Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonino Morabito, University of Florence, Italy

REVIEWED BY Achmad Rafli, University of Indonesia, Indonesia Soukaina Hattabi, University of Jendouba, Tunisia

*CORRESPONDENCE Lingling Chen 🛙 linggouhzz@163.com

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 26 November 2023 ACCEPTED 12 March 2024 PUBLISHED 28 March 2024

CITATION

An H, Zhang X and Chen L (2024) Tracheal extubation under Narcotrend EEG monitoring at different depths of anesthesia after tonsillectomy in children: a prospective randomized controlled study. Front. Pediatr. 12:1344710. doi: 10.3389/fped.2024.1344710

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 An, Zhang and Chen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Tracheal extubation under Narcotrend EEG monitoring at different depths of anesthesia after tonsillectomy in children: a prospective randomized controlled study

Hongqiang An[†], Xifeng Zhang[†] and Lingling Chen^{*}

Department of Anesthesiology, Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

Objective: This study aims to investigate whether tracheal extubation at different depths of anesthesia using Narcotrend EEG (NT value) can influence the recovery quality from anesthesia and cognitive function of children who underwent tonsillotomy.

Methods: The study enrolled 152 children who underwent tonsillotomy and were anesthetized with endotracheal intubation in our hospital from September 2019 to March 2022. These patients were divided into Group A (conscious group, NT range of 95–100), Group B (light sedation group, NT range of 80–94), and Group C (conventional sedation group, NT range of 65–79). A neonatal pain assessment tool, namely, face, legs, activity, cry, and consolability (FLACC), was used to compare the pain scores of the three groups as the primary end point. The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) scales were used to evaluate the cognitive function of children in the three groups before and after surgery as the secondary end points.

Results: Differences were observed in the awakening time and FLACC scores after awakening among the three groups (P < 0.05). Among them, Group A exhibited a significantly shorter awakening time and higher FLACC score after awakening than those in Groups B and C (both P < 0.05). The total incidence of adverse reactions in Group B was significantly lower than that in Groups A and C (P < 0.05). No significant difference was observed in MMSE and MoCA scores before the operation and at 7 days after the operation among the three groups (P > 0.05), but a significant difference was found in MMSE and MoCA scores at 1 day and 3 days after the operation among the three groups (P < 0.05). In addition, MMSE and MoCA scores of the three groups decreased significantly at 1 day and 3 days after the operation than those at 1 day before the operation (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: When the NT value of tonsillectomy is between 80 and 94, tracheal catheter removal can effectively improve the recovery quality and postoperative cognitive dysfunction of children.

KEYWORDS

depth of anesthesia, tracheal extubation, tonsillotomy, children, cognitive function

1 Introduction

Tonsillar hypertrophy is the leading cause of obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) in children, impacting their growth (1-3). Surgical resection has been recognized as the major therapeutic option in clinical practice. For example, tonsillotomy can serve a therapeutic purpose by removing a portion of the tonsils using cold instruments. It can not only alleviate diseases in children but also retain the basic function of tonsils leading to fewer postoperative complications (4). It should be noted that anesthesia and tracheal intubation are required during the operation. Prior studies have documented that the timing of extubation after an operation can impact the quality of the recovery of children after anesthesia and is associated with the occurrence of postoperative adverse complications (5, 6). Therefore, choosing the appropriate depth of anesthesia for tracheal extubation is of great significance for postoperative rehabilitation.

With the wide application of various advanced medical instruments in the clinical field, Narcotrend anesthesia depth monitors can monitor the intraoperative anesthesia depth in real time and effectively predict the loss and recovery of consciousness of the patients, especially for the characteristics of rapid changes in anesthesia depth in children (7). The Narcotrend anesthesia depth monitoring system offers many advantages, such as perfect data classification, low data fluctuation, faster data processing, simple operation, and noninvasiveness (8). It is used to collect and analyze real-time EEG signals at any position of the head of patients through ordinary ECG electrodes and display the depth of consciousness of patients on a color touch screen after automatic analysis and classification. It can provide real-time reflection of the cerebral cortex function of patients contributing to the reduction of anesthesia complications. Accordingly, the present study was conducted to compare 152 children who underwent tonsillotomy to explore the effect of tracheal extubation at different depths of anesthesia using Narcotrend EEG (NT value) on their recovery quality from anesthesia and cognitive function.

2 General data and methods

2.1 Study subjects

The subjects of the study were children with obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) who underwent tonsillotomy in our hospital from September 2019 to March 2022. This study was registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR2200032566) and was reviewed and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of our hospital (2019KY-065). The parents of the enrolled children signed the informed consent. The primary analysis was based on a modified intention-to-treat (mITT) principle. No imputation was performed for missing data. The inclusion criteria included (1) children aged 4–12 years falling under the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classes I–II (7); (2) children without upper respiratory tract infection (URTI) in the past 15 days; and (3) children who voluntarily agreed by themselves, along with their families, to participate in the study.

The exclusion criteria included (1) children with a family history of anesthesia-related complications; (2) children with congenital cognitive impairment; (3) children with congenital heart disease; (4) children with coagulation dysfunction; and (5) children with delayed development/microcephaly.

2.2 Methods

Non-participants in the study used a computer to generate random numbers, which were used to randomly divide the participants into three groups. These generated random sequence numbers were placed in opaque envelopes that were randomly selected and opened by another anesthesiologist who was not involved in the study to reveal the groupings before the patients were admitted to the operating room. To exclude bias due to different surgical practices and habits, all patients were from the same group of surgeons. The enrolled patients were divided into the conscious group (Group A; NT value, 95–100), light sedation group (Group B; NT value, 80–94), and conventional sedation group (Group C; NT value, 65–79) according to the NT values when tracheal extubation was performed without stimulus response after the operation.

All children were routinely fasted for 8 h on solid and 2 h on clear liquid before the operation. After arriving at the anesthesia preparation room, the children were prepared for intranasal anesthesia in 30–60 min. Peripheral venous access was established after entering the operating room. All children were treated with inhalation anesthesia and intravenous anesthesia. A Philips monitor (IntelliVue Patient Monitor MX500/MX550) was used to monitor the heart rate, mean arterial pressure (MAP), SpO₂, PETCO₂, and axillary temperature routinely. The physical signs were detected, and the depth of anesthesia (NT value) was monitored with the Narcotrend EEG monitor (Narcotrend-Compact, Germany).

Anesthesia induction involved the administration of intravenous infusion-induced anesthesia with 0.01 mg/kg penehyclidine hydrochloride, 0.3 mg/kg dexamethasone, 2.5 mg/ kg propofol, 4 μ g/kg fentanyl, and 0.05 mg/kg cisatracurium, and endotracheal intubation was performed. All children received preoxygenation and airway management by tracheal catheter. For anesthesia maintenance, sevoflurane, fentanyl, and propofol were used to maintain the NT value in the range of 37–46, and the maintenance medication of anesthesia was withdrawn 10 min before the end of the operation (decision made by the surgeon). None of the medications used in this study were found to affect EEG readings in patients. All children underwent tracheal cannulation with pillows placed under their shoulders and their heads tilted backward. Subsequently, the Davis mouth gag was inserted and fixed. Tonsillotomy was performed after the

exposure of the mouth and pharynx and complete exposure of the tonsils. After the operation, the secretions and intraoperative rinsing solution in the mouths of children were suctioned out to prevent inhalation. Subsequently, the children with endotracheal tubes were immediately transferred to the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU). The specialist pediatric anesthesiologist in the PACU

(PACU). The specialist pediatric anesthesiologist in the PACU performed tracheal extubation in these children under sedation when the muscular tone of the child had recovered, the spontaneous respiration was stable, the oral and tracheal secretions were cleaned up, the respiratory exchange capacity was satisfactory, and no decrease was observed within 5 min (tidal volume ≥ 8 ml/kg, SpO₂ \geq 92% when inhaling air, PETCO₂ \leq 45 mmHg).

2.3 Outcome measures

(1) Two senior anesthesiologists were assigned to record and perform cognitive assessments. The awakening time (also called the eye opening time, which is defined as the cessation of propofol infusion until the patient's eyes open); face, legs, activity, cry, and consolability (FLACC) score; and adverse reactions (8) to extubation were recorded in all children. Primary end points: FLACC score includes expression, body movement, behavior, crying, and comfort. The observed behaviors of children were compared with the scale to get a score for each item, with a range of 0-2 points. The score is added to the total FLACC score, with a range of 0-10 points. A higher value indicates a more severe pain. Adverse reactions to extubation included hypoxemia, emergence agitation (EA), respiratory depression, laryngospasm, and bucking. Hypoxemia is defined as an insufficient level of oxygen in the blood, characterized by a decrease in PaO₂ and SaO₂ or SpO₂ levels, wherein PaO₂ falls below the lower limit of normal for peers and SaO₂ or $SpO_2 < 90\%$ at low altitudes ($\leq 2,500$ m) and $\leq 87\%$ at high altitudes (>2,500 m). The agitation score is divided into five levels: 1, sleep; 2, awake and quiet; 3, irritated and crying; 4, non-stop crying; and 5, severe agitation and disorientation.

Meanwhile, MAP and heart rate were recorded in all children at admission (T1), before induction (T2), before intubation (T3), 1 min after intubation (T4), 3 min after intubation (T5), 5 min after intubation (T6), at the beginning of the operation (T7), during tonsillotomy (T8), during adenoidectomy (T9), at the end of the operation (T10), when awakening (T11), and during extubation (T12).

(2) The cognitive function of children was recorded and compared as secondary end points. Through follow-up visits or phone calls, the cognitive function of the children was assessed by the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) scales before surgery and 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days after surgery, respectively (9, 10). The MMSE includes simple questions and problems in several areas: the time and place of the test, repeating lists of words, arithmetic tasks such as the serial sevens, language use and comprehension, and basic motor skills. The MoCA test is characterized by good concurrent validity and can detect cognitive impairment in different neurological disorders, and is used to assess different types of cognitive abilities, including orientation, short-term memory or delayed recall, executive function or visuospatial ability, language abilities, abstraction, animal naming, attention, and clock-drawing test. Higher scores may indicate a higher cognitive level. The baseline cognitive function was evaluated 1 day before the surgery. The postoperative cognitive function assessment was conducted at 1 day, 3 days, and 7 days after the operation.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Based on a priori power calculations, a cohort sample size of 30 patients would achieve 80% power to detect a correlation of 0.69 (null hypothesis correlation, 0.15) using a two-sided hypothesis with a significance level of 0.0081 (corrected for six comparisons). For non-parametric data, power calculations for Spearman's rankorder correlation revealed a required sample size of 41 in each group for achieving 80% power to detect a correlation of 0.5 using a two-sided hypothesis testing with a significance level of 0.0081 (family-wise error adjusted for six comparisons). Finally, 211 patients were enrolled for randomization to account for possible dropouts. SPSS22.0 statistical software was used for data processing and statistical analysis. General data, adverse reactions, and other count data were expressed as percentages and tested by X^2 . The baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes of the three groups were compared via the independent t test, chi-square test, or Fisher's exact test as appropriate. The recovery time, pain score, MAP, MMSE, MoCA, and other measurement data were expressed by $\bar{x} \pm s$. A one-way analysis of variance was used for the comparison of data between groups, and the Bonferroni test was used for a two-way comparison between groups. Comparisons of measures at different time points were analyzed using repeated measures ANOVA. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Generalized estimating equations were used to test the associations between exposure variables above and all available composite National Institutes of Health Toolbox Cognition scores across all time points (i.e., baseline, postoperative day 1, and postoperative day 2). This generalized estimating equation approach is useful with population-averaged estimates even with the possibility of skewed distributions and misspecification of correlation structures. Power analysis was conducted using Power Analysis and Sample Size Software (2018; NCSS, LLC., USA, https://ncss.com/software/pass).

3 Results

3.1 Comparison of general data in children

From September 2019 to March 2022, 211 children were screened. Among them, 30 were ineligible due to factors such as

a family history of anesthesia-related complications (n = 7), congenital cognitive impairment (n = 12), congenital heart disease (n = 10), coagulation dysfunction (n = 5), or delayed development/microcephaly (n = 11). The final randomization of 152 children underwent tonsillotomy. Fourteen children were excluded from the final data analysis based on the change of surgery procedure and the withdrawal of informed consent. Ultimately, 46 children in Group A, 50 children in Group B, and 56 children in Group C completed the study and follow-up evaluation. The research framework is shown in Figure 1. As shown in Table 1, no significant difference was observed in the comparison of general data such as gender ratio, age, average weight, and operation time (P > 0.05) and in all baseline characteristics among the groups.

3.2 Comparison of recovery quality of children after extubation

Table 2 presents the differences in the awakening time and pain scores observed after awakening among the three groups (P < 0.05).



TABLE 1 Comparison of general data of children ($\bar{x} \pm s$).

Group	n	Male	Age (months)	Average weight (kg)	Operation time (min)
Group	46	24	91.2 ± 24.8	33.2 ± 4.1	22.51 ± 6.1
Α					
Group B	50	26	93.4 ± 23.6	32.9 ± 4.6	21.38 ± 7.3
Group	56	26	92.1 ± 22.5	33.0 ± 4.2	22.86 ± 5.9
С					
F		0.213	0.276	0.245	0.226

F value: the statistic value of the F test.

P value: probability, reflecting the possibility of an event.

TABLE 2 Comparison of awakening time and awakening pain scores of children after extubation ($\bar{x}\pm s$).

Group	n	Wake-up time (min)	Pain score
Group A	46	15.4 ± 3.5	6.3 ± 0.8
Group B	50	$24.2 \pm 4.2^{*}$	$2.4\pm0.7^{\star}$
Group C	56	$29.3 \pm 4.1^{*,\#}$	$2.1 \pm 0.5^{*}$
F		11.231	4.187
Р		0.001	0.028

*Compared with Group A, P < 0.05.

[#]Compared with Group B, P < 0.05.

The awakening time was significantly shorter, and the pain score after awakening was significantly higher in Group A than that in Groups B and C (both P < 0.05).

3.3 Adverse reactions after extubation in children

Most children may experience multiple adverse reactions at the same time, such as respiratory depression and hypoxemia. Children with laryngospasm or glossocoma were usually accompanied by hypoxemia, restlessness, or respiratory depression. Table 3 shows that Group A had the highest proportion of restlessness, with an adverse response incidence after extubation of 19 (41.30%). In contrast, the adverse response incidence after extubation in Group B was 5 (10.00%). In addition, Group C had the highest prevalence of hypoxemia, with an adverse response incidence after extubation of 15 (32.61%). Group B experienced considerably fewer adverse effects than those in Groups A and C (P < 0.05).

3.4 Comparison of MAP and heart rate at different time points in children

No significant difference in MAP was observed at T1–T9 among the three groups. However, at T10, T11, and T12, a difference in MAP among the three groups was noted, with the highest recorded in Group B (P < 0.05), as presented in Figure 2.

At T10 and T11, the heart rates of the three groups were different, and the highest was found in Group B at T10 and Group A at T11. At other time points, there was no significant difference in heart rate, as shown in Figure 3.

3.5 Comparison of MMSE and MoCA scores before and after surgery in children

All children were followed up and none were lost to follow-up. No significant difference was observed in MMSE and MoCA scores before the operation and at 7 days after the operation among the three groups (P > 0.05). Furthermore, a significant difference was found in MMSE and MoCA scores at 1 day and 3 days after the operation among the three groups (P < 0.05). Moreover, MMSE and MoCA scores of the three groups decreased significantly at 1

Group	n	Hypoxemia	Restlessness	Respiratory depression	Laryngospasm	Glossocoma
Group A	46	4 (8.70%)	18 (39.13%)	3 (6.52%)	3 (6.52%)	1 (2.17%)
Group B	50	1* (2.00%)	5* (10.00%)	1 (2.00%)	1 (2.00%)	0 (0%)
Group C	56	10 ^{*,#} (17.86%)	8* (14.29%)	6 ^{*,#} (10.71%)	3 (5.36%)	1 (1.79%)

TABLE 3 The person-time of adverse reactions of children after extubation (n, %).

*Compared with Group A, P < 0.05.

[#]Compared with Group B, P < 0.05.



Comparison of mean arterial pressure (MAP) at different time points in children.



day and 3 days after the operation than those of the three groups at 1 day before the operation (P < 0.05; Tables 4, 5).

4 Discussion

In clinical anesthesia, tracheal catheter extraction during recovery is one of the the most risky procedures. Improper selection of tracheal catheter extraction time can compromise the recovery quality of children, lead to symptoms such as restlessness or respiratory depression, and even threaten the life safety of children (11, 12). Perioperative respiratory adverse events (PRAEs) are the most common complications observed in children receiving anesthesia. Children with PRAEs generally have minor (decreased oxygen saturation, airway obstruction, wheezing) and severe (laryngospasm and cough, or bronchospasm) adverse events (13-16). A considerable proportion of children undergoing tonsillotomy experience PRAEs, with a prevalence rate reaching 50% (17). The children enrolled in this study were divided into different groups based on the NT values, to explore the effect of tracheal extubation at different depths of anesthesia on the recovery quality from anesthesia and cognitive function of children undergoing tonsillotomy. Differences were observed in the awakening time and the pain score after awakening among the three groups, and the awakening time of the conscious group was significantly shorter than that of the light sedation and conventional sedation groups. An association was found between the awakening time and the depth of anesthesia. Children with light anesthesia experience a shorter time of consciousness recovery and awakening time undoubtedly, supporting that children in the conventional sedation group had the longest awakening time. In terms of pain score, the pain score after awakening in the

TABLE 4 Comparison of Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores of children before and after surgery.

Group	n	1 day before the operation	1 day after the operation	3 days after the operation	7 days after the operation	F	Р
Group A	46	26.67 ± 0.51	$21.64 \pm 0.40^{\&}$	$23.14 \pm 0.85^{\&}$	25.84 ± 0.44	7.132	0.003
Group B	50	26.64 ± 0.49	$23.24 \pm 0.85^{*,\&}$	$25.65 \pm 0.48^{*,\&}$	25.83 ± 0.48	6.121	0.007
Group C	56	26.48 ± 0.68	$21.49 \pm 0.58^{\#,\&}$	$22.98 \pm 0.76^{\#, \&}$	26.02 ± 0.78	7.114	0.003
F		0.261	4.121	4.135	0.157		
Р		0.412	0.021	0.022	0.572		

*Compared with Group A, P < 0.05.

[#]Compared with Group B, P < 0.05.

[&]Compared with 1 day before the operation, P < 0.05.

Group <i>n</i> 1 day b ope	efore the 1 day after the ration operation	3 days after the operation	7 days after the operation	F	Р
Group A 46 27.54	1 ± 0.53 $21.79 \pm 0.72^{\&}$	$24.63 \pm 0.76^{\&}$	26.11 ± 1.02	7.117	0.005
Group B 50 27.12	7 ± 0.75 23.36 ± 1.03 ^{*,&}	$26.01 \pm 0.69^{*,\&}$	26.62 ± 1.27	5.136	0.010
Group C 56 27.14	1 ± 0.82 $21.12 \pm 1.10^{\#,\&}$	$24.67 \pm 1.05^{\#,\&}$	26.37 ± 1.02	6.429	0.008
F 0	712 4.143	3.253	0.521		
P 0	289 0.026	0.036	0.321		

TABLE 5 Comparison of Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) scores of children before and after surgery.

*Compared with Group A, P < 0.05.

[#]Compared with Group B, *P* < 0.05.

[&]Compared with 1 day before the operation, P < 0.05.

conscious group was significantly higher than that in the light sedation and conventional sedation groups. However, no obvious difference was found between the light sedation and conventional sedation groups, indicating a good analgesic effect in both groups. Furthermore, the incidence of adverse reactions after extubation was 19 (41.30%) in the conscious group, with the highest rate of restlessness. The incidence of adverse reactions after extubation was 5 (10.00%) in the light sedation group, whereas the conventional sedation group had an incidence of adverse reactions after extubation of 15 (32.61%), with the highest proportion of hypoxemia. It might be related to the decrease of ventilation caused by the incomplete recovery of spontaneous respiration and swallowing reaction, glossocoma, and the retention of respiratory and digestive tract secretions (18). Generally, restlessness is a common postoperative complication in children, which may be related to the pain caused by intraoperative trauma, causing discomfort to the children (19). A lower performance of analgesic effect is expected for children with light anesthesia, leading to an increased proportion of restlessness. Shallow anesthesia may induce laryngeal spasms, but the incidence of laryngeal spasms was the same among the three groups observed in this study, which may be related to the fact that there were 46-56 patients in the three groups of samples selected in this study and that intraoperative cold incision had less damage to blood vessels and nerves, light wound bleeding and edema, and lower risk of blood aspiration to the respiratory tract. With the increase in the depth of anesthesia, children might also experience hypoxemia that might induce trauma to children, despite an increase in analgesic effect, which was not conducive to their postoperative rehabilitation (20). Under a certain anesthesia depth index interval, extubation can effectively avoid sudden awakening caused by shallow anesthesia depth (21, 22). Therefore, it is of great significance to balance the depth of anesthesia and determine a better NT value. In our study, the total incidence of adverse reactions in the light sedation group was lower than that in the conscious and conventional sedation groups. This suggests that light sedation has a better analgesic effect and a low incidence of adverse reactions. In addition, concerning cognitive function, no significant difference was found in the MMSE and MoCA scores before the operation and at 7 days after the operation among the three groups; a significant difference was noticed in these two scores at 1 day and 3 days after the operation among the three groups. These scores of the three groups reduced obviously at 1

day and 3 days after the operation than those of the three groups at 1 day before the operation. Furthermore, 3 days after the operation, the scores in the light sedation group were both higher than those in the other two groups. These data suggest that anesthesia during operation may have an adverse effect on the cognitive function of children to some extent. With postoperative recovery, there may be a gradual decrease in the adverse impact of anesthesia, with the fastest recovery after the operation in the light sedation group. At present, the mechanism of cognitive dysfunction in children remains unclear, which may be related to the method of anesthesia, the choice of intoxicants, and the timing of exposure (23, 24).

Although this clinical study took into account the short operation time and a small amount of blood loss, it is still necessary to further improve the index data of MAP, heart rate, and anesthesia time. Further large-scale, prospective, multicenter randomized controlled studies are needed to provide a more reliable basis for exploring the effects of tracheal catheter removal under different degrees of anesthesia on the recovery quality and cognitive function of children.

To sum up, our study suggests that compared with other NT values, tracheal extubation exhibits the smallest impact on the recovery quality from anesthesia and cognitive function of children undergoing tonsillotomy at the NT value of 80–94, which may contribute to the improvement in postoperative cognitive dysfunction and reduction of PRAE occurrence.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, and further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The Medical Ethics Committee of the Children's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu, China. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

HA: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. XZ: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. LC: Writing – review and editing, Conceptualization.

Funding

The authors declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Zhang YZ, Wei XL, Tang B, Qin YY, Ou M, Jiang XH, et al. The effects of different doses of alfentanil and dexmedetomidine on prevention of emergence agitation in pediatric tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy surgery. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:648802. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.648802

2. Wu X, Shan C, Peng B, Shi X, Zhang F, Cao J. Comparison of desflurane and sevoflurane on postoperative recovery quality after tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in children. *Exp Ther Med.* (2019) 17(6):4561–7. doi: 10.3892/etm.2019.7467

3. Di M, Yang Z, Qi D, Lai H, Wu J, Liu H, et al. Intravenous dexmedetomidine premedication reduces the required minimum alveolar concentration of sevoflurane for smooth tracheal extubation in anesthetized children: a randomized clinical trial. *BMC Anesthesiol.* (2018) 18(1):9. doi: 10.1186/s12871-018-0469-9

4. Roberts C, Al Sayegh R, Ellison PR, Sedeek K, Carr MM. How pediatric anesthesiologists manage children with OSA undergoing tonsillectomy. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* (2020) 129(1):55–62. doi: 10.1177/0003489419874371

5. Li H, Zhang L, Shi M, Yang S, Li S, Gao S. Impact of dexmedetomidine on pediatric agitation in the postanesthesia care unit. *J Perianesth Nurs*. (2018) 33 (1):53–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jopan.2016.03.005

6. Wei W, Li X, Xu XY, Zhuang Y, Cai YR. Effects of high-flow nasal oxygen combined with early extubation on the incidence of respiratory adverse events during emergence from general anesthesia in children undergoing adenoid-tonsillectomy. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi.* (2022) 102(21):1584–9. doi: 10.3760/cma.j. cn112137-20220117-00112

7. Koceroglu I, Devrim S, Bingol Tanriverdi T, Gura Celik M. The effects of dexmedetomidine and tramadol on post-operative pain and agitation, and extubation quality in paediatric patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy surgery: a randomized trial. *J Clin Pharm Ther.* (2020) 45(2):340–6. doi: 10.1111/jcpt.13080

8. Yang X, Hu Z, Peng F, Chen G, Zhou Y, Yang Q, et al. Effects of dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation and recovery quality among children undergoing surgery under general anesthesia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Pediatr.* (2020) 8:580226. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.580226

9. Zhu M, Wang H, Zhu A, Niu K, Wang G. Meta-analysis of dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation and recovery profiles in children after sevoflurane anesthesia: different administration and different dosage. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10(4):e0123728. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123728

10. Sin JCK, Tabah A, Campher MJJ, Laupland KB, Eley VA. The effect of dexmedetomidine on postanesthesia care unit discharge and recovery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg.* (2022) 134(6):1229–44. doi: 10.1213/ANE. 00000000005843

11. Mitchell RB, Archer SM, Ishman SL, Rosenfeld RM, Coles S, Finestone SA, et al. Clinical practice guideline: tonsillectomy in children (update)-executive summary. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2019) 160(2):187–205. doi: 10.1177/0194599818807917

12. Randall DA. Current indications for tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. J Am Board Fam Med. (2020) 33(6):1025–30. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2020.06.200038

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Shen F, Zhang Q, Xu Y, Wang X, Xia J, Chen C, et al. Effect of intranasal dexmedetomidine or midazolam for premedication on the occurrence of respiratory adverse events in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2022) 5(8):e2225473. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.25473

14. Blackshaw H, Springford LR, Zhang LY, Wang B, Venekamp RP, Schilder AG. Tonsillectomy versus tonsillotomy for obstructive sleep-disordered breathing in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2020) 4(4):CD011365. doi: 10.1002/14651858

15. Gill JC, Conley SF, Johnson VP, Christopherson PA, Haberichter SL, Diaz CD, et al. Low VWF levels in children and lack of association with bleeding in children undergoing tonsillectomy. *Blood Adv.* (2020) 4(1):100–5. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000992

 Edmonson MB, Zhao Q, Francis DO, Kelly MM, Sklansky DJ, Shadman KA, et al. Association of patient characteristics with postoperative mortality in children undergoing tonsillectomy in 5 US states. *JAMA*. (2022) 327(23):2317–25. doi: 10. 1001/jama.2022.8679

17. Francis DO, Lam DJ. Effect of tonsillectomy on cognition in preschool children with sleep-disordered breathing. *Pediatrics*. (2020) 145(2):e20192479. doi: 10.1542/ peds.2019-2479

18. Finestone SA, Giordano T, Mitchell RB, Walsh SA, O'Connor SS, Satterfield LM. Plain language summary for patients: tonsillectomy in children. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2019) 160(2):206–12. doi: 10.1177/0194599818817758

19. Moore AD, Anghelescu DL. Emergence delirium in pediatric anesthesia. Paediatr Drugs. (2017) 19(1):11-20. doi: 10.1007/s40272-016-0201-5

20. Shu Y, Yao HB, Yang DZ, Wang B. Postoperative characteristics of combined pharyngoplasty and tonsillectomy versus tonsillectomy in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Arch Argent Pediatr.* (2018) 116(5):316–21. doi: 10.5546/aap. 2018.eng.316

21. Hawley K. Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in children. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. (2019) 145(3):300. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2018.3703

22. Obert DP, Schweizer C, Zinn S, Kratzer S, Hight D, Sleigh J, et al. The influence of age on EEG-based anaesthesia indices. *J Clin Anesth.* (2021) 73:110325. doi: 10.1016/j. jclinane.2021.110325

23. Amin N, Bhargava E, Prentice JG, Shamil E, Walsh M, Tweedie DJ. Coblation intracapsular tonsillectomy in children: a prospective study of 1,257 consecutive cases with long-term follow-up. *Clin Otolaryngol.* (2021) 46(6):1184–92. doi: 10. 1111/coa.13790

24. Wang L, Guo Y, Tian J. The comparison of ketamine with tramadol for postoperative pain relief on children following adenotonsillectomy or tonsillectomy: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2021) 100 (14):e22541. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000022541