



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Hui Li,
✉ lihuitcm@126.com

RECEIVED 09 March 2025
ACCEPTED 10 March 2025
PUBLISHED 18 March 2025

CITATION

Li S, Zhang L, Wang Y, Xie R, Chen W, Lee MS, Amer YS, Sharifan A, Hussein H and Li H (2025) Corrigendum: Development and validation a methodology model for traditional Chinese medicine good practice recommendation: an exploratory sequential mixed methods study. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1590371. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1590371

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Zhang, Wang, Xie, Chen, Lee, Amer, Sharifan, Hussein and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Development and validation a methodology model for traditional Chinese medicine good practice recommendation: an exploratory sequential mixed methods study

Su Li^{1,2,3}, Luan Zhang⁴, Yangyang Wang^{1,3}, Runsheng Xie^{1,3}, Wenjia Chen^{1,3}, Myeong Soo Lee⁵, Yasser Sami Amer^{6,7,8}, Amin Sharifan⁹, Heba Hussein¹⁰ and Hui Li^{1,3,11*}

¹The Second Clinical College, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, ²Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, ³Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, ⁴The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, ⁵KM Science Research Division, Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine (KIOM), Daejeon, Republic of Korea, ⁶Department of Pediatrics, King Saud University Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁷Research Chair for Evidence-Based Health Care and Knowledge Translation, Family and Community Medicine Department, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ⁸Department of Internal Medicine, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil, ⁹Department for Evidence-Based Medicine and Evaluation, University for Continuing Education Krems, Krems an der Donau, Lower Austria, Austria, ¹⁰Oral Medicine, Oral Diagnosis, and Periodontology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, ¹¹State Key Laboratory of Traditional Chinese Medicine Syndrome, Research Group of Standardization of Chinese Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China

KEYWORDS

traditional chinese medicine (TCM), guidelines, good practice recommendation (GPR), methodology model, mixed method

A Corrigendum on

[Development and validation a methodology model for traditional Chinese medicine good practice recommendation: an exploratory sequential mixed methods study](#)

by Li S, Zhang L, Wang Y, Xie R, Chen W, Lee MS, Amer YS, Sharifan A, Hussein H and Li H (2025). *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1501634. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1501634

In the published article, there was an error in **Affiliations 1, 2, and 10**. Instead of “¹Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China,” “²The Second Clinical College, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China” and “¹⁰Oral Medicine, Oral Diagnosis, and Periodontology Department, Cairo University, Giza, Giza, Egypt,” it should be; “¹The Second Clinical College, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China,” “²Guangzhou University of

Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China,” and “¹⁰Oral Medicine, Oral Diagnosis, and Periodontology Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.