Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jiangxin Wang, Shenzhen University, China

REVIEWED BY Dorin Dragoş, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania Jingxin Mao, Southwest University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Ying Zhang, ⊠ zhangyingch@cqu.edu.cn Zheng Li, ⊠ bigrain218@outlook.com

RECEIVED 20 September 2023 ACCEPTED 08 February 2024 PUBLISHED 01 March 2024

CITATION

He Y, Zhang Y and Li Z (2024), Optimization and preparation of a compound cod liver oil film former agent: an orthogonal design. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1296448. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1296448

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 He, Zhang and Li. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Optimization and preparation of a compound cod liver oil film former agent: an orthogonal design

Yao He¹, Ying Zhang²* and Zheng Li³*

¹Pharmacy Department, Chongqing Emergency Medical Center, Chongqing University Central Hospital, Chongqing, China, ²Chongqing Key Laboratory of Emergency Medicine, Chongqing Emergency Medical Center, Chongqing University Central Hospital, Chongqing, China, ³Pharmacy Department, The Fifth People's Hospital of Chongqing, Chongqing, China

Background: Cod liver oil has anti-inflammatory properties and could help regulate recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS). An orthogonal experiment was used to evaluate and improve the dosage form of compound cod liver oil, which has replaced the previously used liniment preparation based on film method.

Methods: An orthogonal experiment was adopted, and the appearance and filmforming time of the film coating agents were used as indicators. The optimal ratio in the preparation process for the compound cod liver oil film agent was then optimized. A method for determination of compound cod liver oil film was established using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

Results: The results indicate that the blank films prepared using 55 mg polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (PVA low), 45 mg of PVA (PVA medium), and 10 mg glycerol had the optimal performance, which was defined as PVAa. The drug-carrying film prepared from 3 mL PVAa (i.e., film-forming material with the optimal proportion), 30 mg dexamethasone acetate, and 30 mg metronidazole had the optimal performance. The verified sample has a complete and smooth appearance, uniform thickness and color, and no evident bubbles, which meets the requirements for a film agent defined in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition. HPLC was used to determine the major components: dexamethasone acetate, metronidazole, and dyclonine hydrochloride, and the optimal separation effect was obtained. The method has advantages of good specificity, good linear results, high recovery rate, and good repeatability.

Conclusion: This study proposes an optimized compound cod liver oil film former agent and preparation method. The results indicate that the compound cod liver oil film former agent had good performance, reflecting the high feasibility of this research method. The detection method of compound cod liver oil film was established by HPLC. The method was feasible, and the validity and stability of the formulation and preparation technology were guaranteed. The role of the newly developed agent in patients with RAS should be investigated further.

KEYWORDS

orthogonal experimental design, recurrent aphthous stomatitis, polyvinyl alcohol, cod liver oil, dexamethasone acetate, metronidazole

1 Introduction

Recurrent aphthous stomatitis (RAS), also known as recurrent aphthous ulcers or canker sores, is one of the most prevalent ulcerative diseases of the oral mucosa (Giannetti et al., 2018; Rivera, 2019). RAS affects approximately 5%-25% of the global population and predominantly women from higher socioeconomic groups (Nalbantoglu and Nalbantoglu, 2020). It is characterized by multiple recurrent small round or ovoid ulcers with circumscribed margins, which may vary in size (Ghali and Abdulhamed, 2022). Aphthous ulcers are usually extremely painful for the first 4-5 days, during which they can interfere with eating and speaking. The exact etiology of RAS remains unclear; however, it is considered a multifactorial disease. Evidence has shown that emotional stress, allergies, local trauma, spicy food, and trace element or vitamin deficiencies are potential risk factors (Edgar et al., 2017; Rodriguez-Archilla and Raissouni, 2018; Mao et al., 2023).

Minor aphthous stomatitis can heal spontaneously within 4-14 days without scarring (Rivera et al., 2022), whereas major aphthous stomatitis, especially when the ulcers are >1 cm in diameter, results in long-lasting lesions that can scar (Krawiecka et al., 2017). Oral lesions can seriously interfere with the normal daily activities of patients, as they may cause severe difficulties with chewing, speaking, and swallowing (Chen, 2021). Numerous agents and methods are available for the treatment of RAS, and their objectives are primarily pain relief, promotion of wound healing, and reduction of frequency (Mao et al., 2022). However, most of the medicinal products on the market are western medicines, and few agents have a definite effect on RAS management and meet the treatment requirements of patients (Hamedi et al., 2016). The combined use of multiple agents has a considerable impact on patients' medication compliance and increases treatment costs. This may also cause adverse reactions, recurrence, and other disadvantages, which makes clinical usage questionable. Moreover, physical therapy is inconvenient for patients, and the cost of treatment is high. Furthermore, the treatment effects do not differ significantly; therefore, it is often not the first choice for patients with RAU.

Cod liver oil is a rich source of omega-3 fatty acids with antiinflammatory properties, and it could regulate various diseases, including RAS (Yang et al., 2019). The compound cod liver oil liniment mainly comprises cod liver oil, tetracycline powder, tetracaine, and dexamethasone (Li and Quan, 2005). The curative effect has a decreasing trend annually in clinical practice.

The aim of the present study was to optimize the dosage form of the compound cod liver oil, which improves liniment film preparation. The authors hypothesized that such modification could minimize washing away of active drug ingredients by saliva and poor local adhesion, and improve drug stability and bioavailability. An orthogonal experiment approach was adopted, and the appearance and film-forming time of the film coating agents were used as the indicators. Furthermore, the ratios in the preparation process for the compound cod liver oil film agent were optimized.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Instruments and reagents

KQ-100B ultrasonic cleaner (Kunshan ultrasonic instruments Co., Ltd., Kunshan, China); AFA-IV automatic coating machine (Dongguan Dalai Instrument Co., Ltd., Dongguan, China); DJ1C-120W magnetic stirrer (Jiangsu Maipulong Instrument Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Changzhou, China); HH-S thermostat water bath (Jiangsu Jintan Instrument Technology Co., Ltd., Jintan, China); 101–2 series constant temperature (Shanghai Nanyang Electrical Equipment Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China); JF2204C analytical balance (Shanghai Bangxi Instrument Technology Co., Ltd. Shanghai, China); 1260 High-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC, Agilent Technologies Co. Ltd. Santa Clara, United States); Agilent 5 HC-C8 (4.6×250 mm, 5 um; Agilent Technologies Co. Ltd. Santa Clara, United States) were used in the experiments.

Dexamethasone acetate powder (Tianjin Tianyao Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., batch number: NDAC180602); vitamin C soluble powder (Northeast Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd., batch number: 2617032024); cod liver oil (Sinopharm Group Co., Ltd., batch number: 20780802); vitamin B2 soluble powder (Shandong Jurong Bio Co., Ltd., batch number: 1704223); metronidazole powder (Wuhan Wuyao Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number: C04-180409); dyclonine hydrochloride powder (Hubei Xingyin Chemical Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number: 20181579); polysorbate 80 (Hunan Erkang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number: 1720180503); polyvinyl alcohol medium viscosity (PVA medium) (Jiangxi Alpha Hi-Tech Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number: 20180701); polyvinyl alcohol low viscosity (PVA low) (Jiangxi Alpha Hi-Tech Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number: 20171102); glycerin (Guangdong Hengjian Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number 180102); ethanol (Sichuan Jinshan Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., batch number: 20171005) were used in the experiments as reagents. All water used in the experiment was self-purified water.

2.2 Preparation and evaluation of the blank film

Synthetic polymer has varied adhesiveness, film formation, and water solubility characteristics with different materials (Gobi et al., 2021). Synthetic polymer film-forming materials were consequently used to prepare blank films in the present study. PVA medium (A, mg), PVA low (B, mg), and glycerol (C, mg) were selected as the main factors, and three levels were selected for each factor. The optimal composition ratios of the blank film were obtained using an orthogonal experiment, and the factor levels are shown in Supplemental Table S1. As a common film forming material, PVA has strong film forming properties. The film agent made of PVA is clean and has a short melting time, which has a great influence on the physical properties after film formation. "PVA low" means low viscosity PVA, with a viscosity of 20.0–30.0 mPa s, specifically PVA05–88, and low polymerization degree, high water solubility, good film formation, and poor ductility. "PVA

medium" means PVA with medium viscosity, with a viscosity of 54.0–6.0 mPa s, specifically PVA17–88, and high polymerization degree, low water solubility, and relatively high tensile strength. The choice of two types of PVA with different viscosity or water solubility is to better and more accurately adjust PVA water solubility, film formation, and ductility.

1) An L9 (34) orthogonal test was used for blank film preparation. The prescribed quantities of A and B were weighed and then swollen fully using purified water. They were then stirred at 95°C in a water bath until dissolved completely into colorless liquids. The bubbles were removed by sonication for 5 min, and A and B were then mixed at 20°C. The prescribed quantities of C were weighed, and a mixture of A and B was added, and they were then stirred and mixed. The bubbles were removed by ultrasound for 5 min, and the mixture was stored at around 25°C. Subsequently, 1 mL of blank film solution was dropped onto the coating glass board of the automatic coater. At 70 mm/s, a 0.2-µm wire rod was coated and dried naturally. The appearance evaluation indexes were softness, smoothness, film forming, and uniformity; each index was 15 points (Juliano et al., 2008). Softness: Observe the difficulty of demoulding and whether there is damage during the film uncovering process, the easier it is to demoulding, and the higher the number of no damage during the film uncovering process. Smoothness: Observe whether there are small folds and bubbles, the fewer folds and bubbles, the higher the score. Film formation: Observe the difficulty of coating film, the easier it is, the higher it is. Uniformity: Observe whether the thickness of the film is consistent, the more consistent the score is higher. The time required for the coating agent to form a film was determined. A weighted comprehensive evaluation was performed, and the scores for appearance and film-forming time accounted for 60% and 40% of the comprehensive scores, respectively (Wulf and Lewthwaite, 2016). Thus, Total score = appearance trait score (softness + smoothness + molding + uniformity) + (shortest film forming time/film forming time) ×40. The dosage ratio of each main matrix in the blank film was considered, and the film-forming material with the best proportion was called PVAa.

2.3 Preparation and evaluation of a drugcarrying film

According to the results of the preliminary experiment, PVAa (D, mL), dexamethasone acetate (E, mg), and metronidazole (F, mg) were selected as influence factors, and three levels of each factor were selected to obtain the optimal composition ratio of the drug-carrying film using an L9 (3⁴) orthogonal experiment; factor levels are shown in Supplemental Table S2. The prescribed quantities of E, F, vitamin C (50 mg), vitamin B2 (5 mg), tacronin hydrochloride (10 mg), and polyssorbide 80 (15 mg) were weighed. Subsequently, 5 mL of 50% ethanol was added, and the mixture was stirred. The prescribed quantity of D and cod liver oil (0.1 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred in a water bath at 60°C until dissolved completely. It was then stored at around 25°C. Subsequently, 1 mL of the drug-carrying film solution was dropped on the coating glass plate of the automatic coater. At 70 mm/s, a 200-µm wire rod was coated and dried naturally. The evaluation methods for the drug-carrying film were the same as for the blank film.

2.4 Methodological determination of compound cod liver oil film former agent

The measurement conditions were as follows: the column was an Agilent 5 HC-C8 (4.6 \times 250 mm, 5 um), the column temperature was 25°C, the wavelength was 275 nm, and the flow rate was 1.0 mL/ min, with an injection volume of 100 μ L. Sodium dihydrogen phosphate dihydrate solution-acetonitrile (65:35) (7 g/L) was used as the mobile phase.

The test solution was prepared as follows: five bottles were filled with 100 mL/bottle of the above compound cod liver oil film former solution, and 30 mL of acetonitrile was added to dissolve the sample. Afterward, it was quantitatively transferred to a 100 mL volumetric flask, diluted to the mark with acetonitrile and shaken and filtered. Subsequently, 1 mL of the filtrate was placed in a 25 mL volumetric flask, acetonitrile was added to dilute it to the mark, and it was shaken to generate the test solution. The stock solution of dyclonine hydrochloride reference substance was prepared as follows: 10 mg of dyclonine hydrochloride reference substance was placed into a 10 mL volumetric flask, dissolved and diluted to the mark with acetonitrile, and shaken. Preparation of the mixed reference substance solution was as follows: 15 mg of the dexamethasone and metronidazole reference substances were measured, respectively, into a 100 mL volumetric flask, and then 5 mL of dyclonine hydrochloride reference substance solution was added with acetonitrile to dissolve and dilute to the mark, and then shaken.

Linearity determination was as follows: 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, and 1.5 mL of the mixed reference substance stock solution were measured into 10 mL volumetric flasks, diluted to the mark with acetonitrile, and then used as the concentration of the solution equivalent to the test solution. Subsequently, 100 μ L of the above linear solution was measured for detection using the previously described chromatographic conditions. The concentration (C) and the peak area (A) were used as the abscissa and ordinate, respectively, to calculate the regression equation and the correlation coefficient.

To verify injection reproducibility, $100 \ \mu L$ of the solution with a concentration of 100% of the test solution was injected six times into a liquid chromatograph and then detected according to the above described chromatographic conditions. The chromatogram was recorded, and precision was determined according to the peak area.

To calculate the recovery rate, 80%, 100%, and 120% of the labeled amount of dyclonine hydrochloride, dexamethasone, and metronidazole were recovered. The 80% recovery solution contained dyclonine hydrochloride (4 mg), dexamethasone acetate reference substance (12 mg), and metronidazole reference substance (12 mg), respectively. The 100% recovery solution contained dyclonine hydrochloride (5 mg), dexamethasone acetate reference substance (15 mg), and metronidazole reference substance (15 mg). In comparison, the 120% recovery solution contained dyclonine hydrochloride (6 mg), dexamethasone acetate reference substance (18 mg), respectively. Subsequently, 100 μ L of the above solution was injected into the liquid chromatograph and detected under the above described chromatographic conditions. The external standard method was used to calculate the recovery as the peak area.

Experiment number	Factors				Appearance	Film	Score of film	Total
	A(PVA medium, mg)	B(PVA low, mg)	C(glycerin, mg)	Error	SCOLE	time(min)	time	30010
1	Level 1(35)	Level 1(35)	Level 1(6)	Level 1	24	106.9	34.79	58.79
2	Level 1(35)	Level 2(45)	Level 2(10)	Level2	40	100.1	37.17	77.17
3	Level 1(35)	Level 3(55)	Level 3(14)	Level3	26	106.9	34.79	60.79
4	Level 2(45)	Level 1(35)	Level 2(10)	Level3	36	127.4	29.21	65.21
5	Level 2(45)	Level 2(45)	Level 3(14)	Level 1	40	104.3	35.67	75.67
6	Level 2(45)	Level 3(55)	Level 1(6)	Level2	28	93.0	40.00	68.00
7	Level 3(55)	Level 1(35)	Level 3(14)	Level2	42	111.5	33.37	75.37
8	Level 3(55)	Level 2(45)	Level 1(6)	Level3	57	103.1	36.08	93.08
9	Level 3(55)	Level 3(55)	Level 2(10)	Level 1	46	106.8	34.84	80.84
Mean Level 1	65.58	66.46	73.29	71.77				
Mean Level 2	69.63	81.97	74.41	73.51				
Mean Level 3	83.10	69.88	70.61	73.03				
Range	17.51	15.51	3.780	1.75				

TABLE 1 Results of blank film orthogonal test.

Note: "Mean" represents the average total score of each factor (i.e. A, B, C) at its corresponding level. The numbers in parentheses represent the amount added. For example, Mean Level 1 indicates the average value of the Total score of all Level 1 in PVA, medium. That is, "Mean Level 1" of PVA, medium = (58.79 + 77.17+60.79)/3 = 65.58. By analogy, this factor (PVA, medium) "Mean Level 2" = (65.21 + 75.67+68.00)/3 = 69.63. For the factor of "PVA, low", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46. For the factor of "glycerin", "Mean Level 1" = (58.79 + 65.21+75.37)/3 = 66.46.

For repeatability determination, $100 \ \mu L$ of the test and mixed reference solutions were injected six times continuously into the liquid chromatograph and detected according to the above described chromatographic conditions. The peak area ratio was recorded.

2.5 Interference test, separation degree and theoretical plate number test

Interference test: According to the prescription ratio, 5 g of the blank excipients were weighed accurately and placed in 100-mL measuring bottles, 60 mL acetonitrile added, ultrasonicated for 10 min, and then acetonitrile added to dilute to the scale, shaken, and filtered. Subsequently, 1 mL of the filtrate was placed into a 25 mL measuring bottle, acetonitrile added to dilute to the scale, shaken well, and the test solution obtained. According to chromatographic conditions in Section 2.4, blank excipients and blank solvents did not interfere with the content determination of this product, and the results are shown in Supplemental Figure S1.

Separation degree and theoretical plate number test: The test solution $(100 \ \mu\text{L})$ was assessed according to the chromatographic conditions in Section 2.4. The results are shown in Supplemental Figures S2, S3. The results indicated the theoretical plate numbers according to the dyclonine hydrochloride peak, dexamethasone peak, and metronidazole peak are 12365, 22354, and 15325, respectively. The separation degrees of dyclonine hydrochloride, dexamethasone, and metronidazole meet the requirements of content determination.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Our current study adopted the orthogonal experimental method to determine the optimal ratio of blank film and drug loaded film, and observed whether each factor had a significant impact on film formation. Thus, one-way Analysis (F-test) of Variance was used to test differences in continuous variables (Zhou et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2022). In the study of the optimal proportion of blank film, the continuous variables were PVA medium, PVA low, glycerin. In the study on the optimal proportion of drug-carrying film, the continuous variables were PVAa, dexamethasone acetate, metronidazole. p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

3 Results

3.1 Results of the blank film orthogonal test

The influences of PVA medium (A, mg), PVA low (B, mg), and glycerol (C, mg) on the performance of the blank film matrix were as follows: A > B > C; factors A and B had a significant influence on the experimental results (p < .05), whereas factor C had no significant influence (p > .10; Tables 1, 2). The optimal composition ratio of the blank film was found to be $A_3B_2C_2$. The blank film prepared with PVA low (55 mg), PVA medium (45 mg), and glycerol (10 mg) had the best performance.

Factor	Sum of squares of deviation	df	F	p
A(PVA medium)	504.51	2	103.49	<0.05
B(PVA low)	398.79	2	81.80	< 0.05
C(glycerin)	22.84	2	4.69	>0.10
Error	4.88	2		

TABLE 3 Results of drug-carrying film othogonal test.

Experiment	Factors		Appearance	Film	Score of	Total		
number	D (PVAa, ml)	E (dexamethasone acetate, mg)	F one (metronidazole, mg)		score	time (min)	forming time	score
1	Level 1(1)	Level 1(20)	Level 1(10)	Level 1	18	189.3	22.19	40.19
2	Level 1(1)	Level 2(30)	Level 2(20)	Level 2	24	133.2	31.52	55.52
3	Level 1(1)	Level 3(40)	Level 3(30)	Level 3	31	142.7	29.43	60.43
4	Level 2(2)	Level 1(20)	Level 2(20)	Level 3	27	120.5	34.86	61.86
5	Level 2(2)	Level 2(30)	Level 3(30)	Level 1	50	125.7	33.42	83.42
6	Level 2(2)	Level 3(40)	Level 1(10)	Level 2	24	105.0	40.00	64.00
7	Level 3(3)	Level 1(20)	Level 3(30)	Level 2	42	109.3	38.42	80.42
8	Level 3(3)	Level 2(30)	Level 1(10)	Level 3	48	118.1	35.57	83.57
9	Level 3(3)	Level 3(40)	Level 2(20)	Level 1	38	109.8	38.24	76.24
Mean Level 1	52.05	60.82	62.59	66.62				
Mean Level 2	69.76	74.17	64.50	66.65				
Mean Level 3	80.08	66.89	74.76	68.62				
Range	28.03	13.36	12.17	2.00				

Note: "Mean" represents the average total score of each factor (i.e. A, B, C) at its corresponding level. The numbers in parentheses represent the amount added. For example, 52.05 of "Mean Level 1" is the average "Total score" of all "Level 1" factors of "PVAa", i.e. (40.19 + 55.52+60.43)/3 = 52.05. 60.82 was the average "Total score" of all "Level 1" of the factor "dexamethasone", i.e. (40.19 + 61.86+80.42)/3 = 60.82. 62.59 is the average of the "Total score" of all "Level 1" of the factor "dexamethasone", i.e. (40.19 + 61.86+80.42)/3 = 60.82. 62.59 is the average of the "Total score" of all "Level 1" of the factor "metronidazole", i.e. (40.19 + 64.00+83.57)/3 = 62.58. And 66.62 is the average of the Total score of all Levels 1 of Error, i.e. (40.19 + 83.42+76.24)/3 = 66.62.

TABLE 4 Results of variance analysis for drug-carrying film orthogonal t
--

Factor	Sum of squares of deviation	df	F	p
D(PVAa)	1205.88	2	152.49	<0.05
E(dexamethasone acetate)	267.94	2	33.88	<0.05
F(metronidazole)	256.31	2	32.41	<0.05
Error	7.91	2		

3.2 Orthogonal test results for the drugcarrying film

The orthogonal test results and variance analysis of the drugcarrying film are shown in Tables 3, 4. According to the results, the influences on the performance of the drug-carrying film matrix were as follows: D > E > F; factors D, E, and F had a significant influence on the experimental results (p < .05). The optimal composition ratio of the drug-carrying film is $D_3E_2F_3$; that is, the drug-carrying film prepared using PVAa (3 mL), dexamethasone acetate (30 mg), and metronidazole (30 mg), had the best performance.

Group	No.	Softness	Smoothness	Film- forming	Uniformity	Appearance score	Film forming time (min)	Film formation time score	Total score
Verification	1	14	15	13	11	53	91	36.48	89.48
group	2	12	13	12	14	51	83	40.00	91.00
	3	13	14	15	14	56	88	37.73	93.73
Drug-loaded	5	10	15	12	13	50	125.7	33.42	83.42
film group	8	12	9	13	14	48	118.1	35.57	83.57

TABLE 5 Results of film formation time and appearance verification of drug-loaded film samples.

3.3 Validation test

Three batches of samples were prepared according to the optimal ratio of $D_3E_2F_3$. The results are shown in Table 5. Compared with No. 5 and No. 8, the verified sample score increased with higher scores in the orthogonal test results of the drug-carrying film, and the optimized preparation process was repeatable (Table 5). The verified sample has a complete and smooth appearance, uniform thickness, uniform color, and no evident bubbles, which meets the requirements for a film agent defined in Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition.

The drug-carrying film samples were divided into small squares of 2×2 cm and verified by referring to the requirements for weight difference of the film agents defined in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition. According to the results in Supplemental Table S3, only one tablet exceeded the weight difference limit $(\pm 15\%)$, and the weight difference limit was not twice the limit. The weight difference limit of the drug-loading film samples conformed with the provisions. According to the method for determining the dissolution time limit for the clotrimazole oral film in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition (Queiroz et al., 2018), the drug-carrying film with the optimal ratio was cut into six films that were each 1 cm² and then clamped with two layers of stainless steel wire with an inner diameter of 2.0 mm. All films should be dissolved and go through the screen within 15 min, according to the method for the determining the disintegration time limit of tablets (general rule 0921). The time spent was recorded, and the average value and RSD were calculated, as shown in Supplemental Table S4.

3.4 Content determination of compound cod liver oil film former

The linearity determination showed that dyclonine hydrochloride, dexamethasone acetate, and metronidazole had a good linear relationship ($R \ge 0.9999$) in the concentration range of 2.5-7.5 µg/mL, 7.5-22.5 µg/mL, and 7.5-22.5 µg/mL, respectively (details not shown). The verification of injection reproducibility revealed that the RSD peak areas were 0.11% for dyclonine hydrochloride, 0.07% for dexamethasone acetate, and 0.08% for metronidazole, and they all indicated good precision (Supplemental Table S5). The average recovery rate and RSD were 100.7% and 0.24% for dyclonine hydrochloride, 99.0% and 0.70% for dexamethasone acetate, and 99.6% and 0.80% for dexamethasone acetate, respectively (Supplemental Table S6). The results of repeatability determination suggested that the repeatability RSD for dyclonine hydrochloride, dexamethasone acetate, and metronidazole were 0.83%, 0.82%, and 0.88%, respectively. All met the requirements of content determination (Supplemental Table S7).

4 Discussion

In the present study, dexamethasone acetate, metronidazole, vitamin C, vitamin B2, dyclonine hydrochloride, and cod liver oil were selected as the main components for the treatment of RAS. PVA was selected as the film-forming material to prepare a compound film, and its ratio was optimized using an orthogonal test. As this study has mainly discussed the optimal ratio of the preparation process, the appearance of the film agent and the film-forming time were selected as the indicators. Overall, the compound cod-liver oil film resulted in the following improvements in comparison with previous formula: (1) added vitamin C and vitamin B2; (2) replaced tetracycline with metronidazole; and (3) replaced tetracaine with dyclonine hydrochloride mucilage.

Vitamin C reduces capillary permeability and fragility, and vitamin B2 is an indispensable nutrient that maintains the integrity of the oral mucosa (Moynihan, 2008; Morelli et al., 2020). In addition, anaerobic bacteria are common in oral mucosal infections, and metronidazole has broad-spectrum antianaerobic bacterial activities (Reynolds-Campbell et al., 2017), which makes it an ideal anti-anaerobic bacterial agent. Moreover, dyclonine hydrochloride, a new type of safe soluble mucosal surface anesthetic, contains glycerin, which has high viscosity and adheres to the oral mucosa to enhance the anesthesia effect (Kimyon et al., 2019). The toxicity and side effects of dyclonine hydrochloride were found to be low, and the anesthesia effect was strong; the effect is thus rapid and lasting, and it can reduce allergic reactions (Kimyon et al., 2019). Compared with the compound cod-liver oil liniment, the oral immediate-release film was generally composed of the main drugs, film-forming materials, plasticizers, and flavoring agents. As a common film-forming material, PVA has strong film-forming properties. The resulting film is smooth and has a short melting time (Londhe and Umalkar, 2012), with the highest impact on the physical properties after film formation. As a common plasticizer, glycerol can increase film softness, flexibility, and humidity (Jouki et al., 2013).

The experimental results indicate that PVA low and PVA medium had significant effects on the total score for the blank

film (p < .05). The concentration of PVA low was positively correlated with the total score, indicating that PVA low had positive effects on physical properties and film-forming time of the film agent. Therefore, it can be considered the main component of the film-forming material. The total score of the blank film after film formation first increased and then decreased with an increase in PVA medium. The reason may be that with an increase in viscosity, the flexibility and uniformity of the film were affected, and the film formation time was prolonged. The changes in glycerol had no significant effects on the total score of the blank film (p > .05), indicating that the glycerol mainly acts as a humectant and plasticizer and has minimal effect on its physical properties. Glycerol has a positive influence on the film-forming time, and excess glycerol can keep the surface of the film agent wet and prolong the film-formation time (Jouki et al., 2013).

Compared with traditional research methods that only consider the film-forming material, the current study also investigated the effects of the main drugs on the physical properties of the film. The results suggest that the main drugs (i.e., PVAa, dexamethasone acetate, and metronidazole) had specific impacts on the physical properties of the film. As the different main drugs have different binding degrees and binding methods with the film-forming material, they have different effects on the physical properties and film-forming time of the film agent. Although the main drugs had an impact on the physical properties of the film, they were not ideal as the film-forming material, and this combination may have an impact on the dissolution rate of the main drugs. According to the orthogonal test results, with an increase in dexamethasone acetate, the total score first increased and then decreased after film formation. The results may also be because the solubility of dexamethasone acetate reached saturation, and the insoluble particles increased, which affected the film formation and appearance score (Chen et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2018). The PVAa was positively correlated with the total score of the drug-loaded film after film formation, suggesting that the effect of the film-forming agent on its total score can be observed as a whole rather than individually after the optimal ratio of film-forming materials is obtained.

Overall, the results indicate that the compound cod liver oil film prepared in the present study has an optimal appearance and meets the relevant requirements defined in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 2020 edition. This also provides a solid foundation for future research to investigate the associated quality control methods and pharmacokinetic parameters.

The present study had some limitations. First, the experimental conditions cannot fully simulate the *in vivo* environment, so that the effects of the compound cod liver oil film former agent on the human body require further verification. Second, only the scores for appearance and film-forming time were calculated for a few sets of doses of blank film and main drugs and the specific doses were not sufficiently refined. Third, whether changes in the external physical environment had a specific effect on the results was not examined.

5 Conclusion

The present study has proposed an optimized compound cod liver oil film former agent and an appropriate preparation method.

An orthogonal experiment was adopted and the appearance and film-forming time of the film coating agents were used as the indicators. The ratios for the preparation process were optimized. According to the results, compound cod liver oil film former agent has good performance, which highlights the value of this research method. The clinical effects of the new agent in patients with RAS requires further investigation.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

YH: Data curation, Methodology, Writing-original draft. YZ: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. ZL: Investigation, Methodology, Writing-original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was sponsored by the Research Project of Nan'an District Health Commission of Chongqing, China (No. 2017-39). The funding agents had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1296448/ full#supplementary-material

References

Chen, Q., Zielinski, D., Chen, J., Koski, A., Werst, D., and Nowak, S. (2008). A validated, stability-indicating HPLC method for the determination of dexamethasone related substances on dexamethasone-coated drug-eluting stents. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 48 (3), 732–738. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2008.07.010

Chen, Y. (2021). Study on the effect of clinical oral nursing intervention for patients with oral ul-cers. *Nurs. Sci.* 10, 235–240. doi:10.12677/NS.2021.103039

Edgar, N. R., Saleh, D., and Miller, R. A. (2017). Recurrent aphthous stomatitis: a review. J. Clin. Aesthet. Dermatol 10 (3), 26–36.

Ghali, H. G. H., and Abdulhamed, B. S. (2022). Treatment of recurrent minor aphthous stomatitis using diode laser (940 nm). *J. Popul. Ther. Clin. Pharmacol.* 28 (2), e99–e112. doi:10.47750/jptcp.2022.864

Giannetti, L., Murri Dello Diago, A., and Lo Muzio, L. (2018). Recurrent aphtous stomatitis. *Minerva Stomatol.* 67 (3), 125–128. doi:10.23736/S0026-4970.18.04137-7

Gobi, R., Ravichandiran, P., Babu, R. S., and Yoo, D. J. (2021). Biopolymer and synthetic polymer-based nanocomposites in wound dressing applications: a review. *Polym. (Basel)* 13 (12), 1962. doi:10.3390/polym13121962

Hamedi, S., Sadeghpour, O., Shamsardekani, M. R., Amin, G., Hajighasemali, D., and Feyzabadi, Z. (2016). The most common herbs to cure the most common oral disease: stomatitis recurrent aphthous ulcer (RAU). *Iran. Red. Crescent Med. J.* 18 (2), e21694. doi:10.5812/ircmj.21694

Jouki, M., Khazaei, N., Ghasemlou, M., and Hadinezhad, M. (2013). Effect of glycerol concentration on edible film production from cress seed carbohydrate gum. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 96 (1), 39–46. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.03.077

Juliano, C., Cossu, M., Pigozzi, P., Rassu, G., and Giunchedi, P. (2008). Preparation, *in vitro* characterization and preliminary *in vivo* evaluation of buccal polymeric films containing chlorhexidine. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 9 (4), 1153–1158. doi:10.1208/s12249-008-9153-6

Kimyon, R. S., Schlarbaum, J. P., Liou, Y. L., Warshaw, E. M., and Hylwa, S. A. (2019). Allergic dermatitis to dyclonine (dyclocaine). *Dermatitis* 30 (6), 372–373. doi:10.1097/ DER.000000000000522

Krawiecka, E., Slebioda, Z., Szponar, E., Kowalska, A., and Dorocka-Bobkowska, B. (2017). Vitamin D status in recurrent aphthous stomatitis. *Postepy Dermatol Alergol.* 34 (6), 612–617. doi:10.5114/pdia.2017.69683

Li, J., and Quan, L. (2005). Preparation and clinical application of compound cod liver oil liniment. *Mod. Med.* (19), 2708.

Londhe, V. Y., and Umalkar, K. B. (2012). Formulation development and evaluation of fast dissolving film of telmisartan. *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.* 74 (2), 122–126. doi:10.4103/0250-474X.10384

Mao, J., Meng, F., and Wang, G. (2023). Editorial: big data for biomedical research of inflammatory diseases. Front. Pharmacol. 14, 1287616. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1287616

Mao, J., Zhan, H., Meng, F., Wang, G., Huang, D., Liao, Z., et al. (2022). Costunolide protects against alcohol-induced liver injury by regulating gut microbiota, oxidative

stress and attenuating inflammation in vivo and in vitro. Phytother. Res. 36 (3), 1268-1283. doi:10.1002/ptr.7383

Morelli, M. B., Gambardella, J., Castellanos, V., Trimarco, V., and Santulli, G. (2020). Vitamin C and cardiovascular disease: an update. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 9 (12), 1227. doi:10.3390/antiox9121227

Moynihan, P. (2008). Nutrition and oral health: update on nutrition and periodontal disease. *Quintessence Int.* 39 (4), 326–330.

Nalbantoglu, B., and Nalbantoglu, A. (2020). Vitamin D levels in children with recurrent aphthous stomatitis. *Ear Nose Throat J.* 99 (7), 460–463. doi:10.1177/0145561319882783

Queiroz, S., Silva, M., Medeiros, A. M. C., Oliveira, P. T., Gurgel, B. C. V., and Silveira, E. (2018). Recurrent aphthous ulceration: an epidemiological study of etiological factors, treatment and differential diagnosis. *Bras Dermatol* 93 (3), 341–346. doi:10.1590/abd1806-4841.20186228

Reynolds-Campbell, G., Nicholson, A., and Thoms-Rodriguez, C. A. (2017). Oral bacterial infections: diagnosis and management. *Dent. Clin. North Am.* 61 (2), 305–318. doi:10.1016/j.cden.2016.12.003

Rivera, C. (2019). Essentials of recurrent aphthous stomatitis. *Biomed. Rep.* 11 (2), 47–50. doi:10.3892/br.2019.1221

Rivera, C., Munoz-Pasten, M., Nunez-Munoz, E., and Hernandez-Olivos, R. (2022). Recurrent aphthous stomatitis affects quality of life. A case-control study. *Clin. Cosmet. Investig. Dent.* 14, 217–223. doi:10.2147/CCIDE.S369481

Rodriguez-Archilla, A., and Raissouni, T. (2018). Clinical study of 200 patients with recurrent aphthous stomatitis. *Gac. Med. Mex.* 154 (2), 165–171. doi:10.24875/GMM. 18002503

Silva, R. P. D., Ambrosio, M. F. S., Piovesan, L. A., Freitas, M. C. R., Aguiar, D. L. M., Horta, B. A. C., et al. (2018). New polymorph form of dexamethasone acetate. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 107 (2), 672–681. doi:10.1016/j.xphs.2017.10.001

Wulf, G., and Lewthwaite, R. (2016). Optimizing performance through intrinsic motivation and attention for learning: the OPTIMAL theory of motor learning. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 23 (5), 1382-1414. doi:10.3758/s13423-015-0999-9

Yang, S., Lin, R., Si, L., Li, Z., Jian, W., Yu, Q., et al. (2019). Cod-liver oil improves metabolic indices and hs-CRP levels in gestational diabetes mellitus patients: a doubleblind randomized controlled trial. *J. Diabetes Res.* 2019, 7074042. doi:10.1155/2019/ 7074042

Zhou, J., Zhao, M., Wang, C., and Gao, Z. (2021). Optimal design of diversion piers of lateral intake pumping station based on orthogonal test. *Shock Vib.* 2021, 1–9. doi:10. 1155/2021/6616456

Zhu, C., Zhang, Y., Wu, T., He, Z., Guo, T., and Feng, N. (2022). Optimizing glycerosome formulations via an orthogonal experimental design to enhance transdermal triptolide delivery. *Acta Pharm.* 72 (1), 135–146. doi:10.2478/acph-2022-0006