Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chen Ling, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY

Xiaolei Pei, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China Omar Alshargi, Riyadh Elm University, Saudi Arabia Chun Zhang, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hoi Yee Chow, a ahyeechy@gmail.com Biao Dong, biaodong@scu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 17 March 2023 ACCEPTED 17 April 2023 PUBLISHED 27 April 2023

CITATION

Zheng Z, Ye J, Leng M, Gan C, Tang N, Li W, Valencia CA, Dong B and Chow HY (2023), Enhanced sensitivity of neutralizing antibody detection for different AAV serotypes using HeLa cells with overexpressed AAVR. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1188290. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1188290

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zheng, Ye, Leng, Gan, Tang, Li, Valencia, Dong and Chow. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Enhanced sensitivity of neutralizing antibody detection for different AAV serotypes using HeLa cells with overexpressed AAVR

Zhaoyue Zheng^{1†}, Jingya Ye^{1†}, Mi Leng¹, Chunmei Gan¹, Na Tang², Wei Li³, C. Alexander Valencia¹, Biao Dong^{1,2*} and Hoi Yee Chow^{1*}

¹National Clinical Research Center for Geriatrics and State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ²Sichuan Real and Best Biotech Co., Ltd., Chengdu, China, ³Department of Dermatovenereology, Rare Disease Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

A cell-based transduction inhibition assay (TI) is widely used in clinical trials to detect neutralizing antibody (NAb) titers against recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV), one of the most important criteria to exclude patients in gene therapy. Different cell lines are used in cell-based TI because the rAAV transduction efficiencies vary largely among serotypes. A cell line suitable for TI for most serotypes is highly desirable, especially for those with very low transduction efficiencies in vitro such as rAAV8 and rAAV9. Herein, we report an AAVR-HeLa, a stable cell line with overexpressed AAVR, a newly identified receptor for rAAVs, was established for cell-based TIs. The AAVR expression level in AAVR-HeLa cells was approximately 10-fold higher than in HeLa cells, and was stably transfected after twenty three passages. For all AAV serotypes (AAV1-10), except for AAV4, the transduction efficiencies increased significantly in AAVR-HeLa cells. It was demonstrated that the AAVR enhancement of transduction efficiency was only for rAAV and not for lentiviral and adenoviral vectors. According to the minimal multiplicity of infection (MOIs) for the assay, the NAb detection sensitivity increased at least 10 and 20 fold for AAV8 and AAV9, respectively. The seroprevalence of NAbs were investigated at the 1:30 level as a cutoff value using AAVR-HeLa cells. It was shown that the seropositive rate for AAV2 was 87% in serum samples from 99 adults, followed by lower seropositive rates for AAV5 (7%), AAV8 (7%) and AAV9 (1%). Venn diagram analysis showed the presence of crossreactivity of NAbs to two or three serotypes in 13 samples (13.1%). However, no patient was found to possess NAbs for all the four serotypes. These results demonstrated that the AAVR-HeLa cell line may be utilized to detect the NAbs through cell-based TI assays for most of AAV serotypes.

KEYWORDS

gene therapy, adeno-associated virus, neutralizing antibody, AAVR, stable cell line

Introduction

AAV is one of the most promising viral vectors for gene therapy due to its excellent safety, long-term expression, and high infectivity to a broad range of cell types (McCarty et al., 2004; Li et al., 2011; Gaj et al., 2016). Nearly 250 rAAV-mediated therapies have been used in clinical trials, and six approved for commercial use (Bryant et al., 2013; Smalley, 2017; Dangouloff and Servais, 2019; Kirschner et al., 2020; Keam, 2022; Ozelo et al., 2022; Heo, 2023). More than 100 AAV serotypes have been identified from human and nonhuman tissues (Wu et al., 2006) and some AAV serotypes found to infect humans widely (Verdera et al., 2020). To further increase the transduction efficiency in a specific tissue or cell type, many new serotypes were selected using various strategies including capsid evolution (Ran et al., 2020). It has been reported that the healthy population exposure to AAV2 is 59% and to AAV1 is 50.5% (Boutin et al., 2010). Pre-existing NAbs to AAV in humans can bind to rAAV vectors, and the NAb titer is as low as 1:5 may fully inhibit their infection (Wang et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2019), leading to the therapy failure. To escape the immune response, rAAV shielding such as nanoparticle coating was used widely (Trigueros et al., 2019). Optimization of the manufacturing process was also used for this purpose (Zheng et al., 2021).

Three major assays have been used to detect pre-existing NAbs to the AAV capsid (Falese et al., 2017), namely, an ELISA-based assay, an in vivo TI assay and a cell-based TI assay. The ELISA-based assay can be used to estimate the total binding antibodies (TAb) (Falese et al., 2017; Day et al., 2021), and has higher sensitivity than a cell-based TI assay. However, this method may cause a high TAb prevalence, which may lead to overestimate the immune effect of gene therapy due to their non-linear relationship in blocking activity at low titers (Masat et al., 2013). The in vivo TI assay is suitable for AAV serotypes that have low infection efficiency in vitro, but this method is difficult to standardize, more time-consuming and costly (Mendell et al., 2022). The cell-based TI assay is most widely used for NAb detection. It is easy to set up, and can detect both the antibody and other non-antibody neutralizing factors (Rincon et al., 2016). Currently, many clinical trials prefer the use of a cell-based TI assay to screen for NAb negative patients (Miesbach et al., 2018; Eggers et al., 2022; Mendell et al., 2022). However, the sensitivity of this assay is related to the infection efficiency of different rAAV serotypes and the reporter genes carried by rAAVs. The low efficiency of viral infections requires an increased MOI for detection, which leads to the reduction of the assay sensitivity (Masat et al., 2013; Mendell et al., 2022). To address this issue, several cell lines were selected according to transduction efficiencies for different AAV serotypes, namely, HEK293 for rAAV2 and rAAV8 (Kay et al., 2000; Meliani et al., 2015), COS-7 for rAAV3 (Daniel et al., 2022), GM16095 for rAAV2 (Guo et al., 2019), and HeLa for most serotypes. The modified cell line 2V6.11 was established for NAb detection by the overexpression of the adenovirus E4 ORF in HEK293 cells, which improves the transduction of rAAV6, rAAV8, and rAAV9 (Mohammadi et al., 2004; Meliani et al., 2015). Generally, the more efficient the rAAV infection, the higher the sensitivity of NAb detection.

AAVR is a newly identified universal receptor for AAV transduction and it mediates AAV transport from the plasma membrane to trans-Golgi (Pillay et al., 2016). Overexpression of

AAVR was shown to increase the rAAV2 infection efficiency up to approximately 3-fold (Pillay et al., 2016). Similarly, rAAV2 transduction was enhanced by AAVR overexpression in the cell basolateral membrane (Hamilton et al., 2019). By cotransfection of AAVR, rAAV2 delivery efficiency in the neural retrograde pathway was highly increased (Sano et al., 2020), and rAAV8-based gene editing efficiency was increased up to 15-fold (McDougald et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2022). In sum, AAVR overexpression may be an important approach to augment AAV infection (Meyer and Chapman, 2022).

To leverage the capacity of AAVR to increase transduction efficiency, a stable HeLa cell line, AAVR-HeLa, was constructed to overexpress AAVR for NAb detection. By using AAVR-HeLa cells, a lower amount of viral vectors could be used for the NAb assay and the sensitivity of NAb detection could be increased, which was the aim of this study. Herein, we reported the NAb detection results in Chinese adults using the newly established AAVR-HeLa cells.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

HEK293 (CRL-1573), HEK293 T (CRL-3216), HeLa (CCL-2) cells were purchased from ATCC. All the cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD), supplied with 100 μ g of penicillin/mL and 100 U of streptomycin/mL. The cells were maintained at 37°C incubator supplied with 5% CO₂. The cells were prepared for subculture for every 3 days with the seeding density at 1 × 10⁵ cells/mL.

Plasmid construction

To generate AAVR overexpression plasmid, AAVR cDNA sequence (NCBI code NM_024874.5) was synthesized and cloned into PLVX-Puro plasmid (Addgene#6108) via restriction sites BamHI and XbaI (NEB, Ipswich, MA). The plasmid was named PLVX-Puro-AAVR. The plasmids for rAAV packaging were pssAAV-CB-Luciferase, pdsAAV-CB-EGFP, and Ad helper plasmid (pAd) as previously described (Cao et al., 2002) (Wang et al., 2003). AAV helper plasmids containing the capsid sequences, pRC1-10, were synthesized by General Biology (Anhui, China).

Establishment of a stable HeLa cell line

A Lentiviral vector was used to establish the stable cell line with AAVR overexpression. To generate the lentiviral vector, the plasmid PLVX-Puro-AAVR with two helper plasmids psPAX2 (Addgene#12260) and pMD2. G (Addgene#12259) were cotransfected into 293 T cells by Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at a ratio of 4:2:1 according to the manufacturer's protocol. The supernatants were harvested 48 h after transfection and centrifuged at 10,000 g to discard cell debris.

To generate the stable cell line with AAVR overexpression, HeLa cells were seeded onto a 100 mm dish and infected with the lentiviral

vector with the MOI of 5. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were passaged and selected with 1 µg/mL puromycin (Sangon Biotec, Shanghai, China) for at least three generations. Western blot confirmation of overexpression of AAVR in the stable cells, AAVR-HeLa, was performed followed by single colonies selections from the pool. Briefly, cells were seeded onto a 96-well plate at a density of 0.5 cell per well, supplied with 1 µg/mL puromycin in the growth media and maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The plate was incubated for 10–15 days, and the single cell colonies were selected for further passaging and confirmation by Western blotting. To test the stability of the selected AAVR-HeLa cell line, cells were passaged every 3 days for 23 generations. Cells from the odd number generations were used to evaluate the change of AAVR expression levels by Western blotting.

rAAV production

A triple plasmid transfection method was used to produce rAAV vectors as described previously (Dong et al., 2010). Briefly, pAd, pRC and pssAAV-CB-Luciferase or pdsAAV-CB-EGFP were co-transfected into HEK293 cells at a ratio of 2:1:1. The transfected cells were harvested at 72 h post transfection, and the rAAV vectors were purified by two rounds of cesium chloride gradient ultracentrifuge. The purified rAAV vectors were dialyzed in PBS solution containing 5% D-sorbitol for 24 h with two buffer changes, then stored at -80°C before administration. The purity was examined by silver staining and the genome titers were determined by qPCR. The primer pairs targeting the luciferase gene were 5'-TGACCGAGAAGGAGATCGTG-3'and 5'-GAG AATCTCGCGGATCTTGC-3'. The primer pairs targeting the EGFP gene were 5'-TGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTGC-3' and 5'-GAAGTCGTGCTGCTTCATGT-3'.

Western blot analysis

The primary antibodies used in this study were mouse anti-AAVR (Abcam, ab105385, 1:1,000), and mouse anti- β -actin (Abbkine, ABL1010, 1:2000). The secondary antibody used in this study was HRP conjugated Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (Abbkine, a21010, 1:4,000).

Cell debris were harvested, and cell lysates were prepared on ice using the RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) supplied with 1% inhibitor cocktail (Bimake, Shanghai, China). The lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min at 4°C and the supernatants were collected. Protein concentrations were quantified by the BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Twenty μg of total protein was mixed with 6× SDS loading buffer (Sangon, Shanghai, China), followed by heating for 10 min at 95°C. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Biorad, Irvine, CA). The membrane was blocked in a blocking buffer (PBS with 5% nonfat milk and 0.1% Tween-20) for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the membrane was incubated with a primary antibody overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed three times with PBST (PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20) and then incubated with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was finally washed three times and developed using an ECL kit (Millipore, Temecula, CA).

RT-qPCR analysis

Total RNAs were extracted from the collected cells using the universal Genomic RNA Extraction Kit (Takara, Dalian, China) and quantified by a Nanodrop. Equal amounts of RNA samples were used for reverse transcription by PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Takara, Dalian, China). The qPCR reactions were performed using the TB Green Fast qPCR Mix (Takara, Dalian, China). For AAVR amplification we utilized the primer pairs 5'-ACTCCATCA CCCTCTTTGGGG-3' and 5'-ACTTTCCCTTTGCTGCTTGG-3'. For the internal control GAPDH amplification, the primer pairs were 5'-CATCAAGAAGGTGGTGAAGCA-3' and 5'-TCAAAG GTGGAGGAGTGGGT-3'. The AAVR mRNA levels in cells were quantified by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

Flow cytometry analysis

Cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 1×10^5 cells per well and infected with rAAV vectors carrying EGFP. Forty-eight hours after infection, cells were harvested and washed twice with cold PBS. The cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and centrifuged at 2,000 g for 5 min at room temperature. The cell pellets were suspended in 300 µL of PBS buffer. GFP-positive cells were determined by flow cytometry and the percentage was analyzed by the FlowJo software. The cells without rAAV infection were used as the gating control.

Measurement of the luciferase activity

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2×10^4 cells per well and infected by rAAV vectors carrying the luciferase gene. The relative light units (RLU) were detected by the fluorescence microplate reader 48 h post-infection. The cells in 96-well plates were washed with 100 µL of PBS per well and lysed with 100 µL of lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Then, cell lysates were centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4°C for 5 min, and the supernatants were transferred to a new 96-well plate.

The luciferase activity was measured by a luminescence detector (Biotek, Gaithersburg, MD). Samples were incubated at 37° C with shaking for 15 s to ensure thorough sample mixing. Thirty microliters of D-luciferin substrate (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was added to each well of a 96-well microplate. The supernatants were transferred to the substrate with an equal volume and mixed gently by pipetting 2–3 times. The luciferase activity was measured using a luminometer after shaking for 15 s, and the RLU were recorded.

Detection of NAb titers to rAAV

Serum samples were collected from 99 adults. This study was approved by Ethics Committee of West China Hospital (2017, No. 241), Sichuan University, and the informed consents were obtained from patients or their guardians, as appropriate. AAVR-HeLa cells were



Construction of HeLa stable cells with overexpressed or knockout AAVR. The stable cells with overexpressed AAVR were constructed by infection with a lentiviral vector carrying the full-length AAVR gene. As a control, the stable cells with knockout AAVR were also constructed using the CRISPR/ Cas9 gene editing technology. The cells were seeded in triplicate onto six-well plates for protein and RNA extractions. (A) A representative Western blotting analysis of AAVR expression levels in HeLa, AAVR-HeLa and AAVR-KO-HeLa cells. (B) Fold change of AAVR protein expression levels in the three cell lines. (C) Fold change of mRNA expression levels in the three cell lines. RT–qPCR was used for quantification and the expression level of GAPDH was used for normalization (n = 3).

plated in a 96-well plate at a density of 2.0×10^4 cells in 100 µL per well, and incubated for 24 h. The serum samples were heated at 55°C for 30 min, and diluted by basic DMEM in a 96-well plate at the ratio of 1: 10, 1:30, 1:90, 1:270, 1:810, and 1:2,430 in 120 µL. The rAAV-Luc vectors with different serotypes were diluted to an appreciate titers with PBS in 50 µL. 40 µL of diluted serum was added to 40 µL of rAAV vectors and incubated for one hour in the incubator at 37°C. The basic DMEM was used as the negative control. After incubation, 60 µL of rAAV-serum complex was transferred to the plated cells in triplicate and continued to incubate for 48 h. Finally, the luciferase activity assays were performed. Only the central 60 wells in a 96-well plate were used for titration to avoid the marginal effect.

Statistical analyses

Two-tailed Student's t-tests were performed using GraphPad Prism and significant levels were defined as *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Results

Construction of HeLa stable cells with overexpressed AAVR

As a universal receptor, AAVR mediates the entry of rAAV during transduction, therefore, AAVR overexpressing was hypothesized to be able to improve AAV transduction efficiency for most serotypes. To this end, stable cells with overexpressed AAVR, AAVR-HeLa, were constructed. Meanwhile, the stable cells with knockout AAVR, AAVR-KO-HeLa, were also constructed as a control. Western blotting analysis showed that the expression level of AAVR in AAVR-HeLa cells was ~10 times higher than that in the parental cells and the expression of AAVR in AAVR-KO-HeLa cells was not detectable (Figures 1A, B). The molecular weight of AAVR was ~150 kDa which was consistent with the previous study (Pillay et al., 2016). Also, the mRNA expression levels of AAVR were measured by RT-qPCR, and it showed that the AAVR expression level increased 1,100% in AAVR-HeLa cells while it was reduced more than 90% in AAVR-KO-HeLa cells (Figure 1C).

Enhancement of rAAV transduction efficiency in AAVR-HeLa cells

To characterize the enhancement of AAVR overexpression in rAAV transduction, rAAV vectors carrying EGFP with ten different serotypes (AAV1-10) were used to infect HeLa and AAVR-HeLa cells. Flow cytometry results showed that the transduction efficiencies were significantly increased for all AAV serotypes except AAV4 which nearly could not infect HeLa cells (Figure 2A). The transduction efficiencies for rAAV8-EGFP and rAAV9-EGFP were increased the most, ~4 fold increase (Figure 2B). For rAAV7 and rAAV10, the increase was ~3 fold; for rAAV1, rAAV2, and rAAV3, ~2 fold. The lowest infection increment for rAAV5 and rAAV6, was 1.5 and 1.3 fold, respectively.

To quantify the transduction efficiency increase more accurately, luciferase was used as the reporter gene packaged in AAV2, AAV5, AAV8, and AAV9, the most widely used serotypes in clinical trials. The rAAV-Luc results further supported that the overexpression of AAVR in HeLa cells was able to increase the transduction efficiency for these four rAAV vectors (Figure 2C). Moreover, the fold increases were relatively larger for these vectors. The increase of transduction efficiency was ~3 fold for rAAV2-Luc and ~2 fold for rAAV5-Luc (Figure 2D). Notably, the transduction efficiencies were promoted up to 26 and 16 fold for rAAV8 and rAAV9 vectors, respectively (Figure 2D). The rAAV transduction efficiency changes in AAVR-KO-HeLa cells were also examined and it was shown that the transduction for all rAAV vectors with different serotypes were almost completely inhibited (Supplementary Figure S1).

Stability of the overexpressed AAVR in the AAVR-HeLa cell line

Stable performance of a cell line used for AAV NAb detection is crucial. It was observed that the doubling time for the stable cell line,



Enhanced transduction efficiency for rAAV-EGFP and rAAV-Luc vectors with different serotypes in AAVR-HeLa cells. The rAAV vectors with different serotypes carrying EGFP or luciferase were used to infect AAVR-HeLa cells. The results were presented as mean \pm SD (n = 3) and analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 8.4.0 (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). (A) Transduction efficiencies of rAAV vectors (AAV1-10) carrying EGFP in HeLa and AAVR-HeLa cells. (B) The fold changes of rAAV vectors (rAAV1-10) carrying EGFP in AAVR-HeLa cells compared to HeLa cells. (C) Transduction efficiencies of the rAAV-Luc in the serotypes of AAV2, AAV5, AAV8, and AAV9 in HeLa and AAVR-HeLa cells. (D) The fold changes of rAAV-Luc in the serotypes of AAV2, AAV5, AAV8, and AAV9 in AAVR-HeLa cells compared to HeLa cells.

AAVR-HeLa-10, was 24 h which was similar to that of the parental HeLa cells. The amount of AAVR protein in the passage cells with odd numbers were evaluated by Western blot analysis (Figures 3A, B). The gray values of AAVR bands normalized to β -actin were calculated by ImageJ (Figures 3C, D). It was shown that AAVR overexpression in AAVR-HeLa-10 cells was stable during the passages.

Analysis of the transduction specificity of AAVR for lentiviral and adenoviral vectors

To examine whether the overexpression of AAVR enhances the transduction efficiency of other viral vectors, an adenoviral vector and a lentiviral vector, both carrying EGFP reporter gene, were used to infect AAVR-HeLa and HeLa cells. It was demonstrated that the transduction efficiencies for both lentiviral and adenoviral vectors increased and it correlated with the amounts of vector used in the HeLa and AAVR-HeLa cells (Figures 4A, C). However, there were no significant transduction efficiency differences between the lentiviral and adenoviral vectors for HeLa and AAVR-HeLa cells (Figure 4). It suggested that AAVR was not the special receptor for lentiviral and adenoviral vectors. This conclusion was further supported by the results from the adenoviral and lentiviral vectors transductions in AAVR-KO-HeLa and HeLa cells. It was shown that there were no significant differences for the transduction efficiency of the adenoviral vector in the AAVR-KO-HeLa cells compared to the HeLa cells (Figures 4C, D), which was consistent with the previous report (Pillay et al., 2016). Surprisingly, the transduction efficiency was increased for the lentiviral vector in the AAVR-KO-HeLa cells compared to the HeLa cells.

Enhanced sensitivity of the AAVR-HeLa cell line for AAV NAb detection

The NAb titers for the AAV serotype is one of the most essential criteria to exclude patients in gene therapy. A more sensitive NAb detection assay using AAVR-HeLa cells was proposed to be developed at lower MOIs for most serotypes, especially for AAV8 and AAV9. To examine NAb titers, a minimal MOI of rAAV-Luc was considered as the threshold at which 10,000 RLU may be obtained in selected cells (Baatartsogt et al., 2021). To find the appropriate AAV MOIs for NAb detection, the luciferase expression levels in the AAVR-HeLa and HeLa cells were analyzed (Figures 5A-D). In Hela cells, both AAV2 and AAV5 fit the minimal requirement for NAb detection at MOIs of 5,000 and 25,000 (Figures 5A, B), respectively, while AAV8 and AAV9 did not at MOIs of 500,000 (Figures 5C, D). However, in AAVR-HeLa cells, the minimal MOIs for AAV8 and AAV9 were 50,000 and 25,000, which was at least a 10 and 20 fold improvement, respectively (Figures 5C,D). Of note, the transduction saturation



Stability of the overexpressed AAVR in the AAVR-HeLa cell line. The stable cells with overexpressed AAVR were passaged for 23 generations, and the AAVR expression level was analyzed by Western blotting. Cell samples were named according to their passages. (A) Western blotting analysis of AAVR expression levels in AAVR-HeLa cells with the passages of P1, P3, P5, P7, P9, P11 and P13. (B) Western blotting analysis of AAVR expression levels of AAVR expression levels of P15, P17, P21, and P23. (C) Fold change of AAVR protein expression levels of (A). (D) Fold change of AAVR protein expression levels of (B).



FIGURE 4

Analysis of the AAVR transduction specificity for lentiviral and adenoviral vectors. The cells were seeded onto 24-well plates and infected by a lentiviral or an adenoviral vector carrying EGFP at the relevant MOIs. EGFP-positive cells were counted by flow cytometry at 48 h post-infection. The results were presented as mean \pm SD (n = 3) and analyzed by GraphPad Prism version 8.4.0. ns, not significant; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. (A) Transduction efficiencies of the lentiviral vector carrying EGFP in HeLa, AAVR-HeLa and AAVR-KO-HeLa cells compared to HeLa cells. (C) Transduction efficiencies of the adenoviral vector carrying EGFP in HeLa, AAVR-HeLa and AAVR-KO-HeLa cells. (D) Fold changes of the adenoviral vector carrying EGFP in AAVR-HeLa and AAVR-KO-HeLa cells. (D) Fold changes of the adenoviral vector carrying EGFP in AAVR-HeLa and AAVR-KO-HeLa cells. (D) Fold changes of the adenoviral vector carrying EGFP in AAVR-HeLa and AAVR-KO-HeLa cells.



RLU measurements from HeLa and AAVR-HeLa cells infected by rAAV-Luc vectors with different serotypes at variable MOIs. Cells were seeded and infected by rAAV-Luc vectors at the indicated MOIs. Cells were collected at 48 h post-infection and RLUs were measured. For RLU values that did not reach a plateau using MOIs as high as possible under the conditions in this study, the IC_{50} values were given as the AAV MOIs reaching half of the highest RLU values. The results were presented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). RLU measurements at different MOIs using rAAV-Luc vectors with different serotypes including AAV2 (**A**), AAV5 (**B**), AAV8 (**C**), and AAV9 (**D**). RLU measurements for IC_{50} calculations using rAAV-Luc vectors with different serotypes including AAV2 (**E**), AAV5 (**F**), AAV8 (**G**), and AAV9 (**H**).



Seroprevalence of NAbs to AAV in adults using AAVR-HeLa cells. The serum samples of 99 Chinese adults were used for the NAb survey. The AAVR-HeLa cells were seeded onto 96-well plates and serial dilutions of serum samples were mixed with rAAV-Luc along with different serotypes. The AAVR-HeLa cells were then infected with the rAAV-serum mix and RLU were measured by the fluorescence microplate reader. The RLU value obtained from the negative control, namely DMEM only, was defined as 100%. RLU inhibition was defined as the percentage of RLU obtained to that from the negative control. ND₅₀ values were calculated as the dilutions which inhibited the transduction by 50%. The ND₅₀ of NAb titers against AAV2 (A), AAV5 (C), AAV8 (E) and AAV9 (G) were evaluated, and the corresponding bar charts were also presented (B, D, F, H). (I) Venn diagram analysis of the NAb cross-reactivity to different AAV serotypes.

lines for AAV2, 5, 8 and 9 were different, which were 500,000, over 32,000,000, 5,000,000, and 5,000,000, respectively.

The IC₅₀ was also used to evaluate the sensitivity by Sigmoidal Interpolation analysis (Figure 5). Of note, for RLU values that could not reach the plateau using MOIs that were as high as possible, the IC₅₀ values were given as the AAV MOIs reaching half of the highest RLU values measured in this study. It was shown that, for AAV2 that can efficiently transduce HeLa cells, the IC₅₀ values did not decrease in AAVR-HeLa cells compared to those in HeLa cells, suggesting that the NAb detection sensitivity for this serotype was not further improved (Figure 5E). For AAV5, the sensitivity improved moderately with an IC₅₀ reduction of 26.35% in AAVR-HeLa cells compared to HeLa cells (Figure 5F). However, the sensitivities increased significantly for AAV8 and AAV9, and the

IC₅₀ values decreased by 84.15%, and 67.31%, respectively (Figures 5G,H).

Seroprevalence of NAbs to AAV in adults

The seroprevalence of NAbs in 99 Chinese adults were surveyed for AAV2, AAV5, AAV8, and AAV9 using AAVR-HeLa cells (Figure 6). It was found that AAV2 was the most prevalent serotype. A total of 86 samples had NAbs against AAV2 (above 1:30) and the seropositive rate was 87%. Most samples had a NAb titer of 1:810, followed by 1:270, which accounted for 27% and 22% of all samples, respectively. Furthermore, the NAb values against AAV2 were over the upper limit in 8 samples (Figures 6A, B). The NAbs to the other three serotypes were lower compared to that to rAAV2. As for AAV5, 92 samples (93%) had NAb titers less than 1: 30 (Figures 6C, D). In the case of AAV8, 98 samples (99%) had NAb titers less than 1:30 (Figures 6E, F). For AAV9, 92 samples (93%) had NAb titers less than 1:30 (Figures 6G, H).

Venn diagram analysis was performed to identify the crossreactivity of NAbs for different AAV serotypes. The NAbs in AAV2positive samples reacted with the other serotypes, and the number of cross-reactivity for AAV5, AAV8 and AAV9 were 6, 1, and 7, which was accounted for 50%, 8.3% and 58.3% of the 12 samples, respectively. In the 7 samples containing AAV5-positive Nabs, there were 5 and 1 samples that reacted to AAV2 and AAV9, respectively. The NAbs against rAAV8 found in one sample also reacted to both rAAV2 and rAAV9. The cross-reactivity of NAbs to two or three serotypes was observed in 13 samples (13.1%) and none of the sample was found to have NAbs against the four serotypes tested (Figure 61).

Discussion

In gene therapy, the NAb titer for AAV serotypes is one of the most important criteria to exclude patients for effective treatment. Herein, we reported the construction of a cell line with overexpressed AAVR, AAVR-HeLa, that improved the detection sensitivity of NAbs. After that, the AAVR-HeLa cell line was utilized to investigate the seroprevalence in Chinese adults.

The NAb assay based on cell-based TI is very popular due to its excellent reproducibility and easiness to perform. However, the infection capacity varies largely for different rAAV serotypes, and may be under the limit of detection for several serotypes including rAAV8 and rAAV9. To address this challenge, different cell lines were chosen to increase the assay sensitivity, including HEK293, COS-7, GM16095 and HeLa cells, in which HeLa cells are widely used for many serotypes (Kay et al., 2000; Meliani et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2019; Daniel et al., 2022). In this study, a new approach to increase the sensitivity of cell-based TI assay was demonstrated by using AAVR-HeLa cells in which AAVR was overexpressed. Compared to HeLa cells, the transduction efficiencies in AAVR-HeLa increased 26 and 16 fold for rAAV8 and rAAV9, respectively, increasing the sensitivity of TI assays for these two serotypes that are difficult to transduce. For highly transducible rAAV2 and rAAV5 in AAVR-HeLa, the infection efficiencies further increased two to three fold. In NAb assay, higher transduction efficiency means lower amount of viral vector could be used, so the sensitivity of the assay increases (Figures 5E-H). Significantly, the AAVR gene was demonstrated to be stable in HeLa after at least 23 passages. The AAVR-HeLa stable cell line constructed in this study could be used for NAb detection for most of AAV serotypes, which made this assay easier and more cost effective.

Different reporter genes are packaged in rAAV vectors and used in the cell-based TI including EGFP, LacZ and luciferase (Mingozzi et al., 2013; Falese et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Weber, 2021). Among them, luciferase is the most popular because its quantification is simple and more accurate, as was demonstrated in this study (Figure 2). Nano-Luc, a report gene which is more sensitive than luciferase, was applied recently used to detect NAbs by cell-based TI (Baatartsogt et al., 2021). Thus, the sensitivity of NAb detection may be further improved using a Nano-Luc and AAVR-HeLa cell combination. After characterization of AAVR-HeLa cells, NAbs against AAV2, AAV5, AAV8 and AAV9, the four most used serotypes utilized in gene therapy, were investigated (Figure 6). NAbs against AAV2 were observed in a higher proportion of human participants than those against to the other three serotypes, which is consistent with many other studies (Calcedo et al., 2009; Mingozzi et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018). A study has shown that the highest NAbs prevalence in the selected cohort was AAV2 (59%), followed by AAV1 (50.5%) (Boutin et al., 2010). The lowest NAb titers were observed for AAV8 (19%) and AAV5 (3.2%) (Boutin et al., 2010). Although our seroprevalence values were different compared to other studies, it may partly be explained by the cohort selection or sample size. Remarkably, no serum sample contained NAbs for all four serotypes tested in this study. This indicates that even the patient was positive for some AAV serotypes, there may one or more serotypes that could be available for gene therapy for a number of individuals.

One limitation of this study was the lack of data relevant to a serum dilution ratio of 1:5 which is the standard criteria in clinical trials. One reason was that the rAAV transduction efficiency was enhanced by < 1:30 diluted serum under our NAb evaluation condition. It could be that the negative control was diluted by DMEM media but not by negative sera. Negative sera contain the similar components as the tested serum, which may be a better matrix for dilution. Another limitation was that the MOIs of rAAV-Luc used for NAb detection were not optimized to identify a suitable, lower dosage for each serotype. A study found that, at the same dilution ratio, ND₅₀ increased more at MOI of 100 than at MOI of 1,000 (Baatartsogt et al., 2021). Thus, it is desired that the amount of the viral vectors is used as little as possible for more accurate NAb assay. The third limitation of this study was that the IC₅₀ values for AAV5, 8 and 9 were not calculated precisely because the RLU plateaus did not appear even 32 μ L of the viral vectors with the titer of 2×10¹³ VG/mL were used. It was close to the highest amount of a viral vector could be added in a well of the 96-well plate.

In conclusion, the AAVR-HeLa cell line was constructed and AAVR could be stably overexpressed for 23 passages. This cell line was able to increase the detection sensitivity of NAbs to most AAV serotypes, which is especially useful for AAV8 and AAV9 that are difficult to transduce cells.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of West China Hospital (2017, No. 241). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

HC, BD, and CV conceived the study. HC, BD, ZZ, and JY designed the experiments. ZZ and JY conducted the experiments, analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. HC, BD, CV, ZZ, and JY revised the manuscript. ML and CG contributed to the flow cytometry analysis. NT contributed to the cell line stability test. WL collected human serum samples.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2020YFC2005601), National Natural Science Foundation of China (U19A2002), National Clinical Research Centre for Geriatrics, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (Z20191002) and 1·3·5 Project for Disciplines of Excellence, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (ZYJC21018) and Haihe Laboratory of Cell Ecosystem (HH22KYZX0022).

Acknowledgments

We thank Jiamei Yang and Siming Jia for providing technical service for plasmid isolation and vector purification.

References

Baatartsogt, N., Kashiwakura, Y., Hayakawa, M., Kamoshita, N., Hiramoto, T., Mizukami, H., et al. (2021). A sensitive and reproducible cell-based assay via secNanoLuc to detect neutralizing antibody against adeno-associated virus vector capsid. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 22, 162–171. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2021.06.004

Boutin, S., Monteilhet, V., Veron, P., Leborgne, C., Benveniste, O., Montus, M. F., et al. (2010). Prevalence of serum IgG and neutralizing factors against adeno-associated virus (AAV) types 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, and 9 in the healthy population: Implications for gene therapy using AAV vectors. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 21, 704–712. doi:10.1089/hum.2009.182

Bryant, L. M., Christopher, D. M., Giles, A. R., Hinderer, C., Rodriguez, J. L., Smith, J. B., et al. (2013). Lessons learned from the clinical development and market authorization of Glybera. *Hum. Gene Ther. Clin. Dev.* 24, 55–64. doi:10.1089/humc. 2013.087

Calcedo, R., Vandenberghe, L. H., Gao, G., Lin, J., and Wilson, J. M. (2009). Worldwide epidemiology of neutralizing antibodies to adeno-associated viruses. J. Infect. Dis. 199, 381-390. doi:10.1086/595830

Cao, L., During, M., and Xiao, W. (2002). Replication competent helper functions for recombinant AAV vector generation. *Gene Ther.* 9, 1199–1206. doi:10.1038/sj.gt. 3301710

Dangouloff, T., and Servais, L. (2019). Clinical evidence supporting early treatment of patients with spinal muscular atrophy: Current perspectives. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* 15, 1153–1161. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S172291

Daniel, H. D., Kumar, S., Kannangai, R., J, F., Joel, J. N., Abraham, A., et al. (2022). Age-stratified adeno-associated virus serotype 3 neutralizing and total antibody prevalence in hemophilia A patients from India. *J. Med. Virol.* 94, 4542–4547. doi:10.1002/jmv.27859

Day, J. W., Finkel, R. S., Mercuri, E., Swoboda, K. J., Menier, M., Van Olden, R., et al. (2021). Adeno-associated virus serotype 9 antibodies in patients screened for treatment with onasemnogene abeparvovec. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 21, 76–82. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2021.02.014

Dong, B., Nakai, H., and Xiao, W. (2010). Characterization of genome integrity for oversized recombinant AAV vector. *Mol. Ther.* 18, 87-92. doi:10.1038/mt.2009.258

Eggers, M., Vannoy, C. H., Huang, J., Purushothaman, P., Brassard, J., Fonck, C., et al. (2022). Muscle-directed gene therapy corrects Pompe disease and uncovers species-specific GAA immunogenicity. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 14, e13968. doi:10.15252/emmm.202113968

Falese, L., Sandza, K., Yates, B., Triffault, S., Gangar, S., Long, B., et al. (2017). Strategy to detect pre-existing immunity to AAV gene therapy. *Gene Ther.* 24, 768–778. doi:10. 1038/gt.2017.95

Conflict of interest

Authors BD and NT were employed by the company Sichuan Real and Best Biotech Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1188290/ full#supplementary-material

Gaj, T., Epstein, B. E., and Schaffer, D. V. (2016). Genome engineering using adenoassociated virus: Basic and clinical research applications. *Mol. Ther.* 24, 458–464. doi:10. 1038/mt.2015.151

Guo, P., Zhang, J., Chrzanowski, M., Huang, J., Chew, H., Firrman, J. A., et al. (2019). Rapid AAV-neutralizing antibody determination with a cell-binding assay. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 13, 40–46. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2018.11.007

Hamilton, B. A., Li, X., Pezzulo, A. A., Abou Alaiwa, M. H., and Zabner, J. (2019). Polarized AAVR expression determines infectivity by AAV gene therapy vectors. *Gene Ther.* 26, 240–249. doi:10.1038/s41434-019-0078-3

Heo, Y. A. (2023). Etranacogene dezaparvovec: First approval. Drugs 83, 347–352. doi:10.1007/s40265-023-01845-0

Kay, M. A., Manno, C. S., Ragni, M. V., Larson, P. J., Couto, L. B., Mcclelland, A., et al. (2000). Evidence for gene transfer and expression of factor IX in haemophilia B patients treated with an AAV vector. *Nat. Genet.* 24, 257–261. doi:10.1038/73464

Keam, S. J. (2022). Eladocagene exuparvovec: First approval. Drugs 82, 1427-1432. doi:10.1007/s40265-022-01775-3

Kirschner, J., Butoianu, N., Goemans, N., Haberlova, J., Kostera-Pruszczyk, A., Mercuri, E., et al. (2020). European ad-hoc consensus statement on gene replacement therapy for spinal muscular atrophy. *Eur. J. Paediatr. Neurol.* 28, 38–43. doi:10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.07.001

Li, H., Malani, N., Hamilton, S. R., Schlachterman, A., Bussadori, G., Edmonson, S. E., et al. (2011). Assessing the potential for AAV vector genotoxicity in a murine model. *Blood* 117, 3311–3319. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-08-302729

Masat, E., Pavani, G., and Mingozzi, F. (2013). Humoral immunity to AAV vectors in gene therapy: Challenges and potential solutions. *Discov. Med.* 15, 379–389.

McCarty, D. M., Young, S. M., and Samulski, R. J. (2004). Integration of adenoassociated virus (AAV) and recombinant AAV vectors. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 38, 819–845. doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.37.110801.143717

Mcdougald, D. S., Duong, T. T., Palozola, K. C., Marsh, A., Papp, T. E., Mills, J. A., et al. (2019). CRISPR activation enhances *in vitro* potency of AAV vectors driven by tissue-specific promoters. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 13, 380–389. doi:10.1016/j. omtm.2019.03.004

Meliani, A., Leborgne, C., Triffault, S., Jeanson-Leh, L., Veron, P., and Mingozzi, F. (2015). Determination of anti-adeno-associated virus vector neutralizing antibody titer with an *in vitro* reporter system. *Hum. Gene Ther. Methods* 26, 45–53. doi:10.1089/hgtb. 2015.037

Mendell, J. R., Connolly, A. M., Lehman, K. J., Griffin, D. A., Khan, S. Z., Dharia, S. D., et al. (2022). Testing preexisting antibodies prior to AAV gene transfer therapy: Rationale, lessons and future considerations. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 25, 74–83. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2022.02.011

Meyer, N. L., and Chapman, M. S. (2022). Adeno-associated virus (AAV) cell entry: Structural insights. *Trends Microbiol.* 30, 432–451. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2021.09.005

Miesbach, W., Meijer, K., Coppens, M., Kampmann, P., Klamroth, R., Schutgens, R., et al. (2018). Gene therapy with adeno-associated virus vector 5-human factor IX in adults with hemophilia B. *Blood* 131, 1022–1031. doi:10.1182/blood-2017-09-804419

Mingozzi, F., Chen, Y., Edmonson, S. C., Zhou, S., Thurlings, R. M., Tak, P. P., et al. (2013). Prevalence and pharmacological modulation of humoral immunity to AAV vectors in gene transfer to synovial tissue. *Gene Ther.* 20, 417–424. doi:10.1038/gt. 2012.55

Mohammadi, E. S., Ketner, E. A., Johns, D. C., and Ketner, G. (2004). Expression of the adenovirus E4 34k oncoprotein inhibits repair of double strand breaks in the cellular genome of a 293-based inducible cell line. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 2652–2659. doi:10. 1093/nar/gkh593

Ozelo, M. C., Mahlangu, J., Pasi, K. J., Giermasz, A., Leavitt, A. D., Laffan, M., et al. (2022). Valoctocogene roxaparvovec gene therapy for hemophilia A. N. Engl. J. Med. 386, 1013–1025. doi:10.1056/NEJM0a2113708

Pillay, S., Meyer, N. L., Puschnik, A. S., Davulcu, O., Diep, J., Ishikawa, Y., et al. (2016). An essential receptor for adeno-associated virus infection. *Nature* 530, 108–112. doi:10. 1038/nature16465

Ran, G., Chen, X., Xie, Y., Zheng, Q., Xie, J., Yu, C., et al. (2020). Site-Directed Mutagenesis Improves the Transduction Efficiency of Capsid Library-Derived Recombinant AAV Vectors. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 17, 545–555.

Rincon, M. Y., Prada, C. E., Lopez, M., Castillo, V., Echeverria, L. E., and Serrano, N. (2016). Determination of anti-adeno-associated viral vector neutralizing antibodies in patients with heart failure in the cardiovascular foundation of Colombia (ANVIAS): Study protocol. *JMIR Res. Protoc.* 5, e102. doi:10.2196/resprot.5535

Sano, H., Kobayashi, K., Yoshioka, N., Takebayashi, H., and Nambu, A. (2020). Retrograde gene transfer into neural pathways mediated by adeno-associated virus

(AAV)-AAV receptor interaction. J. Neurosci. Methods 345, 108887. doi:10.1016/j. jneumeth.2020.108887

Smalley, E. (2017). First AAV gene therapy poised for landmark approval. Nat. Biotechnol. 35, 998–999. doi:10.1038/nbt1117-998

Trigueros, S., DomèNECH, E. B., Toulis, V., and Marfany, G. (2019). Vitro gene delivery in retinal pigment epithelium cells by plasmid DNA-wrapped gold nanoparticles. *Genes. (Basel)* 10, 239. doi:10.3390/genes10040289

Verdera, H. C., Kuranda, K., and Mingozzi, F. (2020). AAV vector immunogenicity in humans: A long journey to successful gene transfer. *Mol. Ther.* 28, 723–746. doi:10. 1016/j.ymthe.2019.12.010

Wang, D., Zhong, L., Li, M., Li, J., Tran, K., Ren, L., et al. (2018). Adeno-associated virus neutralizing antibodies in large animals and their impact on brain intraparenchymal gene transfer. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 11, 65–72. doi:10. 1016/j.omtm.2018.09.003

Wang, L., Calcedo, R., Bell, P., Lin, J., Grant, R. L., Siegel, D. L., et al. (2011). Impact of pre-existing immunity on gene transfer to nonhuman primate liver with adenoassociated virus 8 vectors. *Hum. Gene Ther*, 22, 1389–1401. doi:10.1089/hum.2011.031

Wang, Z., Ma, H. I., Li, J., Sun, L., Zhang, J., and Xiao, X. (2003). Rapid and highly efficient transduction by double-stranded adeno-associated virus vectors *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Gene Ther.* 10, 2105–2111. doi:10.1038/sj.gt.3302133

Weber, T. (2021). Anti-AAV antibodies in AAV gene therapy: Current challenges and possible solutions. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 658399. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.658399

Wu, Z., Asokan, A., and Samulski, R. J. (2006). Adeno-associated virus serotypes: Vector toolkit for human gene therapy. *Mol. Ther.* 14, 316–327. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe. 2006.05.009

Yin, S., Ma, L., Shao, T., Zhang, M., Guan, Y., Wang, L., et al. (2022). Enhanced genome editing to ameliorate a genetic metabolic liver disease through co-delivery of adeno-associated virus receptor. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 65, 718–730. doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1744-6

Zheng, Q., Wang, T., Zhu, X., Tian, X., Zhong, C., Chang, G., et al. (2021). Low endotoxin *E. coli* strain-derived plasmids reduce rAAV vector-mediated immune responses both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 22, 293–303. doi:10.1016/j.omtm.2021.06.009