Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mohammed Abu El-Magd, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt

REVIEWED BY

Ali AbdElKader, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt Nemany A. N. Hanafy, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt Amr Adel Elkelish, Suez Canal University, Egypt

*CORRESPONDENCE Rong Shi, ☑ doctorshi@126.com Qianmei Zhou, ☑ tazhou@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 19 August 2024 ACCEPTED 14 October 2024 PUBLISHED 22 October 2024

CITATION

Bai B, Ma Y, Liu D, Zhang Y, Zhang W, Shi R and Zhou Q (2024) DNA damage caused by chemotherapy has duality, and traditional Chinese medicine may be a better choice to reduce its toxicity. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1483160. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1483160

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Bai, Ma, Liu, Zhang, Zhang, Shi and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

DNA damage caused by chemotherapy has duality, and traditional Chinese medicine may be a better choice to reduce its toxicity

Bufan Bai^{1†}, Yingrui Ma^{1†}, Deng Liu¹, Yifei Zhang², Weihong Zhang³, Rong Shi²* and Qianmei Zhou^{1.4}*

¹Institute of Interdisciplinary Integrative Medicine Research, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ²Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Shuguang Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³Breast Surgery Department, Baoshan Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ⁴Shanghai Institute of Stem Cell Research and Clinical Translation, Dongfang Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Tongji University, Shanghai, China

Background: DNA damage induced by chemotherapy has duality. It affects the efficacy of chemotherapy and constrains its application. An increasing number of studies have shown that traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) is highly effective in reducing side-effects induced by chemotherapy due to its natural, non-toxic and many sourced from food. Recent advancements have demonstrated survival rates are improved attributable to effective chemotherapy. DNA damage is the principal mechanism underlying chemotherapy. However, not all instances of DNA damage are beneficial. Chemotherapy induces DNA damage in normal cells, leading to side effects. It affects the efficacy of chemotherapy and constrains its application.

Objectives: This review aims to summarize the dual nature of DNA damage induced by chemotherapy and explore how TCM can mitigate chemotherapy-induced side effects.

Results: The review summarized the latest research progress in DNA damage caused by chemotherapy and the effect of alleviating side effects by TCM. It focused on advantages and disadvantages of chemotherapy, the mechanism of drugs and providing insights for rational and effective clinical treatment and serving as a basis for experiment. In this review, we described the mechanisms of DNA damage, associated chemotherapeutics, and their toxicity. Furthermore, we explored Chinese herb that can alleviate chemotherapy-induced side-effects.

Conclusion: We highlight key mechanisms of DNA damage caused by chemotherapeutics and discuss specific TCM herbs that have shown potential in reducing these side effects. It can provide reference for clinical and basic research.

KEYWORDS

DNA damage, chemotherapy, cancer treatment, traditional Chinese medicine, side-effects

1 Introduction

Cancer is the second-leading global cause of death, with nearly one-sixth fatalities in 2020 caused by cancer (Deo et al., 2022). In 2020, the most common cancer types included breast, lung, colon, rectal, and prostate cancers, while the primary contributors to cancer-related mortality encompassed lung, colon, rectal, liver, stomach, and breast cancers (Bahrami and Tafrihi, 2023; World Health Organization, 2022). Furthermore, in low-income and lowermiddle-income countries, about 30% of cancer cases are attributed to cancer-causing infections such as human papillomavirus and hepatitis (World Health Organization, 2022; Torre et al., 2016). Breast, cervical, lung, thyroid, and colorectal cancers are the most common types of cancer in women, while prostate, lung, colorectal, liver, and stomach cancers are the most frequent among men (Hossain and Haldar Neer, 2023; Siegel et al., 2023).

While numerous cancer treatments are available, including radiotherapy, surgery, immunotherapy, endocrine therapy, and gene therapy. Chemotherapy remains the most prevalent treatment approach (Wei et al., 2021). The term "chemotherapy" refers to the utilization of chemicals for disease treatment (Hamdy et al., 2022). It involves the use of cytotoxic drugs to treat various types of cancer by hindering their replication, thereby impeding their growth and further dissemination. In the 1960s, surgery and radiation therapy predominated cancer treatment (Wyld et al., 2015). However, their curative rate after local treatment was only approximately 33% owing to micro-metastasis. Subsequently, a study combining drugs with surgery or radiation in the treatment of breast cancer demonstrated effective inhibition of tumor micrometastasis, paving the way for adjuvant chemotherapy. Chemotherapy can serve as a curative, palliative, or adjuvant to improve the efficacy of other therapies, such as radiotherapy (Hossain and Haldar Neer, 2023). The synergy of chemotherapy, surgery and radiation maximizes the antitumor effect while minimizing toxicity toward normal tissues, making it an established clinical tool in the treatment of cancer (Baskar et al., 2012). There are many types of chemotherapy drugs, usually divided into several main categories, such as anthracycline antibiotics, antimetabolites, alkylating agents, and plant alkaloids (Bukowski et al., 2020).

However, cancer patients receiving chemotherapy may experience a series of side effects. The most prevalent side effects are fatigue, nausea, vomiting (Gordon et al., 2014), mucositis, hair loss (Amarillo et al., 2022), dry skin, rashes, intestinal alterations, decreased blood cell counts, and an increased risk of infections. Moreover, chemotherapeutic drugs also cause cardiac, pulmonary, hepatic, renal, and neuronal inflammation, as well as disruptions in the coagulation cascade (Katsuya and Tamura, 2015). This leads to a general decrease in the quality of survival of patients, which may necessitate treatment discontinuation due to intolerance. Hence, it is imperative to minimize the side effects associated with chemotherapy (Li et al., 2022). Chinese medicine has also played an indispensable role in cancer treatment (Zhang Y. et al., 2021). For example, quercetin, the main component of Astragalus membranaceus, which interacted with PI3K to inhibit the phosphorylation of PI3K/AKT, inhibited DNA damage repair (DDR) and triggered mitotic catastrophe and apoptosis in nonsmall cell lung cancer (Zhou et al., 2023). There is already a large amount of clinical data proving that TCM enhances the efficacy of chemotherapy and reduces chemotherapy-induced side effects and complications in the whole cancer treatment process (Su et al., 2020). TCM not only serves as an adjuvant to chemotherapy, but also plays an adjuvant therapeutic role (Zhang X. et al., 2021), and provides useful information for the development of more effective anticancer drugs (Qi et al., 2015). Nevertheless, chemotherapyinduced DNA damage responses have introduced numerous challenges, such as alterations in DNA damage repair capabilities, which is one of the important factors contributing to the emergence of chemotherapeutic resistance (Huang and Zhou, 2021). Chemotherapy causes DNA damage, and DDR is a very complex network. Chromatin regulation induced by the DNA damage response triggers the DNA repair process, or alters signaling pathways such as EGFR, PI3K/AKT, PTEN and mTOR, which enhanced resistance to chemotherapeutic agents (Carneiro and El-Deiry, 2020). DNA damage caused by chemotherapy can lead to effective cancer cell death, which is beneficial for treatment. However, the same mechanism can also damage normal cells, leading to side effects and reduced quality of life. This duality poses a challenge in optimizing chemotherapy efficacy while minimizing harm. In this review, we focus on chemotherapyinduced DNA damage responses. We briefly describe the benefits of chemotherapeutic agents that induce DNA damage, as well as the fact that it exhibits certain drawbacks in its use, such as chemotherapy resistance, normal tissue damage, and secondary tumors caused by genetic mutations, thus leading to strategies for reducing adverse effects using TCM ingredients, aiming to explore both the advantages and disadvantages it poses in the treatment of malignant tumors and identify novel treatment strategies for malignant tumors.

2 DNA damage repair pathways

Damage to one or multiple bases within cellular DNA can result from both internal and external mechanical or chemical factors, and these damages are primarily repaired through several DNA damage repair pathways, including base excision repair (BER), single strand break repair (SSBR), nucleotide excision repair (NER), mismatch repair (MMR), homologous recombination repair (HRR), nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), translesion synthesis (TLS), fanconi anemia (FA), and methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT) (Chatterjee and Walker, 2017) (Figure 1).

2.1 BER

BER is highly conserved that addresses oxidative damage resulting from respiration, natural hydrolysis, and alkylation reactions (Lindahl, 1993). This pathway constitutes a coordinated and sequential process wherein single-strand breaks are generated as intermediates during the repair process. BER predominantly addresses non-bulky small nucleobase lesions, involving the excision and replacement of incorrect (e.g., uracil) or damaged bases (e.g., 3-methyladenine, 8-oxoG) arising from deamination, alkylation, or oxidation (Grundy and Parsons, 2020; Kim and Wilson, 2012).



DNA damage repair pathways. Base excision repair (BER), single strand break repair (SSBR), nucleotide excision repair (NER), mismatch repair (MMR), homologous recombination repair (HRR), non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), translesion synthesis (TLS), fanconi anemia (FA), and methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT) are shown. The image was created by figdraw.com.

2.2 NER

The function of NER is to remove distortions within the helix structure of DNA. NER can eliminate the widest range of structurally unrelated DNA lesions. NER exhibits two distinct mechanisms for detecting DNA damage, which may manifest through either global genome NER or transcription-coupled NER (Marteijn et al., 2014). NER is characterized by endonuclease excision of nucleotides of the damaged site after specific recognition of the damaged site, followed by resynthesis of the correct new strand (Kuper and Kisker, 2023; Marteijn et al., 2014).

2.3 MMR

The MMR system is responsible for the removal of base mismatches arising from spontaneous and induced base deamination, oxidation, methylation, and replication errors (Modrich and Lahue, 1996). During MMR repair, base mismatches can arise during DNA replication. These DNA damages are recognized by MutS α or MutS β . Subsequently, the MutL α complex interacts with MutS to excise the damaged DNA. The resulting gaps are then ligated through DNA polymerase δ and ligase (Fishel, 2015). However, the MMR status affects meiotic and mitotic recombination, DNA damage signaling, apoptosis, and cell-

type-specific processes (Jiricny, 2006). The loss of MMR leads to the emergence of a mutator phenotype, which predisposes individuals to a heightened risk of cancer (Baretti and Le, 2018).

2.4 DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair

DSBs play crucial roles in suppressing genomic instability. However, when these repair mechanisms are activated, they can facilitate chromosome rearrangements that underlie various human diseases, ranging from developmental disorders to cancer (Ui et al., 2020). In mammalian cells, two primary mechanisms for DSB repair predominate: homologous recombination and NHEJ (Scully et al., 2019).

2.4.1 HRR

HRR is a relatively error-free repair pathway that primarily operates (Wright et al., 2018). In this process, single-stranded DNA bound by Rad51 invades the sister chromatids to search for homologous sequences and accomplishes repair through sequence synthesis and exchange (Moynahan and Jasin, 2010).

2.4.2 NHEJ

NHEJ is an error-prone repair pathway that can occur throughout all cell cycle phases. The NHEJ repair process initiates with the recognition and binding of Ku70/Ku80 to damaged double-stranded DNA, followed by the subsequent recruitment of the catalytic subunit DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs). Upon activation, DNA-PKcs mediates the repair of broken DNA through X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 4 (XRCC4) and DNA ligase IV (Ceccaldi et al., 2016).

2.5 Others

DNA undergoes crosslinking due to environmental induction or exposure to chemotherapeutic agents, such as platinum-based chemotherapeutic agents. This disrupts the DNA replication fork process, which can be repaired through TLS (Goodman and Woodgate, 2013). The DNA interstrand and intrastrand crosslinks can be uncrosslinked through the FA repair pathway and subsequently repaired by the synergistic action of the TLS, NER, and HRR pathways (Kee and D'Andrea, 2010). The common base damage, 6-methylguanine, can be directly repaired via the MGMT pathway (Sedgwick et al., 2007). Various chemotherapeutic drugs induce diverse forms of DNA damage, activate distinct signaling pathways, and are repaired through different repair mechanisms (Hoeijmakers, 2001).

3 Types of DNA damage-inducing chemotherapeutic agents

3.1 DNA methylating and alkylating agents

DNA methylating and alkylating agents are traditional chemotherapeutic agents that induce DNA damage. They induce

cancer cell death mainly through the BER, MMR or NER pathways. Alkylating agents, such as Apigenin and melphalan, contain alkylating groups capable of releasing electron-deficient carbon positive ions or reactive radicals in the body. These agents form covalently crosslinked alkylating groups on DNA, ultimately leading to the eradication of cancer cells (Peng and Pei, 2021). Methylating drugs, such as procarbazine and Temozolomide, instigate methylation modifications on DNA bases, including N7- and N3-methyl guanine (N7MeG, N3MeG), N3-methyl adenine (N3MeA), and O6-methyl guanine (O6MeG) (Pepponi et al., 2003). Although these modifications do not directly induce cytotoxicity, they provoke mismatches during DNA replication. These mismatches are recognized by the BER, MMR, or NER pathways and converted into DSBs. The accumulation of a substantial number of DSBs resulted in unrepaired cells and halted replication (Episkopou et al., 2009), ultimately inducing cell death.

3.2 DNA replication inhibitors

Frequent DNA replication is a characteristic of tumor cells. Therefore, chemotherapeutic drugs for tumor therapy seek to regulate DNA replication in tumor cells by inhibiting DNA deconvolution and nucleotide synthesis that occur during DNA replication.

Topoisomerase inhibitors: Under normal conditions, DNA adopts a tightly intertwined double helix structure. During the S, G2, and M phases of the cell cycle, two types of topoisomerases, Topo I and Topo II act on single and double strands of DNA, respectively, to create a transient, readily dissociable complex intermediate (enzyme-DNA break complex) by non-covalently binding to the terminal DNA fragment after cleaving both single- and double-stranded DNA. Once the enzymatic processes are completed, these cleaved DNA segments are rejoined (Roca, 1995). Topo I and Topo II inhibitors operate through similar mechanisms and they mainly cause apoptosis through SSB and DSB pathways. Some inhibitors directly target the enzymes, inhibiting their physiological activity, whereas most inhibitors can bind to DNA, forming a stable and rigid enzyme-DNA break complex that is covalently attached to the aforementioned enzyme-DNA break complex (You and Gao, 2019). The resulting enzyme-drug-DNA triplet complex hinders the rejoining of single- and doublestranded DNA cuts mediated by Topo. This leads to the irreversible formation of permanent SSBs and DSBs in the complex fragments. Once these permanent breaks occur in genes, they become targets for gene recombination and repair processes, stimulating the exchange of sister chromosomes in the offspring and the insertion and deletion of large gene segments, eventually causing chromatin translocation and aberration, ultimately leading to apoptosis (Sinha, 1995). Camptothecin (CPT), an alkaloid derived from the dove tree, is the most widely utilized class of Topo I inhibitors (Pommier, 2006). Three CPT analogs have been commercially marketed: irinotecan (CPT-11), topotecan (TPT), and hydroxycamptothecin (HCPT). These analogs have found application in the treatment of colon, ovarian, and lung cancers (Liu et al., 2015). Research has demonstrated that CPT analogs are not only effective for the treatment of colon and lung cancer but also for the treatment of other types of cancer. There are several antitumor drugs targeting Topo II, and many of them have been used in clinic settings, including etoposide (VP-16) and teniposide

(VM-26) of the podophyllotoxin family (Motyka et al., 2023), as well as amrubicin, doxorubicin (DOX), and pirarubicin (THP) in the adriamycin family (Kciuk et al., 2023). Among these, etoposide and doxorubicin are the most frequently used anticancer drugs in clinical practice, serving as the first choice of drugs for the management of malignant tumors such as small-cell lung cancer and lymphoma.

Nucleotide synthesis inhibitors: They inhibit DNA replication by reducing deoxyribonucleotide production and induce apoptosis mainly through the DSB pathway. Several chemotherapeutic drugs target pivotal enzymes involved in nucleotide synthesis during metabolism, thereby inhibiting nucleotide production and affecting DNA replication. 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) inhibits thymidylate synthase (Sethy and Kundu, 2021). Gemcitabine targets ribonucleic acid reductase (Pandit and Royzen, 2022). Methotrexate (MTX), inhibits dihydrofolate reductase (Huennekens, 1994), which inhibits DNA replication by depleting deoxyribonucleotide production.

3.3 DNA crosslinking agents

DNA crosslinking agents are a class of compounds that can interact with two distinct sites in DNA, leading to the formation of various types of lesions (Brulikova et al., 2012). There are three forms of DNA crosslinking: intrastrand DNA crosslinking, where covalent crosslinking occurs between two different sites on the same strand of DNA inter-strand crosslinking (ICL), where covalent crosslinking occurs between two strands of DNA. And inter-helix crosslinking, where covalent crosslinking occurs between two independent double strands of the DNA double helix (Rajski and Williams, 1998). Whereas DNA intrastrand cross-links are repaired by NER alone, ICL repair involves parts of NER, HR, and translesion of DNA synthesis. The cytotoxicity platinum-based chemotherapeutic agents arises from both intrastrand crosslinking and ICL. Platinum-based drugs, such as cisplatin and carboplatin, covalently crosslink DNA through platinum atoms, while cyclophosphamide generates DNA crosslinks through alkylated metabolites, thereby disrupting S-phase replication and inhibiting transcriptional activity, leading to DNA replication stress, cytotoxic effects, and eventual cell death (Goldstein et al., 2008). Intrastrand crosslinking damage can be tolerated by some DNA polymerases, rendering it less toxic than inter-strand crosslinks during replication (Deans and West, 2011). Although intrastrand DNA crosslinks represent approximately 95% of all DNA lesions, ICLs are more cytotoxic. ICLs simultaneously bind to both strands of the DNA double helix, inhibiting DNA replication and RNA transcription, and induce cell cycle arrest and regulatory death (McCabe et al., 2009).

3.4 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy: molecular targeted therapy

3.4.1 PARP inhibitors (PARPi)

PARPi exert their anticancer effects through the inhibition of BER, the accumulation of naturally occurring SSBs, resulting in conversion of these SSBs into DSBs at stalled replication forks during the S phase. They destabilize replication forks by entrapping DNA with PARP, ultimately inducing cell death through replication stress-induced mitotic catastrophe (Slade, 2020). The PARP enzyme family comprises 17 members, among which PARP1, PARP2, and PAPR-5a/5b (tankyrases) are poly-ADP-ribosylated protein-modifying enzymes, while the remaining PARP proteins are mono-ADP-ribosylated protein-modifying enzymes (Vyas and Chang, 2014). PARP1 and PARP2 are key DNA damage repair enzymes that are activated upon recognizing damaged DNA fragments and serve as DNA damage sensors (Kutuzov et al., 2020). Due to a DNA repair defect, BRCA1/2deficient tumor cells exhibit heightened sensitivity to PARPi, a phenomenon known as synthetic lethality (Li et al., 2020). Currently, there are four PARPi: olaparib, rucatinib, talazoparib, and niraparib. In 2014, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved olaparib for treating advanced ovarian cancer in individuals with germline BRCA mutations (Yelamos et al., 2020). More recently, niraparib demonstrated a significant extension of progression-free survival in patients with ovarian cancer, leading to FDA approval for the treatment of recurrent platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer (Kim et al., 2015).

3.4.2 Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) inhibitors and ataxia-telangiectasia and Rad3related (ATR) inhibitors

The ATM and ATR genes are key genes associated with DNA DSB damage repair and can be recruited as DNA damage sensing sites, either by the MRN complex (MRE11/RAD50/NBS1 complex) or the 9-1-1 complex, respectively, to initiate the damage repair pathway. ATM and ATR are both members of the PI3K-like kinase (PIKK) protein family (Kim et al., 2015). ATM inhibitors enhance the sensitivity of radiotherapy (Dong et al., 2022), and the ATM inhibitors AZD0156 and AZD1390 have progressed to preclinical studies (Jin and Oha, 2019). In contrast, ATR inhibitors are currently in the early stages of development. VX-970, an ATR inhibitor, has been investigated in combination with various chemotherapy regimens for advanced solid tumors (Yap et al., 2020), and these inhibitors are now undergoing phase I clinical trials.

3.4.3 DNA-PKcs inhibitor

DNA-PKcs, an enzyme encoded by the DNA-activated protein kinase catalytic subunit peptide (PRKDC) gene, is a core protein kinase associated with the regulation of the NHEJ repair pathway (Dylgjeri and Knudsen, 2022). It is a member of the PIKK protein family. Two DNA-PKcs are undergoing clinical trials: M3814, is primarily employed for the treatment of advanced solid tumors and leukemia in phase I clinical trials (Biau et al., 2019; Mohiuddin and Kang, 2019). C-115 is a novel compound that can be used in synergy with radiation therapy and temozolomide chemotherapy to improve the prognosis of patients with malignancies (Munster et al., 2019) (Table 1).

4 Chemotherapy-induced DNA damage "evil" and the regulatory effect of natural products

The effects of chemotherapy drugs that induce DNA damage are not always beneficial. It exhibits certain disadvantages in use. DNA damage from chemotherapy can contribute to drug resistance in

Chemotherapeutic drugs	Lesion	Molecular target	Representative drugs	Repair pathways
DNA methylating agents; DNA alkylating agents	N7MeG, N3MeG, O6MeG, Base Mismatch, DSB	MGMT, PARP1, APE1, DNA- PK, ATM, CHK1	Procarbazine, Temozolomide; Bendamustine, Melphalan	MGMT, BER, MMR, NER, NHEJ, HR
DNA replication inhibitor	DSB, SSB	PARP1, APE1, DNA-PK, ATM, CHK1	CPT-11, TPT, HCPT VP-16, VM-26 Amrubicin, ADM, THP 5-FU, Gemcitabine Methotrexate	NHEJ, HR, BER
DNA cross-linking agent	Intrastrand cross-link, ICL	XPA, XPB, XPG, ATM, CHK1	Cisplatin, Carboplatin	NER, HR
PARP inhibitor	N7MeG, N3MeG, SSB	PARP	Olaparib, Rucatinib, Talazoparib, Niraparib	BER
ATM and ATR inhibitors	DSB	ATM, ATR	AZD0156, AZD1390, VX-970	HR
DNA-PKcs inhibitor	DSB	DNA-PKcs	M3814, C-115	NHEJ

TABLE 1 Types of DNA damage-inducing chemotherapeutic agents.

cancer cells (Goldstein and Kastan, 2015), cause collateral damage to healthy tissues (Liu et al., 2021), and increase the risk of secondary malignancies due to mutagenic effects (Marcus et al., 2021). Chemotherapy induced tissue damage is one of its most serious side effects. We focus on describing the damage to different normal tissues and the strategies to reduce adverse reactions using TCM ingredients.

4.1 Kidney injury

Conventional chemotherapeutic drugs, primarily including alkylating agents, antimetabolites, and anticancer antibiotics, inflict harm on various components of the kidney, such as the tubulointerstitial region, renal vasculature, and glomeruli, which can lead to acute kidney injury (AKI) (Lefebvre and Glezerman, 2017). The most common etiology of AKI is acute tubulointerstitial injury. Platinum (carboplatin), as a representative drug, can induce AKI through direct toxicity to renal tubular epithelial cells, activation of apoptosis, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial damage (Krueger et al., 2015). Cisplatin is a classic chemotherapeutic drug, 90% of which is metabolized by the kidneys. This is especially evident with multiple high-dose and repeated short-term administrations (Tang et al., 2023). It has been demonstrated that apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 2 (APE2), a critical molecule, is upregulated in proximal tubule cells following cisplatin-induced nuclear DNA and mitochondrial DNA damage (Hu et al., 2021). Antifolatemetabolizing drugs (MTX) have a propensity to crystallize within the renal tubules, leading to tubular obstruction and interstitial damage. MTX is primarily eliminated by the kidneys, and its blood levels exhibit a marked rise following AKI (Samodelov et al., 2019). Moreover, there is an overlap between the pathways implicated in tumor growth inhibition and those associated with kidney function. This overlap may explain the propensity of such drugs to induce kidney injury. Antiangiogenic targeted agents, such as bevacizumab, sorafenib, and sunitinib, which therapeutically target vascular endothelial growth factor (Mittal et al., 2014), predispose individuals to renal thrombotic microangiopathy. Additionally, isolated cases have reported the development of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis and acute interstitial nephritis with severe hypertension (Estrada et al., 2019) (Figure 2).

However, an increasing number of studies indicated that natural products can alleviate chemotherapy induced kidney injury (Table 2).

Curcumin, a flavonoid obtained from ginger family, prevented renal inflammatory injury by influencing the nuclear factor-KB (NF- κ B) signaling pathway and reducing the expression of interleukin-1 β (IL-1β), IL-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) (Cai et al., 2022). Panduratin A is a bioactive compound derived from Boehmeria nilotica that exerts a protective effect against nephrotoxicity by reducing cisplatin-induced mitochondria dysfunction, intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, activation of ERK1/2, and cleaved-caspase 3 and 7 (Thongnuanjan et al., 2021). Asiatic acid (AA) has been reported to possess anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic effects. AA suppressed the enhanced mRNA expression of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β, TNF-a, MCP-1 and caspase-1 in kidneys. AA pretreatment inhibited NF-kB activation and the inflammatory response, blood urea nitrogen, and histologic changes, and protected against cisplatin-induced AKI (Yang et al., 2018). Umbelliferone (UMB), a benzopyrone belonging to the coumarin family, is the main active ingredient of the Chinese herb Cortex Fraxini. UMB protected against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity by the NRF2 signaling pathway (Yang et al., 2023). Quercetin prevented the nephrotoxic effects of cisplatin by down-regulating Mincle2/Syk/ NF-jB and reducing macrophage infiltration without affecting its anti-tumour activity (Najafi et al., 2022; Sanchez-Gonzalez et al., 2011). Celastrol is an active ingredient of Chinese medicine Tripterygium wilfordii. It protected against cisplatin-induced AKI possibly through suppressing NF-kB and improving mitochondrial function (Yu et al., 2018). Madecassoside (MA), an active constituent of Centella asiatica, had antioxidant and antiinflammatory effects and ameliorated cisplatin-induced renal tubular damage possibly by decreasing activation of the MAPK signaling pathway (Yuan et al., 2023). Dendropanoxide (DPx), a triterpenoid isolated from Dendropanax morbifera. DPx resisted cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury via the AMPK/mTOR signaling pathway (Park et al., 2020). Ginsenoside Rb3 (G-Rb3) provided protective effects against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity via regulation of AMPK-/mTOR-mediated autophagy and inhibition of apoptosis. Ginsenoside Rg5 (G-Rb5) inhibited the activation of apoptotic pathway by increasing the expression of



Bcl-2 and decreasing the level of Bax, and significantly reduced cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity, oxidative stress and inflammation (Li et al., 2016; Xing et al., 2019). Liquiritigenin (4',7dihydroxyflavone) is a major bioactive ingredient extracted from the root of licorice (Glycyrrhiza uralensis), could be used as a potential nephroprotective agent to protect against cisplatin-Induced nephrotoxicity via NRF2/SIRT3-mediated improvement of mitochondrial function (Zhou et al., 2022). Apigenin (APG), a naturally occurring flavonoid found in various plants, and Kaempferol, a naturally occurring flavonoid, ameliorated DOXinduced kidney injury by inhibiting ROS/ASK1-mediated activation of the MAPK signaling pathway (Wu et al., 2021). Kaempferol, can protect against DOX-induced nephrotoxicity by inhibiting ROS overproduction and activating the MAPK signalling pathway, as well as maintaining DOX cytotoxicity in breast cancer cells (Wu et al., 2023). a-Bisabolol is a naturally occurring monocyclic sesquiterpene alcohol, was found in the essential oils of various aromatic plants. It also has the potential to attenuate DOX-induced nephrotoxicity by inhibiting oxidative stress and inflammation through the activation of the NF-KB/MAPK signaling pathway as well as the intrinsic apoptosis pathway (Arunachalam et al., 2022).

4.2 Liver injury

Liver is the primary site of drug metabolism and a prominent organ susceptible to chemotherapy-induced injury, which can develop into acute liver failure. Chemotherapy can induce hepatotoxicity directly or aggravate pre-existing liver diseases, leading to deterioration of liver function and alterations in hepatic drug metabolism (Bahirwani and Reddy, 2014). Among platinum-based drugs, cisplatin induced hepatotoxicity characterized by elevating aminotransferases, steatosis, and cholestasis (Qi et al., 2019), whereas oxaliplatin induced vascular alterations and sinusoidal tubular occlusion or dilatation (Zhu et al., 2021). Gefitinib induced hepatotoxicity, with only slight increase in transaminase levels. In contrast, imatinib, another tyrosine kinase inhibitor, induced more severe hepatotoxicity, including hepatic failure and hepatic necrosis (Frikha et al., 2023) (Figure 3).

The individual components of natural products in TCM can be categorized into four distinct groups for the treatment of liver injury: phenols, flavonoids, terpenoids, and glycosides (Sun et al., 2022) (Table 2).

APG is a potent flavonoid with antioxidant and antiinflammatory properties. APG reduced malondialdehyde expression while enhancing the expression of superoxide dismutase1, catalase, and glutathione, thereby downregulating the expression of Caspase-3, C-reactive protein, and inducible nitric oxide synthase. These actions restored the antioxidant defense system and significantly attenuated MTX-induced hepatotoxicity (Sahindokuyucu-Kocasari et al., 2021). Furthermore, when combined with MTX, APG lowers the expression levels of TNF- α and IL-1 β , signifying its potential to ameliorate MTX-induced hepatotoxicity by reducing inflammation (Goudarzi et al., 2021). Betulin, a lupane pentacyclic triterpene composed of six isoprene units, is widely found in plants such as jujube, pomegranate bark, and birch bark. It has been shown that serum levels of albumin were significantly reduced and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine

Mitigation of injury	Name of monomer	Dosages	Mechanism of action	
Kidney injury	Curcumin	20 µM	Influenced the NF- κB signaling pathway and reduced the expression o 1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α	
	Panduratin A	10 µM	Reduced cisplatin-induced mitochondria dysfunction, ROS generation, activation of ERK1/2, and cleaved-caspase 3 and 7	
	Asiatic acid	50 mg/kg	Suppressed the enhanced mRNA expression of proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 β , TNF- α , MCP-1 and caspase-1, inhibited NF- κ B activation and the inflammatory response, blood urea nitrogen, and histologic changes	
	Umbelliferone	40 mg/kg	Affected on the NRF2 signaling pathway	
	Quercetin	50 mg/kg	Downregulated Mincle2/Syk/NF-jB, reduced macrophage infiltration	
	Celastrol	1 mg/kg	Inhibited NF-KB and improves mitochondrial function	
	Madecassoside	50 mg/kg, 20 μM	Reduced the activation of the MAPK signaling pathway	
	Dendropanoxide	5 μg/mL	Affected AMPK/mTOR signaling pathway	
	Ginsenoside Rb3	10 mg/kg, 1 µmol/L	Regulated AMPK-/mTOR-mediated autophagy and inhibited apoptosis	
	Ginsenoside Rg5	10 mg/kg	Increased expression of Bcl-2 and decreased expression of Bax	
	Liquiritigenin	15 mg/kg	Impacted on NRF2/SIRT3-mediated mitochondrial function	
	Apigenin	100 μΜ	Inhibited ROS/ASK1-mediated activation of the MAPK signaling pathway	
	Kaempferol	10 mg/kg, 100 μM	Inhibited ROS overproduction and activated the MAPK signaling pathway	
	α-Bisabolol	25 mg/kg	Activated NF-kB/MAPK signaling pathway and endogenous apoptosis pathway, inhibited oxidative stress and inflammatory response	
Liver injury	Apigenin	3 mg/kg	Restored antioxidant defences and reduced inflammation	
	Betulin	8 mg/kg	Targeted apoptosis and the Nek7-dependent NLRP3 inflammasome pathway	
	Genistein	15–45 mg/kg	Modulated various signaling pathways	
	Methanolic	15 mg/kg	Restored biochemical and oxidative stress parameters, reduced DNA damage and $\mbox{IFN-}\gamma$ levels	
	Naringin	20 mg/kg	Activated Bax and downregulated Bcl-2 protein expression	
	Paeonol	100 mg/kg	Inhibited oxidative stress, inflammation, fibrosis and apoptosis pathways	
	Luteolin	100 mg/kg	Reduced oxidative and inflammatory stress and inhibited apoptosis	
	Quercetin	50 mg/kg	Regulated the SIRT1 pathway and attenuatedNLRP3 inflammasome activation	
Cardiac injury	Hyperoside	100 µM, 30 mg/kg	Inhibited ASK1/p38 signaling pathway and NOXs/ROS/ NLRP3 inflammasome signaling pathway	
	Matrine	200 mg/kg	Maintained AMPKa/UCP2 pathway	
	Apocynum venetum L.	70 μg/mL	Affected the AKT/Bcl-2 signaling pathway	
	Glycyrrhetinic acid	40 µM, 40 mg/kg	Activated Nrf2/HO-1 signaling pathway	
	Amentoflavone	20 μΜ	Inhibited STING/NLRP3 signaling pathway	
	Paeonol	50 µmol/L	Activated the PKCE-Stat3 pathway, promoted Mfn2-mediated mitochondrial fusion	
	Astragaloside IV	40 mg/kg	Inhibited NOX2 and NOX4	
	Ginsenoside Rg2	15 mg/kg	Downregulated the expression of pro-apoptotic proteins caspase-3, caspase-9 and BAX	
Nerve injury	Curcumin	1 mg/mL	Did not inhibit p53 mRNA transcription and did not interfere with the therapeutic effect of cisplatin	

TABLE	2 E	Effect	of	natural	products	on	chemotherapy-induced	DNA	damage.
			<u> </u>	nacanac	produces	0.11	chemotherapy maacea	0107	aannage.

(Continued on following page)

Mitigation of injury	Name of monomer	Dosages	Mechanism of action	
	Quercetin	25 mg/kg	Involved oxidative stress pathways in the inhibition of chronic painful peripheral neuropathy	
	Cannabidiol	2.5 mg/kg	Inhibited neuropathic pain via 5-HT1A receptors	
	Rutin 30 mg/kg, 50 mg/kg		Associated with antioxidant and anti-apoptotic pathways	
Ear injury	Curcumin	0.5 µM, 200 mg/kg	Regulated STAT3 and Nrf2	
	Paeoniflorin	30 mg/kg	Attenuated SGN damage through the PINK1/BAD pathway	
	Allicin	18.2 mg/kg	Prevented hearing loss through the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway	
	Ginkgo	100 mg/kg	Protected the inner ear from cisplatin-induced ototoxicity	
	Hesperetin	20 mg/kg	Prevented ototoxicity by increasing antioxidant enzymes and decreased oxidative parameters	

TABLE 2 (Continued) Effect of natural products on chemotherapy-induced DNA damage.



aminotransferase (ALT), and total bilirubin levels were significantly increased in cisplatin-treated individuals. Betulin effectively reversed cisplatin-induced liver injuries by targeting apoptosis and Nek7-independent NLRP3 inflammasome pathways (Eisa et al., 2021). Genistein is a sedative, hypnotic, and anticonvulsant drug derived from asparagus that protected liver function by modulating various signaling pathways (Xiao et al., 2023). Methanolic extract (ME) and ephedrine (EP), major compound of Ephedra alata, could attenuate cisplatin-induced hepatotoxicity by restoring biochemical and oxidative stress parameters, reducing DNA damage and IFN- γ levels (Sioud et al., 2020). Naringin (Nar) is a flavonoid derived from Citrus paradise. Nar decreased MTXinduced functional and ultrastructural liver damage. Nar as promising antiproliferative agents, induced apoptosis in cancer cells through activation of Bax and downregulation of Bcl-2 (Elsawy et al., 2020). Paeonol, a nature active compound derived from the root bark of the medicinal plant Paeonia suffruticosa, offered a potent protective effect against MTX-induced hepatotoxicity through suppressing oxidative stress. inflammation, fibrosis, and apoptosis pathways (Morsy et al., 2022). Luteolin is a polyphenolic phytochemical with a variety of anticancer activities (Ganai et al., 2021) that attenuated doxorubicin-induced derangements of liver and kidney by reducing oxidative and inflammatory stress to suppress apoptosis. Luteolin reduced lipid peroxidation, caspases-3 and -9 activities (Owumi et al., 2021). Quercetin attenuated NLRP3 inflammasome activation and apoptosis to protect isoniazid (INH)-induced liver injury via regulating SIRT1 pathway (Zhang et al., 2020).



4.3 Cardiac injury

Cardiotoxicity is the most significant adverse effect associated with chemotherapeutic drugs and contributes to heightened mortality. This directly impacts the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs. Chemotherapeutic agents are known to induce cardiotoxicity including anthracyclines and fluorouracil. In addition, some targeted agents, such as human epidermal growth factor 2 inhibitors and vascular growth inhibitors also present a relative cardiotoxicity (Curigliano et al., 2016). The most prevalent manifestations of cardiotoxicity are left ventricular dysfunction and heart failure (Luis Zamorano et al., 2017). The precise mechanism underlying the cardiotoxicity remains elusive, potentially involving oxidative stress, apoptosis, aberrant expression of related genes, calcium overload, and the production of toxic metabolites (McGowan et al., 2017). Fluorouracil fluoride, a pyrimidine antimetabolite widely employed in the chemotherapy of epithelial-origin malignant tumors, ranks as the second killer of cardiotoxicity, following anthracyclines. Its molecular mechanisms encompass vasoconstriction, hypercoagulability attributable to endothelial damage, and direct myocardial toxicity (Chong and Ghosh, 2019). Subsequent mechanisms involve endothelial dysfunction, thrombosis, and oxidative stress in cardiomyocytes, all ascribed to 5-FU. 5-FU can directly induce endothelial damage, subsequently leading to platelet and fibrin accumulation and thrombosis. 5-FU induced a significant production of mitochondrial ROS in H9C2 cells, concomitant with elevated ROS levels in cardiomyocytes, a decrease in the antioxidant dismutase and glutathione levels in cardiomyocytes, and an increase in the levels of malondialdehyde, a marker of mitochondrial membrane damage. With prolonged drug exposure, these processes ultimately led to cell apoptosis (Eskandari et al., 2015). The cardiotoxicity associated with alkylating agents (cyclophosphamide, isocyclophosphamide, and platinum) often manifests as asymptomatic pericardial effusion, myocarditis, cardiac insufficiency, and cardiac arrhythmias. The potential mechanism of myocardial toxicity induced by alkylating agents mainly involved the damage of toxic metabolites and DNA base alkylation to endothelial cells. It can disrupt the replication and transcription of DNA (Madeddu et al., 2016; Puyo et al., 2014) (Figure 4).

Some natural products have a protective role against chemotherapy induced cardiac dysfunction. Hyperoside, a flavonoid glycoside extracted from various herbs, exhibited anti-apoptotic and anticancer properties. It protected HL-1 cells from DOX-induced cardiotoxicity by suppressing the ASK1/p38 signaling pathway (Chen et al., 2023). It could also bind to both nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (NOXs, the main source of ROS in cardiomyocytes) and cyclooxygenases to prevent DOX-induced cardiotoxicity by inhibiting the NOXs/ROS/NLRP3 inflammasome signaling pathway (Wei et al., 2023). Matrine ameliorated DOX-induced uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2) downregulation and inhibition in DOX-induced cardiotoxicity via maintaining the AMPKa/UCP2 pathway (Hu et al., 2019). Apocynum venetum L. (AVLE) is a member of the Apocynaceae family. AVLE alleviated DOX-induced cardiotoxicity through the AKT/Bcl-2 signaling pathway. In addition, the administration of AKT inhibitors counteracted the inhibitory effects of AVLE on DOX-induced apoptosis (Zhang et al., 2022). Glycyrrhetinic acid (GA), the major biologically active compound of licorice, protected against DOX-induced cardiotoxicity by activating the Nrf2/HO-1 signaling pathway (Cheng et al., 2022). Amentoflavone (AMF), a naturally occurring biflavone, mitigated DOX-induced cardiotoxicity by suppressing cardiomyocyte pyroptosis and inflammation through inhibition of the STING/NLRP3 signaling pathway (Fang et al., 2023). Paeonol (Pae) is the main component isolated from the root bark of



Paeonia suffruticosa (Zhang et al., 2019). Proanthocyanidins were found in seeds, nuts, fruits and vegetables. Both of them combined with Dox protected against DOX-induced cardiotoxicity, with Pae promoting Mfn2-mediated mitochondrial fusion through activating the PKCε-Stat3 pathway (Ding et al., 2023). Ginsenoside Rg2 and Astragaloside IV (AS-IV) attenuated DOX-induced cardiomyopathy through the suppression of NOX2 and NOX4 (Lin et al., 2019). They have a potential to be applied in patients with breast cancer (Liu et al., 2022) (Table 2).

4.4 Nerve injury

Chemotherapy drugs can induce a spectrum of neurological dysfunctions in peripheral or autonomic nerves, referred to as chemotherapy-induced peripheral neurotoxicity (CIPN). Common chemotherapeutic agents responsible for CIPN include microtubule inhibitors, platinum, thalidomide and bortezomib (Loprinzi et al., 2020). Paclitaxel alters microtubule dynamics, prompting mitochondrial dysfunction and inducing oxidative stress in peripheral nerves. Collectively, these effects triggered peripheral and central inflammation while also causing modifications in ion channel activity (da Costa et al., 2020). Among the platinum, oxaliplatin ranks highest in terms of neurotoxicity incidence. The prevailing consensus now attributes the mechanism of acute neurotoxicity to the impact of platinum on ion channel opening, resulting in substantial internal loss of calcium ions (Jongen et al., 2015) (Figure 5).

Chemotherapeutic agents accumulated in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) and ultimately led to DRG apoptosis by affecting DNA. However, Curcumin has protective effects against cisplatin-induced neurotoxicity that did not suppress transcription of p53 mRNA and disturb cisplatin's therapeutic effect (Mendonca et al., 2013; Rezaee et al., 2017). Quercetin inhibited oxaliplatininduced chronic painful peripheral neuropathy by involving oxidative stress pathways (Azevedo et al., 2013). Cannabidiol (a phytocannabinoid isolated from *Cannabis sativa*) inhibited paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain through 5-HT_{1A} receptors without diminishing chemotherapy efficacy (Ward et al., 2014). Rutin (a natural flavonoid compound) (Almutairi et al., 2017; Yasar et al., 2019) exhibited potential neuroprotective effects against cisplatin or paclitaxel-induced neurotoxicity (Table 2).

4.5 Ear injury

The mechanism of cisplatin-induced ear injury remains incompletely understood. It comprises three primary molecular mechanisms: DNA damage, oxidative stress, and an inflammatory response. Cisplatin (Sheth et al., 2017) induced the formation of DNA crosslinking which inhibited DNA replication and transcription, leading to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Hazlitt et al., 2018). Cisplatin predominantly activated the cochlear-specific NADPH oxidase NOX-3, leading to elevated levels of ROS in the cochlea. This surge in ROS depleted the endogenous antioxidant system, disrupted cytoplasmic organelle function, and ultimately led to apoptosis (Kawai et al., 2006). Furthermore, ROS activated apoptosis associated signaling molecules, such as caspases and JNK (Kim et al., 2010). The toxic effects of cisplatin also included triggering an inflammatory response in the cochlea and increased the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-a, IL- 1β , IL-6, and NF- κ B (Wang et al., 2004). This inflammation within the cochlea contributed to the damage and death of auditory neurons (Oh et al., 2011). Additionally, cisplatin induced the



expression of STAT1 in the cochlea while concurrently downregulating the expression of STAT3. STAT1 is proinflammatory in nature, ultimately leading to apoptosis of cochlear cells (Tokuc, 2014) (Figure 6).

Curcumin has been employed as a remedy for mitigating ototoxicity caused by cisplatin (Rezaee et al., 2017). It functions effectively as an adjuvant to cisplatin. In the treatment of head and neck cancer, curcumin diminished cisplatin-related ototoxic effects modulating STAT3 and Nrf2 (Fetoni et al., 2015; Paciello et al., 2020). Paeoniflorin pre-treatment obviously mitigated cisplatin-induced spiral ganglion neuron (SGN) damage via the PINK1/ BAD pathway (Yu et al., 2019). Allicin, the main biologically active compound derived from garlic, prevented hearing loss induced by cisplatin effectively through the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway (Wu et al., 2017). Ginkgo biloba extract protected the inner ear against cisplatin-induced ototoxicity (Cakil et al., 2012). Hesperetin, a flavonoid from citrus fruits, prevented ototoxicity by increasing antioxidant enzymes and decreasing oxidative parameters (Kara et al., 2016) (Table 2).

5 TCM interventions and conventional treatments

5.1 Available clinical studies

TCM has been used to treat cancer patients. There have been a large number of studies showing that TCM has been used clinically, but these studies have mainly focused on, relieving symptoms (e.g., fatigue, chronic pain, and insomnia) in cancer patients, and reducing the adverse effects and complications caused by chemotherapy. Ginsenosides derived from American ginseng (Xi-Yang-Shen in Chinese) purported actions against aging, cancer, stress, fatigue, and anxiety (Ghosh et al., 2020). American ginseng demonstrated benefits in alleviating cancer-related fatigue (CRF) in a multi-site, double-blind, randomised trial with no significant toxic effects during treatment (Barton et al., 2013). A pilot, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial found that Shiquan-da-butang had a potential benefit in terms of anorexia management for patients with cancer (Cheon et al., 2017). Liu-jun-zi-tang has also been shown in a randomised controlled trial to alleviate cancerrelated anorexia nervosa and has been shown to be both efficacious and safe (Ko et al., 2021). Zhi-gan-cao-tang is reported to be the Chinese herbal formula most frequently prescribed by TCM practitioners to treat heart failure. In the case of an 18-year-old adolescent male with refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity gradually resolved after administration of a modified Zhigengtang (Wu et al., 2015).

5.2 Shortcomings of TCM in clinical studies

Although TCM is currently receiving increasing attention worldwide as an alternative and complementary therapy for cancer treatment, there are some limitations in the published studies, such as the lack of scientific validity of the studies with large samples, multi-centre participation, randomised control and efficacy comparisons. Most of the current studies focus on the efficacy rather than the systemic and in-depth pharmacological effects of the drugs, which is also related to the complexity of TCM theories and prescriptions. This is a gap between TCM and traditional antitumour drug research, and more mechanistic studies or long-term safety data are needed in the future.

Therefore, the study of TCM theory and prescription should be taken seriously. Full and complete discovery of TCM should be conducted. Experiments with high level of reproducibility and clear results should be carried out. In conclusion, more mechanistic studies and long-term safety data are needed, and more rigorous cancer treatment trials must be designed, including comprehensive quality control and standardised models at the cellular, organismal, animal and clinical levels, in order to study the multiple forms and levels of TCM to mitigate the damage to normal tissues caused by chemotherapy.

6 Discussion

With the application and development of chemotherapy, the emergence of tumors chemoresistance and side-effects poses a significant challenge in the treatment of malignant tumors. This review described the advantages and disadvantages of chemotherapy-induced DNA damage responses in the context of malignant tumors. It also provided an overview of several major DNA damage repair pathways, elucidated the mechanisms through which different chemotherapeutic agents inflict damage on malignant tumors by inducing DNA damage, and discussed strategies aimed at minimizing acute or long-term toxicities in different organs in the human body associated with chemotherapeutic agents-induced DNA damage. It also focuses on the damage to different normal tissues caused by chemotherapeutic drugs and strategies to reduce adverse reactions using TCM ingredients. Genomic instability constitutes a pivotal feature of tumors, and tumorigenesis is closely associated with defective cellular DNA damage repair, the accumulation of mutations, and an abnormal proto-oncogene or oncogene cascade response in proliferating tissues. Genomic instability and mutability facilitate the acquisition of genetic alterations in cancer cells, which propel tumor progression (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Efforts have been invested in seeking innovative breakthroughs for chemotherapy with increased efficacy and reduced side-effects. Therefore, in-depth research on signaling pathways and mechanisms associated with DNA damage repair is of profound significance for identifying potential targets and chemotherapeutics. This review aims to reveal the advantages and disadvantages of chemotherapy drugs, providing a basis for improving the efficacy of tumor treatment.

The chemotherapeutic drugs commonly employed in clinical practice nowadays possess the ability to not only inhibit or kill cancer cells but also induce a certain level of toxicity and side effects on normal bodily tissues, especially in terms of impeding normal cell proliferation. This phenomenon constitutes a significant impediment to the enhancement of the therapeutic efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs. Chemotherapy remains essential, but it cannot ignore its side effects as DNA damaged. Therefore, it is particularly necessary to find methods that can improve the efficacy of chemotherapy while reducing its side effects. Furthermore, the development of alternative drugs within the same treatment category, characterized by reducing side effects, can harness the vast resources of TCM. This approach can utilize synergistic effects and reduce overall toxicity. TCM effectively inhibits the occurrence of tumors by regulating precancerous lesions. The efficacy enhancement and detoxification treatment of TCM further hinder the development of malignant tumor. Combining TCM with modern medical approaches such as surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy can reduce the toxicity and side-effects of radiotherapy and chemotherapy, improve the body's sensitivity to the drugs, and prevent or attenuate the recurrence of tumors or metastases after surgery. The utilization of TCM in tumor treatment can evidently prolong the survival period of cancer patients and significantly improve their quality of life. A large number of studies have demonstrated that TCM compounds can be used in anti-tumor therapy by regulating DNA damage repair pathways, activating signaling pathways, reducing oxidative stress or enhancing immune responses.

The summary of natural medicines in this review which mitigate the toxicity of chemotherapy helps researchers gain a quick understanding of the field. However, TCM faces significant challenges in clinical research, such as issues of standardization of TCM products, quality control and the need for careful monitoring of herb interactions. There is also a lack of a unified standard for clinical research, such as guidelines, recommended dosages or formulations, and efficacy monitoring criteria for the combination of TCM with conventional cancer treatments. Therefore, future development of TCM requires the identification of biomarkers to explore synergistic effects between herbs and specific chemotherapeutic agents, prediction of patient response to TCM, and large-scale clinical trials to validate the efficacy of herbs. There exists a need for the above recommendations to be validated through additional preclinical and clinical trials. These efforts are key areas of focus for researchers studying the efficacy of TCM in malignant tumors.

Author contributions

BB: Methodology, Writing-original draft. YM: Supervision, Writing-original draft. DL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. YZ: Conceptualization, Writing-review and editing. WZ: Investigation, Writing-review Project administration, and editing. RS: Resources, Writing-review and editing. QZ: Project administration, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Research Projects of Shanghai Municipal Commission of Science and Technology (21Y11920600), National Natural Science Foundation of China (82174121), Peak Disciplines (Type IV) of Institutions of Higher Learning in Shanghai, Science and Technology Development Project of Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (23KFL020) and the Shanghai Municipal Key Clinical Specialty (shslczdzk04402).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Almutairi, M. M., Alanazi, W. A., Alshammari, M. A., Alotaibi, M. R., Alhoshani, A. R., Al-Rejaie, S. S., et al. (2017). Neuro-protective effect of rutin against Cisplatininduced neurotoxic rat model. *Bmc Complementary Altern. Med.* 17, 472. doi:10.1186/ s12906-017-1976-9

Amarillo, D., De Boni, D., and Cuello, M. (2022). Translated article chemotherapy, alopecia, and scalp cooling systems. *Actas Sifiliogr.* 113, T278–T283. doi:10.1016/j.ad. 2022.02.014

Arunachalam, S., Meeran, M. F. N., Azimullah, S., Jha, N. K., Saraswathiamma, D., Subramanya, S., et al. (2022). α -Bisabolol attenuates doxorubicin induced renal toxicity by modulating NF- κ B/MAPK signaling and caspase-dependent apoptosis in rats. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 10528. doi:10.3390/ijms231810528

Azevedo, M. I., Pereira, A. F., Nogueira, R. B., Rolim, F. E., Brito, G. A. C., Wong, D. V. T., et al. (2013). The antioxidant effects of the flavonoids rutin and quercetin inhibit oxaliplatin-induced chronic painful peripheral neuropathy. *Mol. Pain* 9, 53. doi:10. 1186/1744-8069-9-53

Bahirwani, R., and Reddy, K. R. (2014). Drug-induced liver injury due to cancer chemotherapeutic agents. *Seminars Liver Dis.* 34, 162–171. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1375957

Bahrami, H., and Tafrihi, M. (2023). Global trends of cancer: the role of diet, lifestyle, and environmental factors. *Cancer innov.* 2, 290–301. doi:10.1002/cai2.76

Baretti, M., and Le, D. T. (2018). DNA mismatch repair in cancer. *Pharmacol. and Ther.* 189, 45–62. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.04.004

Barton, D. L., Liu, H., Dakhil, S. R., Linquist, B., Sloan, J. A., Nichols, C. R., et al. (2013). Wisconsin ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius*) to improve cancer-related fatigue: a randomized, double-blind trial, N07C2. *Jnci-Journal Natl. Cancer Inst.* 105, 1230–1238. doi:10.1093/jnci/djt181

Baskar, R., Lee, K. A., Yeo, R., and Yeoh, K.-W. (2012). Cancer and radiation therapy: current advances and future directions. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 9, 193–199. doi:10.7150/ijms. 3635

Biau, J., Chautard, E., Verrelle, P., and Dutreix, M. (2019). Altering DNA repair to improve radiation therapy: specific and multiple pathway targeting. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 1009. doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.01009

Brulikova, L., Hlavac, J., and Hradil, P. (2012). DNA interstrand cross-linking agents and their chemotherapeutic potential. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 19, 364–385. doi:10.2174/092986712803414295

Bukowski, K., Kciuk, M., and Kontek, R. (2020). Mechanisms of multidrug resistance in cancer chemotherapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 3233. doi:10.3390/ijms21093233

Cai, Y., Huang, C., Zhou, M., Xu, S., Xie, Y., Gao, S., et al. (2022). Role of curcumin in the treatment of acute kidney injury: research challenges and opportunities. *Phytomedicine* 104, 154306. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2022.154306

Cakil, B., Basar, F. S., Atmaca, S., Cengel, S. K., Tekat, A., and Tanyeri, Y. (2012). The protective effect of *Ginkgo biloba* extract against experimental cisplatin ototoxicity: animal research using distortion product otoacoustic emissions. *J. Laryngology Otology* 126, 1097–1101. doi:10.1017/s0022215112002046

Carneiro, B. A., and El-Deiry, W. S. (2020). Targeting apoptosis in cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 17, 395-417. doi:10.1038/s41571-020-0341-y

Ceccaldi, R., Rondinelli, B., and D'Andrea, A. D. (2016). Repair pathway choices and consequences at the double-strand break. *Trends Cell Biol.* 26, 52–64. doi:10.1016/j.tcb. 2015.07.009

Chatterjee, N., and Walker, G. C. (2017). Mechanisms of DNA damage, repair, and mutagenesis. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 58, 235–263. doi:10.1002/em.22087

Chen, L., Qin, Z., and Ruan, Z. (2023). Hyperoside alleviates doxorubicin-induced myocardial cells apoptosis by inhibiting the apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1/ p38 pathway. *Peerj* 11, e15315. doi:10.7717/peerj.15315

Cheng, Y., Wu, X., Nie, X., Wu, Y., Zhang, C., Lee, S. M.-Y., et al. (2022). Natural compound glycyrrhetinic acid protects against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by activating the Nrf2/HO-1 signaling pathway. *Phytomedicine* 106, 154407. doi:10.1016/j. phymed.2022.154407

Cheon, C., Yoo, J.-E., Yoo, H.-S., Cho, C.-K., Kang, S., Kim, M., et al. (2017). Efficacy and safety of sipjeondaebo-tang for anorexia in patients with cancer: a pilot, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Evidence-Based Complementary Altern. Med.* 2017, 8780325. doi:10.1155/2017/8780325

Chong, J. H., and Ghosh, A. K. (2019). Coronary artery vasospasm induced by 5-fluorouracil: proposed mechanisms, existing management options and future directions. *Interv. Cardiol. Lond. Engl.* 14, 89–94. doi:10.15420/icr.2019.12

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Curigliano, G., Cardinale, D., Dent, S., Criscitiello, C., Aseyev, O., Lenihan, D., et al. (2016). Cardiotoxicity of anticancer treatments: epidemiology, detection, and management. *Ca-a Cancer J. Clin.* 66, 309–325. doi:10.3322/caac.21341

da Costa, R., Passos, G. F., Quintao, N. L. M., Fernandes, E. S., Maia, J. R. L. C. B., Campos, M. M., et al. (2020). Taxane-induced neurotoxicity: pathophysiology and therapeutic perspectives. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 177, 3127–3146. doi:10.1111/bph.15086

Deans, A. J., and West, S. C. (2011). DNA interstrand crosslink repair and cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 11, 467–480. doi:10.1038/nrc3088

Deo, S. V. S., Sharma, J., and Kumar, S. (2022). GLOBOCAN 2020 report on global cancer burden: challenges and opportunities for surgical oncologists. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 29, 6497–6500. doi:10.1245/s10434-022-12151-6

Ding, M., Shi, R., Fu, F., Li, M., De, D., Du, Y., et al. (2023). Paeonol protects against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by promoting Mfn2-mediated mitochondrial fusion through activating the PKCe-Stat3 pathway. *J. Adv. Res.* 47, 151–162. doi:10. 1016/j.jare.2022.07.002

Dong, C., Wang, Y., Tu, Z., Yan, J., Jiang, Y., Lu, T., et al. (2022). Recent advances in ATM inhibitors as potential therapeutic agents. *Future Med. Chem.* 14, 1811–1830. doi:10.4155/fmc-2022-0252

Dylgjeri, E., and Knudsen, K. E. (2022). DNA-PKcs: a targetable protumorigenic protein kinase. *Cancer Res.* 82, 523–533. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-21-1756

Eisa, N. H., El-Sherbiny, M., and El-Magd, N. F. A. (2021). Betulin alleviates cisplatininduced hepatic injury in rats: targeting apoptosis and Nek7-independent NLRP3 inflammasome pathways. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 99, 107925. doi:10.1016/j. intimp.2021.107925

Elsawy, H., Algefare, A. I., Alfwuaires, M., Khalil, M., Elmenshawy, O. M., Sedky, A., et al. (2020). Naringin alleviates methotrexate-induced liver injury in male albino rats and enhances its antitumor efficacy in HepG2 cells. *Biosci. Rep.* 40. doi:10.1042/bsr20193686

Episkopou, H., Kyrtopoulos, S. A., Sfikakis, P. P., Fousteri, M., Dimopoulos, M. A., Mullenders, L. H. F., et al. (2009). Association between transcriptional activity, local chromatin structure, and the efficiencies of both subpathways of nucleotide excision repair of melphalan adducts. *Cancer Res.* 69, 4424–4433. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-08-3489

Eskandari, M. R., Moghaddam, F., Shahraki, J., and Pourahmad, J. (2015). A comparison of cardiomyocyte cytotoxic mechanisms for 5-fluorouracil and its prodrug capecitabine. *Xenobiotica* 45, 79–87. doi:10.3109/00498254.2014.942809

Estrada, C. C., Maldonado, A., and Mallipattu, S. K. (2019). Therapeutic inhibition of VEGF signaling and associated nephrotoxicities. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 30, 187–200. doi:10.1681/asn.2018080853

Fang, G., Li, X., Yang, F., Huang, T., Qiu, C., Peng, K., et al. (2023). Amentoflavone mitigates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by suppressing cardiomyocyte pyroptosis and inflammation through inhibition of the STING/NLRP3 signalling pathway. *Phytomedicine* 117, 154922. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2023.154922

Fetoni, A. R., Paciello, F., Mezzogori, D., Rolesi, R., Eramo, S. L. M., Paludetti, G., et al. (2015). Molecular targets for anticancer redox chemotherapy and cisplatin-induced ototoxicity: the role of curcumin on pSTAT3 and Nrf-2 signalling. *Br. J. Cancer* 113, 1434–1444. doi:10.1038/bjc.2015.359

Fishel, R. (2015). Mismatch repair. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 26395-26403. doi:10.1074/jbc. R115.660142

Frikha, I., Fakhfakh, Y., Sahnoun, R., Chtourou, L., Medhaffar, M., and Elloumi, M. (2023). Imatinib mesylate-induced acute hepatotoxicity. *J. Oncol. Pharm. Pract.* 29, 2027–2030. doi:10.1177/10781552231188307

Ganai, S. A., Sheikh, F. A., Baba, Z. A., Mir, M. A., Mantoo, M. A., and Yatoo, M. A. (2021). Anticancer activity of the plant flavonoid luteolin against preclinical models of various cancers and insights on different signalling mechanisms modulated. *Phytotherapy Res.* 35, 3509–3532. doi:10.1002/ptr.7044

Ghosh, R., Bryant, D. L., and Farone, A. L. (2020). *Panax quinquefolius* (north American ginseng) polysaccharides as immunomodulators: current research status and future directions. *Molecules* 25, 5854. doi:10.3390/molecules25245854

Goldstein, M., and Kastan, M. B. (2015). The DNA damage response: implications for tumor responses to radiation and chemotherapy. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 66, 129–143. doi:10. 1146/annurev-med-081313-121208

Goldstein, M., Roos, W. P., and Kaina, B. (2008). Apoptotic death induced by the cyclophosphamide analogue mafosfamide in human lymphoblastoid cells: contribution

of DNA replication, transcription inhibition and Chk/p53 signaling. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 229, 20–32. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2008.01.001

Goodman, M. F., and Woodgate, R. (2013). Translesion DNA polymerases. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 5, a010363. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a010363

Gordon, P., LeGrand, S. B., and Walsh, D. (2014). Nausea and vomiting in advanced cancer. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 722, 187–191. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.10.010

Goudarzi, M., Kalantar, M., Sadeghi, E., Karamallah, M. H., and Kalantar, H. (2021). Protective effects of apigenin on altered lipid peroxidation, inflammation, and antioxidant factors in methotrexate-induced hepatotoxicity. *Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Archives Pharmacol.* 394, 523–531. doi:10.1007/s00210-020-01991-2

Grundy, G. J., and Parsons, J. L. (2020). Base excision repair and its implications to cancer therapy. *Essays Biochem.* 64, 831–843. doi:10.1042/EBC20200013

Hamdy, N. M., Eskander, G., and Basalious, E. B. (2022). Insights on the dynamic innovative tumor targeted-nanoparticles-based drug delivery systems activation techniques. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 17, 6131–6155. doi:10.2147/ijn.S386037

Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144, 646–674. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

Hazlitt, R. A., Min, J., and Zuo, J. (2018). Progress in the development of preventative drugs for cisplatin-induced hearing loss. *J. Med. Chem.* 61, 5512–5524. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.7b01653

Hoeijmakers, J. H. J. (2001). Genome maintenance mechanisms for preventing cancer. *Nature* 411, 366–374. doi:10.1038/35077232

Hossain, M. B., and Haldar Neer, A. H. (2023). Chemotherapy. *Cancer Treat. Res.* 185, 49–58. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-27156-4_3

Hu, C., Zhang, X., Wei, W., Zhang, N., Wu, H., Ma, Z., et al. (2019). Matrine attenuates oxidative stress and cardiomyocyte apoptosis in doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity *via* maintaining AMPKa/UCP2 pathway. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* 9, 690–701. doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2019.03.003

Hu, Y., Yang, C., Amorim, T., Maqbool, M., Lin, J., Li, C., et al. (2021). Cisplatinmediated upregulation of APE2 binding to MYH9 provokes mitochondrial fragmentation and acute kidney injury. *Cancer Res.* 81, 713–723. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-20-1010

Huang, R., and Zhou, P.-K. (2021). DNA damage repair: historical perspectives, mechanistic pathways and clinical translation for targeted cancer therapy. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 6, 254. doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00648-7

Huennekens, F. M. (1994). The methotrexate story - a paradigm for development of cancer chemotherapeutic-agents. *Adv. Enzyme Regul.* 34, 397–419. doi:10.1016/0065-2571(94)90025-6

Jin, M. H., and Oha, D.-Y. (2019). ATM in DNA repair in cancer. Pharmacol. and Ther. 203, 107391. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2019.07.002

Jiricny, J. (2006). The multifaceted mismatch-repair system. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 335–346. doi:10.1038/nrm1907

Jongen, J. L. M., Broijl, A., and Sonneveld, P. (2015). Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathies in hematological malignancies. *J. Neuro-Oncology* 121, 229–237. doi:10.1007/s11060-014-1632-x

Kara, M., Turkon, H., Karaca, T., Guclu, O., Uysal, S., Turkyilmaz, M., et al. (2016). Evaluation of the protective effects of hesperetin against cisplatin-induced ototoxicity in a rat animal model. *Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngology* 85, 12–18. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl. 2016.03.019

Katsuya, H., and Tamura, K. (2015). Side effects of chemotherapy. *Nihon rinsho. Jpn. J. Clin. Med.* 73 (Suppl. 2), 39–44.

Kawai, Y., Nakao, T., Kunimura, N., Kohda, Y., and Gemba, M. (2006). Relationship of intracellular calcium and oxygen radicals to cisplatin-related renal cell injury. *J. Pharmacol. Sci.* 100, 65–72. doi:10.1254/jphs.FP0050661

Kciuk, M., Gielecinska, A., Mujwar, S., Kolat, D., Kaluzinska-Kolat, Z., Celik, I., et al. (2023). Doxorubicin-an agent with multiple mechanisms of anticancer activity. *Cells* 12, 659. doi:10.3390/cells12040659

Kee, Y., and D'Andrea, A. D. (2010). Expanded roles of the Fanconi anemia pathway in preserving genomic stability. *Genes and Dev.* 24, 1680–1694. doi:10.1101/gad. 1955310

Kim, G., Ison, G., McKee, A. E., Zhang, H., Tang, S., Gwise, T., et al. (2015). FDA approval summary: olaparib monotherapy in patients with deleterious germline *BRCA*-mutated advanced ovarian cancer treated with three or more lines of chemotherapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 21, 4257–4261. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-15-0887

Kim, H.-J., Lee, J.-H., Kim, S.-J., Oh, G. S., Moon, H.-D., Kwon, K.-B., et al. (2010). Roles of NADPH oxidases in cisplatin-induced reactive oxygen species generation and ototoxicity. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 3933–3946. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6054-09.2010

Kim, Y.-J., and Wilson, D. M. (2012). Overview of base excision repair biochemistry. *Curr. Mol. Pharmacol.* 5, 3–13. doi:10.2174/1874467211205010003

Ko, M.-H., Song, S.-Y., Ha, S.-J., Lee, J. Y., Yoon, S. W., Park, J.-H., et al. (2021). Efficacy and safety of yukgunja-tang for patients with cancer-related anorexia: a randomized, controlled trial, pilot study. *Integr. cancer Ther.* 20, 15347354211019107. doi:10.1177/15347354211019107

Krueger, K., Thomale, J., Stojanovic, N., Osmak, M., Henninger, C., Bormann, S., et al. (2015). Platinum-induced kidney damage: unraveling the DNA damage response (DDR) of renal tubular epithelial and glomerular endothelial cells following platinum injury. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta-Molecular Cell Res.* 1853, 685–698. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2014.12.033

Kuper, J., and Kisker, C. (2023). At the core of nucleotide excision repair. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 80, 102605. doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2023.102605

Kutuzov, M. M., Belousova, E. A., Ilina, E. S., and Lavrik, O. I. (2020). "Impact of PARP1, PARP2 and PARP3 on the base excision repair of nucleosomal DNA," in *Mechanisms of genome protection and repair* (Springer), 47–57.

Lefebvre, J., and Glezerman, I. G. (2017). Kidney toxicities associated with novel cancer therapies. *Adv. Chronic Kidney Dis.* 24, 233–240. doi:10.1053/j.ackd.2017.05.006

Li, B., Shao, H., Gao, L., Li, H., Sheng, H., and Zhu, L. (2022). Nano-drug co-delivery system of natural active ingredients and chemotherapy drugs for cancer treatment: a review. *Drug Deliv.* 29, 2130–2161. doi:10.1080/10717544.2022.2094498

Li, H., Liu, Z.-Y., Wu, N., Chen, Y.-C., Cheng, Q., and Wang, J. (2020). PARP inhibitor resistance: the underlying mechanisms and clinical implications. *Mol. Cancer* 19, 107. doi:10.1186/s12943-020-01227-0

Li, W., Yan, M.-H., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Wang, Z., Chen, C., et al. (2016). Ginsenoside Rg5 ameliorates cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in mice through inhibition of inflammation, oxidative stress, and apoptosis. *Nutrients* 8, 566. doi:10.3390/nu8090566

Lin, J., Fang, L., Li, H., Li, Z., Lyu, L., Wang, H., et al. (2019). Astragaloside IV alleviates doxorubicin induced cardiomyopathy by inhibiting NADPH oxidase derived oxidative stress. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 859, 172490. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172490

Lindahl, T. (1993). Instability and decay of the primary structure of DNA. *Nature* 362 (6422), 709–15. doi:10.1038/362709a0

Liu, G., Zhang, J., Sun, F., Ma, J., and Qi, X. (2022). Ginsenoside Rg2 attenuated trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in rats. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2022, 8866660. doi:10. 1155/2022/8866660

Liu, Y.-Q., Li, W.-Q., Morris-Natschke, S. L., Qian, K., Yang, L., Zhu, G.-X., et al. (2015). Perspectives on biologically active camptothecin derivatives. *Med. Res. Rev.* 35, 753–789. doi:10.1002/med.21342

Liu, Y.-Q., Wang, X.-L., He, D.-H., and Cheng, Y.-X. (2021). Protection against chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced side effects: a review based on the mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities of phytochemicals. *Phytomedicine* 80, 153402. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2020.153402

Loprinzi, C. L., Lacchetti, C., Bleeker, J., Cavaletti, G., Chauhan, C., Hertz, D. L., et al. (2020). Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: ASCO guideline update. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 38, 3325–3348. doi:10.1200/jco.20.01399

Luis Zamorano, J., Lancellotti, P., Rodriguez Munoz, D., Aboyans, V., Asteggiano, R., Galderisi, M., et al. (2017). 2016 ESC Position Paper on cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines the Task Force for cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 19, 9–42. doi:10.1002/eihf.654

Madeddu, C., Deidda, M., Piras, A., Cadeddu, C., Demurtas, L., Puzzoni, M., et al. (2016). Pathophysiology of cardiotoxicity induced by nonanthracycline chemotherapy. *J. Cardiovasc. Med.* 17, S12–S18. doi:10.2459/jcm.0000000000376

Marcus, L., Fashoyin-Aje, L. A., Donoghue, M., Yuan, M., Rodriguez, L., Gallagher, P. S., et al. (2021). FDA approval summary: pembrolizumab for the treatment of tumor mutational burden-high solid tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 27, 4685–4689. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-0327

Marteijn, J. A., Lans, H., Vermeulen, W., and Hoeijmakers, J. H. J. (2014). Understanding nucleotide excision repair and its roles in cancer and ageing. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 15, 465–481. doi:10.1038/nrm3822

McCabe, K. M., Olson, S. B., and Moses, R. E. (2009). DNA interstrand crosslink repair in mammalian cells. J. Cell. Physiology 220, 569-573. doi:10.1002/jcp.21811

McGowan, J. V., Chung, R., Maulik, A., Piotrowska, I., Walker, J. M., and Yellon, D. M. (2017). Anthracycline chemotherapy and cardiotoxicity. *Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther.* 31, 63–75. doi:10.1007/s10557-016-6711-0

Mendonca, L. M., Machado, C. d. S., Correia Teixeira, C. C., Pedro de Freita, L. A., Pires Bianchi, M. d. L., and Greggi Antunes, L. M. (2013). Curcumin reduces cisplatininduced neurotoxicity in NGF-differentiated PC12 cells. *Neurotoxicology* 34, 205–211. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2012.09.011

Mittal, K., Koon, H., Elson, P., Triozzi, P., Dowlati, A., Chen, H., et al. (2014). Dual VEGFR inhibition in advanced solid malignancies Clinical effects and pharmacodynamic biomarkers. *Cancer Biol. and Ther.* 15, 975–981. doi:10.4161/cbt. 29187

Modrich, P., and Lahue, R. (1996). Mismatch repair in replication fidelity, genetic recombination, and cancer biology. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 65, 101–133. doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.65.070196.000533

Mohiuddin, I. S., and Kang, M. H. (2019). DNA-PK as an emerging therapeutic target in cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 635. doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.00635 Morsy, M. A., Abdel-Latif, R., Hafez, S. M. N. A., Kandeel, M., and Abdel-Gaber, S. A. (2022). Paeonol protects against methotrexate hepatotoxicity by repressing oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis-the role of drug efflux transporters. *Pharmaceuticals* 15, 1296. doi:10.3390/ph15101296

Motyka, S., Jafernik, K., Ekiert, H., Sharifi-Rad, J., Calina, D., Al-Omari, B., et al. (2023). Podophyllotoxin and its derivatives: potential anticancer agents of natural origin in cancer chemotherapy. *Biomed. and Pharmacother.* 158, 114145. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2022.114145

Moynahan, M. E., and Jasin, M. (2010). Mitotic homologous recombination maintains genomic stability and suppresses tumorigenesis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 11, 196–207. doi:10.1038/nrm2851

Munster, P., Mita, M., Mahipal, A., Nemunaitis, J., Massard, C., Mikkelsen, T., et al. (2019). First-in-human phase I study of A dual mTOR kinase and DNA-PK inhibitor (CC-115) in advanced malignancy. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 11, 10463–10476. doi:10.2147/ cmar.S208720

Najafi, M., Tavakol, S., Zarrabi, A., and Ashrafizadeh, M. (2022). Dual role of quercetin in enhancing the efficacy of cisplatin in chemotherapy and protection against its side effects: a review. *Archives Physiology Biochem.* 128, 1438–1452. doi:10.1080/13813455.2020.1773864

Oh, G.-S., Kim, H.-J., Choi, J.-H., Shen, A., Kim, C.-H., Kim, S.-J., et al. (2011). Activation of lipopolysaccharide-TLR4 signaling accelerates the ototoxic potential of cisplatin in mice. *J. Immunol.* 186, 1140–1150. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1002183

Owumi, S. E., Lewu, D. O., Arunsi, U. O., and Oyelere, A. K. (2021). Luteolin attenuates doxorubicin-induced derangements of liver and kidney by reducing oxidative and inflammatory stress to suppress apoptosis. *Hum. and Exp. Toxicol.* 40, 1656–1672. doi:10.1177/09603271211006171

Paciello, F., Fetoni, A. R., Mezzogori, D., Rolesi, R., Di Pino, A., Paludetti, G., et al. (2020). Publisher Correction: the dual role of curcumin and ferulic acid in counteracting chemoresistance and cisplatin-induced ototoxicity. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 16468. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-70073-3

Pandit, B., and Royzen, M. (2022). Recent development of prodrugs of gemcitabine. *Genes* 13, 466. doi:10.3390/genes13030466

Park, Y. J., Kim, K. S., Park, J. H., Lee, S. H., Kim, H. R., Lee, S. H., et al. (2020). Protective effects of dendropanoxide isolated from *Dendropanax morbifera* against cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury via the AMPK/mTOR signaling pathway. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 145, 111605. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2020.111605

Peng, Y., and Pei, H. (2021). DNA alkylation lesion repair: outcomes and implications in cancer chemotherapy. *J. Zhejiang University-Science B* 22, 47–62. doi:10.1631/jzus. B2000344

Pepponi, R., Marra, G., Fuggetta, M. P., Falcinelli, S., Pagani, E., Bonmassar, E., et al. (2003). The effect of O-6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase and mismatch repair activities on the sensitivity of human melanoma cells to temozolomide, 1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1-nitrosourea, and cisplatin. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 304, 661–668. doi:10. 1124/jpet.102.043950

Pommier, Y. (2006). Topoisomerase I inhibitors: camptothecins and beyond. Nat. Rev. Cancer 6, 789-802. doi:10.1038/nrc1977

Puyo, S., Montaudon, D., and Pourquier, P. (2014). From old alkylating agents to new minor groove binders. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 89, 43–61. doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc. 2013.07.006

Qi, F., Zhao, L., Zhou, A., Zhang, B., Li, A., Wang, Z., et al. (2015). The advantages of using traditional Chinese medicine as an adjunctive therapy in the whole course of cancer treatment instead of only terminal stage of cancer. *Biosci. Trends* 9, 16–34. doi:10. 5582/bst.2015.01019

Qi, L., Luo, Q., Zhang, Y., Jia, F., Zhao, Y., and Wang, F. (2019). Advances in toxicological research of the anticancer drug cisplatin. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 32, 1469–1486. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.9b00204

Rajski, S. R., and Williams, R. M. (1998). DNA cross-linking agents as antitumor drugs. *Chem. Rev.* 98, 2723–2796. doi:10.1021/cr9800199

Rezaee, R., Momtazi, A. A., Monemi, A., and Sahebkar, A. (2017). Curcumin: a potentially powerful tool to reverse cisplatin-induced toxicity. *Pharmacol. Res.* 117, 218–227. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2016.12.037

Roca, J. (1995). The mechanisms of DNA topoisomerases. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 20, 156–160. doi:10.1016/s0968-0004(00)88993-8

Sahindokuyucu-Kocasari, F., Akyol, Y., Ozmen, O., Erdemli-Kose, S. B., and Garli, S. (2021). Apigenin alleviates methotrexate-induced liver and kidney injury in mice. *Hum. and Exp. Toxicol.* 40, 1721–1731. doi:10.1177/09603271211009964

Samodelov, S. L., Gai, Z., Kullak-Ublick, G. A., and Visentin, M. (2019). Renal reabsorption of folates: pharmacological and toxicological snapshots. *Nutrients* 11, 2353. doi:10.3390/nu11102353

Sanchez-Gonzalez, P. D., Lopez-Hernandez, F. J., Perez-Barriocanal, F., Morales, A. I., and Lopez-Novoa, J. M. (2011). Quercetin reduces cisplatin nephrotoxicity in rats without compromising its anti-tumour activity. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 26, 3484–3495. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfr195

Scully, R., Panday, A., Elango, R., and Willis, N. A. (2019). DNA double-strand break repair-pathway choice in somatic mammalian cells. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 698–714. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0152-0

Sedgwick, B., Bates, P. A., Paik, J., Jacobs, S. C., and Lindahl, T. (2007). Repair of alkylated DNA: recent advances. DNA Repair 6, 429-442. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2006.10.005

Sethy, C., and Kundu, C. N. (2021). 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) resistance and the new strategy to enhance the sensitivity against cancer: implication of DNA repair inhibition. *Biomed. and Pharmacother.* 137, 111285. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111285

Sheth, S., Mukherjea, D., Rybak, L. P., and Ramkumar, V. (2017). Mechanisms of cisplatin-induced ototoxicity and otoprotection. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 11, 338. doi:10. 3389/fncel.2017.00338

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., Wagle, N. S., and Jemal, A. (2023). Cancer statistics, 2023. *Ca-a Cancer J. Clin.* 73, 17–48. doi:10.3322/caac.21763

Sinha, B. K. (1995). Topoisomerase inhibitors - a review of their therapeutic potential in cancer. *Drugs* 49, 11–19. doi:10.2165/00003495-199549010-00002

Sioud, F., Ben Toumia, I., Lahmer, A., Khlifi, R., Dhaouefi, Z., Maatouk, M., et al. (2020). Methanolic extract of *Ephedra alata* ameliorates cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity through reducing oxidative stress and genotoxicity. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 27, 12792–12801. doi:10.1007/s11356-020-07904-3

Slade, D. (2020). PARP and PARG inhibitors in cancer treatment. *Genes and Dev.* 34, 360–394. doi:10.1101/gad.334516.119

Su, X.-L., Wang, J.-W., Che, H., Wang, C.-F., Jiang, H., Lei, X., et al. (2020). Clinical application and mechanism of traditional Chinese medicine in treatment of lung cancer. *Chin. Med. J.* 133, 2987–2997. doi:10.1097/cm9.00000000001141

Sun, Y., Zhang, Y., Xie, L., Rong, F., Zhu, X., Xie, J., et al. (2022). Progress in the treatment of drug-induced liver injury with natural products. *Pharmacol. Res.* 183, 106361. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2022.106361

Tang, C., Livingston, M. J., Safirstein, R., and Dong, Z. (2023). Cisplatin nephrotoxicity: new insights and therapeutic implications. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* 19, 53-72. doi:10.1038/s41581-022-00631-7

Thongnuanjan, P., Soodvilai, S., Fongsupa, S., Thipboonchoo, N., Chabang, N., Munyoo, B., et al. (2021). Panduratin A derivative protects against cisplatin-induced apoptosis of renal proximal tubular cells and kidney injury in mice. *Molecules* 26, 6642. doi:10.3390/molecules26216642

Tokuc, G. (2014). Relationship between cisplatin administration and the development of ototoxicity. *Pediatr. Blood and Cancer* 61, S312.

Torre, L. A., Siegel, R. L., Ward, E. M., and Jemal, A. (2016). Global cancer incidence and mortality rates and trends-an update. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers and Prev.* 25, 16–27. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.Epi-15-0578

Ui, A., Chiba, N., and Yasui, A. (2020). Relationship among DNA double-strand break (DSB), DSB repair, and transcription prevents genome instability and cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 111, 1443–1451. doi:10.1111/cas.14404

Vyas, S., and Chang, P. (2014). New PARP targets for cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 14, 502-509. doi:10.1038/nrc3748

Wang, J., Ladrech, S., Pujol, R., Brabet, P., Van De Water, T. R., and Puel, J. L. (2004). Caspase inhibitors, but not c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase inhibitor treatment, prevent cisplatininduced hearing loss. *Cancer Res.* 64, 9217–9224. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-04-1581

Ward, S. J., McAllister, S. D., Kawamura, R., Murase, R., Neelakantan, H., and Walker, E. A. (2014). Cannabidiol inhibits paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain through 5-HT_{1A} receptors without diminishing nervous system function or chemotherapy efficacy. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 171, 636–645. doi:10.1111/bph.12439

Wei, G., Wang, Y., Yang, G., Wang, Y., and Ju, R. (2021). Recent progress in nanomedicine for enhanced cancer chemotherapy. *Theranostics* 11, 6370–6392. doi:10. 7150/thno.57828

Wei, S., Ma, W., Jiang, C., Liu, J., Liu, J., Zhang, B., et al. (2023). Hyperoside prevents doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by inhibiting NOXs/ROS/NLRP3 inflammasome signaling pathway. *Phytotherapy Res.* 37, 4196–4209. doi:10.1002/ptr.7900

World Health Organization (2022). World Health organization cancer detail key facts. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer.

Wright, W. D., Shah, S. S., and Heyer, W.-D. (2018). Homologous recombination and the repair of DNA double-strand breaks. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 10524–10535. doi:10.1074/jbc.TM118.000372

Wu, B.-Y., Liu, C.-T., Chen, S.-Y., and Tsai, M.-Y. (2015). A case of chemotherapyinduced congestive heart failure successfully treated with Chinese herbal medicine. *Complementary Ther. Med.* 23, 251–256. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2015.01.006

Wu, Q., Chen, J., Zheng, X., Song, J., Yin, L., Guo, H., et al. (2023). Kaempferol attenuates doxorubicin-induced renal tubular injury by inhibiting ROS/ASK1-mediated activation of the MAPK signaling pathway. *Biomed. and Pharmacother*. 157, 114087. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2022.114087

Wu, Q., Li, W., Zhao, J., Sun, W., Yang, Q., Chen, C., et al. (2021). Apigenin ameliorates doxorubicin-induced renal injury via inhibition of oxidative stress and inflammation. *Biomed. and Pharmacother.* 137, 111308. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2021. 111308

Wu, X., Li, X., Song, Y., Li, H., Bai, X., Liu, W., et al. (2017). Allicin protects auditory hair cells and spiral ganglion neurons from cisplatin - induced apoptosis. *Neuropharmacology* 116, 429-440. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.01.001

Wyld, L., Audisio, R. A., and Poston, G. J. (2015). The evolution of cancer surgery and future perspectives. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 12, 115–124. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.191

Xiao, G., Tang, R., Yang, N., and Chen, Y. (2023). Review on pharmacological effects of gastrodin. Archives Pharmacal Res. 46, 744–770. doi:10.1007/s12272-023-01463-0

Xing, J., Hou, J., Ma, Z., Wang, Z., Ren, S., Wang, Y., et al. (2019). Ginsenoside Rb3 provides protective effects against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity via regulation of AMPK-/mTOR-mediated autophagy and inhibition of apoptosis *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cell Prolif.* 52, e12627. doi:10.1111/cpr.12627

Yang, C., Guo, Y., Huang, T., Zhao, J., Huang, X., Tang, H., et al. (2018). Asiatic acid protects against cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury *via* anti-apoptosis and antiinflammation. *Biomed. and Pharmacother.* 107, 1354–1362. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018. 08.126

Yang, Z., Ning, R., Liu, Q., Zang, R., Liu, S., and Sun, S. (2023). Umbelliferone attenuates cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury by inhibiting oxidative stress and inflammation via NRF2. *Physiol. Rep.* 11, e15879. doi:10.14814/phy2.15879

Yap, T. A., O'Carrigan, B., Penney, M. S., Lim, J. S., Brown, J. S., Luken, M. J. d. M., et al. (2020). Phase I trial of first-in-class ATR inhibitor M6620 (VX-970) as monotherapy or in combination with carboplatin in patients with advanced solid tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 38, 3195–3204. doi:10.1200/jco.19.02404

Yasar, H., Ersoy, A., Cimen, F. K., Mammadov, R., Kurt, N., and Arslan, Y. K. (2019). Peripheral neurotoxic effects of cisplatin on rats and treatment with rutin. *Adv. Clin. Exp. Med.* 28, 1537–1543. doi:10.17219/acem/111819

Yelamos, J., Moreno-Lama, L., Jimeno, J., and Ali, S. O. (2020). Immunomodulatory roles of PARP-1 and PARP-2: impact on PARP-centered cancer therapies. *Cancers* 12, 392. doi:10.3390/cancers12020392

You, F., and Gao, C. (2019). Topoisomerase inhibitors and targeted delivery in cancer therapy. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 19, 713–729. doi:10.2174/1568026619666190401112948

Yu, X., Man, R., Li, Y., Yang, Q., Li, H., Yang, H., et al. (2019). Paeoniflorin protects spiral ganglion neurons from cisplatin-induced ototoxicity: possible relation to PINK1/ BAD pathway. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 23, 5098–5107. doi:10.1111/jcmm.14379 Yu, X., Meng, X., Xu, M., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Ding, G., et al. (2018). Celastrol ameliorates cisplatin nephrotoxicity by inhibiting NF-kB and improving mitochondrial function. *Ebiomedicine* 36, 266–280. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.09.031

Yuan, H., Zhao, Y., Li, S., Qin, J., and Yu, X. (2023). Madecassoside ameliorates cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity by inhibiting activation of the mitogen activated protein kinase pathway. *Environ. Toxicol.* 38, 1473–1483. doi:10.1002/tox.23777

Zhang, L., Li, D., and Lin, L. (2019). Paeonol: pharmacological effects and mechanisms of action. Int. Immunopharmacol. 72, 413-421. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2019.04.033

Zhang, X., Qiu, H., Li, C., Cai, P., and Qi, F. (2021). The positive role of traditional Chinese medicine as an adjunctive therapy for cancer. *Biosci. Trends* 15, 283–298. doi:10.5582/bst.2021.01318

Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Ma, J.-L., Chen, C., Huang, P., Ji, J.-H., et al. (2022). Apocynum venetum leaf extract alleviated doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity through the AKT/ Bcl-2 signaling pathway. *Phytomedicine* 94, 153815. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2021.153815

Zhang, Y., Lou, Y., Wang, J., Yu, C., and Shen, W. (2021). Research status and molecular mechanism of the traditional Chinese medicine and antitumor therapy combined strategy based on tumor microenvironment. *Front. Immunol.* 11, 609705. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.609705

Zhang, Y., Qu, X., Gao, H., Zhai, J., Tao, L., Sun, J., et al. (2020). Quercetin attenuates NLRP3 inflammasome activation and apoptosis to protect INH-induced liver injury via regulating SIRT1 pathway. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 85, 106634. doi:10.1016/j.intimp. 2020.106634

Zhou, B., Yang, Y., Pang, X., Shi, J., Jiang, T., and Zheng, X. (2023). Quercetin inhibits DNA damage responses to induce apoptosis via SIRT5/PI3K/AKT pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. *Biomed. and Pharmacother.* 165, 115071. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115071

Zhou, M., Dai, Y., Ma, Y., Yan, Y., Hua, M., Gao, Q., et al. (2022). Protective effects of liquiritigenin against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity via NRF2/SIRT3-mediated improvement of mitochondrial function. *Molecules* 27, 3823. doi:10.3390/molecules27123823

Zhu, C., Ren, X., Liu, D., and Zhang, C. (2021). Oxaliplatin-induced hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome. *Toxicology* 460, 152882. doi:10.1016/j.tox.2021.152882

Glossary

ТСМ	traditional Chinese medicine	TNF-a	tumor necrosis factor
DDR	DNA damage repair	ROS	reactive oxygen species
BER	base excision repair	AA	Asiatic acid
SSBR	single strand break repair	UMB	Umbelliferone
NER	nucleotide excision repair	MA	Madecassoside
MMR	mismatch repair	DPx	Dendropanoxide
HRR	homologous recombination repair	G-Rb3	Ginsenoside Rb3
NHEJ	non-homologous end joining	G-Rb5	Ginsenoside Rg5
TLS	translesion synthesis	APG	Apigenin
FA	fanconi anemia	AST	aspartate aminotransferase
MGMT	methylguanine methyltransferase	ALT	alanine aminotransferase
DSB	DNA double-strand break	ME	methanolic extract
DNA-PKcs	DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit	EP	ephedrine
XRCC4	X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 4	Nar	Naringin
N7MeG, N3MeG	N7- and N3-methyl guanine	INH	isoniazid
N3MeA	N3-methyl adenine	NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
O6MeG	O6-methyl guanine	NOXs	NADPH oxidases
СРТ	camptothecin	UCP2	uncoupling protein 2
CPT-11	irinotecan	AVLE	Apocynum venetum L.
TPT	topotecan	GA	Glycyrrhetinic acid
НСРТ	hydroxycamptothecin	AMF	Amentoflavone
VP-16	etoposide	Pae	Paeonol
VM-26	teniposide	AS-IV	Astragaloside IV
DOX	doxorubicin	CIPN	chemotherapy-induced peripheral neurotoxicity
THP	pirarubicin	DRG	dorsal root ganglion
5-FU	5-fluorouracil	SGN	spiral ganglion neuron
MTX	methotrexate	CRF	cancer-related fatigue
ICL	inter-strand crosslinking	ALL	acute lymphoblastic leukemia
PARPi	PARP inhibitors		
FDA	Food and Drug Administration		
ATM	ataxia-telangiectasia mutated		
ATR	ataxia-telangiectasia and Rad3-related		
MRN	complex MRE11/RAD50/NBS1 complex		
PIKK	PI3K-like kinase		
PRKDC	DNA-activated protein kinase catalytic subunit peptide		
AKI	acute kidney injury		
APE2	apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 2		
MTX	antifolate-metabolizing drugs		
NF-ĸB	nuclear factor-ĸB		
IL-1β	interleukin-1β		

interleukin-6

interleukin-8

IL-6

IL-8