

Corrigendum: Circadian Pharmacological Effects of Paeoniflorin on Mice With Urticaria-like Lesions

Li Peng^{1,2†}, Lijuan Wen^{3†}, Jie Zhang^{1,2†}, Xiaotong Zhang^{1,2}, Qin Wei^{1,2}, Jing Guo^{2,4*} and Jinhao Zeng^{2,5*}

¹Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ²Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ³Clinical Skills Center, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ⁴Dermatological Department, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ⁵Geriatric Department, Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China

Keywords: urticaria-like lesion, paeoniflorin, allergic response, inflammatory cell infiltration, inflammatory cytokine, chronotherapeutic

A Corrigendum on

Edited and reviewed by:

OPEN ACCESS

Javier Echeverria, University of Santiago, Chile

*Correspondence:

Jing Guo guojing66@cdutcm.edu.cn Jinhao Zeng zengjinhao@cdutcm.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 12 April 2022 Accepted: 26 April 2022 Published: 19 May 2022

Citation:

Peng L, Wen L, Zhang J, Zhang X, Wei Q, Guo J and Zeng J (2022) Corrigendum: Circadian Pharmacological Effects of Paeoniflorin on Mice With Urticaria-like Lesions. Front. Pharmacol. 13:918401. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.918401 **Circadian Pharmacological Effects of Paeoniflorin on Mice With Urticaria-like Lesions** by Peng L, Wen L, Zhang J, Zhang X, Wei Q, Guo J and Zeng J (2022). Front. Pharmacol. 12:639580. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.639580

In the original article, there was a mistake in "**Figure 6**" as published. Due to the large number of images being processed, one of them was incorrectly processed and uploaded, resulting in its erroneous inclusion within **Figure 6**. What's more, according to university and hospital new regulations, multiple funds cannot be written in one manuscript, so we retained the first 3 grants. The corrected Funding statement is "This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 82074443 and 81873310), the Sichuan Provincial Central Government Leading Local Science and Technology Development Special Project (grant nos. 2021ZYD0089)." The corrected "**Figure 6**" appears below. After checking, we have found there was no problem with the statistical analysis.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Peng, Wen, Zhang, Zhang, Wei, Guo and Zeng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.



protein X (EPX) (black arrows). The PF treatment at ZT10 had a greater effect on EPX production. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 6). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 versus OVA mice at the same time points. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 represents the comparison of PF ZT10 versus the other three PF groups. The magnification was ×200.