



Computing the Mixed Metric Dimension of a Generalized Petersen Graph P(n, 2)

Hassan Raza and Ying Ji*

Business School, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected graph. A vertex $i \in V$ recognizes two elements (vertices or edges) $j, k \in E \cap V$, if $d_{\Gamma}(i,j) \neq d_{\Gamma}(i,k)$. A set *S* of vertices in a connected graph Γ is a mixed metric generator for Γ if every two distinct elements (vertices or edges) of Γ are recognized by some vertex of *S*. The smallest cardinality of a mixed metric generator for Γ is called the mixed metric dimension and is denoted by β_m . In this paper, the mixed metric dimension of a generalized Petersen graph P(n, 2) is calculated. We established that a generalized Petersen graph P(n, 2) has a mixed metric dimension equivalent to 4 for $n \equiv 0, 2(mod4)$, and, for $n \equiv 1, 3(mod4)$, the mixed metric dimension is 5. We thus determine that each graph of the family of a generalized Petersen graph P(n, 2) has a constant mixed metric dimension.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Shaohui Wang, Louisiana College, United States

Reviewed by:

Muhammad Kamran Siddiqui, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Pakistan Azmat Ullah Khan Niazi, University of Lahore, Pakistan Lidan Pei, Hefei Normal University, China

> *Correspondence: Ying Ji jiying@usst.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Mathematical and Statistical Physics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physics

> **Received:** 09 April 2020 **Accepted:** 18 May 2020 **Published:** 28 July 2020

Citation:

Raza H and Ji Y (2020) Computing the Mixed Metric Dimension of a Generalized Petersen Graph P(n, 2). Front. Phys. 8:211. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2020.00211

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C12, 05C90

Keywords: mixed metric dimension, metric dimension, edge metric dimension, generalized Petersen graph, exact values

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of robot navigation functionality is to attain the coveted position promptly whenever it is desired. Let us imagine that robot navigation in a sensor network that can obtain the distances to a collection of landmarks. A robot's position is solely resolved by determining the subset of nodes in the sensor network. It can be achieved by the concept of landmarks in the graphs introduced in Khuller et al. [1]; this idea was later named the metric dimension. All the graphs considered here have no loops and are simple, measurable, and undirected.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be the graph of the distance $d_{\Gamma}(a, b)$ (or d(a, b)) among the vertices $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$ the minimum length of paths between them. For a vertex $a \in V$, distinguish two vertices in a graph, say b and c, if the condition $d_{\Gamma}(a, b) \neq d_{\Gamma}(a, c)$ holds. A set $R \subset V(\Gamma)$ is the metric generator if some chosen vertices of the set R recognizes a pair of distinguished vertices. The metric basis with the least number of elements is called the metric generator, and the cardinality of its metric basis is termed the metric dimension. The notation employed here is $\beta(\Gamma)$. The fundamental concept of the metric dimension was instated by Slater [2], and the notation of the metric dimension was initiated by Haray and Melter [3]. This concept was later studied by many researchers with unique modifications; for reference, see [4–8]. Some of the recent results on metric dimension and its further variations are studied in Shao et al. [9] and Raza et al. [10–13].

Lemma 1. Suppose *R* is the distinguishing set of Γ and the vertices $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$. If $d_{\Gamma}(a, c) \neq d_{\Gamma}(a, c)$, for all vertices $c \in V(\Gamma) \setminus \{a, b\}$, then $\{a, b\} \cap R \neq \emptyset$.

Analogous to this definition, Kelenc et al. [14] introduced the concept of edge metric dimension, and this was further studied in Zubrilina [15], Peterin and Yero [16], and Zhu et al. [17]. This distance between an edge e = ab and a vertex c is given as follows

$$d(e, c) = min\{d(a, c), d(b, c)\}$$

A vertex $c \in V(\Gamma)$ distinguishes two edges of a graph $e_1, e_2 \in E(\Gamma)$ if $d_{\Gamma}(e_1, u) \neq d_{\Gamma}(e_2, u)$. The set $R_e \subset V$ is termed as the edge metric generator if some distinct edges of Γ are distinguished by the vertex set R_e . The cardinality of an edge metric generator is called an edge metric dimension, and it is depicted as $\beta_e(\Gamma)$. Having defined the notion of an edge metric generator, which distinctly recognizes every edge in a graph, a common assumption would be that any edge metric generator R_e would be a metric dimension as well. This assumption is far from reality, as indicated in Kelenc et al. [14], but there are several families of graphs where this occurs, that is, $\beta(\Gamma) = \beta_e(\Gamma)$. Some other distance related parameters are studied in Liu et al. [18–22].

In this paper, our focus is on mixed metric dimension, which is a mixed version of metric and edge metric dimension. A set R_m of vertices of a graph Γ is known as a mixed metric generator if any two distinct elements (vertices or edges) of a graph are recognized by some the vertex set of R_m . The least cardinality of a mixed metric generator for a graph is termed as a mixed metric dimension, denoted as $\beta_m(\Gamma)$. The idea is recently brought forward by Kelenc et al. [23].

Lemma 2. Let for some vertex $a \in V(\Gamma)$, and let $R_m = V(\Gamma) \setminus a$, and if there is an element $b \in N(a)$, also for some $c \in R_m$, $d_{\Gamma}(ab, c) \neq d_{\Gamma}(b, c)$, then R_m is the mixed metric generator for the graph Γ .

The notion of a mixed metric dimension clearly indicates that a mixed metric generator is also a standard metric generator and an edge metric generator, The following relationship is given in [23],

$$\beta_m(\Gamma) \max \geq \{\beta(\Gamma), \beta_e(\Gamma)\}$$

The following remark shows the structure of mixed metric dimension:

Remark 1: [23] Suppose for some graph Γ we have $2 \le \beta_m \le n$. Recently, this concept has attracted some attention, and it has been studied by Raza et al. [24]. The authors discussed the mixed metric dimension among the prism graphs, which are commonly known as generalizes Petersen graphs P(n, 1), and two families of convex polytopes A_n , R_n , further presenting the problem of finding $\beta_m(P(n, 2))$.

Some of the results regarding metric and edge metric dimension are given:

Remark 2: [14] For $n \ge 2$, the metric and edge metric dimension are, $\beta(\mathcal{P}_n) = \beta_e(\mathcal{P}_n) = 1$; for cycle graph, $\beta(\mathcal{C}_n) = \beta_e(\mathcal{C}_n) = 2$; for complete graph, $\beta(\mathcal{K}_n) = \beta_e(\mathcal{K}_n) = n - 1$; and for any complete bipartite graph $(\mathcal{K}_{r,t})$ different from $(\mathcal{K}_{1,1})$, $\beta(\mathcal{K}_{r,t}) = \beta_e(\mathcal{K}_{r,t}) = r + t - 2$.

1.1. Known Results

Next, we present some already known results for β_m ,

Proposition 1: [23] For a path graph (\mathcal{P}_n) order $n \geq 4$, we have $\beta_m(\mathcal{P}_n) = 2$.

Proposition 2: [23] Let us consider any two positive integers: *e*,*f*

$$\beta_m(K_{e,f}) = \begin{cases} e+f-1, \text{ if } e=2 \text{ or } f=2;\\ e+f-2, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3: [23] For a grid graphs, $P_m \Box P_n$, with $m \ge n \ge 2$, $\beta_m = 3$.

Proposition 4: [23] Let us assume cycle graph (C_n) of order $n \ge 4$, then $\beta_m(C_n) = 3$.

Lemma 3. [24] *The mixed metric generator* R_m *must contain vertices from both the outer and inner cycle for the prism graph* D_n .

Proof: For P(n, 1), this holds, and, by the same intuition, this must be true for P(n, 2). The mixed metric resolving set comprises of vertices from both the cycles, which contain vertices of outer and inner cycle, respectively.

2. MAIN RESULT

The generalized Petersen graphs is introduced by Watkins [25]. The $P(n, \ell)$, where $n \ge 3$ and $1 \le \ell \le \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ (see **Figure 2**), which is the cubic graph consists of vertices and edges, is shown below.

$$\mathcal{V}(P(n,\ell)) = \{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}, p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$$
$$\mathcal{E}(P(n,\ell)) = \{q_i q_{i+1}, p_i p_{i+\ell}, q_i q_i | i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

Example: We used the graph of P(n, 8), as can be seen in **Figure 1**. The mixed metric generator for P(n, 8)is $\beta_m = \{q_0, q_1, p_4, p_5\}$, and it can been seen from **Table 1** that all the representation of vertices and edges are distinct.

The graph of the generalized Petersen graph comprises of three types of edges, external edges, internal edges, and spokes between q_i and q_{i+1} , p_i and p_{i+m} , and q_i and p_i , respectively. The vertices q_i and p_i ($0 \le i \le n-1$) are termed as external and internal vertices, respectively.

The prism graph D_n is known as P(n, 1) for m = 1. Some of the already known results are given as

Theorem 1. [26] *The metric dimension of* D_n *, for* $n \ge 4$ *:*

$$\beta(\mathcal{D}_n) = \begin{cases} 2, \ n \text{ is odd}; \\ 3, \ n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. [27] *When*, $n \ge 4$, $\beta_e(\mathcal{D}_n) = 3$. **Theorem 3.** [24] *For* $n \ge 5$,

$$\beta_m(P(n,1)) = \begin{cases} 3, n \text{ is even}, \\ 4, n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$



TABLE 1 | Codes for P(n, 8).

v	r _m (v)	v	$r_m(v)$	е	r _m (e)	е	r _m (e)	е	<i>r</i> _m (e)
q ₀	(0, 1, 3, 3)	p ₀	(1, 2, 2, 4)	q ₀ q ₁	(0, 0, 3, 3)	q0p0	(0, 1, 2, 3)	p ₀ p ₂	(1, 2, 1, 4)
q ₁	(1,0,3,3)	p ₁	(2, 1, 4, 2)	$q_1 q_2$	(1,0,2,3)	q1p1	(1,0,3,2)	p1p3	(2, 1, 3, 1)
q_2	(2, 1, 2, 3)	p ₂	(2, 2, 1, 4)	q_2q_3	(2, 1, 2, 2)	$q_2 p_2$	(2, 1, 1, 3)	p_2p_4	(2, 2, 0, 3)
q_3	(3, 2, 2, 2)	p ₃	(3, 2, 3, 1)	q_3q_4	(3, 2, 1, 2)	q ₃ p ₃	(3, 2, 2, 1)	p ₃ p ₅	(3, 2, 3, 0)
q ₄	(4, 3, 1, 2)	p ₄	(3, 3, 0, 3)	q_4q_5	(3, 3, 1, 1)	$q_4 p_4$	(3, 3, 0, 2)	$p_4 p_6$	(2, 3, 0, 3)
q 5	(3, 4, 2, 1)	p5	(3, 3, 3, 0)	q5q6	(2, 3, 2, 1)	q5p5	(3, 3, 2, 0)	p5p7	(2, 2, 3, 0)
q_6	(2, 3, 2, 2)	p_6	(2, 3, 1, 3)	q ₆ q ₇	(1, 2, 2, 2)	q ₆ p ₆	(2, 3, 1, 2)	$p_6 p_0$	(1, 2, 1, 3)
q ₇	(1, 2, 3, 2)	p ₇	(2, 2, 4, 1)	$q_7 q_0$	(0, 1, 3, 2)	q7p7	(1, 2, 3, 1)	$p_7 p_1$	(1, 2, 2, 1)

The known results for P(n, 2) concerning metric and an edge metric dimension are

Theorem 4. [28] For $n \ge 5$, the metric dimension is $\beta(P(n,2)) = 3$.

Theorem 5. [27] For $n \ge 5$, $\beta_e(P(n, 2)) = 3$.

It is quite natural to investigate the mixed metric dimension of P(n, 2). Now, we will find mixed the metric dimension of (P(n, 2)), and for this the following lemmas are presented.

Lemma 4. *Case 1:* If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $\beta_m(P(n, 2)) \leq 4$.

Proof: The proof is n = 4r, $r \ge 4$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The distinguishing vertices that will distinguish the whole vertices and edges of the graph are $R_m = \{q_0, q_1, p_{2r}, p_{2r+1}\}$. The following representations are presented with respect to R_m .

Representation of external vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 1, r - s + 1, r + 1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s, s + 1, r - s + 1, r), & s = 2; \\ (s + 2, s + 2, r - s + 1, r - s + 2), & 3 \le s \le r; \\ (2r - s + 2, 2r - s + 3, s - r + 1, r + 1 \le s \le 2r - 2; \\ s - r + 1), \\ (2, 3, s - r + 1, s - r + 1), & s = 2r - 1. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,2s,s-r+1,r-s+1), \ 0 \le s \le 2; \\ (s+3,s+2,2,r-s+1), & 3 \le s \le r-1; \\ (r+2,r+2,2,1), & s=r; \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+2, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-3; \\ s-r+2,s-r+1), \\ (3,4,s-r+2,r-s+1), & s=2r-2; \\ (1,2,s-r+2,s-r+1), & s=2r-1. \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,2,r-s,r+2), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,s+1,r-s,r-s+3), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+2, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-1. \\ s-r,s-r+2), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,s+1,r-s+2,r-s), & 0 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+1,r,s-r+3,s-r), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+1, & r+2 \le s \le 2r-1. \\ s-r+3,s-r), \end{cases}$$

Representation of external edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s,s,r-s+1,r-s+1), \ 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s,s+1,r-s+1, \qquad s=2; \\ r-s+1), \\ (s+2,s+2,r-s+1, \qquad 3 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+1), \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+2, \qquad r+1 \le s \le 2r-3; \\ s-r+1,s-r+1), \\ (3,4,s-r+1,s-r+1), \ s=2r-2; \\ (1,2,s-r+1,s-r+1), \ s=2r-1. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}\mathbf{q}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,2s,r-s,r-s+1), & 0 \le s \le 2; \\ (s+3,s+2,r-s,r-s+1), & 3 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+2, r \le s \le 2r-3; \\ s-r+2,s-r+1), \\ (2,3,s-r+2,s-r+1), & s = 2r-2; \\ (0,1,s-r+2,s-r+1), & s = 2r-1. \end{cases}$$

Representation of external and internal edges:



$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s}p_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 1, r - s, r + 1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s + 1, s + 1, r - s, r - s + 2), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r - s + 1, 2r - s + 2, s - r, r + 1 \le s \le 2r - 1, \\ s - r + 1), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,2s,r-s+1,r-s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,r-s+1,r-s), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (r+1,r+1,2,0), & s=r; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+1, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ s-r+2,s-r), \\ (1,2,s-r+2,s-r), & s=2r-1. \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}\mathbf{p}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (1,2,r-s-1,r-s+2), \ s = 0; \\ (s+1,s+1,r-s-1, & 1 \le s \le r-1; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s,r,s-r,3), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s,2r-s+1,s-r, & r+2 \le s \le 2r-1, \\ s-r+2), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}\mathbf{p}_{2s+3}) = \begin{cases} (2, 1, r-s+1, r-s-1), \ s = 0; \\ (s+2, s+1, r-s+1, 1 \le s \le r-3; \\ r-s-1), \\ (s+2, s+1, 3, r-s-1), \ r-2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s, 2r-s, s-r+3, r \le s \le 2r-2; \\ s-r), \\ (2, 1, s-r+3, s-r), s = 2r-1. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: For
$$n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$
, we have $\beta_m(P(n, 2) \le 4$

Proof: Now we can see n = 4r + 2, $r \ge 4$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The set of vertices that will distinguish the whole vertices and edges of the graph are $R_m = \{q_0, q_1, p_{2r+1}, p_{2r+2}\}$. The following representations are presented with respect to R_m .

Representation of external vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 2 - s, r - s + 2, r + 1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s, 3, r - s + 2, r - s + 2), & s = 2; \\ (s + 2, s + 2, r - s + 2, r - s + 2), & 3 \le s \le r; \\ (2r - s + 3, 2r - s + 4, & r + 1 \le s \le 2r - 1; \\ s - r + 1, s - r), \\ (2, 3, s - r + 1, s - r), & s = 2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1, 2s, s-r+1, r-s+2), & 0 \le s \le 2; \\ (s+3, s+2, r-s+1, r-s+1), & 3 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+3, 2r-s+4, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ s-r+1, s-r+1), \\ (3, 5, s-r+1, s-r+1), & s = 2r-1; \\ (1, 3, s-r+1, s-r+1), & s = 2r. \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,2,r-s+3,r), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,r+1,r-s+3,r-s+1), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3, & r+1 \le s \le 2r. \\ s-r+2,s-r-1), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,s+1,r-s,r-s+3), & 0 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3, & r+1 \le s \le 2r. \\ s-r,s-r+2), \end{cases}$$

Representation of external edges:

$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s}q_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s, s, r-s+1, r+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s, s+1, r-s+1, r-s+2), & s=2; \\ (s+2, s+2, r-s+1, & 3 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s+3, 2r-s+3, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ s-r+1, s-r), \\ (3, 4, s-r+1, s-r), & s=2r-1; \\ (1, 2, s-r+1, s-r), & s=2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}\mathbf{q}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,2s,r-s+1,r-s+1), & 0 \le s \le 2; \\ (s+3,s+2,r-s+1,r-s+1), & 3 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+2,r+2,s-r+1), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ s-r+1), \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3,s-r+1), & r+2 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ s-r+1), \\ (2,3,s-r+1,s-r+1), & s = 2r-1; \\ (0,1,s-r+1,s-r+1), & s = 2r. \end{cases}$$

Representation of external and internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s}p_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 1, r - s + 2, r), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s + 1, s + 1, r - s + 2, r - s + 1), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r - s + 2, 2r - s + 3, & r + 1 \le s \le 2r. \\ s - r + 1, s - r - 1), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s+1}q_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,2s,r-s,r-s+2), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,r-s,r-s+2), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+2, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r,s-r+1), \\ (1,2,s-r,s-r+1), & s = 2r. \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}\mathbf{p}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,2,r-s+2,r-s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,s+1,r-s+2,r-s), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+1,r+1,3,0), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+2, & r+2 \le s \le 2r, \\ s-r+2,s-r-1), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}\mathbf{p}_{2s+3}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,s+1,r-s-1, \ 0 \le s \le r-1; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+1, \ r \le s \le r+1; \\ s-r,3), \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+1, \ r+2 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r,s-r+2), \\ (2,1,s-r,s-r+2), \ s=2r. \end{cases}$$

Now from lemma3, the resolving set R_m contains vertices from external and internal cycles; that is, the resolving set cannot comprise either external or internal vertices.

Lemma 5. When $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$, then $\beta_m(P(n, 2)) \ge 4$.

Proof: Suppose that $\beta_m(P(n, 2)) = 3$, the following contradictions arises:

Case 1: This is when the two fixed vertices are in the external cycle, $\{q_0, q_1\}$, and the other vertex lie in internal cycle p_ℓ , that is, $R_m = \{q_0, q_1, p_\ell\}$.

(i) If $0 \leq \ell \leq 1$ then, $r_m\{q_0|q_0, q_1, p_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|q_0, q_1, p_\ell\} = (0, 1, \ell + 1).$ (ii) If $\ell = 2, 4, \dots, 2r$, then $r_m\{q_0|q_0, q_1, p_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|q_0, q_1, p_\ell\}.$ (iii) If $\ell = 3, 5, \dots, 2r - 1$, then $r_m\{q_0|q_0, q_1, p_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|q_0, q_1, p_\ell\}.$

- **Case 2:** When internal cycle contains two fixed vertices that is $\{p_0, p_1\}$, and the other vertex lie in external cycle q_ℓ . That is $R_m = \{p_0, p_1, q_\ell\}$.
 - (i) If $0 \le \ell \le 3$, then $r_m\{q_0|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\} = (1, 2, \ell).$

(ii) If $\ell = 4, 6, \dots, 2r$, then $r_m\{q_0|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\}.$

(iii) If $\ell = 5$, then $r_m\{q_0|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\} = (1, 2, \ell).$

(iv) If $\ell = 7, 9, \dots, 4r - 1$, then $r_m\{q_1|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\} = r_m\{q_1q_2|p_0, p_1, q_\ell\}.$

Similarly, other contradictions can be assumed; all the cases mentioned above suggest that $\beta_m(P(n, 2)) \ge 4$, which clearly indicates that $\beta_m(P(n, 2)) = 4$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Similar kind of contradictions can be proved for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Remark 3: From the above cases, it can be deduced that if the mixed metric generator R_m for P(n, 2) contains two vertices of one cycle, then R_m contain at least two vertices of another cycle.

Lemma 6. $\beta_m(P(n, 2) \leq 5, \text{ for } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Proof: **Case 1:** Now we can write, if n = 4r + 1, $r \ge 4$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The set of vertices that will distinguish the whole vertices and the edges of the graph are $R_m = \{q_0, q_1, p_1, p_{2r+1}, p_{2r+2}\}$. The following representations are presented with respect to R_m .

Representation of external vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 2-2s, 2, r+1, r+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s, s, s+1, r-s+2, r-s+2), & s=2; \\ (r+2, r+2, r+1, 2, 1), & s=r+1; \\ (2r-s+3, 2r-s+4, & r+2 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ 2r-s+2, s-r+1, s-r), \\ (3, 5, 3, s-r+1, s-r), & s=2r-1; \\ (1, 3, 2, s-r+1, s-r), & s=2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1, 1, s+1, r-s+1, r+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s+1, s+1, s+1, r-s+1, s=2; \\ r-s+2), \\ (s+3, s+2, s+1, r-s+1, 3 \le s \le r-1; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s+2, r+2, r+1, s-r+1, 2), r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+2, 2r-s+3, 2r-s+2, r+2 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ s-r+1, s-r+1), \\ (2, 4, 3, s-r+1, s-r+1), s=2r-1. \end{cases}$$

 \square

Representation of internal vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,2-s,3,r+s,r-s+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,s+1,s+2,r-s+3, & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ r-s+1), & \\ (r+1,s,2r-s+1,3,r-s+1), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3,2r-s+1, r+2 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r+2,s-r-1), & \\ (2,3,1,s-r+1,s-r-1), & s = 2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,2-s,s,r-s,r+s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,s,r-s,r-s+3), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+1,r+2,s,s-r,3), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+2, & r+2 \le s \le 2r-1. \\ 2r-s+3,s-r,s-r+2), \end{cases}$$

Representation of external edges:

$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s}q_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 1-s, s+1, r-s+1, r+1), \ 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s, s, s+1, r-s+1, r-s+2), \ s=2; \\ (s+2, s+1, s+1, r-s+1, 3 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s+2, 2r-s+3, r+1 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ 2r-s+2, s-r+1, s-r), \\ (2, 4, 3, s-r+1, s-r), s=2r-1; \\ (0, 2, 2, s-r+1, s-r), s=2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s+1}q_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,s,s+1,r-s+1, & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ ar-s+1), \\ (2s+1,s+1,s+1,r-s+1, s=2; \\ r-s+1), \\ (2r-s+1,s+2,s+1, & 3 \le s \le r-1; \\ r-s+1,r-s+1), \\ (2r-s+2,r+1,2r-s+1, & r \le s \le 2r-4; \\ s-r+1,s-r+1), \\ (5,6,4,s-r+1,s-r+1), & s=2r-3; \\ (3,5,3,s-r+1,s-r+1), & s=2r-2; \\ (1,2,3,s-r+1,s-r+1), & s=2r-1. \end{cases}$$

Representation of external and internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}\mathbf{p}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 2-s, 2, r+s, r-s+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1, s, s+1, r-s+2, & 2 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+1), \\ (2r-s+2, r+1, 2r-s+1, r+3 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r+1, s-r-1), \\ (1, 3, 1, s-r+1, s-r-1), & s=2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1, 1, s, r-s, r+s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2, s+1, s, r-s, r-s+2), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (r+1, r+1, 2r-s, 0, 2), & s=r; \\ (2r-s+1, 2r-s+2, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-1, \\ 2r-s+2, s-r, s-r+1), \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}\mathbf{p}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,1,3,r+s,r-s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,s,s+2,r-s+2,r-s), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+1,s,2r-s,3,0), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+2,2r-s, r+2 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r+2,s-r-1), \\ (2,2,0,s-r,s-r-1), & s = 2r. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}\mathbf{p}_{2s+3}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,2,s,r-s-1,r+s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,s,r-s-1, & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s,2r-s+1,s,r-s,3), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s,2r-s+1,2r-s+2, & r+2 \le s \le 2r-1. \\ s-r,s-r+2), \end{cases}$$

Proof: **Case 2:** Now we can write, if n = 4r + 3, $r \ge 4$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The set of vertices which will distinguish the whole vertices, and edges of graph are $R_m = \{q_0, q_1, p_1, p_{2r+3}, p_{2r+4}\}$. The following representations are presented with respect to R_m . Representation of external vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 2-2s, 2, r+s+1, r+s+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2, 2s-2, s+1, r-s+3, & 2 \le s \le 3; \\ r-s+3), \\ (s+2, s+1, s+1, r-s+3, r-s+3), & 4 \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+4, 2r-s+5, 2r-s+3, & r+2 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r, s-r-1), \\ (3, 5, 3, s-r, s-r-1), & s=2r; \\ (1, 3, 2, s-r, s-r-1), & s=2r+1. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,1,s+1,r-s+2, & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ r+s+1), \\ (s+3,2s-1,s+1,r-s+2, & 2 \le s \le 3; \\ r-s+3), \\ (s+3,s+2,s+1,r-s+2, & 4 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+3), \\ (2r-s+3,2r-s+4, & r+1 \le s \le r+2; \\ 2r-s+3,s-r,2), \\ (2r-s+3,2r-s+4, & r+3 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ 2r-s+3,s-r,s-r), \\ (2,4,3,s-r,s-r), & s=2r. \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal vertices:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,2-s,3,r+s,r-s+2), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,s,s+2,r-s+4,r-s+2), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+3,s,2r-s+1,3,r-s+1), & r+1 \le s \le r+2; \\ (2r-s+3,2r-s+4,2r-s+2, & r+3 \le s \le 2r+1. \\ s-r+1,s-r-2), \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,2,s,r-s+1,r+s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,s,r-s+1,r-s+4), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+3,2r-s+5, & r+1 \le s \le r+2; \\ s-r-1,3), \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3,2r-s+4, & r+3 \le s \le 2r, \\ s-r-1,s-r+1), \end{cases}$$

Representation of external edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 1-s, s+1, r+1, r+s+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (2s, s, s+1, r-s+2, r-s+3), & s=2; \\ (s+2, s+1, s+1, r-s+2, & 3 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+3), \\ (2r-s+3, r+2, 2r-s+3, & r+1 \le s \le r+2; \\ s-r, s-r+3), \\ (2r-s+3, 2r-s+4, 2r-s+3, r+3 \le s \le 2r-1; \\ s-r, s-r-1), \\ (2, 4, 3, s-r, s-r-1), & s=2r; \\ (0, 2, 2, s-r, s-r-1), & s=2r+1. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s+1}\mathbf{q}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,s,s+1,r-s+2,r+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+3,2s-1,s+1,r-s+2, & 2 \le s \le 3; \\ r-s+2), \\ (s+3,s+2,s+1,r-s+2, & 4 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+2), \\ (2r-s+3,2r-s+4, & r+1 \le s \le 2r-2; \\ 2r-s+2,s-r,s-r), \\ (3,5,3,s-r,s-r), & s=2r-1; \\ (1,3,2,s-r,s-r), & s=2r. \end{cases}$$

Representation of external and internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{q}_{2s}\mathbf{p}_{2s}) = \begin{cases} (2s, 2-s, 2, r+s, r+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1, s, s+1, r-s+3, & 2 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+2), & 2 \le s \le r; \\ (2r-s+3, s, 2r-s+2, 2, & r+1 \le s \le r+2), \\ (2r-s+3, 2r-s+4, 2r-s+2, & r+3 \le s \le 2r; \\ s-r, s-r-2), & 3 \le 2r+1. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(q_{2s+1}p_{2s+1}) = \begin{cases} (2s+1,1,s,r-s+1,r+s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,s,r-s+1, & 2 \le s \le r; \\ r-s+3), \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3,r+1, s=r+1; \\ s-r-1,2), \\ (2r-s+2,2r-s+3,2r & r+2 \le s \le 2r+1 \\ -s+3, \\ s-r-2,s-r-1), \end{cases}$$

Representation of internal edges:

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s}\mathbf{p}_{2s+2}) = \begin{cases} (s+1,1,3,r+s,r-s+1), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+1,s,s+2,r-s+3,r-s+1), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (r+1,s,2r-s+1,3,1), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+3,2r-s+1, & r+2 \le s \le 2r; \\ s-r+1,s-r-2), \\ (2,2,0,s-r,s-r-2), & s = 2r+1. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$C_{R_m}(\mathbf{p}_{2s+1}\mathbf{p}_{2s+3}) = \begin{cases} (s+2,2,s,r-s,r+s), & 0 \le s \le 1; \\ (s+2,s+1,s,r-s,r-s+4), & 2 \le s \le r-1; \\ (2r-s+1,r+1,s,0,3), & r \le s \le r+1; \\ (2r-s+1,2r-s+2,2r-s+3, r+2 \le s \le 2r, \\ s-r-1,s-r+1), \end{cases}$$

Now, from lemma3, the resolving set R_m must contain vertices from both the external and internal cycles of graph.

Lemma 7. Suppose $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\beta_m \geq 5$.

Proof: Suppose that $\beta_m = 4$. If so, the following contradictions are assumed.

- **Case 1:** When the external cycle contain three fixed vertices, $\{q_0, q_1, q_2\}$, and other vertex lie in the internal cycle p_ℓ .
 - (i) If $\ell = 0, 2, 4, \dots, 2r$, then $r_m\{q_0|q_0, q_1, q_2, p_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|q_0, q_1, q_2, p_\ell\} = (0, 1, 2, \ell + 1).$ (ii) If $\ell = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 4r - 1$, then $r_m\{q_0|q_0, q_1, q_2, p_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|q_0, q_1, q_2, p_\ell\}.$
- **Case 2:** When $\{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$ lie in the internal cycle and the other vertex lie in the external cycle q_ℓ .

(i) If $\ell = 0, 2, 4, \dots, 2r$, then $r_m\{q_0|p_0, p_1, p_2, q_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|p_0, p_1, p_2, q_\ell\}.$ (ii) If $\ell = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2r + 3$, then $r_m\{q_0|p_0, p_1, p_2, q_\ell\} = r_m\{q_0q_{n-1}|p_0, p_1, p_2, q_\ell\}.$

We already proved that for $n \equiv 1,3(mod4)$, and the mixed metric dimension is $\beta_m \leq 5$. From Remark2, we consider the following cases where the external and internal cycles comprise two vertices each.

Case 3: When two external vertices are fixed {q₀, q₁}, and the internal vertices are {p₀, p_ℓ}.
(i) If ℓ = 0, 2, 4, ..., 2r, then r_m{q₀|q₀, q₁, p₀, p_ℓ} = r_m{q₀q_{n-1}|q₀, q₁, p₀, p_ℓ}.
(ii) If ℓ = 1, 3, 5, ..., 4r - 1, then r_m{q₀|q₀, q₁, p₀, p_ℓ} = r_m{q₀q_{n-1}|q₀, q₁, p₀, p_ℓ}.

Because of the symmetry, other possible cases can also be derived. From all the above cases, therefore, it is proven that, for $n \equiv 1, 3(mod4)$, the mixed metric dimension is $\beta_m \geq 5$. We can therefore say $\beta_m = 5$ when $n \equiv 1, 3(mod4)$.

Theorem 6. For $n \ge 7$, we have a mixed metric dimension

$$\beta_m(P(n,2)) = \begin{cases} 4, \ n \equiv 0, 2(mod4); \\ 5, \ n \equiv 1, 3(mod4). \end{cases}$$

TABLE 2 Mixed Metric generator β_m for $P(n, 2)$.						
n	Basis					
5	$\{q_0, q_3, p_1, p_2, p_4\}$	5				
6	$\{q_0, q_3, p_1, p_2, p_4\}$	5				
7	$\{q_0, q_3, p_1, p_2, p_4\}$	5				
8	$\{q_0, q_1, p_4, p_5\}$	4				
9	$\{q_0, q_3, p_5, p_6\}$	4				
10	$\{q_0, q_1, p_5, p_6\}$	4				
11	$\{q_0, q_2, p_6, p_7\}$	4				
12	$\{q_0, q_1, p_6, p_7\}$	4				
13	$\{q_0, q_2, p_7, p_8\}$	4				
14	$\{q_0, q_2, p_7, p_8\}$	4				
15	$\{q_0, q_2, p_{10}, p_{11}\}$	4				

Proof: Case 1: When $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$.

From lemma 4,5, we have $\beta_m P(n, 2) = 4$.

Case 1: When $n \equiv 1, 3(mod4)$.

From lemma 6,7, we have $\beta_m P(n, 2) = 5$.

For the remainder of the cases, when $n \leq 15$, the mixed metric dimension $\beta_m(P(n, 2))$ is calculated through the total enumeration method, shown in Table 2, along with the mixed metric basis.

3. CONCLUSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH

The recently introduced mixed metric dimension is calculated for P(n, 2). It has been shown that P(n, 2) has mixed metric dimension equal to 4 for $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$, and, for $n \equiv$ 1, 3(mod4), the mixed metric dimension is 5. This shows that each graph of the family of generalized Petersen P(n, 2) has constant mixed metric dimension.

Theorem 7. [29] For the graph of P(n, 3),

$$\beta(P(n,3)) = \begin{cases} 4, \text{ when } n \equiv 0(mod6); \\ 3, \text{ when } n \equiv 1, (mod6). \end{cases}$$

and,

$$\beta(P(n,3)) \le \begin{cases} 5, \text{ when } n \equiv 2(mod6); \\ 4, \text{ when } n \equiv 3, 4, 5(mod6). \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- 1. Khuller S, Raghavachari B, Rosenfeld A. Landmarks in graphs. Discrete Appl Math. (1996) 70:217-29. doi: 10.1016/0166-218X(95)0 0106-2
- 2. Slater PJ, of Congr Numer. (1975)14: Leaves trees. 549-59.

Theorem 8. [30] For $n \ge 17$, we have,

$$\beta(P(n,4)) \le \begin{cases} 3, \text{ when } n \equiv 0(mod4); \\ 4, \text{ when } n \equiv 1, 2, 3(mod4). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 9. [31] The metric dimension of graph of P(2n, n) is

$$\beta(P(2n,n)) = \begin{cases} 3, \text{ when } n \text{ is even;} \\ 4, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The standard metric dimension is examined for these as well as other known classes of generalized Petersen graphs; the mixed metric dimension for these as well as other graphs would therefore be intriguing to investigate. If the other variants of dimension are identified, a comparative study can be carried out; this could evaluate the relationship between $\beta(\Gamma)$, $\beta_e(\Gamma)$, and $\beta_m(\Gamma)$ in the different families of graphs.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The main idea was presented by HR. YJ read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

The work of HR was supported by Post-Doctoral fund of University of Shanghai for Science and Technology under the grant no. 5B19303001. The work of YJ was supported by the National Science Foundation of China under grant no. 71571055.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the referees for a very careful reading of this paper and for all their insightful comments/criticism, which have led to a number of significant improvements to this paper.

- 3. Harary F, Melter RA. On the metric dimension of a graph. Ars Comb. (1976) $2 \cdot 191 - 5$
- 4. Chartrand G, Saenpholphat V, Zhang P. The independent resolving number of a graph. Math Bohem. (2003) 128:379-93. Available online at: http://dml. cz/dmlcz/134003
- 5. Okamoto F, Phinezy B, Zhang P. The local metric dimension of a graph. Math Bohem. (2010) 135:239-55. Available online at: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140702

- Sebŏ A, Tannier E. On metric generators of graph. *Math Oper Res.* (2004) 29:383–93. doi: 10.1287/moor.1030.0070
- Oellermann OR, Peters-Fransen J. The strong metric dimension of graphs and digraphs. *Discrete Appl Math.* (2007) 155:356–64. doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2006.06.009
- Trujillo-Rasua R, Yero IG. k-Metric antidimension: a privacy measure for social graphs. *Inform Sci.* (2016) 328:403–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2015.08.048
- 9. Shao Z, Wu P, Zhu E, Chen L. On metric dimension in some hex derived networks. *Sensors*. (2019) **19**:94. doi: 10.3390/s19010094
- Raza H, Hayat S, Imran M, Pan XF. Fault-tolerant resolvability and extremal structures of graphs. *Mathematics*. (2019) 7:78. doi: 10.3390/math7010078
- Raza H, Hayat S, Pan XF. Binary locating-dominating sets in rotationally-symmetric convex polytopes. *Symmetry*. (2018) 10:727. doi: 10.3390/sym10120727
- Raza H, Hayat S, Pan XF. On the fault-tolerant metric dimension of certain interconnection networks. J Appl Math Comput. (2019) 60:517–35. doi: 10.1007/s12190-018-01225-y
- Raza H, Hayat S, Pan XF. On the fault-tolerant metric dimension of convex polytopes. *Appl Math Comput.* (2018) 339:172–85. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2018.07.010
- Kelenc A, Tratnik N, Yero IG. Uniquely identifying the edges of a graph: the edge metric dimension. *Discrete Appl Math.* (2018) 251:204–20. doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2018.05.052
- Zubrilina N. On the edge dimension of a graph. Discrete Math. (2018) 341:2083-8. doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2018.04.010
- Peterin I, Yero IG. Edge metric dimension of some graph operations. Bull Malay Math Sci Soc. (2019) 43:2465–77. doi: 10.1007/s40840-019-00816-7
- Zhu E, Taranenko A, Shao Z, Xu J. On graphs with the maximum edge metric dimension. *Discrete Appl Math.* (2019) 257:317–24. doi: 10.1016/j.dam.2018.08.031
- Liu JB, Wang C, Wang S, Wei B. Zagreb indices and multiplicative Zagreb indices of Eulerian graphs. *Bull Malay Math Sci Soc.* (2019) 42:67–78. doi: 10.1007/s40840-017-0463-2
- Liu JB, Zhao J, Zhu Z. On the number of spanning trees and normalized Laplacian of linear octagonal quadrilateral networks. *Int J Quantum Chem.* (2019) 119:e25971. doi: 10.1002/qua.25971
- Liu JB, Zhao J, Min J, Cao J. The hosoya index of graphs formed by a fractal graph. *Fractals*. (2019) 27:1950135–875. doi: 10.1142/S0218348X19501354
- Liu JB, Zhao J, Cai ZQ. On the generalized adjacency, Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectra of the weighted edge corona networks. *Phys A Stat Mech Appl.* (2020) 540:123073. doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2019.123073

- Liu JB, Shi ZY, Pan YH, Cao J, Abdel-Aty M, Al-Juboori U. Computing the Laplacian spectrum of linear octagonal-quadrilateral networks and its applications. *Polycycl Aromat Compd.* (2020). doi: 10.1080/10406638.2020.1748666. [Epub ahead of print].
- Kelenc A, Kuziak D, Taranenko A, Yero IG. Mixed metric dimension of graphs. *Appl Math Comput.* (2017) 314:429–38. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2017.07.027
- Raza H, Liu JB, Qu S. On mixed metric dimension of rotationally symmetric graphs. *IEEE Access.* (2019) 8:11560–9. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2 961191
- Watkins ME. A theorem on Tait colorings with an application to the generalized Petersen graphs. J Combinat Theory. (1969) 6:152–64. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9800(69)80116-X
- Cáceres J, Hernando C, Mora M, Pelayo IM, Puertas ML, Seara C, Wood DR. On the metric dimension of cartesian products of graphs. *SIAM J Discrete Math.* (2007) 21:423–41. doi: 10.1137/050641867
- Filipović V, Kartelj A, Kratica J. Edge metric dimension of some generalized petersen graphs. *Results Math.* (2019) 74:182. doi: 10.1007/s00025-019-1105-9
- Javaid I, Rahim MT, Ali K. Families of regular graphs with constant metric dimension. Utilitas Math. (2008) 75:21–34.
- 29. Imran M, Baig AQ, Shafiq MK, Tomescu I. On metric dimension of generalized petersen graphs *P* (*n*, 3). *Ars Comb*. (2014) **117**:113–30.
- Naz S, Salman M, Ali U, Javaid I, Bokhary SA. On the constant metric dimension of generalized Petersen graphs P (n, 4). Acta Math Sin. (2014) 30:1145–60. doi: 10.1007/s10114-014-2372-8
- Imran M, Siddiqui MK, Naeem R. On the metric dimension of generalized petersen multigraphs. *IEEE Access.* (2018) 6:74328–38. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2883556

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Raza and Ji. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

9