



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
José A. G. Agúndez,
University of Extremadura, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE
Wojciech Miltyk,
wojciech.miltyk@umb.edu.pl

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to
Pharmacogenetics and
Pharmacogenomics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 24 September 2022
ACCEPTED 18 October 2022
PUBLISHED 11 November 2022

CITATION
Miltyk W, Patrinos GP, Verstuyft C,
Coenen M and Tafazoli A (2022),
Editorial: Translation and
implementation of pharmacogenomic
testing in daily clinical practice:
Considering current challenges and
future needs.
Front. Pharmacol. 13:1053027.
doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1053027

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Miltyk, Patrinos, Verstuyft,
Coenen and Tafazoli. This is an open-
access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Translation and implementation of pharmacogenomic testing in daily clinical practice: Considering current challenges and future needs

Wojciech Miltyk^{1*}, George P. Patrinos^{2,3,4}, Celine Verstuyft⁵,
Marieke Coenen⁶ and Alireza Tafazoli¹

¹Department of Analysis and Bioanalysis of Medicines, Medical University of Białystok, Białystok, Poland, ²Laboratory of Pharmacogenomics and Individualized Therapy, Department of Pharmacy, School of Health Sciences, University of Patras, Patras, Greece, ³Department of Genetics and Genomics, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates, ⁴Zayed Center for Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates, ⁵Faculté de Médecine Paris Saclay GH Paris Saclay APHP, Bicetre Hospital, Paris, France, ⁶Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands

KEYWORDS

pharmacogenomic testing, daily clinical practice, public healthcare systems, pharmacogenetics, pharmacogenomics, PGx, personalised medicine

Editorial on the Research Topic

Translation and implementation of pharmacogenomic testing in daily clinical practice: Considering current challenges and future needs

Although the advancements in pharmacogenomics (PGx) may bring true advantages of personalized medicine into daily clinical practice, the integration and inclusion of the field in routine clinical decision support systems are still very low (Abou Diwan et al., 2019). This is mainly because of intrinsic challenges to the functional prediction of genomic variants in drug-related genes. Responsible genes for drug-metabolizing, transporting, receptors, and targeting were not conserved during the evolution as they encountered various types of xenobiotics and underwent different mutations to be adapted for dealing with such external components in the human body. Also, the existence of structural complexities within pharmacogenes caused the related haplotypes to be hard to catch. Hence, available tools for functional characterization of changes in these genes could not be successful in strongly displaying the consequences of such alteration on drug pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD) (Chang et al., 2021).

Above mentioned reasons not only reduce the speed of PGx investigations and guideline development but also produce several external barriers to the integration of clinical PGx tests into a routine clinical setting. Factors like lower background and expertise for clinical interpretation of PGx test result in physicians and clinicians, lack of particular cost and time benefit instruments and facilities for test implementation through clinical centers, absence of sufficient guidelines for every genomic variant in drug-related genes, no existence of appropriate variant calling tools for many pharmacogenes, no willing and hesitance of insurance parties to cover the tests in clinics, etc. are seen and introduced as the major issues for prevention of combination of PGx and primary care everywhere (Frick et al., 2016).

However, recent years were witness huge motivations and efforts on overcoming such challenges. Several research groups explored the possibility of adding PGx tests as part of clinical decision systems in hospitals and/or private clinical centers (Adesta et al., 2021). Current policies and activities toward the implementation of PGx for various types of patients in different populations investigated and the pros and cons of the tests have been listed as well (Caraballo et al., 2020; Blagec et al., 2022). This special issue aimed to provide an overview of such programs and display the result of related studies on major barriers plus the advancements in the field to reach the goals.

The authors of the Neuropsychiatric and Montelukast article tried to clarify the relation between montelukast and neuropsychiatric in raising adverse events, which resulted in a significant association between neuropsychiatric adverse reactions and montelukast. Such data from real-world samples may add invaluable knowledge to the physicians' background on PGx and encourage the utilization of results in daily clinical settings (Umetsu et al.). The next article in our special issue investigated the possible effects of *CYP2D6* special genotype and Metoprolol tolerance in Chinese elderly with cardiovascular disorders. The study proved the association between intermediate metabolizers showing lower tolerance and may develop higher incidence of Metoprolol adverse reactions in such patients (Chen et al.). The third paper, thiopurine

therapy via *TPMT* and *NUDT15* testing, confirmed the benefits of the implementation of single gene PGx testing, which can guide the transition to a pre-emptive multi-gene testing approach that provides the opportunity to improve clinical care (Goh et al.). The fourth and fifth articles (*DPYD* pre-clinical testing in Switzerland and mini review on genetic associations with severe adverse drug events) explored the prospect of prevention of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) through the utilization of clinical PGx tests and demonstrated the advantages of pre-emptive genotyping on anticipation of ADRs and acceleration of integration of PGx tests into daily primary care (Begré et al.; Wang et al.).

To introduce PGx tests into daily practice, future efforts may focus on offering population-specific pharmacovariant evidence and panel-based sequencing approaches. Widespread access to genomic databases alongside the PGx maps for individuals in a portable format might be also worth consideration.

Author contributions

WM wrote the manuscript, GP and AT revised and edited the manuscript, CV and MC revised the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Abou Diwan, E., Zeitoun, R. I., Abou Haidar, L., Cascorbi, I., and Khoueir Zgheib, N. (2019). Implementation and obstacles of pharmacogenetics in clinical practice: An international survey. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 85, 2076–2088. doi:10.1111/bcp.13999
- Adesta, F., Mahendra, C., Junusmin, K. I., Rajah, A. M. S., Goh, S., Sani, L., et al. (2021). Pharmacogenomics implementation training improves self-efficacy and competency to drive adoption in clinical practice. *Front. Pharmacol.* 12, 684907. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.684907
- Blagec, K., Swen, J. J., Koopmann, R., Cheung, K.-C., Crommentuijn-van Rhenen, M., Holsappel, I., et al. (2022). Pharmacogenomics decision support in the U-PGx project: Results and advice from clinical implementation across seven European countries. *PLoS One* 17, e0268534. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0268534
- Caraballo, P. J., Sutton, J. A., Giri, J., Wright, J. A., Nicholson, W. T., Kullo, I. J., et al. (2020). Integrating pharmacogenomics into the electronic health record by implementing genomic indicators. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 323, 154–158. doi:10.1093/jama/ocz177
- Chang, W.-C., Tanoshima, R., Ross, C. J., and Carleton, B. C. (2021). Challenges and opportunities in implementing pharmacogenetic testing in clinical settings. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 61, 65–84. doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-030920-025745
- Frick, A., Benton, C. S., Scolaro, K. L., McLaughlin, J. E., Bradley, C. L., Suzuki, O. T., et al. (2016). Transitioning pharmacogenetics into the clinical setting: Training future pharmacists. *Front. Pharmacol.* 7, 241. doi:10.3389/fphar.2016.00241