



Astragalus-Containing Chinese Herbal Medicine Combined With Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Lei Shen^{1†}, Si Ra Gwak^{2†}, Zhen Yang Cui^{3†}, Jong Cheon Joo²* and Soo Jung Park⁴*

¹Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, China, ²Department of Sasang Constitutional Medicine, College of Korean Medicine, Wonkwang University, Iksan, South Korea, ³Rehabilitation Medicine College, Weifang Medical University, Weifang, China, ⁴Department of Sasang Constitutional Medicine, College of Korean Medicine, Woosuk University, Jeonju, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Tzi Bun Ng, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

Reviewed by:

Seong Woo Yoon, Kyung Hee University, South Korea Sumei Wang, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, China

*Correspondence:

Soo Jung Park taorgi@hanmail.net Jong Cheon Joo jcjoo@wku.ac.kr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 03 August 2020 Accepted: 30 June 2021 Published: 30 July 2021

Citation:

Shen L, Gwak SR, Cui ZY, Joo JC and Park SJ (2021) Astragalus-Containing Chinese Herbal Medicine Combined With Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Pharmacol. 12:587021. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.587021 **Background:** Cervical cancer is the fourth most common malignant tumor among women worldwide. This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of Astragalus-containing Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) combined with chemotherapy (CT) for the treatment of cervical cancer.

Methods: Ten electronic databases including PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, Korean databases, and Chinese medical databases, were systematically searched up to July 2020. All randomized controlled trials using Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT to treat cervical cancer were included.

Results: A total of 19 trials were included in the analysis. Compared with the control group, the Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT group showed a significantly increased tumor response (complete and partial response (CR and PR)) (risk ratio [RR] = 1.25, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.17–1.33, p < 0.00001) and Karnofsky performance score (KPS) (standardized mean difference [SMD] = 1.81, 95% CI: 1.46–2.17, p < 0.00001). This group also displayed remarkably reduced CT toxicity.

Conclusion: Our study suggests that Astragalus-containing CHM might be a potential option for cervical cancer to enhance the curative efficacy and reduce CT toxicity.

Keywords: astragalus, chemotherapy, Chinese herbal medicine, cervical cancer, systematic review, meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the fourth most common malignant tumor among women worldwide, with almost 0.6 million cases and 0.3 million deaths per year (Arbyn et al., 2020). Despite advances in early screening methods, the incidence of cervical cancer is still high, particularly in some low- and middle-income countries (Torre et al., 2016). Despite considerable advances in the treatment of cervical cancer, its clinical application is limited by surgery-related complications, disease recurrence, and therapy-related side effects. It is well-known that chemotherapy (CT) is usually accompanied by adverse events including hematologic and gastrointestinal toxicity (Chemoradiotherapy for Cervical Cancer Meta-Analysis, 2008). Conventional symptomatic therapy to reduce side effects is commonly used, but its effect is not significant.

Due to its efficacy and low toxicity, Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) has been widely used in cancer treatment for many years. Of particular interest is the herb Astragalus, which has antitumor, antioxidant stress, hepatoprotection, neuron protection, and other pharmacological effects (Li et al., 2014). In antitumor studies, it has been reported that Astragalus can enhance cellular and humoral immune functions, suppress the growth of tumors, and reduce CTinduced injury (Liu et al., 2017; Hui et al., 2020). Lin et al. reviewed the efficacy and safety of Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT for patients with colorectal cancer and their results show that Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT is more effective than CT alone (Lin et al., 2019). In addition, numerous studies have reported the effectiveness of Astragalus-containing CHM as an adjuvant therapy for cancer (Xiangyong et al., 2016). However, there have been no systematic reviews or metaanalyses on the efficacy of Astragalus-containing CHM in patients with cervical cancer.

This study aimed to investigate the effect of Astragaluscontaining CHM combined with CT on tumor response, quality of life, and reduction of side effects in patients with cervical cancer.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Data Sources and Search Strategy

The search was conducted in the following ten electronic databases to July 2020: PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang, Journal Integration Platform (VIP), KMbase, National Discovery for Science Leaders (NDSL), Oriental Medicine Advanced Searching Integrated System (OASIS), and Korean Studies Information Service System (KISS). In addition, we searched the references of all included studies by hand, grey literature, dissertations, letters, government documents, research reports, conference proceedings, and abstracts to avoid publication bias. "Uterine cervical neoplasms" and "Astragalus or Chinese herbal medicine" were the main keywords in our search strategy.

Eligibility Criteria

Types of Studies

Only two-arm randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible. Non-RCTs, quasi-RCTs, *in vitro*, and animal studies were excluded. Duplicated publications, case reports, reviews, and abstracts were also excluded.

Types of Participants

Eligible studies included women with a clear diagnosis of cervical cancer confirmed by pathological sections. In addition, all participants in the treatment and control groups were treated with CT. No restrictions were placed on age, ethnicity, degree of pain, or disease duration.

Types of Interventions

Patients in the treatment group were treated with Astragaluscontaining CHM combined with CT, while the control group was treated with CT only. Included studies used Astragaluscontaining CHM in various forms, such as decoctions, capsules, and tablets. Studies using intravenous administration were excluded. Both monochemotherapy and polychemotherapy were included, as well as combination therapy with radiotherapy; Chinese nonherbal medicinal therapies such as acupuncture, cupping, or point application were excluded.

Types of Outcome Measures

Tumor response and Karnofsky performance score (KPS) were the primary outcomes. The secondary outcome was a reduction in CT toxicity.

Based on the WHO scale, the tumor response to Astragaluscontaining CHM in cervical cancer patients with complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD) was investigated. The improved or stable performance status of cervical cancer patients was examined according to the KPS, in which 100 refers to a normal patient without any complaints, 70 refers to a patient unable to carry on normal activity, 50 refers to a patient who requires considerable assistance, 40 refers to a disabled patient, and 30 refers to a hospitalization-recommended patient (Zhu et al., 2016). CT toxicity includes nausea and vomiting, hair loss, neurotoxicity, and hepatic and renal toxicities.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Two reviewers independently screened the articles according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria and extracted data based on a standardized data collection form. Disagreements between the two reviewers were resolved by either consensus or the inclusion of a third reviewer. The following data were extracted: first author, year, sample size, patient characteristics, intervention details, and outcomes.

Quality Assessment

Two independent reviewers assessed the methodological quality using the Cochrane risk of bias (RoB) tool (Higgins, 2008). Disagreements between the two reviewers were resolved by discussion with a third reviewer. The following items were used to assess the methodological quality of RCTs: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other biases.

Statistical Analysis

RevMan 5.3 software of the Cochrane Collaboration was used for data analysis. For dichotomous data, a risk ratio (RR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was reported. For continuous variables, a standardized mean difference (SMD) with a 95% CI was reported. We used a fixed-effects model to estimate treatment effects. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I^2 statistic and $I^2 > 50\%$ was assumed to have high heterogeneity. A *p*-value < 0.05 was



considered statistically significant. A funnel plot was used to analyze publication bias among the included studies.

RESULTS

A total of 4,657 studies were identified by searching PubMed (n = 176), the Cochrane library (n = 181), Embase (n = 181)2,260), CNKI (n = 390), the Wanfang database (n = 1,483), VIP (n = 6), KISS (n = 53), KMbase (n = 27), NDSL (n = 81), and OASIS (n = 0). Figure 1 shows the screening process. A total of 564 articles were excluded following screening for duplicates. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 3,973 studies were excluded because they did not meet the criteria. The full texts of 120 studies were reviewed, and 19 studies (Wang, 2014; Jian et al., 2015; Li and Duan, 2015; Li and Su, 2015; Yang, 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Wu, 2017; Yang, 2017; Chang et al., 2018; Xu and Qi, 2018; Yang and Wang, 2018; Guan et al., 2019; Liu, 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Wen and Ding, 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Zhu and Li, 2019; Zuo, 2019; Zhang, 2020) were included in this systematic review and metaanalysis.

Study Characteristics

All included studies were conducted in China and published in Chinese between 2014 and 2020. A total of 19 studies with 1,649 patients were analyzed; 827 patients were in the Astragaluscontaining CHM combined with CT group, and the other 822 patients were in the CT alone group. **Table 1** shows the characteristics of the studies, including sample size, age, duration, and outcomes. Astragalus-containing CHM used in the included studies were Fuzheng Guben decoction, Fuzheng Peiben decoction, modified Renshen Yangrong decoction, and so on (**Supplementary Table 1**).

Risk of Bias in Included Studies

Figure 2 shows the RoB in the included studies. All studies were described as randomized, and 13 studies (Wang, 2014; Li and Su, 2015; Yang, 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Wu, 2017; Yang, 2017; Chang et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2019; Wen and Ding, 2019; Zhu and Li, 2019; Zuo, 2019; Zhang, 2020) used random number tables. None of the studies used allocation concealment. All studies had a high RoB in the blinding of participants and personnel because they did not use a placebo in the control group. All studies were unclear on the blinding of outcome assessment and the selective reporting outcome. Other biases were also evaluated as unclear in all studies due to insufficient information.

Meta-Analysis

Tumor Response

As shown in **Figure 3**, Astragalus-containing CHM therapy was associated with a significant increase in the number of patients who reported complete or partial response (CR and PR) (RR = 1.25, 95% CI: 1.17–1.33, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 4\%$) (Wang, 2014; Jian et al., 2015; Yang, 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Wu, 2017; Yang, 2017; Chang et al., 2018; Xu and Qi, 2018; Yang and Wang, 2018; Guan et al., 2019; Liu, 2019; Sun et al., 2019;

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of included studies.

Study	Sample size (TG/CG)	Age (years)	TNM stage (no. of patients)	Intervention group	Control group	Outcomes	Duration
Chang et al. (2018)	84 (42/42)	TG: 48.75 ± 10.24 CG: 48.25 ± 10.13	TG: III, 26; IV, 16 CG: III, 25: IV, 17	Astragalus-containing CHM + TP	TP	Tumor response	4 weeks * 3 courses
Guan et al. (2019)	92 (46/46)	TG: 35.74 ± 3.62 CG: 32.18 ± 2.76	Stage was more than II A	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Pac + CPT	Tumor response	3 weeks * 2 courses
Jian et al. (2015)	41 (21/20)	TG: 52.38 ± 8.09	TG: IIIA, 2; IIIB 3; IVA, 3; IVB, 13	Astragalus-containing CHM + DP	DP	1. Tumor response	CT: 21d * 2 courses Astragalus-containing
		CG: 50.55 ± 9.81	CG: IIIA, 3; IIIB 4; IVA, 2; IVB, 11			 KPS Chemotoxicity 	CHM: 8 weeks
Li and Duan (2015)	87 (45/42)	56.5 ± 10.6	IB, 46; IIA, 41	Astragalus-containing CHM + TC	TC	Chemotoxicity	3 weeks * 1 courses
Li and Su (2015)	90 (45/45)	TG: 55.6 ± 8.7	TG: IB, 9; IIA, 8; IIB, 24; III, 4	Astragalus-containing CHM + TC	TC	Chemotoxicity	3 weeks * 1 courses
		CG: 54.8 ± 8.4	CG: IB, 8; IIA, 9; IIB, 21; III, 7				
Liu (2019)	100 (50/50)	TG: 54.11 ± 2.42	TG: IIB, 21; III, 19; IV, 10	Astragalus-containing CHM+ 5-FU + CPA +	5-FU + CPA + pingyangmycin	Tumor response	8 weeks
		CG: 54.25 ± 2.47	CG: IIB, 20; III, 20; IV, 10	pingyangmycin			
Qin et al. (2016)	60 (30/30)	TG: 48.34 ± 5.35	TG: IIB, 18; IIIA, 5; IIIB, 7	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Pac + CPT	1. Tumor response	Not reported
		CG: 50.45 ± 5.85	CG: IIB, 16; IIIA, 6; IIIB, 8			2. KPS 3. Chemotoxicity	
Sun et al. 116 (2019)	116 (58/58)	$1G: 55.46 \pm 13.27$	14; IIIB, 32; IIIA, 14; IIIB, 12	Astragalus-containing CHM + TO	10	1. Lumor response	C1: 21 days * 1~2 courses
		UG: 55.23 ± 13.12	12; IIIB, 13			 Chemotoxicity 	CHM: 10 days * 2
Wang (2014)	90 (45/45)	TG: 53.2 ± 7.5	TG: IIB, 25; IIIA, 9; IIIB, 11	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Pac + CPT	1. Tumor response	Unclear
()		CG: 53.5 ± 7.8	CG: IIB, 27; IIIA, 8; IIIB, 10			2. KPS 3. Chemotoxicity	
Wen and Ding (2019)	114 (57/57)	TG: 56.37 ± 7.93	TG: IIA, 19; IIB, 20; IIIA,18	Astragalus-containing CHM + TP	TP	1. Tumor response	7 days * 3 courses
		CG: 55.49 ± 7.62	CG: IIA, 19; IIB, 21; IIIA, 17			2. Chemotoxicity	
Wu (2017)	80 (40/40)	Total: 56.3 ± 7.2	IIB 26, IIIA, 38, IIIB, 16	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Pac + CPT	 Tumor response Chemotoxicity 	Unclear
Xu and Qi (2018)	90 (45/45)	TG: 53.3 ± 7.6	TG: IIB, 23; IIIA, 10; IIIB, 12	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Pac + CPT	1. Tumor response	Unclear
		CG: 53.1 ± 7.4	CG: IIB, 24; IIIA, 10; IIIB, 11			2. Chemotoxicity	
Xu et al. (2019)	60 (30/30)	TG: 50.13 ± 14.26	TG: IB1, 13; IB2, 10; IIA, 5; IIB, 2	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Pac + CPT	Tumor response	Unclear
X	00 (40 (40)	CG: 50.27 ± 13.49	CG: IB1, 14; IB2, 10; IIA, 4; IIB, 2	.	MOE	4 T	
Yang (2015)	80 (40/40)	IG: 58.7 ± 7.9	IG: IB, 7; IIA, 5; IIB, 19; IIIA, 5; IIIB_4	Astragalus-containing CHM + MCF	MCF	1. Tumor response	C1: 3 weeks * 3 courses
		CG:59.4 ± 6.1	CG: I B, 6; IIA, 4; IIB, 20; IIIA, 6; IIIB, 4			2. Chemotoxicity	Astragalus-containing CHM: 9 weeks
Yang (2017)	119 (60/59)	TG: 55.37 ± 12.82	TG: IIA, 18; IIB, 30; III 12	Astragalus-containing CHM + TO	ТО	Tumor response	3 months
Vang and	62 (21/21)	TC: 48.24 ± 1.12	28; III 14		тр	1 Tumor rosponso	CT: 5 days
Wang (2018)	02 (01/01)	CG: 48.64 ± 1.3	IIIB, 14	CHM + TP		2 Chemotovicity	Astragalus-containing
(2010)		00. 70.04 I 1.00	IIIB, 18			(Conti	CHM: 10 days inued on following page)

TABLE 1 | (Continued) Characteristics of included studies.

Study	Sample size (TG/CG)	Age (years)	TNM stage (no. of patients)	Intervention group	Control group	Outcomes	Duration	
Zhu and Li (2019)	92 (46/46)	TG: 54.03 ± 7.12 CG: 53.29 ± 6.37	TG: IIB, 31; III, 15 CG: IIB, 32; III, 14	Astragalus-containing CHM + DP	DP	1. Tumor response 2. Chemotoxicity	4 weeks * 3 courses	
Zuo (2019)	86 (43/43)	TG: 46.53 ± 3.27	TG: IIB, 18; IIIA, 13; IIIB, 12	Astragalus-containing CHM + Pac + CPT	Paclitaxel + CPT	1. Tumor response	21 days * 2 courses	
		CG: 46.62 ± 3.87	CG: IIB, 17; IIIA, 15; IIIB, 11			2. Chemotoxicity		
Zhang (2020)	106 (53/53)	46.77 ± 4.52	IIIA, 68; IIIB, 38	Astragalus-containing CHM + TP	TP	Tumor response	4 weeks * 3 courses	

CG, control group; CHM, Chinese herbal medicine; CPA, cyclophosphamide; CPT, irinotecan; CT, chemotherapy; DP, cisplatin + docetaxel; KPS, Karnofsky performance scale; MCF, mitomycin + cisplatin + 5-fluorouracil; Pac, paclitaxel; TC, paclitaxel + carboplatin; TG, treatment group; TO, paclitaxel + oxaliplatin; TP, cisplatin + paclitaxel; 5-Fu, 5-fluorouracil.

Wen and Ding, 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Zhu and Li, 2019; Zuo, 2019; Zhang, 2020).

KPS

The changes in KPS were reported as two types of data in the included studies: the mean ± SD of KPS before and after treatment and the number of patients who reported an improved or stable performance status based on KPS (tenpoint cutoff). The value of KPS was recorded in two studies (Qin et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2019) with 176 patients. Metaanalysis showed that the KPS was significantly higher in the Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT group than in the CT alone group (SMD = 1.81, 95% CI: 1.46–2.17, p <0.00001) (Figure 4A). There was no significant heterogeneity among these studies ($I^2 = 0\%$). Nondeterioration KPS was recorded in two studies (Wang, 2014; Jian et al., 2015) that included 131 patients. The results of the meta-analysis showed that there was no significant difference between the two groups (RR = 1.14, 95% CI: 0.93-1.40, p = 0.22) (Figure 4B). No significant heterogeneity existed among these studies $(I^2 = 0\%)$.

Reduction in CT Toxicity

Nausea and vomiting were recorded in ten studies (Wang, 2014; Jian et al., 2015; Li and Duan, 2015; Li and Su, 2015; Yang, 2015; Wu, 2017; Xu and Qi, 2018; Wen and Ding, 2019; Zhu and Li, 2019; Zuo, 2019). Meta-analysis showed that the incidence of nausea and vomiting was significantly lower in the Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT group than in the CT alone group (RR = 0.53, 95% CI: 0.45–0.62, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 5).

Hair loss was reported in seven studies (Wang, 2014; Yang, 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Wu, 2017; Xu and Qi, 2018; Yang and Wang, 2018; Wen and Ding, 2019). Meta-analysis indicated that the incidence of hair loss was significantly lower in the Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT group than in the CT alone group (RR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.43–0.64, p < 0.00001, $I^2 = 28\%$) (**Figure 6**).

Neurotoxicity was recorded in six studies (Wang, 2014; Yang, 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Wu, 2017; Xu and Qi, 2018; Yang and Wang, 2018). Meta-analysis found that the incidence of neurotoxicity was significantly lower in the Astragaluscontaining CHM combined with CT group than in the CT alone group (RR = 0.52, 95% CI: 0.32–0.85, p = 0.009, $I^2 = 8\%$) (**Figure 7**).

Hepatic and renal toxicities were recorded in nine studies (Wang, 2014; Jian et al., 2015; Yang, 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Wu, 2017; Xu and Qi, 2018; Yang and Wang, 2018; Zhu and Li, 2019; Zuo, 2019). Results of the meta-analysis reported that the incidence of hepatic and renal toxicity was significantly lower in the Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT group than in the CT alone group (RR = 0.46, 95% CI: 0.30–0.71, p = 0.0004, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 8).

Publication Bias

We applied a series of strategies to investigate potential publication biases. Figure 9 presents a funnel plot of tumor response in the meta-analysis. The plot was symmetrical, which suggests that publication bias was not obvious.

DISCUSSION

Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT is a popular complementary and alternative therapy used for cancer patients because it can increase therapeutic effects and decrease side effects (McCulloch et al., 2006). In recent years, some meta-analyses have been conducted to determine the clinical efficacy of Astragalus-containing CHM for non-small-cell lung cancer, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, and gastric cancer (Yao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Cervical cancer is a common prevalent disease in women. Despite advances in early screening methods, subtle symptoms can result in patients not going to a doctor until the cancer has progressed to an advanced stage, thereby delaying treatment. Astragalus-containing CHM has become the focus of several studies on cancer due to its low toxic side effects (Auyeung et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2020), but there is no



systematic evidence of whether it is also applicable to cervical cancer. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis of the efficacy of Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT for the treatment of cervical cancer. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs on the efficacy of Astragalus-containing CHM in cervical cancer. This meta-analysis evaluated outcomes such as tumor response, quality of life (KPS), and chemotoxicity. A total of 19 studies were included in this review, involving 1,649 patients (827 in the Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT group and 822 in the CT alone group).

The results of the meta-analysis show that tumor response significantly improves with Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT. According to previous reports, the aqueous extract of Astragalus membranaceus has been shown to induce apoptosis of H22 tumor cells and inhibit tumor growth (Li et al., 2012). Polysaccharides from Astragalus membranaceus show potent immunomodulatory activity by stimulating macrophages and increasing the level of cvtokines, including the tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (Zhao et al., 2011). Previous studies have demonstrated that treatment with Astragalus-containing CHM (SH003) reduces the levels of expression for G1 phase-related CDK (CDK2, CDK4, and CDK6) and cyclin D and induces extrinsic cell apoptosis in HeLa cervical cancer cells (Lee et al., 2017). Jin et al. (2014) reported that formononetin, a phytoestrogen from the root of Astragalus membranaceus and an O-methylated isoflavone, inhibits the growth of tumors from the human cervical cancer cell line HeLa, which mainly depends on Akt inactivation and caspase-3 activation. In addition, Duan and Wang (2002) conducted a clinical study on the effect of Astragalus in efficacy enhancing and toxicity reducing of CT in patients with malignant tumors; the results show that Astragalus could inhibit the tumor development, which is consistent with our study results.

CT has many side effects; therefore, it is necessary to find complementary and alternative approaches to help reduce them. In this meta-analysis, Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT significantly reduced the side effects caused by CT. Astragalus-containing CHM usually comprises multiple herbs of natural origin. Phytochemicals and herbal mixtures act multispecifically by attacking multiple targets at the same time (Efferth et al., 2017). Multitarget therapies have been suggested to overcome the resistance of anticancer drugs. In particular, polypharmacology has been shown to have more advantages than drug combination for the reduction of side effects and selectivity for cancer cells (Xie and Bourne, 2015). We hypothesize that these features of Astragalus-containing CHM make it a good candidate for the treatment of cervical cancer.

The present study has some limitations. First, although we conducted a comprehensive search, all included RCTs were only carried out in China. For this reason, our results might not apply to populations in other parts of the world. Second, the methodological quality of the included RCTs was generally poor. Thirteen RCTs reported having used "random number tables," while the remaining six RCTs only mentioned "randomization" without providing further details. Allocation concealment and blinding were not

	Experimental		Control		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Chang et al. 2018	26	42	17	42	3.8%	1.53 [0.99, 2.37]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Guan et al. 2019	38	46	33	46	7.4%	1.15 [0.92, 1.44]	
Jian et al. 2015	5	21	4	20	0.9%	1.19 [0.37, 3.81]	· · · ·
Liu 2019	35	50	25	50	5.6%	1.40 [1.01, 1.95]	
Qin et al.2016	23	30	14	30	3.1%	1.64 [1.07, 2.53]	
Sun et al. 2019	39	58	27	58	6.0%	1.44 [1.04, 2.01]	
Wang 2014	38	45	36	45	8.0%	1.06 [0.87, 1.28]	_ _
Wen et al. 2019	47	57	37	57	8.3%	1.27 [1.01, 1.59]	
Wu 2017	32	40	23	40	5.1%	1.39 [1.02, 1.89]	
Xu et al. 2018	38	45	36	45	8.0%	1.06 [0.87, 1.28]	
Xu et al. 2019	27	30	20	30	4.5%	1.35 [1.02, 1.79]	
Yang 2015	32	40	31	40	6.9%	1.03 [0.82, 1.30]	
Yang 2017	39	60	27	59	6.1%	1.42 [1.02, 1.98]	
Yang et al. 2018	29	31	23	31	5.1%	1.26 [1.00, 1.58]	
Zhang 2020	45	53	35	45	8.4%	1.09 [0.90, 1.32]	-+
Zhu et al. 2019	35	46	26	46	5.8%	1.35 [1.00, 1.82]	· · · · ·
Zuo 2019	35	43	31	43	6.9%	1.13 [0.89, 1.43]	
Total (95% CI)		737		727	100.0%	1.25 [1.17, 1.33]	•
Total events	563		445				~
Heterogeneity: Chi ² :	= 16.72, df	= 16 (P	P = 0.40)	$1^2 = 43$	%		
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 6.38	(P < 0.0	00001)				U.S U./ I I.S 2 Favours [control] Favours [experimental]
							ravours (control) ravours (experimental)

FIGURE 3 | Forest plot of tumor response (CR + PR) of Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT vs. CT alone. CHM, Chinese herbal medicine; CT, chemotherapy; CR, complete response; PR, partial response.



FIGURE 4 | Forest plot of KPS of Astragalus-containing CHM combined with CT versus CT alone; outcomes: (A) mean ± SD of KPS; (B) the number of patients with nondeterioration KPS. KPS, Karnofsky performance score; CHM, Chinese herbal medicine; CT, chemotherapy.

	Experimental		Control		Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	
Jian et al. 2015	9	21	15	20	7.8%	0.57 [0.33, 1.00]		
Li and Duan 2015	3	45	11	45	5.6%	0.27 [0.08, 0.91]		
Li and Su 2015	9	45	21	42	11.1%	0.40 [0.21, 0.77]		
Wang 2014	24	45	40	45	20.4%	0.60 [0.45, 0.80]		
Wen et al. 2019	1	57	3	57	1.5%	0.33 [0.04, 3.11]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Wu 2017	3	40	7	40	3.6%	0.43 [0.12, 1.54]		
Xu et al. 2018	25	45	41	45	20.9%	0.61 [0.46, 0.80]		
Yang 2015	20	40	34	40	17.3%	0.59 [0.42, 0.82]		
Zhu et al. 2019	4	46	8	46	4.1%	0.50 [0.16, 1.55]		
Zuo 2019	6	43	15	43	7.6%	0.40 [0.17, 0.93]		
Total (95% CI)		427		423	100.0%	0.53 [0.45, 0.62]	•	
Total events	104		195					
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 4.78$, $df = 9 (P = 0.85)$; $I^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect	:: Z = 7.52	(P < 0.0	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	20				









reported in any of the included RCTs. In addition, none of the included studies reported follow-up or drop-out rates, thereby displaying methodological flaws that might create biases. Consequently, our results should be interpreted with great caution. Third, no placebo was used in any of the included RCTs. The characteristics of Astragalus-containing CHM, such as a strong taste and smell, can create difficulties when making a placebo, especially in decoctions. Fourth, because most of the studies did not provide data on long-term follow-up, long-term efficacy was not evaluated. Lastly, none of the included studies reported approval of their experiments by responsible ethical committees. Considering

the importance of protecting the rights of patients, complementary and alternative medicine researchers must develop an awareness of ethical issues.

In conclusion, the results of our systematic review and meta-analysis provide evidence of the efficacy of Astragaluscontaining CHM in the treatment of cervical cancer. Astragalus-containing CHM might be a potential option to enhance the curative efficacy and reduce side effects of CT. However, because most of the included studies had low quality, the results should be interpreted with caution. To provide stronger evidence for the use of Astragalus-containing CHM in



cervical cancer, high-quality rigorous RCTs will be needed in the future.

and ZC wrote the original draft. LS, SG, ZC, SP, and JJ wrote the sections of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SP and JJ contributed to conception and data curation of the study. LS, SG, and ZC performed the statistical analysis. LS, SG,

REFERENCES

- Arbyn, M., Weiderpass, E., Bruni, L., de Sanjosé, S., Saraiya, M., Ferlay, J., et al. (2020). Estimates of Incidence and Mortality of Cervical Cancer in 2018: a Worldwide Analysis. *Lancet Glob. Health* 8 (2), e191–e203. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30482-6
- Auyeung, K. K., Han, Q. B., and Ko, J. K. (2016). Astragalus Membranaceus: A Review of its Protection against Inflammation and Gastrointestinal Cancers. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 44 (1), 1–22. doi:10.1142/S0192415X16500014
- Chang, Z. L., Sheng, X. B., Shi, X. L., and Wei, W. (2018). Effect of Synergism and Attenuation of Guizhifuling Decoction in Patients with Advanced Cervical Cancer Treated with Chemotherapy. J. Capit Univ. Med. Sci. 39 (4), 596–601. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-7795.2018.04.022
- Chemoradiotherapy for Cervical Cancer Meta-Analysis, C. (2008). Reducing Uncertainties about the Effects of Chemoradiotherapy for Cervical Cancer: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient Data from 18 Randomized Trials. J. Clin. Oncol. 26 (35), 5802–5812. doi:10.1200/jco.2008.16.4368
- Duan, P., and Wang, Z. M. (2002). [Clinical Study on Effect of Astragalus in Efficacy Enhancing and Toxicity Reducing of Chemotherapy in Patients of

FUNDING

This paper was supported by Wonkwang University in 2021.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.587021/full#supplementary-material

Malignant Tumor]. Zhongguo Zhong xi yi jie he za zhi 22 (7), 515-517. doi:10.3321/j.issn:1003-5370.2002.07.014

- Efferth, T., Saeed, M. E. M., Mirghani, E., Alim, A., Yassin, Z., Saeed, E., et al. (2017). Integration of Phytochemicals and Phytotherapy into Cancer Precision Medicine. *Oncotarget* 8 (30), 50284–50304. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.17466
- Guan, W., Li, D., Wang, H. Y., and Tao, T. T. (2019). Efficacy Analysis of Self-Designed Qingganlishi Decoction in the Treatment of Cervical Cancer Patients with Chemotherapy. J. Clin. Med. Pract. 23 (21), 51–53. doi:10.7619/ jcmp.201921015
- Higgins, J. P. T. A. D. (2008). "Chapter 8: Assessing Risk of Bias in Included Studies," in *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, *Version 5.0.1.* Editors J. P. T. Higgins and S. Green (West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons), 214–272.
- Hui, D., Rui-Zhi, T., Jian-Chun, L., Xia, Z., Dan, W., Jun-Ming, F., et al. (2020). Astragalus propinquus Schischkin and Panax Notoginseng (A&P) Compound Relieved Cisplatin-Induced Acute Kidney Injury through Inhibiting the Mincle Maintained Macrophage Inflammation. J. Ethnopharmacol 252, 112637. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2020.112637
- Jian, X. L., Jiang, Y. L., and Zeng, P. H. (2015). Clinical Efficacy of Modified Fuzhengxiaozheng Formula Combined with Chemotherapy in Treatment of

Advanced Cervical Cancer: a Report of 21 Cases. *Hunan J. Tradit Chin. Med.* 31 (06), 1–3. doi:10.16808/j.cnki.issn1003-7705.2015.06.001

- Jin, Y. M., Xu, T. M., Zhao, Y. H., Wang, Y. C., and Cui, M. H. (2014). In Vitro and In Vivo Anti-cancer Activity of Formononetin on Human Cervical Cancer Cell Line HeLa. Tumour Biol. 35 (3), 2279–2284. doi:10.1007/s13277-013-1302-1
- Lee, K. M., Lee, K., Choi, Y. K., Choi, Y. J., Seo, H. S., and Ko, S. G. (2017). SH003induced G1 Phase Cell Cycle Arrest Induces Apoptosis in HeLa Cervical Cancer Cells. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 16 (6), 8237–8244. doi:10.3892/mmr.2017.7597
- Li, L., and Su, D. F. (2015). The Impact of the Fuzhengpeiben Decoction on Advers Effects, Immune Function and Quality of Life in Patients with Cervical Cancer. J. Hebei Tradit Chin. Med. Pharmacol. 30 (03), 24–26. doi:10.16370/j.cnki.13-1214/r.2015.03.008
- Li, L. K., Kuang, W. J., Huang, Y. F., Xie, H. H., Chen, G., Zhou, Q. C., et al. (2012). Anti-tumor Effects of Astragalus on Hepatocellular Carcinoma In Vivo. Indian J. Pharmacol. 44 (1), 78–81. doi:10.4103/0253-7613.91872
- Li, X., Qu, L., Dong, Y., Han, L., Liu, E., Fang, S., et al. (2014). A Review of Recent Research Progress on the astragalus Genus. *Molecules* 19 (11), 18850–18880. doi:10.3390/molecules191118850
- Li, Y., and Duan, Z. (2015). The Impact of the Fuzhengpeiben Decoction on Immune Function and Quality of Life in Patients with Cervical Cancer. *Shaanxi* J. Tradit Chin. Med. 36 (03), 261–263. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-7369.2015.03.002
- Lin, S., An, X., Guo, Y., Gu, J., Xie, T., Wu, Q., et al. (2019). Meta-Analysis of Astragalus-Containing Traditional Chinese Medicine Combined with Chemotherapy for Colorectal Cancer: Efficacy and Safety to Tumor Response. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 749. doi:10.3389/fonc.2019.00749
- Liu, A.-j., Yu, J., Ji, H.-y., Zhang, H.-c., Zhang, Y., and Liu, H.-p. (2017). Extraction of a Novel Cold-Water-Soluble Polysaccharide from Astragalus Membranaceus and its Antitumor and Immunological Activities. *Molecules* 23 (1), 62. doi:10.3390/molecules23010062
- Liu, J. Z. (2019). Analysis on the Effectivenesss of Integrative Medicine Treatment for Advanced Cervical Cancer. *Electron. J. Clin. Med. Lit.* 6 (61), 18–19. doi:10.16281/j.cnki.jocml.2019.61.013
- Liu, S., Zhang, D., Wu, J., Wang, K., Zhao, Y., Ni, M., et al. (2019). Shenqi Fuzheng Injection in the Treatment of Breast Cancer: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Integr. Cancer Ther.* 18, 1534735418816824. doi:10.1177/ 1534735418816824
- McCulloch, M., See, C., Shu, X. J., Broffman, M., Kramer, A., Fan, W. Y., et al. (2006). Astragalus-based Chinese Herbs and Platinum-Based Chemotherapy for Advanced Non-small-cell Lung Cancer: Meta-Analysis of Randomized Trials. J. Clin. Oncol. 24 (3), 419–430. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.03.6392
- Qin, Y. Y., Wei, Z. Y., and Han, F. X. (2016). Clinical Efficacy and Safety Analysis of Fuzhengguben Decoction Combined with Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer. J. Guangzhou Univ. Tradit Chin. Med. 33 (03), 321–324. doi:10.13359/ j.cnki.gzxbtcm.2016.03.009
- Sun, M., Zhao, Y., Yang, T. R., Tang, X. T., and Ren, Y. (2019). Effect of Fuzhengguben Decoction on the Levels of SCC-Ag and TSGF in Patients with Cervical Cancer. *Anti-tumor Pharm.* 9 (01), 120–124. doi:10.3969/ j.issn.2095-1264.2019.01.26
- Torre, L. A., Siegel, R. L., Ward, E. M., and Jemal, A. (2016). Global Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rates and Trends--An Update. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 25 (1), 16–27. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0578
- Wang, A. L. (2014). Clinical Efficacy and Safety Analysis of Fuzhengguben Soup Combined with Chemotherapy on Cervical Cancer. Chin. J. Mod. Med. 24 (22), 51–53. https://kns.cnki.net.
- Wang, S. F., Wang, Q., Jiao, L. J., Huang, Y. L., Garfield, D., Zhang, J., et al. (2016). Astragalus-containing Traditional Chinese Medicine, with and without Prescription Based on Syndrome Differentiation, Combined with Chemotherapy for Advanced Non-small-cell Lung Cancer: a Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Curr. Oncol.* 23 (3), e188–95. doi:10.3747/co.23.2920
- Wen, L. F., and Ding, J. (2019). Effect of Modified Renshen Yangrong Tang Combined with Chemotherapy on Serum Tumor Markers and Th1/Th2-type Cytokines Expression in Cervical Cancer Patients. *Chin. J. Exp. Tradit Med. Formulae* 25 (05), 68–72. doi:10.13422/j.cnki.syfjx.20182426
- Wu, Y. (2017). Effect of Fuzheng Guben Decoction Combined with Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer. *China Prac Med.* 12 (24), 107–108. doi:10.14163/j.cnki.11-5547/r.2017.24.062

- Xiangyong, Y., Zhongsheng, Y., Wenchao, L., Hui, D., Shuzhou, Q., Gang, C., et al. (2016). External Application of Traditional Chinese Medicine in the Treatment of Bone Cancer Pain: a Meta-Analysis. *Support Care Cancer* 24 (1), 11–17. doi:10.1007/s00520-015-2737-2
- Xie, L., and Bourne, P. E. (2015). Developing Multi-Target Therapeutics to finetune the Evolutionary Dynamics of the Cancer Ecosystem. *Front. Pharmacol.* 6, 209. doi:10.3389/fphar.2015.00209
- Xu, L. Z., and Qi, C. J. (2018). Clinical Efficacy of Fuzheng Guben Decoction Combined with Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer. *Diet. Health* 5 (9), 92. doi:10.3969/j.issn.2095-8439.2018.09.111
- Xu, S. L., Ming, Y., and Li, K. (2019). Effectiveness of Fuzheng Guben Decoction Combined with Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer. *Home Med.* (8), 134. https://d.wanfangdata.com.cn.
- Yang, H. L. (2017). Effect of Jiawei Renshenyangrong Decoction on Immune Function and Quality of Life of Cervical Cancer Patients. Acta Chin. Med. 32 (08), 1377–1380. doi:10.16368/j.issn.1674-8999.2017.08.362
- Yang, M. (2015). Clinical Effect of Fuzheng Guben Decoction Combined with Chemotherapy for Cervical Cancer. J. Pract. Tradit Chin. Med. 31 (11), 1036–1037. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1004-2814.2015.11.043
- Yang, S., Sun, S., Xu, W., Yu, B., Wang, G., and Wang, H. (2020). Astragalus Polysaccharide Inhibits Breast Cancer Cell Migration and Invasion by Regulating Epithelial-mesenchymal Transition via the Wnt/β-catenin Signaling Pathway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 21 (4), 1819–1832. doi:10.3892/mmr.2020.10983
- Yang, X. Y., and Wang, L. H. (2018). Clinical Analysis of Sanxian Baogong Decoction Combined with Cisplatin and Paclitaxel in Treatment of Advanced Cervical Cancer. *Chin. Arch. Tradit Chin. Med.* 36 (08), 1936–1939. doi:10.13193/j.issn.1673-7717.2018.08.037
- Yao, K., Ma, Y., Ma, W., Hu, J., Wang, C., Chen, J., et al. (2014). Shenqifuzheng Injection Combined with Chemotherapy in the Treatment of Advanced Gastric Cancer: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Cancer Res. Ther. 10 (Suppl. 1), 70–74. doi:10.4103/0973-1482.139768
- Zhang, L. X. (2020). Clinical Efficacy of Guizhifuling Decoction as an Adjunctive Therapy for Cervical Cancer. Cardiovasc. Dis. Electron. J. Integr. Tradit Chin. West. Med. 8 (07), 167–177. doi:10.16282/j.cnki.cn11-9336/r.2020.07.129
- Zhao, L. H., Ma, Z. X., Zhu, J., Yu, X. H., and Weng, D. P. (2011). Characterization of Polysaccharide from Astragalus Radix as the Macrophage Stimulator. *Cell Immunol* 271 (2), 329–334. doi:10.1016/j.cellimm.2011.07.011
- Zhu, L., Li, L., Li, Y., Wang, J., and Wang, Q. (2016). Chinese Herbal Medicine as an Adjunctive Therapy for Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat Med.* 2016, 9469276. doi:10.1155/2016/9469276
- Zhu, P., and Li, J. (2019). Effects of Fuzheng Yiliu Decoction Combined with Chemotherapy on the Levels of T Cell Subsets, Serum Tumor Markers Expression and Survival Rate in Patients with Middle and Advanced Cervical Cancer. Forum Tradit Chin. Med. 34 (01), 18–20. doi:10.13913/ j.cnki.41-1110/r.2019.01.008
- Zuo, M. F. (2019). Clinical Efficacy of Self-Made Qinggan Lishi Decoction in the Treatment of Patients with Cervical Cancer Chemotherapy. *Hebei Med.* 25 (07), 1226–1231. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-6233.2019.07.045

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Shen, Gwak, Cui, Joo and Park. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.