#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Clara L. Rodríguez-Bernal, Fundación para el Fomento de la Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de la Comunitat Valenciana (FISABIO), Spain

#### REVIEWED BY

Salvador Peiró, Fundación para el Fomento de la Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de la Comunitat Valenciana (FISABIO), Spain Colin E. Murdoch, University of Dundee, United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE Maria Giner-Soriano, ⊠ mginer@idiapjgol.info

RECEIVED 29 November 2023 ACCEPTED 20 May 2024 PUBLISHED 17 June 2024

#### CITATION

Gomez-Lumbreras A, Vilaplana-Carnerero C, Lestón Vázquez M, Vedia C, Morros R and Giner-Soriano M (2024), Treatment of hypertension during pregnancy: a cohort of pregnancy episodes from the SIDIAP database, Catalonia, Spain. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1346357. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1346357

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Gomez-Lumbreras, Vilaplana-Carnerero, Lestón Vázquez, Vedia, Morros and Giner-Soriano. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Treatment of hypertension during pregnancy: a cohort of pregnancy episodes from the SIDIAP database, Catalonia, Spain

Ainhoa Gomez-Lumbreras (D<sup>1</sup>,

Carles Vilaplana-Carnerero (D<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Marta Lestón Vázquez<sup>3,6</sup>, Cristina Vedia (D<sup>3,7</sup>, Rosa Morros (D<sup>2,3,4,8</sup> and Maria Giner-Soriano (D<sup>2,3\*</sup>)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacotherapy, College of Pharmacy, University of Utah, SLC, UT, United States, <sup>2</sup>Fundació Institut Universitari per a la Recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gurina (IDIAPJGol), Barcelona, Spain, <sup>3</sup>Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain, <sup>4</sup>Plataforma SCReN, UIC IDIAPJGol, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>5</sup>Departament de Medicina, Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain, <sup>6</sup>Àrea del Medicament i Servei de Farmàcia, Gerència d'Atenció Primària Barcelona Ciutat, Institut Català de la Salut, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Servei d'Atenció Primaria Maresme, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>8</sup>Institut Català de la Salut, Barcelona, Spain

**Introduction:** Hypertension during pregnancy is one of the most frequent causes of maternal and fetal morbimortality. Perinatal and maternal death and disability rates have decreased by 30%, but hypertension during pregnancy has increased by approximately 10% in the last 30 years. This research aimed to describe the pharmacological treatment and pregnancy outcomes of pregnancies with hypertension.

**Methods:** We carried out an observational cohort study from the Information System for the Development of Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP) database. Pregnancy episodes with hypertension (ICD-10 codes for hypertension, I10–I15 and O10–O16) were identified. Antihypertensives were classified according to the ATC WHO classification:  $\beta$ -blocking agents (BBs), calcium channel blockers (CCBs), agents acting on the renin-angiotensin system (RAS agents), diuretics, and antiadrenergic agents. Exposure was defined for hypertension in pregnancies with  $\geq 2$  prescriptions during the pregnancy episode. Descriptive statistics for diagnoses and treatments were calculated.

**Results:** In total, 4,839 pregnancies with hypertension diagnosis formed the study cohort. There were 1,944 (40.2%) pregnancies exposed to an antihypertensive medication. There were differences in mother's age, BMI, and alcohol intake between pregnancies exposed to antihypertensive medications and those not exposed. BBs were the most used (n = 1,160 pregnancy episodes; 59.7%), followed by RAS agents (n = 825, 42.4%), and CCBs were the least used (n = 347, 17.8%).

**Discussion:** Pregnancies involving hypertension were exposed to antihypertensive medications, mostly BBs. We conduct a study focused on RAS agent use during pregnancy and its outcomes in the offspring.

#### KEYWORDS

hypertension, pregnancy-induced, pregnancy outcome, antihypertensive agents, cohort studies, electronic health records, EHR

## Introduction

Hypertension disorders during pregnancy complicate between 5% and 10% of pregnancies and are among the frequent causes of feto-maternal morbimortality (Bramham et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020). Hypertension during pregnancy has been associated with maternal complications such as stroke or heart failure, and in the fetus, it is associated with intrauterine growth restriction and stillbirth. Globally, hypertension during pregnancy has increased approximately 10% in the last 30 years, though the death and disability rates have decreased up to 30% (Wang et al., 2021). Hypertension can be a preexisting medical condition before the pregnancy (chronic hypertension) or be induced by the pregnancy and diagnosed after 20 weeks of gestation (gestational hypertension) (Williams et al., 2018).

The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) establish that pharmacology treatment aims to reduce maternal risk while being safe for the fetus (Williams et al., 2018). These guidelines, even with scarce evidence, recommend pharmacological treatment for those women with persistent elevation in blood pressure (BP) (≥150/95 mmHg), with some other guidelines indicating starting treatment for BP  $\geq$  140/ 90 mmHg (ACOG, 2019). However, there is no clear threshold for initiating pharmacological treatment for patients with mild hypertension (systolic BP between 140-150 and 160) (Kaimal, 2022). There are five groups of antihypertensive medications: antiadrenergic agents, β-blocking agents (BBs), diuretics, calcium channel blockers (CCBs), and those acting in the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) agents, including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEis) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs). Women already undergoing treatment for preexisting hypertension might continue with their antihypertensive medication; however, agents acting on the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) are contraindicated due to the related adverse fetal and neonatal outcomes, and the indication is to switch the antihypertensives with awareness of the pregnancy (Brown et al., 2018; Braunthal and Brateanu, 2019).

Due to the increase in the number of pregnant women with hypertension and the potential implications of pharmacological treatments in pregnancy outcomes, we describe the antihypertensive medications used in a cohort of pregnancies with hypertension diagnoses.

## Methods

This is an observational cohort study of pregnancies with hypertension diagnoses conducted with data obtained from the Information System for the Development of Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP). The SIDIAP database characteristics have been described elsewhere (Recalde et al., 2022). It contains electronic health records (EHRs) of the Primary Care Centers of the Catalan Health Institute (ICS) in Catalonia, Spain, from 2006 of up to 6 million people and almost 500,000 pregnancy episodes, most of which were followed at the sexual and reproductive healthcare services (ASSIR) of the ICS. The EHRs in ASSIR are used by gynecologists and midwives to register variables related with the sexual and reproductive health of women and follow-up of pregnancies, such as date of the last menstrual period or pregnancy start date (PSD), gestational week, date of delivery or pregnancy end date (PED), and termination outcomes. We identified a cohort of pregnancy episodes (n = 327,865) that occurred during 2011–2020 registered at the ASSIR and those pregnancy diagnoses registered in the primary care EHR through International Classification of Diseases 10th at SIDIAP (ICD-10) (WHO, 2019; Lestón Vázquez et al., 2023).

## Cohort definition

A previous study from SIDIAP identified a total of 327,865 pregnancy episodes occurring during 2011–2020 (Lestón Vázquez et al., 2023). For our cohort, we included those pregnancy episodes with ICD-10 codes for hypertension (I10–I15) and gestational hypertension (O10–O16). For patients with more than one ICD-10 code for hypertension, the first one recorded was selected. Based on the date of the registered hypertension code, the pregnancy episodes were classified as chronic hypertension (codes before the PSD) and gestational hypertension (those registered during the pregnancy episode).

Only completed pregnancy episodes were considered, meaning only those pregnancies starting after the study period start date (1 Jan 2011) and completed by the end of the study period (30 June 2020).

## Antihypertension medication exposure

The antihypertensive medications were grouped and defined by the WHO ATC classification as follows: antiadrenergic agents (C02), diuretics (C03), BB (C07), CCB (C08), and RAS agents (C09) (WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology, 2022).

SIDIAP pharmacy invoice data were used to define drug exposure. Invoices of those antihypertensive medications prescribed between the previous month and the PSD up to the month preceding the PED were considered to occur during the pregnancy episode. All prescriptions issued in primary care and ASSIR centers of drugs reimbursed by the Spanish National Health System that are dispensed in a community pharmacy produce a register in the invoice data. Pregnancies with at least two invoices for an antihypertensive medication were considered exposed.

## Variables

The demographic characteristics, MEDEA socioeconomic index (Domínguez-Berjón et al., 2024), body mass index (BMI), smoking status, and alcohol intake were considered from 12 months before PSD up to PED. The number of pregnancies by woman was considered if occurring during the study period (2011–2020), with no distinction made regarding pregnancies with multiple fetuses.

## Statistical analysis

#### Sample size and study power

We did not anticipate any specific number of pregnancies as we used all the pregnancy episodes with a diagnosis of hypertension.

Total cohort (4,839 pregnancy episodes)	Exposed to antihypertensive drugs N = 1,944	Not-exposed to antihypertensive drugs N = 2,895	p
Mother age (mean, SD) at PSD	36.0 (5.2)	34.2 (5.5)	< 0.001
Obesity (ICD-10 code + BMI≥30)	686 (35.3)	788 (27.2)	<0.001
Mother's socioeconomic status (MEDEA) <sup>a</sup>			
Rural	337 (17.3)	508 (17.5)	0.004
Urban (U)	177 (9.1)	254 (8.8)	
U1	152 (7.8)	311 (10.7)	
U2	255 (13.1)	399 (13.8)	
U3	271 (13.9)	433 (15.0)	
U4	320 (16.5)	458 (15.8)	
U5	431 (22.2)	530 (18.3)	
Smoking habit <sup>a</sup>	359 (18.5)	548 (18.9)	0.830
Alcohol consumption <sup>a</sup>	180 (9.3)	184 (6.4)	<0.001
CKD (ICD-10 code: N18)	28 (1.4)	15 (0.5)	0.001
Parity number			
lst	1,689 (87.2)	2,432 (84.0)	0.006
2nd	211 (10.9)	383 (13.2)	
≥3rd or more	37 (1.9)	80 (2.8)	
Hypertension			
chronic	1,425 (73.3)	1,553 (53.6)	< 0.001
gestational	519 (26.7)	1,342 (46.4)	
Live births	1,510 (77.7)	2,394 (82.7)	<0.001
Average of gestation duration (weeks mean, IQR)	39.0 (36.0-40.0)	38.0 (30.3-39.6)	<0.001
Preterm births (ICD-10th code)	331 (17.0)	356 (12.3)	<0.001

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of all the hypertension pregnancy episodes classified according to the antihypertensive medication exposure.

<sup>a</sup>variable up to 12 months before PSD. SD, standard deviation; PSD, pregnancy start day; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases 10th version; BMI, body mass index; MEDEA, Mortalidad en áreas pequeñas Españolas y Desigualdades socioEconómicas y Ambientales; REF CKD, chronic kidney disease; IQR, interquartile range.

## Main analysis

We calculated descriptive statistics for pregnancy characteristics and antihypertensive medication exposure [mean and standard deviation (SD), median and interquartile range (IQR), or percentages].

## Results

From the 327,865 pregnancy episodes identified in SIDIAP, a total of 4,839 (1.5%) pregnancy episodes were included in our study cohort. This cohort was built with pregnancy episodes with hypertension diagnosis during the study period (2011–2020). In Table 1 it can be seen that the cohort had 1,944 (40.2%) pregnancy episodes exposed to an antihypertensive medication. Mothers were older in the exposed group (mean age in years 36.0, SD 5.2) than in the non-exposed group (34.2, SD 5.5). The rate of obesity was higher in the exposed group (35.3% vs. 27.2%). Almost three-quarters (73.3%) of the exposed pregnancies had chronic hypertension (diagnosis registered before the PSD) compared to half of the non-exposed pregnancies (53.6%). There was a higher rate of live-birth pregnancies among pregnancies that were not exposed to drugs (82.7% vs. 77.7%) and, on average, live birth pregnancy duration was 1 week longer in the non-exposed group than in the

exposed group (mean 39.0 weeks, IQR 36.0–40 vs. 38.0 weeks, IQR 30.3–39.6, respectively). To see all the baseline characteristics of the pregnancy episodes, please see Table 1.

From the non-exposed pregnancy cohort, there were 529 (18.3%) cases with just one invoice of an antihypertensive medication, which did not meet the criteria for exposure. These were predominantly in the third trimester (n = 301 pregnancies, 56.9%), followed by the first one (n = 194 pregnancies, 36.7%). For these single-invoice pregnancies, BBs were leading the list (n = 301 pregnancies, 56.9%). To see the complete description of cases that were considered not exposed though with one invoice of an antihypertensive, see Supplementary Table S1.

BBs (n = 1,160 pregnancies, 59.7%) were the most frequently used agents, followed by RAS agents (825 pregnancies, 42.4%). CCBs were the least used (347 pregnancies, 17.8%). The combination of antihypertensive treatments across all the pregnancy trimesters shows BB and RAS agents (155 pregnancy episodes, 8.0%) as the most-used combination, followed by BB agents and antiadrenergic (98 pregnancies, 5.0%). The complete description of the frequency of exposure to the different antihypertensives and combinations through the pregnancies can be seen in Figure 1, and to see the



most-used agents for each antihypertensive group, see Supplementary Table S2.

Figure 2A (gestational hypertension) and 2b (chronic hypertension) showed a decrease in exposure during the second trimester. In gestational hypertension (Figure 2A), exposure increased 96.5% from the second to the third trimester, while a 37.3% increase is shown in chronic hypertension pregnancies (Figure 2B). Chronic hypertension pregnancies decreased by 70.5% in exposure to RAS agents from the first trimester to the third (601–177). BBs were the most-used agents across all trimesters for both chronic and gestational hypertension pregnancies.

## Discussion

In this observational cohort study of pregnancy episodes with hypertension in Catalonia, Spain, during 2011–2020, our results showed that more than half of the pregnancies with hypertension diagnosis had no exposure to antihypertensive medications. Among pregnancy episodes with chronic hypertension, almost threequarters were exposed to antihypertensives, and approximately a quarter of the gestational hypertension episodes were exposed to antihypertensive agents too. The most-used antihypertensives were BBs, and the least-used ones were CCBs. Combinations of antihypertensives were not frequent.

Mothers exposed to antihypertensives were on average 2 years older than those not exposed. Older women are at

more risk of hypertension during pregnancy (Khalil et al., 2013). Obesity has previously been associated with hypertension during pregnancy, with up to a three-fold increased risk; accordingly, in our study, the rate of obese women was higher in the exposed pregnancies (Mission et al., 2015). In the literature, these risks have been defined and associated with hypertension during pregnancy (Assis et al., 2008; Poon et al., 2010). Both cohorts, exposed and not exposed, showed a low rate of pregnancy episodes with chronic kidney disease (CKD) (<2%). Among women with childbearing potential, the average rate of CKD is 4%, and our rates seem consistent. We found a higher rate of CKD among those exposed to antihypertensives compared to the non-exposed ones, probably because they have more advanced CKD in need of antihypertensive treatment (Coresh et al., 2007).

Clinical guidelines recommend maintaining pharmacological treatment in women with chronic hypertension when pregnant, except for RAS agents, as they have been associated with adverse perinatal outcomes (Al Khaja et al., 2014; Garovic et al., 2022). Our results showed higher use of antihypertensives in the first trimester among pregnancies with chronic hypertension, in agreement with the guidelines; however, to date, there is no consensus on the BP values to start antihypertensive medication for pregnancies with BP < 160/90 mmHg. Two recently published meta-analyses have shown better outcomes for pregnancies receiving antihypertensive medications, and a network meta-analysis showed that even if all antihypertensives reduce the risk of severe hypertension, labetalol



#### FIGURE 2

Distribution of the number of pregnancies exposed to the different antihypertensive groups by the trimester of gestation. Each bar represents the three pregnancy trimesters. The five colored boxes represent the pharmacological groups, with the number of episodes and percentage inside each box: antiadrenergic agents, diuretics, beta blocking agents, calcium channel blockers, and renin–angiotensin agents. (A) Gestational hypertension exposure. (B) Chronic hypertension exposure.

may also decrease proteinuria/preeclampsia and fetal/newborn death (Bone et al., 2022; Attar et al., 2023). The boundaries for BP values for when to start medication are uncertain, making this area suitable for shared decision making (SDM), with some research focusing in developing tools for SDM in women with moderate hypertension (Whybrow et al., 2022).

Our results showed a decrease in the exposure to antihypertensives in the second trimester. During the pregnancy-related physiologic changes, BP usually decreases from the baseline values during the second trimester and increases during the third. These changes in BP may lead to a decision to stop treatment in the second trimester; it is reflected in our results (Sanghavi and Rutherford, 2014).

The reduction in the exposure in chronic hypertension pregnancies by the third trimester might be explained by an early referral of high-risk pregnancies to obstetric departments in hospital settings, with no data in the primary care and ambulatory obstetric settings, as prescriptions from hospital providers were not available. Gestational hypertension pregnancies increased exposure by the third trimester, probably due to the higher BP measures during advanced pregnancy.

The most-used antihypertensive medications during pregnancy were BBs, recommended by obstetric guidelines for non-urgent treatment, where the oral BB labetalol and the antiadrenergic central agent methyldopa are the first-line recommended therapies (Brown et al., 2018; Braunthal and Brateanu, 2019). Our results on the most used group are similar to those of a UK cohort study and a US one, where BBs were the most prescribed agents during pregnancy (Cea Soriano et al., 2014; Garcia et al., 2023). For the second trimester of pregnancy, the UK study showed diuretics as the second most-used group, while in our study, for both chronic and gestational hypertension pregnancies, they were antiadrenergic agents. A French study found CCBs and RAS agents as the second most used after BBs (Lailler et al., 2023). Over a decade ago, another US cohort study described antihypertensive nifedipine (33%), a CCB agent, and methyldopa (26%), an antiadrenergic agent, as the most common drugs (Andrade et al., 2008). A more recent cohort study in North Carolina, US, from 2007 to 2017 showed that BBs (79.2%) were the most used, followed by CCBs (31.8%), with labetalol and nifedipine being the most used agents in these groups (Garcia et al., 2023).

Surprisingly, almost half of the pregnancies were exposed to RAS agents (overall 42.4%), though decreasing by trimester. Our rates of exposure to RAS agents by the third trimester (257, 24%) were higher than those in a UK study (12.5%), but they were much higher than those in a French one (0.7%) (Cea Soriano et al., 2014; Lailler et al., 2023). There is a US cohort study that did not mention any exposure to RAS agents while studying different hypertension disorders (chronic included) (Garcia et al., 2023). RAS should be discontinued as soon as possible with awareness of pregnancy, as continuing exposure through pregnancy has been related to malformations, and this may explain the decrease in their use as pregnancy progresses (Al Khaja et al., 2014; Ahmed et al., 2018).

Several studies have tried to show the association between antihypertension and preterm birth. A meta-analysis of eight randomized controlled trials comparing hypertension treatment to control showed protection of preterm birth (OR 0.69; 95% CI, 0.59–0.82) (Chen et al., 2023). In contrast, the meta-analysis of 16 observational studies found a higher OR (2.23, 95% CI 1.96–2.53) for preterm birth for women with chronic hypertension compared to normotensive, a four times greater odds of medically indicated preterm birth (ORadj 4.76, 95% CI 3.55–6.14) but no association between chronic hypertension and spontaneous preterm birth (ORadj 1.44, 95% CI 0.74–2.80) (Al Khalaf et al., 2021). In 2014, a Cochrane systematic review including 49 trials and over 4,000 pregnant women concluded no effect on the incidence of preterm births of treated mild–moderate hypertension (Abalos et al., 2018).

It remains unclear if treating hypertension resulted in a negative effect on pregnancy outcomes or if the higher risk of preterm birth could be caused by the severity of hypertension. It might be possible that elective delivery could be indicated in those with worse hypertension control and more antihypertensive treatment.

## Limitations

We aimed to describe the use of antihypertensive agents during pregnancy in patients with hypertension disorders considering EHR data potential misclassification in time and specific diagnosis, which was the reason why we classified hypertension as chronic or gestational by the time hypertension diagnosis was registered and not by the specific definition. For hypertension, the BP levels are of relevance, but we did not have BP values, which might have helped in a more accurate classification of hypertension and its severity (Chen et al., 2020). We did not account for multiple pregnancies; these pregnancies have been associated with a higher risk for hypertension and preterm elective delivery (Sibai et al., 2000). To avoid exposure misclassification, we defined hypertension medication exposure by two invoices, considering that just one invoice could be an error, especially when just in the second trimester, or not be accurate for the initial and end terms of the However, misclassification pregnancy. exposure in pharmacoepidemiologic studies conducted with databases has frequently been reported (Prada-Ramallal et al., 2019).

## Conclusion

We have described the antihypertensives used in a Catalan cohort of pregnancy episodes that shows that BBs are prescribed the most, which is in line with worldwide guidelines. Pregnancies were exposed to RAS agents, which deserves further detailed study, as does its implications in the offspring. Considering women already on RAS treatment prior to gestation, physicians may explain the risk of conception while on treatment with these agents.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the IDIAP Jordi Gol Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because the need for consent was waived by the Research Ethics Committee of IDIAPJGol as it was deemed unnecessary according to European legislation (Regulation (EU0) 2016/679).

## Author contributions

AG-L: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, writing–original draft, and writing–review and editing. CV-C: data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, visualization, writing–review and editing. ML: funding acquisition, investigation, visualization, writing–original draft, and writing–review and editing. CV: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, supervision, and writing–review and editing. RM: conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, writing–review and editing. MG-S: conceptualization, funding acquisition, funding acquisition, funding acquisition, writing–review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was conducted with a grant from IDIAPJGol (this study received funding from the eighth call for SIDIAP grants, 2018 (expedient number 4R18/188) and from the Health Department of the Generalitat de Catalunya in the call corresponding to 2021 for the granting of funding of the Strategic Plan for Research and Innovation in Health (PERIS) 2021–2024, modality Research Projects in Primary Care, expedient number SLT21/21/000068.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1346357/ full#supplementary-material

# References

Abalos, E., Duley, L., Steyn, D. W., and Gialdini, C. (2018). Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2018, CD002252. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002252.pub4

ACOG (2019). ACOG practice bulletin No. 203: chronic hypertension in pregnancy. *Obstetrics Gynecol.* 133, e26–e50. doi:10.1097/AOG. 000000000003020

Ahmed, B., Tran, D. T., Zoega, H., Kennedy, S. E., Jorm, L. R., and Havard, A. (2018). Maternal and perinatal outcomes associated with the use of renin-angiotensin system (RAS) blockers for chronic hypertension in early pregnancy. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 14, 156–161. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2018.09.010

Al Khaja, K. A. J., Sequeira, R. P., Alkhaja, A. K., and Damanhori, A. H. H. (2014). Drug treatment of hypertension in pregnancy: a critical review of adult guideline recommendations. *J. Hypertens.* 32, 454–463. doi:10.1097/HJH. 00000000000069

Al Khalaf, S. Y., O'Reilly, É. J., Barrett, P. M., Leite, B., Pawley, L. C., McCarthy, F. P., et al. (2021). Impact of chronic hypertension and antihypertensive treatment on adverse perinatal outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAHA* 10, e018494. doi:10. 1161/JAHA.120.018494

Andrade, S. E., Raebel, M. A., Brown, J., Lane, K., Livingston, J., Boudreau, D., et al. (2008). Outpatient use of cardiovascular drugs during pregnancy. *Pharmacoepidem*. *Drug Safe*. 17, 240–247. doi:10.1002/pds.1550

Assis, T. R., Viana, F. P., and Rassi, S. (2008). Study on the major maternal risk factors in hypertensive syndromes. *Arq. Bras. Cardiol.* 91, 11–17. doi:10.1590/S0066-782X2008001300002

Attar, A., Hosseinpour, A., and Moghadami, M. (2023). The impact of antihypertensive treatment of mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy on maternal and neonatal outcomes: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin. Cardiol.* 46, 467–476. doi:10.1002/clc.24013

Bone, J. N., Sandhu, A., Abalos, E. D., Khalil, A., Singer, J., Prasad, S., et al. (2022). Oral antihypertensives for nonsevere pregnancy hypertension: systematic review, network meta- and trial sequential analyses. *Hypertension* 79, 614–628. doi:10.1161/ HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.18415

Bramham, K., Parnell, B., Nelson-Piercy, C., Seed, P. T., Poston, L., and Chappell, L. C. (2014). Chronic hypertension and pregnancy outcomes: systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMJ* 348, g2301. doi:10.1136/bmj.g2301

Braunthal, S., and Brateanu, A. (2019). Hypertension in pregnancy: pathophysiology and treatment. *SAGE Open Med.* 7, 2050312119843700. doi:10.1177/ 2050312119843700

Brown, M. A., Magee, L. A., Kenny, L. C., Karumanchi, S. A., McCarthy, F. P., Saito, S., et al. (2018). Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: ISSHP classification, diagnosis, and management recommendations for international practice. *Hypertension* 72, 24–43. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10803

Cea Soriano, L., Bateman, B. T., García Rodríguez, L. A., and Hernández-Díaz, S. (2014). Prescription of antihypertensive medications during pregnancy in the UK: antihypertensive medications during pregnancy. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 23, 1051–1058. doi:10.1002/pds.3641

Chen, L., Shortreed, S. M., Easterling, T., Cheetham, T. C., Reynolds, K., Avalos, L. A., et al. (2020). Identifying hypertension in pregnancy using electronic medical records: the importance of blood pressure values. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 19, 112–118. doi:10. 1016/j.preghy.2020.01.001

Chen, Z., Wang, J., Carru, C., Chen, Y., and Li, Z. (2023). Treatment for mild hypertension in pregnancy with different strategies: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Intl J Gynecol. Obste* 162, 202–210. doi:10.1002/ijgo.14634

Coresh, J., Selvin, E., Stevens, L. A., Manzi, J., Kusek, J. W., Eggers, P., et al. (2007). Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA* 298, 2038–2047. doi:10.1001/jama.298.17.2038

Domínguez-Berjón, M. F., Borrell, C., Cano-Serral, G., Esnaola, S., Nolasco, A., Pasarín, M. I., et al. (2024). Constructing a deprivation index based on census data in large Spanish cities(the MEDEA project). *Gac. Sanit.* 22, 179–187. doi:10.1157/13123961

Garcia, J. E., Mulrenin, I. R., Nguyen, A. B., Loop, M. S., Daubert, M. A., Urrutia, R., et al. (2023). Antihypertensive medication use during pregnancy in a real-world cohort

of patients diagnosed with a hypertensive disorder of pregnancy. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10, 1225251. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2023.1225251

Garovic, V. D., Dechend, R., Easterling, T., Karumanchi, S. A., McMurtry Baird, S., Magee, L. A., et al. (2022). Hypertension in pregnancy: diagnosis, blood pressure goals, and pharmacotherapy: a scientific statement from the American heart association. *Hypertension* 79, e21–e41. doi:10.1161/HYP.000000000000208

Kaimal, A. J., Gandhi, M., Pettker, C. M., and Simhan, H. (2022). Clinical guidance for the integration of the findings of the chronic hypertension and pregnancy. Available at: https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/articles/2022/04/ clinical-guidance-for-the-integration-of-the-findings-of-the-chronic-hypertensionand-pregnancy-chap-study (Accessed May 13, 2023).

Khalil, A., Syngelaki, A., Maiz, N., Zinevich, Y., and Nicolaides, K. H. (2013). Maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcome: a cohort study. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* 42, 634–643. doi:10.1002/uog.12494

Lailler, G., Grave, C., Gabet, A., Regnault, N., Deneux-Tharaux, C., Kretz, S., et al. (2023). Adverse maternal and infant outcomes in women with chronic hypertension in France (2010–2018): the nationwide CONCEPTION study. *JAHA* 12, e027266. doi:10. 1161/JAHA.122.027266

Lestón Vázquez, M., Vilaplana-Carnerero, C., Gomez-Lumbreras, A., Prat-Vallverdu, O., Marsal, J. R., Vedia Urgell, C., et al. (2023). Drug exposure during pregnancy in primary care: an algorithm and observational study from SIDIAP database, Catalunya, Spain. *BMJ Open* 13, e071335. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-071335

Mission, J. F., Marshall, N. E., and Caughey, A. B. (2015). Pregnancy risks associated with obesity. *Obstetrics Gynecol. Clin. N. Am.* 42, 335–353. doi:10.1016/j.ogc.2015. 01.008

Poon, L. C. Y., Kametas, N. A., Chelemen, T., Leal, A., and Nicolaides, K. H. (2010). Maternal risk factors for hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: a multivariate approach. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* 24, 104–110. doi:10.1038/jhh.2009.45

Prada-Ramallal, G., Takkouche, B., and Figueiras, A. (2019). Bias in pharmacoepidemiologic studies using secondary health care databases: a scoping review. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* 19, 53. doi:10.1186/s12874-019-0695-y

Recalde, M., Rodríguez, C., Burn, E., Far, M., García, D., Carrere-Molina, J., et al. (2022). Data resource profile: the information system for research in primary care (SIDIAP). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 51, e324-e336. doi:10.1093/ije/dyac068

Sanghavi, M., and Rutherford, J. D. (2014). Cardiovascular physiology of pregnancy. Circulation 130, 1003–1008. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.009029

Sibai, B. M., Hauth, J., Caritis, S., Lindheimer, M. D., MacPherson, C., Klebanoff, M., et al. (2000). Hypertensive disorders in twin versus singleton gestations. National Institute of child health and human development network of maternal-fetal medicine units. *Am. J. Obstetrics Gynecol.* 182, 938–942. doi:10.1016/S0002-9378(00)70350-4

Wang, W., Xie, X., Yuan, T., Wang, Y., Zhao, F., Zhou, Z., et al. (2021). Epidemiological trends of maternal hypertensive disorders of pregnancy at the global, regional, and national levels: a population-based study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 21, 364. doi:10.1186/s12884-021-03809-2

WHO (2019). ICD-10 version: 2019. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems 10th revision. Available at: https://icd.who.int/browse10/2019/en.

WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology (2022). ATC/DDD index 2022. Available at: https://www.whocc.no/atc\_ddd\_index/.

Whybrow, R., Sandall, J., Girling, J., Brown, H., Seed, P. T., Green, M., et al. (2022). Implementation of a novel shared decision-making intervention in women with chronic hypertension in pregnancy: multiple-site multiple-method investigation. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 30, 137–144. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2022.09.007

Williams, B., Mancia, G., Spiering, W., Agabiti Rosei, E., Azizi, M., Burnier, M., et al. (2018). 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur. Heart J.* 39, 3021–3104. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339

Wu, D., Gao, L., Huang, O., Ullah, K., Guo, M., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). Increased adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with stage 1 hypertension in a low-risk cohort: evidence from 47 874 cases. *Hypertension* 75, 772–780. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA. 119.14252