



Axon Diameters and Myelin Content Modulate Microscopic Fractional Anisotropy at Short Diffusion Times in Fixed Rat Spinal Cord

Noam Shemesh*

Champalimaud Neuroscience Programme, Champalimaud Centre for the Unknown, Lisbon, Portugal

Mapping tissue microstructure accurately and noninvasively is one of the frontiers of biomedical imaging. Diffusion Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is at the forefront of such efforts, as it is capable of reporting on microscopic structures orders of magnitude smaller than the voxel size by probing restricted diffusion. Double Diffusion Encoding (DDE) and Double Oscillating Diffusion Encoding (DODE) in particular, are highly promising for their ability to report on microscopic fractional anisotropy (μ FA), a measure of the pore anisotropy in its own eigenframe, irrespective of orientation distribution. However, the underlying correlates of μ FA have insofar not been studied. Here, we extract μ FA from DDE and DODE measurements at ultrahigh magnetic field of 16.4T with the goal of probing fixed rat spinal cord microstructure. We further endeavor to correlate μ FA with Myelin Water Fraction (MWF) derived from multiexponential T₂ relaxometry, as well as with literature-based spatially varying axon diameter. In addition, a simple new method is presented for extracting unbiased µFA from three measurements at different b-values. Our findings reveal strong anticorrelations between µFA (derived from DODE) and axon diameter in the distinct spinal cord tracts; a moderate correlation was also observed between µFA derived from DODE and MWF. These findings suggest that axonal membranes strongly modulate µFA, which-owing to its robustness toward orientation dispersion effects-reflects axon diameter much better than its typical FA counterpart. µFA varied when measured via oscillating or blocked gradients, suggesting selective probing of different parallel path lengths and providing insight into how those modulate µFA metrics. Our findings thus shed light into the underlying microstructural correlates of µFA and are promising for future interpretations of this metric in health and disease.

Keywords: microscopic anisotropy, MRI, microstructure, diffusion MRI, myelin water fraction, spinal cord, axon diameter

INTRODUCTION

Diffusion Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has become a mainstay of contemporary microstructural imaging in biomedical applications. Diffusion MRI can provide rich information on the sample's microstructure by interrogating micron-scale dimensions within millimeter-scale voxels [1]. In the hierarchical scaling of dimensions in biological systems, the micron-scale is

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Julien Valette, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives (CEA), France

Reviewed by:

Pascal Sati, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Tim B. Dyrby, Copenhagen University Hospital, Denmark

*Correspondence:

Noam Shemesh noam.shemesh @neuro.fchampalimaud.org

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Biomedical Physics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physics

Received: 22 December 2017 Accepted: 07 May 2018 Published: 08 June 2018

Citation:

Shemesh N (2018) Axon Diameters and Myelin Content Modulate Microscopic Fractional Anisotropy at Short Diffusion Times in Fixed Rat Spinal Cord. Front. Phys. 6:49. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2018.00049

1

fortuitously a characteristic length scale of many (sub)cellular structures of interest, such as axons, dendrites or cell bodies, which cannot be accessed using routine spatial resolutions in MRI. Most diffusion MRI methods utilize variants of Stejskal and Tanner's [2] Single Diffusion Encoding (SDE) technique [3], which probes diffusion using a single diffusion epoch spanned by diffusion-sensitizing gradient waveforms. The flexibility of SDE in terms of parameter space led to numerous variants [4], as well as diffusion models [5, 6], that have been devised to probe different aspects of the microstructure. For example, Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) models diffusion using a single tensor [7, 8] under the assumption of (time-dependent) Gaussian diffusion, and the tensor's rotationally invariant properties can then report on diffusion anisotropy and parallel/perpendicular diffusivities. Other methods, such as q-space imaging [9, 10] or diffusion spectrum imaging [11] utilize Fourier relationships between the diffusion propagator and signal decay with the qvalue (where $\mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \gamma \delta \mathbf{G}$ is the wavevector, γ is the gyromagnetic ratio, δ represents the gradient duration, and |G| is the gradient amplitude) to extract information on pore size or orientation distributions, respectively. Diffusion time- and/or frequencydependence can also provide much insight into the restricting geometry by probing the way in which the diffusion path is modulated with time and/or the diffusion spectrum, respectively [12-19]. Furthermore, more advanced biophysical modeling has been recently put forth to characterize specific microstructural components such as neurite density [20, 21], or water fractions tentatively associated with axons in white matter [19] from specific acquisition schemes. Such SDE methods have been widely useful in neuroscience [22] and biomedical applications, typically targeting longitudinal processes such as stroke, learning, or chronic disease progression [1].

One interesting metric that can be probed by diffusion is the microscopic diffusion anisotropy (μA) [23–25], from which its

normalized counterpart - the microscopic fractional anisotropy (μFA) – can be derived. μFA defines a single compartment's anisotropy in its own eigenframe [26], e.g., for a sphere μ FA = 0 while for an infinite cylinder μ FA can approach 1. However, in practice, the MRI signal will always originate from an ensemble, thereby making it necessary to account for orientation dispersion within the ensemble [27]. In systems comprising coherentlyaligned anisotropic objects where orientation dispersion is ideally zero, μ FA would be equivalent to the fractional anisotropy (FA) derived from DTI. However, in conventional SDE methods, when orientation dispersion is significant, estimated FA values typically do not represent the true anisotropy, or µFA, as they are conflated with orientation dispersion [28, 29]. For example, in ideal randomly oriented infinite cylinders, the averaging of anisotropic compartments results in FA = 0, which—without apriori knowledge or extensive modeling-would suggest that the microscopic geometry is spherical.

In recent years, the Double Diffusion Encoding (DDE) methodology (Figure 1) has been gaining increasing attention for its potential to refine and identify microstructural aspects not so easily probed by SDE [25, 30]. Unlike SDE, DDE probes diffusion correlations using-as its name suggeststwo diffusion encoding periods, spanned by two independent gradient wavevectors, which are separated by a mixing time $(\tau_{\rm m})$. Comparing q-space-like signal decays using parallel and perpendicular relative gradient orientations, Cheng and Cory have been able to measure the sizes of randomly oriented elongated (anisotropic) yeast cells, and distinguish them from spherical cells [24]. Similarly, Callaghan and Komlosh have shown that diffusivities extracted from parallel vs. perpendicular DDE experiments could provide insight into µFA in randomly oriented liquid crystals characterized by Gaussian diffusion [23]. Such measurements provided the first clues that μ FA (termed using many divergent terms [3])



could be recovered from DDE irrespective of orientation dispersion.

Mitra [25], and later Özarlsan [31] derived exact solutions for DDE signals, and have identified the importance of the mixing time in decoupling µA from other effects. In the short mixing time regime, interesting diffusion-diffraction phenomena can be produced [32-36], and angular dependencies can provide insight into pore sizes as shown experimentally first by Koch and Finsterbusch [37, 38] and then by others [39-41]; however, by analyzing the displacement correlation tensor [42], the short $\tau_{\rm m}$ angular DDE experiment aiming to measure compartment sizes was found by Jespersen to be equivalent to a time-dependent SDE experiment [43]. By contrast, in the long mixing time regime, the second order term in the displacement correlation tensor, from which sizes are measured, is decoupled from μA , making its measurement much less complicated [25, 31]. The ability to measure accurate µA values was validated in Shemesh et al. [34] and its importance was shown in biological systems such as ex-vivo neural tissues [44], yeast cells [45], and preclinical *in-vivo* experiments [46], where the orientational variance of the measurements was highlighted. Lawrenz et al. have proposed rotationally invariant schemes for mapping an index of μA [47, 48], and Jespersen et al. subsequently generalized rotationally invariant DDE measurements up to 5th order (in q-values) via a measurement scheme termed DDE 5-design [26]. Numerous promising studies have also been performed on human scanners [37, 38, 48-51], suggesting quite promising potential for disentangling μ FA from the underlying orientation dispersion. Additional recent experiments have even extended the DDE methodology toward MR spectroscopy, aiming to impart specificity toward specific cell populations via cellularspecific metabolites [52, 53].

As alluded to above, the diffusion process in biological tissues is highly time-dependent, and thus the filter with which the diffusion experiment is performed can be important. Oscillating Diffusion Encoding (ODE) experiments [14, 54, 55] have been widely used in SDE to enhance contrast in neural tissue, likely since they access shorter diffusion time than could be reached using pulsed-gradient-spin-echo methods [56]. Additionally, ODE has been shown to be highly beneficial for mapping axonal sizes in rat spinal cord [57, 58] as well as for contrasting malignancy in tissues [59, 60]. More recently, the DDE framework was extended toward accommodation of oscillating gradients, termed Double Oscillating Diffusion Encoding (DODE, Figure 1A), first in theory [61], and more recently, in experiment [62]. Importantly, DODE enables the time/frequency-dependence of µFA to be studied. Furthermore, DODE sequences reach the long mixing time regimes much more easily than their DDE counterparts, thereby making the experiments less mixing-time dependent [61], and, as a result, offering the benefit of reduced echo times. This property is likely due to the mixing beginning already from the first gradient pair, and accumulating over the entire gradient waveform. Such DODE experiments were recently reported for the first time in the ex-vivo mouse brain, and µFA maps derived from DODE indeed showed richer contrast than those of their DDE-derived counterparts [62].

Many studies have investigated the underlying microstructural correlates of FA, mainly in white matter (for a classical review, the reader is referred to Beaulieu [63]). It is clear that although myelin strongly modulates FA, it is not necessary for detection of anisotropy in biological systems. Axonal membranes, for example, can impede the diffusion processes with orientational preference and thus can contribute to FA. However, in most studies attempting to investigate the origins of restriction in tissues, orientation dispersion was conflated with SDE-driven metrics; an interesting question is therefore whether µFA, which should not suffer from orientation dispersion effects, could be associated with microstructural features to different extents than FA. The goal of this study was therefore to investigate how μ FA and FA correlate underlying microstructural features such as myelin water fraction (MWF) or axonal diameters. As well, we aimed to investigate whether these parameters are differently correlated, and to qualitatively assess the importance of orientation dispersion, especially in the white matter. The final goal of this study was to determine whether µFA is modulated when different length scales are probed via DODE and DDE sequences. A well-characterized system, namely, fixed spinal cord-which has been extensively used in the past to study diffusion [15, 58, 64–66] or relaxation [67–70] microstructural correlates-was used for these investigations. Our findings demonstrate interesting differences in correlations between µFA and FA and MWF, as well as with the a-priori known axonal sizes in white matter, when measured using DODE or DDE. Interesting findings in gray matter tissues are also presented. Implications for D(O)DE contrasts and future routes for investigations of the origin of µFA in neural tissue, are discussed.

THEORY

Most DDE-MRI studies up to date have used only a single *b*-value to extract μ FA. However, very recently, Ianus et al. showed that for most plausible microstructural scenarios, μ FA obtained in such a way can be highly biased due to neglecting the higher-order terms in the signal decay [62]. Ianus et al. proposed to more accurately estimate μ FA in both DDE and DODE methodologies by performing D(O)DE experiments at multiple *b*-values, and fitting both μ A (from which μ FA is then calculated) and the higher-order term via polynomial fits. That is, the D(O)DE signal decay at long mixing times can be expanded with b-value as:

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{12}\sum S_{\parallel}(b)\right) - \log\left(\frac{1}{60}\sum S_{\perp}(b)\right) = \mu A^2 b^2 + P_3 b^3,$$

where $\mu A^2 = \frac{3}{5} \operatorname{var}(\sigma_i)$, $\sigma_{i=1,2,3}$ are the diffusion tensor eigenvalues, S_{\parallel} and S_{\perp} represent the D(O)DE signals acquired using parallel and perpendicular gradients, respectively, and P₃ contains the higher-order terms up to third order (even higherorder terms are neglected). Ianus et al. showed that polynomial fitting can be used to estimate μA^2 and P₃ from Equation 1. When the mean diffusivity (MD) is additionally measured at lower *b*-values (e.g., from fitting a tensor to the 12 parallel orientations in the 5-design), μ FA can be directly calculated from Eq. 2:

$$\mu FA = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \frac{\mu A^2}{\mu A^2 + \frac{3}{5}MD^2}}.$$

Although polynomial fitting probably yields more accurate estimates of μA^2 , it should be noted that ideally, many *b*-value shells would be required for robust fitting. An alternative approach would be to acquire a much more minimalistic dataset and still be able to quantify μA^2 and P₃. Setting $\frac{1}{12} \sum \log (S_{\parallel}(b)) - \frac{1}{60} \sum \log (S_{\perp}(b)) \equiv \tilde{\epsilon}(b)$, Eq. 1 can be rewritten for two different *b*-values b₁ and b₂:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\epsilon} (b_1) = \mu A^2 b_1^2 + P_3 b_1^3 \\ \tilde{\epsilon} (b_2) = \mu A^2 b_2^2 + P_3 b_2^3 \end{cases}$$

It is then straightforward to show that from two measurements at different *b*-values, μA^2 can be directly obtained from

$$\widetilde{\mu A^2} = \frac{\widetilde{\epsilon} \left(b_2 \right) - \widetilde{\epsilon} \left(b_1 \right) \frac{b_2^3}{b_1^3}}{b_2^2 - \frac{b_2^3}{b_1}}$$

which can then be plugged into Equation 2 to obtain μ FA directly. Note that we use the tilde to distinguish the extracted μA^2 from the real μA^2 . This approach for accurate μ FA extraction thus requires, in principle, only two measurements, one at low *b*-value, from which MD and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b_1) would be obtained, and another at higher *b*-value, where $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b_2) would be obtained. However, since at low *b*-values required for accurate estimation of MD, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b_1) may be very small and comparable to noise levels, it is more appropriate to acquire $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b_1) and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ (b_2) at somewhat higher *b*-values (where the b² terms are more dominant) and perform a separate, third acquisition for extracting MD at lower *b*-values. This 3-shell approach was thus preferred in this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament of the Council, authorized by the Champalimaud Centre for the Unknown's Animal Welfare Body, and approved by the national competent authority (Direcção Geral de Alimentação e Veterinária, DGAV).

Specimen Preparation

Spinal cord specimens were obtained from adult male Wistar rats (N = 2) weighing ~300 gr. The rats underwent standard transcardial perfusion under deep pentobarbital anesthesia. Cervical spinal cords were extracted, washed in PBS, and kept in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 24 h at 4°C. The samples were then placed in freshly prepared phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for at least 48 h prior to MRI experiments. The samples were cut to ~1 cm segments and placed in a 5 mm NMR tube filled with fluorinert (Sigma Aldrich, Lisbon, Pt).

MRI Experiments

All MRI experiments were performed on a vertical 16.4T (700 MHz ¹H frequency) Aeon Ascend scanner (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) interfaced with a Bruker AVANCE IIIHD console. A Micro5 probe equipped with a 5 mm birdcage coil for transmit and receive functions and a gradient system capable of producing amplitudes of up to 3T/m isotropically was used. The sample was kept at a constant temperature of 23° C throughout the experiments by means of air flow, and the samples were allowed to equilibrate with the surrounding temperature for at least 4 h before acquiring any diffusion or relaxation experiments.

All diffusion sequences were written in-house and were based on an Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) readout. For both DODE and DDE, the same acquisition parameters were used, namely, two-shot and double-sampled EPI with a readout bandwidth of 555.555 kHz, Field of View (FOV) of 6 \times 4 mm² and inplane matrix size of 120×80 , leading to an isotropic in-plane resolution of 50 \times 50 μ m². The slice thickness was 500 μ m, and TR/TE = 2,500/52 ms. For both DODE and DDE acquisitions, Jespersen's 5-design sampling scheme [26] was used for the diffusion weighted images, and, additionally, eight images with zero *b*-value were acquired, such that the total number of images acquired in a given scan was 80. For both DODE and DDE, three separate acquisitions were performed with different bvalues, namely, 2b = 1.2, 2.4 and 3.0 ms/ μ m² (where the factor of 2 reflects the accumulated diffusion weighting along the two diffusion epochs). The specific *b*-values were chosen based on signal-to-noise and contrast considerations: on the one hand, they have to be sufficiently low such that even higher-order terms do not contribute, but on the other hand, they have to be high enough for μ FA contrast to be detectable. The lowest *b*-value scans were acquired with 12 averages, while the other two bvalue shells were acquired with 32 averages each. The DODE diffusion parameters were: $T_{DODE} = 13 \text{ ms}, N = 5, \tau_s = 2 \text{ ms}.$ The DDE diffusion parameters were $\Delta/\delta = 12/1$ ms, $\tau_m = 12$ ms, see Figure 1 for definitions of the parameters.

Additional experiments were performed for mapping myelin water fraction. Those consisted of a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG)-based acquisition performed using a modified pulse multi-slice-multi-echo (MSME) sequence. The same slice was acquired as in the diffusion images with identical in-plane resolution and FOV. The acquisition bandwidth for the pulse sequence was 100 kHz, and the pulses used for slice-selective excitation and refocusing had durations of 1.16 ms (Shinnar-Le-Roux design) and 50 μ s (Gaussian shape), respectively. The respective bandwidths of the excitation and refocusing pulses were 3625 and 32,100 Hz, respectively, such that the refocusing pulse provided complete refocusing on the entire slice. The Δ TE that could be achieved using these parameters was 2.85 ms, and 96 echoes were acquired from 2.85 to 273.6 ms. The repetition time was 2500 ms and two averages were acquired.

Diffusion Data Preprocessing

All preprocessing and analyses were performed using MatLab[®] (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, United States). Raw images were registered using an implementation of Guizar-Sicairos et al. [71] found in https://goo.gl/3bGU8b. The images were then denoised using Veraart's method based on Marchenko-Pastur distributions in Principal Component Analysis of redundant data [72]. Gibbs unringing was performed using Kellner's method [73] implemented in Matlab. Finally, the denoised and unrung images were very slightly smoothed using a [2 2] median filter.

Relaxation Data Preprocessing

The preprocessing steps for the relaxation data were identical to the diffusion data preprocessing steps, except for an additional step in the very beginning of the pipeline whereby the magnitude data was converted to real data using Eichner's method [74]. All steps listed above including denoising, unringing and median filter smoothing were then executed in sequence.

Diffusion Data Analysis

The first analysis step for D(O)DE data was to fit the diffusion tensor. Diffusivities were computed using a simple linear fitting of S_{\parallel} data acquired at the lowest *b*-value experiments followed by diagonalization and extraction of the diffusion tensor eignevalues and eigenvectors. The mean diffusivity and fractional anisotropy were then calculated from the tensor eigenvalues as MD = $\frac{1}{3}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)$ and $FA = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \frac{(\lambda_1 - MD)^2 + (\lambda_2 - MD)^2 + (\lambda_3 - MD)^2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2}}$, where λ_i represent the tensor eigenvalues.

The second step in the analysis was to use the data from the two higher b-values to extract μ FA. First, $\mu \widetilde{A}^2$ was extracted directly from Eq. 4; the mean diffusivity estimate was then used along with the extracted μA^2 to obtain μFA via Equation 2.

Relaxation Data Analysis

Following the preprocessing steps listed above, the filtered relaxation data were subject to a voxelwise inverse Laplace Transform (iLT) using 150 T₂ components log-spaced between 2.1 and 328.3 ms. The T₂ spectra were smoothed by minimumcurvature constraint as in Dula et al. [75] and extended phase graph analysis was performed to account for any B_1^+ inhomogeneity and ensuing stimulated echoes [76]. The myelin water fraction (MWF) was computed from each spectrum as the fraction of signal originating from components with peak T₂ smaller than 17 ms. ROIs were drawn manually on the raw data closely following Dula et al. [75], and the ROI data underwent the same analysis using the mean signal decay in each ROI.

Statistical Analysis

Gray matter and white matter masks were created by thresholding MWF maps with MWF < 0.22 for gray matter and MWF > 0.25 for white matter. The histograms in **Figure 4** were then generated for each metric/method using Matlab's histogram function which automatically selects the bin width to represent the underlying distribution in the most accurate way. Parameter means and standard deviations are reported in the text and Tables.

Correlation analyses between different diffusion metrics were performed using automatic outlier rejection (Grubbs test for outliers) followed by calculation of Spearman's ρ (µFA and FA data from all methods were not normally distributed). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare µFA and FA arising from DODE and DDE methods, with post-hoc Bonferroni tests corrected for multiple comparisons.

To correlate MWF with μ FA or FA extracted from the different methods, the diffusion maps were registered to the MWF using Matlab's imregister function using a multimodal configuration, initial radius of 1e-5, maximum number of iterations = 1,000, and allowing for affine transformations due to the small differences in image geometry arising from EPI-based (diffusion) and line-by-line (relaxation) acquisitions.

When linear fits are presented (Figure 8), Matlab's robustfit function was used to extract the coefficients.

RESULTS

Diffusion data quality can be appraised in Figure 2, which plots representative raw data from one of the spinal cords, obtained from experiments with zero b-value (Figure 2A), parallel (Figure 2B), and perpendicular (Figure 2C) diffusion orientations at the highest b-value used in this study. Before denoising, the worst-case signal to noise ratio (SNR)-measured at the highest b-value and with significant diffusion weighting gradients in the direction parallel to the spinal cord's principal axis—was \sim 20 in white matter. The middle column in Figure 2 shows the corresponding preprocessed data and the ensuing enhancement of image quality from denoising and Gibbs unringing (Figures 2D-F). Figures 2G-I show the result of subtracting raw and denoised images. The lack of structure in the subtracted images suggest that indeed only noise was removed and that no significant signal components were lost during denoising [72]. The SNR of the preprocessed images was enhanced by a factor of ~ 2 .

To assess the different maps obtained in this study, representative µFA and FA maps derived from DODE as well as DDE experiments (hereafter referred to as µFADODE and μ FADDE or FADDDE and FADDE, respectively) are shown in Figure 3. Several interesting qualitative features can be highlighted from these images: (1) both μ FA_{DODE} and μ FA_{DDE} maps (Figures 3A,C) have higher values than their FA_{DODE} and FADDE counterparts (Figures 3B,D) in white matter, as well as in gray matter; (2) μ FA_{DDE} is higher and less tract-specific when compared with μFA_{DODE} (for approximate definitions of tract locations and spinal cord anatomy, the reader is referred to **Figure 3E**); (3) μ FA_{DDE} appears quite homogeneous in the WM while μ FA_{DODE} shows more variation within WM; (4) similarly, FA_{DDE} is more homogeneous in white matter compared with FA_{DODE}, which shows a greater variance in different tracts. To provide a more quantitative view on these features, Figure 4 plots histograms of µFA and FA in white matter and gray matter (c.f. **Figures 4A,B** for the ROI masks). In white matter, μ FA_{DODE} is higher than its FA_{DODE} counterpart (Figure 4C), while in gray matter, μFA_{DODE} is distributed at much higher values compared to FA_{DODE} (Figure 4D). Similar trends were observed for DDE but with µFA or FA shifted toward somewhat higher values (Figures 4E,F).



FIGURE 2 | Quality of diffusion MRI data and preprocessing in a representative spinal cord. (A–C) Raw data with zero *b*-value, parallel, and perpendicular waveforms acquired at the highest *b*-value, respectively. In this particular direction, the perpendicular waveform had more significant components along the spinal cord principal axis and thus show greater attenuation. (D–F) Results of preprocessing the data in A–C (denoising and Gibbs unringing). Notice how the noise is highly reduced in the preprocessed images without adverse effects to image quality. (G–I) Subtraction of denoised and raw data, showing only noise and thus demonstrating that no significant signal components were removed during Marchenko-Pastur PCA denoising.



FIGURE 3 | Parameter maps for a representative spinal cord. (A) μ FA_{DODE}; (B) FA_{DODE}; (C) μ FA_{DDE}; (D) FA_{DDE}. Notice the differences in contrast both in white and in gray matter tissues both between metrics and between sequences. Most notably, μ FA is higher than FA and DDE-driven metrics are higher than DODE-driven metrics, especially in white matter. (E) Anatomy of the spinal cord for reference, displayed over a smoothed false-color image of the cervical segment. The gray matter is shown in red and green, while the tracts are highlighted on the left side of the cord.





It is also interesting to compare differences between methods within the same tissue type (e.g., comparing same-color distributions down the columns of **Figure 4**). μ FA_{DODE} is clearly lower and more widely distributed compared with μ FA_{DDE} in white matter. In gray matter, μ FA_{DDE} is high, while μ FA_{DODE} is somewhat smaller. Another interesting finding in gray matter, is that FA_{DODE} and FA_{DDE} values are only slightly different. The means and standard deviations of μ FA and FA for each method are tabulated in **Table 1**.

A statistical analysis of these data is given in **Figure 5**, which presents box plots of the data. A one-way ANOVA revealed that in each tissue type (e.g., white matter or gray matter), all four metrics are highly statistically significantly different from each other (corrected p < 1e-12, *post-hoc* Bonferroni test). However, it should be noted that although the metrics are different, they are not completely uncorrelated. **Table 1** reports Spearman's ρ and its significance levels when comparing μ FA and FA (extracted by the same method) in each ROI. While μ FA_{DODE}

	μ FA DODE	FA DODE	Spearman's p	p-value	μ FA DDE	FA DDE	Spearman's p	p-value
White Matter			0.41	<10 ⁻¹⁰			0.19	<10 ⁻¹⁰
Mean σ	0.77 ± 0.10	0.49 ± 0.12			0.89 ± 0.16	0.69 ± 0.13		
Gray Matter			0.22	<10 ⁻¹⁰			-0.10	< 0.002
Mean σ	0.71 ± 0.10	0.24 ± 0.15			0.79 ± 0.10	0.31 ± 0.18		

TABLE 1 White matter and gray matter microscopic and fractional anisotropies, along with their spearman correlation coefficient and significance.



and FA_{DODE} are correlated in white matter (Spearman's $\rho = \sim 0.41$), μFA_{DDE} and FA_{DDE} metrics are only weakly correlated (Spearman's $\rho = \sim 0.19$). In gray matter, the correlations between μFA and FA were weak for both methods and (Spearman's $\rho = 0.22$ and -0.10 for DODE and DDE, respectively). Note that although outlier rejection was used, in all cases $< \sim 1\%$ of the data were identified as outliers and rejected.

To establish whether and how myelin modulates the anisotropy metrics, Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) MRI experiments were performed on the same slice with the same resolution as the diffusion experiments. To assess the quality of the data, **Figures 6A,B** show the preprocessed data at short and very short TE of 2.9 ms and very long TE of 142.5 ms, respectively, in a representative spinal cord. Even at the very long TE, the SNR remains very high, especially after denoising. Denoising and unringing procedures were validated and found to have no negative impact on the quality of T₂ fitting procedure (data not shown), while improving the fits significantly. **Figure 6C** shows ROIs drawn in the major tracts of the spinal cord, while **Figures 6D,E** show the T₂ decays (with the ordinate drawn in log scale) and the resultant T₂ spectra (with the abscissa

drawn in linear scale), respectively. The decays in white matter are clearly non-linear, and the myelin water can be seen as an early peak in the T_2 spectrum with its peak T_2 around ~ 10 ms.

A representative myelin water fraction (MWF) map arising from pixel-by-pixel quantification of the spectra is shown in Figure 7A. Note the sharp contrast between the different tracts in MWF: for example, the dCST shows the lowest MWF (MWF~0.30) while VST and FC exhibit the highest MWF (MWF~0.45). Scatter plots between MWF and μ FA or FA in white matter are shown in Figure 7 for DODE (Figure 7B) and DDE (Figure 7C), respectively. Table 2 summarizes the correlation coefficients and associated statistics. A moderate anticorrelation between MWF and µFA_{DODE} is observed in the white matter (Spearman's $\rho = \sim -0.36$), while FA_{DODE} did not correlate with MWF in a statistically significant manner. The DDE counterparts μFA_{DDE} and FA_{DDE} exhibited weak anti-correlation and correlation, respectively. Figures 7D,E show similar plots as described above, but for gray matter. Notably, correlations between MWF and FA_{DODE}, as well as FA_{DDE} were very weak and their statistical significance not very high; on the contrary, µFA_{DODE} was found to correlate somewhat with MWF,





while μ FA_{DDE} correlated moderately with MWF, with very high statistical significance (c.f. **Table 2**).

Finally, the correlation of the mean μ FA in the different tracts with literature regional averaged axon diameter was assessed. **Figures 8A,B** plot mean μ FA and FA against the axon diameters reported in Dula et al. [75] for the different spinal cord tracts. These data, along with the values tabulated in **Table 3**, demonstrate that μ FA_{DODE} exhibits very strong anticorrelation with axon diameters (Spearman's $\rho = -0.96$, p = 0.0028). All other metrics are not significantly correlated with axon diameter.

DISCUSSION

 μ FA has been recently gaining increasing attention as a potentially useful source of contrast in microstructural MRI due to its ability to disentangle anisotropy from orientation dispersion. Methods other than D(O)DE, targeting μ FA such as tailoring b-tensor shapes are emerging, with many potential applications [77–80]. However, such methods may be confounded by time-dependent diffusion effects [27, 81– 83], whereas D(O)DE at long mixing times naturally avoids



TABLE 2 | Statistical analysis of correlations between (μ) FA and myelin water fraction in white matter and gray matter.

	μ FA DODE	FA DODE	μ FA DDE	FA DDE
WHITE MATTER	1			
Spearman's ρ	-0.36	0.02	-0.07	0.30
<i>p</i> -value	<10 ⁻¹⁰	NS	0.0011	<10 ⁻¹⁰
GRAY MATTER				
Spearman's ρ	0.23	0.11	0.45	-0.1
<i>p</i> -value	<10 ⁻¹⁰	0.0002	<10 ⁻¹⁰	0.0015

these confounds [43]. It is therefore imperative to investigate how μ FA may be correlated with underlying microstructural features such as axon dimensions and myelin, much like the early studies aiming to understand the sources for FA [63, 67, 84, 85]. In general, perhaps the most significant findings of prior studies on FA (conducted nearly invariably with SDE) were that (1) anisotropy in white matter depends on axonal membranes; and (2) the presence of myelin can further modulate FA metrics [63]. The application of oscillating gradients has also been shown to generate more contrast and more accurate estimations of small dimensions as compared to long diffusion time experiments, presumably due to the more efficient probing of smaller dimensions via the shorter diffusion times [58, 86, 87].

The present study aimed to investigate how μ FA differs from FA in terms of correlations with myelin water and axonal diameters, and to compare those metrics when measured with DDE or DODE sequences. We first focus attention to our results

arising from white matter tissue. Notably, µFA was always larger than FA (Figures 3-5 and Table 2), in agreement with previous DDE experiments in fixed tissues [26] and in-vivo [88]. Since the µFA and FA metrics were extracted from the same acquisition, it is unlikely that other effects such as exchange or relaxation contributed to μ FA > FA. Thus, our finding supports the notion that that orientation dispersion is significant even in highly structured tissues, such as spinal cord white matter. This is in excellent agreement with a recent study of SDEderived diffusion tensor and kurtosis time-dependencies which also pointed to the same conclusion in pig spinal cord [15], as well as with histological studies attempting to measure the dispersion directly in white matter [89]. It is difficult to draw conclusions on whether the orientation dispersion arises within intra- or extra-axonal spaces (or both), or, whether undulations [90] or passing collateral fibers [91] can contribute to these observations. Performing similar spectroscopic measurements utilizing cell-specific markers such as NAA or mI [52, 53], or performing much more extensive time/frequency/b-valuedependent measurements on water [19, 59, 92], or on metabolites [93, 94] may further assist in addressing this question in the future.

Another interesting aspect when comparing μ FA with FA in white matter, is that the two metrics are only moderately correlated when measured with DODE, and very weakly correlated when measured with DDE (c.f. **Table 1**). This finding suggests that when diffusion is encoded using oscillating gradients, spins experience less orientation dispersion than when they are probed using block gradients, since μ FA would be perfectly correlated (and identical) to FA for perfectly aligned



FIGURE 8 | Correlations of diffusion metrics with literature-based average axon diameters in the different white matter tracts. (A) Correlations of metrics derived from DODE. (B) Correlations derived from DDE. The best linear regressions to the experimental data are also given as solid lines. Note the excellent inverse agreement between μ FA_{DODE} and axon diameter, which also had a very high anticorrelation coefficient of $\rho \sim -0.96$ while all other metrics did not show significant correlations.

TABLE 3 | Statistical analysis of correlations between (μ)FA and literature-based average axon diameter (extracted from Dula et al. [75]) in the rat spinal cord.

	μ FA DODE	FA DODE	μ FA DDE	FA DDE
WHITE MATTER				
Spearman's ρ, <i>p</i> -value	-0.96, 0.0028	-0.68, NS	-0.14, NS	-0.43, NS

fibers. Hence, our findings point to specific length scales for orientation dispersion that are probed differently using the different sequences.

Next, we consider the relationships between myelin and μ FA. Akin to its FA counterpart- μ FA is ambiguous in that a compartment with length "L" and radius "R" can give rise to the same μ FA as a compartment with length 2L and radius 2R. The axial path length could be restricted due to nodes of Ranvier, nonideal cylindrical structure, varicosities, etc. However, if the path length parallel to the (assumingly) ellipsoids is constant, then one could predict that when larger amounts of myelin surround an axon, the µFA will be smaller as the restriction will increase in the perpendicular direction. However, in our study, a moderate *negative* correlation was observed between MWF and μ FA_{DODE} in white matter (Figure 7 and Table 2). This can be explained by considering the dependence of MWF and axon diameter via the g-ratio [95]: the larger the axon, the thicker the myelin around it in (healthy) mammalian white matter [96]. Hence, the negative correlation between μFA_{DODE} and MWF would reflect indirectly the approximately constant g-ratio in healthy tissue, rather than enhanced restriction. Interestingly, μFA_{DDE} showed a much weaker, yet still negative correlation with MWF. Since the microstructure has not changed between measurements, this likely reflects that DODE and DDE probe different path lengths *parallel* to the spinal cord's major axis: the larger the diffusion time, the longer path will be probed in the unrestricted dimension, and thence the μ FA will be larger and less reflective of axon diameter or, by proxy, its myelin thickness. FA_{DDE} showed a small positive correlation with MWF, which perhaps reflects the ambiguity of probing restriction and orientation distribution at the same time. Extracellular space contributions again cannot be neglected here, but for coherently aligned systems the arguments are similar as one could potentially treat the space between densely packed axons as potentially even more restricted than the intra-axonal space itself [45]. It is also worth mentioning that MWF extracted from multiexponential T₂ measurements, as performed in this study, have been shown in the past to reflect microstructural metrics such as axon size and myelin thickness very faithfully in white matter [67, 75, 97].

Our most striking findings in this study, perhaps, is that µFA_{DODE} showed an extremely high, and statistically significant, negative correlation with axon diameters reported by Dula et al. [75] and Harkins et al. [98] for the different tracts (Table 3). This observation lends further credence to the explanation above: the finite parallel length scale probed by DODE makes the measurement strongly dependent on the perpendicular restriction, which in this case is reflected through axon sizes. Although the axon diameters were obtained from literature, it is worth stressing that axon diameter dependence in healthy spinal cords is highly reproducible and that the tracts analyzed were obtained from very similar cervical slices as in Dula et al. [75]. Such a strong correlation is also highly unlikely to be obtained randomly. It is very interesting to also note that all other metrics did not correlate in a statistically significant fashion with axon diameters: µFA_{DDE} likely due to its probing of longer parallel lengths, and the FA from both methods due to its inherent conflation or restriction with orientation dispersion.

In the spinal cord gray matter, very low FA_{DODE} and FA_{DDE} values were measured, suggesting a much lower degree of restriction compared to white matter diffusion. However, the

 μ FA_{DODE} and μ FA_{DDE} metrics in gray matter were still very high in the gray matter. In fact, the values reported in Table 1 also reflect $\frac{\mu FA_{DODE}^{GM}}{\mu FA_{DODE}^{WM}} = \sim 0.92$ and $\frac{\mu FA_{DDE}^{GM}}{\mu FA_{DDE}^{WM}}$ = \sim 0.89. Combined with the low FA values in the gray matter, our findings suggest that a significant component of gray matter tissue experiences restricted diffusion but with a large degree of orientation dispersion. This finding is also in good agreement with previous literature demonstrating significant angular DDE modulations in ex-vivo gray matter [44, 45]. Further studies are needed to establish which underlying biological components give rise to such high µFA in gray matter, but dendrites, astrocyte branches, and nonmyelinated or myelinated axons traversing gray matter could be suspected [93, 99]. Time-dependent or spectroscopic experiments on metabolites could provide insight into such questions in the future.

Several limitations can be identified in this study. First, we have introduced a new way of measuring μA^2 harnessing the 5design acquisition at two *b*-values to reduce the recently-reported bias in μA^2 estimation due to higher order terms. Our new method is likely inferior to a sampling of a large range of bvalues and the ensuing polynomial fitting as done in Ianus et al. [62]. However, the advantage of the current approach is that it manages to avoid a prohibitively long experiment duration. Future studies will identify the accuracy and precision of the method proposed above vis-à-vis the ground-truth, and attempt to find optimal *b*-values for measuring μA^2 as accurately and with as little bias as possible. Second, to compute µFA, we executed a third measurement at lower b-value to extract MD, which is then input into Eq. 2 along with μA^2 . However, MD itself may be conflated with higher-order terms, as pointed out recently by Chuhutin et al. [100]; in this study, this issue was not accounted for, and may induce minor biases in the measurements of µFA. Better estimation of MD could probably be performed by sampling one or more low b-values and fitting kurtosis and MD at the same time from spherically averaged data. In addition, we have not explored the impact of specific *b*-value selection. At too low *b*-values, the difference in the log signals is very small, while at higher b-values, even higher-order terms may come into play. Third, the sample size was quite small (N = 2 spinal cords, only a single slice per cord), such that the variability across animals was not very well sampled. However, it is worth noting that the results were actually very consistent between both spinal cords: the mean µFA and FA, for both DODE and DDE, varied <10% between the cords (both in gray and white matter tissues), and the MWF varied <6% between the tissues. Although this consistency is promising for the robustness of the approach, the small number of samples renders this study perhaps more exploratory. Fourth, the experiments were performed at a relatively long TE of 52 ms. Given that the MWF was associated with $T_{\rm 2}\,<\,20\,ms$ and that the other water T_2s were distributed between ~ 20 and 60 ms, the diffusion experiments can be considered completely filtered for (directly contributing) myelin water, as $e^{\frac{1}{T_{2myelin}}} \sim 0.005$. Exchange between myelin water and intra/extra-axonal water is

likely to occur, which may also confound the measurements,

although it should be noted that at least for conventional DODE MRI, the relatively long TE is nearly unavoidable due to the necessity of non-negligible diffusion gradient waveform durations. Double-stimulated-echo approaches [101, 102] would thus be nearly impossible to execute for DODE, even before considering the significant SNR reduction associated with such sequences, $(1/2)^N$, where N is the number of stimulated echoes. Finally, a histological study was not here performed, and the study relies on literature reports of correlations between MRIderived MWF and myelin thickness and the values for axon diameters. Future studies can expand the findings here and perform more direct correlations with histology, although it should be pointed out that big differences in these parameters are unlikely to be observed for healthy tissues. In addition, it would be fruitful to modulate the microstructure actively and to observe how µFA varies, e.g., using genetic mutations that alter myelin content. All these highly interesting avenues will be pursued in the future, but the present study provides the first steps in this direction.

CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the microstructural correlates of μ FA and FA using high resolution D(O)DE experiments in fixed spinal cords at 16.4 T. Our results indicate very strong anticorrelations of μ FA_{DODE} with axon size, and moderate anticorrelations of μ FA_{DODE} with MWF, whereas μ FA_{DDE}, FA_{DODE} and FA_{DDE} correlate to a much lesser or no extent with those microstructural features. These findings shed light on the mechanisms of restriction in spinal cord white matter when investigate without conflation by orientation dispersion. The correlations of μ FA_{DODE} with axon diameters and myelin water fraction are thus promising for future investigations of longitudinal variations in these properties, e.g., in disease or with learning.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NS designed the study, collected and analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded in part by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 679058-DIRECT-fMRI). The author would like to thank Prof. Sune N. Jespersen and Mr. Jonas Lynge Olesen (Aarhus University) for providing the codes for denoising and Gibbs unringing, as well as for many insightful discussions. The author also thanks Dr. Daniel Nunes for extracting the tissues used in this study, Dr. Andrada Ianus and Ms. Teresa Serradas Duarte for providing parts of code used in the analyses performed here, and Prof. Mark D. Does from Vanderbilt University for the REMMI pulse sequence and its analysis tools, that were supported through grant number NIH EB019980.

REFERENCES

- Johansen-Berg H, Behrens T. (2009). Diffusion MRI: From Quantitative Measurement to in Vivo Neuroanatomy. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Stejskal EO, Tanner JE. Spin diffusion measurements: spin echoes in the presence of a time-dependent field. J Chem Phys. (1965) 42:288–92. doi: 10.1063/1.1695690
- Shemesh N, Jespersen SN, Alexander DC, Cohen Y, Drobnjak I, Dyrby TB, et al. Conventions and nomenclature for double diffusion encoding NMR and MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* (2016) 75: 82–7. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25901
- Grebenkov DS. NMR survey of reflected Brownian motion. *Rev Mod Phys.* (2007) 79:1077–137. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1077
- Assaf Y, Alexander DC, Jones DK, Bizzi A, Behrens TEJ, Clark CA, et al. The CONNECT project: combining macro- and micro-structure. *Neuroimage* (2013) 80:273–82. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.055
- Panagiotaki E, Schneider T, Siow B, Hall MG, Lythgoe MF, Alexander DC. Compartment models of the diffusion MR signal in brain white matter: a taxonomy and comparison. *Neuroimage* (2012) 59:2241–54. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.081
- Basser PJ, Jones DK. Diffusion-tensor MRI: theory, experimental design and data analysis-A technical review. NMR Biomed. (2002) 15:456–67. doi: 10.1002/nbm.783
- Mori S, Zhang J. Principles of diffusion tensor imaging and its applications to basic neuroscience research. *Neuron* (2006) 51:527–39. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.08.012
- Callaghan PT, Coy A, MacGowan D, Packer KJ, Zelaya FO. Diffraction-like effects in NMR diffusion studies of fluids in porous solids. *Nature* (1991) 351:467–69. doi: 10.1038/351467a0
- Cohen Y, Assaf Y. High b-value q-space analyzed diffusion-weighted MRS and MRI in neuronal tissues-A technical review. *NMR Biomed.* (2002) 15:516–42. doi: 10.1002/nbm.778
- Wedeen VJ, Hagmann P, Tseng WYI, Reese TG, Weisskoff RM. Mapping complex tissue architecture with diffusion spectrum magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* (2005) 54:1377–386. doi: 10.1002/mrm.20642
- Clark CA, Hedehus M, Moseley ME. Diffusion time dependence of the apparent diffusion tensor in healthy human brain and white matter disease. *Magn Reson Med.* (2001) 45:1126–9. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1149
- Fieremans E, Burcaw LM, Lee HH, Lemberskiy G, Veraart J, Novikov DS. In vivo observation and biophysical interpretation of time-dependent diffusion in human white matter. Neuroimage (2016) 129:414–27. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.01.018
- Gore JC, Xu J, Colvin DC, Yankeelov TE, Parsons EC, Does MD. Characterization of tissue structure at varying length scales using temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *NMR Biomed.* (2010) 23:745–56. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1531
- Jespersen SN, Olesen JL, Hansen B, Shemesh N. Diffusion time dependence of microstructural parameters in fixed spinal cord. *Neuroimage* (2017). doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.039. [Epub ahead of print].
- Latour LL, Svoboda K, Mitra PP, Sotak CH. Time-dependent diffusion of water in a biological model system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1994) 91:1229–33. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.4.1229
- Novikov DS, Fieremans E, Jensen JH, Helpern JA. Random walks with barriers. *Nat Phys.* (2011) 7:508–14. doi: 10.1038/nphys1936
- Stepišnik J, Lasič S, Mohorič A, Serša I, Sepe A. Spectral characterization of diffusion in porous media by the modulated gradient spin echo with CPMG sequence. J Magn Reson. (2006) 182:195–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2006.06.023
- Veraart J, Fieremans E, Novikov DS. Universal power-law scaling of water diffusion in human brain defines what we see with MRI. *arXiv* (2016). 1–8.
- Jespersen SN, Kroenke CD, Østergaard L, Ackerman JJH, Yablonskiy DA. Modeling dendrite density from magnetic resonance diffusion measurements. *Neuroimage* (2007) 34:1473–86. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.10.037
- Jespersen SN, Bjarkam CR, Nyengaard JR, Chakravarty MM, Hansen B, Vosegaard T, et al. Neurite density from magnetic resonance diffusion measurements at ultrahigh field: comparison with light microscopy and electron microscopy. *Neuroimage* (2010) 49:205–16. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.08.053

- Zatorre RJ, Fields RD, Johansen-Berg H. Plasticity in gray and white: neuroimaging changes in brain structure during learning. *Nat Neurosci.* (2012) 15:528–36. doi: 10.1038/nn.3045
- Callaghan PT, Komlosh ME. Locally anisotropic motion in a macroscopically isotropic system: displacement correlations measured using double pulsed gradient spin-echo NMR. *Magn Reson Chem.* (2002) 40:15–9. doi: 10.1002/mrc.1122
- Cheng Y, Cory DG. Multiple scattering by NMR. J Am Chem Soc. (1999) 121:7935–6. doi: 10.1021/ja9843324
- Mitra PP. Multiple wave-vector extensions of the NMR pulsed-fieldgradient spin-echo diffusion measurement. *Phys Rev B.* (1995) 51:15074–8. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.51.15074
- Jespersen SN, Lundell H, Sønderby CK, Dyrby TB. Orientationally invariant metrics of apparent compartment eccentricity from double pulsed field gradient diffusion experiments. *NMR Biomed.* (2013) 26:1647–62. doi: 10.1002/nbm.2999
- Jespersen SN, Olesen JL, Ianu A, Shemesh N. (2017b). Anisotropy in "isotropic diffusion "measurements due to nongaussian diffusion. arXiv 1–22.
- Mollink J, Kleinnijenhuis M, Cappellen van Walsum AM, van Sotiropoulos SN, Cottaar M, Mirfin C, et al. Evaluating fibre orientation dispersion in white matter: comparison of diffusion MRI, histology and polarized light imaging. *Neuroimage* (2017) 157:561–74. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.06.001
- Reisert M, Kellner E, Dhital B, Hennig J, Kiselev VG. Disentangling micro from mesostructure by diffusion MRI: a bayesian approach. *Neuroimage* (2017) 147:964–75. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.09.058
- Cory DG, Garroway AN, Miller J. Applications of Spin Transport as a probe of local geometry. *Polym Preprints*. (1990) 31:149.
- Özarslan E. Compartment shape anisotropy (CSA) revealed by double pulsed field gradient MR. J Magn Reson. (2009) 199:56–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2009.04.002
- Laun FB, Kuder TA, Semmler W, Stieltjes B. Determination of the defining boundary in nuclear magnetic resonance diffusion experiments. *Phys Rev Lett.* (2011) 107:2–5. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.048102
- Laun FB, Kuder TA, Wetscherek A, Stieltjes B, Semmler W. NMRbased diffusion pore imaging. *Phys Rev E.* (2012) 86:021906. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.86.021906
- Shemesh N, Özarslan E, Adiri T, Basser PJ, Cohen Y. Noninvasive bipolar double-pulsed-field-gradient NMR reveals signatures for pore size and shape in polydisperse, randomly oriented, inhomogeneous porous media. *J. Chem. Phys.* (2010) 133:044705. doi: 10.1063/1.3454131
- Shemesh N, Özarslan E, Basser PJ, Cohen Y. Detecting diffusiondiffraction patterns in size distribution phantoms using double-pulsed field gradient NMR: theory and experiments. *J Chem Phys.* (2010) 132:034703. doi: 10.1063/1.3285299
- Shemesh N, Westin CF, Cohen Y. Magnetic resonance imaging by synergistic diffusion-diffraction patterns. *Phys Rev Lett.* (2012) 108:058103. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.058103
- Koch MA, Finsterbusch J. Compartment size estimation with double wave vector diffusion-weighted imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* (2008) 60:90–101. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21514
- Koch MA, Finsterbusch J. Towards compartment size estimation *in vivo* based on double wave vector diffusion weighting. *NMR Biomed.* (2011) 24:1422–32. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1711
- Komlosh ME, Özarslan E, Lizak MJ, Horkay F, Schram V, Shemesh N, et al. Pore diameter mapping using double pulsed-field gradient MRI and its validation using a novel glass capillary array phantom. *J Magn Reson*. (2011) 208:128–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2010.10.014
- Morozov D, Bar L, Sochen N, Cohen Y. Microstructural information from angular double-pulsed-field-gradient NMR: from model systems to nerves. *Magn Reson Med.* (2015) 74:25–32. doi: 10.1002/mrm. 25371
- Shemesh N, Özarslan E, Basser PJ, Cohen Y. Measuring small compartmental dimensions with low-q angular double-PGSE NMR: the effect of experimental parameters on signal decay. J Magn Reson. (2009) 198:15–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2009.01.004

- Nørhøj Jespersen S, Buhl N. The displacement correlation tensor: microstructure, ensemble anisotropy and curving fibers. J Magn Reson. (2011) 208:34–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2010.10.003
- Jespersen SN. Equivalence of double and single wave vector diffusion contrast at low diffusion weighting. NMR Biomed. (2012) 25:813–18. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1808
- Shemesh N, Cohen Y. Microscopic and compartment shape anisotropies in gray and white matter revealed by angular bipolar double-PFG MR. *Magn Reson Med.* (2011) 65:1216–27. doi: 10.1002/mrm.22738
- Shemesh N, Adiri T, Cohen Y. Probing microscopic architecture of opaque heterogeneous systems using double-pulsed-field-gradient NMR. J Am Chem Soc. (2011) 133:6028–35. doi: 10.1021/ja200303h
- Shemesh N, Barazany D, Sadan O, Bar L, Zur Y, Barhum Y, et al. Mapping apparent eccentricity and residual ensemble anisotropy in the gray matter using angular double-pulsed-field-gradient MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* (2012) 68:794–806. doi: 10.1002/mrm.23300
- Lawrenz M, Koch MA, Finsterbusch J. A tensor model and measures of microscopic anisotropy for double-wave-vector diffusion-weighting experiments with long mixing times. J Magn Reson. (2010) 202:43–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2009.09.015
- Lawrenz M, Finsterbusch J. Mapping measures of microscopic diffusion anisotropy in human brain white matter *in vivo* with double-wavevector diffusion-weighted imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* (2015) 73:773–83. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25140
- Avram AV, Özarslan E, Sarlls JE, Basser PJ. In vivo detection of microscopic anisotropy using quadruple pulsed-field gradient (qPFG) diffusion MRI on a clinical scanner. Neuroimage (2013) 64:229–39. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.048
- Ulloa P, Wottschel V, Koch MA. Studying the extracellular contribution to the double wave vector diffusion-weighted signal. *Curr Dir Biomed Eng.* (2015) 1:240–4. doi: 10.1515/cdbme-2015-0060
- Finsterbusch J. Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy. *Multiple-Wave-*Vector Diffusion-Weighted NMR. 1st ed. Elsevier Ltd. (2011) 72:225–99.
- Shemesh N, Rosenberg JT, Dumez JN, Muniz JA, Grant SC, Frydman L. Metabolic properties in stroked rats revealed by relaxation-enhanced magnetic resonance spectroscopy at ultrahigh fields. *Nat Commun.* (2014) 5:1–8. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5958
- Shemesh N, Rosenberg JT, Dumez, J.-N., Grant SC, Frydman L. Distinguishing neuronal from astrocytic subcellular microstructures using *in vivo* double diffusion encoded 1H MRS at 21.1 T. *PLoS ONE* (2017) 12:e0185232. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185232
- Does MD, Parsons EC, Gore JC. Oscillating gradient measurements of water diffusion in normal and globally ischemic rat brain. *Magn Reson Med.* (2003) 49:206–15. doi: 10.1002/mrm.10385
- Stepišnik J. Time-dependent self-diffusion by NMR spin-echo. Phys B Phys Condens Matter (1993) 183:343-50. doi: 10.1016/0921-4526(93) 90124-O
- Drobnjak I, Zhang H, Ianuş A, Kaden E, Alexander DC. PGSE, OGSE, sensitivity to axon diameter in diffusion MRI: insight from a simulation study. *Magn Reson Med.* (2016) 75:688–700. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25631
- Xu J, Does MD, Gore JC. Quantitative characterization of tissue microstructure with temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *J Magn Reson*. (2009) 200:189–97. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2009.06.022
- Xu J, Li H, Harkins KD, Jiang X, Xie J, Kang H, et al. Mapping mean axon diameter and axonal volume fraction by MRI using temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *Neuroimage* (2014) 103:10–9. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.09.006
- Reynaud O, Winters KV, Hoang DM, Wadghiri YZ, Novikov DS, Kim SG. Surface-to-volume ratio mapping of tumor microstructure using oscillating gradient diffusion weighted imaging. *Magn Reson Med.* (2016) 76:237–47. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25865
- Xu J, Li K, Smith RA, Waterton JC, Zhao P, Chen H, et al. Characterizing tumor response to chemotherapy at various length scales using temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *PLoS ONE* (2012) 7:e41714. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0041714
- Ianuş A, Shemesh N, Alexander DC, Drobnjak I. Double oscillating diffusion encoding and sensitivity to microscopic anisotropy. *Magn Reson Med.* (2017) 78:550–64. doi: 10.1002/mrm.26393

- 62. Ianuş A, Jespersen SN, Serradas T, Alexander DC. (2017). Accurate Estimation of Microscopic Diffusion Anisotropy and its Time Dependence in the Mouse Brain. *arxiv*.
- Beaulieu C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system-A technical review. NMR Biomed. (2002) 15:435–55. doi: 10.1002/nbm.782
- Klawiter EC, Schmidt RE, Trinkaus K, Liang HF, Budde MD, Naismith RT, et al. Radial diffusivity predicts demyelination in *ex vivo* multiple sclerosis spinal cords. *Neuroimage* (2011) 55:1454–60. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.01.007
- Komlosh ME, Lizak MJ, Horkay F, Freidlin RZ, Basser PJ. Observation of microscopic diffusion anisotropy in the spinal cord using doublepulsed gradient spin echo MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* (2008) 59:803–09. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21528
- Schwartz ED, Cooper ET, Chin, C.-L., Wehrli S, Tessler A, Hackney DB. *Exvivo* evaluation of ADC values within spinal cord white matter tracts. AJNR. *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2005) 26:390–7.
- Kozlowski P, Liu J, Yung AC, Tetzlaff W. High-resolution myelin water measurements in rat spinal cord. *Magn Reson Med.* (2008) 59:796–802. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21527
- Kozlowski P, Raj D, Liu J, Lam C, Yung AC, Tetzlaff W. Characterizing white matter damage in rat spinal cord with quantitative mri and histology. *J Neurotrauma* (2008) 25:653–76. doi: 10.1089/neu.2007.0462
- Nunes D, Cruz TL, Jespersen SN, Shemesh N. Mapping axonal density and average diameter using non-monotonic time-dependent gradient-echo MRI. *J Magn Reson.* (2017) 277:117–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2017.02.017
- Wilhelm MJ, Ong HH, Wehrli SL, Li C, Tsai, P.-H., Hackney DB, et al. Direct magnetic resonance detection of myelin and prospects for quantitative imaging of myelin density. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2012) 109:9605–10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1115107109
- Guizar-Sicairos M, Thurman ST, Fienup JR. Efficient subpixel image registration algorithms. Opt Let. (2008) 33:156. doi: 10.1364/OL.33.000156
- Veraart J, Novikov DS, Christiaens D, Ades-aron B, Sijbers J, Fieremans E. Denoising of diffusion MRI using random matrix theory. *Neuroimage* (2016). 142:394–406. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.08.016
- Kellner E, Dhital B, Kiselev VG, Reisert M. Gibbs-ringing artifact removal based on local subvoxel-shifts. *Magn Reson Med.* (2016) 76:1574–81. doi: 10.1002/mrm.26054
- Eichner C, Cauley SF, Cohen-Adad J, Möller HE, Turner R, Setsompop K, et al. Real diffusion-weighted MRI enabling true signal averaging and increased diffusion contrast. *Neuroimage* (2015) 122:373–84. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.074
- Dula AN, Gochberg DF, Valentine HL, Valentine WM, Does MD. Multiexponential T2, magnetization transfer, and Quantitative histology in white matter tracts of rat spinal cord. *Magn Reson Med.* (2010) 63:902–09. doi: 10.1002/mrm.22267
- Prasloski T, M\u00e4dler B, Xiang QS, MacKay A, Jones C. Applications of stimulated echo correction to multicomponent T2analysis. *Magn Reson Med.* (2012) 67:1803–14. doi: 10.1002/mrm.23157
- De Almeida Martins JP, Topgaard D. Two-dimensional correlation of isotropic and directional diffusion using NMR. *Phys Rev Lett.* (2016) 116:1–6. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.087601
- Lasič S, Szczepankiewicz F, Eriksson S, Nilsson M, Topgaard D. Microanisotropy imaging: quantification of microscopic diffusion anisotropy and orientational order parameter by diffusion MRI with magic-angle spinning of the q-vector. *Front Phys.* (2014) 2:11. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2014.00011
- Szczepankiewicz F, Lasič S, van Westen D, Sundgren PC, Englund E, Westin CF, et al. Quantification of microscopic diffusion anisotropy disentangles effects of orientation dispersion from microstructure: applications in healthy volunteers and in brain tumors. *Neuroimage* (2015) 104:241–52. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.09.057
- Westin CF, Knutsson H, Pasternak O, Szczepankiewicz F, Özarslan E, van Westen D, et al. Q-space trajectory imaging for multidimensional diffusion MRI of the human brain. *Neuroimage* (2016) 135:345–62. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.039
- De Swiet TM, Mitra PP. Possible systematic errors in single-shot measurements of the trace of the diffusion tensor. *J Magn Reson Ser B* (1996) 111:15–22. doi: 10.1006/jmrb.1996.0055

- Vellmer S, Edelhoff D, Suter D, Maximov II. Anisotropic diffusion phantoms based on microcapillaries. J Magn Reson. (2017) 279:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2017.04.002
- Vellmer S, Stirnberg R, Edelhoff D, Suter D, Stöcker T, Maximov II. Comparative analysis of isotropic diffusion weighted imaging sequences. J Magn Reson. (2017) 275:137–47. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2016.12.011
- Mädler B, Drabycz SA, Kolind SH, Whittall KP, MacKay AL. Is diffusion anisotropy an accurate monitor of myelination? Correlation of multicomponent T2 relaxation and diffusion tensor anisotropy in human brain. *Magn Reson Imaging* (2008) 26:874–88. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2008.01.047
- West KL, Kelm ND, Carson RP, Does MD. A revised model for estimating g-ratio from MRI. *Neuroimage* (2016) 125:1155–8. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.08.017
- Álvarez GA, Shemesh N, Frydman L. Coherent dynamical recoupling of diffusion-driven decoherence in magnetic resonance. *Phys Rev Lett.* (2013) 111:080404. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.080404
- Jiang X, Li H, Xie J, Zhao P, Gore JC, Xu J. Quantification of cell size using temporal diffusion spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med.* (2016) 75:1076–85. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25684
- Lawrenz M, Brassen S, Finsterbusch J. Microscopic diffusion anisotropy in the human brain: age-related changes. *Neuroimage* (2016) 141:313–25. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.07.031
- Leergaard TB, White NS, De Crespigny A, Bolstad I, Dandapos Arceuil H, Bjaalie JG, et al. Quantitative histological validation of diffusion MRI fiber orientation distributions in the rat brain. *PLoS ONE* (2010) 5:e8595. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0008595
- Nilsson M, Lätt J, Ståhlberg F, van Westen D, Hagslätt H. The importance of axonal undulation in diffusion MR measurements: a monte carlo simulation study. NMR Biomed. (2012) 25:795–805. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1795
- Lundell H, Nielsen JB, Ptito M, Dyrby TB. Distribution of collateral fibers in the monkey cervical spinal cord detected with diffusionweighted magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroimage* (2011) 56:923–29. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.043
- 92. Papaioannou A, Novikov DS, Fieremans E, Boutis GS. Observation of structural universality in disordered systems using bulk diffusion measurement. *Phys Rev E* (2017) **96**:61101. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.96.061101
- Palombo M, Ligneul C, Najac C, Le Douce J, Flament J, Escartin C, et al. New paradigm to assess brain cell morphology by diffusion-weighted MR spectroscopy *in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2016) 113:6671–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504327113

- Valette J, Ligneul C, Marchadour C, Najac C, Palombo M. Brain metabolite diffusion from ultra-short to ultra-long time scales: what do we learn, where should we go? *Front Neurosci.* (2018) 12:2. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018. 00002
- 95. Guy J, Ellis EA, Kelley K, Hope GM. Spectra of G ratio, myelin sheath thickness, and axon and fiber diameter in the guinea pig optic nerve. J Comp Neurol. (1989) 287:446–54. doi: 10.1002/cne.9028 70404
- Innocenti GM. Development and evolution. Two determinants of cortical connectivity. *Prog Brain Res.* (2011) 189:65–75. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53884-0.00018-X
- MacKay A, Laule C, Vavasour I, Bjarnason T, Kolind S, Mädler B. Insights into brain microstructure from the T2 distribution. *Magn Reson Imaging* (2006) 24:515–25. doi: 10.1016/j.mri.2005. 12.037
- Harkins KD, Xu J, Dula AN, Li K, Valentine WM, Gochberg DF, et al. The microstructural correlates of T1 in white matter. *Magn Reson Med.* (2016) 75:1341–5. doi: 10.1002/mrm.25709
- Palombo M, Shemesh N, Ronen I, Valette J. (2017). Insights into brain microstructure from *in vivo* DW-MRS. *Neuroimage* doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.11.028. [Epub ahead of print].
- Chuhutin A, Hansen B, Jespersen SN. Precision and accuracy of diffusion kurtosis estimation and the influence of b-value selection. *NMR Biomed.* (2017) 30:1–14. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3777
- Jerschow A, Muller N. Suppression of convection artifacts in stimulated-echo diffusion experiments. Double-stimulated-echo experiments. J Magn Reson. (1997) 375:372–5.
- 102. Shemesh N, Cohen Y. Overcoming apparent Susceptibility-Induced Anisotropy (aSIA) by bipolar double-Pulsed-Field-Gradient NMR. J Magn Reson. (2011) 212:362–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jmr.2011.07.015

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Shemesh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.