### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Valentina Echeverria Moran, United States Department of Veterans Affairs, United States

REVIEWED BY Moataz Ahmed Shaldam, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt Javiera Norambuena, Universidad de Playa Ancha, Chile

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lilibeth A. Salvador-Reyes, ⊠ lsreyes@msi.upd.edu.ph

RECEIVED 31 January 2024 ACCEPTED 23 April 2024 PUBLISHED 23 May 2024

#### CITATION

Peran JE and Salvador-Reyes LA (2024), Modified oxylipins as inhibitors of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1379643. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1379643

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Peran and Salvador-Reyes. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Modified oxylipins as inhibitors of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*

### Jacquelyn E. Peran and Lilibeth A. Salvador-Reyes\*

Marine Science Institute, College of Science, University of the Philippines Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines

New approaches to combating microbial drug resistance are being sought, with the discovery of biofilm inhibitors considered as alternative arsenal for treating infections. Natural products have been at the forefront of antimicrobial discovery and serve as inspiration for the design of new antibiotics. We probed the potency, selectivity, and mechanism of anti-biofilm activity of modified oxylipins inspired by the marine natural product turneroic acid. Structure-activity relationship (SAR) evaluation revealed the importance of the trans-epoxide moiety, regardless of the position, for inhibiting biofilm formation. trans-12,13-epoxyoctadecanoic acid (**1**) and trans-9,10 epoxyoctadecanoic acid (4) selectively target the early stage of biofilm formation, with no effect on planktonic cells. These compounds interrupt the formation of a protective polysaccharide barrier by significantly upregulating the *ica* operon's transcriptional repressor. This was corroborated by docking experiment with SarA and scanning electron micrographs showing reduced biofilm aggregates and the absence of thread-like structures of extrapolymeric substances. In silico evaluation revealed that 1 and 4 can interfere with the AgrA-mediated communication language in Staphylococci, typical to the diffusible signal factor (DSF) capacity of lipophilic chains.

#### KEYWORDS

oxylipins, fatty acids, biofilm, Staphylococcus epidermidis, antimicrobial

### Introduction

Microorganisms usually occur as aggregates of microcolonies. This clustering can be associated with substratum attachment and matrix encapsulation, which forms biofilm and is ubiquitous in nature. This mode of growth is essential to the survival of some microorganisms thriving in nutrient-depleted conditions and extreme environments (Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004). Because of this protective form, pathogenic bacteria like *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* have become increasingly resistant to antibiotic treatments (Singh et al., 2021). The apparent medical implication of biofilm suggests a need for understanding its complex system to optimize possible mitigation strategies.

Biofilm formation usually starts with the attachment of free-living planktonic cells to a suitable substratum. Initial adherence of bacterial cells can be influenced by environmental factors such as pH, material type, temperature, and hydrophobicity (Dhar and Han, 2020). During this reversible stage, attachment is also mediated by microbial inherent properties like pili, flagellum, surface adhesins, and signaling molecules. This is followed by an irreversible stage of microbial network maturation with distinct Extracellular Polymeric Substance (EPS) formation. EPS is a mixture of



protein, nucleic acids, and polysaccharides, which may vary per pathogen (Arciola et al., 2015). This encapsulation allows the bacteria to store nutrients, block drug penetration, and facilitate communication via quorum sensing. Ultimately, planktonic cell dispersal can happen on the outer layer of matured biofilms, which can spread and mediate systemic infections in clinical settings (Dhar and Han, 2020).

The marine ecosystem remains a rich repository of bioactive compounds (Jiménez, 2018; Petersen et al., 2020; Deng et al., 2022). Various host organisms and associated microbes were reported as good sources of antibiofilm compounds (Deng et al., 2022). Several marine-derived compounds were characterized to produce anti-biofilm properties with varying target domains, such as quorum sensing (QS)-inhibitor psammaplin A (Oluwabusola et al., 2022), EPS-disruptor cyclic dipeptide (L-Leucyl-L-propyl) (Gowrishankar et al., 2014), pre-formed biofilm suppressor butenolide (Yin et al., 2019), and bacterial aggregation downregulator rodriguesine A (Lima et al., 2014). Three modified fatty acids were previously purified from the shipworm endosymbiont Teredinibacter turnerae 991H.S.0a.06 (Lacerna et al., 2020). Turneroic acid, a new modified oxylipin characterized by an epoxide moiety and a hydroxy group, inhibited biofilm formation in S. epidermidis RP26A (Lacerna et al., 2020). Preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR) showed that trans-12,13-epoxyoctadecanoic acid (1) has a high selectivity index for anti-biofilm activity against S. epidermidis with no antiproliferative effects against MDCK NBL-2 cell line (Lacerna et al., 2020). SAR showed the importance of the epoxide moiety in selective biofilm inhibition against Staphylococci (Lacerna et al., 2020).

Related compounds to 1 have been reported to exhibit different bioactivities but have not been assessed for

antibiofilm properties in representative microbial pathogens. The saturated fatty acids palmitic (2) and stearic (3) acids are ineffective as antibiotics or biofilm inhibitors (Sanabria-Ríos et al., 2020). The racemic mixture of 9,10-epoxyoctadecanoic acid demonstrated antimicrobial activity against a *Pseudomonas syringae* strain but was ineffective against other subtypes and other plant pathogens including *Xanthomonas* and *Erwinia* (Prost et al., 2005). Meanwhile, 12,13-epoxy-9(Z)-octadecenoic acid inhibited the plant fungi *Phytophthora* and *Cladosporium* (Prost et al., 2005).

Herein, we report our efforts to expand the SAR using additional analogues of modified oxylipins and further elucidate its antibiofilm mechanism. We assessed the effects of modified oxylipins on the different stages of biofilm formation using static microdilution assays, microscopy, gene expression, and docking analysis.

# Materials and methods

### Compounds

Fatty acids palmitic (2) and stearic acids (3) were sourced from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States of America). *Trans*-12,13-epoxyoctadecanoic acid (1), *trans*-9,10-epoxyoctadecanoic acid (4), *cis*-12,13-epoxyoctadecanoic acid (5), *trans*-12,13-epoxy-9(*Z*)-octadecenoic acid (6) were purchased from Larodan Fine Chemicals (Solna, Sweden) (Figure 1). Stock solutions were prepared in DMSO. The positive control chloramphenicol was sourced from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States of America). Filtered sterilized DMSO (1%) was used as negative control.

### Bacterial cultures and culture conditions

Inoculum preparation was based on the methods of Lacerna et al. (2020), as adapted from Sarker et al. (2007). Briefly, Gram-(+) biofilm formers Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538 and S. epidermidis RP262A ATCC 35984 and Gram-(-) P. aeruginosa ATCC 41501 were revived on Tryptic Soy Agar TSA (Pronadisa, Spain) plates for biofilm studies and Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) (Himedia, India) for planktonic setup. Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) (Himedia, India) with 1% glucose and Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) (Himedia, India) were used in the assays for biofilm and planktonic cells, respectively, unless otherwise stated. Microbial cell culture was adjusted to match 0.5 McFarland standard and was further diluted, equivalent to  $5 \times 10^5$  colony forming units/mL (cfu/mL). The 96well polystyrene flat bottom plates (Costar 3596, United States of America) and 6-well plates (Costar 3506, United States of America) were covered with the corresponding sterile polystyrene lid and sealed with a parafilm to ensure a tight lid.

### Biofilm inhibitory concentration (MBIC) and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination

Assessment of the biofilm inhibitory activity of 1-6 was based on the method of Lacerna et al. (2020), as adapted from Skogman et al. (2012). Microbial pathogens were treated with two-fold serial dilution of 1-6, starting with 128 µg/mL to 1 µg/mL. MHB and TSB culture media were used for planktonic and biofilm quantitation, respectively. The 96-well flat-bottom polystyrene plates (Costar 3,596, United States of America) were incubated for 18-20 h at 37 °C without shaking to promote biofilm formation. The formed biofilm was quantified using Alexa Fluor<sup>™</sup> 488 (WGA 488, Thermo Fisher, United States of America) probe (0.05 µg/mL). The bound dye was solubilized with 33% acetic acid and quantified spectrophotometrically at 485 nm/420 nm excitation filters and 528 nm/520 nm emission filters. In another set of plates, the planktonic cells were monitored at the end of the incubation and quantified using 0.02% resazurin (Sigma, United States of America). The fluorescence was measured at 530 nm excitation and 590 nm emission using a microplate reader (Biotek Synergy HT, Winooski, United States of America). Percent inhibition was calculated relative to the solvent control. MBIC and MIC are the lowest concentration where ≥98% biofilm and ≥98% planktonic cells inhibition, respectively, were observed.

### Pre-formed biofilm disruption assay

S. epidermidis was incubated on TSB culture medium for 18–20 h at 37 °C without shaking to allow biofilm formation in a 96-well flat bottom polystyrene plate (Costar 3596, United States of America). The planktonic cells were removed and replaced with fresh medium and treated with two-fold dilution of 1 and 4, starting from 128  $\mu$ g/mL to 4  $\mu$ g/mL. At the end of the 18 h incubation, the metabolic activity in the biofilm was quantified using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT)

(Sigma Aldrich). MTT quantifies viability through the conversion of the yellow tetrazolium MTT dye to a purple formazan product by mitochondrial reductases. The metabolic activity was assessed by reading the absorbance at 570 nm (Biotek Synergy HT, Winooski, United States of America). In a duplicate plate, the microbial density of the biofilm was assessed using WGA 488 probe according to the methods described above. Biofilm disruption was assessed relative to the solvent control (1% DMSO).

## Time-kill kinetics

A 24-h monitoring of *S. epidermidis* biofilm and planktonic growth was performed using TSB and MHB, respectively. Each setup in a 96-well polystyrene flat bottom plate (Costar 3596, United States of America) was treated with 1 and 4 ( $24 \mu g/mL$ ) or solvent control (1% DMSO). Planktonic cell growth was assessed using MTT (Sigma Aldrich, United States of America) while biofilm was quantified using WGA 488 reagent. Absorbance and fluorescence readings were taken every 2 h using Biotek Synergy HT (Winooski, United States of America).

### Microscopy

Sterile glass coverslips (Thickness #1) were placed in 6-well flat bottom polystyrene plate (Costar 3506, United States of America). Briefly, *S. epidermidis* adjusted inoculum was transferred on each well, followed by TSB containing 24  $\mu$ g/mL of compounds 1 or 4 (1% DMSO). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h without shaking to facilitate biofilm formation.

The microbial content was aspirated at the end of incubation, and fixed with methacarn solution (methanol: chloroform: glacial acetic acid, 6: 3: 1) for 48 h (Dassanayake et al., 2020). Biofilms were rinsed with sterile Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS), post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide (Electron Microscopy Science, Hatfield, United States of America) for 30 min, and washed with distilled water every 5 min (4x). Finally, the coverslips were dehydrated by an increasing series of ethanol: 30% for 5 min, 50% for 10 min, 70% for 15 min (2×) 95% for 20 min (2×), and 100% for 20 min (2×). Further chemical drying was done using increasing concentration of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) every 20 min (1:1 EtOH:HMDS, 1:3 EtOH:HMDS, and 100% HMDS). Platinum coating for 40 s and 40 mAmps was conducted using Hitachi MC1000 sputter coater. SEM imaging was performed using a Hitachi SU Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope.

### RNA extraction, quantitative real time-PCR analysis

S. epidermidis was cultured in TSB medium in a flat bottom polystyrene plate (Costar 3,506, United States of America). Compounds 1 and 4 ( $24 \mu g/mL$ ), or 1% DMSO were added to plates and incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C without shaking. At the end of the 24 h incubation, RNA extraction was performed on the total *S. epidermidis* population, consisting of both planktonic cells and biofilm, according to Juhlin et al. (2017) with some

modifications. Bacterial lysis was performed using acid-washed glass beads (400-600 µm; Sigma Aldrich, United States of America) and TriZol-chloroform phase separation (Juhlin et al., 2017). Total RNA was extracted from the aqueous phase using Qiagen RNeasy® Mini Kit according to the Manufacturer's protocol. The purity and quantity of RNA were assessed using NanoSpec and Qubit<sup>™</sup> RNA BR assay. RNA was further reverse transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Lithuania). Gene expression was quantitatively determined by real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems<sup>™</sup> ABI 7500) using SYBR Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The housekeeping gene gyrB was used to normalize the relative expression data of the genes of interest using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. Primer sequence of the genes are as follows: icaR forward 5'target 5'-CATTGACGGACTTTACCAGTTTT-3', and reverse 5'-ATCCAAAGCGATGTGCGTAG-3'; icaB forward 5'-GAAACAGGCTTATGGGACTTTG-3', and reverse CAAGTGCGCGTTCATTTTT-3'; 5'and gyrB forward 5'-TGACGAGGCATTAGCAGGTT-3', reverse and GTGAAGACCGCCAGATACTTT-3'.

## Docking study

The structure of oxylipin 4 was obtained from PubChem (CID: 15,868) while the crystalline structures of Staphylococcal accessory regulator A (SarA) (PDB ID: 2FNP) and accessory gene regulator (AgrA) (PDB ID: 4XYO) were recovered from Protein Data Bank. Other ligands (1 and 5) were created using ChemDraw Ultra 12.0 (Cousins, 2005) and optimized using Avogadro (Hanwell et al., 2012). In silico molecular docking was carried out using AutoDockTools version 1.5.7 (Goodsell and Olson, 1990). Prior to docking, the water molecules from the protein were removed. Kollman charges were assigned while polar hydrogen bonds were included in the existing macromolecule. The ligand torsion was made rigid between carbons C8-C11 to maintain the trans-configuration. Grid map was optimized to include the surrounding residue with grid point spacing of 0.375 Å for AgrA and 0.558 Å for SarA. Lamarckian genetic algorithm was used to analyze the docking process with 50 runs and 300 population size. The complex with the least binding free energy and high clustering record was selected. Docking results were visualized by PyMOL Molecular Graphics System Version 1.2r3pre Schrödinger, LLC; Protein-Ligand Interaction Profiler (PLIP) (Adasme et al., 2021); and UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004).

### Staphyloxanthin quantification

The bacterial suspension of *S. aureus* ATCC 6538 was incubated with **1** or **4** (24 µg/mL) for 24 h at 37°C without shaking in a 6-well flat bottom polystyrene plate (Costar 3506, United States of America). Cell pellets were collected by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min, washed with sterile PBS and resuspended in 150 µL methanol for 30 min at 55°C. The absorbance of the extracted carotenoid was measured at 465 nm using microplate reader (Biotek Synergy HT, Winooski, United States of America). The production of *S. aureus* pigment was calculated relative to the solvent control.

# Results

### Structural configurations of the epoxide moiety can influence the biofilm inhibitory activity of modified oxylipins

Oxylipins 1, 4, 5 and 6 inhibited biofilm formation, with MBIC ranging from 24 to 96 µg/mL against S. epidermidis, and 64-128 µg/ mL against S. aureus (Table 1). The most potent oxylipins 1 and 4 both have a trans-epoxide but differed on the position of the epoxide moiety. In contrast, the cis-epoxide bearing oxylipin 5 showed twofold lower activity. This suggests that the configuration of the epoxide moiety, but not the position, may be critical for the biofilm inhibitory activity. Compound 6 had close to four-fold higher MIC among the epoxide-bearing oxylipins and may suggest the negative impact of the presence of an unsaturation in the aliphatic chain for bioactivity. The saturated fatty acids 2 and 3 were the least effective in inhibiting biofilm formation, corroborating the importance of the epoxide moiety for bioactivity. The inhibitory activity of the trans-epoxide bearing oxylipins showed selectivity to the Gram-(+) Staphylococcus species, with no inhibitory activity against the Gram-(-) P. aeruginosa. Because of the potent activity of 1 and 4, these were used to further characterize the mechanism of biofilm inhibitory activity of modified oxylipins.

Further validation was done through a time course monitoring of the metabolic activity and biofilm formation in *S. epidermidis* for 24 h (Figure 2). Reduced metabolic activity was evident starting at 10 h post-incubation with 1 and 4 at 24  $\mu$ g/mL. Biofilm matrix was absent in oxylipin-treated wells (Figure 2) and suggests selective targeting of 1 and 4 against microbial attachment of *S. epidermidis* during biofilm formation.

# Oxylipins can reduce metabolic activity but are unable to disperse mature biofilm

Compounds 1 and 4 did not significantly disrupt mature S. *epidermidis* biofilm even at concentration up to 128  $\mu$ g/mL (Figure 3). However, a concentration-dependent reduction in metabolic activity was observed in the pre-formed biofilm when treated with the oxylipins. A 36%–60% metabolic inhibition was observed at concentrations >8  $\mu$ g/mL for both 1 and 4.

## Oxylipins increased icaR gene expression

To provide insights to the molecular mechanisms underlying biofilm formation, we assessed the effects of 1 and 4 on the *ica* operon. We prioritized the gene expression analysis for *icaR* and *icaB* given the clear association of these with biofilm formation. These two genes from the *icaADBC* transcription machinery are involved in extracellular matrix production and essential in biofilm attachment and structuring (François et al., 2023). *icaB* is

TABLE 1 Minimum biofilm inhibitory concentration (MBIC) and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 1–6 against Staphylococcus epidermidis RP62A ATCC 35984, S. aureus ATCC 6538, and P. aeruginosa ATCC 41501 using WGA 488 and resazurin-based microdilution assays.<sup>a</sup>

	MBIC and MIC (µg/mL)					
Compounds	S. epidermidis RP262A ATCC 35984		S. aureus ATCC 6538		P. aeruginosa ATCC 41501	
	Biofilm	Planktonic	Biofilm	Planktonic	Biofilm	Planktonic
1	24	>128	64	>128	>128	>128
2	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
3	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
4	24	>128	64	>128	>128	>128
5	48	>128	128	>128	>128	>128
6	96	>128	128	>128	>128	>128
Chloramphenicol	8	8	16	16	50	50

\*Data is the mean of two independent biological replicates with three technical replicates each. MBIC, and MIC, defined as the lowest concentration causing ≥98% biofilm and ≥98% planktonic cells inhibition, respectively.



responsible for the deacetylation and polymerization of *N*-acetylated  $\beta$ -1,6-linked *N*-acetylglucosamine (PNAG), while *icaR* encodes for the repressor of *ica* operon (François et al., 2023). The effect of **1** and **4** on the gene expression of biofilm-relevant genes *icaB* and *icaR* was determined using qRTPCR. A significant increase in *icaR* transcript

level was observed (Figure 4). There was an  $\sim$ 3.58- and 3.71-fold increase in *icaR* expression with 24 µg/mL treatment of **1** and **4**, respectively. Consequently, *icaB* expression was downregulated by **1** and **4**, with 0.57 and 0.56-fold reduction in transcript level, respectively.



### FIGURE 3

Metabolic inhibition and dispersion profile of increasing concentrations of **1** and **4** against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* RP62A ATCC 35984 preformed biofilm. A 24 h-old *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilm was treated with **1** and **4** from 0 to 128  $\mu$ g/mL MBIC for 24 h. Using MTT reagent and WGA 488, metabolic activity and dispersive capacity, respectively, were measured. % metabolic activity and % dispersion was calculated relative to the solvent control (1% DMSO). A significant reduction in metabolic activity was observed with treatments of **1** and **4**. Data presented is representative of one biological replicate (mean  $\pm$  SD) from two independent biological replicates with three technical replicates each.



# Oxylipins decreased EPS and adherent micro-colonies

SEM was performed to assess the phenotypic effects of the oxylipins on *S. epidermidis* morphology and biofilm. Untreated *S. epidermidis* showed the typical morphology, with circular microcolonies that are clumped together (Figure 5). Attachment of the cells is facilitated by EPS that appeared as thread-like structures in the SEM micrographs (Figure 5). Treatment with oxylipins 1 and 4 showed a significantly reduced number of attached cells and EPS (Figure 5). The observed phenotype with 1 and 4 treatments were significantly different from the negative control.

# Oxylipins putatively interact with quorum sensing regulator proteins

To substantiate the observed ica regulation and SEM observation, we assessed the interaction of 1, 4, and 5 on the global regulatory protein SarA, which positively enhances ica operon and consequently, PIA/PNAG synthesis. SarA modulates key virulence factors which allows the transition from planktonic growth to biofilm state (Tormo et al., 2005). SarA interplays with the quorum sensing accessory gene regulator (AgrA) (Reyes et al., 2011). Given that SarA influences the agr pathway, we included AgrA to the in silico assessment. Additionally, oxylipins share structural similarity with known diffusible signal factors (DSFs) which also interferes with quorum sensing through the SarA pathway (Schmidt et al., 2003; Qazi et al., 2006; Biswas and Götz, 2022). We hypothesize that because of the structural similarity of these oxylipins with diffusible signal factors, compounds 1 and 4 are likely to have an analogous mechanism of action in inhibiting biofilm formation. Therefore, we used molecular docking to demonstrate the possible interactions of the modified oxylipins with Agr and SarA.

In silico docking of 1, 4, and 5 (Figure 6; Supplementary Table S1) was done using AutoDock (version 1.5.7). The oxylipin analogues were docked in helices  $\alpha 1 - \alpha 5$  of SarA receptor. Estimated binding energy obtained from SarA ranged from -3.65, -5.03 and -3.79 kcal/mol from oxylipins 1, 4, and 5, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). Hydrogen bonds were noted in the oxylipin-receptor complexes, with critical interactions formed with the epoxide and terminal carboxylic acid moiety (Figure 6; Supplementary Table S1). Hydrophobic interactions were seen in Val116a, Phe134b, Asn161b, Tyr162b.

In the case of AgrA, the binding energy obtained from the molecular docking ranged from -3.28, -4.85 and -2.76 kcal/mol from oxylipins 1, 4, and 5, respectively. The compounds occupied a conserved hydrophobic site formed by helix  $\alpha$ 1-sheet  $\beta$ 1 (Figure 6; Supplementary Table S1). Hydrogen bonding interactions of 1, 4, 5 with AgrA were largely similar, with key interactions observed with Asn185, Glu188, and Glu144.



SEM micrographs of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* at x20,000 magnification (A–D) and 5,000x (E–H) at 24-h post-incubation with 1 and 4 (24 µg/mL), positive control chloramphenicol (8 µg/mL), and solvent control (1% DMSO). Micrographs reveal reduction in attached cells and visible EPS with 1 and 4.

# Oxylipins reduce carotenoid production in *S. aureus*

AgrA expression can influence several virulence factors including pigment formation in S. aureus (Tan et al., 2022). Both S. aureus and Staphylococcus lugdunensis with mutant agrA operon were found to have reduced pigmentation as compared to wildtype strains (Aubourg et al., 2022; Tan et al., 2022; Cella et al., 2023). On this basis, we validated the docking analysis of AgrA using staphyloxanthin quantification. Staphyloxanthin is quantified by carotenoid or yellow pigment production in S. aureus. Oxylipins 1 and 4 caused a 24% and 29% decrease in staphyloxanthin production, respectively (Figure 7). The decrease in staphyloxanthin production, and decreased EPS and microcolonies observed in the SEM corroborates the cellular permeability action of the oxylipins at the early stages of biofilm formation.

# Discussion

We further probe the mechanism of action and identify the structural determinants for the biofilm inhibitory activity of modified oxylipins. Our results corroborate the findings of Lacerna et al. (2020) and highlight the additional structural motifs that are responsible for the biofilm inhibitory activity of modified oxylipins. The addition of an unsaturation to the aliphatic chain negatively impacts the antibiofilm activity of this class of compounds. Positional isomers of modified oxylipins showed comparable bioactivity. This is analogous to the observation in cyclopropyl-bearing fatty acid, where similar inhibitory activities in *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudoroseovarius crassostreae* were obtained despite the modification involving the cyclopropyl unit (Amiri Moghaddam et al., 2018). The *trans*-epoxide 1 and 4 may provide an optimum spatial and electrostatic interaction with the cellular target, compared to its *cis*-isomer. SAR

evaluation of cerulenin analogues revealed that *trans-2,3*epoxydodecanoic acid is 5x more potent HIV protease inhibitor than *cis*-epoxydecenoic (Blumenstein et al., 1989). By disruption of peptidoglycan synthesis and fatty acid synthesis, increased antimicrobial activity was also reported from unsaturated linoleic and arachidonic acid as compared to their saturated counterpart (Zheng et al., 2005; Kenny et al., 2009; Casillas-Vargas et al., 2021).

Interestingly, these oxylipins are structurally related to the *P. aeruginosa* derived fatty acids (10*S*)-hydroxy-(8*E*)-octadecenoic acid (10-HOME) and 7*S*,10*S*-dihydroxy-(8*E*)-octadecenoic acid (7,10-DiHOME). 10-HOME and 7,10-DiHOME are upregulated during biofilm formation and virulence in *P. aeruginosa* (Martínez and Campos-Gómez, 2016; Martínez et al., 2019). In contrast to 10-HOME and 7,10-DiHOME, **1-6** did not affect biofilm formation in *P. aeruginosa*, whether inhibition or promotion. The epoxide-bearing oxylipins showed selective bioactivity against the Gram-(+) *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* and may suggest the role of the epoxide moiety not just for potent bioactivity but also for selectivity.

To further probe the cellular effects of these modified fatty acids, we undertook a gene to phenotype approach. We focused on *icaB* and *icaR* transcripts that are part of the ica operon responsible for the synthesis of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin/polymeric N-acetyl-glucosamine (PIA/PNAG) exopolysaccharides. icaR serves as a transcriptional repressor and regulates the activation of downstream icaADBC (François et al., 2023). icaB deacetylates and polymerizes PIA/PNAG in S. aureus and S. epidermidis resulting in enhanced protective layer and ideal surface adherence of PIA/PNAG (Cerca et al., 2007; Arciola et al., 2015). Significant upregulation of the icaR repressor can compromise the synthesis of EPS component polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) or polymeric N-acetyl-glucosamine (PNAG) under an ica-dependent pathway. Microbial isolates bearing single nucleotide polymorphisms in *icaR* showed decreased exopolysaccharide production (Morales-Laverde et al., 2022), directly demonstrating the impact of *icaR* on PIA/PNAG. Disruption of PIA/ PNAG can impair not just the structural integrity of the biofilm matrix



#### FIGURE 6

Visualization of molecular docking analysis of oxylipin analogues with AgrA and SarA. 3D surface interactions for both protein complexes are shown with closeup view in the protein surfaces. 2D interaction with AgrA and SarA are represented by hydrogen bonds D-A (blue lines), hydrophobic contacts (grey dashed line), and salt bridges (yellow dashed line). Critical interactions were observed between SarA and AgrA with the epoxide moiety of the oxylipins.

but can also decrease the pathogenicity and virulence of *Staphylococcus* (Nguyen et al., 2020; Cheung et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2023). Treatment of **1** and **4** showed an increase in *icaR* transcript level that consequently translated to a modest decrease in *icaB*. SEM analysis confirmed a decline in EPS in oxylipin-treated *S. epidermidis* compared to the solvent control. The observed cellular effects of **1** and **4** are similar to those observed for quercetin, gallic acid and (+)-nootkatone, where suppression of biofilm formation is obtained through modulation of the *ica* operon in Staphylococci (Guo et al., 2021; Mu et al., 2021).

The *ica* operon is regulated by the Staphylococcal accessory regulator (SarA) and consequently, by the quorum sensing

accessory gene regulator (AgrA). SarA is a DNA binding protein that belongs to the global modulators of various virulence factors in Staphylococci and increases the production of toxins, fibronectin, and fibrinogen (Cheung et al., 2008). SarA can regulate the *ica* operon and the quorum sensing regulator *agr* operon (Peng et al., 2023). SarA binds to the *ica* operon with high affinity and enhances *ica* expression (Tormo et al., 2005). Interaction of SarA with AgrA leads to enhancement of the conformational bending of promoter during *agr* locus transcription (Reyes et al., 2011). The *ica* operon is, however, not affected directly by *agr* expression.



The *agr* pathway is often associated with virulence, pathogenesis, and toxin production in *S. aureus* (Le and Otto, 2015). The *agr* operon is involved in both biofilm formation and dispersion during the maturation stage (Le and Otto, 2015). A functional *agr* pathway is important in biofilm structural integrity but in some cases can induce biofilm formation (Gray et al., 2013; Le and Otto, 2015). Despite the divergent phenotypic expressions of *agr* QS system, several authors still consider the *agr* system to be prospective targets in biofilm mitigation studies (Park et al., 2007; Gray et al., 2013; Ganesh et al., 2022). Specific interference with AgrA-DNA binding domain is one of the possible ways to decrease or block the RNA III concentration (Gray et al., 2013).

Several diffusible signal factors (DSF) composed of short to medium chain alkyl chains were reported to regulate cell communication in prokaryotes (Kumar et al., 2020). Hydroxy-bearing DSF such as the 3-hydroxyhexadecanoic acid from *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Flavier et al., 1997), is structurally similar to the oxylipins tested. Due to limited protein structures in *S. epidermidis*, we used the crystallized structures of staphylococcal accessory regulator (SarA) and accessory gene regulator A (AgrA) obtained from closely related *S. aureus*. Both AgrA and SarA proteins in *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* displayed sequence homology ranging from 68% to 84% (Fluckiger et al., 1998; Otto et al., 1998; Van Warnel et al., 1998).

At the molecular level, our docking assessment showed that oxylipins occupy a hydrophobic pocket in both SarA and AgrA, with structural changes possibly resulting in alteration of specific amino acid binding sites. While the binding pockets occupied by 1 and 4 in SarA and AgrA are not directly involved in target gene binding, this may possibly disrupt the stability of the interaction with the consensus target gene. The observed activity of the compounds may be attributed to the steric hindrance introduced while interacting with multiple amino acids involved in enhancing the stability with the consensus target gene. Leu186 and Lys187 are critical amino acids in AgrA that form hydrogen bonding interactions with the promoter DNA, leading to stabilization of the complex (Rajasree et al., 2016). In comparison with other epoxide bearing QS inhibitors such as cerulenin, fosfomycin, and ambuic acid, 1 and 5 also showed similar hydrogen bonding interactions with Glu144 and Lys146 in the AgrA complex (Refai et al., 2023). The binding of 1, 4, and 5 to SarA is reminiscent of the interactions of other natural products carvacrol, eugenol, and hesperidin (Selvaraj et al., 2020; Vijavyakumar et al., 2022). Key interactions were observed in Asn161b, Thr117a, Gln166b (Selvaraj et al., 2020; Vijayakumar et al., 2022).

The molecular docking results were validated by the differential gene regulation of the *icaR* and *icaB* and staphyloxanthin levels. RNA III-independent agr pathway is involved in carotenoid production in S. aureus (Queck et al., 2008). Mutations on agr can lead to variations in virulence factors including loss of pigment production, hemolysin activity, and oxidative stress regulation (Aubourg et al., 2022; Tan et al., 2022). The impact of agr gene expression levels on biofilm formation is, however, less definitive compared to other genes such as *icaA* and icaR (Arciola et al., 2015). Phenotypic variations in virulence factors have been observed in agr-related studies, a case from myriad of factors including staphylococcal strain dependency, compound dosage response, and culture conditions (Yarwood and Schlievert, 2003; Pant et al., 2022; 2023). The molecular interactions and phenotypic observation is congruent with the observations for 3-hydroxybenzoic acid (Ganesh et al., 2022) and w-hydroxyemodin (Daly et al., 2015) as disruptors of biofilm formation in S. aureus.

Staphyloxanthin production in Staphylococci is relevant not just in aggregation during biofilm formation, but also contribute to other virulence factors such as regulating toxic free radicals, and recognition of neutrophil attack (Elmesseri et al., 2022; Cella et al., 2023). A decreased staphyloxanthin production was observed for treatment with compounds 1 and 4. Staphyloxanthin production is an important adaptation for *S. aureus* by acting as a scavenger of reactive oxygen species (Elmesseri et al., 2022). Inhibition of this phenotype clearly demonstrates the cellular permeability of the modified oxylipins in *S. aureus*. At the same time, the SEM experiment using *S. epidermidis* showed very distinct growth morphology with solvent control, further demonstrating the absorption of compounds 1 and 4.

# Conclusion

Effective mitigation strategies against biofilm formation are crucial for public health. The ability of *S. epidermidis* to colonize biomaterials and to cause persistent clinical infections remains a threat worldwide. The *trans*-epoxide moiety in *trans*-9,10-epoxyoctadecanoic acid (1) and *trans*-12,13- epoxyoctadecanoic acid (4) imparts selectivity and potent activity against biofilm formation in *S. epidermidis*. The *trans*-epoxide bearing modified oxylipins modulate the transcript levels of key biofilm target genes and consequently, decreasing the phenotypic traits of biofilm formation such as EPS and pigment production.

# Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in FigShare, http://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25745811.

## **Ethics statement**

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

# Author contributions

JP: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing. LS-R: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Visualization, Writing–original draft, Writing–review and editing, Investigation, Validation.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the UP System Emerging Interdisciplinary Research Program (Funding number: EIDR-C08-009). This is MSI Contribution No. 1594.

## References

Adasme, M. F., Linnemann, K. L., Bolz, S. N., Kaiser, F., Salentin, S., Haupt, V. J., et al. (2021). PLIP 2021: expanding the scope of the protein-ligand interaction profiler to DNA and RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, W530–W534. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab294

Amiri Moghaddam, J., Dávila-Céspedes, A., Kehraus, S., Crüsemann, M., Köse, M., Müller, C. E., et al. (2018). Cyclopropane-containing fatty acids from the marine bacterium *labrenzia* sp. 011 with antimicrobial and GPR84 activity. *Mar. Drugs* 16, 369. doi:10.3390/md16100369

Arciola, C. R., Campoccia, D., Ravaioli, S., and Montanaro, L. (2015). Polysaccharide intercellular adhesin in biofilm: structural and regulatory aspects. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 5, 7. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2015.00007

Aubourg, M., Pottier, M., Léon, A., Bernay, B., Dhalluin, A., Cacaci, M., et al. (2022). Inactivation of the response regulator AgrA has a pleiotropic effect on biofilm formation, pathogenesis and stress response in *Staphylococcus lugdunensis*. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 10, e0159821. doi:10.1128/spectrum.01598-21

Biswas, L., and Götz, F. (2022). Molecular mechanisms of *Staphylococcus* and *Pseudomonas* interactions in cystic fibrosis. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 11, 824042. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2021.824042

Blumenstein, J. J., Copeland, T. D., Oroszlan, S., and Michejda, C. J. (1989). Synthetic non-peptide inhibitors of HIV protease. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 163, 980–987. doi:10.1016/0006-291X(89)92318-8

Casillas-Vargas, G., Ocasio-Malavé, C., Medina, S., Morales-Guzmán, C., Valle, R. G. D., Carballeira, N. M., et al. (2021). Antibacterial fatty acids: an update of possible mechanisms of action and implications in the development of the next-generation of antibacterial agents. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 82, 101093. doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2021.101093

Cella, M. A., Coulson, T., MacEachern, S., Badr, S., Ahmadi, A., Tabatabaei, M. S., et al. (2023). Probiotic disruption of quorum sensing reduces virulence and increases cefoxitin sensitivity in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus. Sci. Rep.* 13, 4373. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-31474-2

Cerca, N., Jefferson, K. K., Maira-Litrán, T., Pier, D. B., Kelly-Quintos, C., Goldmann, D. A., et al. (2007). Molecular basis for preferential protective efficacy of antibodies directed to the poorly acetylated form of staphylococcal poly-N-acetyl-beta-(1-6)-glucosamine. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 3406–3413. doi:10.1128/iai.00078-07

Cheung, A. L., Nishina, K. A., Trotonda, M. P., and Tamber, S. (2008). The SarA protein family of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 40, 355–361. doi:10. 1016/j.biocel.2007.10.032

# Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Z. Acyatan for assistance in the qRTPCR analysis.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1379643/ full#supplementary-material

Cheung, G. Y. C., Bae, J. S., and Otto, M. (2021). Pathogenicity and virulence of Staphylococcus aureus. Virulence 12, 547–569. doi:10.1080/21505594.2021.1878688

Cousins, K. R. (2005). ChemDraw Ultra 9.0. CambridgeSoft, 100 CambridgePark drive, cambridge, MA 02140. Www. cambridgesoft.com. See web site for pricing options. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 4115–4116. doi:10.1021/ja0410237

Daly, S. M., Elmore, B. O., Kavanaugh, J. S., Triplett, K. D., Figueroa, M., Raja, H. A., et al. (2015). *w*-Hydroxyemodin limits *Staphylococcus aureus* quorum sensing-mediated pathogenesis and inflammation. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 2223–2235. doi:10. 1128/aac.04564-14

Dassanayake, R. P., Falkenberg, S. M., Stasko, J. A., Shircliff, A. L., Lippolis, J. D., and Briggs, R. E. (2020). Identification of a reliable fixative solution to preserve the complex architecture of bacterial biofilms for scanning electron microscopy evaluation. *PLOS ONE* 15, e0233973. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0233973

Deng, Y., Liu, Y., Li, J., Wang, X., He, S., Yan, X., et al. (2022). Marine natural products and their synthetic analogs as promising antibiofilm agents for antibiotics discovery and development. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 239, 114513. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2022.114513

Dhar, Y., and Han, Y. (2020). Current developments in biofilm treatments: wound and implant infections. Eng. Regen. 1, 64-75. doi:10.1016/j.engreg.2020.07.003

Elmesseri, R. A., Saleh, S. E., Elsherif, H. M., Yahia, I. S., and Aboshanab, K. M. (2022). Staphyloxanthin as a potential novel target for deciphering promising anti-*Staphylococcus aureus* agents. *Antibiotics* 11, 298. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11030298

Flavier, A. B., Clough, S. J., Schell, M. A., and Denny, T. P. (1997). Identification of 3hydroxypalmitic acid methyl ester as a novel autoregulator controlling virulence in *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 26, 251–259. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.5661945.x

Fluckiger, U., Wolz, C., and Cheung, A. L. (1998). Characterization of a *sar* homolog of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Infect. Immun.* 66, 2871–2878. doi:10.1128/iai.66.6.2871-2878.1998

François, P., Schrenzel, J., and Götz, F. (2023). Biology and regulation of staphylococcal biofilm. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24, 5218. doi:10.3390/ijms24065218

Ganesh, P. S., Veena, K., Senthil, R., Iswamy, K., Ponmalar, E. M., Mariappan, V., et al. (2022). Biofilm-associated *agr* and *sar* quorum sensing systems of *Staphylococcus aureus* are inhibited by 3-hydroxybenzoic acid derived from *Illicium verum*. ACS Omega 7, 14653–14665. doi:10.1021/acsomega.1c07178

Goodsell, D. S., and Olson, A. J. (1990). Automated docking of substrates to proteins by simulated annealing. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinforma.* 8, 195–202. doi:10.1002/prot.340080302

Gowrishankar, S., Poornima, B., and Pandian, S. K. (2014). Inhibitory efficacy of cyclo(l-leucyl-l-prolyl) from mangrove rhizosphere bacterium–*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (MMS-50) toward cariogenic properties of *Streptococcus mutans*. *Res. Microbiol.* 165, 278–289. doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2014.03.004

Gray, B., Hall, P., and Gresham, H. (2013). Targeting *agr*- and *agr*-like quorum sensing systems for development of common therapeutics to treat multiple grampositive bacterial infections. *Sensors* 13, 5130–5166. doi:10.3390/s130405130

Guo, N., Bai, X., Shen, Y., and Zhang, T. (2021). Target-based screening for natural products against *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 63, 2216–2230. doi:10.1080/10408398.2021.1972280

Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J. W., and Stoodley, P. (2004). Bacterial biofilms: from the Natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2, 95–108. doi:10. 1038/nrmicro821

Hanwell, M. D., Curtis, D. E., Lonie, D. C., Vandermeersch, T., Zurek, E., and Hutchison, G. R. (2012). Avogadro: an advanced semantic chemical editor, visualization, and analysis platform. J. Cheminformatics 4, 17. doi:10.1186/1758-2946-4-17

Jiménez, C. (2018). Marine natural products in medicinal chemistry. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 9, 959–961. doi:10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00368

Juhlin, A., Svensson, S., Thomsen, P., and Trobos, M. (2017). Staphylococcal biofilm gene expression on biomaterials - a methodological study: staphylococcal biofilm gene expression on biomaterials. *J. Biomed. Mat. Res.* 105, 3400–3412. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.36171

Kenny, J. G., Ward, D., Josefsson, E., Jonsson, I.-M., Hinds, J., Rees, H. H., et al. (2009). The *Staphylococcus aureus* response to unsaturated long chain free fatty acids: survival mechanisms and virulence implications. *PLoS One* 4, e4344. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004344

Kumar, P., Lee, J.-H., Beyenal, H., and Lee, J. (2020). Fatty acids as antibiofilm and antivirulence agents. *Trends Microbiol.* 28, 753–768. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2020.03.014

Lacerna, N. M., Ramones, C. M. V., Robes, J. M. D., Picart, M. R. D., Tun, J. O., Miller, B. W., et al. (2020). Inhibition of biofilm formation by modified oxylipins from the shipworm symbiont *Teredinibacter turnerae. Mar. Drugs* 18, 656. doi:10.3390/md18120656

Le, K. Y., and Otto, M. (2015). Quorum-sensing regulation in staphylococci-an overview. Front. Microbiol. 6, 1174. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01174

Lima, B. D. A., De Lira, S. P., Kossuga, M. H., Gonçalves, R. B., Berlinck, R. G. S., and Kamiya, R. U. (2014). Halistanol sulfate A and rodriguesines A and B are antimicrobial and antibiofilm agents against the cariogenic bacterium *Streptococcus mutans. Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.* 24, 651–659. doi:10.1016/j.bjp.2014.11.002

Martínez, E., and Campos-Gómez, J. (2016). Oxylipins produced by *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa promote biofilm formation and virulence. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13823. doi:10. 1038/ncomms13823

Martínez, E., Cosnahan, R. K., Wu, M., Gadila, S. K., Quick, E. B., Mobley, J. A., et al. (2019). Oxylipins mediate cell-to-cell communication in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Commun. Biol.* 2, 66–10. doi:10.1038/s42003-019-0310-0

Morales-Laverde, L., Echeverz, M., Trobos, M., Solano, C., and Lasa, I. (2022). Experimental polymorphism survey in intergenic regions of the *icaADBCR* locus in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from periprosthetic joint infections. *Microorganisms* 10, 600. doi:10.3390/microorganisms10030600

Mu, Y., Zeng, H., and Chen, W. (2021). Quercetin inhibits biofilm formation by decreasing the production of EPS and altering the composition of EPS in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 631058. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.631058

Nguyen, H. T. T., Nguyen, T. H., and Otto, M. (2020). The staphylococcal exopolysaccharide PIA – biosynthesis and role in biofilm formation, colonization, and infection. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* 18, 3324–3334. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2020. 10.027

Oluwabusola, E. T., Katermeran, N. P., Poh, W. H., Goh, T. M. B., Tan, L. T., Diyaolu, O., et al. (2022). Inhibition of the quorum sensing system, elastase production and biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by psammaplin A and bisaprasin. *Molecules* 27, 1721. doi:10.3390/molecules27051721

Otto, M., Süßmuth, R., Jung, G., and Götz, F. (1998). Structure of the pheromone peptide of the *Staphylococcus epidermidis* agr system. *FEBS Lett.* 424, 89–94. doi:10. 1016/S0014-5793(98)00145-8

Pant, N., Miranda-Hernandez, S., Rush, C., Warner, J., and Eisen, D. P. (2022). Effect of savirin in the prevention of biofilm-related *Staphylococcus aureus* prosthetic joint infection. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 989417. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.989417

Pant, N., Rush, C., Warner, J., and Eisen, D. P. (2023). Effect of savirin or ticagrelor treatment on the expression of commonly used reference genes in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microorganisms* 11, 336. doi:10.3390/microorganisms11020336

Park, J., Jagasia, R., Kaufmann, G. F., Mathison, J. C., Ruiz, D. I., Moss, J. A., et al. (2007). Infection control by antibody disruption of bacterial quorum sensing signaling. *Chem. Biol.* 14, 1119–1127. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2007.08.013

Peng, Q., Tang, X., Dong, W., Sun, N., and Yuan, W. (2023). A review of biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its regulation mechanism. *Antibiotics* 12, 12. doi:10.3390/antibiotics12010012

Petersen, L.-E., Kellermann, M. Y., and Schupp, P. J. (2020). "Secondary metabolites of marine microbes: from natural products chemistry to chemical ecology," in *YOUMARES 9 - The Oceans: Our Research, Our Future.* Editors S. Jungblut, V. Liebich, and M. Bode-Dalby (Cham: Springer), 159–180.

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi:10.1002/jcc.20084

Prost, I., Dhondt, S., Rothe, G., Vicente, J., Rodriguez, M. J., Kift, N., et al. (2005). Evaluation of the antimicrobial activities of plant oxylipins supports their involvement in defense against pathogens. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 1902–1913. doi:10.1104/pp.105.066274

Qazi, S., Middleton, B., Muharram, S. H., Cockayne, A., Hill, P., O'Shea, P., et al. (2006). N-acylhomoserine lactones antagonize virulence gene expression and quorum sensing in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 910–919. doi:10.1128/iai.74.2.910-919.2006

Queck, S. Y., Jameson-Lee, M., Villaruz, A. E., Bach, T.-H. L., Khan, B. A., Sturdevant, D. E., et al. (2008). RNAIII-independent target gene control by the agr quorum-Sensing system: insight into the evolution of virulence regulation in *Staphylococcus aureus. Mol. Cell* 32, 150–158. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.005

Rajasree, K., Fasim, A., and Gopal, B. (2016). Conformational features of the *Staphylococcus aureus* AgrA-promoter interactions rationalize quorum-sensing triggered gene expression. *Biochem. Biophysics Rep.* 6, 124–134. doi:10.1016/j.bbrep. 2016.03.012

Refai, M. Y., Elazzazy, A. M., Desouky, S. E., Abu-Elghait, M., Fayed, E. A., Alajel, S. M., et al. (2023). Interception of Epoxide ring to quorum sensing system in *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Amb. Express* 13, 126. doi:10.1186/s13568-023-01633-9

Reyes, D., Andrey, D. O., Monod, A., Kelley, W. L., Zhang, G., and Cheung, A. L. (2011). Coordinated regulation by AgrA, SarA, and SarR to control *agr* expression in *Staphylococcus aureus. J. Bacteriol.* 193, 6020–6031. doi:10.1128/jb.05436-11

Sanabria-Ríos, D. J., Morales-Guzmán, C., Mooney, J., Medina, S., Pereles-De-León, T., Rivera-Román, A., et al. (2020). Antibacterial activity of hexadecynoic acid isomers toward clinical isolates of multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Lipids* 55, 101–116. doi:10.1002/lipd.12213

Sarker, S. D., Nahar, L., and Kumarasamy, Y. (2007). Microtitre plate-based antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the *in vitro* antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. *Methods* 42, 321–324. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2007.01.006

Schmidt, K. A., Manna, A. C., and Cheung, A. L. (2003). SarT influences sarS expression in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infect. Immun.* 71, 5139–5148. doi:10.1128/iai.71. 9.5139-5148.2003

Selvaraj, A., Valliammai, A., Muthuramalingam, P., Priya, A., Suba, M., Ramesh, M., et al. (2020). Carvacrol targets SarA and CrtM of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to mitigate biofilm formation and staphyloxanthin synthesis: an *in vitro* and *in vivo* approach. ACS Omega 5, 31100–31114. doi:10.1021/acsomega.0c04252

Singh, S., Datta, S., Narayanan, K. B., and Rajnish, K. N. (2021). Bacterial exopolysaccharides in biofilms: role in antimicrobial resistance and treatments. *J. Genet. Eng. Biotechnol.* 19, 140. doi:10.1186/s43141-021-00242-y

Skogman, M. E., Vuorela, P. M., and Fallarero, A. (2012). Combining biofilm matrix measurements with biomass and viability assays in susceptibility assessments of antimicrobials against *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *J. Antibiot.* 65, 453–459. doi:10.1038/ja.2012.49

Tan, L., Huang, Y., Shang, W., Yang, Y., Peng, H., Hu, Z., et al. (2022). Accessory gene regulator (*agr*) allelic variants in cognate *Staphylococcus aureus* strain display similar phenotypes. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 700894. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.700894

Tormo, M. Á., Martí, M., Valle, J., Manna, A. C., Cheung, A. L., Lasa, I., et al. (2005). SarA is an essential positive regulator of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilm development. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 2348–2356. doi:10.1128/jb.187.7.2348-2356.2005

Van Wamel, W. J. B., van Rossum, G., Verhoef, J., Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C. M. J. E., and Fluit, A. C. (1998). Cloning and characterization of an accessory gene regulator (*agr*)-like locus from *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 163, 1–9. doi:10. 1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb13018.x

Vijayakumar, K., Muhilvannan, S., and Arun Vignesh, M. (2022). Hesperidin inhibits biofilm formation, virulence and staphyloxanthin synthesis in methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by targeting SarA and CrtM: an *in vitro* and *in silico* approach. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 38, 44. doi:10.1007/s11274-022-03232-5

Yarwood, J. M., and Schlievert, P. M. (2003). Quorum sensing in *Staphylococcus* infections. J. Clin. Invest. 112, 1620-1625. doi:10.1172/JCI20442

Yin, Q., Liang, J., Zhang, W., Zhang, L., Hu, Z.-L., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Butenolide, a marine-derived broad-spectrum antibiofilm agent against both gram-positive and gram-negative pathogenic bacteria. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 21, 88–98. doi:10.1007/s10126-018-9861-1

Zheng, C. J., Yoo, J.-S., Lee, T.-G., Cho, H.-Y., Kim, Y.-H., and Kim, W.-G. (2005). Fatty acid synthesis is a target for antibacterial activity of unsaturated fatty acids. *FEBS Lett.* 579, 5157–5162. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2005.08.028