### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Heike Wulff, University of California, Davis, United States

REVIEWED BY Lars Edvinsson, Lund University, Sweden Debbie L. Hay, University of Otago, New Zealand

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sheau Yu Teddy Hsu, SYT.HSU@adepthera.com

### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Experimental Pharmacology and Drug Discovery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 09 September 2022 ACCEPTED 14 November 2022 PUBLISHED 08 December 2022

#### CITATION

Chang CL, Cai Z and Hsu SYT (2022), Gel-forming antagonist provides a lasting effect on CGRPinduced vasodilation. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:1040951. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1040951

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Chang, Cai and Hsu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Gel-forming antagonist provides a lasting effect on CGRP-induced vasodilation

### Chia Lin Chang<sup>1</sup>, Zheqing Cai<sup>2</sup> and Sheau Yu Teddy Hsu<sup>3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Linkou Medical Center, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, <sup>2</sup>CL Laboratory LLC, Gaithersburg, MD, United States, <sup>3</sup>Adepthera LLC, San Jose, CA, United States

Migraine affects ~15% of the adult population, and the standard treatment includes the use of triptans, ergotamines, and analgesics. Recently, CGRP and its receptor, the CLR/RAMP1 receptor complex, have been targeted for migraine treatment due to their critical roles in mediating migraine headaches. The effort has led to the approval of several anti-CGRP antibodies for chronic migraine treatment. However, many patients still suffer continuous struggles with migraine, perhaps due to the limited ability of anti-CGRP therapeutics to fully reduce CGRP levels or reach target cells. An alternative anti-CGRP strategy may help address the medical need of patients who do not respond to existing therapeutics. By serendipity, we have recently found that several chimeric adrenomedullin/adrenomedullin 2 peptides are potent CLR/RAMP receptor antagonists and self-assemble to form liquid gels. Among these analogs, the ADE651 analog, which potently inhibits CLR/ RAMP1 receptor signaling, forms gels at a 6-20% level. Screening of ADE651 variants indicated that residues at the junctional region of this chimeric peptide are important for gaining the gel-forming capability. Gelformation significantly slowed the passage of ADE651 molecules through Centricon filters. Consistently, subcutaneous injection of ADE651 gel in rats led to the sustained presence of ADE651 in circulation for >1 week. In addition, analysis of vascular blood flow in rat hindlimbs showed ADE651 significantly reduces CGRP-induced vasodilation. Because gel-forming antagonists could have direct and sustained access to target cells, ADE651 and related antagonists for CLR/RAMP receptors may represent promising candidates for targeting CGRP- and/or adrenomedullin-mediated headaches in migraine patients.

#### KEYWORDS

CGRP, adrenomedulin, self-assemble, migraine, CLR/RAMP, vasodilation, liquid gel, pharmocokinetics

### Introduction

Migraine is a neurovascular disorder characterized by episodic and unilateral headache with hypersensitivity to light, sound, and movement (Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Schuster and Rapoport, 2016), and is the second most disabling disorder worldwide (Edvinsson et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2022). One migraine treatment target that has

attracted great attention is the calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP)-mediated CLR/RAMP1 receptor (i.e., the calcitonin receptor-like receptor (CLR) and receptor activity-modifying protein 1 (RAMP1) complex) signaling (Tso and Goadsby, 2017; Kumar et al., 2022). Earlier studies suggested that certain triggering factors in a susceptible person may stimulate trigeminal nerves of the trigeminovascular system to release glutamate neurotransmitters and select vasoactive neuropeptides (e.g., CGRP, substance P, and neurokinin A) which then contribute to the development of neurogenic inflammation (Brain et al., 1985; Edvinsson et al., 1985; Uddman et al., 1985; Storer et al., 2004; Recober et al., 2009; Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Russo, 2015a; Russo, 2015b; Jacobs and Dussor, 2016; Tso and Goadsby, 2017; Hendrikse et al., 2018). Among these factors, CGRP appears to be particularly important in the mediation of migraine headaches, perhaps via the cAMP-dependent and cAMP-independent pathways (Brain et al., 1985; Edvinsson et al., 1985; Uddman et al., 1985; Storer et al., 2004; Recober et al., 2009; Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Russo, 2015a; Russo, 2015b; Jacobs and Dussor, 2016; Tso and Goadsby, 2017; Hendrikse et al., 2018). CGRP is considered a key mediator based on the observation that (1) plasma CGRP levels increase in the external jugular vein during migraine attacks and (2) exogenous CGRP increases migraine pain in susceptible patients (Edvinsson, 2008a; Edvinsson, 2008b; Durham and Masterson, 2013; Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Russo, 2015b; Geppetti et al., 2015; Jacobs and Dussor, 2016). In support of this hypothesis, four anti-CGRP and anti-CGRP receptor antibodies (i.e., galcanezumab, fremanezumab, eptinezumab, and erenumab) have been approved by the FDA to treat chronic migraine since 2018 (Aiyar et al., 2001; Verheggen et al., 2002; Zeller et al., 2008; Mitsikostas and Rapoport, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Deen et al., 2017; Tso and Goadsby, 2017; Choy, 2018; Tepper, 2018; Al-Hassany et al., 2022). In addition, three small molecule antagonists were recently approved for the prevention and/or treatment of acute migraine (Goadsby et al., 2020; Ailani et al., 2021; Croop et al., 2021; Altamura et al., 2022). In addition to migraine, excessive CGRP release was associated with pain in patients with arthritis, complex regional pain syndrome, and diabetic neuropathy (Schou et al., 2017). Although these advances have improved the care of many patients, it appears that these anti-CGRP therapeutics have limited efficacy in many patients (i.e., a net reduction of two to three headache days in patients who on average have 8-14 migraine headache days per month when compared to the placebo group) (Deen et al., 2017), and headache in these patients remains poorly controlled (Ailani et al., 2021; Al-Hassany et al., 2022; Ornello et al., 2022).

Because antibodies have a low volume of distribution, and mainly act by reducing the circulating level of CGRP and CGRP signaling in cells that are in proximity to vessels (Edvinsson, 2008a; Edvinsson, 2008b; Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Deen et al., 2017), a long-acting high-potency peptide antagonist could be an alternative candidate for sustained prevention of migraine headache. Such candidates would have a high volume of distribution and better access to neuronal targets. In addition, because the CGRP-related adrenomedullin (ADM) peptide, which mainly signals through the CLR/RAMP2 receptor, has been implicated in the development of inflammatory hyperalgesia and migraine pain and because CGRP and ADM receptors are systematically present in human trigeminal ganglia (Moreno et al., 1999), a peptide antagonist that can target multiple CLR/RAMP receptors may have a better potential to alleviate headaches in patients with severe migraine (Ma et al., 2006; Fernandez et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Zeng et al., 2014; Garelja and Hay, 2022; Rees et al., 2022).

Based on the screening of a series of chimeric ADM/ adrenomedullin 2 (ADM2) peptides, we have recently identified a group of potent peptide CLR/RAMP receptor agonists (Chang et al. 2022), and peptide antagonists that potently inhibit CLR/RAMP1 and/or 2 signaling (Chang and Hsu, 2019). By serendipity, we have also found that select antagonists such as ADE651 and ADE609 are capable of selfassembling into hydrogels in situ at low concentrations. Because self-assembled peptide hydrogel has been used to deliver the somatostatin receptor agonist, lanreotide Autogel, as a monthly injection to treat acromegaly and neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) (Pouget et al., 2010; Salvatori et al., 2010; Oberg and Lamberts, 2016; Fattah and Brayden, 2017), these gel-forming antagonists may represent promising candidates for sustained inhibition of CGRP- and/or ADM-mediated migraine pain in patients who have not been sufficiently served by existing migraine drugs. In the present study, we used the ADE651 analog as a prototype to characterize the release of antagonist analog gel in vivo and the effect on CGRP-induced dermal blood flow in the hindlimbs of rats. Further development of these gel-forming antagonists may provide an alternative strategy to block CLR/RAMP1- and/or 2mediated chronic migraine headaches in patients.

### Materials and methods

### Chemicals and reagents

Wild-type and palmitoylated analogs of different peptides (purity >95%) were synthesized and characterized by LifeTein LLC (Hillsborough, NJ). The peptides were synthesized on ChemMatrix Rink Amide resin, using standard Fmoc synthesis protocol with DIC/Cl-HOBt coupling, on an APEX 396 automatic synthesizer. The resin was swollen in DMF for 30 min, treated with 20% Piperidine-DMF for 8 min to remove the Fmoc protecting group at 50 °C, and washed with DMF three times. For the coupling reaction, the resin was added with Fmocprotected amino acid, Cl-HOBt, DIC and NMP. The mixture was vortexed for 20 min at 50°C, followed by washing with DMF. The cycle of deprotection and coupling steps was repeated until the

Testing articles		Gel-forming ability	IC <sub>50</sub> (nM)	Maximum response
BIBN4096			0.2	100.5
ADE651	Pal-KVQKLSAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	2.2	101.1
ADE651A	Pal-KVQK-Aib-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Ν		
ADE651B	$\label{eq:pal-KVQK-Ahx-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH_2} Pal-KVQK-Ahx-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH_2$	Ν		
ADE651C	Pal-KVQK-Nva-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	1.3	101
ADE651D	Pal-KVQK-Cit-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Ν		
ADE651E	Pal-KVQK-Hyp-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Ν		
ADE651F	$\label{eq:pal-KVQK-Nle-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH_2} Pal-KVQK-Nle-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH_2$	Ν		
ADE651G	Pal-KVQK-Orn-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Ν		
ADE651H	Pal-KVQK-Nal-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	15.1	100.1
ADE651I	Pal-KVQK-Abu-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Ν		
ADE651J	Pal-KVQK- Met-(O2)-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	0.9	100.4
ADE651K	$\label{eq:pal-KVQK-DAB-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH_2} Pal-KVQK-DAB-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH_2$	Ν		
ADE651L	Pal-KVQ- Lysine (Me)-LSAPVDPSSPHSY-NH $_2$	Y	2.5	100.2
ADE651M	Pal-KVQ-Arginine (Me)-LSAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	2.3	100.7
ADE651N	Pal-KVQ-Lys (Pyr)-LSAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	1.1	99.9
ADE651O	Pal-KVQ-Lys (Mpa)-LSAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Y	50.4	99.9
ADE651P	Pal-KVQK- $\beta$ -alanine-SAPVDPSSPHSY-NH <sub>2</sub>	Ν		

TABLE 1 The sequence and gel-forming capability of ADE651 and 16 variants as well as the  $IC_{50}$  values for antagonizing CGRP-induced CLR/ RAMP1 signaling of select ADE651 variants.

The gel-forming capability of ADE651 variants was determined based on the visual inspection of 11% peptide solutions. Y: can form a liquid gel; N: lacks the ability to efficiently form a liquid gel. Seven variants, C, H, J, L, M, N, and O, retained the gel-forming capability. All other variants were insoluble or partially soluble at the 11% level. Pal indicates the N-terminal palmitoylation modification. The antagonistic activity on  $\beta$ -CGRP-mediated CLR/RAMP1 signaling is described as IC<sub>50</sub> and the maximum response in % of

Pal indicates the N-terminal palmitoylation modification. The antagonistic activity on 5-CGRP-mediated CLK/KAMP1 signaling is described as 1C<sub>50</sub> and the maximum response in % of positive control. The potency of a small molecule CGRP antagonist positive control, BIBN4096, is provided for comparison.

last amino acid residue was assembled. After the final Fmoc protecting group was removed, the resin was treated with 20% acetic anhydride-NMP for 20 min. The resin was washed with DMF, DCM, and dried with air. The peptides were cleaved using a TFA cocktail (95% TFA, 2.5% water, and 2.5% TIS). Crude peptides were precipitated by adding ice-chilled anhydrous ethyl ether, washed with anhydrous ethyl ether three times, and dried. The crude peptide was then prep-HPLC purified.

# Visual and microrheology viscosity assay of gel-forming capability

To evaluate the ability of peptides to form gels *in situ*, we initially determined this tendency qualitatively based on visual examinations of peptide solutions using a tube-tapping method. In addition, we quantitatively determined the viscosity of select peptide solutions using a viscometer (Rheosense Inc; http://www.rheosense.com/products/viscometers) (Yan and Pochan, 2010). In the visual assay, aliquots of peptides were dissolved in an aqueous solution (i.e., de-ionized water, saline, or 5% glucose solution). The peptide that dissolved instantly and stayed as a clear solution without obvious macroscopic change in viscosity at 20 min after mixing was considered soluble. If macroscopic particles of peptides

remained in the solution at 20 min after mixing, the peptide was considered insoluble. If the peptide solution exhibited a high viscosity at 20 min after mixing, and the solution conformation only changed slowly when the vial is tilted 90° and tapped with a finger, the analog was considered a gel-forming peptide.

We studied the gel-forming activity of a total of ADE651 variants (ADE651 and variants 17 ADE651A-ADE651P which have unusual amino acid substitution at the junction sequence; Table 1) based on the visual method. The modification in these variants included the substitution of the only leucine with Aib, Ahx, Nva, Cit, Hyp, Nle, Nal, Abu, Met (O2), Dab, or  $\beta$ -alanine Orn, (ADE651A-ADE651K and ADE651P) as well as the substitution of the second lysine with Lys (Me), Arg (Me), Lys (Pyr), or Lys (Mpa) (ADE651L-ADE651O).

In addition, we quantitatively determined the viscosity of ADE651 and control peptides using the Rheosense viscometer. The peptide solution was loaded onto a Rheosense viscometer chip, and the sample was injected at a constant flow rate through the flow channel with multiple pressure sensors that monitor the pressure drop from the inlet to the outlet. Because the pressure drop is correlated with the shear stress at the boundary wall, the instrument determines the rheological properties based on the standard principles of rheometry (Yan and Pochan, 2010).

### Assay of CLR/RAMP1 signaling

The assay of the antagonistic activity of analogs was conducted by the Eurofins Discoverx using the HitHunter cAMP XS + assay as described earlier (Bradley and McLoughlin, 2009; Chang and Hsu, 2019). The dosedependent inhibitory effect of antagonists was studied in duplicate, at 10 different concentrations. Determination of the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) was performed using a 10-point dose-response curve. The starting concentration was 10  $\mu\text{M},$  and it was serially diluted 3-fold, in DMSO. Human β-CGRP (Eurofins Discoverx, Fremont, CA) was used as the agonist and a positive control in the assay. The assay of ADE651 has been performed in at least four different separate studies using at least four different batches of peptides. Using the Hit Hunter® assay (CALCRL-RAMP1 cAMP assay in the antagonist mode; https://www.discoverx.com/products/cellline/cho-k1-calcrl-ramp1-gs-cell-line-95-0164c2), the eight compounds in Table 1 were assayed side-by-side once, and the IC<sub>50</sub> was derived based on the standard assay of duplicate samples of a ten-point curve. In this homogenous, non-imaging assay, the activation of CLR/RAMP1 signaling was evaluated using the Enzyme Fragment Complementation (EFC) technology with  $\beta$ -galactosidase ( $\beta$ -Gal) as the functional reporter. The enzyme was split into two complementary portions: an enzyme acceptor and an enzyme donor. The enzyme donor is fused to cAMP and it competes with cAMP generated by cells

For binding to a cAMP-specific antibody. Active β-Gal is formed by complementation of exogenous enzyme acceptor to any unbound enzyme donor-cAMP. The active enzyme then converted a chemiluminescent substrate to generate a signal. Briefly, cAMP Hunter cell lines with the select receptor were expanded from freezer stocks (Bradley and McLoughlin, 2009), and cells were seeded in a total volume of 20 µL into 384-well microplates and incubated at 37°C for the appropriate time. For the determination of antagonistic activity, cells were pre-incubated with a sample followed by the CGRP agonist challenge at the EC<sub>80</sub> concentration. In this assay, the  $EC_{50}$  and  $EC_{80}$  concentrations for  $\beta\text{-}CGRP$  were 0.16 nM and 0.37nM, respectively. Known antagonists, including BIBN4096BS and ADE651, were used as controls. Intermediate dilution of samples was performed to generate 4X sample in the assay buffer. Before the assay, media was aspirated from cells and replaced with 10 µL 1:1 HBSS/Hepes: cAMP XS + Ab reagent, and 5 µL of 4X compound was added to the cells and incubated for 30 min. Then, 5  $\mu L$  of 4X EC\_{80} CGRP agonist was added to cells and incubated at 37°C or room temperature for the appropriate time. Vehicle concentration was 1%.

After compound incubation, the assay signal was generated through incubation with 20  $\mu$ L cAMP XS + ED/CL lysis cocktail for 1 h followed by incubation with 20  $\mu$ L cAMP XS + EA reagent for 3 h at room temperature. The chemiluminescent signal was

read with a PerkinElmer chemiluminescent plate reader. The compound activity was analyzed using a CBIS data analysis suite (ChemInnovation, CA). The percentage inhibition was calculated using the following formula: % Inhibition = 100% x (1 - (mean RLU of test sample - mean RLU of vehicle control)/ (mean RLU of EC<sub>80</sub> control - mean RLU of vehicle control)). Data were normalized to the maximal and minimal response observed in the presence of EC<sub>80</sub> agonist and vehicle.

# Measurement of the passage of peptide molecules through Centricon filters

To evaluate whether gel-formation reduces the movement of peptide molecules in solution, we used the Centricon<sup>®</sup> filters (Millipore) to separate samples and the carriers based on their molecular mass. The sample is monomeric peptides, and the carrier is the self-assembled gel. One milligram aliquots of the peptides were first dissolved as 0.1% solution in 5% glucose, 0.1% solution in saline, 5% solution in 5% glucose, 10% solution in 5% glucose, and 20% solution in 5% glucose. Ten minutes later, the 5%, 10%, and 20% solutions were diluted to the 0.1% level. Aliquots of these solutions were sampled and dispensed into separate Centricon columns (30,000 MW cutoff), and the monomeric peptide and gel molecules were separated by centrifugation for 15 min (2000 x g). The level of peptide in the elutes was determined by specific EIAs (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals Inc.).

### Animals and ethics statement

In vivo experiments were conducted using adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (body weight 150–200 g, Charles River) that were 10–14 weeks old. Rats were housed in the Cardio-lab LLC (Gaithersburg, MD) animal care facilities. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees and all animals were managed in full compliance with the requirements of the Animal Welfare Act and in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animals were euthanized by  $CO_2$  individually at the end of experiments.

# Analysis of the release of ADE651 from gel solution *in vivo*

Single-dose pharmacokinetics of gel solution was investigated in male Sprague-Dawley rats. Rats received a peptide solution *via* the subcutaneous route. Blood samples were collected at pre-dose, 8 h, 1, 2, 4, and 8 days *via* a catheter that has been cannulated to the jugular vein (Charles River), and 0.5-1.0 ml of blood samples were collected at each

time point. The animals were individually housed, plasma was obtained by centrifugation, and the peptide level in samples was determined by specific ADM2 EIAs (Catalogue no. EK-010–48, Phoenix Pharmaceuticals Inc.). It has a 0% cross-reactivity to ADM or CGRPs and has a sensitivity of 0.19 ng/ml. The Interassay variation was <15%. While the ADM2 EIA recognizes the C-terminal HSY-NH2 motif in the ADM2 and ADE651 peptides in a linear manner, its efficiency for detecting ADE651 was reduced by 2.5-fold, perhaps due to lipidation interference. Therefore, the assay would underestimate the level of ADE651 by 2.5 folds, and the change in ADE651 level is presented as the fold-change of immunoreactive ADM2 level in the pharmacokinetic study.

# Measurements of dermal blood flow and vasodilation in rat hindlimbs

Adult Sprague Dawley male rats (body weight 150-200 g) were randomly divided into treatment groups. Animals were individually housed during the experiment. After acclimation, rats were anesthetized and stabilized under 2.5% isoflurane, and were placed on a heating pad. Testing articles were prepared just before the experiment and individually administered subcutaneously into the hindlimbs. Human β-CGRP (LifeTein LLC, Hillsborough, NJ) was dissolved in a saline solution, and the antagonist solution was prepared in a 5% glucose solution. The CGRP solution was used as a vasodilator to increase dermal blood flow via the CLR/ RAMP receptors (Buntinx et al., 2015; Vu et al., 2017). In this experiment, the antagonist gel solution was administered at 0 h as a liquid solution shortly after dissolution to prevent issues associated with the formation of semi-solid gel when the peptide is dissolved at high levels. The CGRP solution was injected (1 mg/100  $\mu$ L) at 4 h after gel administration. The measurement of dermal blood flow continued for 2 h after CGRP administration because the CGRP's vasodilatory effect lasts only ~2-3 h. The amount of blood flow was determined by laser Doppler imaging as described earlier (Van der Schueren et al., 2007; Benschop et al., 2014). The laser Doppler scan series of the hindlimbs began with two baseline scans and was followed by two scans each at select time points. Data are reported as percent change from the average baseline scans.

### Statistical analysis

Comparisons among test groups were performed by the ANOVA test followed by Tukey-Kramer or z-test analysis, or Student's *t*-test using the Excel Analysis ToolPak package. The data were presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM, and the significance was accepted at p < 0.05.

### Results

# Select chimeric CLR/RAMP receptor antagonists self-assemble to form gels *in situ*

Based on the analysis of lipidated chimeric peptides, we have previously identified a series of potent peptide antagonists that inhibit CLR/RAMP1 and/or 2. By serendipity, we also found that a 17-amino-acid analog (i.e., ADE651 or Antagonist 2-4 in Chang and Hsu (2019) and a 28-amino-acid (i.e., ADE609 or Antagonist 1-2 in Chang and Hsu (2019) antagonist selfassemble to form liquid gels *in situ* (Chang and Hsu, 2019). Unlike nonlipidated chimeric peptides which dissolved and stayed as a clear liquid solution for days, 6–20% solutions of these peptides became viscous at 20 min after dissolution, and the solution did not flow as a liquid when the vial is tilted 90° and tapped (Figure 1A). Because ADE651 forms gels at a faster pace compared to ADE609, we chose it as a prototype for further characterization.

Studies of the viscosity of peptide solutions with a viscometer showed that the viscosities of the saline solution and 5% glucose solution are significantly higher than that of the water control (Figure 1B). While the viscosity of 0.5% and 2.5% ADM solutions was significantly higher than that of water, the viscosity of these solutions was like that of 5% glucose solution. Consistent with the visual analysis, the viscosity of the 0.5% ADE651 solution was significantly higher than that of all other solutions evaluated (Figure 1B). In a separate experiment, we compared the viscosity of ADE651 to a control chimeric ADM/ADM2 peptide (i.e., ADE410) which does not form a liquid gel at 6–20% level. It showed that the viscosity of 2.5% ADE651 solution is almost 4 times that of the 2.5% ADE410 solution (5.19  $\pm$  0.01 vs 1.36  $\pm$  0.01; Figure 1C). These data suggested that the ADE651 analog has a high propensity to form gels.

### Residues at the junctional region of ADE651 are important for gaining potent antagonistic activity and gel-forming activity

Because ADE651 has a potent antagonistic effect on CGRP signaling and the second lysine residue was shown to be important for maintaining its bioactivity (Chang and Hsu, 2019), we hypothesized that the junctional sequence of this peptide could be important for gaining the gel-forming capability and enhanced antagonistic activity. Accordingly, we studied a total of 16 ADE651 variants (ADE651A–ADE651P) with single residue substitution at the junction of ADM and ADM2 sequences of ADE651 (Table 1). These modifications included the substitution of the only leucine with unusual amino acids including, Aib, Ahx, Nva, Cit, Hyp, Nle, Orn, Nal, Abu, Met



### FIGURE 1

ADE651 forms a liquid gel *in situ*. (A) Pictures of an 11% ADE651 solution at 30 min after dissolution. The solution became viscous and lost the ability to flow freely in the tube. The position of the gel mass is indicated by blue arrows. (B) The viscosity of peptide solutions (N = 5 per group). The viscosity was determined by a Rheosense viscometer. The solutions included a water control, a saline control, a 5% glucose control, a 0.5% ADE651 in 5% glucose, a 0.5% ADD in 5% glucose, and a 2.5% ADM in 5% glucose. \*, Significantly different from the water control. #, Significantly different from the 0.5% and 2.5% ADM solutions. (C) The viscosity of 2.5% ADE651 and 2.5% ADE410 control solutions. The ADE410 control peptide shares the C-terminal sequence with ADE651 and its sequence is VGCRFGTCTVQKLAHLWQLMGPAGRQDSAPVDPSSPHSY-NH2.

The statistics used included ANOVA and Student's t-test. \*, Significantly different from the water control. #, Significantly different from the 2.5% ADE410 solution. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM of 5 separate readings.

(O2), Dab, and  $\beta$ -alanine (ADE651A–ADE651K and ADE651P) as well as the substitution of the second lysine with Lys (Me), Arg (Me), Lys (Pyr), or Lys (Mpa) (ADE651L–ADE651O). Visual analysis of the gel-forming activity of these variants at 11% concentration showed that seven variants, C, H, J, L, M, N, and O, retain the gel-forming capability. All other variants were insoluble or partially soluble at the 11% level. In addition, we measured the antagonistic activity of variants C, H, J, L, M, N, and O toward CGRP-mediated CLR/RAMP1 signaling (Table 1). Although H and O variants had a significantly reduced antagonistic activity compared to ADE651, C, J, L, M, and N variants retained the potent antagonistic activity. These data

suggest that a suitable conformation at the junctional region of ADE651 is important for determining the ability to inhibit CGRP signaling and form a liquid gel. Because the antagonistic and gelforming activities of C, J, L, M, and N variants were not significantly different from that of ADE651, we continued the study with the better-characterized ADE651 peptide.

# Gel-formation slows the passage of ADE651 molecules through the Centricon filter

To evaluate whether gel-formation slows the movement of ADE651 molecules in solution, we analyzed the ability of ADE651 molecules to pass through Centricon filters at concentrations. ADE651, CGRP, different and the ADE410 control peptides are soluble from 0.1% to 20% in 5% glucose solution. However, ADE651 has low solubility in the saline, and the solution appeared cloudy at 6-20%. Before the filtration with the Centricon filter, aliquots of these peptides were first dissolved at the target concentrations (i.e., 0.1, 5, 10, or 20%), and the high-concentration samples were then diluted to the 0.1% level 10 min later. Analysis of the peptide level in the elutes showed that the level of CGRP is similar in elutes from 0.1, 5, 10, and 20% groups (Figure 2A). Likewise, the level of ADE410 peptide in elutes from different solution groups was similar (Figure 2B). On the other hand, the level of ADE651 in the elute from the 0.1% saline group was significantly lower than that of the 0.1% solution in 5% glucose group, perhaps due to its low solubility in the saline (Figure 2C). While ADE651 was soluble at 5-20% in 5% glucose, the level of ADE651 in the elutes from 5%, 10%, and 20% solution groups was reduced by more than 98% when compared to the 0.1% solution group, suggesting that most ADE651 molecules were strained in the gel and cannot pass through the Centricon filter membrane when it was first dissolved at a high concentration (Figure 2C).

### Subcutaneous administration of ADE651 gel solution leads to the sustained presence of ADE651 in the circulation of rats

Because the rate of dissociation of hydrogels depends on electrostatic interactions among the monomeric peptide, solvent, and solutes, the release of ADE651 from the gel is likely affected by peptide concentration and other solutes (Yan and Pochan, 2010; Kopecek and Yang, 2012; Thomas et al., 2016). Therefore, it is important to characterize the release kinetics of ADE651 *in vivo* before studying its efficacy in animals. Analysis of the circulating level of ADE651 in rats after subcutaneous injection of a 16% ADE651 solution showed that the level of ADE651 peaks at 8 h after administration, and the peptide level



gradually decreased along the 8-day sampling period (Figure 3). The level of ADE651 remained significantly elevated at 8 days after injection. These data suggest that, unlike the parental ADM and ADM2 peptides which have a short half-life (i.e., <1 h resident time after subcutaneous injection) (Nagata et al., 2022), the gel formulation allows the ADE651 peptide to be slowly released over a week after administration.

# Subcutaneous administration of ADE651 gel solution inhibits CGRP-induced vasodilation in rat hindlimbs

To evaluate whether ADE651 is capable of blocking CGRP signaling *in vivo*, we studied its effects on CGRP-induced increase of dermal blood flow in the hindlimbs of anesthetized rats. Based on the laser Doppler imaging analysis, we quantified the amount of blood flow in the hindlimbs at different time points after drug treatment. ADE651 or saline solution was administered at 0 h of the experiment, and the vasodilator

CGRP was injected at 4 h after the initial treatment. As shown in Figures 4A,B, the injection of CGRP (1 mg/100  $\mu$ L solution) in control animals at 4 h after the start of the experiment led to significant increases in blood flow in the hindlimbs at the 5 h time point, and the increase was >25%. By contrast, in animals that were pretreated with a subcutaneous injection of ADE651 (8 mg in 8% solution), the CGRP treatment only led to a 6% increase in blood flow at the 5 h time point (Figures 4A,C). In addition, we found that the amount of blood flow in the ADE651 treatment group was significantly lower than that of the control animals before the CGRP treatment (i.e., at the 4 h time point). These data suggested that ADE651 treatment not only reduced the CGRPinduced increase in blood flow but also suppressed the action of endogenous vasodilatory factors in animals.

## Discussion

Although CGRP has emerged as a key target for migraine treatment, many patients have limited responses to existing anti-



solution; 32 mg in 16% solution) were delivered subcutaneously to rats, and blood samples were collected at predose, 8, 24, 48, 96, and 192 h after injection. The circulating level of ADE651 in the plasma was significantly increased from eight to 192 h after drug administration. Peptide level was determined by specific ADM2 EIA that detects the C-terminal sequence of ADE651. The statistics used included ANOVA and Student's *t*-test. \*, Significantly different from the control level at 0 h. Data are mean + SEM of three separate animals.

CGRP therapeutics. As such, there is an emerging need for alternative strategies to target the validated and yet not fully explored CLR/RAMP-mediated pain signaling. Studies of a gelforming CGRP antagonist showed that the antagonist gel slowly dissociates and exerts a sustained effect on CGRP signaling. Because the self-assembled gel represents an ideal mode for delivering peptide therapeutics, and because the peptide antagonist could have a high volume of distribution and a better chance to target neuronal receptors compared to anti-CGRP antibodies, the gel-forming antagonists may represent promising candidates to treat chronic migraine which cannot be ameliorated by existing drugs. In addition, we speculate that the use of gel-forming dual antagonists such as ADE609 may represent a novel strategy to better serve patients who have a limited response to anti-CGRP drugs by blocking both CGRPand ADM-mediated pain pathways.

Migraine is a common complex neurovascular disorder that is manifested as episodic and predominantly unilateral throbbing headache with hypersensitivity to light, sound, and movement (Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Schuster and Rapoport, 2016). According to the World Health Organization, 324 million people worldwide suffer from incapacitating migraine in 2008. In ~ onethird of patients, migraine headaches are accompanied by an aura involving neurological symptoms such as transient visual, sensory, or motor disturbances (Goadsby et al., 2002). In the US, it was reported that 15% of Americans (9.7% of males and 20.7% of females) had a migraine in the past 3 months (Burch et al., 2021). As such, migraine represents a top global cause of disability-adjusted life years and poses significant costs to society (Steiner et al., 2020; Burch et al., 2021). Prior to the introduction of anti-CGRP therapeutics, migraine is managed with three categories of treatments including, triptans, ergotamines, and analgesics (e.g., non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), or the combination of paracetamol, aspirin, and caffeine) (Jackson et al., 2015). These drugs could be effective for symptomatic control if used early. Migraine has also been treated with preventive medications such as topiramate, valproate, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, Botulinum neurotoxin A (BoNT-A), and angiotensin II receptor antagonists (Halker et al., 2016). However, these medications are inadequate for the control of severe migraine in many patients. Recent progress has led to the approval of several anti-CGRP therapeutics for acute or preventive treatment (Goadsby et al., 2020; Ailani et al., 2021; Croop et al., 2021; Altamura et al., 2022). They include four anti-CGRP and anti-CGRP receptor antibodies (i.e., galcanezumab, fremanezumab, eptinezumab, and erenumab), and three small molecule anti-CGRP therapies. Compared to the placebo group, CGRP antibody therapies resulted in a reduction of monthly migraine days of 1.44-1.55 days (i.e., in patients who on average have 8-14 migraine headache days per month) (Deen et al., 2017; Tso and Goadsby, 2017; Tepper, 2018; Deng et al., 2020; Vandervorst et al., 2021). In addition, eptinezumab was shown to increase the proportion of patients with moderate/maximal optimization from 31% at baseline to 58% at week four compared to 40-50% in the placebo group and was associated with improvements in acute medication optimization compared with placebos (Cady et al., 2022). Furthermore, anti-CGRP antibodies were shown to reduce acute migraine-specific medication days of 1.28 days and the use of acute headache medication (Deng et al., 2020; Tepper et al., 2021). Studies of the economic impact have shown that while anti-CGRP antibodies and Botulinum neurotoxin A have similar prophylactic effects, erenumab had more incremental economic benefits compared to Botulinum neurotoxin A (Chen et al., 2021; Khanal et al., 2022). Moreover, recent studies have shown that the use of galcanezumab improves distress perception in migraine patients' relatives' lives (i.e., caregiver relatives' Stress Scale score) (Fofi et al., 2022). However, the anti-CGRP antibodies' efficacy is just comparable to those of currently used preventive drugs. For example, the use of topiramate has a reduction of 1.11 migraine days, and this efficacy does not differ from that of anti-CGRP antibodies (Overeem et al., 2021). Nonetheless, anti-CGRP antibodies appeared to have good tolerability and a better efficacy over adverse effect profile compared to traditional therapies. Like anti-CGRP antibodies, the use of small molecule anti-CGRP antagonists resulted in pain reduction. For example, ubrogepant treatment led to pain freedom at 2 h in 21.8% of acute migraine patients compared to 14.3% in the placebo group. The absolute difference in the pain-free rate was 6.4-7.5% (Lipton et al., 2019; Switzer et al., 2022). In the meta-analysis, small molecule antagonists were shown to provide 2 h pain freedom in ~20% of



6 h after the start of the experiment. The time points of drug injection are indicated by red and green arrows. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM of three separate animals. \*, Significantly different from the control group. #, Significantly different from blood flow that was recorded at the 4 h time point. The statistics used included ANOVA and Student's *t*-test. (**B**) Representative scans of dermal blood flow at different time points in control animals that received a saline injection at 0 h (i.e., baseline). The CGRP treatment significantly increased blood flow in the hindlimbs of control rats. (**C**) Representative scans of blood flow at different at 0 h. The ADE651 pretreatment significantly reduced the CGRP-induced and normal vasodilation in the hindlimbs.

patients and pain relief in ~60% (Zhang et al., 2021; Altamura et al., 2022). Because these anti-CGRP therapies have an efficacy comparable to the currently used drugs, migraine headaches remain a life-long burden for many patients (Krymchantowski et al., 2019). New therapeutic approaches that can fully explore the CLR/RAMP-mediated pain pathways may provide an alternative strategy to reduce the medical burden on migraine patients.

Before the introduction of anti-CGRP antibody therapies, the standard-of-care drugs were nonspecific, and the use of these drugs was affected by drug interactions and adverse effect profile (Hepp et al., 2014). On the other hand, the limited efficacy of anti-CGRP antibodies could be associated with the mechanisms of action. Anti-CGRP antibodies block signaling by sequestering

the circulating CGRP molecules, and the anti-RAMP1 antibody such as erenumab blocks ligand interactions by projecting a complementary determining region into the interface between CLR and RAMP1 (Garces et al., 2020). Although these antibodies have a high affinity for CGRP or CGRP receptor *in vitro*, drug disposition model analysis showed that antibody concentrations required for effective blocking are relatively high. For example, erenumab concentrations required for 50% and 99% of inhibition of capsaicin-induced dermal blood flow in patients were 0.255 mg/L and 1.134 mg/L, respectively (Vu et al., 2017). Regardless of the mechanism of action, all these antibodies have a large molecule weight and potentially a small volume of distribution, therefore limiting their ability to access target nerves (Al-Hassany et al., 2022; Ornello et al., 2022). They mainly

act by reducing the circulating level of CGRP or CGRP signaling in cells that are in proximity of the vascular system (Edvinsson, 2008a; Edvinsson, 2008b; Erdener and Dalkara, 2014; Deen et al., 2017). The volume of distribution reflects how a drug distributes throughout the body. The larger the molecule, the harder it is to passively diffuse out of the vascular compartment. The major determinants of the volume of distribution include molecule size, charge, solubility, pKa, and the lipid/water partition coefficient. For endogenous and exogenous antibodies, the tissue/blood concentration ratio is ~0.1-0.5 (i.e., antibody concentrations are substantially lower in the interstitial fluid than in the plasma) (Dostalek et al., 2013; Ryman and Meibohm, 2017). At a steady state, antibodies are confined to the vascular and interstitial spaces and have a volume of distribution of 3-8 L (Ovacik and Lin, 2018). For example, the ant-CGRP receptor antibody erenumab has a molecular mass of ~150 kDa and a volume of distribution of 3.86L, and the galcanezumab has a volume of distribution of 7.3L (https://go.drugbank.com/drugs). On the other hand, peptide drugs generally have a larger volume of distribution compared to antibodies. For example, the volume of distribution of approved peptide therapeutics such as exenatide, abaloparatide, histrelin, octreotide, and setmelanotide was 28.3L, 50.0L, 58.4L, 18.1-30.4L, and 48.7L, respectively. Therefore, small peptide antagonists such as ADE651 and ADE609 may have better access to potential CLR/RAMP targets compared to therapeutic antibodies. Future development of these analog gels may thus provide an alternative strategy to better access the migraine-associated CLR/ RAMP receptor targets.

In addition to providing relief for acute migraine, small molecule anti-CGRP therapies such as atogepant and rimegepant, which are referred to as gepant compounds, are approved for preventive treatment (Altamura et al., 2022; Switzer et al., 2022). It is known that anti-CGRP gepants could occupy a binding site close to the interface of the N-terminal domains of CLR and RAMP1, and act by allosteric regulation (Miller et al., 2010). Although the gepants compounds have low IC<sub>50</sub> values in receptor activation assays in vitro, it is well documented that gepants need high concentrations to block CGRP signaling in vivo. For example, the EC (90) for telcagepant to inhibit the capsaicin-induced increase in dermal blood flow was ~909 nM (Sinclair et al., 2010). Therefore, the limited efficacy of small molecule antagonists could be due to restricted bioavailability in target tissues or their specificity for select signaling states (Goadsby et al., 2020; Ailani et al., 2021; Croop et al., 2021; Altamura et al., 2022; Tanna et al., 2022). Because the gelforming peptide antagonists are expected to block receptor activation via a distinct and larger interface compared to small molecule antagonists (Lee et al., 2016), we speculate that the gel-forming antagonists could have a pharmacodynamic characteristic distinct from that of gepant therapies.

In the present study, we chose the ADE651 analog as a prototype because it appeared to have a better gel-forming

capability. ADE651 is a 17-amino-acid chimera with 5 Nterminal residues from ADM and 12 C-terminal residues from ADM2 (Chang and Hsu, 2019). Because earlier studies showed that the substitution of ADE651s second lysine with asparagine abolishes its bioactivity, we reasoned that its potent antagonistic and gel-forming activities could be associated with the sequence at the chimeric junction. Consistent with the hypothesis, substitution of the leucine residue at the junction with various unusual amino acids led to a disruption of the ability to form gels. Among the 12 variants with substitution at the leucine position, only three retained the gel-forming activity. Among these three variants, one has reduced antagonistic activity. On the other hand, the substitution of the second lysine with methyl lysine, methyl arginine, Lys-Pyr, or Lys-Mpa has a minimal effect on gel formation. However, modification of the lysine residue with a thiol group-containing Mpa increased the  $IC_{50}$  by > 10 folds. These data suggested electrostatic interactions surrounding the junctional sequence could be important for gaining the gelforming activity and potent antagonistic activity. Further exploration of variants with modifications at these key positions may generate analogs with even better physicalchemical properties (i.e., improved gel-forming capability and stability in vivo) compared to analogs studied here.

CGRP family peptides include a- and β-CGRP peptides, ADM, and ADM2 (Kitamura et al., 1994; Michibata et al., 1998; Hinson et al., 2000; Roh et al., 2004; Takei et al., 2004). These peptides signal through CLR/RAMP receptor complexes composed of two transmembrane components, the CLR and one of the three RAMPs (RAMP1, 2, and 3) (McLatchie et al., 1998; Hinson et al., 2000; Roh et al., 2004; Takei et al., 2004; Bell and McDermott, 2008). Whereas CGRPs mainly act through CLR/ RAMP1, ADM has a high affinity for CLR/RAMP2 and 3 (McLatchie et al., 1998; Muff et al., 1998). On the other hand, ADM2 is a mild ligand for all three receptors. In addition to CGRP, ADM has been implicated in the regulation of inflammatory heat hyperalgesia, the development of morphine tolerance, and spinal glial activation as well as migraine pain (Ma et al., 2006; Fernandez et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Zeng et al., 2014; Ghanizada et al., 2021; Garelja and Hay, 2022; Rees et al., 2022). Migraine patients infused with ADM developed migraine attacks, suggesting that the ADM-mediated CLR/ RAMP2 signaling represents a potential target for migraine treatment (Ghanizada et al., 2021). In a recent study, we reported that palmitoylated ADM/ADM2 chimeras of 27- to 31-amino-acid have potent antagonistic activity toward CLR/ RAMP1 and 2. The IC<sub>50</sub> values of these analogs for CLR/ RAMP1 were 10-fold lower than that of CGRP8-37, and the IC50 values of them for CLR/RAMP2 were five- to 50-fold lower than that of ADM22-52 (Chang and Hsu, 2019). Although this study has focused on the ADE651 analog, future exploration of gel-forming antagonists that have potent inhibitory activity on multiple CLR/RAMP receptors may generate candidates that can block not only CGRP- but also ADM-mediated pain pathways, therefore providing therapeutic effects not attainable with existing anti-CGRP therapeutics. It is also important to note that the characterization of antagonistic analogs is limited to CLR/RAMP1 and 2 signalings (Chang and Hsu, 2019); future studies that encompass CLR/RAMP3 and the calcitonin receptor (CTR)/RAMP receptor pathways using the same mode of second messenger measurements are needed to fully understand the molecular mechanisms underlying their actions *in vivo* and reveal whether these antagonist gels have unforeseen risks.

Our screening of long-acting antagonists has originally focused on lipidated peptides because lipidation is known to increase the half-lives of various peptides by enabling them to be bound by albumin and other serum proteins, therefore reducing elimination by kidneys and degradation by serum proteases (Drucker et al., 2010). Of interest, we also found that palmitoylation can significantly enhance the bioactivity of various chimeric ADM/ADM2 agonists and antagonists (Chang and Hsu, 2019). This finding is consistent with earlier studies of benzoylated and lipidated CGRP8-37 analogs which have enhanced antagonistic activity, perhaps due to strengthened interactions between the ligand and target cells (Smith et al., 2003; Williams et al., 2018; Jamaluddin et al., 2022). Therefore, a lipidated antagonist such as ADE651 may acquire the long resident time in vivo partly via an improved interaction with receptors or the cell surface membrane environment (Nanga et al., 2011; Perez-Castells et al., 2012; Russell et al., 2014; Ueda et al., 2019). Although ADE651 and related antagonists are expected to have an extended half-life compared to classical antagonists such as CGRP8-37, the pharmacological characteristics are not sufficient to provide a comparative advantage when compared to anti-CGRP antibodies because the half-life of a lipidated peptide is still limited. Importantly, we found that subcutaneous injection of ADE651 leads to the sustained presence of this peptide in the circulation for >1 week. One of the best-characterized gel-forming peptides is the FDAapproved somatostatin receptor agonist lanreotide (Oberg and Lamberts, 2016). Lanreotide is administered as a 25% gel depot, and the gel slowly releases the lanreotide monomer over a period of a month. This formulation is advantageous because it has an ~100% loading capacity and the only gelforming molecule in the gel is the peptide therapeutics itself (Vaishya et al., 2015). However, this triumph has not been translated into other therapeutics because it is not clear how the gel-forming capability can be efficiently introduced into peptides (Grimaldi et al., 2022). Through the study of ADE651, it became clear that advantages provided by the self-assembled gel formulation may allow ADE651 and related antagonists to become viable drug candidates. However, it is important to note that the ability of peptides to form nanostructured gels is governed by multiple forces, including hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interactions, and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  aromatic interactions among side chains of amino acids (Yan and Pochan, 2010; Kopecek and Yang, 2012; Thomas et al., 2016). The concentration of peptide and other solutes can significantly affect electrostatic interactions among residues, salt, and water molecules, and hence the strength of gels (Yan and Pochan, 2010; Kopecek and Yang, 2012; Thomas et al., 2016). Therefore, future studies of gelformation with different adjuvants are critical to revealing whether these analogs can be further improved to provide an even longer period of release *in vivo*.

Because vasodilation models have been used as a biologically relevant way to investigate the anti-CGRP activity of diverse compounds (Brain et al., 1985; Hershey et al., 2005; Van der Schueren et al., 2007; Sinclair et al., 2010; Benschop et al., 2014), we studied ADE651's bioactivity in vivo based on its effect on CGRP-induced vasodilation. Although the model showed that ADE651 can block CGRP-induced vasodilation at least 5 h after injection, the pharmacokinetic study indicated that ADE651 gel may exert bioactivity for >1 week after a single injection. Additional vasodilation studies that span a longer period are needed to reveal how long the ADE651's antagonistic activity can last after an injection. Furthermore, studies of the effects of antagonist gels in other migraine models such as the nitroglycerin-provoked hyperalgesia attack and the plantar and orofacial formalin tests are needed to better understand the pharmacodynamic properties of the antagonist gel (Dong et al., 2015; Greco et al., 2015; Sufka et al., 2016).

Other than the regulation of pain perception, CGRP family peptides are important regulators of vascular endothelial functions as well as angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis (Caron and Smithies, 2001; Shindo et al., 2001; Ichikawa-Shindo et al., 2008; Koyama et al., 2013; Shindo et al., 2013; Koyama et al., 2015). CGRP, ADM, and ADM2 have been shown to promote vascular development and tumor growth (Toda et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2009; Zheng et al., 2010; Mishima et al., 2011; Aslam et al., 2012; Hong et al., 2012; Kurashige et al., 2014; Russell et al., 2014; McIlvried et al., 2022). In tumor-bearing CGRP knockout mice, there is a significant increase in tumor-infiltrating T cells and a significant reduction in tumor size compared to wild-type mice (McIlvried et al., 2022). In addition, many studies have shown that (1) ADM promotes tumor angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis, (2) the expression of ADM in tumors is associated with the aggressiveness of tumors, distant metastasis, and poor patient prognosis, and (3) blockage of ADM signaling reduces tumor-associated angiogenesis and metastasis of tumor xenografts (Ouafik et al., 2002; Ishikawa et al., 2003; Boudouresque et al., 2005; Nikitenko et al., 2006; Fritz-Six et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2008; Toda et al., 2008; Kaafarani et al., 2009; Tsuchiya et al., 2010; Berenguer-Daize et al., 2013; Karpinich et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Nouguerede et al., 2013; Siclari et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Khalfaoui-Bendriss et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015). Therefore, an antagonist gel that inhibits both CLR/RAMP1 and 2 may be useful for blocking CGRP- and ADM-mediated tumor growth and metastasis in patients (Vazquez et al., 2020).

Finally, it is important to note that the existing EIA assay for estimating the ADE651 level is not ideal. It underestimated the level of ADE651 when compared to wild-type ADM2; therefore, future development of a more sensitive assay or protocol to estimate the ADE651 level is needed to precisely characterize the pharmacokinetics of antagonist gels in animals and humans. Moreover, in addition to the identification of gel-forming peptide antagonists described here, we have recently reported the identification of gel-forming peptide agonists for CLR/RAMP receptors (Chang et al. 2022). In that study, we showed that administration of gel-forming agonists results in a sustained increase of dermal blood flow in rats, and the gel formulation allows a localized stimulatory effect. It is conceivable that the administration of gel-forming antagonists could have a similar localized effect. This property could have pros and cons in the future application of these antagonists. It may allow localized blockage of CLR/RAMP signaling; however, for systemic applications, it may result in an adverse effect in local tissues. Future toxicological studies on these issues are critical to evaluate the translational potential of these novel antagonists.

## Conclusion

Based on the analysis of a prototypic gel-forming peptide antagonist, we demonstrated that the antagonist gel allows the antagonist to be slowly released and inhibits CGRP-induced vasodilation. Because the peptide antagonist could have a high volume of distribution and act by blocking receptors on the cell surface, the antagonist gel may represent a novel strategy to ameliorate the CGRP- and/or ADM-provoked migraine headaches in patients.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **Ethics statement**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the CL Laboratory LLC.

### References

### Author contributions

CC and SH planned, collected, and analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. ZC collected and analyzed the data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

This study was partly supported by NCATS SBIR award (1R43TR001867-01, SH), NHLBI SBIR awards (1R43HL149499-01, SH), NINDS SBIR award (1R43NS110117-01, SH), and Adepthera LLC. The funders provided support in the form of salaries for SH but did not have any additional role in the study design, data analysis, or decision to publish the manuscript.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the technical assistance of Yi Wei (Stanford University).

### Conflict of interest

The author (SYTH) has pending patent applications (U.S. Application Serial No. 62643593, Gel-forming polypeptides) regarding the gel-forming analogs described here. Author SYTH was employed by Adepthera LLC.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Ailani, J., Lipton, R. B., Goadsby, P. J., Guo, H., Miceli, R., Severt, L., et al. (2021). Atogepant for the preventive treatment of migraine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 385 (8), 695–706. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035908

Aiyar, N., Daines, R. A., Disa, J., Chambers, P. A., Sauermelch, C. F., Quiniou, M., et al. (2001). Pharmacology of SB-273779, a nonpeptide calcitonin gene-related peptide 1 receptor antagonist. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 296 (3), 768-775.

Al-Hassany, L., Goadsby, P. J., Danser, A. H. J., and MaassenVanDenBrink, A. (2022). Calcitonin gene-related peptide-targeting drugs for migraine: How pharmacology might inform treatment decisions. *Lancet. Neurol.* 21 (3), 284–294. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00409-9

Altamura, C., Brunelli, N., Marcosano, M., Fofi, L., and Vernieri, F. (2022). Gepants - a long way to cure: A narrative review. *Neurol. Sci.* 43, 5697–5708. doi:10. 1007/s10072-022-06184-8

Aslam, M., Pfeil, U., Gunduz, D., Rafiq, A., Kummer, W., Piper, H. M., et al. (2012). Intermedin (adrenomedullin2) stabilizes the endothelial barrier and antagonizes thrombin-induced barrier failure in endothelial cell monolayers. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 165 (1), 208–222. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01540.x

Bell, D., and McDermott, B. J. (2008). Intermedin (adrenomedullin-2): A novel counter-regulatory peptide in the cardiovascular and renal systems. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 153 (1), S247–S262. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0707494

Benschop, R. J., Collins, E. C., Darling, R. J., Allan, B. W., Leung, D., Conner, E. M., et al. (2014). Development of a novel antibody to calcitonin gene-related peptide for the treatment of osteoarthritis-related pain. *Osteoarthr. Cartil.* 22 (4), 578–585. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.009

Berenguer-Daize, C., Boudouresque, F., Bastide, C., Tounsi, A., Benyahia, Z., Acunzo, J., et al. (2013). Adrenomedullin blockade suppresses growth of human hormone-independent prostate tumor xenograft in mice. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 19 (22), 6138–6150. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0691

Boudouresque, F., Berthois, Y., Martin, P. M., Figarella-Branger, D., Chinot, O., and Ouafik, L. (2005). Role of adrenomedullin in glioblastomas growth. *Bull. Cancer* 92 (4), 317–326.

Bradley, J., and McLoughlin, D. (2009). Use of the DiscoveRx Hit hunter cAMPII assay for direct measurement of cAMP in Gs and Gi GPCRs. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 552, 171–179. doi:10.1007/978-1-60327-317-6\_12

Brain, S. D., Williams, T. J., Tippins, J. R., Morris, H. R., and MacIntyre, I. (1985). Calcitonin gene-related peptide is a potent vasodilator. *Nature* 313 (5997), 54–56. doi:10.1038/313054a0

Buntinx, L., Vermeersch, S., and de Hoon, J. (2015). Development of antimigraine therapeutics using the capsaicin-induced dermal blood flow model. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 80 (5), 992–1000. doi:10.1111/bcp.12704

Burch, R., Rizzoli, P., and Loder, E. (2021). The prevalence and impact of migraine and severe headache in the United States: Updated age, sex, and socioeconomic-specific estimates from government health surveys. *Headache* 61 (1), 60–68. doi:10.1111/head.14024

Cady, R., Lipton, R. B., Buse, D. C., Josiassen, M. K., Lindsten, A., and Ettrup, A. (2022). Optimization of acute medication use following eptinezumab initiation during a migraine attack: Post hoc analysis of the RELIEF study. *J. Headache Pain* 23 (1), 91. doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01463-3

Caron, K. M., and Smithies, O. (2001). Extreme hydrops fetalis and cardiovascular abnormalities in mice lacking a functional Adrenomedullin gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 98 (2), 615–619. doi:10.1073/pnas.021548898

Chang, C. L., and Hsu, S. Y. T. (2019). Development of chimeric and bifunctional antagonists for CLR/RAMP receptors. *PLoS One* 14 (5), e0216996. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0216996

Chang, C. L., Cai, Z., and Hsu, S. Y. T. (2022). Sustained Activation of CLR/ RAMP Receptors by Gel-Forming Agonists. *Int J Mol Sci* 23 (21), 13408 doi:10. 3390/ijms232113408

Chen, Y. Y., Ye, X. Q., Tang, T. C., She, T. W., Chen, M., and Zheng, H. (2021). Calcitonin gene-related peptide monoclonal antibodies versus Botulinum neurotoxin a in the preventive treatment of chronic migraine: An adjusted indirect treatment comparison meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 12, 671845. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.671845

Choy, M. (2018). Pharmaceutical approval update. P Trans. 43 (8), 326-327.

Croop, R., Lipton, R. B., Kudrow, D., Stock, D. A., Kamen, L., Conway, C. M., et al. (2021). Oral rimegepant for preventive treatment of migraine: A phase 2/3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 397 (10268), 51–60. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32544-7

Deen, M., Correnti, E., Kamm, K., Kelderman, T., Papetti, L., Rubio-Beltran, E., et al. (2017). Blocking CGRP in migraine patients - a review of pros and cons. *J. Headache Pain* 18 (1), 96. doi:10.1186/s10194-017-0807-1

Deng, H., Li, G. G., Nie, H., Feng, Y. Y., Guo, G. Y., Guo, W. L., et al. (2020). Efficacy and safety of calcitonin-gene-related peptide binding monoclonal antibodies for the preventive treatment of episodic migraine - an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Neurol.* 20 (1), 57. doi:10.1186/s12883-020-01633-3

Dong, X., Hu, Y., Jing, L., and Chen, J. (2015). Role of phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase, calcitonin gene-related peptide and cyclooxygenase-2 in experimental rat models of migraine. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 12 (2), 1803–1809. doi:10.3892/mmr.2015.3616

Dostalek, M., Gardner, I., Gurbaxani, B. M., Rose, R. H., and Chetty, M. (2013). Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modelling of monoclonal antibodies. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 52 (2), 83–124. doi:10. 1007/s40262-012-0027-4

Drucker, D. J., Dritselis, A., and Kirkpatrick, P. (2010). Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 9 (4), 267-268. doi:10.1038/nrd3148

Durham, P. L., and Masterson, C. G. (2013). Two mechanisms involved in trigeminal CGRP release: Implications for migraine treatment. *Headache* 53 (1), 67–80. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.2012.02262.x

Edvinsson, L. (2008). CGRP blockers in migraine therapy: Where do they act? Br. J. Pharmacol. 155 (7), 967–969. doi:10.1038/bjp.2008.346

Edvinsson, L. (2008). CGRP-receptor antagonism in migraine treatment. Lancet 372 (9656), 2089–2090. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61710-9

Edvinsson, L., Fredholm, B. B., Hamel, E., Jansen, I., and Verrecchia, C. (1985). Perivascular peptides relax cerebral arteries concomitant with stimulation of cyclic adenosine monophosphate accumulation or release of an endothelium-derived relaxing factor in the cat. *Neurosci. Lett.* 58 (2), 213–217. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(85)90166-1

Edvinsson, L., Haanes, K. A., Warfvinge, K., and Krause, D. N. (2018). CGRP as the target of new migraine therapies - successful translation from bench to clinic. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 14 (6), 338–350. doi:10.1038/s41582-018-0003-1

Erdener, S. E., and Dalkara, T. (2014). Modelling headache and migraine and its pharmacological manipulation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 171 (20), 4575–4594. doi:10.1111/ bph.12651

Fattah, S., and Brayden, D. J. (2017). Progress in the formulation and delivery of somatostatin analogs for acromegaly. *Ther. Deliv.* 8 (10), 867–878. doi:10.4155/tde-2017-0064

Fernandez, A. P., Serrano, J., Martinez-Murillo, R., and Martinez, A. (2010). Lack of adrenomedullin in the central nervous system results in apparently paradoxical alterations on pain sensitivity. *Endocrinology* 151 (10), 4908–4915. doi:10.1210/en. 2010-0121

Fofi, L., Altamura, C., Fiorentini, G., Brunelli, N., Marcosano, M., Barbanti, P., et al. (2022). Improving distress perception and mutuality in migraine caregivers after 6 months of galcanezumab treatment. *Headache* 62 (9), 1143–1147. doi:10. 1111/head.14400

Fritz-Six, K. L., Dunworth, W. P., Li, M., and Caron, K. M. (2008). Adrenomedullin signaling is necessary for murine lymphatic vascular development. J. Clin. Invest. 118 (1), 40–50. doi:10.1172/JCI33302

Garces, F., Mohr, C., Zhang, L., Huang, C. S., Chen, Q., King, C., et al. (2020). Molecular insight into recognition of the CGRPR complex by migraine prevention therapy aimovig (erenumab). *Cell Rep.* 30 (6), 1714–1723. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020. 01.029

Garelja, M. L., and Hay, D. L. (2022). A narrative review of the calcitonin peptide family and associated receptors as migraine targets: Calcitonin gene-related peptide and beyond. *Headache* 62 (9), 1093–1104. doi:10.1111/head.14388

Geppetti, P., Benemei, S., and De Cesaris, F. (2015). CGRP receptors and TRP channels in migraine. J. Headache Pain 16 (1), A21. doi:10.1186/1129-2377-16-S1-A21

Ghanizada, H., Al-Karagholi, M. A., Arngrim, N., Morch-Rasmussen, M., Walker, C. S., Hay, D. L., et al. (2021). Effect of adrenomedullin on migraine-like attacks in patients with migraine: A randomized crossover study. *Neurology* 96 (20), e2488–e2499. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000011930

Goadsby, P. J., Dodick, D. W., Ailani, J., Trugman, J. M., Finnegan, M., Lu, K., et al. (2020). Safety, tolerability, and efficacy of orally administered atogepant for the prevention of episodic migraine in adults: A double-blind, randomised phase 2b/ 3 trial. *Lancet. Neurol.* 19 (9), 727–737. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30234-9

Goadsby, P. J., Lipton, R. B., and Ferrari, M. D. (2002). Migraine-current understanding and treatment. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 346 (4), 257–270. doi:10.1056/ NEJMra010917

Greco, R., Bandiera, T., Mangione, A. S., Demartini, C., Siani, F., Nappi, G., et al. (2015). Effects of peripheral FAAH blockade on NTG-induced hyperalgesiaevaluation of URB937 in an animal model of migraine. *Cephalalgia* 35 (12), 1065–1076. doi:10.1177/0333102414566862

Grimaldi, M., Santoro, A., Buonocore, M., Crivaro, C., Funicello, N., Sublimi Saponetti, M., et al. (2022). A new approach to supramolecular structure determination in pharmaceutical preparation of self-assembling peptides: A case study of lanreotide Autogel. *Pharmaceutics* 14 (3), 681. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14030681

Halker, R. B., Starling, A. J., Vargas, B. B., and Schwedt, T. J. (2016). ACE and ARB agents in the prophylactic therapy of migraine-how effective are they? *Curr. Treat. Options Neurol.* 18 (4), 15. doi:10.1007/s11940-016-0397-2

Hendrikse, E. R., Bower, R. L., Hay, D. L., and Walker, C. S. (2018). Molecular studies of CGRP and the CGRP family of peptides in the central nervous system. *Cephalalgia* 39, 403–419. doi:10.1177/0333102418765787

Hepp, Z., Bloudek, L. M., and Varon, S. F. (2014). Systematic review of migraine prophylaxis adherence and persistence. *J. Manag. Care Pharm.* 20 (1), 22–33. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2014.20.1.22

Hershey, J. C., Corcoran, H. A., Baskin, E. P., Salvatore, C. A., Mosser, S., Williams, T. M., et al. (2005). Investigation of the species selectivity of a nonpeptide CGRP receptor antagonist using a novel pharmacodynamic assay. *Regul. Pept.* 127 (1-3), 71–77. doi:10.1016/j.regpep.2004.10.010

Hinson, J. P., Kapas, S., and Smith, D. M. (2000). Adrenomedullin, a multifunctional regulatory peptide. *Endocr. Rev.* 21 (2), 138–167. doi:10.1210/edrv.21.2.0396

Hong, Y., Hay, D. L., Quirion, R., and Poyner, D. R. (2012). The pharmacology of adrenomedullin 2/intermedin. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 166 (1), 110–120. doi:10.1111/j. 1476-5381.2011.01530.x

Ichikawa-Shindo, Y., Sakurai, T., Kamiyoshi, A., Kawate, H., Iinuma, N., Yoshizawa, T., et al. (2008). The GPCR modulator protein RAMP2 is essential for angiogenesis and vascular integrity. *J. Clin. Invest.* 118 (1), 29–39. doi:10.1172/JCI33022

Ishikawa, T., Chen, J., Wang, J., Okada, F., Sugiyama, T., Kobayashi, T., et al. (2003). Adrenomedullin antagonist suppresses *in vivo* growth of human pancreatic cancer cells in SCID mice by suppressing angiogenesis. *Oncogene* 22 (8), 1238–1242. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1206207

Jackson, J. L., Cogbill, E., Santana-Davila, R., Eldredge, C., Collier, W., Gradall, A., et al. (2015). A comparative effectiveness meta-analysis of drugs for the prophylaxis of migraine headache. *PLoS One* 10 (7), e0130733. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0130733

Jacobs, B., and Dussor, G. (2016). Neurovascular contributions to migraine: Moving beyond vasodilation. *Neuroscience* 338, 130–144. doi:10.1016/j. neuroscience.2016.06.012

Jamaluddin, A., Chuang, C. L., Williams, E. T., Siow, A., Yang, S. H., Harris, P. W. R., et al. (2022). Lipidated calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) peptide antagonists retain CGRP receptor activity and attenuate CGRP action *in vivo*. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 832589. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.832589

Jin, D., Harada, K., Ohnishi, S., Yamahara, K., Kangawa, K., and Nagaya, N. (2008). Adrenomedullin induces lymphangiogenesis and ameliorates secondary lymphoedema. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 80 (3), 339-345. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvn228

Kaafarani, I., Fernandez-Sauze, S., Berenguer, C., Chinot, O., Delfino, C., Dussert, C., et al. (2009). Targeting adrenomedullin receptors with systemic delivery of neutralizing antibodies inhibits tumor angiogenesis and suppresses growth of human tumor xenografts in mice. *FASEB J.* 23 (10), 3424–3435. doi:10.1096/fj.08-127852

Karpinich, N. O., Kechele, D. O., Espenschied, S. T., Willcockson, H. H., Fedoriw, Y., and Caron, K. M. (2013). Adrenomedullin gene dosage correlates with tumor and lymph node lymphangiogenesis. *FASEB J.* 27 (2), 590–600. doi:10.1096/fj.12-214080

Khalfaoui-Bendriss, G., Dussault, N., Fernandez-Sauze, S., Berenguer-Daize, C., Sigaud, R., Delfino, C., et al. (2015). Adrenomedullin blockade induces regression of tumor neovessels through interference with vascular endothelial-cadherin signalling. *Oncotarget* 6 (10), 7536–7553. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.3167

Khanal, S., Underwood, M., Naghdi, S., Brown, A., Duncan, C., Matharu, M., et al. (2022). A systematic review of economic evaluations of pharmacological treatments for adults with chronic migraine. *J. Headache Pain* 23 (1), 122. doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01492-y

Kitamura, K., Ichiki, Y., Tanaka, M., Kawamoto, M., Emura, J., Sakakibara, S., et al. (1994). Immunoreactive adrenomedullin in human plasma. *FEBS Lett.* 341 (2-3), 288–290. doi:10.1016/0014-5793(94)80474-5

Kopecek, J., and Yang, J. (2012). Smart self-assembled hybrid hydrogel biomaterials. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 51 (30), 7396–7417. doi:10.1002/anie. 201201040

Koyama, T., Ochoa-Callejero, L., Sakurai, T., Kamiyoshi, A., Ichikawa-Shindo, Y., Iinuma, N., et al. (2013). Vascular endothelial adrenomedullin-RAMP2 system is essential for vascular integrity and organ homeostasis. *Circulation* 127 (7), 842–853. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000756

Koyama, T., Sakurai, T., Kamiyoshi, A., Ichikawa-Shindo, Y., Kawate, H., and Shindo, T. (2015). Adrenomedullin-RAMP2 system in vascular endothelial cells. *J. Atheroscler. Thromb.* 22 (7), 647–653. doi:10.5551/jat.29967

Krymchantowski, A. V., Krymchantowski, A. G. F., and Jevoux, C. D. C. (2019). Migraine treatment: The doors for the future are open, but with caution and prudence. *Arq. Neuropsiquiatr.* 77 (2), 115–121. doi:10.1590/0004-282X20190004

Kumar, A., Williamson, M., Hess, A., DiPette, D. J., and Potts, J. D. (2022). Alphacalcitonin gene related peptide: New therapeutic strategies for the treatment and prevention of cardiovascular disease and migraine. *Front. Physiol.* 13, 821. doi:10. 3389/fphys.2019.00821

Kurashige, C., Hosono, K., Matsuda, H., Tsujikawa, K., Okamoto, H., and Majima, M. (2014). Roles of receptor activity-modifying protein 1 in angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis during skin wound healing in mice. *FASEB J.* 28 (3), 1237–1247. doi:10.1096/fj.13-238998

Lee, S. M., Hay, D. L., and Pioszak, A. A. (2016). Calcitonin and amylin receptor peptide interaction mechanisms: Insights into peptide-binding modes and allosteric modulation of the calcitonin receptor by receptor activity-modifying proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291 (16), 8686–8700. doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.713628

Lipton, R. B., Dodick, D. W., Ailani, J., Lu, K., Finnegan, M., Szegedi, A., et al. (2019). Effect of ubrogepant vs placebo on pain and the most bothersome associated

symptom in the acute treatment of migraine: The ACHIEVE II randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 322 (19), 1887–1898. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.16711

Liu, A. G., Zhang, X. Z., Li, F. B., Zhao, Y. L., Guo, Y. C., and Yang, R. M. (2013). RNA interference targeting adrenomedullin induces apoptosis and reduces the growth of human bladder urothelial cell carcinoma. *Med. Oncol.* 30 (3), 616. doi:10. 1007/s12032-013-0616-6

Ma, W., Chabot, J. G., and Quirion, R. (2006). A role for adrenomedullin as a pain-related peptide in the rat. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103 (43), 16027–16032. doi:10.1073/pnas.0602488103

McIlvried, L. A., Atherton, M. A., Horan, N. L., Goch, T. N., and Scheff, N. N. (2022). Sensory neurotransmitter calcitonin gene-related peptide modulates tumor growth and lymphocyte infiltration in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Adv. Biol.* 6, e2200019. doi:10.1002/adbi.202200019

McLatchie, L. M., Fraser, N. J., Main, M. J., Wise, A., Brown, J., Thompson, N., et al. (1998). RAMPs regulate the transport and ligand specificity of the calcitonin-receptor-like receptor. *Nature* 393 (6683), 333–339. doi:10.1038/30666

Michibata, H., Mukoyama, M., Tanaka, I., Suga, S., Nakagawa, M., Ishibashi, R., et al. (1998). Autocrine/paracrine role of adrenomedullin in cultured endothelial and mesangial cells. *Kidney Int.* 53 (4), 979–985. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.1998. 00855.x

Miller, P. S., Barwell, J., Poyner, D. R., Wigglesworth, M. J., Garland, S. L., and Donnelly, D. (2010). Non-peptidic antagonists of the CGRP receptor, BIBN4096BS and MK-0974, interact with the calcitonin receptor-like receptor via methionine-42 and RAMP1 via tryptophan-74. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 391 (1), 437–442. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.11.076

Mishima, T., Ito, Y., Hosono, K., Tamura, Y., Uchida, Y., Hirata, M., et al. (2011). Calcitonin gene-related peptide facilitates revascularization during hindlimb ischemia in mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 300 (2), H431–H439. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00466.2010

Mitsikostas, D. D., and Rapoport, A. M. (2015). New players in the preventive treatment of migraine. *BMC Med.* 13, 279. doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0522-1

Moreno, M. J., Cohen, Z., Stanimirovic, D. B., and Hamel, E. (1999). Functional calcitonin gene-related peptide type 1 and adrenomedullin receptors in human trigeminal ganglia, brain vessels, and cerebromicrovascular or astroglial cells in culture. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow. Metab.* 19 (11), 1270–1278. doi:10.1097/00004647-199911000-00012

Muff, R., Leuthauser, K., Buhlmann, N., Foord, S. M., Fischer, J. A., and Born, W. (1998). Receptor activity modifying proteins regulate the activity of a calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor in rabbit aortic endothelial cells. *FEBS Lett.* 441 (3), 366–368. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(98)01587-7

Nagata, S., Yamasaki, M., Kuroishi, N., and Kitamura, K. (2022). Development of long-acting human adrenomedullin fc-fusion proteins. *Biology* 11 (7), 1074. doi:10. 3390/biology11071074

Nanga, R. P., Brender, J. R., Vivekanandan, S., and Ramamoorthy, A. (2011). Structure and membrane orientation of IAPP in its natively amidated form at physiological pH in a membrane environment. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1808 (10), 2337–2342. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2011.06.012

Nikitenko, L. L., Fox, S. B., Kehoe, S., Rees, M. C., and Bicknell, R. (2006). Adrenomedullin and tumour angiogenesis. *Br. J. Cancer* 94 (1), 1–7. doi:10.1038/sj. bjc.6602832

Nouguerede, E., Berenguer, C., Garcia, S., Bennani, B., Delfino, C., Nanni, I., et al. (2013). Expression of adrenomedullin in human colorectal tumors and its role in cell growth and invasion *in vitro* and in xenograft growth *in vivo*. *Cancer Med.* 2 (2), 196–207. doi:10.1002/cam4.51

Oberg, K., and Lamberts, S. W. (2016). Somatostatin analogues in acromegaly and gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours: Past, present and future. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 23 (12), R551–R566. doi:10.1530/ERC-16-0151

Ornello, R., Baraldi, C., Guerzoni, S., Lambru, G., Andreou, A. P., Raffaelli, B., et al. (2022). Comparing the relative and absolute effect of erenumab: Is a 50% response enough? Results from the ESTEEMen study. *J. Headache Pain* 23 (1), 38. doi:10.1186/s10194-022-01408-w

Ouafik, L., Sauze, S., Boudouresque, F., Chinot, O., Delfino, C., Fina, F., et al. (2002). Neutralization of adrenomedullin inhibits the growth of human glioblastoma cell lines *in vitro* and suppresses tumor xenograft growth *in vivo*. *Am. J. Pathol.* 160 (4), 1279–1292. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)62555-2

Ovacik, M., and Lin, K. (2018). Tutorial on monoclonal antibody pharmacokinetics and its considerations in early development. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* 11 (6), 540–552. doi:10.1111/cts.12567

Overeem, L. H., Raffaelli, B., Mecklenburg, J., Kelderman, T., Neeb, L., and Reuter, U. (2021). Indirect comparison of topiramate and monoclonal antibodies against CGRP or its receptor for the prophylaxis of episodic migraine: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *CNS Drugs* 35 (8), 805–820. doi:10.1007/s40263-021-00834-9

Perez-Castells, J., Martin-Santamaria, S., Nieto, L., Ramos, A., Martinez, A., Pascual-Teresa, B., et al. (2012). Structure of micelle-bound adrenomedullin: A first step toward the analysis of its interactions with receptors and small molecules. *Biopolymers* 97 (1), 45–53. doi:10.1002/bip.21700

Pouget, E., Fay, N., Dujardin, E., Jamin, N., Berthault, P., Perrin, L., et al. (2010). Elucidation of the self-assembly pathway of lanreotide octapeptide into beta-sheet nanotubes: Role of two stable intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132 (12), 4230–4241. doi:10.1021/ja9088023

Recober, A., Kuburas, A., Zhang, Z., Wemmie, J. A., Anderson, M. G., and Russo, A. F. (2009). Role of calcitonin gene-related peptide in light-aversive behavior: Implications for migraine. *J. Neurosci.* 29 (27), 8798–8804. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1727-09.2009

Rees, T. A., Hendrikse, E. R., Hay, D. L., and Walker, C. S. (2022). Beyond CGRP: The calcitonin peptide family as targets for migraine and pain. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 179 (3), 381–399. doi:10.1111/bph.15605

Roh, J., Chang, C. L., Bhalla, A., Klein, C., and Hsu, S. Y. (2004). Intermedin is a calcitonin/calcitonin gene-related peptide family peptide acting through the calcitonin receptor-like receptor/receptor activity-modifying protein receptor complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 279 (8), 7264–7274. doi:10.1074/jbc.M305332200

Russell, F. A., King, R., Smillie, S. J., Kodji, X., and Brain, S. D. (2014). Calcitonin gene-related peptide: Physiology and pathophysiology. *Physiol. Rev.* 94 (4), 1099–1142. doi:10.1152/physrev.00034.2013

Russo, A. F. (2015). Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP): A new target for migraine. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 55, 533–552. doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010814-124701

Russo, A. F. (2015). CGRP as a neuropeptide in migraine: Lessons from mice. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 80 (3), 403–414. doi:10.1111/bcp.12686

Ryman, J. T., and Meibohm, B. (2017). Pharmacokinetics of monoclonal antibodies. CPT. Pharmacometrics Syst. Pharmacol. 6 (9), 576-588. doi:10.1002/psp4.12224

Salvatori, R., Nachtigall, L. B., Cook, D. M., Bonert, V., Molitch, M. E., Blethen, S., et al. (2010). Effectiveness of self- or partner-administration of an extended-release aqueous-gel formulation of lanreotide in lanreotide-naive patients with acromegaly. *Pituitary* 13 (2), 115–122. doi:10.1007/s11102-009-0207-x

Schou, W. S., Ashina, S., Amin, F. M., Goadsby, P. J., and Ashina, M. (2017). Calcitonin gene-related peptide and pain: A systematic review. *J. Headache Pain* 18 (1), 34. doi:10.1186/s10194-017-0741-2

Schuster, N. M., and Rapoport, A. M. (2016). New strategies for the treatment and prevention of primary headache disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 12 (11), 635–650. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2016.143

Shi, L., Lehto, S. G., Zhu, D. X., Sun, H., Zhang, J., Smith, B. P., et al. (2016). Pharmacologic characterization of AMG 334, a potent and selective human monoclonal antibody against the calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 356 (1), 223–231. doi:10.1124/jpet.115.227793

Shindo, T., Kurihara, Y., Nishimatsu, H., Moriyama, N., Kakoki, M., Wang, Y., et al. (2001). Vascular abnormalities and elevated blood pressure in mice lacking adrenomedullin gene. *Circulation* 104 (16), 1964–1971. doi:10.1161/hc4101.097111

Shindo, T., Sakurai, T., Kamiyoshi, A., Ichikawa-Shindo, Y., Shimoyama, N., Iinuma, N., et al. (2013). Regulation of adrenomedullin and its family peptide by RAMP system-lessons from genetically engineered mice. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 14 (5), 347–357. doi:10.2174/13892037113149990052

Siclari, V. A., Mohammad, K. S., Tompkins, D. R., Davis, H., McKenna, C. R., Peng, X., et al. (2014). Tumor-expressed adrenomedullin accelerates breast cancer bone metastasis. *Breast Cancer Res.* 16 (6), 458. doi:10.1186/s13058-014-0458-y

Sinclair, S. R., Kane, S. A., Van der Schueren, B. J., Xiao, A., Willson, K. J., Boyle, J., et al. (2010). Inhibition of capsaicin-induced increase in dermal blood flow by the oral CGRP receptor antagonist, telcagepant (MK-0974). *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 69 (1), 15–22. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2009.03543.x

Smith, D. D., Saha, S., Fang, G., Schaffert, C., Waugh, D. J., Zeng, W., et al. (2003). Modifications to the N-terminus but not the C-terminus of calcitonin gene-related peptide(8-37) produce antagonists with increased affinity. *J. Med. Chem.* 46 (12), 2427–2435. doi:10.1021/jm020507f

Smith, R. S., Jr., Gao, L., Bledsoe, G., Chao, L., and Chao, J. (2009). Intermedin is a new angiogenic growth factor. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 297 (3), H1040–H1047. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00404.2009

Steiner, T. J., Stovner, L. J., Jensen, R., Uluduz, D., and Katsarava, Z. (2020). Migraine remains second among the world's causes of disability, and first among young women: Findings from GBD2019. J. Headache Pain 21 (1), 137. doi:10.1186/s10194-020-01208-0

Storer, R. J., Akerman, S., and Goadsby, P. J. (2004). Calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) modulates nociceptive trigeminovascular transmission in the cat. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 142 (7), 1171–1181. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0705807

Sufka, K. J., Staszko, S. M., Johnson, A. P., Davis, M. E., Davis, R. E., and Smitherman, T. A. (2016). Clinically relevant behavioral endpoints in a recurrent nitroglycerin migraine model in rats. *J. Headache Pain* 17, 40. doi:10.1186/s10194-016-0624-y

Switzer, M. P., Robinson, J. E., Joyner, K. R., and Morgan, K. W. (2022). Atogepant for the prevention of episodic migraine in adults. *SAGE Open Med.* 10, 20503121221128688. doi:10.1177/20503121221128688

Takei, Y., Inoue, K., Ogoshi, M., Kawahara, T., Bannai, H., and Miyano, S. (2004). Identification of novel adrenomedullin in mammals: A potent cardiovascular and regulator. *FEBS Lett.* 556 (1-3), 53–58. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(03)01368-1

Tanna, V., Sawarkar, S. P., and Ravikumar, P. (2022). Exploring nose to brain nano delivery for effective management of migraine. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 20, 144–157. doi:10.2174/1567201819666220401091632

Tepper, S. J., Ashina, M., Reuter, U., Hallstrom, Y., Broessner, G., Bonner, J. H., et al. (2021). Reduction in acute migraine-specific and non-specific medication use in patients treated with erenumab: Post-hoc analyses of episodic and chronic migraine clinical trials. *J. Headache Pain* 22 (1), 81. doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01292-w

Tepper, S. J. (2018). History and review of anti-calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) therapies: From translational research to treatment. *Headache* 58 (Suppl. 3, 238-275). doi:10.1111/head.13379

Thomas, F., Burgess, N. C., Thomson, A. R., and Woolfson, D. N. (2016). Controlling the assembly of coiled-coil peptide nanotubes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 55 (3), 987–991. doi:10.1002/anie.201509304

Toda, M., Suzuki, T., Hosono, K., Hayashi, I., Hashiba, S., Onuma, Y., et al. (2008). Neuronal system-dependent facilitation of tumor angiogenesis and tumor growth by calcitonin gene-related peptide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105 (36), 13550–13555. doi:10.1073/pnas.0800767105

Tso, A. R., and Goadsby, P. J. (2017). Anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies: The next era of migraine prevention? *Curr. Treat. Options Neurol.* 19 (8), 27. doi:10. 1007/s11940-017-0463-4

Tsuchiya, K., Hida, K., Hida, Y., Muraki, C., Ohga, N., Akino, T., et al. (2010). Adrenomedullin antagonist suppresses tumor formation in renal cell carcinoma through inhibitory effects on tumor endothelial cells and endothelial progenitor mobilization. *Int. J. Oncol.* 36 (6), 1379–1386. doi:10.3892/ijo\_0000622

Uddman, R., Edvinsson, L., Ekman, R., Kingman, T., and McCulloch, J. (1985). Innervation of the feline cerebral vasculature by nerve fibers containing calcitonin gene-related peptide: Trigeminal origin and co-existence with substance P. *Neurosci. Lett.* 62 (1), 131–136. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(85)90296-4

Ueda, T., Kofuku, Y., Okude, J., Imai, S., Shiraishi, Y., and Shimada, I. (2019). Function-related conformational dynamics of G protein-coupled receptors revealed by NMR. *Biophys. Rev.* 11, 409–418. doi:10.1007/s12551-019-00539-w

Vaishya, R., Khurana, V., Patel, S., and Mitra, A. K. (2015). Long-term delivery of protein therapeutics. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 12 (3), 415–440. doi:10.1517/17425247.2015.961420

Van der Schueren, B. J., de Hoon, J. N., Vanmolkot, F. H., Van Hecken, A., Depre, M., Kane, S. A., et al. (2007). Reproducibility of the capsaicin-induced dermal blood flow response as assessed by laser Doppler perfusion imaging. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 64 (5), 580–590. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2007.02939.x

Vandervorst, F., Van Deun, L., Van Dycke, A., Paemeleire, K., Reuter, U., Schoenen, J., et al. (2021). CGRP monoclonal antibodies in migraine: An efficacy and tolerability comparison with standard prophylactic drugs. *J. Headache Pain* 22 (1), 128. doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01335-2

Vazquez, R., Riveiro, M. E., Berenguer-Daize, C., O'Kane, A., Gormley, J., Touzelet, O., et al. (2020). Targeting adrenomedullin in oncology: A feasible strategy with potential as much more than an alternative anti-angiogenic therapy. *Front. Oncol.* 10, 589218. doi:10.3389/fonc.2020.589218

Verheggen, R., Bumann, K., and Kaumann, A. J. (2002). BIBN4096BS is a potent competitive antagonist of the relaxant effects of alpha-CGRP on human temporal artery: Comparison with CGRP(8-37). *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 136 (1), 120–126. doi:10. 1038/sj.bjp.0704682

Vu, T., Ma, P., Chen, J. S., de Hoon, J., Van Hecken, A., Yan, L., et al. (2017). Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationship of erenumab (AMG 334) and capsaicin-induced dermal blood flow in healthy and migraine subjects. *Pharm. Res.* 34 (9), 1784–1795. doi:10.1007/s11095-017-2183-6

Wang, D., Chen, P., Li, Q., Quirion, R., and Hong, Y. (2011). Blockade of adrenomedullin receptors reverses morphine tolerance and its neurochemical mechanisms. *Behav. Brain Res.* 221 (1), 83–90. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2011.02.046

Wang, L., Gala, M., Yamamoto, M., Pino, M. S., Kikuchi, H., Shue, D. S., et al. (2014). Adrenomedullin is a therapeutic target in colorectal cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 134 (9), 2041–2050. doi:10.1002/ijc.28542

Williams, E. T., Harris, P. W. R., Jamaluddin, M. A., Loomes, K. M., Hay, D. L., and Brimble, M. A. (2018). Solid-Phase thiol-ene lipidation of peptides for the synthesis of a potent CGRP receptor antagonist. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 57 (36), 11640–11643. doi:10.1002/anie.201805208 Yan, C., and Pochan, D. J. (2010). Rheological properties of peptide-based hydrogels for biomedical and other applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 39 (9), 3528–3540. doi:10.1039/b919449p

Zeller, J., Poulsen, K. T., Sutton, J. E., Abdiche, Y. N., Collier, S., Chopra, R., et al. (2008). CGRP function-blocking antibodies inhibit neurogenic vasodilatation without affecting heart rate or arterial blood pressure in the rat. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 155 (7), 1093–1103. doi:10.1038/bjp.2008.334

Zeng, X., Lin, M. Y., Wang, D., Zhang, Y., and Hong, Y. (2014). Involvement of adrenomedullin in spinal glial activation following chronic administration of morphine in rats. *Eur. J. Pain* 18 (9), 1323–1332. doi:10.1002/j.1532-2149.2014. 493.x

Zhang, Z., Shu, Y., Diao, Y., Du, Y., Chen, L., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Calcitonin gene-related peptide receptor antagonist ubrogepant for the treatment of acute migraine: A meta-analysis. *Med. Baltim.* 100 (8), e24741. doi:10.1097/MD. 00000000024741

Zheng, S., Li, W., Xu, M., Bai, X., Zhou, Z., Han, J., et al. (2010). Calcitonin generelated peptide promotes angiogenesis via AMP-activated protein kinase. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 299 (6), C1485–C1492. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00173.2010

Zhou, C., Zheng, Y., Li, L., Zhai, W., Li, R., Liang, Z., et al. (2015). Adrenomedullin promotes intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma metastasis and invasion by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Oncol. Rep.* 34 (2), 610–616. doi:10.3892/or.2015.4034