#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Raghuveera Kumar Goel, Boston University, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

Fatemeh Saheb Sharif-Askari, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates Liang Guo, Bristol Myers Squibb, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jorge Berlanga-Acosta, [jorge.berlanga@cigb.edu.cu](mailto:jorge.berlanga@cigb.edu.cu)

RECEIVED 16 March 2024 ACCEPTED 06 May 2024 PUBLISHED 30 May 2024

#### CITATION

Berlanga-Acosta J, Cibrian D, Valiente-Mustelier J, Suárez-Alba J, García-Ojalvo A, Falcón-Cama V, Jiang B, Wang L and Guillén-Nieto G (2024), Growth hormone releasing peptide-6 (GHRP-6) prevents doxorubicin-induced myocardial and extra-myocardial damages by activating prosurvival mechanisms. Front. Pharmacol. 15:1402138. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Berlanga-Acosta, Cibrian, Valiente-Mustelier, Suárez-Alba, García-Ojalvo, Falcón-Cama, Jiang, Wang and Guillén-Nieto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Growth hormone releasing](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138/full) [peptide-6 \(GHRP-6\) prevents](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138/full) [doxorubicin-induced myocardial](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138/full) [and extra-myocardial damages by](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138/full) [activating prosurvival](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138/full) [mechanisms](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1402138/full)

Jorge Berlanga-Acosta <sup>1\*</sup>, Danay Cibrian <sup>1</sup>, Juan Valiente-Mustelier <sup>1</sup>, José Suárez-Alba <sup>1</sup>, Ariana García-Ojalvo ® <sup>1</sup>, Viviana Falcón-Cama ® <sup>1</sup>, Baohong Jiang  $\bullet$  <sup>3</sup>, Linlin Wang  $\bullet$  <sup>3</sup> and Gerardo Guillén-Nieto <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Playa, Cuba, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Cardiology and Cardiovascular Surgery, Havana, Cuba, <sup>3</sup>Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China

Introduction: Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a fatal myocardial condition with ventricular structural changes and functional deficits, leading to systolic dysfunction and heart failure (HF). DCM is a frequent complication in oncologic patients receiving Doxorubicin (Dox). Dox is a highly cardiotoxic drug, whereas its damaging spectrum affects most of the organs by multiple pathogenic cascades. Experimentally reproduced DCM/HF through Dox administrations has shed light on the pathogenic drivers of cardiotoxicity. Growth hormone (GH) releasing peptide 6 (GHRP-6) is a GH secretagogue with expanding and promising cardioprotective pharmacological properties. Here we examined whether GHRP-6 administration concomitant to Dox prevented the onset of DCM/HF and multiple organs damages in otherwise healthy rats.

Methods: Myocardial changes were sequentially evaluated by transthoracic echocardiography. Autopsy was conducted at the end of the administration period when ventricular dilation was established. Semiquantitative histopathologic study included heart and other internal organs samples. Myocardial tissue fragments were also addressed for electron microscopy study, and characterization of the transcriptional expression ratio between Bcl-2 and Bax. Serum samples were destined for REDOX system balance assessment.

Results and discussion: GHRP-6 administration in parallel to Dox prevented myocardial fibers consumption and ventricular dilation, accounting for an effective preservation of the LV systolic function. GHRP-6 also attenuated extracardiac toxicity preserving epithelial organs integrity, inhibiting interstitial fibrosis, and ultimately reducing morbidity and mortality. Mechanistically, GHRP-6 proved to sustain cellular antioxidant defense, upregulate prosurvival gene Bcl-2, and preserve cardiomyocyte mitochondrial integrity. These evidences contribute to pave potential avenues for the clinical use of GHRP-6 in Dox-treated subjects.

KEYWORDS

doxorubicin, dilated cardiomyopathy, GHRP-6, heart failure, ventricular dilation

### Introduction

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a group of heterogeneous myocardial diseases, with structural and functional disorders defined by left ventricular (LV) or biventricular dilation, along with systolic dysfunction with abnormal left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) ([Schultheiss et al., 2019](#page-14-0)). DCM pathophysiological changes include a decrease in stroke volume and cardiac output, impaired ventricular filling and an increase in end-diastolic pressure. Diastolic function is also impaired accounting for a reduction in myocardial relaxation, and consequently a poor ventricular filling ([Riehle and Bauersachs,](#page-14-1) [2019;](#page-14-1) [Schultheiss et al., 2019](#page-14-0)). This is a common and highly prevalent condition leading to heart failure (HF) [\(Hershberger](#page-14-2) [et al., 2013](#page-14-2)). Despite the remarkable progress in HF control therapies over recent decades, DCM mortality rates are high, remaining as one of the leading causes of heart transplantation ([Benjamin et al., 2018;](#page-13-0) [Ferreira et al., 2023\)](#page-13-1).

Genetic mutations are reported to account for 35% of DCM cases, whereas other lifetime acquired causes include viral myocarditis, toxins, endocrine-metabolic disturbances, and exposure to chemotherapy drugs in cancer-affected patients ([Imanaka-Yoshida, 2020](#page-14-3)). Doxorubicin (Dox) is a chemotherapeutic anthracycline with proved efficacy against different cancer types but with remarkable cardiotoxicity. DCM and progressive HF are frequently-registered adversities in Doxtreated patients ([Robert Li et al., 2023](#page-14-4)). Hence, different cardiovascular-damage drivers have been attributed to Dox, including myocardial cells DNA damage, interstitial inflammation, oxidative stress cytotoxicity, cardiomyocytes apoptosis, mitochondrial damages, and dysregulation of autophagy [\(Wang T-H. et al., 2023](#page-15-0)). Dox toxicity is not solely restricted to myocardial tissue; its cytotoxic effects impact a broad constellation of epithelial, mesenchymal, and nervous cells, affecting most organ systems [\(Alhowail et al., 2019;](#page-13-2) [Prathumsap et al., 2020\)](#page-14-5). Dox at different doses and treatment regimens has been for years a valuable tool for the experimental reproduction of DCM/HF, which has contributed to elucidate molecular pathogenic determinants of its underlying cardiotoxicity ([Hullin et al., 2018;](#page-14-6) [Lother et al., 2018;](#page-14-7) [Zhu et al., 2019](#page-15-1)).

Growth hormone (GH) releasing peptide 6 (GHRP-6) (His-DTrp-Ala-Trp-DPhe-Lys-NH2) is a small molecular weight peptide integrated into the GHRP family, which has progressively broadened its pharmacological spectrum from a GH-secretagogue to a promising cardioprotective agent [\(Berlanga-Acosta et al., 2017\)](#page-13-3). This agent is a ghrelin analog that binds and activates the GH secretagogue receptor 1a (GHSR1a) ([Xiao et al., 2020](#page-15-2)), whereas it also binds to the ectodomain of CD36 receptor ([Demers et al., 2004\)](#page-13-4).

GHRP-6 has proved to prevent and attenuate cardiac cell death and LV failure in a variety of experimental scenarios ([Lucchesi, 2004;](#page-14-8) [Xu et al., 2005](#page-15-3); [Berlanga-Acosta et al., 2016;](#page-13-5) [Berlanga-Acosta et al.,](#page-13-3) [2017\)](#page-13-3). Furthermore, we have also identified GHRP-6 anti-fibrotic properties which may contribute to mitigate the systemic complications of Dox administration ([Berlanga-Acosta et al.,](#page-13-6) [2012;](#page-13-6) [Mendoza et al., 2016](#page-14-9); [Fernandez-Mayola et al., 2018\)](#page-13-7). Beyond its ability to enhance the survival of a diversity of cells before an otherwise lethal stress, GHRP-6 and other mimetic ligands to the GHSR1a and CD36 receptors, play an agonistic effect on the GH/IGF-1 axis promoting a systemic anabolic response, and counterbalancing catabolism and sarcopenia ([Giorgioni et al., 2022\)](#page-13-8).

Here we describe that: [\(Schultheiss et al., 2019](#page-14-0)): GHRP-6 administration concomitant to Dox challenge prevented the onset of DCM/HF, [\(Riehle and Bauersachs, 2019\)](#page-14-1), GHRP-6 significantly reduced animals' morbidity and mortality, attenuated epithelial damages in a multi-organs spectrum, and inhibited Dox-related parenchymal fibrotic induration, ([Hershberger et al., 2013\)](#page-14-2), GHRP-6 triggered multiple defense mechanisms, involving oxidative stress reduction and activation of detoxifying enzymes, enhancement of prosurvival gene expression, and cardiomyocytes mitochondrial structural preservation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration on the GHRP-6 cardio and systemic protective and anti-fibrotic effects, in the scenario of Dox-related toxicity.

# Materials and methods

#### Animals and ethics

Male Wistar rats with 200–250 g body weight and 9–10 weeks of age were used for the study. Rats were purchased from the National Center for Laboratory Animals Breeding (CENPALAB) and housed in a certified room of the animal facility at the Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology (CIGB). Three animals per cage were allocated under controlled environmental conditions. Rats were allowed free access to food and water. Animals' manipulation, care, and investigational procedures were declared in the experimental protocols and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Welfare Committee of CIGB. Orbital blood samples were obtained under ether anesthesia. Animals were terminated by anesthesia overdose (250 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital).

#### Reagents, treatments, and DCM induction

Medical grade, commercially injectable solution doxorubicin hydrochloride (Dox) was acquired from Lemery SA pharmaceutical company (Mexico) at a concentration of 2 mg/ mL. DCM/HF pathological model was induced through the intraperitoneal administration of Dox at 2 mg/kg twice a week



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Schematic representation of the experimental induction of DCM/HF in rats by intraperitoneal administration of Dox, and concomitant administration of GHRP-6.

#### <span id="page-2-1"></span>TABLE 1 Histological examination and damage scoring parameters.



(Monday and Friday), for 52 days as described [\(Hayward and](#page-14-10) [Hydock, 2007](#page-14-10)) ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)). The hexapeptide GHRP-6 (His-d-Trp-Ala-Trp-d-Phe-Lys-NH2) was purchased from BCN Peptides (Barcelona, Spain). Fresh preparations were obtained by diluting the peptide in sterile normal saline solution to a final concentration of 400 μg/mL. Solutions were freshly prepared, conserved at 4°C and protected from light. GHRP-6 was intraperitoneally administered at a dose of 400 μg/kg.

### Experimental protocols and study groups

The hypothesis for this study was that GHRP-6 concomitant administration to Dox treatment may attenuate myocardial structural and functional damages, and consequently, prevent the onset of DCM/HF. A total of 36 animals were used, distributed in three experimental groups with 12 rats each: (I)—A group of healthy not treated, sentinel animals was used to obtain echocardiographic and biochemical reference values at the end of the intoxication period. This is relevant considering that rats' somatic maturation was still in progress during the study period ([Cossio-Bolanos et al.,](#page-13-9) [2013\)](#page-13-9). (II)- Animals receiving GHRP-6 twice a day at a dose of 400 μg/kg concomitant to Dox. (III)- Animals receiving normal saline solution concomitant to Dox. Following to experimental groups formation and prior to Dox-administration regimen, all the rats were echocardiographically studied in order to obtain baseline physiologic parameters. Subsequent serial echocardiographic evaluations were done during the Dox administration process on the following points: 14, 24, 37, and 52 days [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)). For the later point, the rats had a Dox cumulative dose of 30 mg/kg and echocardiographic recordings indicated a clear LV deformity and functional failure, as described in previous studies ([To et al., 2003](#page-15-4)). As mentioned, treatments with Dox and GHRP-6 were intraperitoneally administered until day 52 (see [Figure 1](#page-2-0) for experimental sequence).

### Echocardiographic parameters

Prior to each echocardiography recording, animals were lightly sedated as recommended ([Stein et al., 2007](#page-14-11)) with an intraperitoneal administration of ketamine (50 mg/kg) + xylazine (5 mg/kg), and placed in supine position in a stable horizontal plane. Once the animals were sedated and stabilized, transthoracic echocardiography recordings were carried out with a Sonos 5,500 equipment, coupled to a linear transducer of 11–15 MHz (Philips, United States). The M-mode structural parameters studied were: LV diastolic diameter (LVDd), LV systolic diameter (LVSd), inter-ventricular septum thickness in systole (IVSs), and LV posterior wall thickness in systole (LVWs). LVEF was considered the main functional parameter as previously indicated ([Iwase](#page-14-12) [et al., 2004](#page-14-12)).



#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>TABLE 2 Primer sequences and amplification conditions.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>TABLE 3 Body weight of the animals and relative organs weight index.

<b>Groups</b>	Body weight (g)	W.I. Heart	W.I. Lungs	W.I. Liver
Healthy sentinel	$417.2 \pm 27.2$ (a)	$0.28 \pm 0.03$ (b)	$0.41 \pm 0.07$ (b)	$3.54 \pm 0.33$ (c)
Saline	$266.6 \pm 32.5$ (b)	$0.51 \pm 0.08$ (a)	$1.02 \pm 0.28$ (a)	$6.79 \pm 0.33$ (a)
GHRP-6	$295.5 \pm 45.1$ (b)	$0.33 \pm 0.05$ (b)	$0.62 \pm 0.16$ (b)	$4.96 \pm 0.51$ (b)

The values are represented as the mean ± SD, per experimental group. The statistical analysis was made using an ANOVA, followed by the Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test. For each parameter, different letters (a, b or c) indicate statistically significant differences among the experimental groups, while equal letters indicate not significant differences, p < 0.05. W.I.: relative weight index.

### Histopathologic evaluations

Animals were euthanized by anesthesia overdose at the end of the administration period. Alternatively, the rats that along the study evolved to a terminal irreversible clinical condition were sacrificed to ensure a proper autopsy and samples collection. Accordingly, these cases were included in the mortality record. Autopsy study was conducted following an internal protocol based on standard techniques ([Scudamore et al., 2014\)](#page-14-13). During the autopsies, gross pathological changes were described and recorded. Heart, lungs, and liver weights were used to calculate the relative organs weight indexes as described: Relative organ weight = [organ weight/body weight]×100 [\(Mossa et al., 2015\)](#page-14-14). Representative fragments from apparently normal organs were also harvested. Hearts were sliced in four sagittal sections from apex to base as described [\(Li et al., 2019\)](#page-14-15). Organs fragments and heart slices were 10% buffered formalin fixed and processed for paraffin embedding. Semi-thin sections  $(2-3 \mu m)$ were serially generated for subsequent hematoxylin/eosin staining. Semi-quantitative histological analyses of the heart, bronchial mucosa, kidneys, and liver passive congestion ([Table 1](#page-2-1)) were conducted according to previous descriptions ([Chen et al., 2016;](#page-13-10) [Afsar et al., 2017\)](#page-13-11). Histological images were obtained using an Olympus BX-53 light microscope (Olympus America Inc., United States) whereas the histological evaluations were independently and blindly performed by specialized researchers (JBA, DCV, JSA).

#### Electron microscopy study

Specimens were fixed in 3.2% glutaraldehyde and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, at 4°C and for 60 min, washed with PBS (0.1 M; pH 7.2) and dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol at 4° C.

Inclusion was carried out as described ([Glauert and Lewis, 1999\)](#page-13-12). Ultrathin sections obtained with an ultramicrotome (NOVA, LKB, Germany), 400–500 Å thick; were placed on 400-hole copper-nickel grids, counterstained with saturated uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and subsequently examined with a JEOL JEM 2000 EX microscope (JEOL, Japan). A total of 20 photomicrographs at different magnifications of each sample collected were blindly analyzed by a qualified specialist (VFC). The presence of pathological changes in the ultrastructure of myofibrils, mitochondria, and intercellular junctions were qualitatively evaluated.

### Serum biochemical analysis

Blood was collected from the retro-orbital plexus once the animals were anesthetized. Blood was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4° C to obtained serum. Serum samples were aliquoted and kept at −80°C for subsequent analysis. The concentration of total hydroperoxides (THP), malondialdehyde (MDA), and the activity of the enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase were determined using an UV/visible spectrophotometer Ultrospec 2000 (Pharmacia Biotech, United States). The quantification of THP and MDA contents was made using commercial kits Bioxytech H2O2-560 and Bioxytech LPO-586 (OXIS International Inc., United States), respectively, following the manufacturer's instructions. Total SOD activity was evaluated by the classic method based on pyrogallol autoxidation as described [\(Rao et al., 2021\)](#page-14-16). We consider 1 U of SOD as the amount of enzyme inhibiting 50% of pyrogallol autoxidation reaction at 25° C. Catalase activity was determined following the decomposition of the H202 at 240 nm in 10-s intervals for 1 min [\(Ajamieh et al., 2002\)](#page-13-13). Alanine amino transferase (ALAT) serum levels were measured in an automatic analyzer (Hitachi 747, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions.



### <span id="page-4-0"></span>Gene expression study

In order to evaluate the expression ratio between Bcl-2 and Bax as representative of pro-survival and apoptogenic gene, respectively; LV tissue fragments were collected from five rats of saline and GHRP-6 groups during the autopsy process. Fragments were stored in liquid nitrogen until processing. Total RNA purification was performed by treatment with Tri-Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, United States), following the manufacturers' instructions. The quality and quantity of the purified RNA was estimated by determining the absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm, together with the visualization by electrophoresis of the two ribosomal RNA bands. The contaminating genomic DNA was removed by digestion with the enzyme DNaseI (Epicentre Technologie, United States), free of RNase activity, following the manufacturers' instructions. An amount of 1 μg of the RNA previously treated with DNaseI was used for the RT-PCR technique, using the commercial GeneAmp RNA PCR Core Kit (Applied Biosystems, United States), according to the manufacturers' specifications. The amplification of the genes of interest was carried out using the primers and temperatures specified below ([Table 2](#page-3-0)). β-actin gene expression was used to normalize the expression of the target genes. The bands obtained were detected by applying 1/10 or 1/5 of the volume of the amplification reaction in a 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The intensity of the bands was quantified using Kodak ID 3.6 software (Kodak, United States).

#### Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were made with GraphPad Prism (California, United States), version 8.0.2. The Goodness of Fit tests to the normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) were made for all data, as well as the homogeneity of variances (Bartlett test). Given that in all cases the assumptions of normal distribution and homogeneity of variances were fulfilled, we carried out comparisons between pairs of groups using the Student's t-test. For multiple comparisons, we used one-way or two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Newman Keuls, Sidak's or Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests. The results of survival were compared through the Logrank test. In all cases, the values of  $p < 0.05$  were interpreted as indicative of statistically significant differences.

### **Results**

### Clinical evolution and mortality

Having completed 3 weeks of Dox administration, animals began to show a cachectic process evolving to clinical deterioration. Rats from both GHRP-6 and saline groups showed no differences in body weight loss along the Dox administration period [\(Table 3](#page-3-1),  $p = 0.1943$ ), which significantly differed to the body weights recorded for the healthy sentinel rats ( $p < 0.0001$ ) on day 52.

Tendency to isolation, prostration, bristly hair, and dorsal hunched posture was observed in most of the animals exposed to Dox. Autopsies showed that GHRP-6 intervention significantly reduced the relative weights of the heart and lungs as compared to saline (all  $p < 0.05$ ). No statistical difference was detected in the relative weights of the heart and lungs from the GHRP-6 intervention, and those of the healthy sentinel animals [\(Table 3\)](#page-3-1). Most importantly, GHRP-6 intervention allowed for a significant survival percentage (84%) as compared to saline group (42%), [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)).

### GHRP-6 contributed to preserve ventricular morphology and physiology

The rats included in the study exhibited normal morphologic and functional baseline echocardiographic parameters. Average baseline LVEF recording was 92.78% ± 1.84%. The earliest myocardial morphological change in the saline group was detected on day 24th of the experiment, given by a significant increase ( $p = 0.0279$ ) in the LV systolic diameter, ([Figure 3A](#page-5-0)), and followed by a significantly larger LV diastolic diameter after 37 days of Dox administration ( $p < 0.0001$ ) ([Figure 3B](#page-5-0)). These impairments appeared concomitant to a significant thinning of the septum and the posterior wall in systole ( $p < 0.05$ ) ([Figures 3C, D](#page-5-0)) in relation to the baseline data. The myocardial structural deterioration translated in a significant reduction of the LVEF in the saline group when compared to its baseline values. Significant differences were also observed when the saline group LVEF was compared to the healthy sentinel and GHRP-6 groups. These alterations were progressively worse in correspondence with Dox cumulative dose. On day 52, saline group exhibited a decrease of about 30% in LVEF ([Figure 3E\)](#page-5-0). Concomitant GHRP-6 intervention prevented LV dilation regardless of the dose of Dox. Accordingly, no statistical differences in the diastolic and systolic diameters were detected in reference to its baseline data, and as compared to the healthy sentinel animals on day 52 ([Figures 3A, B](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, GHRP-6 treated group also



<span id="page-5-0"></span>mean  $\pm$  SD per experimental group. The baseline values correspond to pre-Dox administration phase. The statistical analyses were made using the unpaired Student's t-test. \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

showed septal systolic thickness and posterior wall thickness values, similar to those measured in the healthy sentinel group ([Figures 3C, D](#page-5-0)). Worth mentioning is that GHRP-6 group LVEF figures, remained within the normal range during the entire evaluation period as compared to the healthy sentinel group recordings ([Figure 3E](#page-5-0), all  $p > 0.05$ ).



#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>FIGURE 4

Myocardial histologic aspect of healthy and doxorubicin-treated rats. Microphotographs in the left column correspond to left ventricle. The right ventricle images are on the right column. (A,B) are representative images of left and right ventricle walls of animals from the healthy sentinel group where no evidence of pathologic changes were found. Tissue mass and fibers compactness are normal. (C,D) are images representing the major histopathologic damage brought about by Dox treatment in the saline group. It is evident the "loss-of-substance" by cardiomyocytes demise with evident wall thinning of both left and right ventricular walls. (E,F) are images representing the cardioprotective effect of GHRP-6. Myocardial cells demise and loss of ventricular tissue mass by Dox were evidently prevented by the concomitant intervention with the peptide. Dashed lines are introduced to facilitate the transmural view of ventricular walls. Autopsy collected samples and paraffin processing for semi-thin sections stained with H/E. For all, magnification is ×4. Scale bar is 200 µm.

### GHRP-6 intervention protected ventricular myofibrils from Dox toxicity

[Figures 4A, B](#page-6-0) correspond to panoramic images of both ventricles free walls of rats from the healthy sentinel group, in which no histopathological damages were detected. As expected, Dox treatment produced a group of myocardial abnormalities on the saline-treated rats that encompassed: myofibrils thinning, wall slimming ([Figures 4C, D\)](#page-6-0), fibers undulation, fractures, and focal loss

of eosin staining affinity. GHRP-6 administration however, exerted a "sparing effect" on the ventricular myofibrils against Dox-induced damages. Myocardial histopathological damages attributable to Dox were minimal, whereas no microscopic differences were detected between GHRP-6 rats and the intact sentinel animals [\(Figures 4E,](#page-6-0) [F](#page-6-0)). This microscopic cardioprotective effect was further supported by the quantitative morphometric analysis, attesting a significant reduction  $(p < 0.00019)$  in the percentage of damaged ventricular myofibrils (Saline:  $91.0 \pm 4.4$  vs. GHRP-6:  $42.2 \pm 8.6$ ).



#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>FIGURE 5

Histologic characterization of doxorubicin-induced extracardiac damages. (A–C) correspond to liver and lung parenchyma of saline group. (D–F) are representative of the effect of GHRP-6 treatment in these organs. (A) Liver section exhibiting passive congestion given by sinusoidal blood congestion (square). Magnification ×20. (B) is representative of Dox-associated lung damage, expressed by a dramatic alveolar walls thickening and mixed hypercellularity, including round inflammatory cells. Magnification ×20. (C) Shows the intense vessels congestion in lungs of control saline-treated rats. The arrows point to congested veins, and the square to a congested artery with a remarkable wall thickening. Note also the alveolar walls congestion and hypercellularity. Magnification ×10. (D) Image representative of a liver with no venular/sinusoidal congestion in animals treated with GHRP-6. Magnification ×20. (E) The image shows thin and normo-cellular alveolar walls with no collapse or reduction of the functional intra-alveolar space. Magnification ×20. (F) Image of a normal lung parenchyma with no vascular congestion nor infiltration of inflammatory cells in animals receiving GHRP-6. Magnification x10. (G) is a representative image of the fibrotic process triggered by the Dox treatment in the liver of saline control group. Black arrows (Continued )

#### FIGURE 5 (Continued)

indicate the long trajectory of a thick fibrotic cord that crosses around veins. Magnification ×10. (H) The image shows the effect of GHRP-6 in preventing liver perivascular fibrosis. The square remarks the presence of normal, no fibrotic matrix around a central vein. Magnification ×10. (I) is a high magnification (×40) microphotograph of a kidney tubular system of control saline animals showing massive nuclear pyknosis and cytoplasmic ballooning, all irreversible lethal changes. (J) The image shows that GHRP-6 intervention exerted a nephro-protective effect, preventing degenerative and lethal nuclear and cytoplasmic damages. Magnification ×40. All are semi-thin sections, H/E staining. Scale bar is 200 µm.



#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>TABLE 4 Quantification of Dox-induced damages in extra-cardiac organs.

Data are expressed as mean ± SD., Statistical analyses were performed using unpaired Student's t-test.

### GHRP-6 also protected epithelial extracardiac organs

The histological examination of the lungs and liver parenchyma of animals receiving Dox and saline solution revealed pathognomonic changes of congestive heart failure (CHF). Passive liver congestion; as lungs alveolar septal thickening, edema, hypercellularity, and venous congestion were largely prevalent in the saline group ([Figures 5A](#page-7-0)–[C](#page-7-0)). All these pathological findings were partially or absolutely prevented by the intervention with GHRP-6 [\(Figures 5D](#page-7-0)–[F](#page-7-0)). These histopathologic changes were substantiated by semiquantitative measurement scales as described in [Table 1.](#page-2-1) Liver congestion in saline group was classified as evident-tosevere versus less than mild congestion for the GHRP-6 group ([Table 4](#page-8-0)). In line with this, ALAT circulating levels were also significantly higher in the saline group than in rats receiving GHRP-6 ([Table 4](#page-8-0)). In addition to focal necrosis, perivascular fibrotic induration ([Figure 5G\)](#page-7-0) was another hepatic change attributable to Dox cumulative toxicity and evidenced in the saline group. Interestingly, no evidences of pathologic fibrotic induration were ever detected in the liver of rats receiving GHRP-6 ([Figure 5H\)](#page-7-0). Small intestine, specially jejunum and ileum walls were also impacted by Dox administration. Intestinal damages included scattered foci of transmural necrosis in saline group which were consistently prevented by GHRP-6 administration (not shown). Kidneys were affected by Dox toxicity. [Figure 5I](#page-7-0) illustrates tubular epithelial cells with cytoplasmic ballooning and nuclear pyknosis, which contrasts with the protective effect exerted by GHRP-6 treatment, in which most of the nuclei exhibit normal aspect ([Figure 5J\)](#page-7-0). The quantification of the tubular system damages in the saline group indicated the significant prevalence of lethal irreversible changes, as compared to the effect of GHRP-6. Similarly, the coagulative necrosis found in most microscopic fields of the bronchial epithelium in the saline group was broadly reduced by the GHRP-6 intervention [\(Table 4](#page-8-0)).

### GHRP-6 intervention ameliorated oxidative stress cytotoxicity

The profile of the serum redox markers studied is shown in [Figure 6.](#page-9-0) Dox administration provoked a progressive increase in the THP and MDA concentrations of the saline group ( $p <$ 0.05 in both cases) [\(Figures 6A, B](#page-9-0)). This increase was significantly higher than that produced in the GHRP-6 counterpart for both parameters on day 35 (THP:  $p = 0.0010$ ; MDA:  $p = 0.0442$ ) and day 52 ( $p < 0.0001$  and  $p = 0.0039$ , respectively). Catalase levels showed a biphasic behavior ([Figure 6C](#page-9-0)). During the first 14 days of Dox administration, the activity of this enzyme progressively increased in both saline ( $p = 0.0052$ ) and GHRP-6 ( $p < 0.0001$ ) groups with respect to baseline values. However, with increasing Dox cumulative levels, catalase activity became inhibited, which was far more pronounced in the saline rats than in those treated with GHRP-6 in both day 35 ( $p = 0.0022$ ) and day 52 ( $p = 0.0088$ ). Serum SOD activity became gradually depressed in correspondence with Dox administration time in saline group  $(p < 0.05$  for days 35 and 52). Nevertheless, concomitant GHRP-6 intervention positively impacted in SOD enzyme activity preservation, as compared to baseline values ( $p > 0.05$ ) and to saline group ([Figure 6D,](#page-9-0) day 35:  $p = 0.0462$ ; day 52:  $p = 0.0363$ ).

### GHRP-6 stimulated the expression of a survival gene

GHRP-6 concomitant intervention produced a significant increase in Bcl-2 myocardial expression with a simultaneous reduction of the pro-apoptotic Bax (for both  $p \leq 0.001$ , as compared to healthy sentinel rats, and definitely in relation to saline group. In the latter, Bax exhibited the largest expression level ( $p < 0.001$ ). The maximal value of Bcl2/Bax ratio calculated for the GHRP-6 group significantly exceeded those estimated for the sentinel and the saline groups ( $p < 0.05$ ) ([Figure 7\)](#page-10-0).



# <span id="page-9-0"></span>GHRP-6 treatment contributed to preserve

cardiomyocytes organelles

Dox administration caused cardiomyocytes sarcolemmal vacuolization, myofibrils fragmentation, and mitochondrial damages that included membranes dilation, matrix ballooning, and cristae fragmentation and disappearance. [Figure 8A](#page-10-1) shows the ultra-structural aspect of the myocardium of sentinel healthy animals. In contrast with this, [Figure 8B](#page-10-1) is representative of the damages observed in the saline group, consistent on cardiomyocytes fragmentation and mitochondrial matrix damages. GHRP-6 intervention accounted for a noticeable sarcolemmal and mitochondrial structural preservation ([Figure 8C\)](#page-10-1).

# **Discussion**

Dox has been known since late 1960s, and its use in the oncological armamentarium remains as a first-line drug for the treatment of a wide variety of cancers of epithelial and non-epithelial origin. Nonetheless, its short and long-term cardiotoxic effects are of foremost clinical significance ([Podyacheva and Toropova, 2022\)](#page-14-17). Myriad of molecules have been historically investigated with the expectation to neutralize specific or multiple targets within the pleomorphic cardiomyocyte damaging cascade [\(Dulf et al., 2023\)](#page-13-14). After all these efforts, dexrazoxane is the only currently approved treatment to prevent Dox-induced cardiotoxicity. In the meantime, cardioprotective prophylaxis strategies stand as an urgent requirement for cancer patients to prevent antineoplastic therapy disruption, and consequently reduce mortality [\(Wang et al., 2021\)](#page-15-5).

The accumulated Dox dose of 30 mg/kg as an inducer of severe, progressive, and chronic cardiotoxicity was adopted from previous experiences ([To et al., 2003\)](#page-15-4). Since our pilot experiments, this dose proved to trigger an outspoken morbid state of DCM/CHF, characterized by echocardiographic signs of ventricular dilation, walls thinning, and LV mechanical failure. The heart failure involved an increase in both systolic and diastolic volumes, and the wellknown distal complications as right side passive congestion with peritoneal, hepatic, and pulmonary expression. The fact that our echocardiographic, biochemical, and morphological findings are in



<span id="page-10-0"></span>FIGURE 7

Influence of GHRP-6 in apoptosis regulatory gene in healthy and doxorubicin-treated groups. (A) Bands obtained by RT-PCR technique. Results normalized to β-actin gene expression are shown in the graphs: (B) Bcl-2; (C) Bax; (D) The Bcl-2/Bax ratio representing the balance between pro-survival and pro-apoptosis gene expression levels in favor to Bcl-2 expression. Bars indicate the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 5) per group. For multiple statistical comparisons, a one-way ANOVA followed by the Newman Keuls multiple comparison test were used. Significant statistical differences among the three experimental groups ( $p < 0.05$ ) are denoted by different letters (a,b,c).



#### <span id="page-10-1"></span>FIGURE 8

Myocardial ultrastructural characterization in samples of healthy and doxorubicin-treated rats. (A) Healthy sentinel control. The normal ultrastructure of the cardiac muscle is shown with myofibrils (MF) and mitochondria (M) within normal limits. (B) Saline. Loss of continuity of the myofibrils (arrows) and electron-dense mitochondria with loss of their cristae. (C) GHRP-6. Cardiomyocytes (CM), myofibrils, and mitochondria with cristae within normal limits. Bar: 500 nm.

line with previous descriptions of the experimental system ([Shivakumar et al., 2012](#page-14-18); [Subburaman et al., 2014](#page-14-19); [Sandamali](#page-14-20) [et al., 2019](#page-14-20); [Khedre et al., 2021](#page-14-21)), support and validate the practical usefulness of our model. Consequently, we examined on this scenario the hypothesis that GHRP-6 in concomitant administration to Dox, may prophylactically attenuate the myocardial and multiorgans changes associated to DCM/HF, and to the anthracycline intrinsic toxicity. The GHRP-6 dose used in this study had already evidenced cardioprotective effects by reducing infarct size over 70% in a porcine model of acute myocardial infarction ([Berlanga et al., 2007\)](#page-13-15). As described, GHRP-6 administration in parallel to Dox prevented cardiomyocytes fibrils consumption and ventricular cavities dilation, which accounted for an effective preservation of the LV systolic activity. This GHRP-6 mediated preservation of the systolic function, likely contributed to prevent extracardiac expressions of HF, as hepatic venous congestion and alveolar septal flooding. Comprehensively speaking, GHRP-6 concomitant administration to Dox attenuated cardiac and extracardiac toxicity, reducing animals' morbidity and mortality. This intervention however did not show to avert animals' cachexia. Preceding findings indicated that GHSR1a agonistic stimulation increases appetite and improves body weight gain ([Yuan and Wang, 2020\)](#page-15-6).

A previous study had already shown that GHRP-6 (100 μg/kg/ day) administered during 4 weeks, prevented DCM in a completely different, non-toxic substrate, based in TO hamsters with an inborn deficiency in the myocardial δ-sarcoglycan ([Iwase et al., 2004\)](#page-14-12). In consonance with it, GHRP-2 (1 mg/kg), another synthetic GH secretagogue analog to GHRP-6, and ligand for GHS-R1a and CD36 ([Titterington et al., 2009\)](#page-15-7) also attenuated progressive LV remodeling and systolic dysfunction in the same TO hamster line ([Kato et al., 2010](#page-14-22)).

Two relevant effects were displayed by GHRP-6 intervention in the realm of Dox-derived toxicity: ([Schultheiss et al., 2019\)](#page-14-0): the broad cytoprotective responses induced by the peptide in different internal epithelial organs, and ([Riehle and](#page-14-1) [Bauersachs, 2019\)](#page-14-1) the anti-fibrotic response detected in both liver and kidney parenchyma. GHRP-6 intervention showed to rescue from coagulative necrosis a variety of epithelial cells as hepatocytes, kidneys tubular cells, bronchial epithelia, and the jejunum-ileum enterocytes. In relation to GHRP-6 extracardiac cytoprotective profile, the only precedent study we are aware of, revealed that a single prophylactic injection with GHRP-6 aborted the onset of Curling-like ulcers, and luminal bleeding in stressed conscious rats. Interestingly, GHRP-6 displayed a dual protective mechanism, one by directly activating survival signalers on the gastric epithelial cells, while simultaneously blunting the vagal efferent function, reducing the stressstimulated gastric acid production ([Guo et al., 2012](#page-14-23)). The anti-fibrotic effect, a previously described observation by our group appears to be driven by a transcriptional downregulation of TGF-β1 and CTGF, and by counteracting the accumulation and formation of extracellular matrix ingredients and fibroblasts cytoskeleton organization proteins ([Berlanga-Acosta et al., 2012;](#page-13-6) [Fernandez-Mayola et al., 2018\)](#page-13-7).

Altogether these data support the notion that these therapeutic bounties are driven by the agonistic stimulation of myocardial and extra-myocardial CD36 and/or GHSR1a receptors by activating

survival pathways, downregulating fibrogenic cytokines, and optimizing energetic homeostasis shunts that cooperate in cellular survival ([Hosoda, 2022;](#page-14-24) [Shu et al., 2022](#page-14-25); [Glatz et al.,](#page-13-16) [2023\)](#page-13-16). Whether these cytoprotective and anti-fibrotic effects are solely consequent to the stimulation of GHS-R1a has been debated ([Yuan et al., 2021](#page-15-8)) and is beyond the scope of our study. However, this is a pharmacologically-relevant issue that deserves comment. A line of experimental evidences based on cardiac and non-cardiac cells in which GHS-R1a activation has been aborted through chemical antagonism and genetic silencing, incites to conclude that this receptor is irreplaceable for the activation of salvage kinases, as for the anti-fibrotic, anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, and proangiogenic effects upon its occupation by acylated ghrelin ([Shati and El-Kott, 2019;](#page-14-26) [Wang et al., 2020;](#page-15-9) [Zhang and Xie, 2020;](#page-15-10) [Shati and El-Kott, 2021](#page-14-27); [Wang et al., 2023](#page-15-11)). However, the early observation by Bladanzi and co-workers in which both ghrelin and des-acyl ghrelin inhibited apoptosis in H9c2 cardiomyocytes which do not express GHSR1ainaugurated the alternative line, sustaining that prosurvival pathways may be triggered and functionally activated through a GHSR-independent pathway [\(Baldanzi et al.,](#page-13-17) [2002\)](#page-13-17). More recent studies have demonstrated that des-acyl ghrelin exhibits cardioprotective activities and anti-fibrotic effects through a GHSR independent pathway [\(Pei et al., 2014](#page-14-28); [Liu et al., 2020\)](#page-14-29). Accordingly, Delhanty and co-workers have indicated that unacylated ghrelin is a physiological component of the circulation ([Delhanty et al., 2015\)](#page-13-18), behaves like a separate hormone [\(Delhanty et al., 2012\)](#page-13-19), and that it is likely endowed with its own receptor ([Delhanty et al., 2013](#page-13-20)). Likewise, the potential contribution of CD36 on the light of our findings demands consideration. First, GHRP-6 binds CD36 while the recently generated azapeptide analogues retain high and selective binding affinity for CD36 ([Proulx et al., 2020](#page-14-30)), second, CD36 plays a key role in providing the myocardium with its major energy substrate [\(Shu et al., 2022\)](#page-14-25), third, agonistic binding of CD36 protected against myocardial damage and dysfunction by ischemia/reperfusion ([Bessi et al., 2012](#page-13-21)), fourth, mice deficient for CD36 exhibit reduced tolerance to myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury [\(Irie et al., 2003](#page-14-31)), whereas humans harboring inborn CD36 mutations, exhibit a variety of heart diseases including hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, dilated cardiomyopathy, or coronary heart disease ([Tanaka et al., 2001](#page-14-32)), and fifth, alike GHSR-1a, CD36 is represented in a broad constellation of mammals tissues and organs ([Zibara et al., 2002;](#page-15-12) [Jacome-Sosa](#page-14-33) [et al., 2021\)](#page-14-33), including those that appeared protected by GHRP-6 in our experiment. Hence, further studies are warranted in order to selectively discern the role of each candidate receptor.

A thorough blueprint of the Dox-associated toxic mechanism is yet to be depicted ([Pugazhendhi et al., 2018](#page-14-34)). However, it seems that mitochondrial damage/dysfunction with the ensued uncontrolled generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), is as proximal trigger in the cascade of Dox-induced cardiomyocytes harms [\(Montalvo et al.,](#page-14-35) [2020;](#page-14-35) [Nordgren and Wallace, 2020;](#page-14-36) [Kong et al., 2022\)](#page-14-37). As described by others ([Dulf et al., 2023;](#page-13-14) [Shi et al., 2023](#page-14-38)), we observed that Dox administration was characterized by progressive increase of hydroperoxides and reactive aldehydes formation, along with a depletion of major neutralizing anti-oxidant enzymes. Concomitant GHRP-6 administration significantly counterbalanced these events, while keeping catalase and SOD

enzymes significantly active. This is not an unexpected finding since we had observed that GHRP-6 reduced myocardial injury in a porcine model of AMI, by the preservation of antioxidant defense systems and the ensuing decrease in ROS pool [\(Berlanga](#page-13-15) [et al., 2007\)](#page-13-15). Others also demonstrated that ghrelin, the unique endogenous ligand of GHSR-1a, prevented neuronal apoptosis in a model of hypoxia/ischemia by attenuating oxidative stress and enhancing other survival drivers as Sirt1, PGC-1α, UCP2 [\(Huang](#page-14-39) [et al., 2019](#page-14-39)). Mitochondria are identified as the major source of ROS and a main determinant of Dox-induced cardiac damages. Disruption of mitochondrial physiology contributes to alter metabolic and redox circuits in cardiac cells, ultimately culminating in increased apoptosis ([Wallace et al., 2020\)](#page-15-13). We therefore deem that the GHRP-6 effect on cardiac mitochondria structural preservation is noteworthy, and may have definitively contributed to reduce oxidative reactants spillover.

Since previous studies ([Kagan et al., 2009](#page-14-40)) invoked Dox accumulation in cardiac mitochondria as primary trigger of apoptosis, we evaluated the expression ratio of Bcl-2/Bax genes. Interestingly, cardiac Bcl-2 expression associated to GHRP-6 administration exceeded the physiological expression level detected in the healthy sentinel group. Early pharmacological characterizations of GHSR1a ligands showed to inhibit cardiomyocyte apoptosis in a rat model of chronic heart failure ([Xu et al., 2005\)](#page-15-3). This GHRP-6 mediated antiapoptotic ability was further confirmed and extended through other in vitro and in vivo models ([Paneda et al.,](#page-14-41) [2003;](#page-14-41) [Delgado-Rubin et al., 2009;](#page-13-22) [Granado et al., 2011](#page-13-23)), which appeared mediated via the PI3 K/Akt/Bcl-2 salvage pathway ([Yuan and Wang, 2020](#page-15-6)). Conclusively, Dox-associated myocardial cells demise may have been at least partially prevented by Bcl-2 upregulation, along with a concomitant decrease of Bax expression.

Although this work is limited for not having acquired cardiovascular/pulmonary hemodynamic constants, gasometry readings, or examined the integrity of the intestinal wall barrier function, for a more comprehensive evaluation of GHRP-6 cytoprotective spectrum; it provides the first evidences on the cardiac and extracardiac protective effect of a peptidyl GH secretagogue against an anti-neoplastic anthracycline. It also offers a foundational platform for subsequent cardio-and-cytoprotection studies within the cardio-oncology realm.

Although with an underlying controversial substrate; ghrelin, GHSR1a, and CD36 appear implicated in the multistep process of malignant transformation, and cancer cells progression and metastasis ([Soleyman-Jahi et al., 2019;](#page-14-42) [Guerrero-Rodriguez et al.,](#page-14-43) [2022;](#page-14-43) [Kotta et al., 2022](#page-14-44)). This may introduce regulatory constrains for the subsequent investigational development of these candidates. Nonetheless, oncology remains orphan of pharmacological tools that may act as "life-saving drugs" for empty niches as cancerassociated cachexia-anorexia syndrome, and chemotherapyassociated cardiotoxicity. Both processes may cause precocious mortality [\(Yeom and Yu, 2022;](#page-15-14) [Dempke et al., 2023\)](#page-13-24). Accordingly, we deem that GHRP-6 and/or other GHS "drugability" is justified, which will subsequently entail the clinical use of these candidates under the medical personalized analysis of risk-benefit balance.

# **Significance**

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a poor-prognosis condition characterized by ventricular dilation with evolving deterioration of the systolic function ultimately leading to heart failure (HF). Cancer patients treated with the chemotherapeutic anthracycline Doxorubicin (Dox) are frequently affected by the drug-related myocardial toxicity. This condition leads to chemotherapy scheme discontinuation and therefore to cancer progression. Despite continuous research efforts, DCM mortality rates are high, remaining as one of the leading causes of heart transplantation. This study confirms and extends that the classic growth hormone (GH) secretagogue GHRP-6, is endowed with potent cardio and cyto-protective abilities. In an experimental model of Dox-induced DCM, GHRP-6 concomitant administration prevented ventricular myofibrils consumption, dilation, and accordingly preserved within physiological limits left ventricle ejection fraction. Globally speaking, GHRP-6 reduced rats' morbidity and mortality in a significant manner as compared to saline control. Furthermore, GHRP-6 also exerted a broad cytoprotective effect by reducing the thresholds of parenchymal necrosis and apoptosis in most epithelial internal organs. The treatment proved to abort in this system the fibrotic induration in liver, kidneys, and lungs as a consequence of Doxtoxicity. From a mechanistic perspective, GHRP-6 attenuated the pro-oxidant arm and enhanced the anti-oxidant reserves before Dox-challenge, attenuated mitochondrial matrix-ultrastructural damages, and increased the expression of Bcl-2 as antiapoptotic gene. This is the first evidence on the cardiac and extracardiac protective effects of a peptidyl GH secretagogue against an antineoplastic anthracycline. GHRP-6 candidacy as cardioprotective drug is further supported by its broad safety and tolerability profile upon its parenteral administration.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Animal Welfare Committee Review Board—Animal facility and preclinical studies, Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Havana, Cuba. Contact person: Dr Jorge Castro-Velazco, [jorge.castro@cigb.edu.cu.](mailto:jorge.castro@cigb.edu.cu) The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

JB-A: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing. DC-V: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing–original draft. JV-M: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing–review and editing. JS-A: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing–review and editing. AG-O: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing. VF-C: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing–original draft. BJ: Data curation, Investigation, Writing–review and editing. LW: Data curation, Investigation, Writing–review and editing. GG-N: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing–review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Funds for this study corresponded to biomedical research area of the Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, account number IBM. 3051-280. Funds for this study were also provided by the "National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFE0111300)". The authors

# References

<span id="page-13-11"></span>Afsar, T., Razak, S., Batoo, K. M., and KhanAcacia hydaspica, M. R. R. (2017). Acacia hydaspica R. Parker prevents doxorubicin-induced cardiac injury by attenuation of oxidative stress and structural Cardiomyocyte alterations in rats. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 17 (1), 554. doi:[10.1186/s12906-017-2061-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-017-2061-0)

<span id="page-13-13"></span>Ajamieh, H., Merino, N., Candelario-Jalil, E., Menendez, S., Martinez-Sanchez, G., Re, L., et al. (2002). Similar protective effect of ischaemic and ozone oxidative preconditionings in liver ischaemia/reperfusion injury. Pharmacol. Res. 45 (4), 333–339. doi:[10.1006/phrs.2002.0952](https://doi.org/10.1006/phrs.2002.0952)

<span id="page-13-2"></span>Alhowail, A. H., Bloemer, J., Majrashi, M., Pinky, P. D., Bhattacharya, S., Yongli, Z., et al. (2019). Doxorubicin-induced neurotoxicity is associated with acute alterations in synaptic plasticity, apoptosis, and lipid peroxidation. Toxicol. Mech. Methods 29 (6), 457–466. doi:[10.1080/15376516.2019.1600086](https://doi.org/10.1080/15376516.2019.1600086)

<span id="page-13-17"></span>Baldanzi, G., Filigheddu, N., Cutrupi, S., Catapano, F., Bonissoni, S., Fubini, A., et al. (2002). Ghrelin and des-acyl ghrelin inhibit cell death in cardiomyocytes and endothelial cells through ERK1/2 and PI 3-kinase/AKT. J. Cell. Biol. 159 (6), 1029–1037. doi[:10.1083/jcb.200207165](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200207165)

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Benjamin, E. J., Virani, S. S., Callaway, C. W., Chamberlain, A. M., Chang, A. R., Cheng, S., et al. (2018). Heart disease and stroke statistics-2018 update: a report from the American heart association. Circulation 137 (12), e67-e492. doi:[10.1161/CIR.](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000558) [0000000000000558](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000558)

<span id="page-13-15"></span>Berlanga, J., Cibrian, D., Guevara, L., Dominguez, H., Alba, J. S., Seralena, A., et al. (2007). Growth-hormone-releasing peptide 6 (GHRP6) prevents oxidant cytotoxicity and reduces myocardial necrosis in a model of acute myocardial infarction. Clin. Sci. (Lond). 112 (4), 241–250. doi:[10.1042/CS20060103](https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20060103)

<span id="page-13-3"></span>Berlanga-Acosta, J., Abreu-Cruz, A., Herrera, D. G. B., Mendoza-Mari, Y., Rodriguez-Ulloa, A., Garcia-Ojalvo, A., et al. (2017). Synthetic growth hormone-releasing peptides (GHRPs): a historical appraisal of the evidences supporting their cytoprotective effects. Clin. Med. Insights Cardiol. 11, 1179546817694558. doi:[10.1177/1179546817694558](https://doi.org/10.1177/1179546817694558)

<span id="page-13-5"></span>Berlanga-Acosta, J., Guillen-Nieto, G., Lopez-Mola, E., and Herrera-Martinez, L. (2016). Growth hormone releasing peptide-6 (GHRP-6) and other related secretagogue synthetic peptides: a mine of medical potentialities for unmet medical needs. Integr. Mol. Med. 3 (2), 616–623. doi:[10.15761/imm.1000213](https://doi.org/10.15761/imm.1000213)

<span id="page-13-6"></span>Berlanga-Acosta, J., Vázquez-Blomquist, D., Cibrián, D., Mendoza, Y., Ochagavía, M. E., Miranda, J., et al. (2012). Growth Hormone Releasing Peptide 6 (GHRP6) reduces liver fibrosis in CCl4 chronically intoxicated rats. Biotecnol. Apl. 29, 60–72.

<span id="page-13-21"></span>Bessi, V. L., Labbe, S. M., Huynh, D. N., Menard, L., Jossart, C., Febbraio, M., et al. (2012). EP 80317, a selective CD36 ligand, shows cardioprotective effects against post-ischaemic myocardial damage in mice. Cardiovasc Res. 96 (1), 99–108. doi:[10.1093/cvr/cvs225](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvs225)

<span id="page-13-10"></span>Chen, X., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Z., Liu, H., Guo, H., Xiong, C., et al. (2016). Protective effect of berberine on doxorubicin-induced acute hepatorenal toxicity in rats. Mol. Med. Rep. 13 (5), 3953–3960. doi[:10.3892/mmr.2016.5017](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2016.5017)

<span id="page-13-9"></span>Cossio-Bolanos, M., Gomez Campos, R., Vargas Vitoria, R., Hochmuller Fogaca, R. T., and de Arruda, M. (2013). Reference curves for assessing the physical growth of male Wistar rats. Nutr. Hosp. 28 (6), 2151–2156. doi:[10.3305/nutrhosp.v28in06.6659](https://doi.org/10.3305/nutrhosp.v28in06.6659)

declare that the funders were not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article, or the decision to submit it for publication.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

<span id="page-13-22"></span>Delgado-Rubin, A., Chowen, J. A., Argente, J., and Frago, L. M. (2009). Growth hormone-releasing peptide 6 protection of hypothalamic neurons from glutamate excitotoxicity is caspase independent and not mediated by insulin-like growth factor I. Eur. J. Neurosci. 29 (11), 2115–2124. doi:[10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06770.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06770.x)

<span id="page-13-18"></span>Delhanty, P. J., Huisman, M., Julien, M., Mouchain, K., Brune, P., Themmen, A. P., et al. (2015). The acylated (AG) to unacylated (UAG) ghrelin ratio in esterase inhibitortreated blood is higher than previously described. Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf) 82 (1), 142–146. doi:[10.1111/cen.12489](https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.12489)

<span id="page-13-19"></span>Delhanty, P. J., Neggers, S. J., and van der Lely, A. J. (2012). Mechanisms in endocrinology: ghrelin: the differences between acyl- and des-acyl ghrelin. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 167 (5), 601–608. doi[:10.1530/EJE-12-0456](https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-12-0456)

<span id="page-13-20"></span>Delhanty, P. J., Neggers, S. J., and van der Lely, A. J. (2013). Des-acyl ghrelin: a metabolically active peptide. Endocr. Dev. 25, 112–121. doi[:10.1159/000346059](https://doi.org/10.1159/000346059)

<span id="page-13-4"></span>Demers, A., McNicoll, N., Febbraio, M., Servant, M., Marleau, S., Silverstein, R., et al. (2004). Identification of the growth hormone-releasing peptide binding site in CD36: a photoaffinity cross-linking study. Biochem. J. 382 (Pt 2), 417–424. doi:[10.1042/](https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20040036) [BJ20040036](https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20040036)

<span id="page-13-24"></span>Dempke, W. C. M., Zielinski, R., Winkler, C., Silberman, S., Reuther, S., and Priebe, W. (2023). Anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity - are we about to clear this hurdle? Eur. J. Cancer 185, 94–104. doi[:10.1016/j.ejca.2023.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2023.02.019)

<span id="page-13-14"></span>Dulf, P. L., Mocan, M., Coada, C. A., Dulf, D. V., Moldovan, R., Baldea, I., et al. (2023). Doxorubicin-induced acute cardiotoxicity is associated with increased oxidative stress, autophagy, and inflammation in a murine model. Naunyn Schmiedeb. Arch. Pharmacol. 396 (6), 1105–1115. doi:[10.1007/s00210-023-02382-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-023-02382-z)

<span id="page-13-7"></span>Fernandez-Mayola, M., Betancourt, L., Molina-Kautzman, A., Palomares, S., Mendoza-Mari, Y., Ugarte-Moreno, D., et al. (2018). Growth hormone-releasing peptide 6 prevents cutaneous hypertrophic scarring: early mechanistic data from a proteome study. *Int. Wound J.* 15 (4), 538–546. doi[:10.1111/iwj.12895](https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.12895)

<span id="page-13-1"></span>Ferreira, A., Ferreira, V., Antunes, M. M., Lousinha, A., Pereira-da-Silva, T., Antunes, D., et al. (2023). Dilated cardiomyopathy: a comprehensive approach to diagnosis and risk stratification. Biomedicines 11 (3), 834. doi:[10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11030834) [biomedicines11030834](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11030834)

<span id="page-13-8"></span>Giorgioni, G., Del Bello, F., Quaglia, W., Botticelli, L., Cifani, C., Micioni Di Bonaventura, E., et al. (2022). Advances in the development of nonpeptide small molecules targeting ghrelin receptor. J. Med. Chem. 65 (4), 3098–3118. doi:[10.1021/acs.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c02191) [jmedchem.1c02191](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c02191)

<span id="page-13-16"></span>Glatz, J. F. C., Heather, L. C., and Luiken, J. (2023). CD36 as a gatekeeper of myocardial lipid metabolism and therapeutic target for metabolic disease. Physiol. Rev. 104, 727–764. doi:[10.1152/physrev.00011.2023](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00011.2023)

<span id="page-13-12"></span>Glauert, A. M., and Lewis, P. R. (1999) Biological specimen preparation for transmission electron microscopy. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

<span id="page-13-23"></span>Granado, M., Garcia-Caceres, C., Tuda, M., Frago, L. M., Chowen, J. A., and Argente, J. (2011). Insulin and growth hormone-releasing peptide-6 (GHRP-6) have differential beneficial effects on cell turnover in the pituitary, hypothalamus and cerebellum of streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic rats. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 337 (1-2), 101–113. doi[:10.1016/j.mce.2011.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2011.02.002)

<span id="page-14-43"></span>Guerrero-Rodriguez, S. L., Mata-Cruz, C., Perez-Tapia, S. M., and Velasco-Velazquez, M. A. (2022). Role of CD36 in cancer progression, stemness, and targeting. Front. Cell. Dev. Biol. 10, 1079076. doi[:10.3389/fcell.2022.1079076](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.1079076)

<span id="page-14-23"></span>Guo, S., Gao, Q., Jiao, Q., Hao, W., Gao, X., and Cao, J. M. (2012). Gastric mucosal damage in water immersion stress: mechanism and prevention with GHRP-6. World J. Gastroenterol. 18 (24), 3145–3155. doi:[10.3748/wjg.v18.i24.3145](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i24.3145)

<span id="page-14-10"></span>Hayward, R., and Hydock, D. S. (2007). Doxorubicin cardiotoxicity in the rat: an in vivo characterization. J. Am. Assoc. Lab. Anim. Sci. 46 (4), 20–32.

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Hershberger, R. E., Hedges, D. J., and Morales, A. (2013). Dilated cardiomyopathy: the complexity of a diverse genetic architecture. Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 10 (9), 531–547. doi[:10.1038/nrcardio.2013.105](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2013.105)

<span id="page-14-24"></span>Hosoda, H. (2022). Effect of ghrelin on the cardiovascular system. Biol. (Basel). 11 (8), 1190. doi[:10.3390/biology11081190](https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11081190)

<span id="page-14-39"></span>Huang, J., Liu, W., Doycheva, D. M., Gamdzyk, M., Lu, W., Tang, J., et al. (2019). Ghrelin attenuates oxidative stress and neuronal apoptosis via GHSR-1α/AMPK/Sirt1/ PGC-1α/UCP2 pathway in a rat model of neonatal HIE. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 141, 322–337. doi:[10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.07.001)

<span id="page-14-6"></span>Hullin, R., Metrich, M., Sarre, A., Basquin, D., Maillard, M., Regamey, J., et al. (2018). Diverging effects of enalapril or eplerenone in primary prevention against doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity. Cardiovasc Res. 114 (2), 272–281. doi:[10.1093/cvr/cvx162](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvx162)

<span id="page-14-3"></span>Imanaka-Yoshida, K. (2020). Inflammation in myocardial disease: from myocarditis to dilated cardiomyopathy. Pathol. Int. 70 (1), 1-11. doi:[10.1111/pin.12868](https://doi.org/10.1111/pin.12868)

<span id="page-14-31"></span>Irie, H., Krukenkamp, I. B., Brinkmann, J. F., Gaudette, G. R., Saltman, A. E., Jou, W., et al. (2003). Myocardial recovery from ischemia is impaired in CD36-null mice and restored by myocyte CD36 expression or medium-chain fatty acids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100 (11), 6819–6824. doi[:10.1073/pnas.1132094100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1132094100)

<span id="page-14-12"></span>Iwase, M., Kanazawa, H., Kato, Y., Nishizawa, T., Somura, F., Ishiki, R., et al. (2004). Growth hormone-releasing peptide can improve left ventricular dysfunction and<br>attenuate dilation in dilated cardiomyopathic hamsters. Cardiovasc Res. 61 (1), 30–38. doi:[10.1016/j.cardiores.2003.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2003.10.012)

<span id="page-14-33"></span>Jacome-Sosa, M., Miao, Z. F., Peche, V. S., Morris, E. F., Narendran, R., Pietka, K. M., et al. (2021). CD36 maintains the gastric mucosa and associates with gastric disease. Commun. Biol. 4 (1), 1247. doi:[10.1038/s42003-021-02765-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02765-z)

<span id="page-14-40"></span>Kagan, V. E., Bayir, H. A., Belikova, N. A., Kapralov, O., Tyurina, Y. Y., Tyurin, V. A., et al. (2009). Cytochrome c/cardiolipin relations in mitochondria: a kiss of death. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 46 (11), 1439–1453. doi[:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2009.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2009.03.004)

<span id="page-14-22"></span>Kato, Y., Iwase, M., Ichihara, S., Kanazawa, H., Hashimoto, K., Noda, A., et al. (2010). Beneficial effects of growth hormone-releasing peptide on myocardial oxidative stress and left ventricular dysfunction in dilated cardiomyopathic hamsters. Circ. J. 74 (1), 163–170. doi:[10.1253/circj.cj-09-0378](https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.cj-09-0378)

<span id="page-14-21"></span>Khedre, D., Osman, A., Moghazy, A., and Abdel, R. A. (2021). Propolis protective effects against doxorubicin-induced multi-organ toxicity via suppression of oxidative stress, inflammation, apoptosis, and histopathological alterations in female albino rats. Biointerface Res. Appl. Chem. 12, 1762–1777. doi[:10.33263/BRIAC122.17621777](https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC122.17621777)

<span id="page-14-37"></span>Kong, C. Y., Guo, Z., Song, P., Zhang, X., Yuan, Y. P., Teng, T., et al. (2022). Underlying the mechanisms of doxorubicin-induced acute cardiotoxicity: oxidative stress and cell death. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 18 (2), 760–770. doi[:10.7150/ijbs.65258](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.65258)

<span id="page-14-44"></span>Kotta, A. S., Kelling, A. S., Corleto, K. A., Sun, Y., and Giles, E. D. (2022). Ghrelin and cancer: examining the roles of the ghrelin Axis in tumor growth and progression. Biomolecules 12 (4), 483. doi:[10.3390/biom12040483](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12040483)

<span id="page-14-15"></span>Li, Y., Wang, L., Dong, Z., Wang, S., Qi, L., Cho, K., et al. (2019). Cardioprotection of salvianolic acid B and ginsenoside Rg1 combination on subacute myocardial infarction and the underlying mechanism. Phytomedicine 57, 255–261. doi[:10.1016/j.phymed.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2018.12.040) [2018.12.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2018.12.040)

<span id="page-14-29"></span>Liu, X., Guo, Y., Li, Z., and Gong, Y. (2020). The role of acylated ghrelin and unacylated ghrelin in the blood and hypothalamus and their interaction with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Iran. J. Basic Med. Sci. 23 (9), 1191–1196. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.22038/ijbms.2020.45356.10555) [22038/ijbms.2020.45356.10555](https://doi.org/10.22038/ijbms.2020.45356.10555)

<span id="page-14-7"></span>Lother, A., Bergemann, S., Kowalski, J., Huck, M., Gilsbach, R., Bode, C., et al. (2018). Inhibition of the cardiac myocyte mineralocorticoid receptor ameliorates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. Cardiovasc Res. 114 (2), 282–290. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvx078) [1093/cvr/cvx078](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvx078)

<span id="page-14-8"></span>Lucchesi, P. A. (2004). Growth hormone-releasing peptides and the heart: secretagogues or cardioprotectors? *Cardiovasc Res.* 61 (1), 7-8. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2003.11.011) [cardiores.2003.11.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2003.11.011)

<span id="page-14-9"></span>Mendoza, M. Y., Fernandez, M. M., Aguilera Barreto, A., Garcia Ojalvo, A., Bermudez Alvarez, Y., Mir Benitez, A. J., et al. (2016). Growth hormone-releasing peptide 6 enhances the healing process and improves the esthetic outcome of the wounds. Plast. Surg. Int. 2016, 4361702. doi[:10.1155/2016/4361702](https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/4361702)

<span id="page-14-35"></span>Montalvo, R. N., Doerr, V., Min, K., Szeto, H. H., and Smuder, A. J. (2020). Doxorubicin-induced oxidative stress differentially regulates proteolytic signaling in cardiac and skeletal muscle. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 318 (2), R227- R233–R33. doi:[10.1152/ajpregu.00299.2019](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00299.2019)

<span id="page-14-14"></span>Mossa, A. H., Swelam, E. S., and Mohafrash, S. M. M. (2015). Sub-chronic exposure to fipronil induced oxidative stress, biochemical and histopathological changes in the liver and kidney of male albino rats. Toxicol. Rep. 2, 775–784. doi[:10.1016/j.toxrep.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2015.02.009) [02.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2015.02.009)

<span id="page-14-36"></span>Nordgren, K. K. S., and Wallace, K. B. (2020). Disruption of the keap1/nrf2 antioxidant response system after chronic doxorubicin exposure in vivo. Cardiovasc Toxicol. 20 (6), 557–570. doi:[10.1007/s12012-020-09581-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12012-020-09581-7)

<span id="page-14-41"></span>Paneda, C., Arroba, A. I., Frago, L. M., Holm, A. M., Romer, J., Argente, J., et al. (2003). Growth hormone-releasing peptide-6 inhibits cerebellar cell death in aged rats. Neuroreport 14 (12), 1633–1635. doi:[10.1097/00001756-200308260-00018](https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200308260-00018)

<span id="page-14-28"></span>Pei, X. M., Yung, B. Y., Yip, S. P., Ying, M., Benzie, I. F., and Siu, P. M. (2014). Desacyl ghrelin prevents doxorubicin-induced myocardial fibrosis and apoptosis via the GHSRindependent pathway. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 306 (3), E311–E323. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00123.2013) [1152/ajpendo.00123.2013](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00123.2013)

<span id="page-14-17"></span>Podyacheva, E., and Toropova, Y. (2022). SIRT1 activation and its effect on intercalated disc proteins as a way to reduce doxorubicin cardiotoxicity. Front. Pharmacol. 13, 1035387. doi:[10.3389/fphar.2022.1035387](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.1035387)

<span id="page-14-5"></span>Prathumsap, N., Shinlapawittayatorn, K., Chattipakorn, S. C., and Chattipakorn, N. (2020). Effects of doxorubicin on the heart: from molecular mechanisms to intervention strategies. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 866, 172818. doi:[10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172818](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172818)

<span id="page-14-30"></span>Proulx, C., Zhang, J., Sabatino, D., Chemtob, S., Ong, H., and Lubell, W. D. (2020). Synthesis and biomedical potential of azapeptide modulators of the cluster of differentiation 36 receptor (CD36). Biomedicines 8 (8), 241. doi:[10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines8080241) [biomedicines8080241](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines8080241)

<span id="page-14-34"></span>Pugazhendhi, A., Edison, T., Velmurugan, B. K., Jacob, J. A., and Karuppusamy, I. (2018). Toxicity of Doxorubicin (Dox) to different experimental organ systems. Life Sci. 200, 26–30. doi:[10.1016/j.lfs.2018.03.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2018.03.023)

<span id="page-14-16"></span>Rao, Y. L., Ganaraja, B., Marathe, A., Manjrekar, P. A., Joy, T., Ullal, S., et al. (2021). Comparison of malondialdehyde levels and superoxide dismutase activity in resveratrol and resveratrol/donepezil combination treatment groups in Alzheimer's disease induced rat model. 3 Biotech. 11 (7), 329. doi:[10.1007/s13205-021-02879-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-021-02879-5)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Riehle, C., and Bauersachs, J. (2019). Small animal models of heart failure. Cardiovasc Res. 115 (13), 1838–1849. doi[:10.1093/cvr/cvz161](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvz161)

<span id="page-14-4"></span>Robert Li, Y., Traore, K., and Zhu, H. (2023). Novel molecular mechanisms of doxorubicin cardiotoxicity: latest leading-edge advances and clinical implications. Mol. Cell. Biochem. doi[:10.1007/s11010-023-04783-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-023-04783-3)

<span id="page-14-20"></span>Sandamali, J., Hewawasam, R., Jayatilaka, KAPW, and Mudduwa, L. (2019). Dose dependent cardiac effects of doxorubicin in Wistar rats: a biochemical and histopathological analysis. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. 10, 2700. doi:[10.13040/IJPSR.0975-](https://doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.10(6).2700-10) [8232.10\(6\).2700-10](https://doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.10(6).2700-10)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Schultheiss, H. P., Fairweather, D., Caforio, A. L. P., Escher, F., Hershberger, R. E., Lipshultz, S. E., et al. (2019). Dilated cardiomyopathy. Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim. 5 (1), 32. doi[:10.1038/s41572-019-0084-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0084-1)

<span id="page-14-13"></span>Scudamore, C. L., Busk, N., and Vowell, K. (2014). A simplified necropsy technique for mice: making the most of unscheduled deaths. Lab. Anim. 48 (4), 342–344. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0023677214536555) [1177/0023677214536555](https://doi.org/10.1177/0023677214536555)

<span id="page-14-26"></span>Shati, A. A., and El-Kott, A. F. (2019). Acylated ghrelin prevents doxorubicin-induced cardiac intrinsic cell death and fibrosis in rats by restoring IL-6/JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway and inhibition of STAT1. Naunyn Schmiedeb. Arch. Pharmacol. 392 (9), 1151–1168. doi[:10.1007/s00210-019-01664-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-019-01664-9)

<span id="page-14-27"></span>Shati, A. A., and El-Kott, A. F. (2021). Acylated ghrelin protects against doxorubicininduced nephropathy by activating silent information regulator 1. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 128 (6), 805–821. doi:[10.1111/bcpt.13569](https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.13569)

<span id="page-14-38"></span>Shi, S., Chen, Y., Luo, Z., Nie, G., and Dai, Y. (2023). Role of oxidative stress and inflammation-related signaling pathways in doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. Cell. Commun. Signal 21 (1), 61. doi[:10.1186/s12964-023-01077-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-023-01077-5)

<span id="page-14-18"></span>Shivakumar, P., Rani, M. U., Reddy, A. G., and Anjaneyulu, Y. (2012). A study on the toxic effects of Doxorubicin on the histology of certain organs. Toxicol. Int. 19 (3), 241–244. doi:[10.4103/0971-6580.103656](https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-6580.103656)

<span id="page-14-25"></span>Shu, H., Peng, Y., Hang, W., Nie, J., Zhou, N., and Wang, D. W. (2022). The role of CD36 in cardiovascular disease. Cardiovasc Res. 118 (1), 115–129. doi[:10.1093/cvr/cvaa319](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvaa319)

<span id="page-14-42"></span>Soleyman-Jahi, S., Sadeghi, F., Pastaki Khoshbin, A., Khani, L., Roosta, V., and Zendehdel, K. (2019). Attribution of ghrelin to cancer; attempts to unravel an apparent controversy. Front. Oncol. 9, 1014. doi:[10.3389/fonc.2019.01014](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.01014)

<span id="page-14-11"></span>Stein, A. B., Tiwari, S., Thomas, P., Hunt, G., Levent, C., Stoddard, M. F., et al. (2007). Effects of anesthesia on echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular structure and function in rats. Basic Res. Cardiol. 102 (1), 28–41. doi[:10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-006-0627-y) [s00395-006-0627-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-006-0627-y)

<span id="page-14-19"></span>Subburaman, S., Ganesan, K., and Ramachandran, M. (2014). Protective role of naringenin against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in a rat model: histopathology and mRNA expression profile studies. J. Environ. Pathol. Toxicol. Oncol. 33 (4), 363–376. doi:[10.1615/jenvironpatholtoxicoloncol.2014010625](https://doi.org/10.1615/jenvironpatholtoxicoloncol.2014010625)

<span id="page-14-32"></span>Tanaka, T., Nakata, T., Oka, T., Ogawa, T., Okamoto, F., Kusaka, Y., et al. (2001). Defect in human myocardial long-chain fatty acid uptake is caused by FAT/ CD36 mutations. J. Lipid Res. 42 (5), 751–759. doi[:10.1016/s0022-2275\(20\)31637-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2275(20)31637-0)

<span id="page-15-7"></span>Titterington, J. S., Sukhanov, S., Higashi, Y., Vaughn, C., Bowers, C., and Delafontaine, P. (2009). Growth hormone-releasing peptide-2 suppresses vascular oxidative stress in ApoE-/- mice but does not reduce atherosclerosis. Endocrinology 150 (12), 5478–5487. doi:[10.1210/en.2009-0283](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2009-0283)

<span id="page-15-4"></span>To, H., Ohdo, S., Shin, M., Uchimaru, H., Yukawa, E., Higuchi, S., et al. (2003). Dosing time dependency of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity and bone marrow toxicity in rats. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 55 (6), 803–810. doi[:10.1211/002235703765951410](https://doi.org/10.1211/002235703765951410)

<span id="page-15-13"></span>Wallace, K. B., Sardao, V. A., and Oliveira, P. J. (2020). Mitochondrial determinants of doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. Circ. Res. 126 (7), 926–941. doi:[10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.314681) [CIRCRESAHA.119.314681](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.314681)

<span id="page-15-5"></span>Wang, A. J., Zhang, J., Xiao, M., Wang, S., Wang, B. J., Guo, Y., et al. (2021). Molecular mechanisms of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity: novel roles of sirtuin 1-mediated signaling pathways. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 78 (7), 3105–3125. doi[:10.1007/s00018-020-03729-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-020-03729-y)

<span id="page-15-11"></span>Wang, L., Chen, Q., and Pang, J. (2023b). The effects and mechanisms of ghrelin upon angiogenesis in human coronary artery endothelial cells under hypoxia. Peptides 160, 170921. doi:[10.1016/j.peptides.2022.170921](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2022.170921)

<span id="page-15-9"></span>Wang, M., Qian, L., Li, J., Ming, H., Fang, L., Li, Y., et al. (2020). GHSR deficiency exacerbates cardiac fibrosis: role in macrophage inflammasome activation and myofibroblast differentiation. *Cardiovasc Res.* 116 (13), 2091–2102. doi[:10.1093/cvr/cvz318](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvz318)

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Wang, T.-H., Ma, Y., Gao, S., Zhang, W.-W., Han, D., and Cao, F. (2023a). Recent advances in the mechanisms of cell death and dysfunction in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity. RCM 24 (11), 336. doi[:10.31083/j.rcm2411336](https://doi.org/10.31083/j.rcm2411336)

<span id="page-15-2"></span>Xiao, X., Bi, M., Jiao, Q., Chen, X., Du, X., and Jiang, H. (2020). A new understanding of GHSR1a--independent of ghrelin activation. Ageing Res. Rev. 64, 101187. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101187) [1016/j.arr.2020.101187](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101187)

<span id="page-15-3"></span>Xu, X. B., Pang, J. J., Cao, J. M., Ni, C., Xu, R. K., Peng, X. Z., et al. (2005). GH-releasing peptides improve cardiac dysfunction and cachexia and suppress stress-related hormones and cardiomyocyte apoptosis in rats with heart failure. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 289 (4), H1643–H1651. doi:[10.1152/ajpheart.01042.2004](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.01042.2004)

<span id="page-15-14"></span>Yeom, E., and Yu, K. (2022). Understanding the molecular basis of anorexia and tissue wasting in cancer cachexia. Exp. Mol. Med. 54 (4), 426–432. doi:[10.1038/s12276-022-00752-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-022-00752-w)

<span id="page-15-8"></span>Yuan, M. J., Li, W., and Zhong, P. (2021). Research progress of ghrelin on cardiovascular disease. Biosci. Rep. 41 (1). doi[:10.1042/BSR20203387](https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20203387)

<span id="page-15-6"></span>Yuan, M. J., and Wang, T. (2020). The new mechanism of Ghrelin/GHSR1aon autophagy regulation. Peptides 126, 170264. doi[:10.1016/j.peptides.2020.170264](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2020.170264)

<span id="page-15-10"></span>Zhang, J., and Xie, T. (2020). Ghrelin inhibits cisplatin-induced MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell apoptosis via PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling. Exp. Ther. Med. 19 (3), 1633–1640. doi[:10.3892/etm.2019.8398](https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2019.8398)

<span id="page-15-1"></span>Zhu, W., Reuter, S., and Field, L. J. (2019). Targeted expression of cyclin D2 ameliorates late stage anthracycline cardiotoxicity. Cardiovasc Res. 115 (5), 960–965. doi:[10.1093/cvr/cvy273](https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvy273)

<span id="page-15-12"></span>Zibara, K., Malaud, E., and McGregor, J. L. (2002). CD36 mRNA and protein expression levels are significantly increased in the heart and testis of apoE deficient mice in comparison to wild type (C57bl/6). J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 2 (1), 14–21. doi[:10.1155/S1110724302000335](https://doi.org/10.1155/S1110724302000335)

# **Glossary**



Akt Protein kinase B. It is involved in cell cycle progression, survival, protein synthesis, and cell growth