Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jing Wang, Central South University, China

REVIEWED BY Xue Zhao, Xiamen University, China Ganesh Prasad Mishra, Swami Vivekanand Subharti University, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Bai-Rong Xia, xiabairong9999@126.com

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to

Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 24 December 2022 ACCEPTED 21 February 2023 PUBLISHED 24 March 2023

CITATION

Huang X, Li X-Y, Shan W-L, Chen Y, Zhu Q and Xia B-R (2023), Targeted therapy and immunotherapy: Diamonds in the rough in the treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer. Front. Pharmacol. 14:1131342. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342)

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Huang, Li, Shan, Chen, Zhu and Xia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

[\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Targeted therapy and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342/full) [immunotherapy: Diamonds in the](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342/full) [rough in the treatment of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342/full) [epithelial ovarian cancer](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342/full)

Xu Huang^{1,2†}, Xiao-Yu Li^{1,2†}, Wu-Lin Shan¹, Yao Chen³, Qi Zhu¹ and Bai-Rong Xia^{2*}

¹The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China, ²Bengbu Medical College Bengbu, Anhui, China, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui Provincial Cancer Hospital, Hefei, Anhui, China

Currently, for ovarian cancer, which has the highest mortality rate among all gynecological cancers, the standard treatment protocol is initial tumor cytoreductive surgery followed by platinum-based combination chemotherapy. Although the survival rate after standard treatment has improved, the therapeutic effect of traditional chemotherapy is very limited due to problems such as resistance to platinum-based drugs and recurrence. With the advent of the precision medicine era, molecular targeted therapy has gradually entered clinicians' view, and individualized precision therapy has been realized, surpassing the limitations of traditional therapy. The detection of genetic mutations affecting treatment, especially breast cancer susceptibility gene (BRCA) mutations and mutations of other homologous recombination repair defect (HRD) genes, can guide the targeted drug treatment of patients, effectively improve the treatment effect and achieve a better patient prognosis. This article reviews different sites and pathways of targeted therapy, including angiogenesis, cell cycle and DNA repair, and immune and metabolic pathways, and the latest research progress from preclinical and clinical trials related to ovarian cancer therapy.

KEYWORDS

epithelial ovarian cancer, angiogenesis inhibitor, poly (ADP ribose) polymerase inhibitor, immunotherapy, targeted therapy, tumor microenvironment, clinical trials

1 Introduction

Among cancers of the female reproductive system, ovarian cancer (OC) ranks first in terms of recurrence, morbidity and mortality [\(Lheureux et al., 2019a\)](#page-20-0) and is a serious threat to women's health. According to the survey statistics of the American Cancer Society, there will be 19,880 new cases of OC and 12,810 deaths in the United States in 2022 [\(Siegel et al.,](#page-22-0) [2022\)](#page-22-0). Approximately 85%–90% of OCs are epithelial in nature [\(Sisay and Edessa, 2017\)](#page-22-1); however, due to the lack of obvious symptoms in the early stages of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) and the lack of effective early screening tools, the EOC of patients is already at an advanced stage (stage III-IV) at the time of diagnosis ([Miller et al., 2020\)](#page-21-0). Timely tumor cytoreduction combined with platinum-based chemotherapy combined/not combined with targeted maintenance therapy has become the initial standard of care for OC ([Buechel et al.,](#page-19-0) [2019\)](#page-19-0) but tumor recurrence or persistence, with a median progression-free survival (mPFS)

of only 12–18 months [\(Boussios et al., 2020\)](#page-19-1) and ultimately no treatment, resulting in a 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of only approximately 30% [\(Lheureux et al., 2019b](#page-20-1)). Accordingly, a significant need for improved therapeutic approaches more importance has been attached to cancer biological research, which aids the discovery of novel biomarkers, defining more effective molecular targets, and developing new treatment strategies.

Targeted therapies and immunotherapies have emerged as novel treatment strategies for ovarian cancer, which driven the management of ovarian cancer into individualized treatments. A drug targeting angiogenesis, bevacizumab, combined with platinum/ taxane-based chemotherapy prolongs progression-free survival (PFS) by 3.5 months in patients with OC and has been recommended by National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines as a first-line treatment for OC [\(Armstrong](#page-18-0) [et al., 2022\)](#page-18-0). In addition, approximately 30% of epithelial ovarian tumors have homologous recombination repair defects (HRDs). EOC tumors with HRDs are resistant to platinum-based chemotherapy, and these tumors show higher sensitivity to poly (ADP ribose) polymerase inhibitor (PARPi) therapy [\(Miller et al.,](#page-21-0) [2020;](#page-21-0) [Vergote et al., 2022\)](#page-22-2). PARPis have been widely used for firstline maintenance treatment ([Lorusso et al., 2020\)](#page-21-1) and second-line and beyond treatment of OC, significantly improving patient ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)) [\(DiSilvestro and Alvarez Secord, 2018](#page-19-2)). Currently, the main challenge facing PARPis in clinical application is drug resistance ([Li et al., 2020](#page-20-2)). However, due to the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) of OC, monotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 has not achieved therapeutic effects to the satisfaction of investigators when compared to the effects of targeted agents [\(Kandalaft et al., 2019\)](#page-20-3). Therefore, focusing on the

application of ICIs in combination with chemotherapy and targeted therapy to explore a treatment strategy of one plus one over two is a meaningful research direction for the future ([Yang et al., 2020\)](#page-23-0).

In this review, we aimed to discuss the application of targeted lipid metabolism therapies based on omental metastatic OC, as well as immunotherapies other than targeted ICIs [\(Odunsi, 2017\)](#page-21-2), such as immunization vaccines and oncolytic virus therapy, in the hope of providing possible strategies for the future treatment of EOC ([Ventriglia et al., 2017](#page-22-3)). We also reviewed the results and implications of trials evaluating therapy and immunotherapy in the front-line setting to define the optimal positioning of these agents in the treatment for ovarian cancer and provide a focus on preclinical studies and ongoing clinical trials of combined targeted therapy and immunotherapy as well as perspectives and potential challenges of this combination strategy.

2 Targeting angiogenesis

The recurrence and metastasis of EOC mainly manifest in the formation and invasion of abnormal tumor cells and blood vessels, accompanied by chemotherapy drug resistance [\(Ferrara et al., 2004\)](#page-19-3). Tumor angiogenesis and metastasis involve the overexpression of hypoxia inducible factor (HIF) induced by the hypoxic microenvironment in which tumor cells live [\(Muz et al., 2015\)](#page-21-3), which further induces the transcription and translation of vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) protein [\(Semenza, 2010\)](#page-22-4) ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)). Tumor cells overexpress VEGF-A, and the upregulated VEGF-A combines with its receptors on the vascular endothelial cell membrane (VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2) to form a

mass induces increased HIF-1α expression and upregulates vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which regulates tumor angiogenesis by binding to its receptor and activates intracellular signaling pathways. Expression to promote EMT-induced angiogenesis mimicry; and activation of JAK-STAT signaling pathway to participate in angiogenesis. Bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody targeting VEGF, inhibits tumor neovascularization by specifically binding to VEGF and preventing its binding to VEGFR, blocking the signaling pathway of angiogenesis.

complex, which transmits activation signals to the cascade reaction mediated by mitogen activated protein (MAP) kinase and PI3K/ AKT/mTOR, inhibits proapoptotic proteins, leads to cell survival, mediates angiogenesis and lymph angiogenesis, and increases vascular permeability ([Aziz et al., 2018;](#page-18-1) [Li et al., 2022c](#page-20-4)). In preclinical models, VEGF-A signal blockade inhibits angiogenesis and tumor growth, and the new tumor vascular system is particularly sensitive to VEGF-A deprivation ([Chen et al., 2019\)](#page-19-4). In this review, we will introduce bevacizumab, which has been approved for use in the treatment of OC, and several potential angiogenesis inhibitors in clinical trials.

2.1 Bevacizumab (Avastin)

The binding of bevacizumab to circulating VEGF-A competitively inhibits VEGF-A binding to its endothelial cell

surface receptors, ultimately inhibiting abnormal tumor angiogenesis [\(Figure 2\)](#page-2-0). The 2022 NCCN guidelines recommended the simultaneous addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy regimens for first-line treatment of OC, platinumsensitive relapse, and second-line treatment of platinum-resistant relapse and, if effective, bevacizumab maintenance therapy at the end of chemotherapy [\(Armstrong et al., 2022\)](#page-18-0). Two classic phase III clinical trials, ICON7 and GOG-0218 ([Table 1](#page-3-0)), provided evidence that the addition of bevacizumab to standard first-line chemotherapy for EOC significantly improves PFS, and patients with poor prognosis, such as those with tumors with a high KELIM score and poor chemotherapy sensitivity, can benefit in terms of OS ([Burger et al., 2011;](#page-19-5) [Perren et al., 2011](#page-21-4)). Furthermore, in GOG-218, the analysis of many tumor biomarkers showed a positive correlation between OS and PFS and the efficacy of bevacizumab in first-line chemotherapy as high microvessel density (above the median) increased; similarly, high expression of tVEGF-a was

TABLE 1 Summary of bevacizumab phase III clinical trial.

positively associated with prolonged OS ([Bais et al., 2017](#page-19-6)). These findings suggest that in future studies, we could consider microvessel density and tVEGF-a as potential biomarkers to predict the response to first-line treatment with bevacizumab and that specific subgroups of patients with high levels of these biomarkers would be more likely to benefit from first-line treatment including bevacizumab ([Bais et](#page-19-6) [al., 2017\)](#page-19-6). Furthermore, in the second-line treatment of patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent OC (PSROC), the OCEANS trial ([Table 1](#page-3-0)) showed that for patients not previously treated with bevacizumab, the mPFS was prolonged by 4 months with the addition of bevacizumab to the carboplatin and gemcitabine (GC) regimen compared to with the GC regimen alone (12.4 months vs 8.4 months), and the efficacy rate (79% vs 57%) indicated that the bevacizumab combination was more effective than the GC regimen alone [\(Aghajanian et al., 2012\)](#page-18-2). Another trial (NCT01802749) found that bevacizumab remained effective when reintroduced in second-line therapy, with a 3-month prolongation of the mPFS (11.8 months vs 8.8 months). Combining the findings of these two studies, it can be concluded that patients with platinumsensitive OC benefit from the use of bevacizumab in combination Huang et al. [10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342)

with chemotherapy in second-line therapy regardless of whether bevacizumab is used first and that the amplification of resistant clones may not lead to bevacizumab resistance [\(Pignata et al., 2021\)](#page-21-6). Furthermore, in the GOG-0213 trial, the mPFS (13.8 months vs 10.4 months) and OS (42.2 months vs 37.3 months) were longer with the paclitaxel and carboplatin (PC) regimen plus bevacizumab than with the PC regimen alone; the effectiveness (78% vs 59%) suggests that the advantage of combining bevacizumab ([Coleman et](#page-19-7) [al., 2017\)](#page-19-7). Second, in patients with platinum-resistant OC, AURELIA ([Table 1\)](#page-3-0) showed that bevacizumab combined with standard monotherapy was also effective in prolonging PFS (3.4 months vs 6.7 months) and the objective response rate ORR (11.8% vs 27.3%, p < 0.01) ([Pujade-Lauraine et al., 2014\)](#page-22-5). Intriguingly, a subgroup of patients with malignant ascites was distinguished in the AURELIA trial, and the addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy was also found to improve ascites control ([Pujade-Lauraine et al., 2014\)](#page-22-5). In a GOG-218 subgroup analysis, PFS and OS were prolonged in patients with ascites on bevacizumab, although it was not directly reported whether bevacizumab had a direct effect on ascites control ([Ferriss et al.,](#page-19-8) [2015\)](#page-19-8). A phase II clinical trial, REZOLVE, demonstrated the potential of intraperitoneal injection of bevacizumab (IP-bev) in delaying malignant ascites formation in chemotherapy-resistant EOC, and we expect more studies to demonstrate that similar palliative therapies can benefit patients with advanced OC with peritoneal metastases [\(Sjoquist et al., 2021](#page-22-7)). In [Table 1,](#page-3-0) we summarize a portion of the phase III clinical trials of bevacizumab for OC to date.

2.2 Apatinib (YN968D1)

Apatinib is a new generation oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor that highly selectively targets the VEGFR2 signaling pathway, primarily blocking VEGFR-induced endothelial cell migration and proliferation and reducing tumor microvessel density [\(Tian et al.,](#page-22-8) [2011\)](#page-22-8). A phase II prospective clinical study evaluated the efficacy and safety of apatinib monotherapy in 28 patients with recurrent platinum-resistant EOC, showing an ORR and disease control rate (DCR) of 41.4% and 68.9%, respectively; a mPFS and OS of 5.1 and 14.5 months, respectively; manageable toxicity; and good patient tolerance ([Miao et al., 2018\)](#page-21-7). This trial provided evidence that apatinib monotherapy is effective in patients with relapsed/ platinum-resistant OC. In addition, the results from several clinical trials have shown that combination therapy with apatinib is beneficial and well tolerated by patients, although fistulas may occur ([Teo et al., 2015](#page-22-9)). Unlike the single-arm phase II trial AEROC (NCT02867956), APPROVE (NCT04348032) enrolled more patients (152) and added a monotherapy arm with the chemotherapeutic agent pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) ([Lan et al., 2018;](#page-20-5) [Wang et al., 2022\)](#page-23-1). In the comparison of PLD alone and PLD in combination with apatinib, the results showed that the median OS was prolonged by 2.5 months and 8.6 months, respectively, with a favorable safety profile. This study initially showed that combining apatinib with PLD in second-line chemotherapy in patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer (PROC) was more effective than PLD alone ([Wang et al., 2014\)](#page-22-5). Wang et al. conducted a small retrospective

study in which they collected and analyzed clinical data from 41 patients who relapsed after receiving apatinib monotherapy or apatinib in combination with chemotherapy, and they found that apatinib delayed progression in OC patients with biochemical relapse (defined as only CA-125 increased by more than twice the normal value, usually 2–6 months earlier than clinical evidence, such as imaging presentation. [\(Wang et al., 2022\)](#page-23-1). We look forward to further validating this result with a large-scale trial. Furthermore, a study identified profibronectin-1 (FBN1) as a key target of chemoresistance in OC by constructing an OC-like organ model. FBN1 regulates glycolysis and angiogenesis via VEGFR2/ STAT2, and its inhibition reduced sensitivity to cisplatin in this model, providing evidence for the combination of an FBN1 inhibitor and apatinib for the treatment of platinum-resistant OC ([Wang et](#page-23-2) [al., 2022b\)](#page-23-2). Yang et al. demonstrated that in vivo, PD-L1 binds directly to VEGFR2, induces tumor angiogenesis, and relies on the c-JUN/PD-L1/VEGFR2 signaling axis to participate in the progression, invasion, and metastasis of OC, which provides evidence for the use of the pD-L1 inhibitor durvalumab combined with the VEGFR2 inhibitor anlotinib to improve the OC therapeutic effect ([Yang et al., 2021a](#page-23-3)). Based on previous studies, angiogenesis inhibitor-induced hypoxia induces HRDs by affecting homologous recombination repair (HRR)-related BRCA1, BRCA2, and RAD51, resulting in enhanced effects of PARPis [\(Figure 2\)](#page-2-0) ([Mittica et al., 2018](#page-21-8); [Ashton and Bristow, 2020](#page-18-4)). Furthermore, PARP1 inhibition impedes HIF1α accumulation and attenuates HIF1α-mediated anti-angiogenic drug resistance [\(Martí et al.,](#page-21-9) [2021;](#page-21-9) [An et al., 2021](#page-18-5)), and the PARP1 inhibitor fluzoparib in combination with anlotinib contributes to treatment efficacy ([Wang et al., 2019a\)](#page-22-10). We expect the results of relevant clinical experiments to provide meaningful guidance for the treatment of OC.

2.3 Anlotinib (AL3818)

Anlotinib is a new oral multitarget tyrosine kinase inhibitor. Anlotinib selectively targets VEGFR2/3 and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) one to four with high affinity to inhibit VEGF/ VEGFR signal transduction and platelet-derived growth factor receptor α and β as well as the activity of stem cell factor receptor (c-Kit) and Ret ([Sun et al., 2016](#page-22-11)). Many studies have shown that anlotinib has a good therapeutic effect in patients with platinum-resistant and refractory OC. For example, a retrospective study in 2020 showed the benefits of single-drug treatment with anlotinib; although the number of patients included in this study was small, the DCR was 85.7%, suggesting that anlotinib had good application prospects ([Ni et al., 2020\)](#page-21-10). Therefore, large-scale clinical prospective and retrospective studies are needed for further verification. Su et al. found that anlotinib reactivates the immune microenvironment and relies on CD4⁺ T-cell to promote the normalization of tumor blood vessels; therefore, the combination of anlotinib and ICIs can enhance treatment efficacy ([Su et al., 2022](#page-22-12)). A small retrospective study involving 32 patients with advanced EOC who had received at least two existing standard treatments showed that the efficacy of anlotinib combined with a PD-1 blocker in the treatment of advanced EOC was good, with a mPFS of 6.8 months and a median OS of 18.5 months [\(Li et al., 2022c](#page-20-4)). Lan et al. found that the objective effective rate of erlotinib combined with the PDL1 inhibitor TQB2450 was 47.1%, the DCR was 97.1%, and the mPFS was 7.8 months, showing promising antitumor activity and controllable toxicity [\(Lan et al., 2022\)](#page-20-6). In patients with platinum-resistant or refractory OC, a phase Ib study of the injection of the PD-L1 inhibitor TQB2450 in combination with anlotinib has preliminarily demonstrated an antitumor effect, with a duration of remission (DOR) reaching 97.1% and a DOR of more than 8 months in 61.3% of patients; hypertension and palmarplantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome were the most common adverse events (AEs), with rates for both reaching 29.4%, and a further phase III experiment (NCT05145218) is recruiting patients ([Lan et al., 2022\)](#page-20-6).

2.4 Cediranib (AZD2171)

Cediranib is an oral small-molecule multitarget tyrosine kinase inhibitor that targets VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3 and c-kit that has shown antitumor activity against recurrent EOC ([Matulonis et](#page-21-11) [al., 2009\)](#page-21-11). Matulonis et al. conducted a phase II study of cediranib monotherapy in patients with recurrent OC and obtained an overall remission rate of 17% and a mPFS of 5.2 months; major adverse effects included grade 3 hypertension (46%), fatigue (24%), diarrhea (13%), and grade 2 hypothyroidism (56%) but no intestinal perforation or fistulas [\(Ledermann et al., 2021\)](#page-20-7). Compared with previous studies on bevacizumab monotherapy, the advantage is that when PFS is prolonged, the incidence of intestinal perforation or fistula treated with cediranib monotherapy is lower [\(Cannistra et al., 2007](#page-19-9); [Burger et al., 2007\)](#page-19-10). It is worth noting that, on the one hand, cediranib targets vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) to induce HRD inhibition related to the hypoxic microenvironment, including the downregulation of HRR protein expression [\(Lu et al., 2011;](#page-21-12) [Lim et al., 2014\)](#page-21-13); on the other hand, cediranib inhibits plateletderived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) and activates protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), which mediates the inhibition of HRDs unrelated to hypoxia ([Kaplan et al., 2019\)](#page-20-8). Therefore, a series of clinical experiments were carried out on cediranib in combination with PARPis. ICON6 and ICON9 are phase III clinical trials for patients with PSROC. As of 2016, the mPFS in ICON6 in the chemotherapy plus cediranib and placebo maintenance therapy groups was 11.0 months and 8.7 months, respectively $(p \lt 0.0001)$ ([Ledermann et al., 2021\)](#page-20-7). The ICON6 study demonstrated that cediranib maintenance therapy prolongs mPFS more effectively. However, ICON9 research focuses on the difference in the effectiveness of olaparib single-drug maintenance therapy or olaparib in combination with cediranib treatment. At present, patients are being recruited, and we look forward to the publishing of the results [\(Elyashiv et al., 2021\)](#page-19-11). Interestingly, a preclinical study carried out by Francesca Bizzaro et al. found that for patients with OC xenotransplantation (OC-PDX), olaparib and cediranib played a synergistic role by affecting tumor cells and the TME, respectively. Regardless of the HRR mutation status, cediranib combined with olaparib shows a wider effect of inhibiting tumor vascular growth than the single drug in OC-PDX [\(Lheureux et al., 2020\)](#page-20-9). A small study

found that when the PARPi drug resistance mechanism is different, the antitumor activity of cediranib and olaparib will also change, just as their efficacy in patients with homologous recombination gene and/ or ABCB1 reverse mutations is poor [\(Zimmer et al., 2019\)](#page-23-4). Another phase I study (NCT02484404) of the combination of olaparib plus cediranib and durvalumab in patients with recurrent platinumresistant OC found this combination to be tolerable and initially active; thus, the study has moved into a second phase of enrolling more patients with recurrent OC [\(Zimmer et al., 2019](#page-23-4)). We expect more practical strategies for the posterior-line treatment of patients with recurrent OC.

3 Targeting the cell cycle and DNA damage repair

3.1 Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP)

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) is a key factor involved in DNA damage repair; on the one hand, PARP is involved in DNA single-strand break (SSB) repair-dependent base excision repair (BER) ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)) ([Banerjee et al., 2021\)](#page-19-12); on the other hand, in double-strand break (DSB) repair, PARP contributes to HRR and inhibits error-prone non-homologous and microhomologousmediated end-joining repair ([Mirza et al., 2018\)](#page-21-14). By competitively binding to NAD⁺, PARPis interfere with BER and inhibit PARP protein activity to prevent or slow down replication divergence, ultimately leading to SSB to DSB progression [\(Dziadkowiec et al.,](#page-19-13) [2016\)](#page-19-13). In addition, PARPis promote the capture of PARP proteins at the site of DNA damage, leading to a sustained S phase in cells, and the captured PARP-DNA complexes have been shown to be more cytotoxic than unrepaired SSBs ([Murai et al., 2012](#page-21-15); [Gourley et al.,](#page-20-10) [2019\)](#page-20-10). Thus, PARPis enable error-prone repair processes to dominate and exert synthetic lethal effects in cells accompanied by mutations in HRR-associated genes. Many PARPis, such as olaparib, rucaparib, niraparib, pamiparib (BGB-290), and fuzuloparib, play an irreplaceable role in the first-line maintenance treatment and second-line and beyond posttreatment of OC.

3.1.1 Olaparib

3.1.1.1 First-line treatment with olaparib

Olaparib was the first approved PARPi [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)) and has a strong inhibitory effect on PARP enzymes (including PARP-1, PARP-2 and PARP-3) ([Figure 3\)](#page-6-0). In SOLO-1 [\(Table 2](#page-7-0)), after 2 years of olaparib maintenance therapy in patients with newly diagnosed BRCAm advanced OC, a 5-year follow-up study (through 5 March 2020) showed an mPFS of 56.0 months in the olaparib group compared with 13.8 months in the placebo group. Maintenance therapy with olaparib for 2 years extended PFS to as long as 4.5 years, and the results of this study support the use of olaparib maintenance therapy as the standard of care for this group of patients [\(Banerjee et al., 2021\)](#page-19-12). The latest OS data from the SOLO-1 study were updated at the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2022 meeting, where it was reported that the longest OS data to date had been obtained with olaparib (7-year follow-up showing that 67.0% and 46.5% of patients in the olaparib group and the placebo group survived, respectively); however, no

and their acting PARP proteins are described here; the main loci involved in G2-phase repair include WEE1, CHK1 and ATR, and the design of corresponding inhibitors can help prevent DNA damage repair. (B) Common metastatic pathways in epithelial ovarian cancer include: direct invasion of adjacent organs (vagina, bladder, rectum/colon, contralateral ovary); implantation metastases in the omentum and abdominal cavity; lymphatic metastases and hematogenous metastases involving distant organs. (C) Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) protein recognizes and repairs DNA singlestrand breaks (SSBs), and unrepaired SSBs are converted to double-strand breaks (DSBs) with PARPi, which relies on the homologous recombination repair pathway for cell survival; in the presence of homologous recombination defects, including BRCA1/2 mutations, double-strand breaks cannot be repaired, causing cell death.

new safety events were identified. The median OS endpoint remained unmet, with a high OS of 75.2 months in the placebo group [\(DiSilvestro et al., 2023](#page-19-14)). On the basis of the data reported thus far, it is not difficult to speculate that the survival benefit from the administration of 2 years of olaparib maintenance therapy persists for several years after the end of treatment, with longterm survival truly being achieved.

The PAOLA-1 trial, with a median follow-up of 22.9 months, showed a significant PFS benefit in patients with advanced EOC by adding olaparib to bevacizumab maintenance therapy, using firstline platinum-containing agents in combination with bevacizumab, when compared to placebo maintenance therapy (22.1 months vs 16.6 months, $p < 0.001$) ([Ray-Coquard et al., 2019\)](#page-22-13). The study further stratified patients according to HRD status and whether BRCA was mutated and found that bevacizumab combined with olaparib was beneficial regardless of BRCA mutation status as long as the tumor was positive for HRD ([Ray-Coquard et al., 2019](#page-22-13)); in either higher risk (stage III, prior surgery and residual disease or neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT); stage IV) or lower risk (stage III, prior surgery, no residual disease) patients, olaparib maintenance regimen can be beneficial [\(Harter et al., 2022\)](#page-20-11). Interestingly, Callens et al. designed the tBRCA assay based on

TABLE 2 Summary of clinical trials of parmiparib in the treatment of ovarian cancer.

This study is an open-label, multicenter, umbrella study aimed to evaluate the combined, biomarker-driven, targeted treatment efficiency of Pamiparib, Bevacizumab, Tislelizumab, and Nabpaclitaxel in patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer (PROC). NCT05044871 is the NCT number of this study. NR indicates no combination of drugs. Patients with PROC shows that this study recruit patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer (PROC). ORR: CR + PR is the main clinical evaluation index of this experiment. ORR, Objective response rate; CR, complete response; PR, partial response.

the findings of this study, and they found that the tBRCA assay more reliably identified the population that could benefit in the clinic than germline (gBRCA) assays ([Callens et al., 2021\)](#page-19-15). A 2021 study that jointly analyzed data from patients with BRCA mutations in SOLO1 and PAOLA-1 compared newly diagnosed BRCAmutated OC PFS improvement in patients. Olaparib in combination with bevacizumab for first-line maintenance was the best and more appropriate for patients with BRCA-mutation or HRD-positive OC ([Vergote et al., 2021](#page-22-14)). Updated secondary PFS (PFS2) data at a median follow-up of 35.5 and 36.5 months for PAOLA-1 in 2022 showed that bevacizumab monotherapy in combination with olaparib vs the combination placebo group had a mPFS2 of 36.5 and 32.6 months, respectively, and the effective improvement in PFS2 suggests that the combination regimen provided sustained benefit even after progression with the first treatment ([González-Martín et al., 2022\)](#page-20-12).

3.1.1.2 Second-line and beyond treatment with olaparib

In SOLO-2, a study in 2021 updated the median OS prolongation by 12.9 months when reaching a median follow-up time of more than 5 years based on the previously reported significant prolongation of the mPFS in the olaparib group compared to the placebo group [\(Hutchinson, 2017](#page-20-13); [Francis et al.,](#page-19-16) [2022\)](#page-19-16), and the olaparib maintenance phase would not have a negative impact on health-related quality of life (HRQOL)

([Friedlander et al., 2018](#page-19-17)); this study supports the benefit of maintenance treatment with olaparib in patients with PSROC with BRCA1/2 mutations. Based on data provided by SOLO2, Frenel et al. evaluated the time to second progression (TTSP) from RECIST progression to the next progression/death in placebo-treated and olaparib-treated cohorts of patients who received non-platinum and platinum-based chemotherapy, respectively, and they found that when second-line olaparib was maintained for reprogression, patients with recurrent BRCA1/2 mutant PSROC had weaker efficacy when platinum-containing chemotherapy was reapplied than patients who had not previously used PARPis ([Frenel et al., 2022](#page-19-18)). Francis et al. found that dose changes within the first 12 weeks of treatment did not impact survival outcomes, suggesting that in clinical practice, patients who had olaparib reduced or even discontinued due to AE intolerance would not experience an impact on PFS and OS ([Domchek et al., 2016](#page-19-19)). An updated median OS of 32.7 months at a median follow-up of 33.1 months for the phase IIIb OPINION study was published at the 2022 ESMO Annual Meeting; the Kaplan-Meier analysis showed OS rates of 65.8% and 54.9% at 24 and 30 months, respectively, and these data further support the use of olaparib maintenance therapy for the treatment of non-gBRCAm PSROC ([Poveda Velasco et al., 2022\)](#page-22-15). The L-MOCA study was the first clinical study to assess the efficacy and tolerability of olaparib maintenance treatment in Asian PSROC patients, and an mPFS Huang et al. [10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1131342)

of 16.1 months for all patients as of 25 December 2020, was reported ([Gao et al., 2022](#page-20-14)). Subgroup analysis showed that compared to the corresponding wild-type group mPFS, the BRCA mutation group mPFS (21.2 months vs 11.0 months) and the HRR mutation group mPFS (18.3 months vs 13.3 months) were better. The AE incidence was 99.1%, with the most common AE being anemia (76.4%), and 9.4% of patients discontinued treatment due to treatment-related AEs. This study showed that in Asian PSROC patients, olaparib maintenance therapy had significant efficacy regardless of BRCA status and was well tolerated by patients ([Gao et al., 2022\)](#page-20-14). In 2014, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved olaparib in this population based on the results of Study 42 (NCT01078662), in which patients with recurrent gBRCAm OC who had received at least three chemotherapy regimens responded durably to olaparib ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)) [\(Domchek et al., 2016\)](#page-19-19). In 2020, according to data reported in the SOLO3 trial (NCT02282020), for PSROC patients with gBRCAm and ≥2 prior lines of platinum-based chemotherapy, olaparib monotherapy showed clinically relevant and significant improvements in ORR (primary endpoint) and PFS (secondary endpoint) compared with single-agent non-platinum-based chemotherapy, and the differences were statistically significance ([Penson et al., 2020](#page-21-16)). At the American Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO) 2022, a recent analysis of the SOLO3 trial showed that the olaparib group outperformed the non-platinum chemotherapy group in PFS2, with similar OS in both treatment groups and no new safety signals identified; this provides support for olaparib as a platinum-free chemotherapy treatment strategy for patients with PSROC in the third line and beyond [\(Penson et al.,](#page-21-17) [2022\)](#page-21-17). All the studies provide convincing evidence for the use of olaparib in the second-line and beyond treatment of OC.

3.1.2 Rucaparib

3.1.2.1 First-line treatment with rucaparib

Rucaparib inhibits PARP1-4, −12, −15 and −16, as well as tankyrase 1 and 2 [\(Figure 3](#page-6-0)) ([Musella et al., 2018\)](#page-21-18). Updated ATHENA-MONO results showed a significant improvement in PFS for all patients studied in the rucaparib group (intention to treat (ITT) patients or all patients) (9.2 months vs 12.1 months); improved mPFS in the HRD-positive patient group (11.3 months vs 28.7 months, $p = 0.0004$); and a treatment benefit at the endpoint of PFS in the HRD-negative subgroup (9.1 months vs 12.1 month, $p =$ 0.0284). The incidence of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)/acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in the rucaparib group while on treatment was 0.2% ([González-Martín et al., 2019\)](#page-20-15). This study supports the significant benefit of rucaparib monotherapy as first-line maintenance for OC, regardless of HRD status, in patients with advanced OC.

3.1.2.2 Second-line and beyond treatment with rucaparib

ARIEL2 (NCT01891344) showed a better outcome for patients with BRCAmut high-grade ovarian cancer (HGOC) who had received at least two chemotherapies, with an mPFS of 7.8 months, an ORR of 45.7%, and a DOR of 9.2 months, than in patients with BRCAwt/LOH-high and BRCAwt/LOH-low HGOC ([Swisher et al., 2021b](#page-22-16)). Based on the phase II study, olaparib and niraparib alone have also been approved by the FDA for the thirdline treatment of recurrent OC [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)). In addition, ARIEL2 subgroup analysis showed longer PFS in patients with loss of heterozygosity (LOH)-high platinum-sensitive HGOC than in patients with LOH-low cancer among BRCAwt patients, suggesting that the assessment of tumor LOH may be a useful approach to identify patients with BRCA wild-type platinumsensitive OC [\(Swisher et al., 2021a;](#page-22-17) [Swisher et al., 2017\)](#page-22-18). The ARIEL3 study showed that, in addition to the effect on patients with PSROC, patients who had received at least two platinum-based chemotherapies showed a significantly improved PFS, with a median follow-up time of 28.1 months, compared with the placebo group. The chemotherapy-free interval (CFI), time to start first subsequent treatment (TFST), time to disease progression on subsequent treatment or time to death, and the time to start second follow-up treatment (TSST) were all statistically significantly delayed in the rucaparib maintenance group compared with the intention-to-treat, BRCAm and homologous recombination deficient cohort (PFS2), and the updated safety data are consistent with previous reports ([Ledermann et al., 2020;](#page-20-16) [Clamp](#page-19-20) [et al., 2021;](#page-19-20) [Tomao et al., 2020](#page-22-19); O'[Malley et al., 2022](#page-21-19)). This suggests that maintenance treatment with rucaparib significantly delays the start of follow-up treatment. The ARIEL4 study showed that PFS was effectively prolonged by a median follow-up time of 25.0 months in the rucaparin group compared to the chemotherapy group; this result supports the use of rucaparib in patients with recurrent BRCA1/2-mutated OC as an alternative to platinum-based chemotherapy ([Kristeleit et al., 2022](#page-20-17); O'[Donnell,](#page-21-20) [2022\)](#page-21-20).

3.1.3 Niraparib

3.1.3.1 First-line treatment with niraparib

Niraparib is a highly effective and selective small-molecule PARP 1/2 inhibitor ([Figure 3\)](#page-6-0) ([Jones et al., 2015\)](#page-20-18). PRIMA demonstrated for the first time that niraparib single-agent firstline maintenance therapy was effective in prolonging PFS, with a 2 year OS rate of 84% and a 38% reduction in the risk of recurrence or death, when used after platinum-containing chemotherapy for advanced OC, regardless of BRCA mutation/HRD status (O'[Cearbhaill et al., 2022\)](#page-21-21). The PRIME study is the largest randomized controlled phase III clinical study of a PARPi for first-line maintenance therapy in patients with advanced OC in China; data published in the Chinese population complement the PRIMA findings ([Li et al., 2022a\)](#page-20-19). At SGO 2022, the updated PRIME study results were encouraging, with niraparib single-agent maintenance prolonging the mPFS to 14 months in patients with "double-negative" (advanced newly diagnosed BRCA and HRD negative) OC, completely rewriting the prognosis for the "double-negative" population ([Del Campo et al., 2019\)](#page-19-21). In addition, the PRIME study used a personalized starting dose, which resulted in a much lower incidence of adverse reactions and better patient compliance in the niraparib group than in the PRIMA study ([Del Campo et al., 2019\)](#page-19-21). At SGO 2022, the latest data from the OVARIO trial were updated with sequential niraparib combined with bevacizumab maintenance therapy after first-line platinum-containing chemotherapy combined with bevacizumab in patients with newly diagnosed stage IIIB to IV OC, with an mPFS of 19.6 months at 28.7 months, respectively; immature OS data; and an OS event rate of 23.8%. This single-arm study demonstrated that first-line maintenance therapy with niraparib in combination with

bevacizumab results in promising PFS outcomes regardless of the patient's biomarker profile ([Hardesty et al., 2022](#page-20-20)).

3.1.3.2 Second-line and beyond treatment with niraparib

The NOVA study included for the first time a full population of patients with PSROC exploring niraparib maintenance therapy, regardless of gBRCA mutation status, and its primary PFS endpoint was significantly prolonged in the niraparibtreated group compared with the placebo maintenance therapy group (gBRCA mutation population: 21.0 months vs 5.5 months; non-gBRCA mutation population: 9.3 months vs 3.9 months) ([Mirza et al., 2016](#page-21-22)). Patients benefited from niraparib maintenance therapy regardless of whether the response to the last platinum-based treatment was a partial response or a complete response ([Mirza et al., 2020\)](#page-21-23). In the latest long-term follow-up data presented at SGO 2021, in the gBRCA mutation population, there was a 34% reduction in the risk of death and a 9.7-month increase in median OS in the niraparib group compared to the placebo group (43.8 months vs 34.1 months). However, in the non-gBRCA mutation population, there was no significant difference in OS between the niraparib and placebo groups [\(Matulonis et al., 2021](#page-21-24)). In addition, long-term safety data showed that hematological adverse reactions to niraparib occurred mainly in the first year of dosing and then decreased year by year, supporting that niraparib can be used for long-term maintenance therapy in patients with OC ([Mirza et al., 2020\)](#page-21-23). In a retrospective analysis of the NOVA study, it was found that patient weight should be considered when initiating niraparib treatment and that dose reduction (from 300 mg per day to 200 mg per day) in patients in the low weight group significantly reduced complications without compromising efficacy ([Berek et al., 2018\)](#page-19-22).

The QUADRA study showed that a 28% ORR was reached in the primary study population (patients with advanced HRDpositive PSROC in treatment lines 4–5), with OS reaching 26 months, 19 months, and 16.6 months in patients with BRCA-mutant HRD-positive, HRD-negative, or unknown HRD status, respectively, and 17.2 months in all patients in treatment lines 4 and above. In addition, in patients with platinum-sensitive OC, the ORR was 39% and 26% in those with BRCA-mutant and HRD-positive tumors, respectively. In patients with platinum-resistant and platinum-refractory OC, the ORR was 27% and 10%, respectively [\(Moore et al., 2019\)](#page-21-25). The QUADRA study demonstrated that niraparib monotherapy prolonged OS in patients with platinum-resistant or refractory OC treated with third-line chemotherapy and beyond ([Moore](#page-21-25) [et al., 2019\)](#page-21-25); this prompted the FDA to expand the indications for receiving niraparib monotherapy to patients with BRCA-mutant HRD + tumors for the first time, offering hope to more patients ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)).

3.1.4 Pamiparib (BGB-290)

Pamiparib is a potential selective oral PARP1/2 inhibitor independently developed in China ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)). Preclinical models have shown that pamiparib has pharmacological properties such as blood-brain barrier penetration and PARP-DNA complex capture ([Xiong et al., 2020](#page-23-5)). Parmiparib is not a substrate of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) or breakthrough cancer resistance protein (BCRP); thus, it is expected to overcome the PARPi resistance problem caused by their overexpression ([Durmus et al., 2015](#page-19-23)). In a key phase II clinical trial (NCT0333915), pamiparib monotherapy showed sustained antitumor activity and controllable safety in patients with gBRCA-mutated OC who had previously received at least two lines of chemotherapy ([Wu et al., 2022\)](#page-23-6). At ESMO 2020, Wu presented results from his phase II data showing that parmiparib showed significant clinical benefit in both PSROC and PROC patients; ORRs were 64.6% and 31.6%, respectively. Notably, Wu's team also concluded that parmiparib is expected to usher in a new era of platinumfree chemotherapy treatment for OC patients ([Lickliter et al.,](#page-21-26) [2022](#page-21-26)). On 7 May 2021, the State Drug Administration (National Medical Products Administration (NMPA)) of China approved the marketing of pamiparib capsules for the treatment of patients with recurrent advanced OC, fallopian tube cancer or primary peritoneal cancer with gBRCA mutations who have been previously treated with secondline or beyond chemotherapy [\(Figure 1\)](#page-1-0). Given the promising application of pamiparib in OC, in this review, we summarize the findings of all pamiparib clinical trials [\(https://](https://clinicaltrials.gov) clinicaltrials.gov) ([Table 2](#page-7-0)).

3.1.5 Fuzuloparib

The FZOCUS-2 study showed a significant improvement in the mPFS in the fuzuloparib group compared to the placebo group in the overall PSROC population. Subgroup analysis showed a direction of benefit consistent with that of the overall population regardless of the presence of gBRCA 1/2 mutations. Based on this study, the first indication for fuzuloparib in the treatment of OC was approved [\(Li et al., 2022b\)](#page-20-21).

The results of the FZOCUS-3 study showed that in patients with PSROC with gBRCA1/2 mutations previously treated with secondline or beyond chemotherapy, fuzuloparib had an objective remission rate (ORR) of 69.9%, a median time to remission (mDOR) of 10.2 months, and an mPFS of 12.0 months, with safe and controlled treatment and only one AE-induced treatment discontinuation (0.9%) ([Li et al., 2021\)](#page-20-22). Based on this study, the second indication for fuzuloparib in the treatment of platinumsensitive recurrent ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer with gBRCAm after prior second-line chemotherapy or higher was approved.

3.2 Targeting folic acid receptor α (FR-α)

Folate receptor α (FRα) is a cell surface transmembrane glycoprotein whose main role is to transport folate to promote cell proliferation and DNA synthesis, and its overexpression is closely associated with an increased metabolic demand for single carbon units in tumor cells. FRα is also involved in cancer cell division and migration, and the inhibition of this receptor provides a degree of direct anticancer activity [\(Scaranti et al.,](#page-22-20) [2020\)](#page-22-20). Because the percentage of EOC tumors with FRα overexpression is close to 80%, targeting FRα has become a promising treatment for EOC (O'[Malley et al., 2020;](#page-21-27) [Köbel](#page-20-23) [et al., 2014](#page-20-23)).

FIGURE 4

Synergy of immune checkpoint inhibitors with PARPi and tumor suppressive immune microenvironment. PARPis induces double-strand breaks in HRD cells, generating cytoplasmic dsDNA fragments, micronuclei and mtDNA, which trigger the activation of the STING pathway by binding to cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS); on the one hand, it upregulates the secretion of type I interferon, CCL5, CXCL10 and VEGFA, promoting immune escape. On the other hand, low levels of DNA damage stimulate infiltration of suppressive immune cells, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) or tumor-associated macrophage (TAM), which leads to the release of free radicals and triggers further DNA damage. Antigen-presenting cells, including tumor-associated dendritic cells, are recruited and activated to drive STING-dependent type I IFN signaling. Increased expression of T cell-associated chemokines activates CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes in tumor and bone marrow-derived cells, increasing infiltration of cytotoxic T-cell in the microenvironment and promoting reprogramming of immune cells to phenotypes with antitumor activity. PARPis also activates PD-L1 transcription, PD-1/PD-L1 blockade and enhances antitumor immunity; activation of the κB pathway and release of various cytokines inhibit epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), thereby increasing immune cell infiltration at tumor sites.

Mirvetuximab soravtansine (IMGN853, MIRV), a first-inclass ADC consisting of a folate receptor alpha-binding antibody, a cleavable linker, and the maytansinoid payload DM4 (a potent microtubulin-targeting agent), causes cycle arrest and apoptosis in targeted cancer cells, and the drug has shown promising activity in women with platinum-based chemoresistant OC ([Ponte et al., 2016](#page-22-21)). At the 2021 ASCO Annual Meeting, partial FORWARD II trial results were reported, and the investigators found strong antitumor activity and tolerability of MIRV in combination with bevacizumab in patients with FRα (+) OC with unknown platinum sensitivity. Based on previously reported data on MIRV/BEV in patients with platinum-resistant OC, this study suggests that MIRV + bevacizumab has the potential to be the combination of choice for patients with FRα-high expressing recurrent OC, regardless of platinum sensitivity

([Lheureux et al., 2019a;](#page-20-0) [Sisay and Edessa, 2017](#page-22-1)). The SORAYA trial enrolled a total of 106 patients with platinumresistant OC with high FRα expression who had received up to three prior treatment regimens, at least one of which included bevacizumab. First-line data from SORAYA were presented at the 2022 SGO Annual Meeting, and an ORR of 32.4% and a median DOR of 6.9 months for the overall efficacy population were reported. Eighty-six percent of patients experienced allgrade AEs. Most AEs were of lower grade, with donor keratopathy and blurred vision, occurring in 47% of patients, being labeled as mirvetuximab sorafenib-specific AEs ([SGO,](#page-22-22) [2022](#page-22-22); [Zamarin et al., 2020](#page-23-7)). In another phase III study, benefits of MIRV treatment compared to chemotherapy were demonstrated in terms of improvements in the secondary study endpoints of the ORR, CA-125 and patient-reported outcomes, and MIRV demonstrated a more manageable

TABLE 3 Summary of all clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors in the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer published in Clinical [Trials.gov.](http://Trials.gov)

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 3 (Continued) Summary of all clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors in the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer published in Clinical Trials.gov.

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 3 (Continued) Summary of all clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors in the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer published in Clinical Trials.gov.

safety profile ([Moore et al., 2021](#page-21-28)). In 2022, MIRV was approved by the FDA for application in the treatment of (FR α)-positive, platinum-resistant EOC ([Figure 1\)](#page-1-0).

4 Targeting the tumor immune signaling pathway

4.1 Immunosuppressive TME of OC

Important processes of antitumor immunity include adaptive and natural immunity, which rely mainly on the recognition of tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) and tumor-specific antigens (TSAs) by immune cells ([Figure 4\)](#page-10-0) [\(Gajewski et al., 2013\)](#page-20-24). OC tumors are immunogenic, and non-spontaneous antitumor immune responses can be detected in the tumors, peripheral blood and ascites of patients with EOCs ([Morand et al., 2021\)](#page-21-29). The histological marker of OC tumor immune recognition is tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) ([Duraiswamy et al., 2021\)](#page-19-24). The presence of CD3⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs in the OC TME has been demonstrated, and their recruitment is associated with a good prognosis in patients with EOC ([Zhang](#page-23-8) [et al., 2003](#page-23-8); [Santoiemma et al., 2016](#page-22-23)). Notably, the response rate of OC to ICIs remains suboptimal, with only 10%–15% of patients treated with single-agent ICIs showing good clinical outcomes ([Chambers et al., 2021\)](#page-19-25).

The main reason for this suboptimal response rate is that solid tumors, including OC, have a remodeling effect on the tumor immune microenvironment (TIM) [\(Figure 4\)](#page-10-0) [\(Yang et al., 2022\)](#page-23-9). On the one hand, tumor cells can alter the degree of infiltration as well as the phenotype and function of the TILs present in primary or metastatic tumor tissues directly or through the TME, leading to immune escape ([Rådestad et al., 2018\)](#page-22-24). On the other hand, by altering the expression of immune checkpoint-associated proteins, the activity of effector T-cell is suppressed, and the tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) phenotype is induced to convert from an inflammation-inducing M1 type to an anti-inflammatory M2 type. Thus, the efficacy of immunotherapy is closely related to the inflammatory status of the tumor site [\(An and Yang, 2020\)](#page-18-6). Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of OC ascites and tumors confirmed significant differences in the composition and phenotype of immune cells and immunosuppressive cells in the liquid and solid TME [\(Zhang et al., 2018](#page-23-10); [Izar et al., 2020\)](#page-20-25). According to Daniel S. Chen et al., the human TIM is grouped into three main phenotypes: inflammatory tumors, which are hot tumors in which many inflammatory cells and inflammatory factors represented by T-cell infiltrate the tumor parenchyma; immune death tumors, which are cold tumors in which there is a lack of T-cell infiltration in the tumor parenchyma or stroma; and immune rejection immune cells in the stroma, which surround the cancer nest with abundant characteristic immune cells but do not penetrate the tumor parenchyma [\(Hegde and Chen, 2020](#page-20-26)). For example, platinum-resistant OC that progresses within 6 months after platinum therapy exhibits a series of "cold tumor" features, namely, low infiltration of CD8 T-cell ([Mariya et al., 2014\)](#page-21-30) but increased activation of CD4 T-cell, increased infiltration of regulatory T-cell (Tregs) [\(Hao et al., 2018](#page-20-27)) and increased infiltration of PD-L1 cells [\(Hamanishi et al., 2015\)](#page-20-28), known to promote peritoneal dissemination [\(Abiko et al., 2013](#page-18-7)), in which tumor cells are in an immunosuppressive microenvironment with enhanced proliferation and migration.

4.2 Immune checkpoint inhibitors

Based on the immunosuppressive microenvironment of OC and the background of poor responsiveness to ICIs alone, this review focuses on the combination of ICIs with other therapeutic approaches ([Table 3](#page-11-0)). The combination of ICIs with different sites of action demonstrated some therapeutic efficacy.

In a study that included 100 patients with persistent or recurrent EOC, the efficacy and safety of nivolumab (a human lgG4 anti-PD-1 receptor blocking monoclonal antibody (mAb)) in combination with ipilimumab (a recombinant IgG1 human mAb against CTLA-4) were compared with those of nivolumab monotherapy. The results showed a longer PFS in the nivolumab + ipilimumab group than in the nivolumab group (3.9months vs 2 months). The rate of grade ≥3-related AEs was slightly higher in the nivolumab + ipilimumab group than in the nivolumab group (49%vs 33%). This result suggests that despite slightly higher toxicity, the combination regimen was associated with a higher response rate and longer mPFS than the single-agent regimen, suggesting that a large study should be conducted to better assess the efficacy and safety of the combination regimen ([Zamarin et al.,](#page-23-7) [2020\)](#page-23-7). In another study (NCT02335918), it was observed in OC patients that varlilumab, a fully human agonist anti-CD27 mAb in combination with nivolumab, did not show toxicity beyond that of either monotherapy, and prolonged PFS was more pronounced at a ≥5% increase in tumor PD-L1 and intratumoral T-cell infiltration ([Sanborn et al., 2022](#page-22-25)). High VEGF stimulates the expansion of immunosuppressive cells, including Tregs and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), inhibits the migration of immunoreactive T-cell to the TME and promotes their apoptosis, providing a theoretical basis for the combination of angiogenesis inhibitors and ICIs ([Figure 4](#page-10-0)) [\(Fukumura et al., 2018](#page-19-26)). In a phase II (NCT02853318) non-randomized clinical trial, the combination of pembrolizumab with bevacizumab and oral cyclophosphamide was well tolerated, showing a clinical benefit and durable treatment response (>12 months) in 95.0% of patients with recurrent OC; this combination may represent a future treatment strategy for recurrent OC [\(Zsiros et al., 2021](#page-23-11)) In addition, niraparib further increases immune cell infiltration in the TIM and modulates immune activity by upregulating the activity of interferon genes and interferon pathway stimulators and PD-L1 expression on the tumor cell surface, which may make the combination of niraparib and ICI more toxic [\(Shen et al., 2019](#page-22-26); [Wang et al., 2019b](#page-23-12)). A single-arm phase I and II trial (NCT02657889) subgroup analysis showed that niraparib combined with pembrolizumab showed an ORR benefit regardless of platinum chemosensitivity status, prior bevacizumab treatment or tumor BRCA or HRD biomarker status ([Konstantinopoulos et al., 2019](#page-20-29)). Interestingly, Appleton et al. constructed a three-dimensional spheroid culture model of OC patient origin and demonstrated that either pembrolizumab or durvalumab synergized with olaparib to reduce the viability of the in vitro model [\(Appleton et al., 2021\)](#page-18-8). Platinum-based chemotherapy is known to induce T-cell proliferation and activation, suggesting that the combination of ICIs may have a synergistic effect [\(Fucikova et al., 2022](#page-19-27)). In a study including nine patients with recurrent platinum-resistant OC (NCT03029598), pembrolizumab combined with carboplatin was effective and well tolerated; 23 patients achieved optimal objective remission, with 10.3% in partial remission (PR) and 51.7% with stable disease (SD), in addition to 17.2% with PD [\(Liao et al., 2021](#page-20-30)). In OC with BRCA1/ 2 mutations, the tumor load is increased and TILs are increased; furthermore, PD1/PD-L1 expression is upregulated in response to multiple interferon γ, P53 and BRCA mutations, thus possibly leading to greater sensitivity to PD1/PD-1 inhibitors [\(Jiang et al.,](#page-20-31) [2021\)](#page-20-31). In patients with PROC, the study by Li et al. suggested that the ORR and mPFS of PLD combined with pembrolizumab treatment were higher than those of the respective monotherapy, but with the inclusion of 23 patients in this study, a larger study is needed for validation [\(Lee et al., 2020\)](#page-20-32).

5 New therapeutic methods for lipid metabolism-related targets

The characteristic site of OC metastasis is the lipid-rich omentum, and abnormal lipid metabolism plays an important role in tumor progression and metastasis [\(Ladanyi et al., 2018\)](#page-20-33). Many studies have focused on targeting lipid metabolism-related pathways, suggesting a series of potentially effective new strategies for OC treatment. High-grade plasmacytoid ovarian cancer (HGSOC) metastasizes mainly to fat-rich areas such as the omentum, mesentery and appendicular epidermis over the colon ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)). During metastasis, adipocytes in the microenvironment are recruited by cancer cells and transformed into cancer-associated adipocytes, and adipocytes are able to reprogram OC cell metabolism [\(Nieman et al., 2011](#page-21-31); [Mukherjee et al., 2020\)](#page-21-32). When OC cells were cocultured with human omental adipocytes, tumor tissue induced adipocyte lipolysis, releasing more free fatty acids and glycerol and thus providing energy to promote rapid tumor growth while inducing OC cell migration and promoting invasion more significantly than subcutaneous fat ([Nieman et al., 2011](#page-21-31)). In addition, OC cells cocultured with adipocytes have a lipid chaperone protein, FABP4, which regulates lipolysis and is upregulated in the expression of several in vitro cell lines of omental metastatic tumors, including OC, and FABP4 may be an important target for the treatment of intra-abdominal metastatic tumors [\(Nieman et al., 2011\)](#page-21-31). It has been further shown that FABP4 knockdown leads to elevated levels of 5 hydroxymethylcytosine in DNA, downregulates the genetic features associated with OC metastasis, and inhibits tumor cell activity ([Mukherjee et al., 2020](#page-21-32)). The evidence that FABP4 inhibitor monotherapy significantly reduced tumor load in a homozygous in situ mouse model and that an FABP4 inhibitor in combination with carboplatin enhanced chemosensitivity both in vitro and in vivo suggests that FABP4 may be an important target for the treatment of intraabdominal metastatic tumors, providing an opportunity for specific metabolic targeting of OC metastasis [\(Mukherjee et al., 2020\)](#page-21-32).

TABLE 4 Application of oncolytic viruses in the treatment of ovarian cancer.

Kosuke Hiramatsu et al. suggested that lipolysis-stimulated lipoprotein receptor (LSR), which is highly expressed in EOC metastatic lymph nodes and omentum, is regarded as a neoplastic antigen that induces very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) into EOC cells, which in turn promotes lipid uptake in EOC cells and subsequent It is associated with poor prognosis, and OS was significantly shorter in patients with high expression of human LSR (hLSR) than in those with low expression (61.7 months vs 103.3 months, $p = 0.0322$). The resulting monoclonal antibody (#1–25) designed for hLSR showed significant antitumor effects by targeting the binding of VLDL to hLSR and intracellular storage of lipid metabolites [\(Hiramatsu et al., 2018\)](#page-20-34). Recently, Lia Tesfay et al. showed that in OC tissues, cell lines and stem cell genetic models, upregulated steroid coenzyme A desaturase (SCD1) increased monounsaturated fatty acid formation to prevent ferroptosis. Blocking SCD1 had a dual antitumor effect, depleting the endogenous membrane antioxidant CoQ10 to induce ferroptosis and enhancing the toxicity of ferroptosis inducers on the one hand and triggering apoptosis by increasing the synthesis of saturated fatty acid-rich ceramides and altering the ratio of saturated to unsaturated fatty acids on the other. The findings of this study suggest that SCD1 inhibitors combined with ferroptosis inducers may be a new strategy for the treatment of OC in the future [\(Tesfay](#page-22-27) [et al., 2019\)](#page-22-27). Through single-cell sequencing and immunohistochemistry analysis of OC and paraneoplastic tissues, Lin et al. confirmed that Stanniocalcin 1 (STC1) expression was significantly upregulated in OC, especially in peritoneal metastases. STC1 promoted lipid metabolism not only through the *in vitro* pathway by upregulating lipid-related genes such as UCP1, TOM20 and perilipin1 but also through the FOXC2/ ITGB6 signaling axis in OC to promote metastasis, lipid metabolism and in vivo cisplatin chemoresistance, suggesting that this could be a new treatment for OC patients with cisplatin chemoresistance-targeted pathways ([Lin et al., 2022\)](#page-21-33). Notably, metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells relies mainly on the LPA-LPAR-Gαi2 axis to induce a pseudohypoxic response involving the Rac-NOX-ROS-HIF1α pathway, which activates EMT in OC cells, leading to a diminished glycolytic rate and glycolytic capacity. Metabolic reprogramming also induces glucose transporter protein-1 (GLUT1) and glycolytic enzyme hexokinase-2 (HKII) expression, which ultimately leads to metabolic reprogramming, a shift to aerobic glycolysis in OC cells, and tumor progression promotion. Targeted inhibition of HKII by 3-bromopyruvate (3-BP) attenuates the growth of OC xenografts and shows potential for the treatment of OC ([Ha et al.,](#page-20-35) [2018\)](#page-20-35). OC cells secrete angiotensin II (ANGII) in a positive feedback manner, triggering the classic receptor (AGTR1) pathway and EGFR transactivation, which is considered to be an important factor in the metastasis of several cancers. Peritoneal metastasis from OC is highly dependent on the formation of multicellular spheroids (MCSs), and the activation of AGTR1 is positively correlated with MCS formation and cell migration and negatively correlated with the prognosis of OC patients; therefore, targeting AGTR1 may be a strategy to eliminate the potential for peritoneal metastasis from EOC [\(Zhang et al., 2019a\)](#page-23-13).

6 Other potential therapeutic targets

6.1 Immunization vaccines: Autologous dendritic cell immunotherapy

EOC responds poorly to ICIs due to its immunological features, including limited tumor mutational load (TMB) and poor lymphocyte infiltration. The use of immune vaccines and lysoviral therapy is a new strategy to enhance antitumor immunity in OC. A completed phase II clinical study, SOV01 (NCT02107937), found a statistically significant improvement in PFS with the addition of autologous dendritic cell immunotherapy (DCVAC) to first-line standard chemotherapy with carboplatin and paclitaxel ([Rob et al., 2022\)](#page-22-28). Interestingly, the clinical benefit of DCVAC was more pronounced in OC patients than in prostate and lung cancer patients, despite an antitumor immune cycle characterized by reduced expression of T-cell-associated genes ([Hensler et al., 2022\)](#page-20-36). However, multiple mechanisms, including the restriction of dendritic cell (DC) migration to draining lymph nodes, the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) in OC, and metabolic restriction of tumor-associated DC activation, may lead to limited clinical efficacy of DC vaccines (O'[Neill and](#page-21-34) [Pearce, 2016\)](#page-21-34). In this regard, several in vitro and preclinical studies have provided evidence that modified DC vaccines have shown greater benefit in OC treatment [\(Cheng et al., 2020](#page-19-28)).

In 2018, TANYI et al. used a personalized vaccine generated by autologous DCs pulsed with oxidized autologous whole-tumor cells. After the administration of a personalized vaccine generated by autologous DCs pulsed with oxidized autologous whole-tumor cell lysate (OCDC), an increase in IFN-γ-producing T-cell responsive to DC-presented tumor antigens was detected, with a significantly higher 2-year OS in patients who responded to the vaccine than in those who did not (100% vs 25%) and with good tolerability ([Tanyi et al., 2018\)](#page-22-29). In addition, Wen Zhang et al. found that the immune responses triggered by DC vaccines prepared with Wilms' tumor protein 1 (WT1) peptides in patients with advanced OC were significantly associated with a decrease in bone marrow-derived suppressor cells ($p = 0.045$) in pretreated peripheral blood, which suggests the potential therapeutic effect of such vaccines ([Zhang et](#page-23-13) [al., 2019a](#page-23-13)). Recently, based on NY-ESO-1 fused with SecPen and ubiquitin, Yunkai Yang and his colleagues prepared a novel DC vaccine (DC-SNU) that induced stronger and specific T-cell immunity in mice ([Yang et al., 2021b](#page-23-14)). In addition, in patients with advanced OC, long-term toxicity was not observed before or after the injection of a Th1 selective IGFBP-2 N-terminus vaccine, and T-cell clones were significantly upregulated ($p = 0.03$) ([Cecil](#page-19-29) [et al., 2021](#page-19-29)).

6.2 Oncolytic virotherapy

Selective infection and direct lysis of tumor cells by an oncolytic virus (OV) leads to the release of viral particles, cytokines and other tumor cell contents, and the release of various substances triggers innate and adaptive proinflammatory immune responses against tumor cells ([Cook and Chauhan, 2020](#page-19-30)). For example, the treatment of cells from patients with OC with an oncolytic adenovirus (Ad5/3- E2F-D24-hTNFa-IRES-hIL2) in isolated cultures reshaped the OC immune microenvironment, activating CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs, which in turn enhanced antitumor responsiveness [\(Santos et al., 2020\)](#page-22-30). OVs have shown efficacy in preclinical models of advanced EOC, and it is significant that the interaction of PD-1 with its ligands PD-L1 and PD-L2 leads to the suppression of T and NK cells without overlapping with OV-mediated activation pathways [\(van Vloten](#page-22-31) [et al., 2022\)](#page-22-31). In the advanced EOCID8 model, Parapoxvirus ovis (Orf virus (OrfV)) treatment promoted active recruitment of NK cells in tumor cells and in the ascites TME, stimulated a strong antitumor response, activated NK cells and further induced T-cell recruitment in the OC TME through the CXCR3 chemokine axis, prolonging mouse survival ([van Vloten et al., 2022\)](#page-22-31). In a recent study, Lei et al. innovatively used the human IgG family as a scaffold to construct anti-CD47 mAbs piggybacking on tumor soluble herpesvirus (oHSV). This oncolytic herpes simplex virus, which maximizes Fc receptor-mediated antitumor effects and expresses anti-CD47 antibodies to block "do not eat me" signaling, has therapeutic effects ([Tian et al., 2022\)](#page-22-32).

Oncolytic herpes simplex virus (HSV) treatment of mice with OC carrying a platinum resistance gene disrupts the extracellular vesicle (EV) pathway associated with cisplatin efflux, not only helping to prevent drug resistance but also promoting DNA damage to activate the immune system and innate immunity and enhance the efficacy against ICIs [\(Hong et al., 2021\)](#page-20-37). Novel active virus-like nanoparticle (VLP) delivery vehicles are more widely distributed and more long-lasting in OC ground metastatic ascites and have been shown to help improve survival in mice with peritoneal metastases from OC [\(Wang et al., 2020](#page-22-33)). In [Table 4,](#page-15-0) we summarize all recent clinical trials using oncolytic viruses in the treatment of OC.

7 Discussion

Ovarian cancer management has changed dramatically with the introduction of targeted therapy and immunotherapy into standard-of-care therapy. For patients in whom initial tumor reduction surgery is feasible, platinum-based standard chemotherapy combined with specific marker-related targeted and immune monotherapy or combination therapy is an important strategy to prevent recurrence. However, neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) followed by interval cytoreductive surgery (CRS) benefits patients if they are suspected to have stage IIIC or IV invasive EOC at the time of initial treatment, tumors are unresectable, optimal resection (R0 and R1) is not achieved, or clinical or imaging assessment indicates a perioperative risk ([Cook and Chauhan, 2020](#page-19-30); [Santos](#page-22-30) [et al., 2020\)](#page-22-30). Genomic analysis revealed that although tumor cell evolutionary mutations were not prevented during NACT treatment, NACT treatment induced transcriptome remodeling through an upregulation of the AP-1 transcriptional network and altered gene copy number in recurrent tumors [\(Javellana et al.,](#page-20-38) [2022\)](#page-20-38). Previous studies have found that the application of platinum-containing NACT regimens may be more likely to induce cancer cell stemness, leading to platinum resistance and a shortened platinum treatment-free interval (TFIp) [\(Liu](#page-21-35) [et al., 2020\)](#page-21-35). The use of alternative platinum-based NACT regimens can help avoid platinum resistance without compromising the role of subsequent platinum-based agents in adjuvant therapy. NANT pioneered the exploration of niraparib monotherapy as an alternative to platinum as neoadjuvant therapy in patients with advanced non-R0 resectable BRCAm/HRD-positive OC ([Zhou et al., 2022](#page-23-15)). According to the latest data presented at SGO 2022, CA125 decreased from week 2 of dosing, with a median lower

baseline concentration of 88.5% (26.8%–99.3%) after two cycles (8 weeks in total) of treatment and an ORR of up to 75%. Thrombocytopenia was the predominant TEAE, occurring in parallel with the decline in CA125. The above data provide preliminary evidence that niraparib single-agent neoadjuvant therapy is effective and has a good safety profile ([Zhou et al.,](#page-23-15) [2022\)](#page-23-15). Expanding the trial sample size, increasing the number of patients included, and including a control group could make the findings of similar future studies more convincing. In addition, clinicians cannot ignore the issue that OC patients treated with NACT are at extremely high risk of thromboembolic events, especially those with advanced metastatic disease, and increased screening or the use of prophylactic anticoagulation are effective means of preventing associated AEs [\(Basaran et al., 2021](#page-19-31)). Notably, the OV21/PETROC study provided RCT data supporting that women undergoing NACT followed by optimal tumor reduction surgery would benefit from chemotherapy with intraperitoneal injections of carboplatin, informing the choice of follow-up treatment after NACT and optimal tumor reduction surgery in the clinic ([Provencher et al.,](#page-22-34) [2018\)](#page-22-34). According to the phase III iPocc study reported at SGO 2022, intraperitoneal administration of carboplatin was superior to intravenous administration after initial surgery regardless of residual tumor size, improving PFS in patients with OC ([Fujiwara](#page-19-32) [et al., 2022](#page-19-32)). In addition, several preclinical and clinical studies have focused on improving the TME in OC. Adipose tissue is a key component of the metastatic microenvironment of OC, and preferential metastasis to omental adipose tissue is an important feature of metastatic OC ([Motohara et al., 2019](#page-21-36)). Statins that inhibit a key enzyme of lipid metabolism (HMG-CoA reductase) have been shown to synergistically promote apoptosis with cisplatin in OC ([Göbel et al., 2020\)](#page-20-39). Future focus on targeting lipid metabolism-related pathways in OC could be of great value for the treatment of omental metastatic OC.

DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTis), which are epigenetic modulators, have been shown to induce the cytoplasmic sensing double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) antiviral pathway, upregulate type I IFN ([Chiappinelli et al., 2015](#page-19-33)), activate CD8 T-cell, increase the number of immune cells ([Wright et al., 2016;](#page-23-16) [Stone et al., 2017](#page-22-35); [Vergote et al., 2018](#page-22-36)), and synergistically downregulate programmed death ligands (PD-L1 and PD-L2) with ICIs to exert antitumor effects. In patients with recurrent chemoresistant OC, hypomethylating agents (HMAs) in combination with ICIs to increase immune signaling and improve the response to immune checkpoint blockade in OC also appear to be a viable therapeutic strategy ([Chiappinelli et al., 2022\)](#page-19-34). A phase II clinical trial including 35 patients with platinum-resistant OC (NCT02901899) combined guadecitabine, a secondgeneration HMA, with pembrolizumab, an inhibitor of PD-1 and found that 34% of patients obtained clinical benefit ([Chiappinelli et al., 2015](#page-19-33)). Song et al. found that ubiquitin UBR5, a protein ligase E3, was overexpressed in human OC cells, regulating the recruitment of immunosuppressive macrophages, i.e., M2 type, to the tumor site, leading to peritoneal colonization and metastasis on the one hand and promoting cell adhesion cancer stem cell (CSC) production by controlling p53 protein levels on the other hand, suggesting that targeting UBR5 in combination with other therapeutic approaches could benefit OC patients ([Song et al., 2020](#page-22-37)). Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) drives tumor immunosuppression in HGSOC by depleting local tryptophan and producing kynurenine inhibition, which is responsible for the downregulation of CD8⁺ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) ([Munn et al., 2016](#page-21-37)). The IDO1 inhibitor EPA effectively blocks the Kyn pathway of Trp catabolism in patients with advanced EOC, and Kyn and changes in downstream metabolites were accompanied by an overall increase in net enrichment in IFN and MHC class I antigen processing and gene presentation pathways, which positively correlated with the proportion of activated CD8 T-cell in the TME ([Munn et al., 2016](#page-21-37); [Odunsi et al., 2022](#page-21-38)). However, IDO1 blockade leads to metabolic adaptation of the ovarian TME and an increase in NAD⁺, which in turn inhibits T-cell function via A2a and A2b purinergic receptors, decreasing T-cell proliferation and function and thereby suppressing antitumor responses; therefore, A2a/A2b purinergic receptor blockade in combination with the IDO1 inhibitor EPA helps to improve antitumor immunity in OC patients ([Odunsi et al.,](#page-21-38) [2022\)](#page-21-38). In addition, immunotherapy with intraperitoneal injection of autologous IFN-α, IFN-γ and monocytes was mainly used in OC, and the efficacy was enhanced by the synergistic killing of tumor cells by promoting the development of monocytes toward inflammatory-responsive M1-type macrophages, combined with standard chemotherapy (carboplatin and paclitaxel) ([Green et al.,](#page-20-40) [2016\)](#page-20-40). We believe that focusing on the different pathways associated with OC and finding appropriate synergistic strategies are the focuses of future individualized OC treatment.

Targeted therapy and immunotherapy are revolution in ovarian cancer management. Despite the promising treatments that have been developed for cancer immunotherapy, such as immune checkpoint inhibitors, there is still a need to overcome the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in order to improve the efficacy of cancer immunotherapy. In the near future, we should be able to dynamically assess tumor evolution and detect reliable biomarkers to identify immunotherapy effect of ovarian cancer. In addition, the appropriate dosing and scheduling of each agent should be determined in order to minimize adverse events while maximizing benefit and outcomes.

References

Abiko, K., Mandai, M., Hamanishi, J., Yoshioka, Y., Matsumura, N., Baba, T., et al. (2013). PD-L1 on tumor cells is induced in ascites and promotes peritoneal dissemination of ovarian cancer through CTL dysfunction. Clin. Cancer Res. 19 (6), 1363–1374. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2199](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2199)

Aghajanian, C., Blank, S. V., Goff, B. A., Judson, P. L., Teneriello, M. G., Husain, A., et al. (2012). Oceans: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 30 (17), 2039–2045. doi:[10.1200/JCO.2012.42.0505](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.42.0505)

Aghajanian, C., Goff, B., Nycum, L. R., Wang, Y. V., Husain, A., and Blank, S. V. (2015). Final overall survival and safety analysis of OCEANS, a phase 3 trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 139 (1), 10–16. doi[:10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.08.004)

An, D., Banerjee, S., and Lee, J. M. (2021). Recent advancements of antiangiogenic combination therapies in ovarian cancer. Cancer Treat. Rev. 98, 102224. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2021.102224) [ctrv.2021.102224](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2021.102224)

Author contributions

XH and X-YL wrote the manuscript and made figures and tables. W-LS, YC, and QZ revised the article. B-RX initiated the study and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81872430); Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (Nos. 2019T120281, 2019M661304); Postdoctoral Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (No. LBH-Z18109); Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province (No. H2017049); Beijing Kanghua Foundation for the Development of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Project No. KH-2021-LQJJ-008) to B-RX.

Acknowledgments

I would like to than B-RX (The First Affiliated Hospital of USTC, Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui Provincial Cancer Hospital) for advice and useful comments that helped finalize this review article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

An, Y., and Yang, Q. (2020). MiR-21 modulates the polarization of macrophages and increases the effects of M2 macrophages on promoting the chemoresistance of ovarian cancer. Life Sci. 242, 117162. doi[:10.1016/j.lfs.2019.117162](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2019.117162)

Appleton, K. M., Elrod, A. K., Lassahn, K. A., Shuford, S., Holmes, L. M., and DesRochers, T. M. (2021). PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitors in combination with olaparib display antitumor activity in ovarian cancer patient-derived three-dimensional spheroid cultures. Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 70 (3), 843–856. doi[:10.1007/s00262-021-02849-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-021-02849-z)

Armstrong, D. K., Alvarez, R. D., Backes, F. J., Bakkum-Gamez, J. N., Barroilhet, L., Behbakht, K., et al. (2022). NCCN Guidelines®insights: Ovarian cancer, version 3.2022. J. Natl. Compr. Canc Netw. 20 (9), 972–980. doi:[10.6004/jnccn.2022.0047](https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2022.0047)

Ashton, J., and Bristow, R. (2020). Bad neighbours: Hypoxia and genomic instability in prostate cancer. Br. J. Radiol. 93 (1115), 20200087. doi[:10.1259/](https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20200087) [bjr.20200087](https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20200087)

Aziz, A. U. R., Farid, S., Qin, K., Wang, H., and Liu, B. (2018). PIM kinases and their relevance to the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in the regulation of ovarian cancer. Biomolecules 8 (1). doi:[10.3390/biom8010007](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom8010007)

Bais, C., Mueller, B., Brady, M. F., Mannel, R. S., Burger, R. A., Wei, W., et al. (2017). Tumor microvessel density as a potential predictive marker for bevacizumab benefit: GOG-0218 biomarker analyses. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 109 (11), djx066. doi[:10.1093/jnci/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djx066) dix066

Banerjee, S., Moore, K. N., Colombo, N., Scambia, G., Kim, B. G., Oaknin, A., et al. (2021). Maintenance olaparib for patients with newly diagnosed advanced ovarian cancer and a BRCA mutation (SOLO1/GOG 3004): 5-year follow-up of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 22 (12), 1721–1731. doi[:10.1016/S1470-2045\(21\)00531-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00531-3)

Basaran, D., Boerner, T., Suhner, J., Sassine, D., Liu, Y., Grisham, R. N., et al. (2021). Risk of venous thromboembolism in ovarian cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Gynecol. Oncol. 163 (1), 36–40. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.07.030) [2021.07.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.07.030)

Berek, J. S., Matulonis, U. A., Peen, U., Mahner, S., Redondo, A., Lesoin, A., et al. (2018). Safety and dose modification for patients receiving niraparib [published correction appears in Ann Oncol. 2019 May 1;30(5):859]. Ann. Oncol. 29 (8), 1784–1792. doi[:10.1093/annonc/mdy181](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy181)

Bergamini, A., Ferrero, S., Leone Roberti Maggiore, U., Scala, C., Pella, F., Vellone, V. G., et al. (2016). Folate receptor alpha antagonists in preclinical and early stage clinical development for the treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 25 (12), 1405–1412. doi:[10.1080/13543784.2016.1254616](https://doi.org/10.1080/13543784.2016.1254616)

Bizzaro, F., Fuso Nerini, I., Taylor, M. A., Anastasia, A., Russo, M., Damia, G., et al. (2021). VEGF pathway inhibition potentiates PARP inhibitor efficacy in ovarian cancer independent of BRCA status. J. Hematol. Oncol. 14 (1), 186. doi[:10.1186/s13045-021-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01196-x) [01196-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-021-01196-x)

Boussios, S., Moschetta, M., Karihtala, P., Samartzis, E. P., Sheriff, M., Pappas-Gogos, G., et al. (2020). Development of new poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors in ovarian cancer: Quo Vadis? Ann. Transl. Med. 8 (24), 1706. doi[:10.21037/atm.2020.03.156](https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2020.03.156)

Buechel, M., Herzog, T. J., Westin, S. N., Coleman, R. L., Monk, B. J., and Moore, K. N. (2019). Treatment of patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer for whom platinum is still an option. Ann. Oncol. 30 (5), 721–732. doi[:10.1093/annonc/mdz104](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz104)

Burger, R. A., Brady, M. F., Bookman, M. A., Fleming, G. F., Monk, B. J., Huang, H., et al. (2011). Incorporation of bevacizumab in the primary treatment of ovarian cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 365 (26), 2473–2483. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa1104390](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1104390)

Burger, R. A., Sill, M. W., Monk, B. J., Greer, B. E., and Sorosky, J. I. (2007). Phase II trial of bevacizumab in persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer: A gynecologic oncology group study. J. Clin. Oncol. 25 (33), 5165–5171. doi[:10.1200/JCO.2007.11.5345](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.11.5345)

Callens, C., Vaur, D., Soubeyran, I., Rouleau, E., Just, P. A., Guillerm, E., et al. (2021). Concordance between tumor and germline BRCA status in high-grade ovarian carcinoma patients in the phase III PAOLA-1/ENGOT-ov25 trial. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 113 (7), 917–923. doi[:10.1093/jnci/djaa193](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djaa193)

Cannistra, S. A., Matulonis, U. A., Penson, R. T., Hambleton, J., Dupont, J., Mackey, H., et al. (2007). Phase II study of bevacizumab in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer or peritoneal serous cancer [published correction appears in J Clin Oncol. 2008 Apr 1;26(10):1773]. J. Clin. Oncol. 25 (33), 5180–5186. doi[:10.1200/JCO.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.12.0782) [2007.12.0782](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2007.12.0782)

Cecil, D. L., Liao, J. B., Dang, Y., Coveler, A. L., Kask, A., Yang, Y., et al. (2021). Immunization with a plasmid DNA vaccine encoding the N-terminus of insulin-like growth factor binding protein-2 in advanced ovarian cancer leads to high-level type I immune responses. Clin. Cancer Res. 27 (23), 6405–6412. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1579)[21-1579](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1579)

Chambers, L. M., Michener, C. M., Rose, P. G., Reizes, O., Yao, M., and Vargas, R. (2021). Impact of antibiotic treatment on immunotherapy response in women with recurrent gynecologic cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 161 (1), 211–220. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.01.015) [2021.01.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.01.015)

Chen, L., Cheng, X., Tu, W., Qi, Z., Li, H., Liu, F., et al. (2019). Apatinib inhibits glycolysis by suppressing the VEGFR2/AKT1/SOX5/GLUT4 signaling pathway in ovarian cancer cells. Cell. Oncol. (Dordr) 42 (5), 679–690. doi[:10.1007/s13402-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13402-019-00455-x) [00455-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13402-019-00455-x)

Cheng, S., Xu, C., Jin, Y., Li, Y., Zhong, C., Ma, J., et al. (2020). Artificial mini dendritic cells boost T cell-based immunotherapy for ovarian cancer. Adv. Sci. (Weinh) 7 (7), 1903301. doi[:10.1002/advs.201903301](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201903301)

Chiappinelli, K. B., and Baylin, S. B. (2022). Inhibiting DNA methylation improves antitumor immunity in ovarian cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 132 (14), e160186. doi:[10.1172/](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI160186) [JCI160186](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI160186)

Chiappinelli, K. B., Strissel, P. L., Desrichard, A., Li, H., Henke, C., Akman, B., et al. (2015). Inhibiting DNA methylation causes an interferon response in cancer via dsRNA including endogenous retroviruses [published correction appears in cell. 2016 feb 25; 164(5):1073. Buhu, sadna [corrected to budhu, sadna]; mergoub, taha [corrected to merghoub, taha]] [published correction appears in cell. 2017 apr 6;169(2):361]. Cell. 162 (5), 974–986. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.011)

Clamp, A. R., Lorusso, D., Oza, A. M., Aghajanian, C., Oaknin, A., Dean, A., et al. (2021). Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma: The effects of progression-free interval and prior therapies on efficacy and safety in the randomized phase III trial ARIEL3. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 31 (7), 949–958. doi:[10.1136/ijgc-2020-](https://doi.org/10.1136/ijgc-2020-002240) 002240

Coleman, R. L., Brady, M. F., Herzog, T. J., Sabbatini, P., Armstrong, D. K., Walker, J. L., et al. (2017). Bevacizumab and paclitaxel-carboplatin chemotherapy and secondary cytoreduction in recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer (NRG oncology/ gynecologic oncology group study GOG-0213): A multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 18 (6), 779–791. doi:[10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30279-6) [2045\(17\)30279-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30279-6)

Cook, M., and Chauhan, A. (2020). Clinical application of oncolytic viruses: A systematic review. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (20), 7505. doi:[10.3390/ijms21207505](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21207505)

Del Campo, J. M., Matulonis, U. A., Malander, S., Provencher, D., Mahner, S., Follana, P., et al. (2019). Niraparib maintenance therapy in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer after a partial response to the last platinum-based chemotherapy in the ENGOT-OV16/NOVA trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 37 (32), 2968–2973. doi[:10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.02238) [JCO.18.02238](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.02238)

DiSilvestro, P., and Alvarez Secord, A. (2018). Maintenance treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer: Is it ready for prime time? Cancer Treat. Rev. 69, 53–65. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.06.001) [ctrv.2018.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.06.001)

DiSilvestro, P., Banerjee, S., Colombo, N., Scambia, G., Kim, B. G., Oaknin, A., et al. (2023). Overall survival with maintenance olaparib at a 7-year follow-up in patients with newly diagnosed advanced ovarian cancer and a BRCA mutation: The SOLO1/GOG 3004 trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 41 (3), 609–617. doi[:10.1200/JCO.22.01549](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.22.01549)

Domchek, S. M., Aghajanian, C., Shapira-Frommer, R., Schmutzler, R. K., Audeh, M. W., Friedlander, M., et al. (2016). Efficacy and safety of olaparib monotherapy in germline BRCA1/2 mutation carriers with advanced ovarian cancer and three or more lines of prior therapy. Gynecol. Oncol. 140 (2), 199–203. doi[:10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.12.020) [12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.12.020)

Duraiswamy, J., Turrini, R., Minasyan, A., Barras, D., Crespo, I., Grimm, A. J., et al. (2021). Myeloid antigen-presenting cell niches sustain antitumor T cells and license PD-1 blockade via CD28 costimulation. Cancer Cell. 39 (12), 1623–1642.e20. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.10.008) [ccell.2021.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.10.008)

Durmus, S., Sparidans, R. W., van Esch, A., Wagenaar, E., Beijnen, J. H., and Schinkel, A. H. (2015). Breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) and P-glycoprotein (P-GP/ABCB1) restrict oral availability and brain accumulation of the PARP inhibitor rucaparib (AG-014699). Pharm. Res. 32 (1), 37–46. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-014-1442-z) [1007/s11095-014-1442-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-014-1442-z)

Dziadkowiec, K. N., Gąsiorowska, E., Nowak-Markwitz, E., and Jankowska, A. (2016). PARP inhibitors: Review of mechanisms of action and BRCA1/2 mutation targeting. Prz. Menopauzalny 15 (4), 215–219. doi[:10.5114/pm.2016.65667](https://doi.org/10.5114/pm.2016.65667)

Elyashiv, O., Ledermann, J., Parmar, G., Farrelly, L., Counsell, N., Feeney, A., et al. (2021). ICON 9-an international phase III randomized study to evaluate the efficacy of maintenance therapy with olaparib and cediranib or olaparib alone in patients with relapsed platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer following a response to platinum-based chemotherapy. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 31 (1), 134–138. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/ijgc-2020-002073) [1136/ijgc-2020-002073](https://doi.org/10.1136/ijgc-2020-002073)

Ferrara, N., Hillan, K. J., Gerber, H. P., and Novotny, W. (2004). Discovery and development of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF antibody for treating cancer. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 3 (5), 391–400. doi:[10.1038/nrd1381](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1381)

Ferriss, J. S., Java, J. J., Bookman, M. A., Fleming, G. F., Monk, B. J., Walker, J. L., et al. (2015). Ascites predicts treatment benefit of bevacizumab in front-line therapy of advanced epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancers: An NRG oncology/ GOG study. Gynecol. Oncol. 139 (1), 17–22. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.07.103](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2015.07.103)

Francis, K. E., Kim, S. I., Friedlander, M., Gebski, V., Ray-Coquard, I., Clamp, A., et al. (2022). The impact of olaparib dose reduction and treatment interruption on treatment outcome in the SOLO2/ENGOT-ov21 platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer. Ann. Oncol. 33 (6), 593–601. doi:[10.1016/j.annonc.2022.02.222](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.02.222)

Frenel, J. S., Kim, J. W., Aryal, N., Asher, R., Berton, D., Vidal, L., et al. (2022). Efficacy of subsequent chemotherapy for patients with BRCA1/2-mutated recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer progressing on olaparib versus placebo maintenance: Post-hoc analyses of the SOLO2/ENGOT ov-21 trial. Ann. Oncol. 33 (10), 1021–1028. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.06.011) [annonc.2022.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.06.011)

Friedlander, M., Gebski, V., Gibbs, E., Davies, L., Bloomfield, R., Hilpert, F., et al. (2018). Health-related quality of life and patient-centred outcomes with olaparib maintenance after chemotherapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT ov-21): A placebocontrolled, phase 3 randomised trial. Lancet Oncol. 19 (8), 1126–1134. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30343-7) [S1470-2045\(18\)30343-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30343-7)

Fucikova, J., Palova-Jelinkova, L., Klapp, V., Holicek, P., Lanickova, T., Kasikova, L., et al. (2022). Immunological control of ovarian carcinoma by chemotherapy and targeted anticancer agents. Trends Cancer 8 (5), 426–444. doi:[10.1016/j.trecan.2022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2022.01.010) [01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2022.01.010)

Fujiwara, K., Nagao, S., Yamamoto, K., Tanabe, H., Okamoto, A., Takehara, K., et al. (2022). A randomized phase 3 trial of intraperitoneal versus intravenous carboplatin with dose-dense weekly paclitaxel in patients with ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal carcinoma (a GOTIC-001/JGOG-3019/GCIG, iPocc Trial) (LBA 3). Gynecol. Oncol. 166, S49–S50. doi[:10.1016/s0090-8258\(22\)01296-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(22)01296-3)

Fukumura, D., Kloepper, J., Amoozgar, Z., Duda, D. G., and Jain, R. K. (2018). Enhancing cancer immunotherapy using antiangiogenics: Opportunities and challenges. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 15 (5), 325–340. doi:[10.1038/nrclinonc.2018.29](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2018.29)

Gajewski, T. F., Schreiber, H., and Fu, Y. X. (2013). Innate and adaptive immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. Nat. Immunol. 14 (10), 1014–1022. doi:[10.1038/ni.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2703) [2703](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2703)

Gao, Q., Zhu, J., Zhao, W., Huang, Y., An, R., Zheng, H., et al. (2022). Olaparib maintenance monotherapy in asian patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer: Phase III trial (L-MOCA). Clin. Cancer Res. 28 (11), 2278–2285. doi:[10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-3023) [1078-0432.CCR-21-3023](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-3023)

Göbel, A., Zinna, V. M., Dell'Endice, S., Jaschke, N., Kuhlmann, J. D., Wimberger, P., et al. (2020). Anti-tumor effects of mevalonate pathway inhibition in ovarian cancer. BMC Cancer 20 (1), 703. doi:[10.1186/s12885-020-07164-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-07164-x)

González-Martín, A., Desauw, C., Heitz, F., Cropet, C., Gargiulo, P., Berger, R., et al. (2022). Maintenance olaparib plus bevacizumab in patients with newly diagnosed advanced high-grade ovarian cancer: Main analysis of second progression-free survival
in the phase III PAOLA-1/ENGOT-ov25 trial. *Eur. J. Cancer* 174, 221–231. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2022.07.022) [j.ejca.2022.07.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2022.07.022)

González-Martín, A., Pothuri, B., Vergote, I., DePont Christensen, R., Graybill, W., Mirza, M. R., et al. (2019). Niraparib in patients with newly diagnosed advanced ovarian cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 381 (25), 2391–2402. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa1910962](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1910962)

Gourley, C., Balmaña, J., Ledermann, J. A., Serra, V., Dent, R., Loibl, S., et al. (2019). Moving from poly (ADP-Ribose) polymerase inhibition to targeting DNA repair and DNA damage response in cancer therapy. J. Clin. Oncol. 37 (25), 2257–2269. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.02050) [1200/JCO.18.02050](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.02050)

Green, D. S., Nunes, A. T., Annunziata, C. M., and Zoon, K. C. (2016). Monocyte and interferon based therapy for the treatment of ovarian cancer. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 29, 109–115. doi:[10.1016/j.cytogfr.2016.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2016.02.006)

Ha, J. H., Radhakrishnan, R., Jayaraman, M., Yan, M., Ward, J. D., Fung, K. M., et al. (2018). LPA induces metabolic reprogramming in ovarian cancer via a pseudohypoxic response. Cancer Res. 78 (8), 1923–1934. doi[:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1624](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1624)

Hamanishi, J., Mandai, M., Ikeda, T., Minami, M., Kawaguchi, A., Murayama, T., et al. (2015). Safety and antitumor activity of anti-PD-1 antibody, nivolumab, in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 33 (34), 4015–4022. doi[:10.1200/JCO.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2015.62.3397)
[2015.62.3397](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2015.62.3397)

Hao, D., Liu, J., Chen, M., Li, J., Wang, L., Li, X., et al. (2018). Immunogenomic analyses of advanced serous ovarian cancer reveal immune score is a strong prognostic factor and an indicator of chemosensitivity. Clin. Cancer Res. 24 (15), 3560–3571. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3862](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-3862)

Hardesty, M. M., Krivak, T. C., Wright, G. S., Hamilton, E., Fleming, E. L., Belotte, J., et al. (2022). OVARIO phase II trial of combination niraparib plus bevacizumab maintenance therapy in advanced ovarian cancer following first-line platinum-based chemotherapy with bevacizumab. Gynecol. Oncol. 166 (2), 219–229. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2022.05.020) [ygyno.2022.05.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2022.05.020)

Harter, P., Mouret-Reynier, M. A., Pignata, S., Cropet, C., Gonzalez-Martin, A., Bogner, G., et al. (2022). Efficacy of maintenance olaparib plus bevacizumab according clinical risk in patients with newly diagnosed, advanced ovarian cancer in the phase III PAOLA-1/ENGOT-ov25 trial. Gynecol. Oncol. 164 (2), 254–264. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.12.016) [ygyno.2021.12.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.12.016)

Hegde, P. S., and Chen, D. S. (2020). Top 10 challenges in cancer immunotherapy. Immunity 52 (1), 17–35. doi:[10.1016/j.immuni.2019.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.12.011)

Hensler, M., Rakova, J., Kasikova, L., Lanickova, T., Pasulka, J., Holicek, P., et al. (2022). Peripheral gene signatures reveal distinct cancer patient immunotypes with therapeutic implications for autologous DC-based vaccines. Oncoimmunology 11 (1), 2101596. doi[:10.1080/2162402X.2022.2101596](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2022.2101596)

Hiramatsu, K., Serada, S., Enomoto, T., Takahashi, Y., Nakagawa, S., Nojima, S., et al. (2018). LSR antibody therapy inhibits ovarian epithelial tumor growth by inhibiting lipid uptake. Cancer Res. 78 (2), 516–527. doi[:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0910](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0910)

Hong, B., Chapa, V., Saini, U., Modgil, P., Cohn, D. E., He, G., et al. (2021). Oncolytic HSV therapy modulates vesicular trafficking inducing cisplatin sensitivity and antitumor immunity. Clin. Cancer Res. 27 (2), 542–553. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-2210) [CCR-20-2210](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-2210)

Hutchinson, L. (2017). Targeted therapies: SOLO2 confirms olaparib maintenance in ovarian cancer. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 14 (10), 586–587. doi[:10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.130](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.130)

Izar, B., Tirosh, I., Stover, E. H., Wakiro, I., Cuoco, M. S., Alter, I., et al. (2020). A single-cell landscape of high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Nat. Med. 26 (8), 1271–1279. doi[:10.1038/s41591-020-0926-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0926-0)

Javellana, M., Eckert, M. A., Heide, J., Zawieracz, K., Weigert, M., Ashley, S., et al. (2022). Neoadjuvant chemotherapy induces genomic and transcriptomic changes in ovarian cancer. Cancer Res. 82 (1), 169–176. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-21-1467](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-21-1467)

Jiang, M., Jia, K., Wang, L., Li, W., Chen, B., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Alterations of DNA damage response pathway: Biomarker and therapeutic strategy for cancer immunotherapy. Acta Pharm. Sin. B 11 (10), 2983–2994. doi[:10.1016/j.apsb.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2021.01.003) [01.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2021.01.003)

Jones, P., Wilcoxen, K., Rowley, M., and Toniatti, C. (2015). Niraparib: A poly(ADPribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor for the treatment of tumors with defective homologous recombination. J. Med. Chem. 58 (8), 3302–3314. doi:[10.1021/jm5018237](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm5018237)

Kandalaft, L. E., Odunsi, K., and Coukos, G. (2019). Immunotherapy in ovarian cancer: Are We there yet? J. Clin. Oncol. 37 (27), 2460-2471. doi[:10.1200/JCO.19.00508](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.00508)

Kaplan, A. R., Gueble, S. E., Liu, Y., Oeck, S., Kim, H., Yun, Z., et al. (2019). Cediranib suppresses homology-directed DNA repair through down-regulation of BRCA1/2 and RAD51. Sci. Transl. Med. 11 (492), eaav4508. doi[:10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aav4508) [scitranslmed.aav4508](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aav4508)

Köbel, M., Madore, J., Ramus, S. J., Clarke, B. A., Pharoah, P. D. P., Deen, S., et al. (2014). Evidence for a time-dependent association between FOLR1 expression and survival from ovarian carcinoma: Implications for clinical testing. An ovarian tumour tissue analysis consortium study. Br. J. Cancer 111 (12), 2297–2307. doi[:10.1038/bjc.](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.567) [2014.567](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.567)

Konstantinopoulos, P. A., Waggoner, S., Vidal, G. A., Mita, M., Moroney, J. W., Holloway, R., et al. (2019). Single-arm phases 1 and 2 trial of niraparib in combination with pembrolizumab in patients with recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian carcinoma. JAMA Oncol. 5 (8), 1141–1149. doi:[10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.1048](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.1048)

Kristeleit, R., Lisyanskaya, A., Fedenko, A., Dvorkin, M., de Melo, A. C., Shparyk, Y., et al. (2022). Rucaparib versus standard-of-care chemotherapy in patients with relapsed ovarian cancer and a deleterious BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation (ARIEL4): An international, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 23 (4), 465–478. doi[:10.1016/S1470-2045\(22\)00122-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00122-X)

Ladanyi, A., Mukherjee, A., Kenny, H. A., Johnson, A., Mitra, A. K., Sundaresan, S., et al. (2018). Adipocyte-induced CD36 expression drives ovarian cancer progression and metastasis. Oncogene 37 (17), 2285–2301. doi:[10.1038/s41388-017-0093-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-017-0093-z)

Lan, C. Y., Wang, Y., Xiong, Y., Li, J. D., Shen, J. X., Li, Y. F., et al. (2018). Apatinib combined with oral etoposide in patients with platinum-resistant or platinum-refractory ovarian cancer (AEROC): A phase 2, single-arm, prospective study. Lancet Oncol. 19 (9), 1239–1246. doi[:10.1016/S1470-2045\(18\)30349-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30349-8)

Lan, C. Y., Zhao, J., Yang, F., Xiong, Y., Li, R., Huang, Y., et al. (2022). Anlotinib combined with TQB2450 in patients with platinum-resistant or -refractory ovarian cancer: A multi-center, single-arm, phase 1b trial. Cell. Rep. Med. 3 (7), 100689. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100689) [1016/j.xcrm.2022.100689](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100689)

Ledermann, J. A., Embleton-Thirsk, A. C., Perren, T. J., Jayson, G. C., Rustin, G. J. S., Kaye, S. B., et al. (2021). Cediranib in addition to chemotherapy for women with relapsed platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer (ICON6): Overall survival results of a phase III randomised trial. ESMO Open 6 (2), 100043. doi:[10.1016/j.esmoop.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2020.100043) [2020.100043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2020.100043)

Ledermann, J. A., Oza, A. M., Lorusso, D., Aghajanian, C., Oaknin, A., Dean, A., et al. (2020). Rucaparib for patients with platinum-sensitive, recurrent ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL3): Post-progression outcomes and updated safety results from a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 21 (5), 710–722. doi:[10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30061-9) [2045\(20\)30061-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30061-9)

Lee, E. K., Xiong, N., Cheng, S. C., Barry, W. T., Penson, R. T., Konstantinopoulos, P. A., et al. (2020). Combined pembrolizumab and pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in platinum resistant ovarian cancer: A phase 2 clinical trial. Gynecol. Oncol. 159 (1), 72–78. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.07.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.07.028)

Lheureux, S., Braunstein, M., and Oza, A. M. (2019a). Epithelial ovarian cancer: Evolution of management in the era of precision medicine. CA Cancer J. Clin. 69 (4), 280–304. doi:[10.3322/caac.21559](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21559)

Lheureux, S., Gourley, C., Vergote, I., and Oza, A. M. (2019b). Epithelial ovarian cancer. Lancet 393 (10177), 1240–1253. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32552-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32552-2)

Lheureux, S., Oaknin, A., Garg, S., Bruce, J. P., Madariaga, A., Dhani, N. C., et al. (2020). Evolve: A multicenter open-label single-arm clinical and translational phase II trial of cediranib plus olaparib for ovarian cancer after PARP inhibition progression. Clin. Cancer Res. 26 (16), 4206–4215. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-4121)[19-4121](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-4121)

Li, H., Liu, Z. Y., Wu, N., Chen, Y. C., Cheng, Q., and Wang, J. (2020). PARP inhibitor resistance: The underlying mechanisms and clinical implications. Mol. Cancer 19 (1), 107. doi[:10.1186/s12943-020-01227-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-020-01227-0)

Li, N., Bu, H., Liu, J., Zhu, J., Zhou, Q., Wang, L., et al. (2021). An open-label, multicenter, single-arm, phase II study of fluzoparib in patients with germline BRCA1/ 2 mutation and platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 27 (9), 2452–2458. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-3546](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-3546)

Li, N., Zhu, J., Yin, R., Wang, J., Pan, L., Kong, B., et al. (2022a). Efficacy and safety of niraparib as maintenance treatment in patients with newly diagnosed advanced ovarian cancer using an individualized starting dose (PRIME study): A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial (LBA 5). Gynecol. Oncol. 166, S50–S51. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(22)01298-7) [1016/s0090-8258\(22\)01298-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(22)01298-7)

Li, N., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Zhu, J., Wang, L., Wu, X., et al. (2022b). Fuzuloparib maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, recurrent ovarian carcinoma (FZOCUS-2): A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase III trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 40 (22), 2436–2446. doi[:10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(22)01298-7) [s0090-8258\(22\)01298-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(22)01298-7)

Li, X. Y., Rao, Y., Sun, B., and Mao, X. M. (2022c). Efficacy and safety of anlotinib combined with PD-1 blockades for patients with previously treated epithelial ovarian cancer: A retrospective study. Int. J. Gen. Med. 15, 3977-3989. doi:[10.2147/IJGM.](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S352536) [S352536](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S352536)

Liao, J. B., Gwin, W. R., Urban, R. R., Hitchcock-Bernhardt, K. M., Coveler, A. L., Higgins, D. M., et al. (2021). Pembrolizumab with low-dose carboplatin for recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal cancer: Survival and immune correlates. J. Immunother. Cancer 9 (9), e003122. doi:[10.1136/jitc-2021-003122](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2021-003122)

Lickliter, J. D., Voskoboynik, M., Mileshkin, L., Gan, H. K., Kichenadasse, G., Zhang, K., et al. (2022). Phase 1A/1B dose-escalation and -expansion study to evaluate the safety, pharmacokinetics, food effects and antitumor activity of pamiparib in advanced solid tumours [published correction appears in Br J Cancer. 2021 Dec 20;:]. Br. J. Cancer 126 (4), 576–585. doi:[10.1038/s41416-021-01632-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-021-01632-2)

Lim, J. J., Yang, K., Taylor-Harding, B., Wiedemeyer, W. R., and Buckanovich, R. J. (2014). VEGFR3 inhibition chemosensitizes ovarian cancer stemlike cells through down-regulation of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Neoplasia 16 (4), 343–353.e532. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2014.04.003) [1016/j.neo.2014.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2014.04.003)

Lin, F., Li, X., Wang, X., Sun, H., Wang, Z., and Wang, X. (2022). Stanniocalcin 1 promotes metastasis, lipid metabolism and cisplatin chemoresistance via the FOXC2/ ITGB6 signaling axis in ovarian cancer. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 41 (1), 129. doi:[10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-022-02315-3) [s13046-022-02315-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-022-02315-3)

Liu, J., Jiao, X., and Gao, Q. (2020). Neoadjuvant chemotherapy-related platinum resistance in ovarian cancer. Drug Discov. Today 25 (7), 1232–1238. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2020.04.015) [drudis.2020.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2020.04.015)

Lorusso, D., Ceni, V., Daniele, G., Salutari, V., Pietragalla, A., Muratore, M., et al. (2020). Newly diagnosed ovarian cancer: Which first-line treatment? Cancer Treat. Rev. 91, 102111. doi:[10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102111](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102111)

Lu, Y., Chu, A., Turker, M. S., and Glazer, P. M. (2011). Hypoxia-induced epigenetic regulation and silencing of the BRCA1 promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol. 31 (16), 3339–3350. doi[:10.1128/MCB.01121-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01121-10)

Mariya, T., Hirohashi, Y., Torigoe, T., Asano, T., Kuroda, T., Yasuda, K., et al. (2014). Prognostic impact of human leukocyte antigen class I expression and association of platinum resistance with immunologic profiles in epithelial ovarian cancer. Cancer Immunol. Res. 2 (12), 1220–1229. doi[:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0101) [0101](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0101)

Martí, J. M., Garcia-Diaz, A., Delgado-Bellido, D., O'Valle, F., Gonzalez-Flores, A., Carlevaris, O., et al. (2021). Selective modulation by PARP-1 of HIF-1α-recruitment to chromatin during hypoxia is required for tumor adaptation to hypoxic conditions. Redox Biol. 41, 101885. doi:[10.1016/j.redox.2021.101885](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2021.101885)

Matulonis, U., Herrstedt, J., Oza, A., Mahner, S., Redondo, A., Berton, D., et al. (2021). Long-term safety and secondary efficacy endpoints in the ENGOT-OV16/NOVA phase III trial of niraparib in recurrent ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 162, S24–S25. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(21)00693-4) [1016/s0090-8258\(21\)00693-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0090-8258(21)00693-4)

Matulonis, U. A., Berlin, S., Ivy, P., Tyburski, K., Krasner, C., Zarwan, C., et al. (2009). Cediranib, an oral inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor kinases, is an active drug in recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, and peritoneal cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 27 (33), 5601–5606. doi[:10.1200/JCO.2009.23.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.23.2777) [2777](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.23.2777)

Miao, M., Deng, G., Luo, S., Zhou, J., Chen, L., Yang, J., et al. (2018). A phase II study of apatinib in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 148 (2), 286–290. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.12.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.12.013)

Miller, R. E., Leary, A., Scott, C. L., Lord, C. J., Bowtell, D., Chang, D. K., et al. (2020). ESMO recommendations on predictive biomarker testing for homologous recombination deficiency and PARP inhibitor benefit in ovarian cancer. Ann. Oncol. 31 (12), 1606–1622. doi:[10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.2102](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.2102)

Mirza, M. R., Benigno, B., Dørum, A., Dorum, A., Bessette, P., Barcelo, I. B., et al. (2020). Long-term safety in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer treated with niraparib versus placebo: Results from the phase III ENGOT-OV16/ NOVA trial. Gynecol. Oncol. 159 (2), 442–448. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.09.006)

Mirza, M. R., Monk, B. J., Herrstedt, J., Oza, A. M., Mahner, S., Redondo, A., et al. (2016). Niraparib maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive, recurrent ovarian cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 375 (22), 2154–2164. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa1611310](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1611310)

Mirza, M. R., Pignata, S., and Ledermann, J. A. (2018). Latest clinical evidence and further development of PARP inhibitors in ovarian cancer. Ann. Oncol. 29 (6), 1366–1376. doi[:10.1093/annonc/mdy174](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy174)

Mittica, G., Ghisoni, E., Giannone, G., Genta, S., Aglietta, M., Sapino, A., et al. (2018). PARP inhibitors in ovarian cancer. Recent Pat. Anticancer Drug Discov. 13 (4), 392–410. doi[:10.2174/1574892813666180305165256](https://doi.org/10.2174/1574892813666180305165256)

Moore, K. N., Oza, A. M., Colombo, N., Oaknin, A., Scambia, G., Lorusso, D., et al. (2021). Phase III, randomized trial of mirvetuximab soravtansine versus chemotherapy in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer: Primary analysis of FORWARD I. Ann. Oncol. 32 (6), 757–765. doi:[10.1016/j.annonc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.02.017) [2021.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.02.017)

Moore, K. N., Secord, A. A., Geller, M. A., Miller, D. S., Cloven, N., Fleming, G. F., et al. (2019). Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): A multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 20 (5), 636–648. doi:[10.1016/S1470-2045\(19\)30029-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30029-4)

Morand, S., Devanaboyina, M., Staats, H., Stanbery, L., and Nemunaitis, J. (2021). Ovarian cancer immunotherapy and personalized medicine. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22 (12), 6532. doi[:10.3390/ijms22126532](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22126532)

Motohara, T., Masuda, K., Morotti, M., Zheng, Y., El-Sahhar, S., Chong, K. Y., et al. (2019). An evolving story of the metastatic voyage of ovarian cancer cells: Cellular and molecular orchestration of the adipose-rich metastatic microenvironment. Oncogene 38 (16), 2885–2898. doi:[10.1038/s41388-018-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0637-x) [0637-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0637-x)

Mukherjee, A., Chiang, C. Y., Daifotis, H. A., Nieman, K. M., Fahrmann, J. F., Lastra, R. R., et al. (2020). Adipocyte-induced FABP4 expression in ovarian cancer cells promotes metastasis and mediates carboplatin resistance. Cancer Res. 80 (8), 1748–1761. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1999](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1999)

Munn, D. H., and Mellor, A. L. (2016). Ido in the tumor microenvironment: Inflammation, counter-regulation, and tolerance. Trends Immunol. 37 (3), 193–207. doi[:10.1016/j.it.2016.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2016.01.002)

Murai, J., Huang, S. Y., Das, B. B., Renaud, A., Zhang, Y., Doroshow, J. H., et al. (2012). Trapping of PARP1 and PARP2 by clinical PARP inhibitors. Cancer Res. 72 (21), 5588–5599. doi[:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-2753](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-2753)

Musella, A., Bardhi, E., Marchetti, C., Vertechy, L., Santangelo, G., Sassu, C., et al. (2018). Rucaparib: An emerging parp inhibitor for treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer. Cancer Treat. Rev. 66, 7–14. doi:[10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.03.004)

Muz, B., de la Puente, P., Azab, F., and Azab, A. K. (2015). The role of hypoxia in cancer progression, angiogenesis, metastasis, and resistance to therapy. Hypoxia (Auckl) 3, 83–92. doi[:10.2147/HP.S93413](https://doi.org/10.2147/HP.S93413)

Ni, J., Cheng, X., Chen, J., Guo, W., and Dai, Z. (2020). Anlotinib as exploratory therapy for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer: A retrospective study on efficacy and safety. Onco Targets Ther. 13, 9857–9863. doi:[10.2147/OTT.S268613](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S268613)

Nieman, K. M., Kenny, H. A., Penicka, C. V., Ladanyi, A., Buell-Gutbrod, R., Zillhardt, M. R., et al. (2011). Adipocytes promote ovarian cancer metastasis and provide energy for rapid tumor growth. Nat. Med. 17 (11), 1498–1503. doi[:10.1038/nm.2492](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2492)

O'Cearbhaill, R. E., Pérez-Fidalgo, J. A., Monk, B. J., Tusquets, I., McCormick, C., Fuentes, J., et al. (2022). Efficacy of niraparib by time of surgery and postoperative residual disease status: A post hoc analysis of patients in the PRIMA/ENGOT-OV26/ GOG-3012 study. Gynecol. Oncol. 166 (1), 36–43. doi[:10.1016/j.ygyno.2022.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2022.04.012)

O'Donnell, D. M. (2022). Rucaparib for BRCA1/2-mutated pretreated ovarian cancer: Reflections from the ARIEL4 trial. Lancet Oncol. 23 (4), 440–441. doi:[10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00150-4) [2045\(22\)00150-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00150-4)

O'Malley, D. M., Matulonis, U. A., Birrer, M. J., Castro, C. M., Gilbert, L., Vergote, I., et al. (2020). Phase Ib study of mirvetuximab soravtansine, a folate receptor alpha (FRα)-targeting antibody-drug conjugate (ADC), in combination with bevacizumab in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 157 (2), 379–385. doi[:10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.01.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2020.01.037)

O'Malley, D. M., Oza, A. M., Lorusso, D., Aghajanian, C., Oaknin, A., Dean, A., et al. (2022). Clinical and molecular characteristics of ARIEL3 patients who derived exceptional benefit from rucaparib maintenance treatment for high-grade ovarian carcinoma. Gynecol. Oncol. 167 (3), 404-413. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2022.08.021) [ygyno.2022.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2022.08.021)

O'Neill, L. A., and Pearce, E. J. (2016). Immunometabolism governs dendritic cell and macrophage function. J. Exp. Med. 213 (1), 15–23. doi[:10.1084/jem.20151570](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151570)

Oaknin, A., Moore, K. N., Meyer, T., González, J. L., Devriese, L., Amin, A., et al. (2022). 520MO - safety and efficacy of nivolumab (NIVO) ± ipilimumab (IPI) in patients (pts) with recurrent/metastatic cervical cancer (R/M Cx Ca) in checkmate 358. Ann. Oncol. 33 (7), S235–S282. doi[:10.1016/annonc/annonc1054](https://doi.org/10.1016/annonc/annonc1054)

Odunsi, K. (2017). Immunotherapy in ovarian cancer. Ann. Oncol. 28 (suppl_8), viii1–viii7. doi:[10.1093/annonc/mdx444](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx444)

Odunsi, K., Qian, F., Lugade, A. A., Yu, H., Geller, M. A., Fling, S. P., et al. (2022). Metabolic adaptation of ovarian tumors in patients treated with an Ido1 inhibitor constrains antitumor immune responses. Sci. Transl. Med. 14 (636), eabg8402. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abg8402) [1126/scitranslmed.abg8402](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abg8402)

Oza, A. M., Selle, F., Davidenko, I., Korach, J., Mendiola, C., Pautier, P., et al. (2017). Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab-containing therapy in newly diagnosed ovarian cancer: ROSiA single-arm phase 3B study. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 27 (1), 50-58. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1097/IGC.0000000000000836) [1097/IGC.0000000000000836](https://doi.org/10.1097/IGC.0000000000000836)

Penson, R., Valencia, R. V., Colombo, N., Leath, C., Bidzinski, M., Kim, J. W., et al. (2022). Final overall survival results from SOLO3: Phase III trial assessing olaparib monotherapy versus non-platinum chemotherapy in heavily pretreated patients with germline BRCA1-and/or BRCA2-mutated platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer (026). Gynecol. Oncol. 166, S19–S20. doi:[10.1016/S0090-8258\(22\)01244-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-8258(22)01244-6)

Penson, R. T., Valencia, R. V., Cibula, D., Colombo, N., Leath, C. A., Bidzinski, M., et al. (2020). Olaparib versus nonplatinum chemotherapy in patients with platinumsensitive relapsed ovarian cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO3): A randomized phase III trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 38 (11), 1164–1174. doi[:10.1200/JCO.19.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.02745) [02745](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.02745)

Perren, T. J., Swart, A. M., Pfisterer, J., Ledermann, J. A., Pujade-Lauraine, E., Kristensen, G., et al. (2011). A phase 3 trial of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer [published correction appears in N Engl J Med. 2012 Jan 19;366(3):284]. N. Engl. J. Med. 365 (26), 2484–2496. doi:[10.1056/NEJMoa1103799](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1103799)

Pignata, S., Lorusso, D., Joly, F., Gallo, C., Colombo, N., Sessa, C., et al. (2021). Carboplatin-based doublet plus bevacizumab beyond progression versus carboplatinbased doublet alone in patients with platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer: A randomised, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 22 (2), 267–276. doi[:10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30637-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30637-9)

Ponte, J. F., Ab, O., Lanieri, L., Lee, J., Coccia, J., Bartle, L. M., et al. (2016). Mirvetuximab soravtansine (IMGN853), a folate receptor alpha-targeting antibodydrug conjugate, potentiates the activity of standard of care therapeutics in ovarian cancer models. Neoplasia 18 (12), 775–784. doi:[10.1016/j.neo.2016.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2016.11.002)

Poveda Velasco, A. M., Lheureux, S., Colombo, N., Cibula, D., Lindemann, K., Weberpals, J., et al. (2022). Maintenance olaparib monotherapy in patients (pts) with platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer (PSR OC) without a germline BRCA1/ BRCA2 mutation (non-gBRCAm): Final overall survival (OS) results from the OPINION trial. Abstract 531P. Ann. Oncol. 33 (suppl_7), S235–S282. doi:[10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/annonc/annonc1054) [annonc/annonc1054](https://doi.org/10.1016/annonc/annonc1054)

Provencher, D. M., Gallagher, C. J., Parulekar, W. R., Ledermann, J. A., Armstrong, D. K., Brundage, M., et al. (2018). OV21/PETROC: A randomized gynecologic cancer intergroup phase II study of intraperitoneal versus intravenous chemotherapy following neoadjuvant chemotherapy and optimal debulking surgery in epithelial ovarian cancer. Ann. Oncol. 29 (2), 431–438. doi:[10.1093/annonc/mdx754](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx754)

Pujade-Lauraine, E., Hilpert, F., Weber, B., Reuss, A., Poveda, A., Kristensen, G., et al. (2014). Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The AURELIA open-label randomized phase III trial [published correction appears in J Clin Oncol. 2014 Dec 10;32(35):4025]. J. Clin. Oncol. 32 (13), 1302–1308. doi:[10.1200/JCO.2013.51.4489](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.51.4489)

Rådestad, E., Klynning, C., Stikvoort, A., Mogensen, O., Nava, S., Magalhaes, I., et al. (2018). Immune profiling and identification of prognostic immune-related risk factors in human ovarian cancer. Oncoimmunology 8 (2), e1535730. doi[:10.1080/2162402X.](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2018.1535730) [2018.1535730](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2018.1535730)

Ray-Coquard, I., Pautier, P., Pignata, S., Perol, D., Gonzalez-Martin, A., Berger, R., et al. (2019). Olaparib plus bevacizumab as first-line maintenance in ovarian cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 381 (25), 2416–2428. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa1911361](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1911361)

Rob, L., Cibula, D., Knapp, P., Mallmann, P., Klat, J., Minar, L., et al. (2022). Safety and efficacy of dendritic cell-based immunotherapy DCVAC/OvCa added to first-line chemotherapy (carboplatin plus paclitaxel) for epithelial ovarian cancer: A phase 2, open-label, multicenter, randomized trial. J. Immunother. Cancer 10 (1), e003190. doi[:10.1136/jitc-2021-003190](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2021-003190)

Sanborn, R. E., Pishvaian, M. J., Callahan, M. K., Weise, A., Sikic, B. I., Rahma, O., et al. (2022). Safety, tolerability and efficacy of agonist anti-CD27 antibody (varlilumab) administered in combination with anti-PD-1 (nivolumab) in advanced solid tumors. J. Immunother. Cancer 10 (8), e005147. doi:[10.1136/jitc-2022-005147](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2022-005147)

Santoiemma, P. P., Reyes, C., Wang, L. P., McLane, M. W., Feldman, M. D., Tanyi, J. L., et al. (2016). Systematic evaluation of multiple immune markers reveals prognostic factors in ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 143 (1), 120–127. doi[:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.07.105) [07.105](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.07.105)

Santos, J. M., Heiniö, C., Cervera-Carrascon, V., Quixabeira, D. C. A., Siurala, M., Havunen, R., et al. (2020). Oncolytic adenovirus shapes the ovarian tumor microenvironment for potent tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte tumor reactivity. J. Immunother. Cancer 8 (1), e000188. doi[:10.1136/jitc-2019-000188](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2019-000188)

Scaranti, M., Cojocaru, E., Banerjee, S., and Banerji, U. (2020). Exploiting the folate receptor α in oncology. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 17 (6), 349–359. doi[:10.1038/s41571-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-0339-5) [0339-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-0339-5)

Semenza, G. L. (2010). Defining the role of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 in cancer biology and therapeutics. Oncogene 29 (5), 625–634. doi:[10.1038/onc.2009.441](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2009.441)

SGO (2022). Clinically meaningful antitumor activity and an acceptable safety profile were seen with the use of mirvetuximab soravtansine in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer harboring high folate receptor–alpha (FRα) expression, according to the results of the phase 3 SORAYA trial (NCT04296890) presented during the the Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO) 2022 Annual Meeting on Women's Cancer.

Shen, J., Zhao, W., Ju, Z., Wang, L., Peng, Y., Labrie, M., et al. (2019). PARPi triggers the STING-dependent immune response and enhances the therapeutic efficacy of immune checkpoint blockade independent of BRCAness. Cancer Res. 79 (2), 311–319. doi[:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-1003](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-1003)

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., Fuchs, H. E., and Jemal, A. (2022). Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J. Clin. 72 (1), 7–33. doi[:10.3322/caac.21708](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21708)

Sisay, M., and Edessa, D. (2017). PARP inhibitors as potential therapeutic agents for various cancers: Focus on niraparib and its first global approval for maintenance therapy of gynecologic cancers. Gynecol. Oncol. Res. Pract. 4, 18. doi:[10.1186/s40661-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40661-017-0055-8) [017-0055-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40661-017-0055-8)

Sjoquist, K. M., Espinoza, D., Mileshkin, L., Ananda, S., Shannon, C., Yip, S., et al. (2021). Rezolve (ANZGOG-1101): A phase 2 trial of intraperitoneal bevacizumab to treat symptomatic ascites in patients with chemotherapy-resistant, epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 161 (2), 374–381. doi:[10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.02.002)

Song, M., Yeku, O. O., Rafiq, S., Purdon, T., Dong, X., Zhu, L., et al. (2020). Tumor derived UBR5 promotes ovarian cancer growth and metastasis through inducing immunosuppressive macrophages. Nat. Commun. 11 (1), 6298. doi:[10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20140-0) $020 - 20140 - 0$

Stone, M. L., Chiappinelli, K. B., Li, H., Murphy, L. M., Travers, M. E., Topper, M. J., et al. (2017). Epigenetic therapy activates type I interferon signaling in murine ovarian cancer to reduce immunosuppression and tumor burden. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114 (51), E10981–E10990. doi:[10.1073/pnas.1712514114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1712514114)

Su, Y., Luo, B., Lu, Y., Wang, D., Yan, J., Zheng, J., et al. (2022). Anlotinib induces a T cell-inflamed tumor microenvironment by facilitating vessel normalization and enhances the efficacy of PD-1 checkpoint blockade in Neuroblastoma. Clin. Cancer Res. 28 (4), 793–809. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-2241](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-2241)

Sun, Y., Niu, W., Du, F., Du, C., Li, S., Wang, J., et al. (2016). Safety, pharmacokinetics, and antitumor properties of anlotinib, an oral multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced refractory solid tumors. J. Hematol. Oncol. 9 (1), 105. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-016-0332-8) [1186/s13045-016-0332-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-016-0332-8)

Swisher, E. M., Kristeleit, R. S., Oza, A. M., Tinker, A. V., Ray-Coquard, I., Oaknin, A., et al. (2021a). Characterization of patients with long-term responses to rucaparib treatment in recurrent ovarian cancer. Gynecol. Oncol. 163 (3), 490–497. doi:[10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.08.030) [ygyno.2021.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.08.030)

Swisher, E. M., Kwan, T. T., Oza, A. M., Tinker, A. V., Ray-Coquard, I., Oaknin, A., et al. (2021b). Molecular and clinical determinants of response and resistance to rucaparib for recurrent ovarian cancer treatment in ARIEL2 (Parts 1 and 2). Nat. Commun. 12 (1), 2487. doi[:10.1038/s41467-021-22582-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22582-6)

Swisher, E. M., Lin, K. K., Oza, A. M., Scott, C. L., Giordano, H., Sun, J., et al. (2017). Rucaparib in relapsed, platinum-sensitive high-grade ovarian carcinoma (ARIEL2 Part 1): An international, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 18 (1), 75–87. doi[:10.1016/S1470-2045\(16\)30559-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30559-9)

Tanyi, J. L., Bobisse, S., Ophir, E., Tuyaerts, S., Roberti, A., Genolet, R., et al. (2018). Personalized cancer vaccine effectively mobilizes antitumor T cell immunity in ovarian cancer. Sci. Transl. Med. 10 (436), eaao5931. doi[:10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aao5931) [scitranslmed.aao5931](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aao5931)

Teo, P. Y., Yang, C., Whilding, L. M., Parente-Pereira, A. C., Maher, J., George, A. J. T., et al. (2015). Ovarian cancer immunotherapy using PD-L1 siRNA targeted delivery from folic acid-functionalized polyethylenimine: Strategies to enhance T cell killing. Adv. Healthc. Mater 4 (8), 1180–1189. doi:[10.1002/adhm.201500089](https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201500089)

Tesfay, L., Paul, B. T., Konstorum, A., Deng, Z., Cox, A. O., Lee, J., et al. (2019). Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 protects ovarian cancer cells from ferroptotic cell death. Cancer Res. 79 (20), 5355–5366. doi:[10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-0369](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-0369)

Tian, L., Xu, B., Teng, K. Y., Song, M., Zhu, Z., Chen, Y., et al. (2022). Targeting Fc
receptor-mediated effects and the "don't eat me" signal with an oncolytic virus
expressing an anti-CD47 antibody to treat metastatic o Res. 28 (1), 201–214. doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1248](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1248)

Tian, S., Quan, H., Xie, C., Guo, H., Lu, F., Xu, Y., et al. (2011). YN968D1 is a novel and selective inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 tyrosine kinase with potent activity in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Sci. 102 (7), 1374–1380. doi[:10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01939.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01939.x)

Tomao, F., Vici, P., and Tomao, S. (2020). Expanding use of rucaparib as maintenance therapy in recurrent ovarian cancer: Updates from the ARIEL3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 21 (5), 616–617. doi:[10.1016/S1470-2045\(20\)30079-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30079-6)

van Vloten, J. P., Matuszewska, K., Minow, M. A. A., Minott, J. A., Santry, L. A., Pereira, M., et al. (2022). Oncolytic Orf virus licenses NK cells via cDC1 to activate innate and adaptive antitumor mechanisms and extends survival in a murine model of late-stage ovarian cancer. J. Immunother. Cancer 10 (3), e004335. doi[:10.1136/jitc-2021-004335](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2021-004335)

Ventriglia, J., Paciolla, I., Pisano, C., Cecere, S. C., Di Napoli, M., Tambaro, R., et al. (2017). Immunotherapy in ovarian, endometrial and cervical cancer: State of the art and future perspectives. Cancer Treat. Rev. 59, 109–116. doi[:10.1016/j.ctrv.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.07.008) [2017.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.07.008)

Vergote, I., Coens, C., Nankivell, M., Kristensen, G. B., Parmar, M. K. B., Ehlen, T., et al. (2018). Neoadjuvant chemotherapy versus debulking surgery in advanced tubo-ovarian cancers: Pooled analysis of individual patient data from the EORTC 55971 and CHORUS trials. Lancet Oncol. 19 (12), 1680–1687. doi:[10.1016/S1470-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30566-7) [2045\(18\)30566-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30566-7)

Vergote, I., Gonzalez-Martin, A., Ray-Coquard, I., Harter, P., Colombo, N., Pujol, P., et al. (2022). European experts consensus: BRCA/homologous recombination deficiency testing in first-line ovarian cancer. Ann. Oncol. 33 (3), 276–287. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.11.013) [1016/j.annonc.2021.11.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.11.013)

Vergote, I., Ray-Coquard, I., Anderson, D. M., Cantuaria, G., Colombo, N., Garnier-Tixidre, C., et al. (2021). Population-adjusted indirect treatment comparison of the SOLO1 and PAOLA-1/ENGOT-ov25 trials evaluating maintenance olaparib or bevacizumab or the combination of both in newly diagnosed, advanced BRCA-mutated ovarian cancer. Eur. J. Cancer 157, 415–423. doi:[10.1016/j.ejca.2021.08.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2021.08.023)

Walker, J. L., Brady, M. F., Wenzel, L., Fleming, G. F., Huang, H. Q., DiSilvestro, P. A., et al. (2019). Randomized trial of intravenous versus intraperitoneal chemotherapy plus bevacizumab in advanced ovarian carcinoma: An NRG oncology/gynecologic oncology group study. J. Clin. Oncol. 37 (16), 1380–1390. doi:[10.1200/JCO.18.01568](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.01568)

Wang, C., Fernández de Ávila, B. E., Mundaca-Uribe, R., Lopez-Ramirez, M. A., Ramirez-Herrera, D. E., Shukla, S., et al. (2020). Active delivery of VLPs promotes antitumor activity in a mouse ovarian tumor model. Small 16 (20), e1907150. doi:[10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201907150) [smll.201907150](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201907150)

Wang, L., Yang, C., Xie, C., Jiang, J., Gao, M., Fu, L., et al. (2019a). Pharmacologic characterization of fluzoparib, a novel poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor undergoing clinical trials. Cancer Sci. 110 (3), 1064–1075. doi[:10.1111/cas.13947](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.13947)

Wang, T., Tang, J., Yang, H., Yin, R., Zhang, J., Zhou, Q., et al. (2022). Effect of apatinib plus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin vs pegylated liposomal doxorubicin alone on platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The APPROVE randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol. 8 (8), 1169–1176. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2022.2253) [1001/jamaoncol.2022.2253](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2022.2253)

Wang, Z., Chen, W., Zuo, L., Xu, M., Wu, Y., Huang, J., et al. (2022). The Fibrillin-1/ VEGFR2/STAT2 signaling axis promotes chemoresistance via modulating glycolysis and angiogenesis in ovarian cancer organoids and cells. Cancer Commun. (Lond) 42 (3), 245–265. doi:[10.1002/cac2.12274](https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2.12274)

Wang, Z., Huang, Y., Long, L., Zhou, L., Huang, Y., Gan, L., et al. (2021). Apatinib treatment efficiently delays biochemical-only recurrent ovarian cancer progression. J. Ovarian Res. 14 (1), 91. doi[:10.1186/s13048-021-00843-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13048-021-00843-8)

Wang, Z., Sun, K., Xiao, Y., Feng, B., Mikule, K., Ma, X., et al. (2019b). Niraparib activates interferon signaling and potentiates anti-PD-1 antibody efficacy in tumor models. Sci. Rep. 9 (1), 1853. doi:[10.1038/s41598-019-38534-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38534-6)

Wright, A. A., Bohlke, K., Armstrong, D. K., Bookman, M. A., Cliby, W. A., Coleman, R. L., et al. (2016). Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for newly diagnosed, advanced ovarian cancer: Society of gynecologic oncology and American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline. J. Clin. Oncol. 34 (28), 3460–3473. doi:[10.1200/JCO.2016.68.](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.68.6907) [6907](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.68.6907)

Wu, X., Zhu, J., Wang, J., Lin, Z., Yin, R., Sun, W., et al. (2022). Pamiparib monotherapy for patients with germline BRCA1/2-mutated ovarian cancer previously treated with at least two lines of chemotherapy: A multicenter, open-label, phase II study. Clin. Cancer Res. 28 (4), 653–661. doi[:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1186](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1186)

Xiong, Y., Guo, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Gong, W., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). Pamiparib is a potent and selective PARP inhibitor with unique potential for the treatment of brain
tumor. *Neoplasia* 22 (9), 431–440. doi[:10.1016/j.neo.2020.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2020.06.009)

Yang, C., Xia, B. R., Zhang, Z. C., Zhang, Y. J., Lou, G., and Jin, W. L. (2020). Immunotherapy for ovarian cancer: Adjuvant, combination, and neoadjuvant. Front. Immunol. 11, 577869. doi:10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.577869](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.577869)

Yang, Y., Guo, X., Hu, B., He, P., Jiang, X., Wang, Z., et al. (2021). Generated SecPen_ NY-ESO-1_ubiquitin-pulsed dendritic cell cancer vaccine elicits stronger and specific T cell immune responses. Acta Pharm. Sin. B 11 (2), 476–487. doi[:10.1016/j.apsb.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2020.08.004) [08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2020.08.004)

Yang, Y., Xia, L., Wu, Y., Zhou, H., Chen, X., Li, H., et al. (2021). Programmed death ligand-1 regulates angiogenesis and metastasis by participating in the c-JUN/ VEGFR2 signaling axis in ovarian cancer. Cancer Commun. (Lond) 41 (6), 511–527. doi[:10.1002/cac2.12157](https://doi.org/10.1002/cac2.12157)

Yang, Y., Zhao, T., Chen, Q., Li, Y., Xiao, Z., Xiang, Y., et al. (2022). Nanomedicine strategies for heating "cold" ovarian cancer (OC): Next evolution in immunotherapy of OC. Adv. Sci. (Weinh) 9 (28), e2202797. doi[:10.1002/advs.202202797](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202202797)

Zamarin, D., Burger, R. A., Sill, M. W., Powell, D. J., Lankes, H. A., Feldman, M. D., et al. (2020). Randomized phase II trial of nivolumab versus nivolumab and ipilimumab for recurrent or persistent ovarian cancer: An NRG oncology study. J. Clin. Oncol. 38 (16), 1814–1823. doi:[10.1200/JCO.19.02059](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.02059)

Zhang, A. W., McPherson, A., Milne, K., Kroeger, D. R., Hamilton, P. T., Miranda, A., et al. (2018). Interfaces of malignant and immunologic clonal dynamics in ovarian cancer. Cell. 173 (7), 1755–1769. doi[:10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.073](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.073)

Zhang, L., Conejo-Garcia, J. R., Katsaros, D., Gimotty, P. A., Massobrio, M., Regnani, G., et al. (2003). Intratumoral T cells, recurrence, and survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 348 (3), 203–213. doi[:10.1056/NEJMoa020177](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa020177)

Zhang, Q., Yu, S., Lam, M. M. T., Poon, T. C. W., Sun, L., Jiao, Y., et al. (2019). Angiotensin II promotes ovarian cancer spheroid formation and metastasis by upregulation of lipid desaturation and suppression of endoplasmic reticulum stress. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38 (1), 116. doi[:10.1186/s13046-019-1127-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-019-1127-x)

Zhang, W., Lu, X., Cui, P., Piao, C., Xiao, M., Liu, X., et al. (2019). Phase I/II clinical trial of a Wilms' tumor 1-targeted dendritic cell vaccination-based immunotherapy in patients with advanced cancer. Cancer Immunol. Immunother. 68 (1), 121-130. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-018-2257-2) [1007/s00262-018-2257-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-018-2257-2)

Zhou, D., Liu, J., Liu, R., Li, H., Huang, Y., Ma, D., et al. (2022). Effectiveness and safety of niraparib as neoadjuvant therapy in advanced ovarian cancer with homologous recombination deficiency (NANT): Study protocol for a prospective, multicenter, exploratory, phase 2, singlearm study. Front. Oncol. 12, 852772. doi[:10.3389/fonc.2022.852772](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.852772)

Zimmer, A. S., Nichols, E., Cimino-Mathews, A., Peer, C., Cao, L., Lee, M. J., et al. (2019). A phase I study of the PD-L1 inhibitor, durvalumab, in combination with a PARP inhibitor, olaparib, and a VEGFR1-3 inhibitor, cediranib, in recurrent women's cancers with biomarker analyses. J. Immunother. Cancer 7 (1), 197. doi[:10.1186/s40425-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0680-3) [019-0680-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0680-3)

Zsiros, E., Lynam, S., Attwood, K. M., Wang, C., Chilakapati, S., Gomez, E. C., et al. (2021). Efficacy and safety of pembrolizumab in combination with bevacizumab and oral metronomic cyclophosphamide in the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer: A phase 2 nonrandomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol. 7 (1), 78–85. doi[:10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.5945](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.5945)

Glossary

BRCA breast cancer susceptibility gene HRD homologous recombination repair defect OC ovarian cancer EOC epithelial ovarian cancer mPFS median progression-free survival OS overall survival PFS progression-free survival NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network HRR homologous recombination repair PARPi poly (ADP ribose) polymerase inhibitor TME tumor microenvironment ICIs immune checkpoint inhibitors HIF hypoxia inducible factor MAP mitogen activated protein PSROC platinum-sensitive recurrent OC PROC platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer GC gemcitabine PC paclitaxel and carboplatin DCR disease control rate ORR objective response rate PLD pegylated liposomal doxorubicin FBN1 profibronectin-1 FGFR fibroblast growth factor receptor DOR duration of remission AEs adverse events PP2A protein phosphatase 2A PDGFR platelet-derived growth factor receptor SSB single-strand break DSB double-strand break BER base excision repair EMSO European Society for Medical Oncology TTSP time to second progression FDA the Food and Drug Administration SGO Society of Gynecologic Oncology MDS myelodysplastic syndrome AML acute myeloid leukemia

HGOC high-grade ovarian cancer LOH loss of heterozygosity CFI chemotherapy-free interval TFST time to start first subsequent treatment TSST the time to start second follow-up treatment BRCP breakthrough cancer resistance protein mDOR median time to remission FRα Folate receptor α TAAs tumor-associated antigens TSAs tumor-specific antigens TILs tumor infiltrating lymphocytes TIM tumor immune microenvironment TAM tumor-associated macrophage mAb monoclonal antibody MDSCs myeloid-derived suppressor cells SD stable disease LSD lipoprotein receptor SCs serous carcinomas SCD steroid coenzyme A desaturase STC1 Stanniocalcin 1 GLUT1 glucose transporter protein-1 HKII hexokinase-2 MCSs multicellular spheroids TMB tumor mutational load DCVAC dendritic cell immunotherapy DC dendritic cell OV oncolytic virus HSV herpes simplex virus EV extracellular vesicle VLP virus-like nanoparticle NACT neoadjuvant chemotherapy TFIp platinum treatment-free interval TEAE treatment emergent adverse events HMAs hypomethylating agents CSC cancer stem cell MSI-H MicroSatellite Instability-High dMMR different Mismatch Repair