



The Holographic F Theorem

Marika Taylor* and William Woodhead

Mathematical Sciences and STAG Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

The F theorem states that, for a unitary three dimensional quantum field theory, the F quantity defined in terms of the partition function on a three sphere is positive, stationary at fixed point and decreases monotonically along a renormalization group flow. We construct holographic renormalization group flows corresponding to relevant deformations of three-dimensional conformal field theories on spheres, working to quadratic order in the source. For these renormalization group flows, the F quantity at the IR fixed point is always less than F at the UV fixed point, but F increases along the RG flow for deformations by operators of dimension $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$. Therefore, the strongest version of the F theorem is in general violated.

Keywords: holography, quantum field theory, string theory, gravity, gauge-gravity duality

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francesco Sannino,
Centre of Excellence for Cosmology
and Particle Physics Phenomenology,
University of Southern Denmark,
Denmark

Reviewed by:

Thomas Aaby Rytov,
University of Southern Denmark
Odense, Denmark
Borut Bajc,
Jožef Stefan Institute (IJS), Slovenia
Kenneth Intriligator,
University of California, San Diego,
United States

*Correspondence:

Marika Taylor
m.m.taylor@soton.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
High-Energy and Astroparticle
Physics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physics

Received: 10 October 2017

Accepted: 04 December 2017

Published: 18 December 2017

Citation:

Taylor M and Woodhead W (2017)
The Holographic F Theorem.
Front. Phys. 5:66.
doi: 10.3389/fphy.2017.00066

1. INTRODUCTION

In even dimensional quantum field theories, natural candidates for quantifying the number of degrees of freedom are provided by the coefficients of the conformal anomalies of the stress energy tensor at fixed points. The strongest results concerning such a quantification of the degrees of freedom, and the reduction in the number of degrees of freedom along a renormalization group flow, were derived in the famous work of Zamolodchikov [1]. Zamolodchikov demonstrated the existence of a c-quantity in two dimensional unitary quantum field theories; this c-quantity decreases monotonically along a renormalization group flow and coincides with the coefficient of the trace anomaly at fixed points.

Considerable progress has been made in recent years in generalizing Zamolodchikov's work to quantum field theories in four dimensions, most notably the proof by Schwimmer and Komargodski [2] that the a-anomaly coefficient at an infra-red fixed point is always strictly less than the value of the a-anomaly coefficient at the ultra-violet fixed point. The situation in odd dimensions has long been mysterious, however, since the trace of the stress energy tensor automatically vanishes in odd dimensional conformal field theories and thus one needs to propose an alternate measure of the degrees of freedom.

In a three-dimensional quantum field theory, the F quantity is defined in terms of the renormalized partition function of the theory on a three-sphere Z_{S^3} as:

$$F = -\ln Z_{S^3}; \quad (1)$$

F gives the free energy on the three-sphere. The conjectured F-theorem [3, 4] states that F is positive in a unitary quantum field theory; F is stationary at a fixed point; $F_{UV} \geq F_{IR}$ for UV and IR fixed points and F decreases monotonically along an RG flow. Evidence in favor of the F theorem has been presented in a number of works. In Jafferis et al. [3] it was shown in a number of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories that $F_{IR} < F_{UV}$; examples included holographic theories described by $AdS_4 \times Y_7$ M theory solutions in which the partition function is [5]:

$$F = N^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi^6}{27\text{Vol}(Y_7)}} \quad (2)$$

where $\text{Vol}(Y_7)$ is the volume of the Sasaki-Einstein manifold Y_7 and N is the number of colors in the dual theory.

Many subsequent papers have provided additional evidence that $F_{IR} < F_{UV}$ in holographic and field theory models. For example, Klebanov et al. [4] considered relevant double trace deformations: given an operator Φ in a CFT of dimension Δ_- such that $1/2 \leq \Delta_- \leq 3/2$, deforming the CFT by Φ^2 causes an RG flow to an IR fixed point where Φ has dimension $\Delta_+ = 3 - \Delta_-$.

The evidence for stationarity and monotonic decrease of the F quantity along an RG flow is somewhat weaker. Arguments for stationarity are based on the fact that F is extremized with respect to the R charges of an IR CFT [6] and (holographically) with respect to the parameters of the Sasaki-Einstein manifold Y_7 [3, 7, 8]. For monotonic decrease, it was shown in Klebanov et al. [4] that the free energy decreases monotonically along weakly relevant flows, while [9] argued that the volume of the compact manifold should increase monotonically along an RG flow in holographic examples, implying monotonic decrease of F. In Freedman and Pufu [10] it was shown that F decreases along certain supersymmetric RG flows of deformations of the ABJM theory.

In a conformal field theory, the partition function on the three sphere is related to the (finite terms) in the entanglement entropy for a disk region in flat space by the Casini-Huerta-Myers map [11]. If the finite contribution to the entanglement entropy of a disk region in the ground state of the CFT is:

$$S = -2\pi \mathcal{F}, \tag{3}$$

then \mathcal{F} corresponds precisely to the F quantity, i.e., \mathcal{F} is conjectured to be positive and to decrease monotonically along an RG flow. The F theorem has hence also been explored using entanglement entropy, see for example [12–14]. Ambiguities in defining the finite contributions can be dealt with by working with the UV finite mutual information [15] or by using renormalized entanglement entropy [16, 17]. There is however evidence that the renormalized entanglement entropy thus defined is not stationary at a fixed point [18].

In this paper we show that the F quantity does not decrease for holographic RG flows associated with deformations by single trace operators of dimension Δ such that $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$. Therefore, the strong version of the F theorem, decrease of F under all relevant deformations, is false. Note that in all our examples a weaker version of the F theorem, $F_{UV} \geq F_{IR} > 0$, is still satisfied.

2. HOLOGRAPHIC RG FLOWS

We begin by discussing holographic realizations of RG flows on curved manifolds. We work in Euclidean signature with a bulk action:

$$I_E = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right), \tag{4}$$

where G_4 is the Newton constant, which in a top-down holographic model is related to the number of colors as $1/G_4 \sim$

$N^{3/2}$, as in (2). We consider solutions of the equations of motion such that:

$$ds^2 = dw^2 + e^{2A(w)} ds_{\Omega_3}^2 \tag{5}$$

where Ω_3 is a homogeneous space with Ricci scalar \mathcal{R} and the scalar field ϕ depends only on the radial coordinate w . We will be interested in the case of a unit radius three sphere for which $\mathcal{R} = 6$. The equations of motion are then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\phi} + 3\dot{A}\dot{\phi} &= -V'(\phi); \\ -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{6}e^{-2A} - \frac{1}{4}(\dot{\phi})^2 &= \ddot{A}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

These equations reduce to the case of flat domain walls when $\mathcal{R} = 0$.

We work perturbatively in the scalar field and assume that the potential has the following analytic expansion in ϕ around an AdS background:

$$V(\phi) = 6 - \frac{1}{2}M^2\phi^2 + \dots \tag{7}$$

In what follows we solve the field equations to quadratic order in ϕ , taking into account the backreaction onto the metric to this order. In anti-de Sitter the warp factor is:

$$\mathcal{A}(w) \equiv \mathcal{A}_0(w) = \log(\sinh(w)). \tag{8}$$

Working to quadratic order in the scalar field, the change in the warp factor is quadratic in the scalar field, and therefore to the order required the scalar field equation is that in AdS, i.e.

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3 \coth w \dot{\phi} = M^2\phi. \tag{9}$$

This equation can be solved exactly (see below) and asymptotically near the conformal boundary. The latter can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= e^{(\Delta_+-3)w}\phi_{(0)} + e^{(\Delta_+-5)w}\phi_{(2)} + \dots \\ &+ e^{-\Delta_+w}\tilde{\phi}_{(0)} + e^{(-\Delta_+-2)w}\tilde{\phi}_{(2)} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$\phi_{(2)} = \frac{3(3 - \Delta_+)}{(5 - 2\Delta_+)}\phi_{(0)} \quad \tilde{\phi}_{(2)} = \frac{3\Delta_+}{(2\Delta_+ - 1)}\tilde{\phi}_{(0)}. \tag{11}$$

Here we implicitly assume that Δ_+ is neither $3/2$ nor $5/2$, since in these cases terms proportional to w arise in the expansion. (These cases can be straightforwardly analyzed but we do not include details in what follows.)

One can then use the other equation of motion to solve for the warp factor up to quadratic order in the scalar field. Letting:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 + a \tag{12}$$

then

$$\ddot{a} - \frac{2}{\sinh^2 w} a = -\frac{1}{4}\dot{\phi}^2. \tag{13}$$

The onshell action is divergent for asymptotically locally AdS solutions, but the divergences may be removed by using the asymptotic solutions of the field equations to regulate the bulk action and adding appropriate covariant counterterms, i.e., the renormalized action [19].

$$I_{\text{ren}} = I_E + I_{\text{ct}} \quad (14)$$

is finite. The AdS/CFT dictionary implies that the F quantity is calculated from the renormalized action in the limit in which the dual theory is well-described by supergravity.

Working to quadratic order in the scalar field ϕ the required counterterms to render the action finite are [19]:

$$I_{\text{ct}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_4} \int d^3x \sqrt{h} \left(-K + 2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_h \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} (3 - \Delta_+) \phi^2 + \frac{(\Delta_+ - 3)}{16(2\Delta_+ - 5)} \mathcal{R}_h \phi^2 \right) \quad (15)$$

where K is the extrinsic curvature (this is the standard Gibbons-Hawking-York term) and \mathcal{R}_h is the Ricci scalar for the boundary metric h . We define Δ_+ in terms of the mass as:

$$\Delta_+ = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{9 + 4M^2} \quad (16)$$

and we assume that $\frac{3}{2} \leq \Delta_+ \leq 3$. For $\Delta_+ \geq 5/2$, Δ_+ is the dimension of the operator dual to the scalar field of mass M^2 . In the mass range:

$$-\frac{9}{4} \leq M^2 \leq -\frac{5}{4} \quad (17)$$

two quantizations are possible [20]; we will discuss this situation below. In (15) we do not include counterterms which depend on derivatives of the scalar field (see [19]), since the scalar fields under consideration are homogeneous.

Note that the last counterterm in (15) is only required for $\Delta_+ > 5/2$. The corresponding divergence becomes logarithmic at $\Delta_+ = 5/2$ and in this case the value of the renormalized action can be adjusted by finite counterterms, so the F quantity is inherently scheme dependent. Correspondingly F is also scheme dependent for the Δ_- quantization of the same mass, i.e., $\Delta_- = 1/2$. No finite counterterms arise for other values of Δ_+ in the range of interest, although working to cubic order in the scalar field finite counterterms would arise at integral values of Δ_+ ; these can be fixed by requiring supersymmetry [10].

In the mass range $-9/4 \leq M^2 \leq -5/4$, two quantizations are possible:

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{9 + 4M^2} \quad (18)$$

with $1/2 \leq \Delta_- \leq 3/2$ and $3/2 \leq \Delta_+ \leq 5/2$. As discussed in Papadimitriou [21], the evaluation of the renormalized action by adding covariant counterterms is not affected by whether the dual operator has dimension Δ_+ or Δ_- . The difference arises in the identification of the functional that generates correlation functions for the dual operator. For the Δ_+ quantization, the

coefficient $\phi_{(0)}$ in (10) acts as the source for the dual operator. The renormalized action (14) is a functional of this coefficient and acts as the generating functional for the dual operator.

For the Δ_- quantization, the renormalized action (14) is still a functional of the coefficient $\phi_{(0)}$ in (10) but this coefficient is not the operator source. As discussed in Papadimitriou [21], following [20, 22], the correct generating functional is obtained by a Legendre transformation. Let us define the Legendre transformation as:

$$\tilde{I}[\phi_{(0)}, \psi_{(0)}] = I_{\text{ren}}[\phi_{(0)}] + \int d^3x \sqrt{g_{(0)}} \phi_{(0)} \psi_{(0)} \quad (19)$$

where $g_{(0)}$ is the boundary metric. Then extremizing gives:

$$\tilde{I}_{\text{ren}}[\psi_{(0)}] = \tilde{I}[\phi_{(0)}^*(\psi_{(0)}), \psi_{(0)}] \quad (20)$$

where

$$\left. \frac{\delta I_{\text{ren}}[\phi_{(0)}]}{\delta \phi_{(0)}} \right|_{\phi_{(0)}^*} + \psi_{(0)} = 0 \quad (21)$$

defines $\phi_{(0)}^*(\psi_{(0)})$. Here $\tilde{I}_{\text{ren}}[\psi_{(0)}]$ is identified as the renormalized generating functional of correlation functions of the operator of dimension Δ_- .

In the case at hand, we work perturbatively in the scalar field and thus the onshell renormalized action necessarily has the form:

$$I_{\text{ren}}[\phi_{(0)}] = (I_0 + I_2 \phi_{(0)}^2 + \dots), \quad (22)$$

where I_0 and I_2 are numerical coefficients. (Recall that $\phi_{(0)}$ is homogeneous and therefore does not depend on the sphere coordinates.) The Legendre transformed action is then given by:

$$\tilde{I}[\phi_{(0)}, \Psi_{(0)}] = (I_0 + I_2 \phi_{(0)}^2 + \dots) + \phi_{(0)} \Psi_{(0)}, \quad (23)$$

where we denote:

$$\Psi_{(0)} \equiv \int d^3x \sqrt{g_{(0)}} \psi_{(0)}. \quad (24)$$

Extremizing, we obtain:

$$2I_2 \phi_{(0)} + \Psi_{(0)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

and hence

$$\tilde{I}_{\text{ren}}[\Psi_{(0)}] = I_0 - I_2 \phi_{(0)}^2 + \dots = I_0 - \frac{1}{4I_2} \Psi_{(0)}^2 + \dots \quad (26)$$

In the Δ_+ quantization, $\phi_{(0)}$ acts as the source for the dual operator and therefore (22) gives the free energy to quadratic order in the source. In the Δ_- quantization, $\Psi_{(0)}$ acts as the source for the dual operator and (26) gives the free energy to quadratic order in the source. The quadratic terms have different signs in the two quantizations: if $I_2 > 0$ the free energy on the S^3 increases for deformations by the Δ_+ quantization operator and decreases for deformations by the Δ_- quantization operator, and vice versa. For $M^2 = -9/4$, the two quantizations coincide; note however that one needs to treat this case separately, as the above formulae degenerate.

3. EVALUATION OF FREE ENERGY

Having determined the renormalized free energy functional we now consider exact regular solutions of the field equations, to quadratic order in the scalar field. To the required order we can solve the scalar field equation in the anti-de Sitter background. The scalar field solution may be found analytically in terms of regularized hypergeometric functions ${}_2\tilde{F}_1(a, b, c, z)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{\phi_{(0)} \sinh^\Delta(w)}{2\sqrt{2} \Gamma(3 - \Delta)} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - \Delta\right) \quad (27) \\ &\left[C(w)^{3/2} (C(w) + 2)^\Delta \Gamma(3 - \Delta) {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2} - \Delta, -\frac{1}{2} C(w)\right) \right. \\ &\left. - C(w)^\Delta (C(w) + 2)^{3/2} \Gamma(\Delta) {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \Delta - \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2} C(w)\right) \right] \\ C(w) &= \coth w - 1, \end{aligned}$$

This solution can conveniently be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \frac{\phi_{(0)} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - \Delta_+\right)}{2\sqrt{2} \Gamma(3 - \Delta_+)} (U - 1)^{(3 - \Delta_+)/2} (U + 1)^{\Delta_+/2} \quad (28) \\ &\left[\Gamma(3 - \Delta_+) {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2} - \Delta_+, \frac{1}{2}(1 - U)\right) \right. \\ &\left. - \left(\frac{U - 1}{U + 1}\right)^{\Delta_+ - \frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(\Delta_+) {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \Delta_+ - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(1 - U)\right) \right] \\ U &= \frac{1}{u} = \coth w \end{aligned}$$

where we have imposed regularity throughout the bulk and chosen the overall normalization of the solution to agree with the definition of $\phi_{(0)}$ given in (10). Thus, $\phi_{(0)}$ is the source for the operator deforming the dual conformal field theory.

We note further that the general solution can be written in terms of associated Legendre functions $P_v^\mu(z)$ and $Q_v^\mu(z)$ as:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sinh^{\frac{3}{2}}(w)} \left(\phi_1 P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\Delta - \frac{3}{2}}(\coth(w)) + \phi_2 Q_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\Delta - \frac{3}{2}}(\coth(w)) \right), \quad (29)$$

where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are computable constants, expressible in terms of $\phi_{(0)}$. However, both these functions are complex since $\coth(w) \geq 1$ and therefore the solution needs to be re-expressed in terms of two manifestly real functions. Standard identities can be used to extend the associated Legendre functions to arguments greater than unity, specifically:

$$P_v^\mu(z) = \frac{(z + 1)^{\mu/2}}{(z - 1)^{\mu/2}} {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-v, v + 1, 1 - \mu, \frac{1}{2}(1 - z)\right) \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_v^\mu(z) &= \frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi\mu)} e^{\mu\pi i} \left[\frac{(z + 1)^{\mu/2}}{(z - 1)^{\mu/2}} {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-v, v + 1, 1 - \mu; \frac{1}{2}(1 - z)\right) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\Gamma(v + \mu + 1)}{\Gamma(v - \mu + 1)} \frac{(z - 1)^{\mu/2}}{(z + 1)^{\mu/2}} {}_2\tilde{F}_1\left(-v, v + 1, 1 + \mu; \frac{1}{2}(1 - z)\right) \right]. \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the distinct ways of expressing the scalar field solutions are useful for different purposes. The regularity and asymptotic expansions are most easily extracted from (27) and (29) while (28) is most convenient for the subsequent numerical calculations.

As $w \rightarrow 0$,

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi_{\text{IR}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{5} M^2 w^2 + \dots \right) \quad (32)$$

where

$$\phi_{\text{IR}} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - \Delta_+\right) \Gamma(\Delta_+) \cos(\pi \Delta_+) \phi_{(0)} \quad (33)$$

and consequently the change in the warp factor behaves as:

$$a \rightarrow -\frac{M^4}{250} \phi_{\text{IR}}^2 w^4 + \dots \quad (34)$$

as $w \rightarrow 0$, i.e., the geometry in the deep interior approaches (Euclidean) AdS_4 in spherical coordinates, and therefore the RG flow ends on an IR fixed point. For such an IR fixed point, the free energy as computed from the renormalized action is:

$$F_{\text{IR}} = \frac{\pi}{2G_4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12} M^2 \phi_{\text{IR}}^2 \right) \quad (35)$$

which clearly satisfies $F_{\text{IR}} < F_{\text{UV}}$: the free energy for the UV fixed point is given by $\phi_{\text{IR}} = 0$.




To calculate the free energy for the RG flow, we need to solve numerically for the warp factor and thus for the renormalized onshell action. To carry out the numerics we work with a compactified radial coordinate $u = \tanh w$ for all the calculations. Plotted in **Figures 1–3** are the changes in the free energy normalized by the scalar source and the Newton constant: we define δF as:

$$\delta F = F(\phi_{(0)}) - F(0) \equiv F(\phi_{(0)}) - \frac{\pi}{2G_4}, \quad (36)$$

where implicitly we use the appropriate source for $\Delta < 3/2$. Note that δF measures the difference between the free energy computed to quadratic order in the source, $F(\phi_{(0)})$, and the free energy of the background with no scalar field, $F(0)$. The latter is given by the free energy associated with AdS_4 , computed via the renormalized action.

The first figure, **Figure 1**, shows the free energy computed using the standard Δ_+ quantization of the scalar field, which is applicable for $\Delta_+ \geq 3/2$. Note that the free energy is ill-defined for $\Delta = 5/2$, as we discussed above, since its value depends on the choice of finite counterterms and hence the renormalization scheme chosen.

In the second figure, **Figure 2**, we zoom in on the region of $1/2 < \Delta < 5/2$. For $1/2 < \Delta < 3/2$, the free energy is computed using the Δ_- quantization, i.e., by the Legendre transform of the action discussed earlier. In the third figure, **Figure 3**, we zoom in on the region of



$5/2 < \Delta < 3$, to demonstrate that the change of free energy is indeed zero for $\Delta = 3$. i.e., exactly marginal deformations.

To summarize the results of our numerical work: the change in the free energy vanishes to quadratic order in the source for $\Delta = 3/2$ and for exactly marginal operators. The change in the free energy is positive for $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$, with the corresponding change in the free energy for $1/2 < \Delta < 3/2$ therefore being negative. The general arguments given above, relating Δ_+ and Δ_- quantizations, indicated that the change in the free energy would be positive for one of the two quantizations in the mass range where both quantizations are possible. Our explicit numerical results indicate that it is for $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$ that the postulated F theorem is violated.

We should note that a related sign change at $\Delta = 3/2$ was found in Berenstein and Miller [23]. This paper calculated one point functions of the deformation operator under relevant deformations, both holographically and using conformal perturbation theory. The sign of this one point function, which is related to the sign of δF found above, indeed changes at $\Delta = d/2$.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Working to quadratic order in the operator source, deformations by operators of dimensions $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$ lead to increases in the F quantity, although the corresponding IR fixed points still satisfy $F_{IR} < F_{UV}$. Note that we have worked only to quadratic order and changes in the F quantity to higher order in the source would depend on the interactions in the theory.

The F theorem would be satisfied in a holographic theory that contains no operators of dimensions $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$, but generically such operators do exist. In particular, it is well-known that in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 8$ gauged supergravity there are 35 $\Delta_- = 1$ scalar operators and 35 $\Delta_+ = 2$ pseudoscalars corresponding to the 70 scalars with $M^2 = -2$, i.e., both quantizations arise [24]. (The pseudoscalar nature of the Δ_+ quantization does not affect the arguments given here.) However, for the supersymmetric RG flows in consistent truncations of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ analyzed in Freedman and Pufu [10] the F quantity does decrease: in this setup supersymmetry does not allow a single real scalar (in the Euclidean) corresponding to a $\Delta_+ = 2$ operator to be switched on. A complete proof of the F theorem would effectively restrict the allowed holographic theories, i.e., it would throw theories such as those considered here into the swampland.

Now let us return to the relationship between the F quantity and the entanglement entropy of a disk entangling region. One can use holographic renormalization techniques to define renormalized entanglement entropy [25]. The renormalized entanglement entropy of disk regions in theories deformed by relevant operators agrees with the behavior of the F quantity found above: F increases for RG flows by operators of dimension $3/2 < \Delta < 5/2$ (see also [26]).

Our results do not contradict [3, 7, 8]: these works showed that F is extremal within the parameter spaces of putative conformal field theories. In the holographic setups, an AdS_4 factor is assumed and the volume of the compactifying Sasaki-Einstein is extremized. This analysis does not imply that F is decreased under relevant deformations which change the geometry away from AdS_4 . The scalar field ϕ and the change in the warp

factor a do not decrease monotonically along the flow but this does not in itself contradict the arguments of [9]. From a top-down perspective scalar fields in four-dimensional gauged supergravity theories arise not just from breathing modes of the compact manifold, but also from the four-form flux in eleven dimensions.

In two dimensions one defines the Zamolodchikov c -function $c(g_i, \mu)$ in terms of the coupling constants g_i and the energy scale μ . Here implicitly we have defined F as a renormalized quantity, dependent on UV data for coupling constants of the relevant operators. It would be interesting to explore whether one could sharply define an F function with explicit dependence on the energy (i.e., radial) scale holographically. One natural way to do this would be to rewrite the source $\phi_{(0)}$ in terms of the bulk scalar field $\phi(w)$, and interpret w as the energy scale.

Finally, there has been considerable recent interest in how much supersymmetry is required to determine uniquely

partition functions on even-dimensional spheres [27]. In three dimensions, an analogous question arises at quadratic order in the source for conformal field theories deformed by operators of dimensions $1/2$ and $5/2$.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors of this paper contributed equally in all aspects of the preparation of this manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council. This project has received funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 674896.

REFERENCES

- Zamolodchikov AB. Irreversibility of the flux of the renormalization group in a 2D field theory. *JETP Lett.* (1986) **43**:730–2.
- Komargodski Z, Schwimmer A. On renormalization group flows in four dimensions. *J High Energy Phys.* (2011) **1112**:099. doi: 10.1007/JHEP12(2011)099
- Jafferis DL, Klebanov IR, Pufu SS, Safdi BR. Towards the F-theorem: N=2 field theories on the three-sphere. *J High Energy Phys.* (2011) **06**:102. doi: 10.1007/JHEP06(2011)102
- Klebanov IR, Pufu SS, Safdi BR. F-theorem without supersymmetry. *J High Energy Phys.* (2011) **10**:038. doi: 10.1007/JHEP10(2011)038
- Herzog CP, Klebanov IR, Pufu SS, Tesileanu T. Multi-matrix models and trisasaki einstein spaces. *Phys Rev D* (2011) **83**:046001. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.046001
- Jafferis DL. The exact superconformal R-symmetry extremizes Z. *J High Energy Phys.* (2012) **1205**:159. doi: 10.1007/JHEP05(2012)159
- Martelli D, Sparks J. The large N limit of quiver matrix models and Sasaki-Einstein manifolds. *Phys Rev D* (2011) **84**:046008. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.84.046008
- Cheon S, Gang D, Kim S, Park J. Refined test of AdS₄/CFT₃ correspondence for N=2,3 theories. *J High Energy Phys.* (2011) **1105**:027. doi: 10.1007/JHEP05(2011)027
- Gulotta DR, Herzog CP, Pufu SS. From necklace quivers to the F-theorem, operator counting, and T(U(N)). *J High Energy Phys.* (2011) **1112**:077. doi: 10.1007/JHEP12(2011)077
- Freedman DZ, Pufu SS. The holography of F-maximization. *J High Energy Phys.* (2014) **1403**:135. doi: 10.1007/JHEP03(2014)135
- Casini H, Huerta M, Myers RC. Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy. *J High Energy Phys.* (2011) **05**:036. doi: 10.1007/JHEP05(2011)036
- Klebanov IR, Pufu SS, Sachdev S, and Safdi BR. Entanglement entropy of 3-d conformal gauge theories with many flavors. *J High Energy Phys.* (2012) **05**:036. doi: 10.1007/JHEP05(2012)036
- Casini H, Huerta M. On the RG running of the entanglement entropy of a circle. *Phys Rev.* (2012) **D85**:125016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.125016
- Klebanov IR, Nishioka T, Pufu SS, Safdi BR. On shape dependence and RG flow of entanglement entropy. *J High Energy Phys.* (2012) **07**:001. doi: 10.1007/JHEP07(2012)001
- Casini H, Huerta M, Myers RC, and Yale A. Mutual information and the F-theorem. *J High Energy Phys.* (2015) **1510**:003. doi: 10.1007/JHEP10(2015)003
- Liu H, Mezei M. A refinement of entanglement entropy and the number of degrees of freedom. *J High Energy Phys.* (2013) **04**:162. doi: 10.1007/JHEP04(2013)162
- Liu H, Mezei M. Probing renormalization group flows using entanglement entropy. *J High Energy Phys.* (2014) **01**:098. doi: 10.1007/JHEP01(2014)098
- Klebanov IR, Nishioka T, Pufu SS, Safdi BR. Is renormalized entanglement entropy stationary at RG fixed points?. *J High Energy Phys.* (2012) **10**:058. doi: 10.1007/JHEP10(2012)058
- de Haro S, Skenderis K, Solodukhin SN. Holographic reconstruction of spacetime and renormalization in the AdS/CFT correspondence. *Commun Math Phys.* (2001) **217**:595–622. doi: 10.1007/s002200100381
- Klebanov IR, Witten E. AdS/CFT correspondence and symmetry breaking. *Nucl Phys.* (1999) **B556**:89–114. doi: 10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00387-9
- Papadimitriou I. Non-supersymmetric membrane flows from fake supergravity and multi-trace deformations. *J High Energy Phys.* (2007) **02**:008. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2007/02/008
- Witten E. multitrace operators, boundary conditions, and AdS / CFT correspondence. arXiv:hep-th/0112258.
- Berenstein D, Miller A. Conformal perturbation theory, dimensional regularization, and AdS/CFT correspondence. *Phys Rev. D* (2014) **90**:086011. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.086011
- Hawking SW. The boundary conditions for gauged supergravity. *Phys Lett. B* (1983) **126**:175. doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(83)90585-3
- Taylor M, Woodhead W. Renormalized entanglement entropy. *J High Energy Phys.* (2016) **1608**:165. doi: 10.1007/JHEP08(2016)165
- Nishioka T. Relevant perturbation of entanglement entropy and stationarity. *Phys Rev D* (2014) **90**:045006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.90.045006
- Gomis J, Hsin PS, Komargodski Z, Schwimmer A, Seiberg N, Theisen S. Anomalies, conformal manifolds, and spheres. *J High Energy Phys.* (2016) **1603**:022. doi: 10.1007/JHEP03(2016)022

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer TR and handling Editor declared their shared affiliation.

Copyright © 2017 Taylor and Woodhead. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.