Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Masamitsu Kanada, Michigan State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Anas Shamsi, Ajman University, United Arab Emirates Ang Luo, Northwest A&F University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fei Mao, ⊠ maofei2003@ujs.edu.cn

^tThese authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 07 February 2024 ACCEPTED 02 April 2024 PUBLISHED 11 April 2024

CITATION

Din MAU, Lin Y, Wang N, Wang B and Mao F (2024), Ferroptosis and the ubiquitinproteasome system: exploring treatment targets in cancer. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1383203. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1383203

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Din, Lin, Wang, Wang and Mao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ferroptosis and the ubiquitin-proteasome system: exploring treatment targets in cancer

Muhammad Azhar Ud Din^{1,2†}, Yan Lin^{3†}, Naijian Wang¹, Bo Wang¹ and Fei Mao^{1,2}*

¹Key Laboratory of Medical Science and Laboratory Medicine of Jiangsu Province, School of Medicine Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lianyungang Clinical College, Jiangsu University, Lianyungang, Jiangsu, China, ³The People's Hospital of Danyang, Affiliated Danyang Hospital of Nantong University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, China

Ferroptosis is an emerging mode of programmed cell death fueled by iron buildup and lipid peroxidation. Recent evidence points to the function of ferroptosis in the aetiology and development of cancer and other disorders. Consequently, harnessing iron death for disease treatment has diverted the interest of the researchers in the field of basic and clinical research. The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) represents a primary protein degradation pathway in eukaryotes. It involves labelling proteins to be degraded by ubiquitin (Ub), followed by recognition and degradation by the proteasome. Dysfunction of the UPS can contribute to diverse pathological processes, emphasizing the importance of maintaining organismal homeostasis. The regulation of protein stability is a critical component of the intricate molecular mechanism underlying iron death. Moreover, the intricate involvement of the UPS in regulating iron death-related molecules and signaling pathways, providing valuable insights for targeted treatment strategies. Besides, it highlights the potential of ferroptosis as a promising target for cancer therapy, emphasizing the combination between ferroptosis and the UPS. The molecular mechanisms underlying ferroptosis, including key regulators such as glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4), cysteine/ glutamate transporter (system XC-), and iron metabolism, are thoroughly examined, alongside the role of the UPS in modulating the abundance and activity of crucial proteins for ferroptotic cell death, such as GPX4, and nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2). As a pivotal regulatory system for macromolecular homeostasis, the UPS substantially impacts ferroptosis by directly or indirectly modulating iron death-related molecules or associated signaling pathways. This review explores the involvement of the UPS in regulating iron death-related molecules and signaling pathways, providing valuable insights for the targeted treatment of diseases associated with ferroptosis.

KEYWORDS

ferroptosis, ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, degradation, cancer, treatment

1 Introduction

The primary goal of cancer treatment research has been to eliminate malignant cells while protecting healthy cells (Cassetta and Pollard, 2023). To prevent the uncontrolled growth of cancer cells and other abnormal tissues, the body relies on a process known as "regulated cell death" (RCD) to keep things in check (Coradduzza et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2023). Apoptosis, paraptosis, necroptosis, and ferroptosis are among the distinct modes of RCD that have been characterized so far (Tong et al., 2022). The tumor's development and the treatment response are impacted differently by each RCD subtype. In contrast to necrosis, apoptosis, and paraptosis, ferroptosis is distinguished by ferrous ions' buildup and lipids' peroxidation (Su et al., 2019; Zhi et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2023). Ferroptosis has been acknowledged as a promising cellular mechanism with potential anti-tumor benefits since its discovery. Furthermore, it has been connected to several other diseases, such as neurological disorders and harm caused by strokes (Dixon et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022). By controlling the metabolism of iron and lipids, ferroptosis regulates the resistance of tumor to chemotherapy or targeted medicines (Qi et al., 2022). The metabolic adaptability of tumor cells, notably their sensitivity to ferroptosis, sheds light on the mechanisms underpinning tumor persistence (Lin et al., 2022). Significant strides have been achieved in increasing cancer cells' sensitivity to ferroptosis by changing their metabolism or activating oncogenic pathways (Deng et al., 2023). Additionally, it has been found that immunotherapy modifies the efficacy of ferroptosis by increasing the levels of lipid peroxide and iron in tumor cells, which facilitates ferroptosis (Yao et al., 2021).

Among the many molecular mechanisms affecting ferroptosis, the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway is widely involved and is closely related to the occurrence and development of tumors and other diseases (Tang and Kroemer, 2020). The exogenous pathway is initiated by the inhibition of system XC- or activation of transferrin (TF) and lactotransferrin (LTF) on the cell membrane. The endogenous mechanism is triggered by the depletion of intracellular glutathione (GSH) and inactivation of GSH peroxidase 4 (GPX4) (Ingold et al., 2018). Ferroptosis plays a crucial role in the development and diseases (Distéfano et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2021), and has become a hot spot in biological research in recent years. Therefore, understanding the relationship between the UPS and ferroptosis can help understand the complex mechanism of ferroptosis and provide new strategies for treating human diseases, including tumors (Chen et al., 2021), neurodegenerative diseases (Masaldan et al., 2019). cardiomyopathy (Fang et al., 2019) ischemia-reperfusion injury (Li et al., 2020), stroke (Alim et al., 2019), traumatic brain injury of cerebral hemorrhage (Bao et al., 2021), ageing (Timmers et al., 2020), atherosclerosis (Ouyang et al., 2021), liver injury (Chen et al., 2022), and kidney injury (Tonnus et al., 2021), or related pathological cell death. With the development of molecular biology, various regulatory factors and related mechanisms mediating ferroptosis are being revealed, and the basic research of ferroptosis is gradually deepening. The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway plays a significant role in ferroptosis and has been linked to the development of cancer and other diseases. Therefore, comprehending the connection between the UPS and ferroptosis will aid in understanding the intricate process of ferroptosis and offer fresh approaches for treating human disorders, such as tumor.

2 Distinctive features of ferroptosis

Ferroptosis is defined by mitochondrial dysfunction and cell death due to iron-dependent lipid peroxidation. It is characterized by several morphological changes, including the blistering of the plasma membrane, the reduction or absence of the mitochondrial ridges, and the preservation of the usual size of the nucleus without chromatin condensation (Rodriguez et al., 2022). The delicate lipid peroxide balance within the cell plays a critical role in the incidence of ferroptosis. Metabolic pathways tightly control ferroptosis, including lipids, iron, and amino acids Figure 1.

Ferroptosis is induced by the iron-mediated oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA). Regarding biological properties, ferroptosis cell death is associated with the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), evidenced mainly by iron and lipid peroxidation buildup. When the metabolism of the antioxidant system in cells is disturbed, it causes an increase in the accumulation of Fe²⁺ within the cell, which in turn mediate the Fenton reaction to produce hydroxyl (-OH) or alkoxy (R-O) free radicals with different peroxides (Su et al., 2019) which can peroxidize with unsaturated fatty acids on the cell membrane. It causes the destruction of the stability of the lipid bilayer and the disintegration of the cell membrane, thus promoting ferroptosis in cells. The mitochondrial morphology of cells undergoing ferroptosis changes significantly, including mitochondrial volume reduction, membrane density increases and ridge reduction or even disappearance. Compared with apoptosis, the nuclear structure of cells undergoing ferroptosis is complete, without nuclear membrane rupture and chromatin marginalization. It is also accompanied by cell shedding and aggregation (Li and Li, 2020).

The occurrence or inhibition of ferroptosis is associated with various molecular mechanisms closely related to the metabolism of amino acids, lipids, iron and other metabolic modes in the cell. The regulatory mechanisms of ferroptosis can be divided into positive and negative ones. Iron metabolism is the essential positive regulatory mechanism of ferroptosis. As mentioned above, excessive accumulation of iron ions in cells can promote the occurrence of ferroptosis. Extracellular Fe3+ is endocytosed into the cell body by transferrin receptor protein 1 (TFR1). After the reduction of Fe³⁺ into ferrous ion Fe²⁺ in the cell, some Fe²⁺ is further stored in the unstable iron pool mediated by DMT1 (divalent metal transporter 1) or zinc-regulated, iron-regulated transporter-like proteins 8 ZIP8/14 (ZRT/IRT-like proteins 8/14). The other part is stored in an iron storage protein complex composed of FTL (ferritin light chain) and FTH1 (ferritin heavy chain). Excessive accumulation of Fe²⁺ triggers the Fenton reaction, which promotes cell ferroptosis.

The SLC7A11-GSH-GPX4 pathway is considered to be the primary negative regulatory mechanism in cells (Lei et al., 2022). In mammal cells, SLC7A11, a member of system XC- on the cell membrane, can take cystine into the cell to synthesize GSH, which is a necessary component for the activity of GPX4, a vital lipid peroxidase in the cell, so that GPX4 can directly reduce phospholipid hydrogen peroxide to hydroxy phospholipid. It



dependent anion channel 2/3; α -KG, alpha ketoglutaric acid; ETC, electron transfer chain.

prevents the peroxidation of PUFA on the cell membrane and thus inhibits ferroptosis in cells (Koppula et al., 2021). At the same time, more studies have shown that there are non-GPX4-dependent harmful regulatory mechanisms of ferroptosis in cells, such as the ferroptosis suppressor protein (FSP1-CoQH2 system), human dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH-CoQH2 system) and GCH1-BH4 system. Among them, FSP1, as a nicotinamide dinucleotide phosphate [NAD(P) H-dependent adenine oxidoreductase], can reduce CoQ (CoQ) to panthenol (CoQH2), thereby trapping lipid peroxy radicals and inhibiting lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis (Bersuker et al., 2019; Doll et al., 2019). Additionally, one study discovered the first ferroptosis defense mechanism operating within mitochondria: dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), an enzyme involved in pyrimidine synthesis, converts CoQ to CoQH2 in the inner mitochondrial membrane, neutralizing the lipid peroxidation defense against ferroptosis in mitochondria (Mao et al., 2021). Through the synthesis of BH4 (tetrahydrobiopterin), a free radical-scavenging antioxidant, CoQH2, and phospholipids (FLs) with two PUFA tails, GCH1 (GTP cyclohydrolase 1) prevents ferroptosis in the GCH1-BH4 system (Soula et al., 2020). Additionally, several transcription factors involved in ferroptosis, including tumor protein 53 (TP53), NFE2L2/NRF2, and activating transcription factor (ATF3), have diverse functions in modulating

ferroptosis sensitivity using transcription-dependent or transcription-independent techniques (Dai et al., 2020).

2.1 Ferroptosis and iron metabolism

Ferroptosis, the process of acquiring, using, storing, and eventually excreting iron, relies heavily on iron metabolism (Hu et al., 2021). During digestion, the duodenum's epithelial cells absorb iron from meals. DMT1 then transports Fe2+ into the cells after iron reductase converts Fe3+ in the intestinal epithelium (Richardson and Ponka, 1997). Iron homeostasis in the body is crucial for maintaining the balance of this essential element. Transferrin and ferritin play vital roles in this process. Transferrin is a glycoprotein that binds to Fe³⁺ in the blood, forming a complex. This complex is taken up by cells through endocytosis mediated by the TfR (Anderson and Frazer, 2017; Yanatori and Kishi, 2019; Shamsi et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2022). Once inside the cell, the iron is released from transferrin in the acidic environment of the endosome. This release is facilitated by a combination of low pH, a conformational change in transferrin upon binding to its receptor, and reduction of transferrin-bound Fe³⁺. The released iron can then be used for metabolic functions, stored within cytosolic ferritin, or exported from the cell via ferroportin (FPN1) (Anderson and Frazer,

2017). Ferritin, an intracellular protein, serves as the primary storage site for excess iron. It accumulates and sequesters iron, preventing its potential neurotoxic effects. Ferritin molecules can accumulate excess iron and are engulfed by lysosomes in a process called "autophagy" (Arosio et al., 2017). Together, transferrin and ferritin play critical roles in maintaining iron homeostasis. Transferrin transports iron to cells, while ferritin stores excess iron, preventing its toxicity. This intricate interplay ensures the proper distribution and storage of iron, supporting various physiological processes in the body (Anderson and Frazer, 2017). An iron pool (IP) that is non-toxic to cells can be formed when iron is safely stored in ferritin. Compared to the IP, the cell's free Fe²⁺ concentration is substantially lower (Lin et al., 2020; Philpott et al., 2020). Free Fe²⁺ is highly reactive and readily forms hydroxyl free radicals when combined with intracellular hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). This causes oxidative damage to DNA, proteins, and membrane lipids. This pathway promotes lipid oxidation, which harms cell membranes and eventually results in cell death (Hong et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2023). Additionally, by lowering the amount of redox-active iron available, several mitochondrial proteins, such as NFS1-ISCU26, CISD1, and CISD2, can negatively control ferroptosis (Chen et al., 2021). There are two parts of ferritin, the FTH1 and the FTL (Zhang et al., 2021). Ferritinophagy is the process whereby ferritin degrades and releases Fe²⁺ (Sun et al., 2022). To create a ferritin complex, the nuclear receptor coactivator 4 (NCOA4) can attach to FTH1 directly. An increase in intracellular free Fe2+ hastens ferroptotic cell death, an increase in the concentration of ferritin phagosomes, and an increase in NCOA4 (Jin et al., 2022; Mi et al., 2023).

Another typical mechanism for ferritin breakdown in cardiomyocytes is heme catalysis via heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1). NRF2 and BAY have been suggested to activate this pathway (Wang et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2023). Researchers have found that increasing HO-1 expression accelerates elastin-induced ferroptosis (Guan et al., 2022). Most cells do not have an efficient method to remove iron when it accumulates beyond storage capacity, which results in elevated levels of the labile IP. A higher concentration of free iron within the cell stimulates the production of labile IP, which speeds up the onset of ferroptosis (Ou et al., 2022).

2.2 Role of lipid metabolism in ferroptosis

PUFAs are essential to the fluidity of cell membranes because of their location in the phospholipid bilayer. An overabundance of PUFAs can cause the Fenton reaction to convert them into hydroxyl radicals, which in turn can cause an overabundance of lipid peroxide and, in turn, cause cells to enter ferroptosis (Dierge et al., 2021; Mishima and Conrad, 2022). Phosphatidyl ethanolamine (PE) makes up roughly 15%–25% of the phospholipids in the membranes of other organelles compared to about 40%–45% of the total phospholipids in the inner membrane of mitochondria (Feng and Stockwell, 2018; Yi et al., 2021). Data indicates that PE contributes to the ferroptosis triggered by arachidonic acid (AA) and its analogues. Acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 4 (ACSL4) catalyzes the reaction in which PUFAs attach to coenzyme A (CoA) to form Acyl-CoA, a key intermediate in the nonenzymatic process of lipid peroxidation (Bouchaoui et al., 2023). Acyl-CoA then undergoes re-esterification to create phospholipids, a process performed by lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 3 (LPCAT3) (Xu et al., 2022). The membrane remodeling enzymes ACSL4 and LPCAT3 are crucial for promoting ferroptosis (Feng et al., 2022). They control the levels of PUFAs in phospholipids.

PE and LPCAT3 boost ferroptosis by inducing membrane phospholipid peroxidation via lipid oxygenase (LOX) activity. Therefore, protecting cells against elastin-induced ferroptosis can be achieved by silencing LOXs (Lee et al., 2022). Multiple mechanisms exist by which lipid peroxides cause damage to cells, including the generation of ROS through the amplification of lipid peroxidation processes, alterations to the membrane's physical structure, and lipid peroxidation byproducts (Zhan et al., 2022).

2.3 Connection of ferroptosis to amino acid metabolism

One of the most effective antioxidants is GSH (Yang et al., 2022). It helps repair damaged cell membranes by acting as a GPX4 substrate, part of the body's natural defense mechanism. An essential transporter for GSH production is the cystine/ glutamate antiporter (XC-) (Wu et al., 2021; Du and Guo, 2022). The system XC- and GPX4 are both essential for the amino acid metabolism associated with ferroptosis. There is only one cellular component, selenocysteine, that can convert lipid peroxide to the equivalent alcohol, and it is located in the center of GPX4. Ferroptosis can occur when GPX4 cannot remove hydrogen peroxide from the environment (Luo et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2022). The system XC- allows cystine and glutamate to be imported and expelled from cells, respectively. To aid in the production of GSH, cystine is converted to cysteine by the internal enzymes glutamate-cysteine ligase (GCL) and glutathione synthase (GSS). Heterodimers of the solute carrier family 3 member 2 (SLC3A2) and the system XC- are responsible for transporting cystine and glutamic acid, respectively (Li et al., 2022). Inducing ferroptosis is possible either by blocking the system XC- directly or indirectly via elevated extracellular glutamate levels.

Additionally, recent research suggests that tryptophan metabolites play a role in the resistance of tumor cells to ferroptosis, a type of cell death distinct from cysteine-mediated ferroptosis. These metabolites include 3-hydroxycyananilic acid (3-HA) and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT). As a result, blocking tryptophan metabolism may be an effective new strategy in the fight against cancer (Liu et al., 2023).

3 The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) pathway

Eukaryotic cells rely heavily on the UPS to regulate various cellular processes, including protein homeostasis, cell cycle progression, and response to stress, signal transmission, and transcriptional activation (Myung et al., 2001; Lan et al., 2018). In eukaryotic cells, intracellular proteins that are oxidized, damaged, or misfolded are broken down by UPS in around 80% of cases (Yang et al., 2021). Although UPS and autophagy are essential mechanisms for protein degradation, the size of the degraded materials strongly



FIGURE 2

(A) Ubiquitin 76 amino acids that is found in various organisms and is highly conserved throughout evolution. It plays a crucial role in ubiquitination, which is involved in regulating protein degradation, cellular signaling, and other important cellular functions. Ubiquitin contains lysine residues (K6, K11, K27, K29, K33, K48, and K63) that are crucial for forming different types of ubiquitin chains and determining the fate of the target protein. (B) In the ubiquitination process, ubiquitin is attached to lysine residues of substrate proteins through a three-step enzymatic reaction. First, ubiquitin is activated by a protein called ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1). Then, the activated ubiquitin is transferred to another protein called ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2). Finally, a protein called ubiquitin ligase (E3) transfers the ubiquitin from E2 to a lysine residue on the target protein. Mono- and polyubiquitin serve as signals in cellular processes such as endocytosis, DNA repair, apoptosis, and transcriptional regulation. Ubiquitin conjugation plays a crucial role in multiple pathways and is not limited to protein degradation alone. (C) The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) is a process in which substrate proteins are ubiquitint form E2 to a lysine residue on the target protein which a single monoubiquitin molecule or with ubiquitin from E2 to a lysine residue on the target proteins. The ubiquitin to E3. E3 then facilitates the transfer of ubiquitin from E2 to a lysine residue on the target protein single monoubiquitin molecule or with ubiquitin chains of varying lengths and linkage types. Substrate proteins modified with specific chains are recognized and degraded by the 26S proteasome. Deubiquitination is typically associated with protein clearance through proteasomal degradation, while mono-ubiquitination affects cellular processes by adding a single ubiquitin moiety to the substrate protein.

10.3389/fphar.2024.1383203

influences the degradation pathway employed (Dikic, 2017). The UPS typically breaks down unfolded polypeptides, whereas more enormous cytosolic complexes, cellular aggregates, and organelles are handled by autophagy. A group of small peptides with 76 amino acids known as ubiquitin molecules contains lysine residues (K6, K11, K27, K29, K33, K48, and K63) Figure 2A, which are activated by the enzymes E1 (ubiquitin-activating enzyme), E2 (ubiquitinconjugating enzyme), and E3 (ubiquitin-protein ligase), are used in covalent bonding to the target protein for alterations during the cascade (Glickman and Ciechanover, catalytic 2002). Monoubiquitination and polyubiquitination are the two basic types of protein ubiquitination. A single ubiquitin molecule is covalently joined to a lysine residue in the target protein during monoubiquitination, the most basic type of ubiquitination (Tonnus et al., 2021). K6, K11, K33, K29, K27, K48, and K63 are polyubiquitination alterations. K48 and K63 changes have received the most research attention of them Figure 2B. The 26S proteasome, which controls protein stability, can identify and eliminate the polyubiquitination of K48.

In contrast, the role of K63 is mainly involved in DNA repair, mediating signal transduction and regulating protein activity (Walczak et al., 2012; Yau and Rape, 2016). In addition to the above ubiquitin modifications, there is also a type of ubiquitin chain used to modify proteins, the linear ubiquitin chain (M1 type), which is covalently linked to the N-terminal methionine residue of one ubiquitin and the C-terminal glycine residue of another. Linear ubiquitin chain synthesis and degradation are distinct processes (Shabek and Ciechanover, 2010). Only the E3 ubiquitin ligase HOIP, along with its regulatory subunits HOIL1L and SHARPIN, can facilitate the synthesis of linear ubiquitin chains, hence the name ubiquitin chain assembly "linear complex" (LUBAC). Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) such as OTULIN (OTU deubiquitinase with linear linkage specificity) remove ubiquitin chains from their targets (Jahan et al., 2021).

The covalent binding of ubiquitin, a tiny protein with 76 amino acids, to the protein substrate, is a crucial step in the complex and well-coordinated process of targeted protein destruction (Nandi et al., 2006). Three enzymes work together in sequence to accomplish this. The E1 requires the energy released by the breakdown of ATP to activate itself, which then causes the ubiquitin molecule to establish a thioester bond with E1. Once again, creating a thioester bond like the first, ubiquitin is transported from E1 to the E2. E3 finally catalyzes the covalent attachment of ubiquitin to the target protein's lysine residues (Fang and Weissman, 2004).

This process is greatly aided by the 26S proteasome complex, which consists of a core 20S proteasome and one or two regulatory 19S proteasome units. When a target protein is modified with a polyubiquitin chain, the 19S proteasome recognizes it, digests the polyubiquitin chain, unfolds the protein, and then translocates it to the 20S proteasome where it is broken down into short peptides (Adams, 2003). In contrast to polyubiquitination, which often results in the proteasomal destruction of proteins, monoubiquitination, in which a single ubiquitin unit is added to the substrate protein, has been shown to affect several cellular functions (Miranda and Sorkin, 2007; Wang et al., 2012). Some examples are protein translocation, DNA damage signaling, epigenetic regulation, and kinase activity as shown in Figure 2C.

Protein ubiquitination is often reversible, and proteins modified by ubiquitination can also be removed by DUBs (Lange et al., 2022). Current studies have shown that the human genome can encode more than 100 deubiquitination enzymes, which are divided into two categories: cysteine proteinases and metalloproteinases. Cysteine proteases can be subdivided into USPs (ubiquitinspecific proteases), MJDs (machadoJoseph disease domain superfamily), OTUs (otubain/ovarian tumor-domain containing proteins), UCHs (ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolases), MINDYs (motif interacting with each other) Ub-containing novel DUB family), ZUP1 (zinc finger-containing ubiquitin peptidase 1) has six families (Clague et al., 2019). In addition to reversing the ubiquitin modification of the substrate protein, DUBs also participate in the editing of the ubiquitin chain, the recovery of ubiquitin molecules, and the processing and maturation of ubiquitin precursors (Haq and Ramakrishna, 2017). Therefore, the abnormal expression or activation of deubiquitination enzymes in cells will directly or indirectly disrupt the regulation of the corresponding signaling pathways, leading to various diseases such as tumors.

The UPS is made up of the 26S proteasome, ubiquitin, enzymes that activate, bind, link, deubiquitinate, and deubiquitinate ubiquitin, as well proteins broken down by 26S proteasome. Research has shown that the UPS is crucial for protein degradation in cells and that it can destroy up to 80%–85% of proteins in eukaryotic cells (Amm et al., 2014). When a ubiquitin tag is added to a substrate, it can alter the substrate's function, localization, protein interaction, or stability and then regulate many different cellular life activities (Lu et al., 2013; Streich Jr and Lima, 2014). These activities are crucial to cell growth. Diseases including cancer, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, Huntington's, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), spongiform encephalopathy (SLE), and arrhythmia can all be effectively treated by inhibiting the proteasome system (Pohl and Dikic, 2019).

4 The UPS and ferroptosis

4.1 The UPS and iron transport system

Factors related to iron metabolism play an essential role in ferroptosis and are potential targets to induce ferroptosis in cells. Studies have shown that in colon cancer, OTUD1 can bind and promote the deubiquitination modification of IREB2 (ironresponsive element-binding protein2), a primary regulator of iron metabolism. Promote the stability of IREB2 protein and activate the expression of its downstream TFRC gene, resulting in intracellular Fe²⁺ aggregation and increased ROS level, and eventually raise colon cancer cells' susceptibility to ferroptosis. It also encourages the release of DAMPs (DAM-associated molecular patterns) to draw in white blood cells and strengthen the host's immune response (Song et al., 2021). Lactoferrin (LF) is a glycoprotein transferrin with the unique ability to bind and transport iron. LTF, like transferrin, increases iron uptake, encouraging ferroptosis in ovarian and pancreatic cancer cells. When RSL3 and Erastin activate ferroptosis in vitro, iron buildup and oxidative damage are decreased because the E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4L mediates the protein degradation of LTF (Wang et al., 2020). Ferroportin is an essential transferrin for the balance of intracellular iron metabolism,

responsible for the outward transport of iron, and it is also the only iron transporter found in mammals (Ganz, 2005; Kuang and Wang, 2019). Studies have shown that USP35 is significantly overexpressed in human lung cancer cells and tissues, and USP35 can maintain the stability of FPN protein by targeting FPN. Functionally, knocking down USP35 in lung cancer cells can directly promote ferroptosis by down-regulating FPN protein levels and inhibiting cell growth and clone formation. In addition, under ferroptosis activator Erastin or RSL3 stimulation, knocking down USP35 can cause iron metabolism disorder and pig ferroptosis of lung cancer cells, thus promoting the growth of lung cancer cells and tumor progression (Tang et al., 2021).

4.2 The UPS and SLC7A11

Studies have shown that the deubiquitinating enzyme OTUB1, which can bind the CD44 (cluster of differentiation-44), is recruited under the effect of removing the ubiquitin chain on SLC7A11 to prevent its degradation through the proteasome and improve its stability, thereby inhibiting the occurrence of ferroptosis in tumors (Liu et al., 2019). However, the essential E3 ligase that causes SLC7A11 degradation in human tumors is unknown. SLC7A11 is regulated by TP53, NFE2L2/ NRF2, ATF3, ATF4, BACH1 and other transcription factors and epigenetic regulation. Therefore, in addition to being directly regulated by the proteasome pathway at the protein level, SLC7A11 is also indirectly affected by the proteasome pathway through transcription factors and regulates ferroptosis. Studies have shown that in glioma cells, activation of the KEAP1-NRF2 signaling axis upregulates SLC7A11 and promotes glutamate secretion, thereby affecting the tumor microenvironment and promoting cell proliferation and resistance to ferroptosis (Fan et al., 2017). In lung cancer cells, p53 can promote the nuclear translocation of the deubiquitinating enzyme USP7, which further removes the ubiquitination of histone H2B from the SLC7A11 gene's regulatory area, thus inhibiting the transcription of SLC7A11 and inactivating the expression of SCL7A11, thereby encouraging ferroptosis in cells (Wang et al., 2019). In addition, the deubiquitinating enzyme BAP1 can remove monoubiquitination at the lysine 119 site of histone H2A on the SLC7A11 promoter, thereby inhibiting SLC7A11 transcription, further reducing cystine uptake of cells and increasing ferroptosis sensitivity. This process is independent of p53 (Wang et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2018).

Further studies have shown that PRC1 (Polycomb Repressive Complex 1) can ubiquitinate histone H2A using Ring1B, Bmi1, and an E2 ubiquitin-binding enzyme UbcH5c. The SLC7A11 promoter's H2A is ubiquitinated, which inhibits SLC7A11's transcription. BAP1 and PCR1 can work together to simultaneously control the promoter's level of ubiquitination, which in turn controls SLC7A11 production (Zhang et al., 2019a). It is not ruled out that BAP1 and PRC1 may be involved in regulating ferroptosis through synergistic regulation of SLC7A11.

4.3 The UPS and GPX4

Studies have shown that PdPT, a broad-spectrum inhibitor of deubiquitination enzyme, induces ferroptosis by promoting the degradation of GPX4 protein in non-small cell lung cancer cells and further inhibits tumor growth (Yang et al., 2020). The specific mechanism is that PdPT can inhibit the deubiquitination of GPX4 by a variety of deubiquitination enzymes, including USP family members (USP14, USP15, USP10, USP7, and USP25) and UCH family members UCHL5, resulting in ubiquitination degradation of GPX4. However, the proteasome inhibitor bortezomide can reverse PDPT-induced GPX4 degradation, suggesting that the proteasome plays an essential role in the ferroptosis induced by PDPT-induced GPX4 degradation (Dong et al., 2022). In addition, by analyzing the changes in different types of ubiquitination modifications in cell ferroptosis, it has been found that cell ferroptosis promotes the increase of intracellular linear ubiquitination levels, and linear ubiquitination can regulate cell ferroptosis. When stimulated by the ferroptosis activator RSL3, GPX4 is ubiquitinated in large quantities, thus recruiting a more LUBAC. LUBAC enhances the protein stability of GPX4 by regulating its linear ubiquitination level and delaying the occurrence of cell ferroptosis, thereby inhibiting cell ferroptosis. The in vitro deubiquitination reaction system was used to analyze the ubiquitination status of GPX4 during ferroptosis. It was found that there were various types of ubiquitination modifications in GPX4, among which K63 ubiquitination was the primary type, and M1 and K48 ubiquitin chains could be linked to K63 ubiquitin chains to form hybrid ubiquitin chains. OTUD5 can remove the K63 ubiquitin chain of GPX4, reduce LUBAC recruitment, decrease linear ubiquitination level, destroy the protein stability of GPX4, and further affect ferroptosis of cells.

4.4 The UPS and NRF2

A transcription factor called NRF2 is essential for regulating antioxidant genes and managing cellular REDOX equilibrium. Under normal physiological conditions, cells undergo a process where NRF2 is kept in a liquid state. This occurs when NRF2 binds to its regulatory molecule known as KEAP1 (Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1) within the cytoplasm. Following this binding, NRF2 is transported to the proteasome, a cellular structure responsible for protein degradation. However, when the cells are subjected to oxidative stress and electrophilic stimulation, NRF2 can be activated. The cysteine residues on the structure of KEAP1 will bind to intracellular ROS or electrophiles, causing conformational changes, during which NRF2 dissociates from KEAP1. Stable NRF2 undergoes nuclear translocation and interacts with antioxidant response elements (ARE) in the target gene promoter region to activate the expression of many cell protection genes, affecting various cell biological functions, such as apoptosis and senescence (Yamamoto et al., 2018). The role of the KEAP1-NRF2 signaling pathway in maintaining appropriate REDOX homeostasis has been well established, and there is growing proof that KEAP1-NRF2 is closely related to the regulation of ferroptosis. For example, recently, researchers using CRISPR screening found that KEAP1 is a key regulator of ferroptosis and showed that the lack of KEAP1 can enhance the resistance of cells to ferroptosis (Cao et al., 2019). This mechanism may be through the p62-KEAP1-NRF2 pathway to upregulate the expression of multip

le genes involved in iron and ROS metabolism (such as SLC7A11, NQO1, HO1 and FTH1), thereby inhibiting cell ferroptosis (Sun et al., 2016b). When NRF2 is strictly regulated by the E3 enzyme KEAP1, it has a corresponding cell stabilization

Deubiquitinating enzyme type	Deubiquitinating enzyme	Impact on ferroptosis	Target	Reference
USP	USP7	Promote	p53, TFRC	Tang et al. (2021a)
USP	USP7	Inhibit	hnRNPA1	Zhang et al. (2020)
USP	USP7	Promote	SLC7A11	Wang et al. (2019)
USP	USP11	Promote	Beclin1	Rong et al. (2022)
USP	USP14	Promote	IL-6, NRF2	Zhu et al. (2022)
USP	USP11	Inhibit	NRF2	Meng et al. (2021)
USP	USP14	Inhibit	Beclin1	Tsai et al. (2020)
USP	USP35	Inhibit	Ferroportin	Tang et al. (2021b)
OTU	OTUD1	Promote	IRP2, TFRC	Song et al. (2021)
USP	USP14	Promote	NCOA4	Li et al. (2021)
OTU	OTUB1	Inhibit	SLC7A11	Zhao et al. (2021)
USP	USP22	Inhibit	SLC7A11	Ma et al. (2020)
UCH	BAP1	Promote	SLC7A11	Zhang et al. (2019b)
UCH	BAP1	Promote	IP3R	Ye et al. (2022)
OTU	A20	Promote	ACSL4, SLC7A11	Gao et al. (2022a)

TABLE 1 Deubiquitinating enzymes' regulation of ferroptosis-associated proteins.

mechanism. Studies have shown that by maintaining the stability of the NRF2 protein, the deubiquitination enzyme USP11 controls ferroptosis and cell division in non-small cell lung cancer (Meng et al., 2021). With the increasing research on applying the ferroptosis mechanism in tumors, NRF2 is also an essential target for tumor treatment. Studies have shown that blocking NRF2 enhances the in vitro and in vivo anti-cancer activity of ferroptosis inducers, including Erastin and sorafenib (Meng et al., 2021). A further benefit of NRF2 activation is stopping ferroptosis from damaging renal tissue (Hu et al., 2022). In addition to inducing ferroptosis in vitro when stimulated by RSL3, Erastin, and ML162 (Dodson et al., 2019), NRF2 also suppresses the expression of genes that regulate GSH release, such as ABCC1/MRP1. These results suggest that most of NRF2's actions in ferroptosis are transcription-dependent; through its transcription factors, it controls the transcription of genes involved in ferroptosis before impacting ferroptosis. However, investigations have yet to be done on its transcriptionindependent roles in ferroptosis. NRF2 target genes can regulate cells' antioxidant, iron, and intermediate metabolic states in the context of disease therapy.

Additionally, it has been established that NRF2 regulates GPX4 and SLC7A11, the two critical targets for suppressing ferroptosis (Shin et al., 2018). These two molecules also serve to regulate ferroptosis further. Therefore, it is still possible to target NRF2 in disorders marked mainly by ferroptosis and lipid peroxidation.

4.5 The UPS and KEAP1-NFE2L2

In ferroptosis, the NFE2L2/NRF2 system functions as a transcriptional defense system (Dai et al., 2020). Because it binds to Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1), the transcription

factor NFE2L2 is constitutively degraded by the UPS during quiescent conditions. When electrophilic stress and oxidative stress occur, KEAP1 is inactivated, stabilizing NFE2L2 and triggering the transcriptional activation of several cytoprotective genes. The autophagy receptor Sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1/p62) can interact with KEAP1's NFE2L2-binding site to stabilize NFE2L2 (Komatsu et al., 2010). Eratin and sorafenib, two ferroptosis activators, cause an increase in the interaction between SQSTM1 and KEAP1, which in turn causes an accumulation of NFE2L2 and enhanced nuclear transcription of NFE2L2 target including FTH1, SLC7A11, NAD(P)H quinone genes, dehydrogenase 1 (NQO1), and heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1) (Sun et al., 2016b; Anandhan et al., 2020). Blocking NFE2L2 consistently increases the anticancer activity of ferroptosis inducers in vitro and in vivo, including sorafenib, a first-line medication for patients with liver cancer (Sun et al., 2016a; Sun et al., 2016b). NFE2L2 activation, on the other hand, might stop ferroptotic tissue damage. Moreover, NFE2L2 suppresses GSH release-related genes [such as ATP-binding cassette sub family C member 1 (ABCC1/MRP1)] to enhance ferroptosis in vitro brought on by RSL3, erastin, and ML162 (Cao et al., 2019). While nothing is known about NFE2L2's transcription-independent role in ferroptosis, all of these studies point to a transcription-dependent role for the protein in the process.

5 The promise of directing therapies towards ubiquitin enzymes and ferroptosis

Ferroptosis-based treatments have produced intriguing results in cancer experimentation. Increasing amounts of evidence point to the possibility that cancer cells' production of ferroptosis could



GPX4. Ferroptosis regulation involves the control of these pathways via ubiquitination.

represent a brand-new avenue for clinical intervention (Wang et al., 2021). Unfortunately, the cancer patient community has not yet fully realized the potential of medicines producing ferroptosis because of a lack of effective treatment candidates and the varied sensitivity of different tumor types to ferroptosis (Chen et al., 2021). DUBs and E3 ligases are essential in controlling cells' susceptibility to ferroptosis. They have potential as both prognostic indicators and ubiquitination pathway targets for novel small compounds, which could significantly speed up the clinical implementation of ferroptosis-based therapy. Many types of anti-cancer medications affect ubiquitin enzymes. These include inhibitors, proteolysistargeting chimaeras (PROTACs), agonists, and molecular glues. Over the past 2 decades, we have seen substantial progress in creating E3 and DUB inhibitors, with several small compounds that target E3 or DUBs being used in preclinical trials and being improved by commercial companies. To promote the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of the protein of interest (POI), PROTAC, a tripartite molecule made up of an E3 ligand, a POI ligand, and a linker, binds to both E3 and POI (Schauer et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2021). Small compounds known as "molecular glues" can re-align ubiquitin enzymes with their substrates, triggering the breakdown of the substrates (Dale et al., 2021).

However, putting ubiquitin-based pro-ferroptosis medicines into practical use will take much work due to the complexity of ubiquitination regulation. Ubiquitin enzymes have a wide range of enzymatic activity. Therefore, it is essential to consider issues like selectivity and potential side effects. Moreover, it is essential to remember that ferroptosis is not limited to cancer cells; it also happens in other cells in the tumor microenvironment, like tumorassociated macrophages (TAMs) and T cells + CD8. These immune cells' ability to ferroptosis can occasionally promote the growth of tumors (Xu et al., 2021). To find the most effective ubiquitin-based pro-ferroptosis therapy, it may be helpful to have a better understanding of how E3s or DUBs regulate ferroptosis.

6 The function of deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) in modulating ferroptosis

DUBs, are essential controllers of protein activity. They accomplish this by selectively eliminating ubiquitin chains from particular proteins. There are seven major groups into which these enzymes can be divided. The others are cysteine-based superfamilies, including the ubiquitin-specific proteases (USPs),

Name	Molecular mechanism	Promote or inhibit ferroptosis	References
OTUD5	Remove the K63 ubiquitin chain of GPX4	+	Dong et al. (2022)
OTUB1	Deubiquitination and stabilization of SLC7A11	_	Liu et al. (2019)
KEAP1	The E3 ligase adaptor of NRF2	_	Sun et al. (2016b)
USP7	p53 promotes the nuclear translocation of USP7, which Deubiq uit and stabilize of H2B	+	Wang et al. (2019)
	deubiquitination and stabilization of hnRNPA	_	Zhang et al. (2020)
BAP1	Deubiquitination and stabilization of H2A	+	Wang et al. (2004)
PRC1	Ubiquitination of H2A	_	Zhang et al. (2019a)
UbcH5c		_	Song et al. (2021)
OTUD1	Deubiquitination and stabilization of IREB2	+	Wang et al. (2020)
NEDD4L	The E3 ligase adaptor of LTF, ubiquitination of LTF	_	
USP35	Deubiquitination and stabilization of FPN	+	Tang et al. (2021b)
USP11	Deubiquitination and stabilization of NRF2	_	Meng et al. (2021)
	Deubiquitination and stabilization of Beclin 1	+	Rong et al. (2022)
VHL	The E3 ligase adaptor of HIFs	_	Zou et al. (2019)
NEDD4	The E3 ligase adaptor of VDAC2 and VDAC3	_	Yang et al. (2020b)

TABLE 2 Ferroptosis control is accomplished by the ubiquitin-proteasome system in two ways.

Note: +: promotion; -: inhibition.

Ub C-terminal hydrolases (UCHs), Machado-Joseph disease domain proteases (MJDs), motif interacting with Ub containing novel DUB family (MINDYs), ovarian tumour proteases (OTUs) and the zinc-finger and UFSP domain protein (ZUFSP) (Lange et al., 2022).

These DUBs can play various biological roles, thanks to their unique structural characteristics. The processing of ubiquitin precursors and the cleavage of poly-ubiquitin chains are two examples; trimming ubiquitin chains to protect proteins from degradation and modifying ubiquitin chains to alter the signals they transmit are two more (Cheng et al., 2019).

Recent studies have shown that DUBs regulate ferroptosis's apoptotic process by altering substrate stability and signaling. The ways that DUBs regulate ferroptosis will be discussed in this text, along with how they might be used to establish a relationship between ferroptosis and tumor suppression. We'll also examine the possible upsides of targeting DUBs in cancer therapies (Table 1). Shows the deubiquitinating enzymes' regulation of ferroptosisassociated proteins.

7 Additional interactions of the UPS in ferroptosis

Recent research has shown that ubiquitin-specific peptidase 11 (USP11) regulates autophagy-dependent ferroptosis in response to spinal cord ischemia-reperfusion injury by deubiquitinating Beclin 1. This connection enhances ferritin breakdown during autophagy and activates autophagy resulting in further ferroptosis (Rong et al., 2022). The process of a cell's ferroptosis is also significantly influenced by a low-oxygen environment. Specific transcription factors susceptible to cellular hypoxia are known as hypoxia-

inducible factors (HIFs), which include three subunits (HIF1A/ HIF1, EPAS1/HIF2, HIF3A/HIF3, and one ARNT/HIF1 subunit). According to the findings, HIFs may play a dual role in controlling ferroptosis in various tumor types. First, HIF1A promotes the absorption as well as storage of fatty acids in human fibrosarcoma cells by inducing the development of two fatty acidbinding proteins by transcription, consequently suppressing ferroptosis triggered by RSL3 and ferroptosis activator FIN56. In contrast, EPAS1 selectively enriched polyunsaturated lipids by transactivating genes encoding hypoxia-induced lipid droplets in renal cancer cells *in vitro*, thereby promoting ferroptosis induced by ferroptosis activators RSL3, ML162, or ML210 (Miess et al., 2018; Zou et al., 2019). The VHL, a particular E3 enzyme of HIFs, acts as a direct indicator of HIF stability, which makes it an indirect regulator of ferroptosis.

Ferroptosis depends on the stability of the functioning of mitochondria and activity because mitochondria are the primary source of RO synthesis. The volt-dependent anion channel (VDAC), a member of the multifunctional channel protein family, is essential for facilitating the passage of ions and metabolites through the outer membrane of mitochondria in eukaryotic cells (Shoshan-Barmatz et al., 2010). Studies have shown that Erastin can bind directly to VDAC2 and induce ferroptosis. When Erastin induced melanoma cells, VDAC2 and VDAC3 formed a negative feedback regulatory mechanism through proteasome-dependent degradation regulated by E3 NEDD4 (Yang et al., 2020). But more research will be needed to determine whether particular mitochondrial E3 ligases are responsible for the destruction of VDAC during ferroptosis. Recent research has shown that tumor-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) contain higher levels of Ubiquitin-specific protease-7 (USP7), which promotes the deubiquitination of miRNA sortrelated protein hnRNPA1. Encourage the release of CAFs

exosome miR-522, and this miR-522-secreted exosome further prevents gastric cancer cells from ferroptosizing by focusing on and suppressing the expression of ALOX15 in these cells (Zhang et al., 2020). As the understanding of ferroptosis and its mechanisms continues to improve, there is increasing evidence that members of the ubiquitin-proteasome system regulate ferroptosis and play an essential role (Figure 3). From the above, it can be found that the ubiquitin-proteasome system has a dual function in regulating ferroptosis (Table 2).

8 Conclusion and future prospects

A unique form of cell death called ferroptosis is brought on by iron-mediated lipid peroxidation. This recently found method offers hope for eliminating cancer cells but raises an intriguing problem in developing the disease. The need for a thorough understanding of the regulatory components driving ferroptosis is further highlighted because it operates through a dualistic process, impacting distinct cells in the tumor microenvironment (TME) in various ways.

In this scenario, the post-transcriptional modification mechanism known as ubiquitination is crucial. Through substrate ubiquitination and deubiquitination regulation, this route exerts influence over a wide range of cellular processes. Cancer development and therapy both involve ubiquitin enzymes and their regulation. These enzymes interact with proteins involved in ferroptosis, which mediates cells' sensitivity to ferroptosis and elucidates their relationship. Recent studies have started to outline how E3 ligases and DUBs collectively affect ferroptosis. However, there is still a significant gap in our knowledge of the precise mechanisms involved, such as the specifics of polyubiquitination, the specifics of ubiquitination sites, or additional procedures that are independent of ligase activity, due to the complex nature of the regulation of ubiquitin enzymes.

We currently have a limited understanding of how developing ferroptosis-regulating proteins like FSP1, DHODH, and GCH1 are regulated by E3s and DUBs. Drug development efforts to suppress ferroptosis with an E3 or DUB inhibitor will advance more quickly if these open questions are resolved. E3s and DUBs, among other ubiquitin system enzymes, are critical regulators of the ferroptosis process. Therefore, deepening our comprehension of this complex regulatory network may reveal novel cancer therapy strategies, adding a potent weapon to our therapeutic toolbox. As we get a deeper understanding of these mechanisms and their interdependencies, we may be able to shed light on hitherto uncharted avenues leading to more effective cancer therapy.

References

Adams, J. (2003). The proteasome: structure, function, and role in the cell. Cancer Treat. Rev. 29, 3–9. doi:10.1016/s0305-7372(03)00081-1

Alim, I., Caulfield, J. T., Chen, Y., Swarup, V., Geschwind, D. H., Ivanova, E., et al. (2019). Selenium drives a transcriptional adaptive program to block ferroptosis and treat stroke. *Cell* 177, 1262–1279. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.032

Amm, I., Sommer, T., and Wolf, D. H. (2014). Protein quality control and elimination of protein waste: the role of the ubiquitin–proteasome system. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Res.* 1843, 182–196. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.06.031

Anandhan, A., Dodson, M., Schmidlin, C. J., Liu, P., and Zhang, D. D. (2020). Breakdown of an ironclad defense system: the critical role of NRF2 in mediating ferroptosis. *Cell Chem. Biol.* 27, 436–447. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2020.03.011

Anderson, G. J., and Frazer, D. M. (2017). Current understanding of iron homeostasis. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 106, 1559S-1566S. doi:10.3945/ajcn.117.155804

Author contributions

MD: Writing-review and editing. YL: Conceptualization, Writing-review and editing. NW: Writing-review and editing. BW: Writing-original draft. FM: Conceptualization, Writing-original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was sponsored by the National Natural Science Fund of China (Grant no. 82250410378), 2022 Jiangsu Excellent postdoctoral program (Grant no. 2022ZB634), the open project of the clinical medical research center of Gynecology and Traditional Chinese Medicine of Zhenjiang (Grant no. SS202204-KFC01), Zhenjiang key research and development plan (social development) (Grant nos SH2022062, SH2022091, and SH2023050) and Project of Suzhou Science and Technology (Grant no. SKY2022027).

Acknowledgments

We extend our sincere appreciation to Arif Rashid and for his invaluable and comprehensive guidance throughout the entirety of the manuscript writing process. We also like to express our sincere appreciation and recognition to Safir Ullah Khan for his diligent and meticulous review of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Arosio, P., Elia, L., and Poli, M. (2017). Ferritin, cellular iron storage and regulation. *IUBMB Life* 69, 414–422. doi:10.1002/iub.1621

Bao, Z., Liu, Y., Chen, B., Miao, Z., Tu, Y., Li, C., et al. (2021). Prokineticin-2 prevents neuronal cell deaths in a model of traumatic brain injury. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4220. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24469-y

Bersuker, K., Hendricks, J. M., Li, Z., Magtanong, L., Ford, B., Tang, P. H., et al. (2019). The CoQ oxidoreductase FSP1 acts parallel to GPX4 to inhibit ferroptosis. *Nature* 575, 688–692. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1705-2

Bouchaoui, H., Mahoney-Sanchez, L., Garçon, G., Berdeaux, O., Alleman, L. Y., Devos, D., et al. (2023). ACSL4 and the lipoxygenases 15/15B are pivotal for ferroptosis induced by iron and PUFA dyshomeostasis in dopaminergic neurons. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 195, 145-157. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2022.12.086 Cao, J. Y., Poddar, A., Magtanong, L., Lumb, J. H., Mileur, T. R., Reid, M. A., et al. (2019). A genome-wide haploid genetic screen identifies regulators of glutathione abundance and ferroptosis sensitivity. *Cell Rep.* 26, 1544–1556. doi:10.1016/j.celrep. 2019.01.043

Cassetta, L., and Pollard, J. W. (2023). A timeline of tumour-associated macrophage biology. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 23, 238–257. doi:10.1038/s41568-022-00547-1

Chen, J., Li, X., Ge, C., Min, J., and Wang, F. (2022). The multifaceted role of ferroptosis in liver disease. *Cell Death Differ*. 29, 467–480. doi:10.1038/s41418-022-00941-0

Chen, X., Kang, R., Kroemer, G., and Tang, D. (2021). Broadening horizons: the role of ferroptosis in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 18, 280–296. doi:10.1038/s41571-020-00462-0

Cheng, J., Guo, J., North, B. J., Wang, B., Cui, C.-P., Li, H., et al. (2019). Functional analysis of deubiquitylating enzymes in tumorigenesis and development. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews Cancer* 1872, 188312. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2019.188312

Clague, M. J., Urbé, S., and Komander, D. (2019). Breaking the chains: deubiquitylating enzyme specificity begets function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 338–352. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0099-1

Coradduzza, D., Congiargiu, A., Chen, Z., Zinellu, A., Carru, C., and Medici, S. (2023). Ferroptosis and senescence: a systematic review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 3658. doi:10.3390/ ijms24043658

Dai, C., Chen, X., Li, J., Comish, P., Kang, R., and Tang, D. (2020). Transcription factors in ferroptotic cell death. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 27, 645–656. doi:10.1038/s41417-020-0170-2

Dale, B., Cheng, M., Park, K.-S., Kaniskan, H. Ü., Xiong, Y., and Jin, J. (2021). Advancing targeted protein degradation for cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 21, 638–654. doi:10.1038/s41568-021-00365-x

Deng, L., He, S., Guo, N., Tian, W., Zhang, W., and Luo, L. (2023). Molecular mechanisms of ferroptosis and relevance to inflammation. *Inflamm. Res.* 72, 281–299. doi:10.1007/s00011-022-01672-1

Dierge, E., Debock, E., Guilbaud, C., Corbet, C., Mignolet, E., Mignard, L., et al. (2021). Peroxidation of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the acidic tumor environment leads to ferroptosis-mediated anticancer effects. *Cell Metab.* 33, 1701–1715.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.016

Dikic, I. (2017). Proteasomal and autophagic degradation systems. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 86, 193–224. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044908

Distéfano, A. M., Martin, M. V., Córdoba, J. P., Bellido, A. M., D'Ippólito, S., Colman, S. L., et al. (2017). Heat stress induces ferroptosis-like cell death in plants. *J. Cell Biol.* 216, 463–476. doi:10.1083/jcb.201605110

Dixon, S. J., Lemberg, K. M., Lamprecht, M. R., Skouta, R., Zaitsev, E. M., Gleason, C. E., et al. (2012). Ferroptosis: an iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell* 149, 1060–1072. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.042

Dodson, M., Castro-Portuguez, R., and Zhang, D. D. (2019). NRF2 plays a critical role in mitigating lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis. *Redox Biol.* 23, 101107. doi:10.1016/j. redox.2019.101107

Doll, S., Freitas, F. P., Shah, R., Aldrovandi, M., da Silva, M. C., Ingold, I., et al. (2019). FSP1 is a glutathione-independent ferroptosis suppressor. *Nature* 575, 693–698. doi:10. 1038/s41586-019-1707-0

Dong, K., Wei, R., Jin, T., Zhang, M., Shen, J., Xiang, H., et al. (2022). HOIP modulates the stability of GPx4 by linear ubiquitination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 119, e2214227119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2214227119

Du, Y., and Guo, Z. (2022). Recent progress in ferroptosis: inducers and inhibitors. *Cell Death Discov.* 8, 501. doi:10.1038/s41420-022-01297-7

Fan, Z., Wirth, A. K., Chen, D., Wruck, C. J., Rauh, M., Buchfelder, M., et al. (2017). Nrf2-Keap1 pathway promotes cell proliferation and diminishes ferroptosis. *Oncogenesis* 6, e371. doi:10.1038/oncsis.2017.65

Fang, S., and Weissman, A. M. (2004). A field guide to ubiquitylation. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 61, 1546–1561. doi:10.1007/s00018-004-4129-5

Fang, X., Wang, H., Han, D., Xie, E., Yang, X., Wei, J., et al. (2019). Ferroptosis as a target for protection against cardiomyopathy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 116, 2672–2680. doi:10.1073/pnas.1821022116

Feng, H., Liu, Q., Deng, Z., Li, H., Zhang, H., Song, J., et al. (2022). Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate erectile dysfunction in rats with diabetes mellitus through the attenuation of ferroptosis. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 13, 450. doi:10.1186/s13287-022-03147-w

Feng, H., and Stockwell, B. R. (2018). Unsolved mysteries: how does lipid peroxidation cause ferroptosis? *PLoS Biol.* 16, e2006203. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2006203

Ganz, T. (2005). Cellular iron: ferroportin is the only way out. *Cell Metab.* 1, 155–157. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2005.02.005

Gao, C., Xiao, F., Zhang, L., Sun, Y., Wang, L., Liu, X., et al. (2022a). SENP1 inhibition suppresses the growth of lung cancer cells through activation of A20-mediated ferroptosis. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 10, 224. doi:10.21037/atm-21-6909

Gao, S., Jia, S., Bai, L., Li, D., and Meng, C. (2022b). Transcriptome analysis unveils that exosomes derived from M1-polarized microglia induce ferroptosis of neuronal cells. *Cells* 11, 3956. doi:10.3390/cells11243956

Glickman, M. H., and Ciechanover, A. (2002). The ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway: destruction for the sake of construction. *Physiol. Rev.* 82, 373–428. doi:10. 1152/physrev.00027.2001

Guan, D., Zhou, W., Wei, H., Wang, T., Zheng, K., Yang, C., et al. (2022). Ferritinophagy-mediated ferroptosis and activation of Keap1/Nrf2/HO-1 pathway were conducive to EMT inhibition of gastric cancer cells in action of 2, 2-dipyridineketone hydrazone dithiocarbamate butyric acid ester. Oxid. Med. Cell Longev. 2022, 3920664. doi:10.1155/2022/3920664

Haq, S., and Ramakrishna, S. (2017). Deubiquitylation of deubiquitylases. *Open Biol.* 7, 170016. doi:10.1098/rsob.170016

Hong, X., Roh, W., Sullivan, R. J., Wong, K. H. K., Wittner, B. S., Guo, H., et al. (2021). The lipogenic regulator SREBP2 induces transferrin in circulating melanoma cells and suppresses ferroptosis. *Cancer Discov*. 11, 678–695. doi:10.1158/2159-8290. CD-19-1500

Hu, J., Gu, W., Ma, N., Fan, X., and Ci, X. (2022). Leonurine alleviates ferroptosis in cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury by activating the Nrf2 signalling pathway. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 179, 3991–4009. doi:10.1111/bph.15834

Hu, Z.-W., Chen, L., Ma, R.-Q., Wei, F.-Q., Wen, Y.-H., Zeng, X.-L., et al. (2021). Comprehensive analysis of ferritin subunits expression and positive correlations with tumor-associated macrophages and T regulatory cells infiltration in most solid tumors. *Aging (Albany NY)* 13, 11491–11506. doi:10.18632/aging.202841

Huang, Y., Wang, S., Ke, A., and Guo, K. (2023). Ferroptosis and its interaction with tumor immune microenvironment in liver cancer. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA)*-*Reviews Cancer* 1878, 188848. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2022.188848

Ingold, I., Berndt, C., Schmitt, S., Doll, S., Poschmann, G., Buday, K., et al. (2018). Selenium utilization by GPX4 is required to prevent hydroperoxide-induced ferroptosis. *Cell* 172, 409–422. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.048

Jahan, A. S., Elbæk, C. R., and Damgaard, R. B. (2021). Met1-linked ubiquitin signalling in health and disease: inflammation, immunity, cancer, and beyond. *Cell Death Differ.* 28, 473–492. doi:10.1038/s41418-020-00676-w

Jiang, X., Stockwell, B. R., and Conrad, M. (2021). Ferroptosis: mechanisms, biology and role in disease. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 22, 266–282. doi:10.1038/s41580-020-00324-8

Jin, Y., Qiu, J., Lu, X., and Li, G. (2022). C-MYC inhibited ferroptosis and promoted immune evasion in ovarian cancer cells through NCOA4 mediated ferritin autophagy. *Cells* 11, 4127. doi:10.3390/cells11244127

Komatsu, M., Kurokawa, H., Waguri, S., Taguchi, K., Kobayashi, A., Ichimura, Y., et al. (2010). The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 12, 213–223. doi:10.1038/ncb2021

Koppula, P., Zhuang, L., and Gan, B. (2021). Cystine transporter SLC7A11/xCT in cancer: ferroptosis, nutrient dependency, and cancer therapy. *Protein Cell* 12, 599–620. doi:10.1007/s13238-020-00789-5

Kuang, Y., and Wang, Q. (2019). Iron and lung cancer. Cancer Lett. 464, 56-61. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2019.08.007

Lan, Y.-L., Wang, X., Lou, J.-C., Xing, J.-S., Yu, Z.-L., Wang, H., et al. (2018). Bufalin inhibits glioblastoma growth by promoting proteasomal degradation of the Na+/K+-ATPase a1 subunit. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 103, 204–215. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018. 04.030

Lange, S. M., Armstrong, L. A., and Kulathu, Y. (2022). Deubiquitinases: from mechanisms to their inhibition by small molecules. *Mol. Cell* 82, 15–29. doi:10. 1016/j.molcel.2021.10.027

Lee, J.-J., Chang-Chien, G.-P., Lin, S., Hsiao, Y.-T., Ke, M.-C., Chen, A., et al. (2022). 5-Lipoxygenase inhibition protects retinal pigment epithelium from sodium iodateinduced ferroptosis and prevents retinal degeneration. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* 2022, 1792894. doi:10.1155/2022/1792894

Lei, G., Zhuang, L., and Gan, B. (2022). Targeting ferroptosis as a vulnerability in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 22, 381-396. doi:10.1038/s41568-022-00459-0

Li, C., Sun, G., Chen, B., Xu, L., Ye, Y., He, J., et al. (2021). Nuclear receptor coactivator 4-mediated ferritinophagy contributes to cerebral ischemia-induced ferroptosis in ischemic stroke. *Pharmacol. Res.* 174, 105933. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105933

Li, D., and Li, Y. (2020). The interaction between ferroptosis and lipid metabolism in cancer. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 5, 108. doi:10.1038/s41392-020-00216-5

Li, W., Li, W., Leng, Y., Xiong, Y., and Xia, Z. (2020). Ferroptosis is involved in diabetes myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury through endoplasmic reticulum stress. *DNA Cell Biol.* 39, 210–225. doi:10.1089/dna.2019.5097

Li, Y., Yan, J., Zhao, Q., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2022). ATF3 promotes ferroptosis in sorafenib-induced cardiotoxicity by suppressing Slc7a11 expression. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 904314. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.904314

Lin, L., Wang, S., Deng, H., Yang, W., Rao, L., Tian, R., et al. (2020). Endogenous labile iron pool-mediated free radical generation for cancer chemodynamic therapy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 142, 15320–15330. doi:10.1021/jacs.0c05604

Lin, Z., Liu, J., Long, F., Kang, R., Kroemer, G., Tang, D., et al. (2022). The lipid flippase SLC47A1 blocks metabolic vulnerability to ferroptosis. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 7965. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-35707-2

Liu, C., He, P., Guo, Y., Tian, Q., Wang, J., Wang, G., et al. (2022). Taurine attenuates neuronal ferroptosis by regulating GABAB/AKT/GSK3 β / β -catenin pathway after subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 193, 795–807. doi:10.1016/j. freeradbiomed.2022.11.003

Liu, D., Liang, C., Huang, B., Zhuang, X., Cui, W., Yang, L., et al. (2023). Tryptophan metabolism acts as a new anti-ferroptotic pathway to mediate tumor growth. *Adv. Sci.* 10, 2204006. doi:10.1002/advs.202204006

Liu, T., Jiang, L., Tavana, O., and Gu, W. (2019). The deubiquitylase OTUB1 mediates ferroptosis via stabilization of SLC7A11. *Cancer Res.* 79, 1913–1924. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-3037

Lu, L., Li, D., and He, F.-C. (2013). Bioinformatics advances in protein ubiquitination. *Yi Chuan* 35, 17–26. doi:10.3724/sp.j.1005.2013.00017

Luo, Y., Tian, G., Fang, X., Bai, S., Yuan, G., and Pan, Y. (2022). Ferroptosis and its potential role in glioma: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutic opportunities. *Antioxidants* 11, 2123. doi:10.3390/antiox11112123

Ma, S., Sun, L., Wu, W., Wu, J., Sun, Z., and Ren, J. (2020). USP22 protects against myocardial ischemia–reperfusion injury via the SIRT1-p53/SLC7A11–dependent inhibition of ferroptosis–induced cardiomyocyte death. *Front. Physiol.* 11, 551318. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.551318

Ma, T., Du, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, B., and Zhang, T. (2022). GPX4independent ferroptosis—a new strategy in disease's therapy. *Cell Death Discov.* 8, 434. doi:10.1038/s41420-022-01212-0

Mao, C., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Lei, G., Yan, Y., Lee, H., et al. (2021). DHODH-mediated ferroptosis defence is a targetable vulnerability in cancer. *Nature* 593, 586–590. doi:10. 1038/s41586-021-03539-7

Masaldan, S., Bush, A. I., Devos, D., Rolland, A. S., and Moreau, C. (2019). Striking while the iron is hot: iron metabolism and ferroptosis in neurodegeneration. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 133, 221–233. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.09.033

Meng, C., Zhan, J., Chen, D., Shao, G., Zhang, H., Gu, W., et al. (2021). The deubiquitinase USP11 regulates cell proliferation and ferroptotic cell death via stabilization of NRF2 USP11 deubiquitinates and stabilizes NRF2. *Oncogene* 40, 1706–1720. doi:10.1038/s41388-021-01660-5

Mi, Y., Wei, C., Sun, L., Liu, H., Zhang, J., Luo, J., et al. (2023). Melatonin inhibits ferroptosis and delays age-related cataract by regulating SIRT6/p-Nrf2/GPX4 and SIRT6/NCOA4/FTH1 pathways. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 157, 114048. doi:10.1016/j. biopha.2022.114048

Miess, H., Dankworth, B., Gouw, A. M., Rosenfeldt, M., Schmitz, W., Jiang, M., et al. (2018). The glutathione redox system is essential to prevent ferroptosis caused by impaired lipid metabolism in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Oncogene* 37, 5435–5450. doi:10.1038/s41388-018-0315-z

Miranda, M., and Sorkin, A. (2007). Regulation of receptors and transporters by ubiquitination: new insights into surprisingly similar mechanisms. *Mol. Interv.* 7, 157–167. doi:10.1124/mi.7.3.7

Mishima, E., and Conrad, M. (2022). Nutritional and metabolic control of ferroptosis. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 42, 275–309. doi:10.1146/annurev-nutr-062320-114541

Myung, J., Kim, K. B., and Crews, C. M. (2001). The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and proteasome inhibitors. *Med. Res. Rev.* 21, 245–273. doi:10.1002/med.1009

Nandi, D., Tahiliani, P., Kumar, A., and Chandu, D. (2006). The ubiquitin-proteasome system. J. Biosci. 31, 137-155. doi:10.1007/BF02705243

Ou, M., Jiang, Y., Ji, Y., Zhou, Q., Du, Z., Zhu, H., et al. (2022). Role and mechanism of ferroptosis in neurological diseases. *Mol. Metab.* 61, 101502. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2022. 101502

Ouyang, S., You, J., Zhi, C., Li, P., Lin, X., Tan, X., et al. (2021). Ferroptosis: the potential value target in atherosclerosis. *Cell Death Dis.* 12, 782. doi:10.1038/s41419-021-04054-3

Philpott, C. C., Patel, S. J., and Protchenko, O. (2020). Management versus miscues in the cytosolic labile iron pool: the varied functions of iron chaperones. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Res.* 1867, 118830. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020. 118830

Pohl, C., and Dikic, I. (2019). Cellular quality control by the ubiquitin-proteasome system and autophagy. Sci. (1979) 366, 818-822. doi:10.1126/science.aax3769

Qi, X., Wan, Z., Jiang, B., Ouyang, Y., Feng, W., Zhu, H., et al. (2022). Inducing ferroptosis has the potential to overcome therapy resistance in breast cancer. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 1038225. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.1038225

Qin, X., Wang, K., Cao, P., Su, Y., Chen, S., Yu, H., et al. (2023). Highly efficient electro-Fenton process on hollow porous carbon spheres enabled by enhanced H2O2 production and Fe2+ regeneration. *J. Hazard Mater* 446, 130664. doi:10. 1016/j.jhazmat.2022.130664

Qiu, G.-W., Koedooder, C., Qiu, B.-S., Shaked, Y., and Keren, N. (2022). Iron transport in cyanobacteria-from molecules to communities. *Trends Microbiol.* 30, 229–240. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2021.06.001

Richardson, D. R., and Ponka, P. (1997). The molecular mechanisms of the metabolism and transport of iron in normal and neoplastic cells. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews Biomembr.* 1331, 1–40. doi:10.1016/s0304-4157(96) 00014-7

Rodriguez, R., Schreiber, S. L., and Conrad, M. (2022). Persister cancer cells: iron addiction and vulnerability to ferroptosis. *Mol. Cell* 82, 728–740. doi:10.1016/j.molcel. 2021.12.001

Rong, Y., Fan, J., Ji, C., Wang, Z., Ge, X., Wang, J., et al. (2022). USP11 regulates autophagy-dependent ferroptosis after spinal cord ischemia-reperfusion injury by deubiquitinating Beclin 1. *Cell Death Differ.* 29, 1164–1175. doi:10.1038/s41418-021-00907-8

Schauer, N. J., Magin, R. S., Liu, X., Doherty, L. M., and Buhrlage, S. J. (2019). Advances in discovering deubiquitinating enzyme (DUB) inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* 63, 2731–2750. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b01138

Shabek, N., and Ciechanover, A. (2010). Degradation of ubiquitin: the fate of the cellular reaper. *Cell cycle* 9, 523–530. doi:10.4161/cc.9.3.11152

Shamsi, A., Anwar, S., Shahbaaz, M., Mohammad, T., Alajmi, M. F., Hussain, A., et al. (2020). Evaluation of binding of rosmarinic acid with human transferrin and its impact on the protein structure: targeting polyphenolic acid-induced protection of neurodegenerative disorders. *Oxid. Med. Cell Longev.* 2020, 1245875. doi:10.1155/ 2020/1245875

Shin, D., Kim, E. H., Lee, J., and Roh, J.-L. (2018). Nrf2 inhibition reverses resistance to GPX4 inhibitor-induced ferroptosis in head and neck cancer. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 129, 454–462. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.10.426

Shoshan-Barmatz, V., De Pinto, V., Zweckstetter, M., Raviv, Z., Keinan, N., and Arbel, N. (2010). VDAC, a multi-functional mitochondrial protein regulating cell life and death. *Mol. Asp. Med.* 31, 227–285. doi:10.1016/j.mam.2010.03.002

Song, J., Liu, T., Yin, Y., Zhao, W., Lin, Z., Yin, Y., et al. (2021). The deubiquitinase OTUD1 enhances iron transport and potentiates host antitumor immunity. *EMBO Rep.* 22, e51162. doi:10.15252/embr.202051162

Soula, M., Weber, R. A., Zilka, O., Alwaseem, H., La, K., Yen, F., et al. (2020). Metabolic determinants of cancer cell sensitivity to canonical ferroptosis inducers. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 16, 1351–1360. doi:10.1038/s41589-020-0613-y

Streich Jr, F. C., and Lima, C. D. (2014). Structural and functional insights to ubiquitin-like protein conjugation. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 43, 357–379. doi:10.1146/ annurev-biophys-051013-022958

Su, L.-J., Zhang, J.-H., Gomez, H., Murugan, R., Hong, X., Xu, D., et al. (2019). Reactive oxygen species-induced lipid peroxidation in apoptosis, autophagy, and ferroptosis. Oxid. Med. Cell Longev. 2019, 5080843. doi:10.1155/2019/5080843

Sun, K., Li, C., Liao, S., Yao, X., Ouyang, Y., Liu, Y., et al. (2022). Ferritinophagy, a form of autophagic ferroptosis: new insights into cancer treatment. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 1043344. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.1043344

Sun, X., Niu, X., Chen, R., He, W., Chen, D., Kang, R., et al. (2016a). Metallothionein-1G facilitates sorafenib resistance through inhibition of ferroptosis. *Hepatology* 64, 488–500. doi:10.1002/hep.28574

Sun, X., Ou, Z., Chen, R., Niu, X., Chen, D., Kang, R., et al. (2016b). Activation of the p62-Keap1-NRF2 pathway protects against ferroptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Hepatology* 63, 173–184. doi:10.1002/hep.28251

Tang, D., and Kroemer, G. (2020). Ferroptosis. Curr. Biol. 30, R1292-R1297. doi:10. 1016/j.cub.2020.09.068

Tang, L.-J., Zhou, Y.-J., Xiong, X.-M., Li, N.-S., Zhang, J.-J., Luo, X.-J., et al. (2021a). Ubiquitin-specific protease 7 promotes ferroptosis via activation of the p53/ TfR1 pathway in the rat hearts after ischemia/reperfusion. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 162, 339–352. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2020.10.307

Tang, Z., Jiang, W., Mao, M., Zhao, J., Chen, J., and Cheng, N. (2021b). Deubiquitinase USP35 modulates ferroptosis in lung cancer via targeting ferroportin. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 11, e390. doi:10.1002/ctm2.390

Timmers, P. R. H. J., Wilson, J. F., Joshi, P. K., and Deelen, J. (2020). Multivariate genomic scan implicates novel loci and haem metabolism in human ageing. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 3570. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17312-3

Tong, X., Tang, R., Xiao, M., Xu, J., Wang, W., Zhang, B., et al. (2022). Targeting cell death pathways for cancer therapy: recent developments in necroptosis, pyroptosis, ferroptosis, and cuproptosis research. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 15, 174–232. doi:10.1186/s13045-022-01392-3

Tonnus, W., Meyer, C., Steinebach, C., Belavgeni, A., von Mässenhausen, A., Gonzalez, N. Z., et al. (2021). Dysfunction of the key ferroptosis-surveilling systems hypersensitizes mice to tubular necrosis during acute kidney injury. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4402. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24712-6

Tsai, Y., Xia, C., and Sun, Z. (2020). The inhibitory effect of 6-gingerol on ubiquitinspecific peptidase 14 enhances autophagy-dependent ferroptosis and anti-tumor *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Front*. *Pharmacol*. 11, 598555. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.598555

Walczak, H., Iwai, K., and Dikic, I. (2012). Generation and physiological roles of linear ubiquitin chains. *BMC Biol.* 10, 23–26. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-10-23

Wang, G., Gao, Y., Li, L., Jin, G., Cai, Z., Chao, J.-I., et al. (2012). K63-linked ubiquitination in kinase activation and cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 2, 5. doi:10.3389/fonc.2012. 00005

Wang, H., Cheng, Y., Mao, C., Liu, S., Xiao, D., Huang, J., et al. (2021). Emerging mechanisms and targeted therapy of ferroptosis in cancer. *Mol. Ther.* 29, 2185–2208. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.03.022

Wang, H., Wang, L., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Vidal, M., Tempst, P., Jones, R. S., et al. (2004). Role of histone H2A ubiquitination in Polycomb silencing. *Nature* 431, 873–878. doi:10.1038/nature02985

Wang, S., Zheng, Y., Jin, S., Fu, Y., and Liu, Y. (2022). Dioscin protects against cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury by reducing ferroptosis and apoptosis through activating Nrf2/HO-1 signaling. *Antioxidants* 11, 2443. doi:10.3390/antiox11122443

Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Kang, R., and Tang, D. (2020). NEDD4L-mediated LTF protein degradation limits ferroptosis. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 531, 581–587. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.07.032

Wang, Y., Yang, L., Zhang, X., Cui, W., Liu, Y., Sun, Q., et al. (2019). Epigenetic regulation of ferroptosis by H2B monoubiquitination and p53. *EMBO Rep.* 20, e47563. doi:10.15252/embr.201847563

Wu, H., Li, D., Zhang, T., and Zhao, G. (2023). Novel mechanisms of perioperative neurocognitive disorders: ferroptosis and pyroptosis. *Neurochem. Res.* 48, 2969–2982. doi:10.1007/s11064-023-03963-3

Wu, J., Wang, Y., Jiang, R., Xue, R., Yin, X., Wu, M., et al. (2021). Ferroptosis in liver disease: new insights into disease mechanisms. *Cell Death Discov.* 7, 276. doi:10.1038/ s41420-021-00660-4

Xu, H., Ye, D., Ren, M., Zhang, H., and Bi, F. (2021). Ferroptosis in the tumor microenvironment: perspectives for immunotherapy. *Trends Mol. Med.* 27, 856–867. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2021.06.014

Xu, W., Sun, T., Wang, J., Wang, T., Wang, S., Liu, J., et al. (2022). GPX4 alleviates diabetes mellitus-induced erectile dysfunction by inhibiting ferroptosis. *Antioxidants* 11, 1896. doi:10.3390/antiox11101896

Xu, Y., Tong, Y., Lei, Z., Zhu, J., and Wan, L. (2023). Abietic acid induces ferroptosis via the activation of the HO-1 pathway in bladder cancer cells. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 158, 114154. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2022.114154

Yamamoto, M., Kensler, T. W., and Motohashi, H. (2018). The KEAP1-NRF2 system: a thiol-based sensor-effector apparatus for maintaining redox homeostasis. *Physiol. Rev.* 98, 1169–1203. doi:10.1152/physrev.00023.2017

Yanatori, I., and Kishi, F. (2019). DMT1 and iron transport. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 133, 55–63. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2018.07.020

Yang, H., Chen, X., Li, K., Cheaito, H., Yang, Q., Wu, G., et al. (2021). Repurposing old drugs as new inhibitors of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway for cancer treatment. *Seminars cancer Biol.* 68, 105–122. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer. 2019.12.013

Yang, L., Chen, X., Yang, Q., Chen, J., Huang, Q., Yao, L., et al. (2020a). Broad spectrum deubiquitinase inhibition induces both apoptosis and ferroptosis in cancer cells. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 949. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.00949

Yang, X., Chen, Y., Song, W., Huang, T., Wang, Y., Chen, Z., et al. (2022). Review of the role of ferroptosis in testicular function. *Nutrients* 14, 5268. doi:10.3390/nu14245268

Yang, Y., Luo, M., Zhang, K., Zhang, J., Gao, T., Connell, D. O., et al. (2020b). Nedd4 ubiquitylates VDAC2/3 to suppress erastin-induced ferroptosis in melanoma. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 433. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14324-x Yao, F., Zhan, Y., Pu, Z., Lu, Y., Chen, J., Deng, J., et al. (2021). LncRNAs target ferroptosis-related genes and impair activation of CD4+ T cell in gastric cancer. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 797339. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.797339

Yau, R., and Rape, M. (2016). The increasing complexity of the ubiquitin code. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 18, 579–586. doi:10.1038/ncb3358

Ye, P., Chi, X., Cha, J.-H., Luo, S., Yang, G., Yan, X., et al. (2021). Potential of E3 ubiquitin ligases in cancer immunity: opportunities and challenges. *Cells* 10, 3309. doi:10.3390/cells10123309

Ye, Y., Li, X., Feng, G., Ma, Y., Ye, F., Shen, H., et al. (2022). 3, 3'-Diindolylmethane induces ferroptosis by BAP1-IP3R axis in BGC-823 gastric cancer cells. *Anticancer Drugs* 33, 362–370. doi:10.1097/CAD.00000000001270

Yi, X., Li, Y., Hu, X., Wang, F., and Liu, T. (2021). Changes in phospholipid metabolism in exosomes of hormone-sensitive and hormone-resistant prostate cancer cells. *J. Cancer* 12, 2893–2902. doi:10.7150/jca.48906

Zhan, S., Yung, M. M. H., Siu, M. K. Y., Jiao, P., Ngan, H. Y. S., Chan, D. W., et al. (2022). New insights into ferroptosis initiating therapies (FIT) by targeting the rewired lipid metabolism in ovarian cancer peritoneal metastases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 15263. doi:10.3390/ijms232315263

Zhang, H., Deng, T., Liu, R., Ning, T., Yang, H., Liu, D., et al. (2020). CAF secreted miR-522 suppresses ferroptosis and promotes acquired chemo-resistance in gastric cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 19, 43–17. doi:10.1186/s12943-020-01168-8

Zhang, J., Chen, X., Hong, J., Tang, A., Liu, Y., Xie, N., et al. (2021). Biochemistry of mammalian ferritins in the regulation of cellular iron homeostasis and oxidative responses. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 64, 352–362. doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1795-4

Zhang, Y., Koppula, P., and Gan, B. (2019a). Regulation of H2A ubiquitination and SLC7A11 expression by BAP1 and PRC1. *Cell Cycle* 18, 773–783. doi:10.1080/15384101. 2019.1597506

Zhang, Y., Shi, J., Liu, X., Feng, L., Gong, Z., Koppula, P., et al. (2018). BAP1 links metabolic regulation of ferroptosis to tumour suppression. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 20, 1181–1192. doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0178-0

Zhang, Y., Zhuang, L., and Gan, B. (2019b). BAP1 suppresses tumor development by inducing ferroptosis upon SLC7A11 repression. *Mol. Cell Oncol.* 6, 1536845. doi:10. 1080/23723556.2018.1536845

Zhao, X., Zhou, M., Yang, Y., and Luo, M. (2021). The ubiquitin hydrolase OTUB1 promotes glioma cell stemness via suppressing ferroptosis through stabilizing SLC7A11 protein. *Bioengineered* 12, 12636–12645. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021.2011633

Zhi, Y., Zhang, S., Zi, M., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, M., et al. (2022). Potential applications of N 6-methyladenosine modification in the prognosis and treatment of cancers via modulating apoptosis, autophagy, and ferroptosis. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA* 13, e1719. doi:10.1002/wrna.1719

Zhu, G., Sui, S., Shi, F., and Wang, Q. (2022). Inhibition of USP14 suppresses ferroptosis and inflammation in LPS-induced goat mammary epithelial cells through ubiquitylating the IL-6 protein. *Hereditas* 159, 21–12. doi:10.1186/s41065-022-00235-y

Zou, Y., Palte, M. J., Deik, A. A., Li, H., Eaton, J. K., Wang, W., et al. (2019). A GPX4dependent cancer cell state underlies the clear-cell morphology and confers sensitivity to ferroptosis. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1617. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09277-9