R - \gamma_C \right] \right\} \psi_2,
$$
\n(A2)

$$
\frac{\partial n_R}{\partial t} = P - \left[ \gamma_R + R \left( \left| \psi_1 \right|^2 + \left| \psi_2 \right|^2 \right) \right] n_R. \tag{A3}
$$

Here,  $q(q_{12})$  denotes the interaction constant between the same (different) spin component,  $g_R$  is the interaction constant between condensed polaritons and reservoir polaritons whose density is  $n_R$ . Condensed polaritons decay at rate  $\gamma_C$  and are replenished at a rate R from reservoir. Reservoir polaritons decay at rate  $\gamma_R$  and P is the rate of an off-resonant cw pumping.

<span id="page-7-4"></span>We aim to find a particular type of traveling soliton solution  $\psi_{1,2}(x, t) = \psi_{1,2}(x - vt)$ , with v the velocity of soliton, which is characterized by  $|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 = n_0$  with  $n_0$  a constant and satisfies the condition  $[Rn_R - \gamma_C]\psi_{1(2)} = 0$  (i.e.,  $D_s\psi_s = 0$ ). Therefore, we consider the following ansatz:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{n_0}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 + \delta n} e^{j\frac{\phi_r}{2}} \\ \sqrt{1 - \delta n} e^{-j\frac{\phi_r}{2}} \end{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_g/2} e^{-j\frac{\mu_{pl}}{\hbar}}.
$$
 (A4)

Here,  $\varphi_{g}$  and  $\varphi_{r}$  are the global and relative phases of the spin-up and spin-down wavefunctions. Without loss of generality, we will assume the boundary conditions:  $\varphi_{r,\varphi} = 0$  at  $\eta = -\infty$  and  $\delta n = 0$  at  $\eta = \pm \infty$ .

In order to determine the constant  $n_0$ , we substitute [Eq. \(A4\)](#page-7-4) into [Eq. \(A3\)](#page-7-5) and find  $n_R^0 = P/(\gamma_R + Rn_0)$ . Thus, for  $P = (\gamma_R + Rn_0)\gamma_C/R$ , and hence  $n_0 = P/\gamma_C - \gamma_R/R$ , the condition  $D_s \psi = 0$  is fulfilled. With these, and denoting  $\eta =$  $x - vt$ , we obtain from [Eqs. A1](#page-7-6), [A2](#page-7-7) that.

<span id="page-7-9"></span><span id="page-7-8"></span>
$$
-i\hbar v \frac{\partial \psi_1(\eta)}{\partial \eta} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} + (g - g_{12}) |\psi_1|^2 + g_{12} n_0 + \frac{g_R \gamma_C}{R} \right] \psi_1(\eta),
$$
\n(A5)\n
$$
-i\hbar v \frac{\partial \psi_2(\eta)}{\partial \eta} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} + (g - g_{12}) |\psi_2|^2 + g_{12} n_0 + \frac{g_R \gamma_C}{R} \right] \psi_2(\eta).
$$
\n(A6)

<span id="page-7-10"></span>Substituting [Eq. A4](#page-7-4) (with  $n_0 = P/\gamma_C - \gamma_R/R$ ) into [Eqs. A5](#page-7-8), [A6](#page-7-9) yields following equations for  $\delta n(\eta)$ ,  $\varphi_r(\eta)$ , and  $\varphi_q(\eta)$ , respectively, i.e.,

<span id="page-7-12"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{\partial \delta n}{\partial \eta/\xi_s}\right)^2 - (1 - U^2)\delta n^2 + \delta n^4 = 0, \tag{A7}
$$

$$
(1 - \delta n^2) \frac{\partial \varphi_g}{\partial (\eta/\xi_s)} + U \delta n^2 = 0, \tag{A8}
$$

$$
(1 - \delta n^2) \frac{\partial \varphi_r}{\partial (\eta/\xi_s)} - U \delta n = 0. \tag{A9}
$$

<span id="page-7-13"></span>where  $\xi_s = \hbar / \sqrt{2mn_0(g - g_{12})}$  and  $U = v/c_s$  with  $c_s = \sqrt{(g - g_{12})n_0/2m}$ .

<span id="page-7-11"></span>[Equation \(A7\)](#page-7-10) is a closed equation and can be readily solved. Using the boundary conditions  $\delta n = 0$  at  $\eta = \pm \infty$ , we find

$$
\delta n(\eta) = \sqrt{1 - U^2} \text{sech}\left[\left(\frac{\eta}{\xi_s}\right) \sqrt{1 - U^2}\right].\tag{A10}
$$

Substituting [Eq. A10](#page-7-11) into [Eqs. A8](#page-7-12), [A9](#page-7-13), and taking into account of the boundary conditions  $\varphi_{r,g} = 0$  at  $\eta = -\infty$ , we finally arrive at the soliton solutions in [Eqs. 4](#page-2-4)–[7](#page-2-2) in the main text.

### <span id="page-7-2"></span>APPENDIX B: ENERGY OF THE SOLITON

Here, we calculate the change rate of the energy of above soliton. The energy functional of the soliton can be calculated according to [\[48](#page-6-11), [49\]](#page-6-12).

$$
E = \int dx \psi^{\dagger} \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \psi
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{4} \int dx \left[ (g + g_{12}) \{ n(x, t) - n_0 \}^2 + (g - g_{12}) S_z^2(x, t) \right]
$$
(B1)

where we have denoted  $n(x, t) = |\psi_1(x, t)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, t)|^2$  and  $S_z(x, t) = |\psi_1(x, t)|^2 - |\psi_2(x, t)|^2$ . Changing the integration variable from x to  $\eta = x - vt$ , and using GP [Eqs. A1](#page-7-6)–[A3](#page-7-5), we can directly calculate the total time derivative of E associated with our soliton solution as

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\frac{dE}{dt} = v \int d\eta \left( \int g_R n_R + \frac{i\hbar}{2} (R n_R - \gamma_C) \right) \psi_1 \frac{d}{d\eta} \psi_1^* + \left[ g_R n_R \right. \n- \frac{i\hbar}{2} (R n_R - \gamma_C) \Big] \psi_1 \frac{d}{d\eta} \psi_1 \right) + v \int d\eta \left( \int g_R n_R + \frac{i\hbar}{2} (R n_R - \gamma_C) \right) \n\psi_2 \frac{d}{d\eta} \psi_2^* + \left[ g_R n_R - \frac{i\hbar}{2} (R n_R - \gamma_C) \right] \psi_2 \frac{d}{d\eta} \psi_2 \n= v \int d\eta \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \hbar (R n_R - \gamma_C) \left( \frac{d}{d\eta} \phi_g + \delta n \frac{d}{d\eta} \phi_r \right) \right\} = 0.
$$
 (B2)

# <span id="page-7-3"></span>APPENDIX C: LINEAR COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS

In this section, we present detailed derivations of the linear excitations of the considered system using Bogoliubov approach. As  $g > 0$  and  $g_{12} < 0$  with  $|g_{12}| \ll g$ , for  $P \ge \gamma_R \gamma_C$  $\gamma_R$ , the steady state of the model system is a linearly polarized

BEC with  $n_1^0 = n_2^0 = n_0/2$ , where  $n_0 = P/\gamma_C - \gamma_R/R$  and  $n_R^0 = \gamma_C/R$ . We further have  $\mu_T = \frac{1}{2} (g + g_{12}) n_0 + g_R n_R^0$ . For linear excitations, we follow the standard procedures of Bogoliubov decomposition and write [\[40](#page-6-18)].

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\psi_1(x,t) \\
\psi_2(x,t)\n\end{pmatrix} = e^{-i\mu_T t/\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{n_0}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \left[ 1 + \sum_{\substack{q \ j \ j \neq l}} \left\{ \frac{u_{1q}}{u_{2q}} \right\} e^{i \left( qx - \omega_q t \right)} + \left( \frac{\dot{v}_{1q}}{v_{2q}} \right) e^{-i \left( qx - \omega_q t \right)} \right\} \right],
$$
\n(C1)

and

$$
n_{R}(t) = n_{R}^{0} \left[ 1 + \sum_{q} \left\{ w_{q} e^{i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} + w_{q}^{*} e^{-i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} \right\} \right].
$$
 (C2)

It's convenient to rewrite the excited components in Eq. (C1) in terms of  $u_d = u_{1q} + u_{2q}$  and  $v_d = v_{1q} + v_{2q}$ , and  $u_s = u_{1q}$  –  $u_{2q}$  and  $v_s = v_{1q} - v_{2q}$ , which are then subsequently substituted into [Eqs. A1](#page-7-6)–[A3](#page-7-5). Retaining only the first-order terms of the fluctuations, we obtain the Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) equation as

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{q}^{\prime} \begin{pmatrix} u_{1q} + u_{2q} \\ v_{1q} + v_{2q} \\ w_q \\ u_{1q} - u_{2q} \\ v_{1q} - v_{2q} \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \omega_q \begin{pmatrix} u_{1q} + u_{2q} \\ v_{1q} + v_{2q} \\ w_q \\ u_{1q} - u_{2q} \\ v_{1q} - v_{2q} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{C3}
$$

with

 $q + q_{12}$ 

 $a + a$ 

$$
\mathfrak{Q}_{4}' = \begin{pmatrix}\n\epsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0} & \frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0} & (2g_{R} + iR)n_{R}^{0} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0} & -\left(\epsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{g n_{0}}{2}\right) & (-2g_{R} + iR)n_{R}^{0} & 0 & 0 \\
-i\frac{R n_{0}}{2} & -i\frac{R n_{0}}{2} & -i(Rn_{0} + \gamma_{R}) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\epsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{(g - g_{12})n_{0}}{2}\right) & \frac{(g - g_{12})n_{0}}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{(g - g_{12})n_{0}}{2} & -\left(\epsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{(g - g_{12})n_{0}}{2}\right)\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(C4)

Since the matrix  $\mathfrak{L}'_\mathfrak{q}$  is block diagonal, we obtain two decoupled BdG equations

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\varepsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0} & \frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0} & (2g_{R} + iR)n_{R}^{0} \\
-\frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0} & -\left(\varepsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{g + g_{12}}{2}n_{0}\right) & (-2g_{R} + iR)n_{R}^{0} \\
-i\frac{Rn_{0}}{2} & -i\frac{Rn_{0}}{2} & -i(Rn_{0} + \gamma_{R})\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nu_{1q} + \nu_{2q} \\
\nu_{1q} + \nu_{2q} \\
\nu_{q}\n\end{pmatrix} = \hbar \omega_{D} \begin{pmatrix}\nu_{1q} + \nu_{2q} \\
\nu_{1q} + \nu_{2q} \\
\nu_{q}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(C5)

which describes coupled fluctuations in the density of condensed polaritons and reservoir, and

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\varepsilon_q^0 + \frac{(g - g_{12})n_0}{2} & \frac{(g - g_{12})n_0}{2} \\
-\frac{(g - g_{12})n_0}{2} & -\varepsilon_q^0 - \frac{(g - g_{12})n_0}{2}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nu_{1q} - \nu_{2q} \\
\nu_{1q} - \nu_{2q}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= \hbar \omega_s \begin{pmatrix}\nu_{1q} - \nu_{2q} \\
\nu_{1q} - \nu_{2q}\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(C6)

which corresponds to linear excitation in spin polarization.

The eigen-energy can be directly calculated by solving BdG equations giving

$$
\begin{aligned} &\left[\left(\hbar\omega_q\right)^2 - \left(\hbar\omega_S\right)^2\right] \\ &\times \left\{\left(\hbar\omega_q\right)^3 + i\left(Rn_0 + \gamma_R\right)\left(\hbar\omega_q\right)^2 - \left[Rn_0\gamma_C + \left(\hbar\omega_B\right)^2\right]\hbar\omega_q + ic\left(q\right)\right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{C7}
$$

with  $\hbar \omega_S = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0^0 [\varepsilon_0^0 + (g - g_{12})n_0]}, \hbar \omega_B = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0^0 [\varepsilon_0^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0]}$ and  $c(q) = -(\hat{R}n_0 + \gamma_R)(\hbar\omega_B)^2 + 2g_Rn_0\gamma_C\hat{e}_q^0$ 

# APPENDIX D: DENSITY AND SPIN-DENSITY RESPONSE FUNCTION

Based on the knowledge of linear excitations in Section C, here, we derive the density and spin-density response functions of the considered system. We will present detailed calculations for the density response function. The spin-density function are derived in a similar fashion; we therefore only outline main steps.

### 1. Dynamic Density Response Function

Suppose the quasi-1D spinor polariton BEC is subjected to a time-dependent external perturbation in a form  $V_{\lambda}$  =  $-\lambda e^{i(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}e^{\epsilon t} + h.c$  with  $\lambda \ll 1$  and  $\epsilon \ll 1$ , representing a density perturbation. In the presence of  $V<sub>\lambda</sub>$ , [Eqs. A1](#page-7-6)–[A3](#page-7-5) are modified as.

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_{\lambda} + (g|\psi_1|^2 + g_{12}|\psi_2|^2) + g_R n_R \right. \\ + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left[ R n_R - \gamma_C \right] \right\} \psi_1,
$$
 (D1)

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial t} = \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_{\lambda} + (g|\psi_2|^2 + g_{12}|\psi_1|^2) + g_R n_R \right. \\ + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left[ R n_R - \gamma_C \right] \right\} \psi_2, \tag{D2}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial n_R}{\partial t} = P - \left\{ \gamma_R + R \left( \left| \psi_1 \right|^2 + \left| \psi_2 \right|^2 \right) \right\} n_R. \tag{D3}
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Our goal is to calculate the density response function [\[53](#page-6-15)] as defined by

$$
\chi(q,\omega) = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \delta \rho_q / (\lambda e^{-i\omega t}).
$$
 (D4)

where  $\delta \rho_q$  are the Fourier component of the density fluctuation induced by the external perturbation.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>For  $\lambda \to 0$ , we follow standard procedures and look for solutions corresponding to small amplitude oscillations around the unperturbed steady-state polariton BEC and the reservoir, i.e., we write

$$
\psi_{1\lambda} = e^{-i\mu_{T}t/\hbar} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} + u_{1\lambda} e^{i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} + v_{1\lambda}^{*} e^{-i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
\psi_{2\lambda} = e^{-i\mu_{T}t/\hbar} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{n_{0}}{2}} + u_{2\lambda} e^{i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} + v_{2\lambda}^{*} e^{-i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} \right],
$$
 (D5)  
\n
$$
n_{R\lambda} = n_{R}^{0} \left[ 1 + w_{\lambda} e^{i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} + w_{\lambda}^{*} e^{-i (qx - \omega_{q}t)} \right],
$$

where  $u_{i\lambda}$  and  $v_{i\lambda}$  (*i* = 1, 2) and  $w_{\lambda}$  are small coefficients due to the perturbation, and will be determined subsequentlty. Substituting [Eq. D5](#page-9-0) into [Eq. D4](#page-8-0) and retainng terms at the first order of  $u_{i\lambda}$  and  $v_{i\lambda}$ , we obtain the linear density response function as

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
\chi(q,\omega) = \lambda^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{n_0}{2}} \int dx e^{-iqx} (u_{1\lambda} + v_{1\lambda} + u_{2\lambda} + v_{2\lambda}).
$$
 (D6)

<span id="page-9-1"></span>In order to determine  $u_{\lambda}$  and  $v_{\lambda}$ , we insert [Eq. D5](#page-9-0) into [Eqs.](#page-8-1) [D1](#page-8-1)–[D3](#page-8-2), we obtain the density excitation satisfying following equations

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\varepsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{(g+g_{12})n_{0}}{2} - \hbar \omega_{q} & \frac{(g+g_{12})n_{0}}{2} & n_{R}^{0}(2g_{R} + iR) \\
\frac{(g+g_{12})n_{0}}{2} & \varepsilon_{q}^{0} + \frac{(g+g_{12})n_{0}}{2} + \hbar \omega_{q} & n_{R}^{0}(2g_{R} - iR) \\
-i\frac{Rn_{0}}{2} & -i\frac{Rn_{0}}{2} & -[i(Rn_{0} + \gamma_{R}) + \hbar \omega_{q}]\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nu_{\lambda,1} + \nu_{\lambda,2} \\
\nu_{\lambda,1} + \nu_{\lambda,2} \\
\omega_{q} & \n\end{pmatrix} = \lambda \sqrt{\frac{2N_{0}}{V}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(D7)

<span id="page-9-2"></span>For analytical simplicity, we assume fast reservoir limit of  $\gamma_R$ /  $\gamma_C \gg 1$ . In this case, we find

$$
w_q = -\frac{Rn_0}{2(Rn_0 + \gamma_R)} \Big( u_{1q} + v_{1q} \Big) - \frac{Rn_0}{2(Rn_0 + \gamma_R)} \Big( u_{2q} + v_{2q} \Big), \quad (D8)
$$

which is substituted back into the first two lines of [Eq. D7](#page-9-1) to yield.

$$
u_{1q} = u_{2q} = -\frac{\epsilon_q^0 + \hbar \omega_q + i\hbar \Gamma}{\left(\hbar \omega_q - \hbar \omega_0 + i\frac{\hbar \Gamma}{2}\right) \left(\hbar \omega_q + \hbar \omega_0 + i\frac{\hbar \Gamma}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{n_0}{2}} \lambda,
$$
\n(D9)

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
v_{1q} = v_{2q} = -\frac{\varepsilon_q^0 + \hbar\omega_q - i\hbar\Gamma}{\left(\hbar\omega_q - \hbar\omega_0 + i\frac{\hbar\Gamma}{2}\right)\left(\hbar\omega_q + \hbar\omega_0 + i\frac{\hbar\Gamma}{2}\right)}\sqrt{\frac{n_0}{2}}\lambda,
$$
\n(D10)

with  $\hbar \omega_0 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0^0 [\varepsilon_0^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0 - 2g_R \hbar \Gamma/R] - \Gamma^2/4}$  and  $\Gamma =$  $n_0 n_R^0 R^2 \hbar / (\gamma_R + n_0 R).$ 

Using [Eqs. D8](#page-9-2)–[D10](#page-9-3), the density response function in [Eq. D6](#page-9-4) is found as

$$
\chi(q,\omega) = -\left(\frac{1}{\hbar\omega_q - \omega_0 + i\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{\hbar\omega_q + \hbar\omega_0 + i\frac{\hbar\Gamma}{2}}\right)\frac{N\varepsilon_q^0}{\hbar\omega_0}.\tag{D11}
$$

The dynamic structure factor is defined in terms of the imaginary part of the density response function, i.e.,  $S_D(q, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \chi(q, \omega)$ , where  $\Im$  denotes the imaginary part. We have

$$
S_D(q,\omega) = \mathfrak{F}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_q^0}{\pi\hbar\omega_0}\log\left[\frac{\Gamma + 2i\sqrt{\varepsilon_q^0\left[\varepsilon_q^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0 - 2g_R\hbar\Gamma/R\right] - \Gamma^2/4}}{\Gamma - 2i\sqrt{\varepsilon_q^0\left[\varepsilon_q^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0 - 2g_R\hbar\Gamma/R\right] - \Gamma^2/4}}\right]\right\}.\tag{D12}
$$

Finally, we calculate the static structure factor according to  $S_D(q) = \frac{\hbar}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_D(q, \omega) d\omega$  and arrive at **[Eq. 11](#page-3-3)** in the main text. We note that in the limit of  $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ ,  $q_c = 0$  and our result recovers the well-known result  $S_D(q) = \hbar q^2/2m/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0^0} [\varepsilon_0^0 + (g + g_{12})n_0]$ familiar from the atomic condensate.

#### 2. Spin-Density Response Function

We now suppose that the model system is subjected to a timedependent perturbation  $\sigma_z V_\lambda$  with  $V_\lambda$  defined in Section D1, where  $\sigma_z$  is the z-component of the standard Pauli matrix. The modified dynamical equations in the presence of spindependent perturbation are given by.

$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial t} = \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_\lambda + g |\psi_1|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_2|^2 + g_R n_R \right. \left. + \frac{i}{2} \left[ R n_R - \gamma_C \right] |\psi_1,\right\}
$$
\n(D13)

$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial t} = \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - V_\lambda + g |\psi_2|^2 + g_{12} |\psi_1|^2 + g_R n_R \right. \left. + \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left[ R n_R - \gamma_C \right] \right\} \psi_2,
$$
\n(D14)

$$
\frac{\partial n_R}{\partial t} = P - \left\{ \gamma_R + R \left( \left| \psi_1 \right|^2 + \left| \psi_2 \right|^2 \right) \right\} n_R. \tag{D15}
$$

Following similar steps as before, we find that the spin-density response can be calculated as.

$$
\chi_{S}(q,\omega) = \frac{1}{\lambda} V \left( \sqrt{\frac{N_{0}}{V}} u_{1\lambda} - \sqrt{\frac{N_{0}}{2V}} u_{2\lambda} + \sqrt{\frac{N_{0}}{2V}} v_{1\lambda} - \sqrt{\frac{N_{0}}{2V}} v_{2\lambda} \right)
$$
\n(D16)\n
$$
- \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda_{S}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{S}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{S}} \right] \frac{\hbar^{2}q^{2}N}{N}
$$

$$
= \left[\frac{1}{\hbar\omega_q + \hbar\omega_S + i\eta} - \frac{1}{\hbar\omega_q - \hbar\omega_S + i\eta}\right] \frac{\hbar^2 q^2 N}{2m\omega_S} \tag{D17}
$$

with  $\hbar\omega_{\rm S}$  being the spectrum of spin-density as given previously. The spin-density static structure factor is found from  $S_S(q)$  =  $\frac{\hbar}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_S(q, \omega) d\omega$  as

$$
S_S(q) = \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2m\sqrt{\varepsilon_q^0 \left[\varepsilon_q^0 + 2(g - g_{12})n_0\right]}}.\tag{D18}
$$

Obviously,  $S_S(q) \rightarrow 1$  for  $q \rightarrow \infty$ .