Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alejandro Urzua, University of Santiago, Chile

REVIEWED BY Kenza Benzeroual, Long Island University, United States Juan B Salom, La Fe Health Research Institute, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhuqing Jin, ⊠ jinzq@zcmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 15 August 2023 ACCEPTED 10 October 2023 PUBLISHED 19 October 2023

CITATION

Wang J, Tang M, Xie X, Xu Y, Su P and Jin Z (2023), Efficacy of ferulic acid in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke injury in rats: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1278036.

doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1278036

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Tang, Xie, Xu, Su and Jin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficacy of ferulic acid in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke injury in rats: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Jiashan Wang¹, Meiqi Tang², Xiuzhen Xie³, Yingqi Xu⁴, Pingping Su¹ and Zhuqing Jin⁵*

¹The Third School of Clinical Medicine, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, ²Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ³The First School of Clinical Medicine, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, ⁴The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, ⁵School of Basic Medicine Sciences, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Background: Intravenous thrombolysis is commonly used in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke damage. The existing thrombolytic drugs still suffer significant shortcomings, including a limited fibrin specificity and bleeding complications. Ferulic acid can directly bind the key thrombus enzymes and target to blood clots, suggesting its thrombolytic potency that may be beneficial with thrombolytic potency for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke damage.

Objective: The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to evaluate the efficacy of ferulic acid in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke injury in rats and its potential mechanism of action.

Materials and methods: We conducted a literature search in six databases, including CNKI, up to July 2023.

Results: Sixteen trials were included in the meta-analysis, which demonstrated that ferulic acid significantly reduced infarct size, neurological deficit score, apoptosis index, cleaved caspase-3, and cytochrome C levels (all p < 0.05). In addition, ferulic acid significantly increased the levels of phosphorylated Akt, mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax, phosphorylated astrocyte PEA15, hippocampal calcium binding protein, and mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax ratio (all p < 0.05).

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that ferulic acid protects against acute ischemic stroke injury in rats by inhibiting ischemia-induced excitotoxicity, inflammatory response, and apoptosis.

KEYWORDS

ferulic acid, acute ischemic stroke injury, excitotoxicity, inflammatory response, apoptosis, meta-analysis

1 Introduction

Ischemic stroke is a common condition in middle-aged and elderly individuals, particularly in those with underlying conditions like hypertension and heart disease (Kong and Zhu, 2017). If left untreated, neurological function can rapidly deteriorate within 6 hours of the onset of acute ischemic stroke (AIS), leading to aggravated symptoms



and an increased likelihood of death (Fu and Song, 2022). Furthermore, survivors are often left with reduced mobility requiring assistance with daily living activities (Wu and Ning, 2016).

Intravenous thrombolysis is the primary treatment for AIS (Wang and Peng, 2022), which involves the administration of drugs such as alteplase and urokinase to promote fibrinolysis and dissolve blood clots. However, this method cannot accurately locate the site of bloakage or assess the severity of occlusive blood vessels, resulting in low vascular recirculation rates (Chen and Yang, 2022). It is often used in conjunction with other therapeutic approaches to achieve significant effects (Association NBoCM, Association CDGoNBoCM et al., 2022). Additionally, thrombolytic drugs can increase the risk of bleeding and reinfarction after treatment (Chen et al., 2022), which can have a detrimental effect on patient prognosis (Chinese Society of Neurology, Chinese Stroke Society. et al., 2019).

Ferulic acid is the main active ingredient of *Angelica sinensis* (Zhang L. et al., 2016; Lv and Li, 2022), a commonly used traditional medicinal herb in China, which is derived from the dried root of the *Angelica Sinensis* Radix, an umbelliferae plant. It is often used to treat tumors, cancers, and a variety of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (Li and Ni, 2022). In Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2020 edition), *A. sinensis* only takes ferulic acid as the quality control index component, and its chemical structure is shown in Figure 1.

Ferulic acid, has been shown to have a prominent role in protecting and repairing damaged nerve cells and mitochondria as well as preventing microthrombosis by reducing fibrinogen deposition and inhibiting thrombin release and platelet aggregation (Wang and Wang, 2019). Moreover, ferulic acid can directly target the ischemic area by binding to key thrombus enzymes, thus providing timely protection to the affected nerve while exerting a significant therapeutic effect (Choi and Kim, 2018). Clinical studies have also demonstrated the safety and efficacy of ferulic acid in treating ischemic stroke, with a lower incidence of adverse effects compared to the conventional treatments (Zhou and Yang, 2012). However, the mechanisms underlying its action remain unclear.

Here we performed a meta-analysis in experimental animal models of ischemic stroke in rats to provide the potential mechanisms responsible for the cerebral protection by ferulic acid, which may further support the future clinical trial of ferulic acid in the treatment of ischemic shock in human.

2 Materials and methods

The meta-analysis was performed based on the PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009) and Cochrane Collaboration (Cumpston et al., 2019).

2.1 Literature retrieval strategy

The following databases were searched to identify relevant studies up to July 2023: Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Cqvip Database (VIP), Wanfang Data, Chinese Biomedical Database (SinoMed), Web of Science and PubMed. We identified the keywords " ischemic stroke " according to the latest Chinese Medicine thesaurus (MeSH) compiled by the US National Library of Medicine, and searched the database using the following keywords: for English databases, we used the search strategies as follows: ((ischemic stroke) OR (cerebral infarction) OR (Ischemic Encephalopathy) OR (Brain Ischemia) OR (Ischemic Strokes)) AND (ferulic acid); for Chinese databases, we used the search strategies as follows: SU = "Nao Que Xue" + "Nao Geng Si" + "Que Xue Xing Cu Zhong" + "Que Xue Xing Nao Geng Si" + "Que Xue Xing Zhong Feng" AND SU = "A Wei Acid".

2.2 Literature screening criteria

2.2.1 Research subject

Permanent ischemic stroke or ischemic stroke/reperfusion models in male Sprague-Dawley rats.

2.2.2 Type of study

Trials, no restrictions on language and time.

2.2.3 Interventions

The experimental group was ischemic stroke model with ferulic acid injection, and the control group was ischemic stroke model only without ferulic acid injection.

2.2.4 Outcome measures

Apoptosis index was calculated as the percentage of TUNEL positive cells in the total number of cells during ischemic stroke. In this study, the indicators were divided into primary outcome indicators and secondary outcome indicators according to whether they could directly reflect the efficacy of ferulic acid in the treatment of AIS animal models. The primary outcome indicators included infarct size and neurological deficit score, which could most directly reflect the brain protective effect of ferulic acid on AIS injury. Secondary outcome indicators were divided into excitotoxicity marker (hippocampal calcium-binding protein level), inflammatory response marker (phosphorylated astrocyte PEA-15 level), apoptotic index and apoptotic markers (mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax, levels of phosphorylated Akt, mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax, cytochrome c level, cleaved caspase-3 level).



2.2.5 Exclusion criteria

1) Repeated and irrelevant literature; 2) Non-rat ischemic stroke models; 3) Drug combination therapy; 4) Literature with incomplete content and missing data; 5) Failure to meet the outcome indicators or failure to extract effective indicators; 6) Reviews, conferences, cases, dissertations, and clinical studies.

2.2.6 Literature screening and data extraction

The literature was independently searched by two professionally trained researchers, and the results were uniformly imported into NoteExpress software. If any disputes arose, a third researcher participated in the discussion and cross-review to determine the final inclusion of the literature. Results were summarized in a data collection table that included the title, first author, year of publication, country, model, modeling method, ferulic acid dose, administration method, intervention measures, course of treatment, and outcome index. In cases where literature reported data only in the form of images, we utilized GetData Graph Digitizer to extract the data.

2.2.7 Literature quality assessment

This study used an examination scale (Aliena-Valero et al., 2021) with 15 evaluation criteria that combined the first 10-item CAMARADES's checklist (Sena et al., 2007) and the updated STAIR criteria (Fisher et al., 2009). Two researchers independently used the scale to assess the quality of each item according to the criteria as follows: 1) peer-reviewed publication, 2) control of temperature, 3) randomisation of group allocation, 4) blinded induction of ischaemia, 5) blinded assessment of outcome, 6) avoidance of anaesthetics with marked intrinsic neuroprotective properties, 7) use of animals with co-morbidities (e.g., hypertension, diabetes), 8) sample size calculation, 9) statement of compliance with animal welfare requirements, 10) statement of potential conflicts of interest, 11) physiological monitoring during stroke induction (in addition to control of temperature, e.g., blood pressure, gases), 12) prespecified inclusion and exclusion criteria, 13) reporting of animals excluded from analysis, 14) reporting of study funding and 15) injury confirmed via laser Doppler or perfusion imaging.

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of the study literature are included.

Study	Country	Animal	Model	Modeling	Ferulic acid dosage	Method of	Interve	ntions	Course	Outcome
		characteristics		methods	(mg/kg)	administration	т	С		measures
Sung et al. (2012)	Korea	Male SD rats (220–230 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	00
Zhong et al. (2017)	China	Male SD rats (220 \pm 20 g)	Ischemic stroke -reperfusion	Vascular occlusion	56	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	5 d	4
Koh (2013a)	Korea	Male SD rats (210–220 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0
Koh (2012a)	Korea	Male SD rats (225–230 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0@\$
Koh (2013b)	Korea	Male SD rats (225–250 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0
Koh (2015)	Korea	Male SD rats (220–230 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0
Koh (2013c)	Korea	Male SD rats (210–230 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	00
Koh (2012b)	Korea	Male SD rats (210–230 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0
Gim et al. (2013)	Korea	Male SD rats (210–230 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	6
Cheng et al. (2008a)	China	Male SD rats (300–350 g)	Ischemic stroke -reperfusion model	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0
Cheng et al. (2019a)	China	Male SD rats (300–350 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	7 d	0234690
Cheng et al. (2016)	China	Male SD rats (300–350 g)	Ischemic stroke -reperfusion model	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	7 d	034690
Cheng et al. (2010)	China	Male SD rats (300–350 g)	Ischemic stroke -reperfusion model	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	14
Cheng et al. (2019b)	China	Male SD rats (300–350 g)	Permanent ischemic stroke	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	3 d	134690
Cheng et al., 2008b	China	Male SD rats (300-350 g)	Ischemic stroke -reperfusion model	Wire bolt method	100	intravenous injection	Ferulic acid	Vehicle	24 h	0

SD, sprague dawley; T, experimental group; C, control group.

Notes: ①infarct size ②apoptosis index ③neurological deficit score ④cleaved caspase-3, levels ⑤phosphorylated Akt levels ⑥mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax ⑦phosphorylated astrocyte PEA15 levels ⑧hippocampal calcium binding protein levels ⑨mitochondrial Bcl-2/ Bax ⑩cytochrome C levels.

Study	Source	Compound	Purity (%)	Quality control reported
		Concentration		
Sung et al. (2012)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Zhong et al. (2017)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Koh (2013a)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Koh (2012a)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Koh (2013b)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Koh (2015)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Koh (2013c)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Koh (2012b)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States of America.	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Gim et al. (2013)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Cheng et al. (2008a)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Cheng et al. (2019a)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Cheng et al. (2016)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Cheng et al. (2010)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Cheng et al. (2019b)	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC
Cheng et al., 2008b	Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States	FA, NR	≥99.0%	HPLC

TABLE 2 Summary of ferulic acid used in the included studies.

FA, ferulic acid; NR, no report; HPLC, high performance liquid chromatography.

TABLE 3 Study quality report.

Study	Country		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	QS (0–10)	11	12	13	14	15	QS (0–15)
Sung et al. (2012)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+	+	8
Zhong et al. (2017)	China	+		+					+	+		4				+	+	6
Koh (2013a)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6					+	7
Koh (2012a)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+	+	8
Koh (2013b)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+	+	8
Koh (2015)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+	+	8
Koh (2013c)	Korea	+		+			+		+	+		5				+	+	7
Koh (2012b)	Korea	+					+		+	+		4				+		5
Gim et al. (2013)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+		7
Cheng et al. (2008a)	Korea	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+		7
Cheng et al. (2019a)	China	+	+	+			+		+	+		6	+			+	+	9
Cheng et al. (2016)	China	+	+	+		+	+		+	+	+	8				+	+	10
Cheng et al. (2010)	China	+	+	+			+		+	+		6				+	+	8
Cheng et al. (2019b)	China	+	+	+			+		+	+		6	+			+	+	9
Cheng et al., 2008b	China	+	+	+		+	+		+	+		7				+	+	9
Sung et al. (2012)	China	+	+	+		+	+		+	+		7	+			+	+	10

Notes: (1) peer-reviewed publication, (2) control of temperature, (3) randomisation of group allocation, (4) blinded induction of ischaemia, (5) blinded assessment of outcome, (6) avoidance of anaesthetics with marked intrinsic neuroprotective properties, (7) use of animals with co-morbidities (e.g., hypertension, diabetes), (8) sample size calculation, (9) statement of compliance with animal welfare requirements, (10) statement of potential conflicts of interest, (11) physiological monitoring during stroke induction (in addition to control of temperature, e.g., blood pressure, gases), (12) prespecified inclusion and exclusion criteria, (13) reporting of animals excluded from analysis, (14) reporting of study funding and (15) injury confirmed via laser Doppler or perfusion imaging.

Charles an Carls manual	Expe	CD			CD	T	Walabe	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	30	Total	Mean	30	Total	weight	TV. Kandom, 95% CI	IV. Random. 95% CI
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2008b	10.8	3.01	0	25.6	3.13	6	11.0%	-14.80 [-18.62, -10.98]	
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2016	11.87	2.10	6	24.12	2.92	0	12.5%	-12.25 [-15.16, -9.34]	
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a	18.52	3.35	5	28.78	3.92	5	10.9%	-10.26 [-14.78, -5.74]	
Koh, Philok 2012a	14.35	2.25	10	38.45	1.85	10	13.3%	-24.10 [-25.91, -22.29]	
Koh, Philok 2013a	11.3	1.25	15	32.15	3.95	15	13.1%	-20.85 [-22.95, -18.75]	
Koh, Philok 2013b	17.55	2.16	10	38.94	3.13	10	12.9%	-21.39 [-23.75, -19.03]	
Koh, Philok 2013c	13.44	2.45	10	37.86	1.81	10	13.2%	-24.42 [-26.31, -22.53]	
Koh, Philok 2015	15.66	2.51	10	33.51	3.9	10	12.5%	-17.85 [-20.72, -14.98]	_ _
Total (95% CI)			72			72	100.0%	-18.51 [-21.78, -15.24]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 20).13; Chi	² = 94.	78, df =	:7 (P <	0.000	01); l ² =	93%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 11.10	(P < 0.	00001)						-20 -10 0 10 20
									Favous [experimental] Favous [control]
3									
	E	perim	ental		Cont	rol		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mea	n S	D Tot	al Mea	an S	D Tot	al Weig	ht IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2016	4	8 0.4	8	6 7.5	51 0.3	4	6 40.7	% -2.71 [-3.42, -2.00]	-
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a	5.3	4 0.4	17	5 10.0	04 2.9	97	5 16.5	% -4.70 [-7.34, -2.06]	_
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b	5.8	4 0.3	88	4 7.3	23 0.3	38	4 42.8	-1.39 [-1.92, -0.86]	
T-1-1/050/ 00				-			- 400.0		
Total (95% CI)			1	15		1	5 100.0	-2.47 [-3.82, -1.13]	
Heterogeneity: Tau [*] =	1 02 0				= n m	21 12 =	84.00		
	1.02, 0	ni- = 1.	2.97, 0	- 2 (P	- 0.00	2), 1 -	0070		-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.63	2 (P =	0.0003	- 2 (P	- 0.00	2), 1 -	0070		-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.6	nr = 1. 2 (P = 1	2.97, d 0.0003	- 2 (P	- 0.00	2),1 -	0076		-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.62	nr = 1. 2 (P = 1	2.97, d 0.0003))	- 0.00	2), 1 -	0576		-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.6	2 (P =)	0.0003	- 2 (F	Contr	ol	00 /8	Mean Difference	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.62 Exp Mean	erime	0.0003 ntal	I Mea	Contr n S	ol D Tota	al Weigl	Mean Difference	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
Test for overall effect:	Exp Mean 1.12	erime	ntal	<u>I Mea</u>	Contr n S	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1	1 Weigi 0 50.0	Mean Difference <u>Nean Difference</u> <u>Nean Jose CI</u> <u>80 (0.78, 0.82)</u>	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh. Philok 2013a	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72	erime 0.02 0.02	ntal	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3	Contr n Si 2 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1	1 Weigi 0 50.0°	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72	erime 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) (P	ntal 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2	Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1	al Weigi 0 50.0' 5 50.0'	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI)	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72	erime SE 0.02	ntal <u>D Tota</u> 11 2 11 2 12 2 1	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2	Contr n S 2 0.0 8 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2	1 Weigl 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0'	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	Z = 3.62 Exp <u>Mean</u> 1.12 0.72	Perime 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1)) 2 (P = 1))) 2 (P = 1)) 2 (P = 1))) 2 (P = 1))) 2 (P = 1))) 2 (P = 1))) 2 (P = 1)))) 2 (P = 1)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	ntal <u>0 Tota</u> 2 11 2 11 2 12 2	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 df = 1 (Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 00001)	1 Weigi 0 50.0° 5 50.0° 5 100.0° ; l² = 100	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] %	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% CI
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	Z = 3.63 Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 • 0.06; C	Perime 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	ntal <u>0 Tota</u> 2 10 2 11 2 11 2 12 2	1 <u>Mea</u> <u>1 Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 (Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0.	ol <u>D Tot</u> ; 2 1 3 1 2 00001)	ul Weigi 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' ; l ² = 100	Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
<u>Study or Subgroup</u> Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	Z = 3.62 Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 = 0.06; C	P = 1 P	ntal <u>0 Tota</u> 2 10 2 11 2 11 2 12 777.60, 0.0006	1 <u>Mean</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 (Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0.	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 00001)	al Weigi 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' ; I ² = 100	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] %	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	Z = 3.62 Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C	P = 1 P	ntal 0.0003 ntal 0 Tota 2 10 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 12 77.60, 0.0006	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 df = 1 (3)	Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0.	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 00001)	al Weigl 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' ; I ² = 100	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% Cl % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] %	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp	P = 1 $P = 1$ $P =$	ntal 0.0003 ntal 0 Tota 2 10 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 11 2 12 77.60, 0.0006 ntal	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 (Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0.	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 000001)	al Weigl 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' ; I ² = 100	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% Cl % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] % Mean Difference	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
Test for overall effect: Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	Z = 3.62 Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp Mean	erime 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.02 2 (P = 1 2 (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) (P = 1) 2 (P = 1) (P	ntal <u>0.0003</u> ntal <u>0.0003</u> <u>10</u> <u>11</u> <u>21</u> <u>12</u> <u>12</u> <u>13</u> <u>13</u> <u>14</u> <u>14</u> <u>15</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u> <u>16</u>	<u>I Mean</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 df = 1 (3)	Contr <u>n Si</u> 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr <u>n Si</u>	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 200001) ol <u>D Tota</u>	al Weigl 0 50.0° 5 50.0° 5 100.0° ; I ² = 100	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: C Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012	Exp Mean 1.12 0.06; C 2 = 3.6; 0.06; C 2 = 3.4 Exp Mean 0.97	P = 1 P	ntal <u>0.0003</u> ntal <u>0 Tota</u> 2 10 2 11 2 12 777.60, 0.0006 ntal <u>0 Tota</u> 3 10	<u>I Mean</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 (5)	Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr n Si 1 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 000001) 0l <u>D Tota</u> 5 1	al Weigl 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' 5 100.0 5 100.0 1 Weigl 0 49.9'	Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] % Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% CI 0.76 [0.72, 0.80]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: 	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp Mean 0.97 0.9	$\frac{SE}{2 (P = 1)}$	ntal <u>Tota</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>	<u>I Mean</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 (3) <u>I Mean</u> 0 0.2 5 0 0.4	Contr n SI 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr n SI 1 0.0 2 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 000001) ol <u>D Tota</u> 5 1	al Weigl 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' 5 100.0' 5 100.0' 5 100.0' 5 100.0' 6 50.1'	Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] % Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% CI V. Random. 95% CI % 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] % 0.48 [0.45, 0.51]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2012b	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp Mean 0.97 0.9	$\frac{1}{2} (P = 1)$ $\frac{1}{2} (P$	ntal <u>)</u> Tota <u>)</u> Tota <u>)</u> 10 <u>)</u> 10 <u>]</u>	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 (3) <u>I Mea</u> 0 0.2 5 0 0.4	Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr n Si 1 0.0 2 0.0	ol <u>D Tota</u> 2 1 3 1 2 000001) ol <u>D Tota</u> 5 1 2	al Weigi 0 50.0° 5 50.0° 5 100.0° ; I ² = 100 al Weigi 0 49.9° 6 50.1°	Mean Difference t. IV. Random, 95% CI 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] Mean Difference t. IV. Random, 95% CI 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] 0.48 [0.45, 0.51] 0.62 [0.35, 0.89]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI
	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 0.06; C Z = 3.4	$\frac{1}{2} (P = 1)$ $\frac{1}{2} (P$	ntal <u>) Tota</u> <u>2</u> 11 <u>2</u> 11 <u>2</u> 11 <u>2</u> 11 <u>2</u> 11 <u>2</u> 11 <u>2</u> 12 <u>2</u> 177.60, 0.00000 ntal <u>11</u> <u>11</u> <u>11</u>	<u>I Mea</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 df = 1 () 1 <u>Mea</u> 0 0.2 6 0.4 6	Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr n Si 1 0.0 2 0.0	ol <u>D</u> Tota 2 1 3 1 2 000001) 01 <u>D</u> Tota 5 1 2 1 000001	 Weigi 5 50.0° 5 100.0° 5 100.0° 5 100.0° 5 100.0° 6 50.1° 6 50.1° 6 100.0° 	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] 0.48 [0.45, 0.51] 0.62 [0.35, 0.89]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect Study or Subgroup Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2012b Total (95% Cl) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	Z = 3.6; Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp Mean 0.97 0.9 0.97 0.5	$\frac{nn^{2} = 1}{2} (P = 1)$ $\frac{2}{2} (P = 1)$ $\frac{2}{2} (P = 1)$ $\frac{1}{2} (P = 1)$ \frac	ntal <u>Tota</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>	<u>I Mean</u> 0 0.3 5 0.2 5 6 1 <u>Mean</u> 0 0.2 3 0.4 6 6 6 6 1 (1	Contr n SI 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. 2 0.0 1 0.0 2 0.0	ol <u>D Tot</u> ; 2 1 3 1 2 000001) ol <u>D Tot</u> ; 5 1 2 1 000001)	 Weight 5.0.0' 5.0.0' 100.0' 1² = 100 1² = 100 49.9' 5.0.1' 100.0' 100.0' 1² = 99% 	Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI % 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] % 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] % 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] % Mean Difference Mt. IV. Random, 95% CI 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] % 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] % 0.48 [0.45, 0.51] % 0.62 [0.35, 0.89]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5
Test for overall effect: Test for overall effect: Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2013a Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect Jin-Hee Sung 2012 Koh, Philok 2012b Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect	Exp Mean 1.12 0.06; C Z = 3.6 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp Mean 0.97 0.9 0.97	$\frac{SP}{P} = 1$	ntal <u>Tota</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u>	(P 	Contr n Si 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr n Si 1 0.0 2 0.0 (P < 0.	ol <u>D Totz</u> 2 1 3 1 2 0000001) ol <u>D Totz</u> 5 1 2 1 1 0000001)	 Weigl 5.0.0' 5.0.0' 100.0'; 1² = 100 1² = 100 49.9' 5.0.1' 100.0'; 1² = 99% 	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] 0.48 [0.45, 0.51] 0.62 [0.35, 0.89]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect: 	Exp Mean 1.12 0.72 0.06; C Z = 3.4 Exp Mean 0.97 0.5 0.04; C Z = 4.4	$hr^{2} = 1.$ $2 (P = i)$ $SII = 0.022$ $hi^{2} = 7.$ $SII = 7.$	ntal <u>) Tota</u> (1) <u>10</u> (2) 11 (2)	(P 	Contr n <u>Si</u> 2 0.0 8 0.0 (P < 0. Contr n <u>Si</u> 1 0.0 2 0.0	ol <u>D</u> Totz 2 1 3 1 2 0000001) ol <u>D</u> Totz 5 1 2 1 0000001)	al Weigi 0 50.0' 5 50.0' 5 100.0' 5 100.0' ; l ² = 100 0 49.9' 6 50.1' 6 100.0' ; l ² = 99%	Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.80 [0.78, 0.82] 0.44 [0.42, 0.46] 0.62 [0.27, 0.97] Mean Difference t. IV. Random. 95% CI 0.76 [0.72, 0.80] 0.48 [0.45, 0.51] 0.62 [0.35, 0.89]	-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% Cl -0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

2.2.8 Statistical analysis

Revman 5.4 software was used for statistical analysis of at least two studies reporting the same outcome measures. All outcomes were continuous variables except neurological deficit score, which was classified ordinal variable. The mean difference and 95% confidence interval (CI) were used to estimate the effect of ferulic acid. I² was used to test the heterogeneity of the results among studies. If the heterogeneity was low (I²<50%), the fixed-effect model was used for meta-analysis. If the heterogeneity was high (I² \geq 50%), the random-effects model was used for meta-analysis, and sensitivity and subgroup analyses were conducted to explore the sources of heterogeneity. The final results were presented in forest plots and funnel plots.

3 Result

3.1 Included literature

A total of 1048 relevant literature were retrieved. Firstly, 508 duplicate literature were removed using NoteExpress software.

In the next step, the abstracts and full texts were carefully read, and studies were excluded if they had incomplete content, missing data, used non-rat models of ischemic stroke, were reviews, conference papers, case reports, dissertations, clinical studies, or involved combined drug therapy. As a result, 21 studies were obtained. Of those, 5 studies that did not conform to the outcome indicators or could not provide effective indicators were excluded, and 16 studies were included in the final meta-analysis (Figure 2).

3.2 Study characteristics

In this study, a total of 16 studies were included, 13 of which were from different groups of researchers. All of which used male SD rats with body weights ranging from 200g to 350 g. Among these studies, 11 used the permanent ischemic stroke model, while 5 studies used the ischemic stroke/reperfusion model. For preparation of the middle cerebral artery occlusion model, 15 studies used the intraluminal filament model and 1 study used the vascular clipping model. The details of the included



0	Expe	rimenta	al	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI				
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2016	40.61	8.7	5	119.54	12.17	5	36.3%	-78.93 [-92.04, -65.82]					
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a	95	15	5	322	26	5	35.9%	-227.00 [-253.31, -200.69]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b	212.45	53.11	4	614.11	112.86	4	27.8%	-401.66 [-523.90, -279.42]					
Total (95% CI)			14			14	100.0%	-221.87 [-354.60, -89.14]	←				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	2595.12; (Chi ² = 1	19.37.	df = 2 (P	< 0.0000)1); 2 =	98%						
Test for overall effect: 2	= 3.28 (P	= 0.001	1)						-500 -250 0 250 500				
									Pavous [experimental] Pavous [control]				
	Expe	riment	al	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI				
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2010	117	20	5	233	26	5	2.2%	-116.00 [-144.75, -87.25]					
bang Chin Vi 2016	0.13	0.02	5	0.38	0.05	5	32.2%	-0.25 [-0.30, -0.20]	•				
sneng, Chin-fi 2016			_	050	55	5	0.7%	-175.00 [-227.60, -122.40]					
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a	83	24	5	258									
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b	83 141.08	24 37.34	5	313.28	69.47	4	0.3%	-172.20 [-249.49, -94.91]					
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b Koh, Philok 2012a	83 141.08 0.28	24 37.34 0.02	5 4 10	258 313.28 0.99	69.47 0.03	4 10	0.3% 32.2%	-172.20 [-249.49, -94.91] -0.71 [-0.73, -0.69]					
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019 Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b Koh, Philok 2012a Chongkun Ren 2017	83 141.08 0.28 3.83	24 37.34 0.02 0.14	5 4 10 10	258 313.28 0.99 18.87	69.47 0.03 0.14	4 10 10	0.3% 32.2% 32.2%	-172.20 [-249.49, -94.91] -0.71 [-0.73, -0.69] -15.04 [-15.16, -14.92]	•				
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b Koh, Philok 2012a Chongkun Ren 2017 Fotal (95% CI)	83 141.08 0.28 3.83	24 37.34 0.02 0.14	5 4 10 10 39	258 313.28 0.99 18.87	69.47 0.03 0.14	4 10 10 39	0.3% 32.2% 32.2% 100.0%	-172.20 [-249.49, -94.91] -0.71 [-0.73, -0.69] -15.04 [-15.16, -14.92] -9.55 [-14.01, -5.09]					
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b Koh, Philok 2012a Chongkun Ren 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	83 141.08 0.28 3.83 6.06; Chi ²	24 37.34 0.02 0.14 = 52024	5 4 10 10 39 4.86, d	258 313.28 0.99 18.87	69.47 0.03 0.14	4 10 10 39 1); l ² =	0.3% 32.2% 32.2% 100.0%	-172.20 [-249.49, -94.91] -0.71 [-0.73, -0.69] -15.04 [-15.16, -14.92] -9.55 [-14.01, -5.09]					
heng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019b Ch, Philok 2012a Chongkun Ren 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 Fest for overall effect: 2	83 141.08 0.28 3.83 6.06; Chi ² 2 = 4.20 (P	24 37.34 0.02 0.14 = 52024 < 0.000	5 4 10 39 4.86, d)1)	258 313.28 0.99 18.87 f = 5 (P •	69.47 0.03 0.14	4 10 10 39 1); I ² =	0.3% 32.2% 32.2% 100.0%	-172.20 [-249.49, -94.91] -0.71 [-0.73, -0.69] -15.04 [-15.16, -14.92] -9.55 [-14.01, -5.09]	-200 -100 0 100 200 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]				

	Expe	rimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
2.20.1 MCAO									
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2019a	18.52	3.35	5	28.78	3.92	5	10.9%	-10.26 [-14.78, -5.74]	
Koh, Philok 2012a	14.35	2.25	10	38.45	1.85	10	13.3%	-24.10 [-25.91, -22.29]	
Koh, Philok 2013a	11.3	1.25	15	32.15	3.95	15	13.1%	-20.85 [-22.95, -18.75]	
Koh, Philok 2013b	17.55	2.16	10	38.94	3.13	10	12.9%	-21.39 [-23.75, -19.03]	
Koh, Philok 2013c	13.44	2.45	10	37.86	1.81	10	13.2%	-24.42 [-26.31, -22.53]	-
Koh, Philok 2015	15.66	2.51	10	33.51	3.9	10	12.5%	-17.85 [-20.72, -14.98]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			60			60	75.9%	-20.27 [-23.22, -17.33]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 11	1.70; Ch	² = 46.	80, df =	= 5 (P <	0.000	01); l ² =	= 89%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 13.50	(P < 0.	00001))					
2.20.2 Cerebral ischem	ia-reper	fusion	mode	1					
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2008b	10.8	3.61	6	25.6	3.13	6	11.6%	-14.80 [-18.62, -10.98]	_ - _
Cheng, Chin-Yi 2016	11.87	2.16	6	24.12	2.92	6	12.5%	-12.25 [-15.16, -9.34]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			12			12	24.1%	-13.21 [-15.63, -10.79]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	25; Chi ²	= 1.08	, df = 1	(P = 0.	30); l²	= 8%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 10.69	(P < 0.)	00001))					
			,						
Total (95% CI)			72			72	100.0%	-18.51 [-21.78, -15.24]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 20).13; Ch	² = 94.	78, df =	= 7 (P <	0.000	01); l ² =	= 93%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 11.10	(P < 0.)	00001)	ì					-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for subaroup differe	nces: C	$hi^2 = 13$	3.19. df	= 1 (P	= 0.00	03), l² =	= 92.4%		Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
FIGURE 6									
Forest plot of subgroup	analysi	s of in	farct s	ize.					



studies are presented in Table 1, while information about ferulic acid used in these studies is shown in Table 2.

or perfusion imaging. The bias risk of the specific included literature is shown in Table 3.

3.3 Risk of bias assessment

In this study, only 1 study was not explicitly declared to be randomized or nonrandomized. 3 studies mentioned the blinding of investigators. None of the studies mentioned the use of blinding methods to induce cerebral ischemia in rats and the use of animals with comorbidities; 1 study did not avoid the use of anesthetics with significant intrinsic neuroprotective properties. 3 studies mentioned physiological monitoring such as blood pressure and gas during stroke induction. 3 studies did not confirm injury by laser Doppler

3.4 Effects of interventions

3.4.1 Infarct size

A total of 8 studies (Cheng et al., 2008b; Koh, 2012a; Koh, 2013a; Koh, 2013b; Koh, 2013c; Koh, 2015; Cheng et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019) reported the infarct size. Random effect model was used because of the heterogeneity of the studies (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 93\%$). The results showed that MD = -18.51, 95%CI = [-21.78, -15.24], p < 0.00001, indicating that ferulic acid could significantly reduce infarct size, and the difference was statistically significant. (Figure 3A).



Forest plot of neurological deficit score analysis after Cheng et al. (2019b) was excluded



3.4.2 Neurological deficit score

A total of three studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019b) reported neurological deficit score, and a random-effects model was adopted due to the high heterogeneity of the studies (p = 0.002, $I^2 = 85\%$). The results showed that the mean difference (MD) was –2.47, with a 95% CI of [–3.82, –1.13] and a p-value of 0.0003. This suggests that ferulic acid can reduce neurological deficit score of AIS, and the difference was statistically significant (Figure 3B).

3.4.3 Hippocampal calcium binding protein levels

Two studies (Sung et al., 2012; Koh, 2013c) reported hippocampal calcium binding protein levels, and a random-effects model was adopted due to high heterogeneity (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 100\%$). The results showed that ferulic acid had a significant effect on the level of hippocampal calcium-binding protein in focal ischemic stroke, with a mean difference (MD) of 0.62 (95% CI: 0.27, 0.97; p = 0.0006). The difference was statistically significant. (Figure 3C).

3.4.4 Phosphorylated astrocyte PEA15 levels

A total of two studies (Koh, 2012b; Sung et al., 2012) reported the level of phosphorylated astrocyte PEA15. Due to the high heterogeneity of the studies (p < 0.00001, I2 = 99%), a random effects model was used. The results showed that the mean difference (MD) was 0.62 (95% CI: 0.35,0.89; p < 0.00001), indicating that ferulic acid had a significant effect on improving the level of phosphorylated astrocyte PEA15 during AIS. The difference was statistically significant (Figure 3D).

3.4.5 Apoptosis index

A total of 4 studies (Cheng et al., 2008a; Cheng et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019b) reported apoptosis index, and random effects model was adopted due to the high heterogeneity of the studies (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 98\%$). The results showed that MD = -229.85, 95%CI = [-428.64, -31.07], p =0.02, suggesting that ferulic acid can reduce the apoptosis index in the AIS, and the difference was statistically significant. (Figure 4A).

3.4.6 Mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax

A total of three studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019b) reported mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax levels, and a fixed-effects model was adopted due to low heterogeneity among the studies (p = 0.17, $I^2 = 44\%$). The results showed that the mean difference (MD) was 1.31, with a 95% CI of [0.67, 1.95], and p < 0.0001, indicating that ferulic acid could increase the ratio of mitochondrial Bcl-xL to Bax, and the difference was statistically significant (Figure 4B).







3.4.7 Phosphorylated Akt level

A total of two studies (Koh, 2012a; Gim et al., 2013) reported the level of phosphorylated Akt, and a random effects model was used due to high heterogeneity (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 99\%$). The results showed that the mean difference (MD) was 0.41 with a 95%CI of [0.10, 0.71] and a *p*-value of 0.009. These findings suggest that ferulic acid had a significant effect on phosphorylated Akt, and the difference was statistically significant (Figure 4C).

3.4.8 Mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax

A total of three studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019b) reported mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax, and random effects model was adopted due to the high heterogeneity of all studies (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 97\%$). The results showed that ferulic acid group could increase the ratio of mitochondrial Bcl-2 to Bax, and the difference was statistically significant (MD = 1.19, 95%CI = [0.57, 1.82], p = 0.0002). (Figure 4D).

3.4.9 Cytochrome C levels

A total of 3 studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019b) reported cytochrome C levels, and random effects model was adopted due to the high heterogeneity of all studies (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 98\%$). The results showed that ferulic acid significantly decreased cytochrome C level during ischemic stroke (MD = -221.87, 95%CI = [-354.60, -89.14], p = 0.001) (Figure 5A).

3.4.10 Cleaved Caspase-3 level

Six studies (Zhong et al., 2017; Koh, 2012a; Cheng et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019b) reported cleaved caspase-3 levels, and a random-effects model was used due to the high heterogeneity of all studies (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 100\%$). The results showed that the mean difference (MD) was -9.55, with a 95% CI of [-14.01, -5.09] and a *p*-value of <0.0001, indicating that the ferulic acid group could effectively reduce cleaved caspase-3 levels, and the difference was statistically significant (Figure 5B).

3.4.11 Sensitivity analysis

3.4.11.1 Infarct size

Due to the large heterogeneity among the studies (p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 93\%$), subgroup analysis was performed to further analyze the impact of ferulic acid on infarct size. According to different rat models, the studies were divided into permanent ischemic stroke model and ischemic stroke reperfusion model subgroups. The



results showed that I^2 in the subgroup of the permanent ischemic stroke model decreased to 89%, while that in the subgroup of the ischemic stroke reperfusion model decreased to 8%, suggesting that the permanent ischemic stroke model might be the source of heterogeneity. However, the results still support the conclusion that ferulic acid can reduce the infarct size in rat models of ischemic stroke. (Figure 6).

3.4.11.2 Apoptosis index

Subgroup analyses were performed, stratified by the duration of treatment. The results showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the ferulic acid group and the control group in the treatment duration of 24 h (p = 0.32). However, there was a statistically significant difference between the treatment duration of more than 24 h (p < 0.00001), but the heterogeneity did not decrease, indicating that the treatment course of ferulic acid in ischemic stroke injury was at least greater than 24 h (Figure 7).

3.4.11.3 Neurological deficit score

After excluding the study reported by Cheng et al. (2019b), the value of I^2 decreased from 85% to 51%. This suggests that this study may be the main source of heterogeneity. Upon closer examination of the study, it was found that NDS was recorded on the first day after the establishment of ischemic stroke model, while the other two studies recorded NDS on the seventh day after the establishment of ischemic stroke model, suggesting that NDS recorded at different time points might have affected heterogeneity. Nevertheless, the results still support the conclusion that ferulic acid can effectively reduce the neurological deficit score. (Figure 8).

3.4.11.4 Cleaved caspase-3 levels

Subgroup analyses were performed, according to whether the duration of treatment was longer than 24 h. The results showed that there was no statistically significant difference between the ferulic acid group and the control group in the treatment course of 24 h (p = 0.32). However, there was a statistically significant difference between the treatment course of more than 24 h (p < 0.00001), but the heterogeneity did not decrease, indicating that the treatment course of ferulic acid in ischemic stroke injury was at least greater than 24 h (Figure 9).

3.4.11.5 Mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax

After removing Cheng et al. (2016), the heterogeneity increased from 44% to 72%, indicating that this study was the main source of instability. However, the heterogeneity of the remaining two studies decreased to 0%, suggesting that these two studies were considered the main sources of heterogeneity. It was found that Cheng, et al. (2016) used an ischemic stroke-reperfusion model, while the remaining two studies used a permanent ischemic stroke model, suggesting that different rat models might affect the heterogeneity. However, the results still demonstrated that ferulic acid can increase mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax ratio in the AIS. (Figure 10).

3.4.11.6 Mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax

After removing Cheng et al. (2016) the heterogeneity decreased from 91% to 0%, indicating that this study was the main source of heterogeneity. Due to the small number of studies included, it is speculated that the possible reason is different ischemic stroke models used in the studies. Cheng et al. (2016) used the ischemic stroke reperfusion model, while other studies used the permanent ischemic stroke model. Nevertheless, the results still demonstrated that the ferulic acid group had the effect of increasing mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax ratio in the ischemic stroke. (Figure 11).

3.4.11.7 Cytochrome C levels

Excluding Cheng et al. (2016) decreased the heterogeneity from 98% to 87%, indicating that this study may be the source of heterogeneity. One study used ischemic stroke-reperfusion model, and the other two studies used permanent ischemic stroke model, suggesting that different models may affect the heterogeneity of the results. Nevertheless, the results still demonstrated that ferulic acid increase cytochrome C levels in the ischemic stroke. (Figure 12).

3.4.12 Publication bias

In this study, bias analysis was conducted on the ischemic stroke volume using a funnel plot, as presented in Figure 13. As there were only 8 studies included, the plot was used to assess the potential for publication bias. The asymmetrical distribution of data points along the center line indicates the likelihood of such bias.

4 Discussion

Ischemic stroke is a vascular disease caused by the insufficient supply of blood to the brain. Studies have investigated the use of ferulic acid in treating ischemic stroke, there remains a lack of comprehensive systematic evaluation.

This study includes all trials of ferulic acid for AIS injury in rats prior to July 2023. We aimed to comprehensively evaluate the impact of ferulic acid on infarct size, apoptosis index, neurological deficit score, the levels of cleaved caspase-3, phosphorylated Akt, mitochondrial Bcl-xL/Bax, phosphorylated astrocyte PEA-15, hippocampal calcium-binding protein, mitochondrial Bcl-2/Bax, and cytochrome C. The specific analyses are as follows.

- First of all, the intervention of ferulic acid can reduce the infarct size and neurological deficit score in rats, indicating that ferulic acid plays an important protective role in rats with ischemic stroke damage.
- (2) Furthermore, ischemic stroke injury has been linked to the release of the neurotoxic excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate, which can induce calcium influx and activate calcium-dependent death-signaling proteins, leading to neuronal death (Ted et al., 2014; Zhang and Jiang, 2011). Hippocampal calcium-binding proteins provide an important first line of defense through their ability to buffer calcium overload, allowing the neuron from calcium overload and protecting against calcium-dependent neural damage (Möckel and Fischer, 1994; Fairless et al., 2019). Ferulic acid could significantly increase levels of calcium-binding proteins in the hippocampus, thus inhibiting ischemic stroke-induced calcium increase and protecting the neuron from ischemic stroke injury.
- (3) In addition, ischemic stroke injury is closely related to the inflammatory response (Wang and Ni, 2016). The activated astrocytes can release a large number of inflammatory factors to induce the inflammatory response after ischemic stroke. Among which tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α) can be inhibited by

phosphorylated PEA-15 (Liu et al., 2017). Ferulic acid can inhibit inflammation by increasing the production of PEA-15, thus conferring the protective effect on ischemic stroke injury.

(4) Last but not least, ischemic stroke injury is also closely associated with cell apoptosis (Peng and Liu, 2017). Normally, the pro-apoptotic factor Bax binds to Bcl-xL by phosphorylated Akt and does not induce apoptosis. When brain cells are in a state of ischemic stress, the phosphorylation of Akt is inhibited, and the expression of negative modulators Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 is reduced, leading to the increase of Bax (Havasi et al., 2008), and the subsequent release of cytochrome C (Zhou and Luo, 2007) from mitochondria, thus activating the signal transduction of apoptosis, caspase-3, and reducing the expression of channel regulatory protein (Jiang et al., 2019). Ferulic acid can promote the phosphorylation of Akt, increase mitochondrial Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 levels, inhibit the expression of Bax, inhibit the release of cytochrome C, reduce cleaved caspase-3 levels, resulting in the inhibition of apoptosis in brain cells.

According to the included literature, the usual dose of ferulic acid in the treatment of ischemic stroke is 56–100 mg/kg. In terms of the time of administration, 14 studies clearly indicated that the drug was administered immediately after the onset of ischemic stroke, 1 study showed that the drug was administered 30 min before ischemic stroke, and 1 study did not explicitly mention the specific time of administration. In addition, behavioral tests were performed 24 h, 3, 5, or 7 days after administration of the drug treatment, or brain tissue was harvested for animal experiments. There is a lack of research on the optimal dose of ferulic acid, the timing of intervention and the duration of medication. The limitations of this study include:

①Among the 16 studies, some studies had inadequate reporting in the aspects of allocation concealment and blind design, which may lead to the risk of bias in selection and measurement. ②Whether ferulic acid can replace alteplase or urokinase, and whether ferulic acid combined with alteplase or urokinase has better efficacy need to be further studied; ③In addition, the optimal dose, course of treatment and timing of intervention also need more trials.

5 Conclusion

In summary, this meta-analysis suggests that ferulic acid is effective in the treatment of AIS injury in rats by protecting against ischemia-induced excitatory, inflammatory reactions, and cell apoptosis. Ferulic acid reduces the infarct size and neurological deficit score, and has potential therapeutic value for AIS in humans.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

JW: Writing-original draft. MT: Writing-review and editing. XX: Writing-review and editing. YX: Writing-review and editing. PS: Writing-review and editing. ZJ: Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 81774010.

References

Aliena-Valero, A., Baixauli-Martín, J., Castelló-Ruiz, M., Torregrosa, G., Hervás, D., and Salom, J. B. (2021). Effect of uric acid in animal models of ischaemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow. Metab.* 41 (4), 271678X20967459–722. doi:10.1177/0271678X20967459

Association NBoCM, Association CDGoNBoCM (2022). Research progress of thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke. *Chin. J. Neurology* 55 (6), 565–580. doi:10.3760/cma.j.cn113694-20220225-00137

Chen, H., and Yang, Q. (2022). Chinese expert consensus on teneplase intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke. *Chin. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 48 (11), 641–651. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-0152.2022.11.001

Chen, X. N., Yang, A., Hu, Z. D., and Li, J. (2019). Research progress on pathogenesis of ischemic stroke and traditional Chinese medicine commonly used for treatment of ischemic stroke. *China J. Chin. Materia Medica* 44 (03), 422–432. doi:10.19540/j.cnki. cjcmm.20180921.002

Chen, X., You, G., Wen, Y., Huang, Y., Yuan, X., Chen, Y., et al. (2022). Bidirectional anisotropic palladium nanozymes reprogram macrophages to enhance collaborative chemodynamic therapy of colorectal cancer. *J. Pharm. Res.* 41 (08), 537–548. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2022.08.020

Cheng, C. Y., Kao, S. T., and Lee, Y. C. (2019a). Ferulic acid ameliorates cerebral infarction by activating Akt/mTOR/4E-BP1/Bcl-2 anti-apoptotic signaling in the penumbral cortex following permanent cerebral ischemia in rats. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 19 (2), 792–804. doi:10.3892/mmr.2018.9737

Cheng, C. Y., Ho, T. Y., Lee, E. J., Su, S. Y., Tang, N. Y., and Hsieh, C. L. (2008b). Ferulic acid reduces cerebral infarct through its antioxidative and anti-inflammatory effects following transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 36 (6), 1105–1119. doi:10.1142/S0192415X08006570

Cheng, C. Y., Kao, S. T., and Lee, Y. C. (2019b). Ferulic acid exerts anti-apoptotic effects against ischemic injury by activating HSP70/bcl-2- and HSP70/autophagy-mediated signaling after permanent focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 47 (1), 39–61. doi:10.1142/S0192415X19500034

Cheng, C. Y., Su, S. Y., Tang, N. Y., Ho, T. Y., Chiang, S. Y., and Hsieh, C. L. (2008a). Ferulic acid provides neuroprotection against oxidative stress-related apoptosis after cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury by inhibiting ICAM-1 mRNA expression in rats. *Brain Res.* 1209, 136–150. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2008.02.090

Cheng, C. Y., Su, S. Y., Tang, N. y., Ho, T. y., Lo, W. y., and Hsieh, C. I. (2010). Ferulic acid inhibits nitric oxide-induced apoptosis by enhancing GABA(B1) receptor expression in transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 31 (8), 889–899. doi:10.1038/aps.2010.66

Cheng, C. Y., Tang, N. Y., Kao, S. T., and Hsieh, C. L. (2016). Ferulic acid administered at various time points protects against cerebral infarction by activating p38 MAPK/p90RSK/CREB/Bcl-2 anti-apoptotic signaling in the subacute phase of cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *PLoS One* 11 (5), e0155748. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155748

Chinese Society of Neurology, Chinese Stroke Society (2019). Consensus on diagnosis and treatment of hemorrhagic transformation after acute ischemic stroke in China 2019. *Chin. J. Neurology* 52 (4), 252–265. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1006-7876.2019.04.003

Choi, J., and Kim, K. (2018). In vitro and in vivo antithrombotic and cytotoxicity effects of ferulic acid. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 1 (32), 1–9. doi:10.1002/jbt.22004

Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M. J., Chandler, J., Welch, V. A., and Higgins, J. P. (2019). Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 10 (10), ED000142. doi:10.1002/14651858.ED000142

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Fairless, R., Williams, S. K., and Diem, R. (2019). Calcium-binding proteins as determinants of central nervous system neuronal vulnerability to disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (9), 2146. doi:10.3390/ijms20092146

Fisher, M., Feuerstein, G., Howells, D. W., Hurn, P. D., Kent, T. A., Savitz, S. I., et al. (2009). Update of the stroke therapy academic industry roundtable preclinical recommendations. *Stroke* 40 (6), 2244–2250. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.541128

Fu, C., and Song, Y. (2022). Research progress on mechanism of traditional Chinese medicine in promoting angiogenesis of ischemic stroke based on signal pathway. *J. Liaoning Univ. Traditional Chin. Med.* 24 (10), 78–84. doi:10.13194/j.issn.1673-842x.2022.10.016

Gim, S. A., Sung, J. H., Shah, F. A., Kim, M. O., and Koh, P. O. (2013). Ferulic acid regulates the AKT/GSK-3β/CRMP-2 signaling pathway in a middle cerebral artery occlusion animal model. *Lab. Anim. Res.* 29 (2), 63–69. doi:10.5625/lar.2013.29.2.63

Havasi, A., Li, Z., Wang, Z., Martin, J. L., Botla, V., Ruchalski, K., et al. (2008). Hsp27 inhibits Bax activation and apoptosis via a phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinasedependent mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283 (18), 12305–12313. doi:10.1074/jbc. M801291200

Jiang, Y., Liu, N., Stiadle, J., Wang, X., Wang, L., Li, Q., et al. (2019). Electrospun nanofibrous thermoplastic polyurethane/poly(glycerol sebacate) hybrid scaffolds for vocal fold tissue engineering applications. *Chin. J. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 33 (09), 740–749. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2018.10.027

Koh, P. O. (2013b). Ferulic acid attenuates focal cerebral ischemia-induced decreases in p70S6 kinase and S6 phosphorylation. *Neurosci. Lett.* 555, 7–11. doi:10.1016/j.neulet. 2013.09.001

Koh, P. O. (2015). Ferulic acid attenuates the down-regulation of MEK/ERK/p90RSK signaling pathway in focal cerebral ischemic injury. *Neurosci. Lett.* 588, 18–23. doi:10. 1016/j.neulet.2014.12.047

Koh, P. O. (2013c). Ferulic acid attenuates the injury-induced decrease of protein phosphatase 2A subunit B in ischemic brain injury. *PLoS One* 8 (1), e54217. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0054217

Koh, P. O. (2012a). Ferulic acid prevents cerebral ischemic injury-induced reduction of hippocalcin expression. *Neurosci. Lett.* 507 (2), 390–398. doi:10. 1002/syn.21649

Koh, P. O. (2013a). Ferulic acid prevents cerebral ischemic injury-induced reduction of hippocalcin expression. *Synapse* 67 (7), 390–398. doi:10.1002/syn.21649

Koh, P. O. (2012b). Ferulic acid prevents the cerebral ischemic injury-induced decreases of astrocytic phosphoprotein PEA-15 and its two phosphorylated forms. *Neurosci. Lett.* 511 (2), 101–105. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2012.01.049

Kong, Y., and Zhu, Z. (2017). Influence of age, hypertension and diabetes on transient ischemic attack developing into acute cerebral infarction. *Chin. General Pract.* 20 (S1), 15–17. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1007-9572.2017.z1.006

Li, W., and Ni, W. (2022). Chemical components and pharmacological action for danggui (Radix angelicae sinensis) and predictive analysis on its quality markers. *Chin. Archives Traditional Chin. Med.* 40 (06), 40–47+274. doi:10.13193/j.issn.1673-7717. 2022.06.009

Liu, Z., Huang, Y., Liu, L., and Zhang, L. (2017). Inhibitions of PKC and CaMK-II synergistically rescue ischemia-induced astrocytic dysfunction. *Neurosci. Lett.* 657, 199–203. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2017.08.017

Lv, C., and Li, H. (2022). Research progress of Angelicae Sinensis Radix and predictive analysis on its quality markers. *China J. Chin. Materia Medica* 47 (19), 5140–5157. doi:10.19540/j.cnki.cjcmm.20220225.203

Möckel, V., and Fischer., G. (1994). Vulnerability to excitotoxic stimuli of cultured rat hippocampal neurons containing the calcium-binding proteins calretinin and calbindin D28K. *Brain Res.* 648 (1), 109–120. doi:10.1016/0006-8993(94)91911-9

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G., and PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 339, b2535. doi:10.1136/bmj.b2535

Peng, Z., and Liu, W. (2017). Research progress of apoptosis mechanism in cerebral ischemia reperfusion injury. *Chin. Archives Traditional Chin. Med.* 35 (08), 1957–1961. doi:10.13193/j.issn.1673-7717.2017.08.008

Sena, E., Worp, H. B., and Macleod, M. (2007). How can we improve the pre-clinical development of drugs for stroke? *Trends Neurosci.* 30, 433–439. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2007.06.009

Sung, J. H., Cho, E. H., Won, C. K., Kim, M. O., and Koh, P. O. (2012). Identification of proteins regulated by ferulic acid in a middle cerebral artery occlusion animal modela proteomics approach. *J. Veterinary Med. Sci.* 74 (11), 1401–1407. doi:10.1292/jvms.12-0063

Ted, W. L., Shu, Z., and Yu, T. (2014). Excitotoxicity and stroke: identifying novel targets for neuroprotection. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 115, 157–188. doi:10.1016/j.pneurobio. 2013.11.006

Wang, L. D., and Peng, B., 2022. Brief report on stroke prevention and treatment in China. *Chin. J. Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 19(02):136–144. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-5921.2022. 02.011

Wang, L. X., and Wang, F. (2019). Pharmacological research progress of sodium ferulate in cardio-cerebral vascular disease. *Chin. Traditional Herb. Drugs* 50 (03), 772–777. doi:10.7501/j.issn.0253-2670.2019.03.034

Wang, X., and Ni, J. (2016). Advance in research of therapeutic medicines for cerebral ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Chin. J. New Drugs* 25 (06), 659–663+691. doi:10.26599/NTM.2022.9130009

Wu, X., and Ning, Z. (2016). Short-term and long-term therapeutic effects of buyang huanhu decoction combined with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute cerebral infarction. *J. Guangzhou Univ. Traditional Chin. Med.* 33 (6), 778–781. doi:10.13359/j.cnki.gzxbtcm.2016.06.006

Zhang, H., and Jiang, Y. (2011). Role of PI3K/AKT/GSK-3β signal in cerebral ischemic preconditioning and its impact on apoptosis of Hippocampus cells. *Acta Med. Univ. Sci. Technol. Huazhong* 40 (04), 408–412+428. doi:10.3870/j.issn.1672-0741. 2011.04.008

Zhang, L., Lv, J., Duan, J. A., and Liu, J. W. (2016). Research progress of structures and pharmacological activities of phthalides from Angelica sinensis. *China J. Chin. Materia Medica* 41 (02), 167–176. doi:10.4268/cjcmm20160203

Zhong, K., Zhang, R., Li, Y., Li, Y., Yang, Z., and Yang, H. (2012). Ferulic acid exerts neuroprotective effects against cerebral ischemia/reperfusion-induced injury via antioxidant and anti-apoptotic mechanisms *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *International journal of molecular medicine* 40 (5), 1444–1456. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2017.3127

Zhou, J., and Luo, R. (2007). Effect of Bcl-2 protein family and p53 gene on regulating and controlling cell apoptosis. *J. Clin. Rehabilitative Tissue Eng. Res.* (10), 1950–1952. doi:10.3321/j.issn:1673-8225.2007.10.048

Zhou, L., and Yang, Z. (2012). Pooled analysis of sodium ferulate in the treatment of cerebral infarction. *Chin. J. Gerontology* 32 (19), 4130–4133. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-9202.2012.19.008