Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Awanish Mishra, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, India

REVIEWED BY Anoop Kumar, Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, India Hong Zhang, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Chenghao Yu, ⊠ yuholy@126.com Fu Peng, ⊠ fujing126@yeah.net

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work to the work.

RECEIVED 29 February 2024 ACCEPTED 15 May 2024 PUBLISHED 12 June 2024

CITATION

Li L, Jia S, Yu C, Shi S and Peng F (2024), The efficacy and safety of Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1393597.

doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1393597

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Jia, Yu, Shi and Peng. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The efficacy and safety of Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Ling Li^{1†}, Shangmei Jia^{1†}, Chenghao Yu¹*, Shasha Shi² and Fu Peng³*

¹State Key Laboratory of Southwestern Chinese Medicine Resources, Department of Basic Medicine, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ²Department of Basic Medicine, Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Xianyang, Shaanxi, China, ³Department of West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Background: Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) is one of the most frequent and critical side effects due to chemotherapeutics. In China, Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang (XBXT) has already been applied extensively to prevent and treat CINV. However, there is limited testimony on the effectiveness and safety of this purpose, and there was no correlative systematic review. The aim of this review was to systematically evaluate the effectiveness and safety of XBXT in preventing and treating CINV.

Methods: The systematic search was conducted in eight databases to acquire randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that appraised the effect of XBXT in treating CINV. The vomiting and nausea relief efficiency, eating efficiency, quality of life, and adverse reactions were explored for efficacy assessment. Bias risk was rated by manipulating the Cochrane risk of bias tool 2.0 (RoB 2). The retrieved investigations were analyzed by utilizing ReviewManager 5.4 and Stata 17.0. The quality of evidence was evaluated adopting the GRADE tool.

Results: A total of 16 clinical RCTs of XBXT in the treatment of CINV were incorporated into the investigation, with a total of 1246 participants. The metaanalysis showed that compared with conventional antiemetic drugs, XBXT and antiemetics improved the vomiting relief efficiency (RR 1.35, 95% confidence interval: 1.25–1.46, p < 0.00001), nausea relief efficiency (N = 367, RR 1.23, 95% CI: 1.09–1.38, p < 0.00001), and quality of life (RR = 1.37, 95% CI: 1.14–1.65, p = 0.0009) and reduced the adverse events (N = 370, RR 0.53, 95% CI: 0.29–0.96, p = 0.04). XBXT and DARAs raised eating efficiency compared with DARAs (N = 208, RR 1.30, 95% CI: 1.07–1.57, p = 0.007). The data existed as statistically

Abbreviations: CINV, chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting; XBXT, Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; TCM, traditional Chinese medicine; CTZ, chemoreceptor trigger zone; DA, dopamine; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; SP, substance P; 5-HT3RAs, 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonists; DARAs, dopamine receptor antagonists; PRISMA, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; RR, risk ratio; MD, mean difference; SMD, standard mean difference; CIs, confidence intervals.

significant, and the publication bias was identified as relatively low from the funnel plot and trim and fill analysis. In addition, sensitivity analysis demonstrated robust outcomes. The quality of evidence for each outcome ranged from moderate to high.

Conclusion: There is some encouraging evidence that XBXT and antiemetics had better therapeutic effects and safety in treating CINV than antiemetic drugs alone. The quality assessment and low publication bias indicated that the overall criterion was scientific. Better research is required to verify the evidence designed with large-scale RCTs and rigorous methods.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?RecordID=281046.

KEYWORDS

chemotherapy, nausea, vomiting, systematic review, Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang

1 Introduction

Cancer is a serious disease that endangers human health, and its frequency is expanding year after year (Xia et al., 2022; Siegel et al., 2024). According to the information issued by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization, there will be 28.4 million new cases of cancer worldwide in 2040, an increase of 47% over 2020 (Bray et al., 2024).

As one of the comprehensive treatments for cancer, chemotherapy can cause many uncomfortable reactions while killing cancer cells (Gupta et al., 2021). Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) is a frequent adverse effect of chemotherapy, and its incidence is as high as 70%–80% (Piechotta et al., 2021). Due to the degree of vomiting induced by diverse chemotherapeutic drugs, CINV includes acute vomiting and delayed vomiting. Acute vomiting occurs within 24 h after chemotherapy, whereas delayed vomiting occurs after 24 h (Herrstedt et al., 2024). CINV can result in anxiety, depression, and other negative emotions in patients; significantly reduce their quality of life (Farrell et al., 2013); and even develop serious metabolic complications, such as hyponatremia, hypokalemia, and metabolic acidosis, affecting the therapeutic effect (Miao et al., 2017).

The pathogenesis of CINV has not been fully understood, and most scholars believe that it mainly includes the following aspects: chemotherapeutic drugs directly stimulate chromaffin cells in the gastrointestinal tract, which release 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) bound to 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors, producing nerve impulses that act on the vomiting center, leading to CINV (Navari, 2015). Chemotherapeutic drugs and their metabolites cause CINV by stimulating the chemoreceptor trigger zone (CTZ) (Cubeddu, 1992). Sensory and psychiatric factors stimulate the cerebral cortex pathway leading to CINV (Was et al., 2022). Neurotransmitters such as 5-HT₃, dopamine (DA), substance P (SP), and angiotensin can cause vomiting by stimulating CTZ and vomiting centers (Shankar et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2022).

At present, the drugs for preventing CINV mainly include 5- HT_3 receptor antagonists, dopamine receptor antagonists, NK-1 receptor antagonists, glucocorticoids, and antihistamines (Rojas et al., 2014; Rojas and Slusher, 2015; Hesketh et al., 2020;

Kennedy et al., 2024). The antiemetic mechanisms of different drugs are also different. The most common is to prevent or alleviate nausea and vomiting by inhibiting the chemoreceptor trigger zone (Minami et al., 2003; Smyla et al., 2020). However, these drugs are prone to serious adverse reactions, such as dizziness, constipation, fatigue, and extrapyramidal symptoms (Vardy et al., 2006; Navari and Aapro, 2016).

There is no discussion about CINV in traditional Chinese medicine, but it can be classified into the categories of "vomiting" and "nausea." Chemotherapeutic drugs also destroy normal human cells when killing cancer cells, which leads to impaired vital energy, viscera dysfunction, spleen dysfunction, and stomach disharmony, inducing a range of gastrointestinal reactions, such as nausea and vomiting. Therefore, the prevention and treatment principle of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) for CINV is harmonizing the stomach and lowering adverse qi.

Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang (XBXT) originates from the Treatise on Febrile and Miscellaneous Diseases (Shang Han Za Bing Lun), written by Zhongjing Zhang. XBXT consists of two herbs: Pinellia ternata (Banxia) and fresh ginger (Shengjiang). Pinellia ternata is the dry tuber of Pinellia ternata (Thunb). Breit. However, unprocessed Pinellia ternata has toxic effects, with common symptoms including stinging sensations in the throat and mouth and inductions of vomiting and miscarriage. Processed products of Pinellia ternata are commonly used in clinical practice (Bai et al., 2022; Peng et al., 2022; Zou et al., 2023). Studies have shown that Pinellia ternata contains alkaloids, volatile oil, organic acids, sterols and other chemical components (Oshio et al., 1978; Maki et al., 1987; Niijima et al., 1993; Tomoda et al., 1994; Chen et al., 2003; Jin et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2016), which have antitussive and expectorant, antiemetic, anti-early pregnancy, anti-ulcer, and anti-tumor pharmacological effects (Lu et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014; Zu et al., 2014). Pinellia alkaloids are the main antiemetic components. Blocking the 5-HT3 receptor and NK1 receptor may be one of the important mechanisms of Pinellia in preventing CINV.

Fresh ginger is the fresh rhizome of *Zingiber officinale* Roscoe. Ginger contains chemical compounds such as volatile oil, gingerol, flavonoids, and free amino acids (Tao et al., 2009; Baliga et al., 2011; Mao et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021), with antiemetic, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antioxidant, antitumor, and other pharmacological effects (Dugasani et al., 2010; Soltani et al., 2017; Nunes et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2024). Ginger, especially its active ingredients, namely, gingerol, 6-gingerol, and 6-shogaol, can inhibit 5-HT₃ receptors, substance P receptors, and choline receptors to exert an antiemetic effect (Abdel-Aziz et al., 2006; Qian et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2023). In addition, it can regulate vasopressin release, gastrointestinal motility, and gastric emptying rate (Marx et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021).

XBXT is mainly used to treat nausea and vomiting caused by various reasons in clinical practice, such as pregnancy vomiting, nervous vomiting, vomiting caused by gastric retention, acute myocardial infarction vomiting, vomiting after chemotherapy, and intractable vomiting (Ji, 2000; Chen and Lu, 2005; Guo et al., 2005; Yu, 2006; Fan, 2011; Li, 2011; Lu and Liu, 2011).

XBXT may prevent CINV by inhibiting 5-HT release and SP synthesis, reducing dopamine content, and blocking the corresponding receptors (Xu and Lian, 2004; Nie and Ma, 2007; Qian et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Qian et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2015a; Yu et al., 2015b; Li et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020). XBXT can also alleviate gastrointestinal mucositis and delayed gastric emptying caused by chemotherapy drugs (Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Du et al., 2018). XBXT combined with 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonists (5-HT3RAs) or dopamine receptor antagonists (DARAs) has a remarkable therapeutic effect in preventing and treating CINV (Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Liu and Wang, 2008), but there is not adequate testimony to demonstrate these discoveries. Therefore, this systematic review is the first comprehensive assessment of XBXT in treating CINV, to supply reference for clinical application.

2 Methods

The systematic review was manipulated in conformity with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and presented complying with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) and PRISMA-CHM 2020 (PRISMA Extension for Chinese Herbal Medicines 2020) guidelines (Page et al., 2021). The PRISMA 2020 checklist is demonstrated in Supplementary Material S1.

2.1 Registration and protocol

The protocol of the systematic review was registered in the PROSPERO, and the registration number is CRD42021281046.

2.2 Search strategy

The following databases from their inception were systematically searched by two independent investigators for randomized controlled trials (RCTs): the Cochrane Library, PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP), and the Wanfang database. The ongoing and registered trials were retrieved from the Clinicaltrials.gov database and the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR). The search strategy used for this updated review was similarly based upon the following terms: "Xiaobanxia Tang," "Xiaobanxia," "neoplasm," "drug therapy, and" "nausea." The retrieval strategies of several databases are displayed in Supplementary Material S2. Two research workers conducted separate searches of the databases and manual retrieval to search for all relevant research literature. All divergences between assessors were settled by deliberation with the third investigator.

2.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

2.3.1 Inclusion criteria

- (1) Type of studies: only RCTs were included and not restricted by sources or countries. The language of publication was confined to English or Chinese.
- (2) Type of participants: grown-up patients were diagnosed with cancer and treated with chemotherapy. The type, pathological type, and stage of cancer were not restricted. Patients had no demographic restrictions such as age, gender, or race.
- (3) Type of interventions: intervention measures included XBXT or modified XBXT, not limited by dosage form (decoctions, capsules, pills, or granules), frequency, or dosage. The experimental group can be treated with either XBXT individually or XBXT combined with the control group.
- (4) Type of comparisons: the control group can be treated with a placebo or conventional therapy. Conventional therapy involves classic western medicine treatments such as antiemetic drugs.
- (5) Types of outcome measures: vomiting and nausea relief efficiency were the primary outcome indicators. The secondary indicators included eating efficiency, adverse reactions, and quality of life.

2.3.2 Exclusion criteria

- (1) The literature studies were reviews, case reports, animal studies, or non-RCTs.
- (2) Patients have suffered from acute infections, mental disorders, gastrointestinal diseases, or other diseases that may induce nausea and vomiting. Patients received radiation therapy.
- (3) Interventions involved TCM treatments other than XBXT, such as acupuncture, moxibustion, or acupoint injection. More than two herbs have been modified in XBXT, or it was not orally administered.
- (4) The control group involved treatments other than placebo or conventional therapy.
- (5) The research data had obvious errors, questionable authenticity, or deficiency of essential indicators. The information on the investigations was duplicated.

2.4 Study selection and data extraction

The retrieved investigations from the databases were sorted into Endnote X9 and screened by two research workers independently. After duplicated studies were removed, all titles and abstracts were reviewed to acknowledge the eligible literature. Then, the full texts were retrieved and evaluated for inclusion. All discrepancies were disposed of by deliberation with a third investigator to reach a consensus.

The information was abstracted and registered in a dataextraction chart by two investigators (Ling Li and Shangmei Jia), respectively. The following elements were collected: fundamental information (title, year, and author), participants (baseline characteristics and sample size), interventions (type, dose, frequency, and procedure of therapies), outcomes (severity and rate of nausea and vomiting and adverse events), outcome indicators, and consequence calculation records of significance.

2.5 Risk of bias

The quality of the retrieved references was appraised independently by two investigators (Ling Li and Shangmei Jia) manipulating the Cochrane risk of bias tool 2.0 (RoB 2) (Cumpston et al., 2019). Discrepancies were overcome by a third reviewer (Shasha Shi).

2.6 Statistical analysis

RevMan 5.4 software was applied to the meta-analysis of the included documents. The risk ratio (RR) was selected for dichotomous outcomes. For continuous data, mean difference (MD) was used. If the included investigations assessed the outcomes by utilizing multiple scales, the standard mean difference (SMD) would be selected. All the estimates were calculated by 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The chi-square test and I^2 statistics are employed to evaluate the statistical heterogeneity of the retrieved literature. If $I^2 > 50\%$, indicating that there was heterogeneity, the random-effects model was adopted; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was employed. Heterogeneity was processed by subgroup analysis or sensitivity analysis, or only through descriptive analysis to investigate presumable causations from a clinical perspective.

2.7 Quality of evidence

The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) tool was adopted to appraise the evidence quality in the review (Izcovich et al., 2023). The quality of evidence was degraded or upgraded by estimating the factors such as the risk of bias, inconsistency, and indirectness. In summary, the quality of evidence was rated as four levels of "high," "moderate," "low," and "very low."

3 Results

3.1 Literature search

A total of 333 records were identified through systematic database retrieval and manual retrieval, among which 136 duplicate records were excluded. Through reviewing the titles and abstracts of 197 studies, 159 references were detected as not complying with the demands and were consequently eliminated. The full text of 38 essays was further retrieved and filtered for inclusion. Eventually, 16 writings (Li et al., 1999; Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Liu, 2011; Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Leng and Li, 2020; Zhang and Wang, 2020; Cui et al., 2021; Tao et al., 2021) fulfilled the criteria for this systematic review. The excluded references and reasons after reading the entire text are exhibited in Supplementary Material S3. The PRISMA flow diagram is represented in Figure 1, which displays the selection process of the entire study.

3.2 Characteristics of included studies

The fundamental characteristics of included RCTs are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. There were 1246 examinees in this investigation, including 628 in the intervention group and 618 in the control group. All the trials were handled in China. All the sick were diagnosed with cancer and received chemotherapy. Fifteen trials (Li et al., 1999; Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Liu, 2011; Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Leng and Li, 2020; Zhang and Wang, 2020; Cui et al., 2021; Tao et al., 2021) compared XBXT and antiemetics with the same individual antiemetics, and one (Chen et al., 2013) compared XBXT with antiemetic drugs. The control group of 11 trials (Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu, 2011; Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Leng and Li, 2020; Zhang and Wang, 2020; Cui et al., 2021; Tao et al., 2021) utilized 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists as antiemetics; in addition, seven studies (Li et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2005; Liu and Wang, 2008; Chen et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; Zhang and Wang, 2020) adopted dopamine receptor antagonists as antiemetics, of which two studies (Fu et al., 2015; Zhang and Wang, 2020) utilized ondansetron and metoclopramide. Among the significant outcome indicators, 13 studies (Li et al., 1999; Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Leng and Li, 2020; Zhang and Wang, 2020; Tao et al., 2021) reported overall efficiency of CINV, and four studies (Guo et al., 2005; Liu and Wang, 2008; Leng and Li, 2020; Cui et al., 2021) reported adverse reaction rates.

3.3 Risk of bias assessment of the included studies

The assessment outcomes of the included 16 investigations using the RoB 2.0 tool are exhibited in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Randomization was mentioned in all studies. Four studies (Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Fu et al., 2015; Cui et al., 2021; Tao et al., 2021) mentioned the generation of random sequences through random number tables. Only one investigation (He, 2017) referred to the method of drawing lots and allocation concealment. Consequently, these five trials were labeled as low risk in the randomization process. One study (Zhang and Wang, 2020) was grouped by the odd–even number method, and one study (Guo



et al., 2005) was grouped according to the date of admission. Both studies were identified as high risk owing to improper randomization approaches. Other research studies have not reported measures for generating random sequences; thus, these research studies have been labeled as unclear risk. In all trials, although participants were aware of the intervention measures, it possibly did not affect the outcomes. Moreover, the analysis means and outcome of measurement in all included RCTs were appropriate. The outcomes of all investigations were impartial, and there were no missing data. Therefore, the interventions, missing data, measurement, and reported results of all trials were marked as low risk. In summary, the majority of research studies had either low or unclear risk of bias, with only two studies (Guo et al., 2005; Zhang and Wang, 2020) having high risk of overall bias.

3.4 Meta-analysis outcome

3.4.1 Vomiting relief efficiency

A total of 11 studies (Li et al., 1999; Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Zhang and Wang, 2020) were evaluated to estimate the vomiting relief efficiency. The results of heterogeneity showed that p = 0.19 and $I^2 = 26\%$, which indicated that the research data were homogeneous. The fixed-effects model was selected, and the analysis results demonstrated that the records showed statistical significance in the effective rate of vomiting relief (N = 787, RR 1.35, 95% CI: 1.25–1.46, p < 0.00001), as shown in Figure 4. Sensitivity analysis manifested that there were few differences in the pooled effect size

estimates and the outcomes were robust (Figure 14A, Supplementary Material S6.1).

Subgroup analysis manifested that whether the control group was treated with 5-HT3RAs (N = 407, RR 1.29, 95% CI: 1.11–1.51, p = 0.001), DARAs (N = 250, RR 1.37, 95% CI: 1.15–1.62, p = 0.0004), or 5-HT3RAs + DARAs (N = 130, RR 1.4495% CI: 1.17–1.77, p = 0.0006), XBXT could improve vomiting relief efficiency (Figure 5).

Based upon the subgroup analysis of vomiting patterns, XBXT combined with antiemetics prominently ameliorated acute vomiting (N = 410, RR 1.23, 95% CI: 1.11–1.36, p < 0.0001) (Li et al., 1999; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Liu and Wang, 2008; Jiang et al., 2013; He, 2017) and delayed vomiting (N = 787, RR 1.38, 95% CI: 1.27–1.50, p < 0.00001) (Li et al., 1999; Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Zheng and Cheng, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Zhang and Wang, 2020) compared with antiemetic drugs (Figure 6). No measurable heterogeneity was identified in the investigation ($I^2 = 18\%$). Sensitivity analysis of both vomiting patterns displayed similar pooled effect size estimates and stable results (Figures 14B,C, Supplementary Materials S6.2, S6.3).

3.4.2 Publication bias

Stata 17.0 was used for the funnel plot to analyze the bias of the included studies. The results are displayed in Figure 7A. It can be identified from the funnel plot that the distribution of the included studies was relatively concentrated; however, the figure was slightly asymmetric. It showed that there was still bias among the included research, but the deviations may not be especially noticeable.

Study ID	Country	Male/ female	Average age	Sample size (T/C)	Interventio	on regime	Treatment duration	Outcomes
		ratio (T; C)	(years)(T/C)	SIZE (17C)	т	С	duration	
Chen et al. (2013)	China	25/21; 22/23	71.2 ± 7.76 (59–79)	91 (46/45)	XBXT	Metoclopramide	3 days	1) and 7)
Cui et al. (2021)	China	Not reported	48 ± 8/49 ± 7	128 (64/64)	XBXT + granisetron	Granisetron	7 days	(1), (2), (4), and (9)
Fu et al. (2015)	China	17/13; 20/10	62.50 ± 3.18/ 63.83 ± 2.35	60 (30/30)	XBXT + metoclopramide	Ondansetron + metoclopramide	During chemotherapy	1, 3, and 5
Guo (2008)	China	34/28	31-76	62 (32/30)	XBXT + azasetron	Azasetron	11 days	①, ②, ③, and ④
Guo et al. (2005)	China	62/38	18-80	100 (50/50)	XBXT + granisetron	Granisetron	7 days	①, ③, and ⑨
He (2017)	China	18/12; 17/13	65.3 ± 2.1 (55-76)/66.1 ± 2.3 (52-77)	60 (30/30)	XBXT + moxibustion + tropisetron	Tropisetron	9 days	(1) and (3)
Jiang et al. (2013)	China	20/25	41 (20-72)	45 (20/25)	XBXT + Maxolon	Maxolon	9 days	①, ③, and ⑧
Leng and Li (2020)	China	29/21; 26/24	64.83 ± 3.98 (50-78)/64.87 ± 4.02 (52-78)	100 (50/50)	XBXT + ondansetron hydrochloride	Ondansetron hydrochloride	5 days	①, ②, ③, and ⑨
Li et al. (1999)	China	72/49	43 (17–72)	121 (64/57)	XBXT + maxolon	Maxolon	10 days	①, ②, ③, and ⑧
Liu (2011)	China	20/20	30-60	40 (20/20)	XBXT + granisetron	Granisetron	5 days	6
Liu and Wang (2008)	China	25/17	46 (31-73)	42 (21/21)	XBXT + metoclopramide	Metoclopramide	6 days	(1), (2), (3), (8), and (9)
Ouyang et al. (2002)	China	50/23; 43/29	52.5 (17-78)/50.3 (20-75)	145 (73/72)	XBXT + ondansetron	Ondansetron	From 2 days before to 2 days after chemotherapy	(1) and (3)
Tao et al. (2021)	China	0/50; 0/50	54.30 ± 8.98/ 53.26 ± 9.64	100 (50/50)	XBXT + ondansetron	Ondansetron	7 days	(1), (2), (3), and (8)
Zhang et al. (2005)	China	24/18	41 (32–67)	42 (23/19)	XBXT + maxolon	Maxolon	10 days	①, ②, and ③
Zhang and Wang (2020)	China	19/16; 20/15	63 ± 4/62.5 ± 3.5	70 (35/35)	XBXT + metoclopramide	Ondansetron + metoclopramide	5 days	1), 3), and 5)
Zheng and Cheng (2012)	China	11/9; 13/7	34.25 ± 9.11 (16-55)/35.45 ± 10.02 (16-55)	40 (20/20)	XBXT + ondansetron	Ondansetron	7 days	(1) and (3)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of included trials.

① vomiting frequency; ② nausea frequency; ③ overall efficiency of CINV; ④ complete control rate; ⑤ quality of life; ⑥ grading of gastrointestinal symptoms; ⑦ safety evaluation; ⑧ appetite; ⑨ incidence of adverse reactions.

The analysis consequence manifested that the graph was asymmetric, with most of the data distributed on the right side of the funnel plot, which suggested that there was the possibility of publication bias. Egger's test demonstrated potential publication bias in the investigations of vomiting relief efficiency (p = 0.013) (Figure 7B, Supplementary Material S4.1). Therefore, it was required to adopt the trim and fill analysis. Through the results of the trim and fill analysis, it was identified that there was no distinct variation in the estimated value of the pooled effect size, indicating that the impact of publication bias was not evident and the outcomes were quite robust (Supplementary Material S5).

3.4.3 Nausea relief efficiency

A total of five studies (Li et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Tao et al., 2021) were evaluated to estimate the nausea relief efficiency. The outcomes of the heterogeneity test identified that p = 0.12 and $I^2 = 45\%$, proving that the included research data were homogeneous. The fixed-effects model was adopted, and the results of meta-analysis revealed that the data existed statistically significant in the efficiency of nausea relief (N = 367, RR 1.23, 95% CI: 1.09–1.38, p = 0.0007) (Figure 8). Sensitivity analysis revealed slight discrepancies in pooled effect size estimates and robust outcomes (Figure 14D, Supplementary Material S6.4).

TABLE 2	Cancer	type	and	components	of	prescriptions.
				00111001100	~ .	p

Study ID	Cancer type	Chemotherapy regimen	Medical institution	Component(g)
Chen et al. (2013)	Various cancers	Platinum-based combination chemotherapy	Nanjing Hospital of TCM, Nangjing, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 10g, fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 20g, and Tuckahoe (Fu Ling, Poria 12 g
Cui et al. (2021)	Breast cancer	AC/EC (doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide/epirubicin + cyclophosphamide)	Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 10 g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 10 g
Fu et al. (2015)	Gastric cancer	Paclitaxel and cisplatin	First Affiliated Hospital of Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 9 g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 15 g
Guo (2008)	Various cancers	Cisplatin-based chemotherapy	Jiaozuo Second People's Hospital of Henan Province, Jiaozuo, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 15g, fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 15g, and Tuckahoe (Fu Ling, Poria 30g
Guo et al. (2005)	Various cancers	Cisplatin-based chemotherapy	Nanyang Medical College Affiliated Hospital, Nanyang, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 15g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Sheng Jiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 20g
He (2017)	Lung cancer	Paclitaxel and cisplatin	Jiaxing Hospital of TCM, Jiaxing, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 20g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 25g
Jiang et al. (2013)	Various cancers	Cisplatin-based chemotherapy	Ningbo Hospital of TCM, Ningbo, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 20g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Sheng Jiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 25g
Leng and Li (2020)	Gastric cancer	TP (paclitaxel and platinum)	The Second Affiliated Hospital to Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 10g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 5g
Li et al. (1999)	Various cancers	Cisplatin-based chemotherapy	Affiliated Hospital of North Sichuan Medical College, Nanchong, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 15g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 20g
Liu (2011)	Lung cancer	EP (cisplatin + etoposide)	TCM tumor Hospital of Henan Province Zhengzhou Huiji District, Zhengzhou, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 30g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 30g
Liu and Wang (2008)	Various cancers	Cisplatin-based chemotherapy	Cancer Hospital of Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical College, Zunyi, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 50g, fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 50g, and Tuckahoe (Fu Ling, Poria) 50g
Ouyang et al. (2002)	Various cancers	Mixed. Multiple regimens of varying emetogenicity	Fuzhou General Hospital of Nanjing Military Region, Fuzhou, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 15g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 20g
Tao et al. (2021)	Gynecological cancer	Paclitaxel + carboplatin, paclitaxel + nedaplatin, and paclitaxel + bevacizumab	Changzhou Maternal and Child Health Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, Changzhou, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 18g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 9g
Zhang et al. (2005)	Lung cancer	PE (cisplatin + etoposide)	Affiliated Hospital of Henan Academy of TCM, Zhengzhou, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 20g, fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 15g, and Tuckahoe (Fu Ling, Poria) 30g

(Continued on following page)

Study ID	Cancer type	Chemotherapy regimen	Medical institution	Component(g)
Zhang and Wang (2020)	Gastric cancer	Paclitaxel + cisplatin	Xing 'an Street Health Center of Anqiu City, Weifang, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 9g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 15g
Zheng and Cheng (2012)	Acute myeloid leukemia	Mixed	The Second Affiliated Hospital of Henan College of TCM, Zhengzhou, China	Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae) 18g and fresh ginger Rhizome (Shengjiang, Zingiber officinale Roscoe) 15g

TABLE 2 (Continued) Cancer type and components of prescriptions.



Subgroup analysis based on distinct antiemetics reflected a reduction in heterogeneity within each subgroup ($I^2 = 0\%$, $I^2 = 0\%$). Nevertheless, compared with 5-HT3RAs, the combination of XBXT and 5-HT3RAs did not reveal a remarkable statistical significance in lowering the nausea relief rate (N = 162, RR 1.10, 95% CI: 1.00–1.22, p = 0.06). Compared with DARAs, XBXT combined with DARAs significantly lessened nausea relief efficiency (N = 205, RR 1.40, 95% CI: 1.11–1.76, p = 0.005) (Figure 9).

3.4.4 Eating efficiency

A total of four studies (Li et al., 1999; Liu and Wang, 2008; Jiang et al., 2013; Tao et al., 2021) were evaluated to estimate the eating efficiency. Three trials were treated with DARAs, whereas one trial was treated with 5-HT3RA. The random-effects model was adopted due to the heterogeneity of p = 0.03 and $I^2 = 66\%$. However, the results of meta-analysis demonstrated that the data were not statistically significant in terms of the eating rate (N = 308, RR 1.21, 95% CI: 0.96–1.52, p = 0.11) (Figure 10).

According to the subgroup analysis of distinct antiemetic drugs, heterogeneity has been significantly lowered (p = 0.47, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 11). Consequently, different antiemetic drugs may be heterogeneous sources for eating efficiency. Sensitivity analysis indicated a relatively high level of sensitivity in the study by Tao et al. (2021) (Figure 14E, Supplementary Material S6.5). After removing the study of Tao et al. (2021), XBXT and DARAs promoted eating efficiency compared with DARAs, and the results were statistically significant (N = 208, RR 1.30, 95% CI:

1.07–1.57, p = 0.007) (Supplementary Material S6.6). It illustrated that the study was the major origin of heterogeneity.

3.4.5 Adverse events

A total of four studies (Guo et al., 2005; Liu and Wang, 2008; Leng and Li, 2020; Cui et al., 2021) were appraised for adverse events. The control groups of three investigations were treated with 5-HT3RAs, whereas one investigation was treated with DARAs. The analysis outcomes of adverse reactions are presented in Figure 10. The homogeneity of the data was fairly good (p = 0.71, $I^2 = 0\%$). Accordingly, the fixed-effects model was employed for statistical analysis. The adverse effect rate of XBXT in treating CINV was lower than that obtained with antiemetics, and the difference existed statistically significant (N = 370, RR 0.53, 95% CI: 0.29–0.96, p =0.04) (Figure 12). Sensitivity analysis demonstrated similar pooled effect size estimates and stable outcomes (Figure 14F, Supplementary Material S6.7).

3.4.6 Quality of life

A total of two studies (Fu et al., 2015; Zhang and Wang, 2020) were evaluated to estimate the quality of life. Compared with individual antiemetic drugs, XBXT combined with antiemetics markedly upgraded the quality of life (RR = 1.37, 95% CI: 1.14–1.65, p = 0.0009) (Figure 13). A fixed-effects model was employed owing to the homogeneity (p = 0.26, $I^2 = 21\%$). Sensitivity analysis displayed few distinctions in the pooled effect size estimates and robust results (Figure 14G, Supplementary Material S6.8).

Study ID	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	Overall	
Chen 2013	?	•	•	•	+	!	+ Low risk
Cui 2021	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Fu 2015	-	-	-	-	Ā	+	? Some concerns
Fu 2015	-						? High risk
Guo 2008	?	+	+	+	+	!	
Guo 2005	?	+	+	+	+	?	
He 2017						+	D1 Randomization process
ne 2017							D2 Deviations from the intended
Jiang 2013	?	+	+	+	+	!	interventions
Leng 2020	?	4	4	4	•		D3 Missing outcome data
Long 2020						$\overline{}$	D4 Measurement of the outcome
Li 1999	?	+	+	+	+	!	D5 Selection of the reported result
Liu 2011	?	+	+	+	+	!	
Liu 2008	?	+	+	+	+		
Ouyang 200	2 ?	+	+	+	•		
Tao 2021		-	-	-	Ā		
1 ao 2021	-	•	•	•	•	-	
Zhang 2005	?	•	•	+	+	!	
Zhang 2020	2	+	+	+	+	?	
Zheng 2012	+	+	+	+	+	+	
FIGURE 3 Risk of bias summary (RoB 2).	•		•	•	-		

	Experime		Contr			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Fu 2015	26	30	17	30	6.6%	1.53 [1.09, 2.16]		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Guo 2005	47	50	36	50	14.0%	1.31 [1.08, 1.57]	-	•••??
Guo 2008	31	32	27	30	10.8%	1.08 [0.94, 1.23]	+	3 5 5 5 5 5
He 2017	26	30	19	30	7.4%	1.37 [1.01, 1.86]	-	•••?
Jiang 2013	16	20	15	25	5.2%	1.33 [0.90, 1.97]	+	<u>?????</u>
Li 1999	51	64	34	57	14.0%	1.34 [1.04, 1.71]	-	3333
Liu 2008	18	21	12	21	4.7%	1.50 [1.00, 2.26]		?????
Ouyang 2002	70	73	51	72	19.9%	1.35 [1.16, 1.58]	-	?????
Zhang 2005	18	23	11	19	4.7%	1.35 [0.87, 2.10]	+	?????
Zhang 2020	32	35	23	35	8.9%	1.39 [1.07, 1.80]	-	••??
Zheng 2012	18	20	10	20	3.9%	1.80 [1.13, 2.86]	-	•???••?
Total (95% CI)		398		389	100.0%	1.35 [1.25, 1.46]	1	
Total events	353		255					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =		10 (P =		= 26%				
Test for overall effect:							0.01 0.1 1 10	100
			,				XBXT control	

		_						
Ctuber Cuber	XBX		Contr			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup 1.2.1 5-HT3RAs	Events	Total	Events	Total	vveignt	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Guo 2005	47	50	36	50	14.3%	1.31 [1.08, 1.57]		
Guo 2005	47	32	27	30		1.08 [0.94, 1.23]		2222442
He 2017	26	30	19	30	7.0%	1.37 [1.01, 1.86]	-	
Ouyang 2002	70	73	51	72		1.35 [1.16, 1.58]	-	2222
Zheng 2012	18	20	10	20	3.4%	1.80 [1.13, 2.86]		
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	205	10	202		1.29 [1.11, 1.51]	٠	••••••
Total events	192	205	143	202	02.17	1.20[1.11, 1.01]	ŀ	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =		2-10		(P - 0	03) 12 - 63	06		
Test for overall effect:				(1 - 0.	.00),1 = 00			
12204040								
1.2.2 DARAs	10	20	15	25	4 70/	1 22 10 00 1 071	L	???? ?
Jiang 2013	16	20 64	15	25 57	4.7%	1.33 [0.90, 1.97]	-	2222442
Li 1999 Liu 2008	51 18	21	34 12	21	9.8% 4.3%	1.34 [1.04, 1.71] 1.50 [1.00, 2.26]	-	2222002
Zhang 2005	18	23	11	19	4.3%	1.35 [0.87, 2.10]	-	2222442
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	128		122	22.4%	1.37 [1.15, 1.62]	•	
Total events	103	120	72	122	22.470	1.57 [1.15, 1.02]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =		² = 0.2		P - 0 9	7)· I ² = 0%			
Test for overall effect:				- 0.5	7,1 - 0.0			
1.2.3 5-HT3RAs + DA	RAs							
Fu 2015	26	30	17	30	5.8%	1.53 [1.09, 2.16]		• ? ? ? • • ?
Zhang 2020	32	35	23	35	9.0%	1.39 [1.07, 1.80]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)	02	65	20	65	14.8%	1.44 [1.17, 1.77]	♦	
Total events	58		40					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =		$r^{2} = 0.19$		P = 0 6	6): ² = 0%			
Test for overall effect:					-,,			
Total (95% CI)		398		389	100.0%	1.31 [1.20, 1.44]	•	
Total events	353		255				<u></u>	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =		² =13		0 (P = 1	0.19): I ² = 2	6%		ł
Test for overall effect:					-,,		0.01 0.1 1 10 100)
Test for subgroup diff		•		2 (P =	$(0.71), ^2 = ($	3%	XBXT control	
lot of vomiting relief	efficien	cy in ۱	/arious a	antiem	netics.			

3.5 Grade of the evidence quality

The GRADE method was utilized to estimate the efficacy of XBXT on CINV (Table 3). The evidence quality was moderate in terms of vomiting relief efficiency, delayed vomiting, adverse events, and quality of life. The risk of bias was determined to be serious, leading to a downgrade of the evidence level. Because there were high-risk selection biases, the research studies had unclear risk of performance and detection biases. The evidence quality for eating efficiency was moderate. The imprecision was identified as serious due to the overlap of 95% confidence intervals. The evidence quality was high in terms of acute vomiting and nausea relief efficiency, as the quality assessments were not serious.

4 Discussion

CINV is a prevalent adverse symptom after chemotherapy with anti-tumor drugs. Antiemetic drugs have been investigated targeting specific pathways involved in CINV, which can induce impairment to the nerve, digestion, and immunity (Hesketh et al., 2017; Aogi et al., 2021). The traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) exerts a significant influence in the treatment of CINV due to its therapeutic effect, mild toxicity, and ability to alleviate adverse reactions of chemical drugs. Therefore, the combination of TCM and western medicine in treating CINV has become one of the research hotspots. The traditional Chinese medicine prescription XBXT has the characteristics of multi-target, wide curative effect, and small side effects, which makes up for the deficiency of antiemetic drugs at present.

The Chinese herbal formula, XBXT, is derived from the Synopsis of Golden Chamber written by Zhongjing Zhang during the Han Dynasty, which can downbear counterflow and check vomiting. XBXT consists of Pinellia ternata (Banxia) and fresh ginger (Shengjiang) that has been utilized for the treatment of vomiting for 1800 years in China. CINV can be quantified by cisplatin-induced augment in kaolin consumption (pica) (Takeda et al., 1993). Rat experiments have demonstrated the antiemetic function of XBXT on CINV in the cisplatin-induced pica model. The function of XBXT is interrelated to the suppression of central or peripheral growth of obestatin, or the levels of cholecystokinin (CCK) and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) in blood (Qian et al., 2011). XBXT could treat CINV by reducing the content of substance P, the expression of the NK1 receptor, and the level of peripheral and central tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), and by inhibiting the synthesis of dopamine in cisplatin-induced pica rats (Qian et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2015a; Yu et al., 2015b). XBXT can regulate multiple inflammation-related signaling pathways, restraining the activation of NLRP3 inflammasome, the overexpression of pro-inflammation cytokines, and the synthesis of 5-HT (Li et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020). XBXT can restrain the activation of the ROS/JNK/Bax signaling pathway, decrease GSDME-mediated pyroptosis, and alleviate gastrointestinal inflammation (Liao et al., 2024). In addition, XBXT may activate the AMPK-Nrf2 signaling pathway

1.3.1 Acute Vomiting Guo 2005 He 2017 Jiang 2013 Li 1999	47	50		Total	Telgin	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Guo 2005 He 2017 Jiang 2013 Li 1999		50						
He 2017 Jiang 2013 Li 1999			36	50	9.0%	1.31 [1.08, 1.57]	-	•••?
Jiang 2013 Li 1999		30	25	30	6.3%	1.08 [0.88, 1.32]	+	$\bullet \bullet ? ? \bullet \bullet ?$
Li 1999	16	20	18	25	4.0%	1.11 [0.80, 1.54]	+	????••?
	56	64	41	57	10.9%	1.22 [1.01, 1.47]	+	?????
Liu 2008	18	21	12	21	3.0%	1.50 [1.00, 2.26]		?????
Zhang 2005	18	23	12	19	3.3%	1.24 [0.83, 1.86]	+	?????
Subtotal (95% CI)		208		202	36.5%	1.23 [1.11, 1.36]	+	
Total events	182		144					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3	8.30, df =	5 (P =	0.65); l ² =	= 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 3.98 (P < 0.0	001)					
1.3.2 Delayed Vomiting	a							
Fu 2015	26	30	17	30	4.3%	1.53 [1.09, 2.16]	-	
Guo 2005	48	50	35	50	8.8%	1.37 [1.13, 1.66]	-	
Guo 2008	31	32	27	30	7.0%	1.08 [0.94, 1.23]	+	2222402
He 2017	26	30	17	30	4.3%	1.53 [1.09, 2.16]		
Jiang 2013	16	20	14	25	3.1%	1.43 [0.95, 2.15]		????
Li 1999	50	64	33	57	8.8%	1.35 [1.04, 1.74]	-	????
Liu 2008	18	21	12	21	3.0%	1.50 [1.00, 2.26]		?????
Ouyang 2002	70	73	51	72		1.35 [1.16, 1.58]	-	?????
Zhang 2005	18	23	11	19	3.0%	1.35 [0.87, 2.10]	<u>+-</u>	?????
Zhang 2020	32	35	23	35	5.8%	1.39 [1.07, 1.80]	-	
Zheng 2012	18	20	10	20	2.5%	1.80 [1.13, 2.86]		• ? ? ? • • ?
Subtotal (95% CI)		398		389	63.5%	1.38 [1.27, 1.50]	+	
Total events	353		250					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	5.37, df =	= 10 (P	= 0.12);	I ² = 35 ⁴	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	Z= 7.82 (P < 0.0	0001)					
Total (95% CI)		606		591	100.0%	1.32 [1.24, 1.41]		
Total events	535		394					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1		= 16 (P		² = 18 ⁴	%			
Test for overall effect: Z							0.01 0.1 1 10	100
Test for subgroup diffe				1 (P =	0.08), I ^z =	67.6%	XBXT control	



and reinstate cisplatin-induced PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitochondrial autophagy defects (Zhao et al., 2024).

This is the updated systematic review and meta-analysis investigating the effectiveness of XBXT compared with antiemetics for the prophylaxis of CINV. A total of 16 RCTs were systematically analyzed to estimate the efficacy and safety of XBXT in treating CINV. A total of 16 RCTs involving 1246 subjects were included, all of which were conducted in China. The investigation manifested that XBXT combined with antiemetics was superior to antiemetic drugs in terms of vomiting relief efficiency, nausea relief efficiency, eating efficiency, and quality of life, and the outcomes were statistically significant. Eleven studies (Li et al., 1999; Ouyang et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Zheng

	XBX	r	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Guo 2008	31	32	27	30	22.9%	1.08 [0.94, 1.23]	+	33334 6 3
Li 1999	41	64	27	57	23.5%	1.35 [0.97, 1.88]	-	????.
Liu 2008	18	21	11	21	9.1%	1.64 [1.05, 2.55]		????? ••?
Tao 2021	47	50	42	50	34.6%	1.12 [0.97, 1.29]	•	$\bullet ? ? ? \bullet \bullet ?$
Zhang 2005	17	23	11	19	9.9%	1.28 [0.81, 2.01]	-	223399
Total (95% CI)		190		177	100.0%	1.23 [1.09, 1.38]	*	
Total events	154		118					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	7.27, df =	4 (P =	0.12); I ² =	45%			0.01 0.1 1 10	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.40 (P = 0.0	007)				XBXT control	100
8	<i>(C)</i>							
t plot of nausea relief e	enciency							

		хвх	-	Contr	-1		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		Risk of Bias
Study or Su	baroun E		S	Contr		Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95		ABCDEFG
2.2.1 5-HT3		venus	Total	Events	TUtal	weight	M-n, rixed, 95% Ci	M-H, FIXeu, 95	70 CI	ADCDEFG
Guo 2008	143	31	32	27	30	22.9%	1.08 [0.94, 1.23]			????!! ?
Tao 2021		47	50	42	50	34.6%	1.12 [0.97, 1.29]			
Subtotal (95	5% CD	47	82	42	80	57.5%	1.10 [1.00, 1.22]	5		
Total events		78		69				[
	ity: Chi ² = 0.1		1 (P =		= 0%					
-	rall effect: Z =		•							
2.2.2 DARA	6									
Li 1999		41	64	27	57	23.5%	1.35 [0.97, 1.88]	-		????++ ?
Liu 2008		18	21	11	21	9.1%	1.64 [1.05, 2.55]			????• •?
Zhang 2005		17	23	11	19	9.9%	1.28 [0.81, 2.01]	-		????• •?
Subtotal (95			108		97	42.5%	1.40 [1.11, 1.76]	•		
Total events		76		49						
Heterogene	ity: Chi ² = 0.6	68, df =	2 (P =	0.71); I ² =	= 0%					
Test for over	rall effect: Z =	2.84 ((P = 0.0	105)						
Total (95% (CI)		190		177	100.0%	1.23 [1.09, 1.38]	•		
Total events		154		118						
Heterogene	ity: Chi ² = 7.2	27, df =	4 (P =	0.12); I ² =	= 45%			0.01 0.1 1	10 100	
Test for over	rall effect: Z =	3.40 ((P = 0.0	007)				0.01 0.1 1 XBXT cont		
Test for sub	group differe	nces:	Chi ² =	3.40, df =	1 (P =	0.07), l ² =	70.6%	ABAT CON	101	
FIGURE 9										
Forest plot of nause	a relief effic	ciency	in var	ious ant	lemet	ICS.				

	XBX	т	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	ABCDEFG
Jiang 2013	15	20	15	25	18.0%	1.25 [0.83, 1.88]		????••?
Li 1999	49	64	36	57	28.8%	1.21 [0.95, 1.54]	-	<u>????</u> ++?
Liu 2008	17	21	10	21	14.2%	1.70 [1.04, 2.79]		????••?
Tao 2021	48	50	46	50	38.9%	1.04 [0.94, 1.15]	•	•???••?
Total (95% CI)		155		153	100.0%	1.21 [0.96, 1.52]	•	
Total events	129		107					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.03; Chi	i ² = 8.80	0, df = 3 (P = 0.0	3); l ² = 66	%		100
Test for overall effect	Z=1.60 ((P = 0.1	1)				0.01 0.1 1 10 XBXT control	100
E 10 t plot of eating efficier	псу.							

and Cheng, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2015; He, 2017; Zhang and Wang, 2020) have shown that XBXT combined with antiemetics might be more conducive to reducing vomiting (RR 1.35, 95% CI: 1.25–1.46). This review found that XBXT reduced the frequency and duration of nausea. Five studies (Li et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2005; Guo, 2008; Liu and Wang, 2008; Tao et al., 2021) revealed that XBXT combined with antiemetics could improve the overall nausea relief efficiency (RR 1.23, 95% CI: 1.09–1.38). Three studies (Li et al., 1999; Liu and Wang, 2008; Jiang et al., 2013) showed that XBXT and DARAs evidently enhanced eating efficiency compared with DARAs (RR 1.30, 95% CI: 1.07–1.57). Four studies (Guo et al., 2005; Liu and Wang, 2008; Leng and Li, 2020; Cui et al., 2021) investigated the frequency of adverse reactions concerned with antiemetics. The adverse reaction rate







in XBXT was lower than that in antiemetic drugs [7.6% (14/185) vs. 14.6% (27/185)]. The meta-analysis demonstrated that the occurrence of headache, constipation, and tiredness declined after the intervention of XBXT. It has been suggested that the combination of XBXT in clinical applications can reduce the incidence of adverse reactions. Moreover, XBXT and antiemetics markedly upgraded the quality of life compared with individual antiemetic drugs (RR = 1.37, 95% CI: 1.14–1.65, p = 0.0009). Subgroup analysis manifested that XBXT and

antiemetics elevated the vomiting relief efficiency, regardless of acute or delayed vomiting. In addition, whether the control group was treated with 5-HT3RAs or DARAs, XBXT combined with antiemetics could improve the vomiting relief efficiency. Sensitivity analysis of vomiting relief efficiency, both vomiting patterns, nausea relief efficiency, adverse event rates, and quality of life displayed similar pooled effect size estimates and robust results. The sensitivity analysis of eating rates manifested that there was a relatively high sensitivity level, as described in the study



by Tao et al. (2021). After eliminating the investigation by Tao et al. (2021), the heterogeneity was evidently decreased, and the outcomes were statistically significant. The GRADE tool was applied for the estimate, demonstrating that the evidence

quality was moderate in vomiting relief efficiency, delayed vomiting, eating efficiency, adverse events, and quality of life, whereas the quality of evidence for acute vomiting and nausea relief rate was high. Thus, it can be considered that the therapeutic

TABLE 3 GRADE evidence profile.

			Quality as	sessment			No. of p	oatients	Eff	ect	Quality	Importance
No. of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Clinical efficacy	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
					Vomit	ting relief efficiency					9 	
11	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	353/ 398 (88.7%)	255/ 389 (65.6%)	RR 1.35 (1.25–1.46)	-	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL
					ŀ	Acute vomiting						
6	Randomized trials	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	182/ 208 (87.5%)	144/ 202 (71.3%)	RR 1.23 (1.11–1.36)	-	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	CRITICAL
					De	elayed vomiting						
11	Randomized trials	Seriousª	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	353/ 398 (88.7%)	250/ 389 (64.3%)	RR 1.38 (1.27–1.5)	-	⊕⊕⊕O MODERATE	CRITICAL
					Naus	ea relief efficiency						
5	Randomized trials	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	154/ 190 (81.1%)	118/ 177 (66.7%)	RR 1.23 (1.09–1.38)	-	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High	Critical
					E	ating efficiency						
4	Randomized trials	No serious risk of bias	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	Serious ^b	None	129/ 155 (83.2%)	107/ 153 (69.9%)	RR 1.21 (0.96–1.52)	-	⊕⊕⊕O Moderate	Critical
					ł	Adverse events						
4	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	14/ 185 (7.6%)	27/ 185 (14.6%)	RR 0.53 (0.29–0.96)	-	⊕⊕⊕O Moderate	Critical
						Quality of life						
2	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	No serious inconsistency	No serious indirectness	No serious imprecision	None	59/ 65 (90.8%)	43/ 65 (66.2%)	RR 1.37 (1.14–1.65)	-	⊕⊕⊕O Moderate	Critical

^aThere were high risk of selection biases. All studies had unclear risk of performance and detection biases. ^bThe 95% confidence interval overlapped with no effect.

efficacy and safety of XBXT associated with antiemetic drugs are better than those of conventional antiemetics alone.

In addition, all experiments were published in Chinese, and most of them were conducted in China. Therefore, it is not possible to draw clear conclusions for other countries. The cancer type and malignancy degree are different, which may affect the occurrence of CINV. The abovementioned factors may have generated deviations in the consequence of this meta-analysis.

5 Conclusion

The meta-analysis conducted in this systemic review revealed that XBXT combined with conventional antiemetic drugs had better efficacy and safety than antiemetics alone. The quality assessment indicated that the overall criteria were scientific. In addition, the potential for publication bias was relatively low. This has manifested the characteristics and superiorities of XBXT combined with antiemetics in treating CINV, which deserves recommendation. However, there are some limitations in the conclusions of this review, which demand to be settled in future investigations. Further well-designed clinical trials with higher methodological quality, larger RCT sample sizes, and inclusion of more countries may be beneficial to demonstrate the effectiveness and safety of XBXT in treating CINV.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

LL: data curation, writing-original draft, and writing-review and editing. SJ: data curation, writing-original draft, and writing-review and editing. CY: conceptualization, funding

References

Abdel-Aziz, H., Windeck, T., Ploch, M., and Verspohl, E. J. (2006). Mode of action of gingerols and shogaols on 5-HT3 receptors: binding studies, cation uptake by the receptor channel and contraction of isolated Guinea-pig ileum. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 530 (1-2), 136–143. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2005.10.049

Aogi, K., Takeuchi, H., Saeki, T., Aiba, K., Tamura, K., Iino, K., et al. (2021). Optimizing antiemetic treatment for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in Japan: update summary of the 2015 Japan society of clinical oncology clinical practice guidelines for antiemesis. *Int. J. Clin. Oncol.* 26 (1), 1–17. doi:10.1007/s10147-020-01818-3

Bai, J., Qi, J., Yang, L., Wang, Z., Wang, R., and Shi, Y. (2022). A comprehensive review on ethnopharmacological, phytochemical, pharmacological and toxicological evaluation, and quality control of *Pinellia ternata* (Thunb.) Breit. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 298, 115650. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2022.115650

Baliga, M. S., Haniadka, R., Pereira, M. M., D'Souza, J. J., Pallaty, P. L., Bhat, H. P., et al. (2011). Update on the chemopreventive effects of ginger and its phytochemicals. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 51 (6), 499-523. doi:10.1080/10408391003698669

Bray, F., Laversanne, M., Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Soerjomataram, I., et al. (2024). Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *Ca. Cancer J. Clin.* 74, 229. doi:10.3322/caac. 21834

acquisition, and writing-review and editing. SS: formal Analysis and writing-review and editing. FP: formal analysis, investigation, supervision, and writing-review and editing.

Funding

The authors declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Sichuan Provincial Department of Science and Technology Experimental Formulae Sichuan Youth Science and Technology Innovation Research Team (2020JDTD0022).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1393597/ full#supplementary-material

Chen, J., Fang, M. Z., and Yang, X. H. (2013). Clinical effect of Poria cocos plus Little Pinellia ternata decoction in treating chemotherapy induced delayed nausea and vomiting. *Tianjin J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 30 (3), 148–150. doi:10.11656/j.issn.1672-1519.2013.03.08

Chen, J. H., Cui, G. Y., Liu, J. Y., and Tan, R. X. (2003). Pinelloside, an antimicrobial cerebroside from Pinellia ternata. *Phytochemistry* 64 (4), 903–906. doi:10.1016/s0031-9422(03)00421-7

Chen, K., Yang, X., Wu, L., Yu, M., Li, X., Li, N., et al. (2013). Pinellia pedatisecta agglutinin targets drug resistant K562/ADR leukemia cells through binding with sarcolemmal membrane associated protein and enhancing macrophage phagocytosis. *PloS One* 8 (9), e74363. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0074363

Chen, W., Zhao, Y., Dai, Y., and Nie, K. (2022). Gastrointestinal inflammation plays a critical role in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 936, 175379. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2022.175379

Chen, Y., and Lu, Y. (2005). 21 cases of Linggui Zhugan decoction and Xiaobanxia decoction in the treatment of nervous vomiting. *Zhejiang Tradit. Chin. Med.* 40 (9), 395. doi:10.3969/j.issn.0411-8421.2005.09.014

Cubeddu, L. X. (1992). Mechanisms by which cancer chemotherapeutic drugs induce emesis. *Semin. Oncol.* 19 (6 Suppl. 15), 2–13.

Cui, Y. X., Mi, J. W., Tang, X. R., Li, F. G., and Zhang, Y. (2021). Clinical study of Xiaobanxia decoction combined with acupuncture at acupoint on the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting after breast cancer surgery. *China Med.* 16 (8), 1231–1235. doi:10.3760/j.issn.1673-4777.2021.08.025

Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M. J., Chandler, J., Welch, V. A., Higgins, J. P., et al. (2019). Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 10 (10), ED000142. doi:10.1002/14651858.ED000142

Du, J., Zhang, Q. L., Li, G. S., and Nie, K. (2018). Effect of Xiaobanxia decoction on NF- κ B, IL-1 β , TNF- α mRNA and protein expression levels in the gastrointestinal tissue of gastrointestinal mucositis model rats induced by chemotherapy. *Shandong J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 37 (12), 1020–1026. doi:10.16295/j.cnki.0257-358x.2018.12.016

Dugasani, S., Pichika, M. R., Nadarajah, V. D., Balijepalli, M. K., Tandra, S., and Korlakunta, J. N. (2010). Comparative antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of [6]-gingerol, [8]-gingerol, [10]-gingerol and [6]-shogaol. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 127 (2), 515–520. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2009.10.004

Fan, R. Q. (2011). Clinical cases of Xiaobanxia-fuling decoction in curing intractable vomiting. *Guangming J. Chin. Med.* 26 (1), 165. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1003-8914.2011.01.0113

Farrell, C., Brearley, S. G., Pilling, M., and Molassiotis, A. (2013). The impact of chemotherapy-related nausea on patients' nutritional status, psychological distress and quality of life. *Support. Care Cancer.* 21 (1), 59–66. doi:10.1007/s00520-012-1493-9

Fu, Y., Du, N., Li, X. S., Hu, J., Zhao, H., Kang, H. R., et al. (2015). Analysis of the application value of Xiaobanxia decoction in the treatment of patients with nausea and vomiting of phlegm-retention syndrome caused by gastric cancer chemotherapy. *Chin. J. Med.* 50 (9), 77–79. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1008-1070.2015.09.024

Gao, Y., Lu, Y., Zhang, N., Udenigwe, C. C., Zhang, Y., and Fu, Y. (2024). Preparation, pungency and bioactivity of gingerols from ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe): a review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 64 (9), 2708–2733. doi:10.1080/10408398.2022.2124951

Guo, T. L. (2008). Clinical observation of Xiaobanxia-fuling decoction in treating delayed nausea and vomiting caused by cisplatin combined with chemotherapy. *Chin. J. Misdiagn.* 8 (20), 4841–4842. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1009-6647.2008.20.045

Guo, Y. W., Zhang, X. Y., and Pan, X. H. (2005). Clinical observation of Granisetron combined with Xiaobanxia decoction in preventing vomiting induced by cisplatinbased chemotherapy. *J. Med. Theory Pract.* 18 (1), 47–48. doi:10.19381/j.issn.1001-7585. 2005.01.038

Gupta, K., Walton, R., and Kataria, S. P. (2021). Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: pathogenesis, Recommendations, and new trends. *Cancer Treat. Res. Commun.* 26, 100278. doi:10.1016/j.ctarc.2020.100278

He, L. M. (2017). Randomized parallel controlled study on the effect of Xiaobanxia decoction plus moxibustion combined with Navoban on lung cancer chemotherapy. *J. Pract. Tradit. Chin. Intern. Med.* 31 (2), 45–46+93. doi:10.13729/j.issn.1671-7813. 2017.02.18

Herrstedt, J., Clark-Snow, R., Ruhlmann, C. H., Molassiotis, A., Olver, I., Rapoport, B. L., et al. (2024). 2023 MASCC and ESMO guideline update for the prevention of chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *ESMO Open* 9 (2), 102195. doi:10.1016/j.esmoop.2023.102195

Hesketh, P. J., Kris, M. G., Basch, E., Bohlke, K., Barbour, S. Y., Clark-Snow, R. A., et al. (2017). Antiemetics: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 35 (28), 3240–3261. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.74.4789

Hesketh, P. J., Kris, M. G., Basch, E., Bohlke, K., Barbour, S. Y., Clark-Snow, R. A., et al. (2020). Antiemetics: ASCO guideline update. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 38 (24), 2782–2797. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.01296

Izcovich, A., Chu, D. K., Mustafa, R. A., Guyatt, G., and Brignardello-Petersen, R. (2023). A guide and pragmatic considerations for applying GRADE to network metaanalysis. *BMJ* 381, e074495. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-074495

Ji, W. (2000). 28 cases of Lizhong decoction and Xiaobanxia decoction in the treatment of functional gastric retention. *Sichuan Tradit. Chin. Med.* 18 (6), 33. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-3649.2000.06.024

Jiang, C. Q., Liu, W. Q., and Shan, G. Z. (2013). Clinical study of Xiaobanxia decoction in the treatment of vomiting after chemotherapy. *Heilongjiang J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 42 (2), 20–21. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-9906.2013.02.013

Jin, S., Zhang, X., and Daniell, H. (2012). Pinellia ternata agglutinin expression in chloroplasts confers broad spectrum resistance against aphid, whitefly, Lepidopteran insects, bacterial and viral pathogens. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 10 (3), 313–327. doi:10.1111/j. 1467-7652.2011.00663.x

Kennedy, S. K. F., Goodall, S., Lee, S. F., DeAngelis, C., Jocko, A., Charbonneau, F., et al. (2024). 2020 ASCO, 2023 NCCN, 2023 MASCC/ESMO, and 2019 CCO: a comparison of antiemetic guidelines for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in cancer patients. *Support. Care Cancer.* 32 (5), 280. doi:10. 1007/s00520-024-08462-x

Kim, H., Park, K. T., Jo, H., Shin, Y., Chung, G., Ko, S. G., et al. (2023). The effect of ginger extract on cisplatin-induced acute anorexia in rats. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14, 1267254. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1267254

Lee, J. Y., Park, N. H., Lee, W., Kim, E. H., Jin, Y. H., Seo, E. K., et al. (2016). Comprehensive chemical profiling of Pinellia species tuber and processed Pinellia tuber by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure chemical ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 1471, 164-177. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2016.10.033

Leng, J., and Li, H. (2020). Treating chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in 50 patients with gastric cancer by Xiaobanxia tang. *West. J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 33 (10), 105–107. doi:10.12174/j.issn.1004-6852.2020.10.28

Li, G. S., Zhang, Q. L., Du, J., Wang, X., and Nie, K. (2017). Effect of XBXT on Prevention and treatment of gastrointestinal tract inflammation injury induced by cisplatin in rats. *Tradit. Chin. Drug Res. Clin. Pharmacol.* 28 (4), 459–463. doi:10.19378/ jissn.1003-9783.2017.04.010

Li, H. (2011). 34 cases of syndrome differentiation and treatment of hyperemesis of pregnancy. *Shaanxi Tradit. Chin. Med.* 32 (11), 1454–1455. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-7369.2011.11.009

Li, M. Q., Hu, X. Y., Wang, Y. Z., Zhang, X. J., Li, J. P., Song, Z. M., et al. (2021). Qualitative analysis on chemical constituents from different polarity extracted fractions of the pulp and peel of ginger rhizomes by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight tandem mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 35 (8), e9029. doi:10.1002/rcm.9029

Li, N., Dong, G., Wang, S., Zhu, S., Shen, Y., and Li, G. (2014). Pinellia pedatisecta agglutinin-based lectin blot analysis distinguishes between glycosylation patterns in various cancer cell lines. *Oncol. Lett.* 8 (2), 837–840. doi:10.3892/ol.2014.2201

Li, X. L., Huang, J. L., and Hu, X. (1999). Clinical observation of Xiaobanxia decoction in treating 121 cases of vomiting caused by tumor chemotherapy. *J. North Sichuan Med. Coll.* 14 (2), 59–60. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-3697.1999.02.043

Li, Y. Q., Yang, Y. H., Zhang, G. L., Meng, Q., Feng, X. D., Cheng, Q. Q., et al. (2020). RNA-Seq reveals inflammatory mechanisms of Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang decoction to ameliorate cisplatin-induced emesis in a rat pica model. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 131, 110699. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110699

Liao, X., Ye, B., Hu, W., Han, J., Zhao, Y., Dai, Y., et al. (2024). Xiaobanxia decoction alleviates chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting by inhibiting GSDME-mediated pyroptosis. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 318 (Pt B), 116970. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2023.116970

Liu, W. Q., Yang, Y., Hao, F. F., Wang, J. N., and Nie, K. (2017). Effect of Xiao Banxia Tang on abnormal gastric emptying and small intestinal propulsion in mice after chemotherapy. *Shandong J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 36 (3), 234–236. doi:10.16295/j.cnki. 0257-358x.2017.03.018

Liu, X., and Wang, J. H. (2008). Clinical effect observation of Xiaobanxia-fuling decoction in preventing vomiting induced by chemotherapy. *J. Zunyi Med. Univ.* 31 (6), 607–609. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-2715.2008.06.017

Liu, X. J. (2011). Clinical observation of Xiaobanxia decoction in preventing gastrointestinal reaction caused by chemotherapy in patients with malignant tumor. *Chin. Manip. Rehabil. Med.* 2 (10), 195.

Lu, Q., Li, N., Luo, J., Yu, M., Huang, Y., Wu, X., et al. (2012). Pinellia pedatisecta agglutinin interacts with the methylosome and induces cancer cell death. *Oncogenesis* 1 (10), e29. doi:10.1038/oncsis.2012.30

Lu, R., and Liu, Q. (2011). One case of syndrome differentiation and treatment of heart failure with intractable vomiting. *J. New Chin. Med.* 43 (3), 176–177. doi:10.13457/j.cnki.jncm.2011.03.013

Maki, T., Takahashi, K., and Shibata, S. (1987). An anti-emetic principle of Pinellia ternata tuber. *Planta Med.* 53 (5), 410–414. doi:10.1055/s-2006-962759

Mao, Q. Q., Xu, X. Y., Cao, S. Y., Gan, R. Y., Corke, H., Beta, T., et al. (2019). Bioactive compounds and bioactivities of ginger (zingiber officinale roscoe). *Foods* 8 (6), 185. doi:10.3390/foods8060185

Marx, W., Ried, K., McCarthy, A. L., Vitetta, L., Sali, A., McKavanagh, D., et al. (2017). Ginger-Mechanism of action in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 57 (1), 141–146. doi:10.1080/10408398.2013.865590

Meng, Q., Cheng, Q., Feng, X., Chen, S., Li, Y., Zhang, G., et al. (2020). The antiemetic effect of xiao-ban-xia-tang formula against cisplatin-induced emesis is mediated through inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome activation in a rat Pica model. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2020, 5497078. doi:10.1155/2020/5497078

Miao, J., Liu, X., Wu, C., Kong, H., Xie, W., and Liu, K. (2017). Effects of acupressure on chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting-a systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* 70, 27–37. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.02.014

Minami, M., Endo, T., Hirafuji, M., Hamaue, N., Liu, Y., Hiroshige, T., et al. (2003). Pharmacological aspects of anticancer drug-induced emesis with emphasis on serotonin release and vagal nerve activity. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 99 (2), 149–165. doi:10.1016/s0163-7258(03)00057-3

Navari, R. M. (2015). 5-HT3 receptors as important mediators of nausea and vomiting due to chemotherapy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1848 (10 Pt B), 2738–2746. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.03.020

Navari, R. M., and Aapro, M. (2016). Antiemetic prophylaxis for chemotherapyinduced nausea and vomiting. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 374 (14), 1356–1367. doi:10.1056/ NEJMra1515442

Nie, K., and Ma, S. Q. (2007). Preventive and therapeutic effects of Xiao Banxia Tang on chemotherapy-induced pica in rats. *Pharm. Clin. Chin. Mat. Med.* 23 (5), 32–33. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-859X.2007.05.016

Niijima, A., Okui, Y., Kubo, M., Higuchi, M., Taguchi, H., Mitsuhashi, H., et al. (1993). Effect of Pinellia ternata tuber on the efferent activity of the gastric vagus nerve in the rat. *Brain Res. Bull.* 32 (2), 103–106. doi:10.1016/0361-9230(93)90063-h

Nunes, C. P., Rodrigues, C. C., Cardoso, C. A. F., Cytrynbaum, N., Kaufman, R., Rzetelna, H., et al. (2020). Clinical evaluation of the use of ginger extract in the preventive management of motion sickness. *Curr. Ther. Res. Clin. Exp.* 92, 100591. doi:10.1016/j.curtheres.2020.100591

Oshio, H., Tsukui, M., and Matsuoka, T. (1978). Isolation of l-ephedrine from "pinelliae tuber. *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo)* 26 (7), 2096–2097. doi:10.1248/cpb.26. 2096

Ouyang, X. N., Dai, X. H., Chen, X., and Ni, M. (2002). Clinical observation of Ondansetron and Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang in preventing vomiting caused by tumor chemotherapy. *Chin. J. Integr. Tradit. West. Med.* 22 (4), 312. doi:10.3321/j.issn: 1003-5370.2002.04.028

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372, n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

Peng, W., Li, N., Jiang, E., Zhang, C., Huang, Y., Tan, L., et al. (2022). A review of traditional and current processing methods used to decrease the toxicity of the rhizome of Pinellia ternata in traditional Chinese medicine. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 299, 115696. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2022.115696

Piechotta, V., Adams, A., Haque, M., Scheckel, B., Kreuzberger, N., Monsef, I., et al. (2021). Antiemetics for adults for prevention of nausea and vomiting caused by moderately or highly emetogenic chemotherapy: a network meta-analysis. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 11 (11), CD012775. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012775.pub2

Qian, Q., Chen, W., Guo, C., Wu, W., Qian, W., and Li, S. (2011). Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang inhibits cisplatin-induced pica by down regulating obestatin in rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 135 (1), 186–193. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2011.03.008

Qian, Q., Chen, W., Yue, W., Yang, Z., Liu, Z., and Qian, W. (2010). Antiemetic effect of Xiao-Ban-Xia-Tang, a Chinese medicinal herb recipe, on cisplatin-induced acute and delayed emesis in minks. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 128 (3), 590–593. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2010.01.027

Qian, Q. H., Yue, W., Chen, W. H., Yang, Z. H., Liu, Z. T., and Wang, Y. X. (2010). Effect of gingerol on substance P and NK1 receptor expression in a vomiting model of mink. *Chin. Med. J. Engl.* 123 (4), 478–484. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.04.017

Rojas, C., Raje, M., Tsukamoto, T., and Slusher, B. S. (2014). Molecular mechanisms of 5-HT(3) and NK(1) receptor antagonists in prevention of emesis. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 722, 26–37. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.08.049

Rojas, C., and Slusher, B. S. (2015). Mechanisms and latest clinical studies of new NK1 receptor antagonists for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: rolapitant and NEPA (netupitant/palonosetron). *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 41 (10), 904–913. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2015.09.005

Shankar, A., Roy, S., Malik, A., Julka, P. K., and Rath, G. K. (2015). Prevention of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in cancer patients. *Asian pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 16 (15), 6207–6213. doi:10.7314/apjcp.2015.16.15.6207

Siegel, R. L., Giaquinto, A. N., and Jemal, A. (2024). Cancer statistics, 2024. CA Cancer J. Clin. 74 (1), 12–49. doi:10.3322/caac.21820

Smyla, N., Koch, T., Eberhart, L. H., and Gehling, M. (2020). An overview of intravenous amisulpride as a new therapeutic option for the prophylaxis and treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 21 (5), 517–522. doi:10.1080/14656566.2020.1714029

Soltani, E., Jangjoo, A., Afzal Aghaei, M., and Dalili, A. (2017). Effects of preoperative administration of ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe) on postoperative nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J. Tradit. Complement. Med.* 8 (3), 387–390. doi:10.1016/j.jtcme.2017.06.008

Takeda, N., Hasegawa, S., Morita, M., and Matsunaga, T. (1993). Pica in rats is analogous to emesis: an animal model in emesis research. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 45 (4), 817–821. doi:10.1016/0091-3057(93)90126-e

Tao, W. T., Xu, P. Z., Shen, J. F., Lu, Z. J., Liu, E. P., and Zhang, K. L. (2021). Clinical study of Xiaobanxia decoction in the prevention and treatment of chemotherapy-

induced nausea and vomiting in gynecological tumors. Mod. Chin. Med. 41 (5), 76-80. doi:10.13424/j.cnki.mtcm.2021.05.014

Tao, Y., Li, W., Liang, W., and Van Breemen, R. B. (2009). Identification and quantification of gingerols and related compounds in ginger dietary supplements using high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57 (21), 10014–10021. doi:10.1021/jf9020224

Tomoda, M., Gonda, R., Shimizu, N., and Ohara, N. (1994). Characterization of an acidic polysaccharide having immunological activities from the tuber of Alisma orientale. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 17 (5), 572–576. doi:10.1248/bpb.17.572

Vardy, J., Chiew, K. S., Galica, J., Pond, G. R., and Tannock, I. F. (2006). Side effects associated with the use of dexamethasone for prophylaxis of delayed emesis after moderately emetogenic chemotherapy. *Br. J. Cancer* 94 (7), 1011–1015. doi:10.1038/sj. bjc.6603048

Wang, L. X., Wang, J., and Nie, K. (2010). 5-HT mechanism of Xiaobanxiatang in preventing chemotherapy-induced pica in rats. *Pharm. Clin. Chin. Mat. Med.* 26 (5), 7–9. doi:10.13412/j.cnki.zyyl.2010.05.013

Was, H., Borkowska, A., Bagues, A., Tu, L., Liu, J. Y. H., Lu, Z., et al. (2022). Mechanisms of chemotherapy-induced neurotoxicity. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 750507. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.750507

Xia, C., Dong, X., Li, H., Cao, M., Sun, D., He, S., et al. (2022). Cancer statistics in China and United States, 2022: profiles, trends, and determinants. *Chin. Med. J. Engl.* 135 (5), 584–590. doi:10.1097/CM9.00000000002108

Xu, X. Y., and Lian, J. W. (2004). The effect of Xiaobanxia decoction on 5-HT receptor in Mice CTZ. J. Zhejiang Chin. Med. Univ. 28 (3), 39–40. doi:10.16466/j.issn1005-5509. 2004.03.023

Yu, G. C., Zhang, Y., Du, X. W., and Nie, K. (2015). Effect of Xiaobanxiatang on substance P and NK1 receptor in chemotherapy-induced pica in rats. *Pharm. Clin. Chin. Mat. Med.* 31 (1), 17–20. doi:10.13412/j.cnki.zyyl.2015.01.006

Yu, G. C., Zhang, Y., and Nie, K. (2015). Effect of Xiao Banxia Tang on synthesis and metabolism of dopamine in chemotherapy-induced pica rats. *Tradit. Chin. Drug Res. Clin. Pharmacol.* 26 (6), 770–774. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1003-9783.2015.06.011

Yu, Z. W. (2006). One case of Xiaobanxia decoction in the treatment of vomiting caused by acute myocardial infarction. *Chin. J. Integr. Med. Cardio-/Cerebrovascular Dis.* 4 (12), 1128. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-1349.2006.12.065

Zhang, G. L., Bi, P. P., Li, Y. Q., Chen, S. Q., and Nie, K. (2021). Effects of 6-gingerol on chemotherapy-induced gastric motility disorder in rat. *Pharmacol. Clin. Chin. Mater. Med.* 37 (1), 84–88. doi:10.13412/j.cnki.zyyl.2021.01.010

Zhang, M. L., Yin, H., and Xu, L. R. (2005). Clinical observation of Xiaobanxia fuling decoction in the treatment of vomiting caused by chemotherapy in advanced lung cancer. *J. Emerg. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 14 (9), 837–858. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1004-745X.2005. 09.016

Zhang, X. M., and Wang, H. T. (2020). Analysis of the application value of Xiaobanxia decoction in the treatment of patients with nausea and vomiting of phlegm-retention syndrome caused by gastric cancer chemotherapy. *Health Horiz*. (15), 117.

Zhao, Y., Han, J., Hu, W., Dai, Y., Wu, X., Liao, X., et al. (2024). Xiao-Ban-Xia decoction mitigates cisplatin-induced emesis via restoring PINK1/Parkin mediated mitophagy deficiency in a rat pica model. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 318 (Pt A), 116882. doi:10. 1016/j.jep.2023.116882

Zheng, X. J., and Cheng, Z. (2012). 20 cases of vomiting after chemotherapy of leukemia treated with integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine. *Tradit. Chin. Med. Res.* 25 (1), 28–29. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-6910.2012.01.013

Zou, T., Wang, J., Wu, X., Yang, K., Zhang, Q., Wang, C., et al. (2023). A review of the research progress on *Pinellia ternata* (Thunb.) Breit.: botany, traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, toxicity and quality control. *Heliyon* 9 (11), e22153. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22153

Zu, G., Wang, H., Wang, J., Dou, Y., Zhao, W., and Sun, Y. (2014). Rhizoma Pinelliae trypsin inhibitor separation, purification and inhibitory activity on the proliferation of BGC-823 gastric adenocarcinoma cells. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 8 (1), 248–254. doi:10.3892/ etm.2014.1701