Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Seik-Soon Khor, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

REVIEWED BY Luis Rodrigo Macias Kauffer, University of Lübeck, Germany Demin Cai, Yangzhou University, China

CORRESPONDENCE Zhihui Rong Izhrong@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn Wei Yao Izyu13557@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 17 November 2023 ACCEPTED 10 June 2024 PUBLISHED 26 June 2024

#### CITATION

Xu L, Xiao T, Zou B, Rong Z and Yao W (2024) Identification of diagnostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for biliary atresia via WGCNA and machine learning methods. Front. Pediatr. 12:1339925. doi: 10.3389/fped.2024.1339925

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xu, Xiao, Zou, Rong and Yao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Identification of diagnostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for biliary atresia via WGCNA and machine learning methods

## Lei Xu<sup>1†</sup>, Ting Xiao<sup>2†</sup>, Biao Zou<sup>1</sup>, Zhihui Rong<sup>1\*</sup> and Wei Yao<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Ultrasonography, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Oncology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China

Biliary atresia (BA) is a severe and progressive biliary obstructive disease in infants that requires early diagnosis and new therapeutic targets. This study employed bioinformatics methods to identify diagnostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for BA. Our analysis of mRNA expression from Gene Expression Omnibus datasets revealed 3,273 differentially expressed genes between patients with BA and those without BA (nBA). Weighted gene coexpression network analysis determined that the turquoise gene coexpression module, consisting of 298 genes, is predominantly associated with BA. The machine learning method then filtered out the top 2 important genes, CXCL8 and TMSB10, from the turquoise module. The area under receiver operating characteristic curves for TMSB10 and CXCL8 were 0.961 and 0.927 in the training group and 0.819 and 0.791 in the testing group, which indicated a high diagnostic value. Besides, combining TMSB10 and CXCL8, a nomogram with better diagnostic performance was built for clinical translation. Several studies have highlighted the potential of CXCL8 as a therapeutic target for BA, while TMSB10 has been shown to regulate cell polarity, which was related to BA progression. Our analysis with gRT PCR and immunohistochemistry also confirmed the upregulation of TMSB10 at mRNA and protein levels in BA liver samples. These findings highlight the sensitivity of CXCL8 and TMSB10 as diagnostic biomarkers and their potential as therapeutic targets for BA.

#### KEYWORDS

biliary atresia, bioinformatics, machine learning, therapeutic targets, biomarkers

## Introduction

Biliary atresia (BA) is a severe infantile biliary obstructive disease characterized by progressive inflammation and fibrosis, with a global annual incidence of 1 in 8,000–18,000 newborns (1, 2). Without surgery, BA can rapidly progress to end-stage liver cirrhosis within two years, making it the leading cause of pediatric liver transplants (3).

Abbreviations

AUC, area under the curve; BA, biliary atresia; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GEO, gene expression omnibus; GLM, generalized linear models; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; PPI, protein-protein interaction; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; RF, random forest; RNA-seq, RNA sequencing; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; SVM, support vector machine; TOM, topological overlap matrix; WGCNA, weighted gene coexpression network analysis; XGB, eXtreme gradient boosting.

Kasai portoenterostomy, a surgical procedure that restores bile flow within 30 days of life, could slow disease progression and prevent the need for liver transplants (4, 5). However, BA is typically diagnosed at an average age of 40–50 days, making it challenging to confirm it in its early stages (6, 7).

The gold standard for diagnosing BA is intraoperative cholangiography, an invasive, time-consuming, and costly procedure associated with radiation damage. No clinical, laboratory, or imaging feature currently allows for the reliable diagnosis of BA before operation. Among traditional diagnostic methods, liver biopsy is the most reliable method of pre-laparotomy diagnosis, with reported diagnostic accuracy ranging from 88.2% to 96.9% (8, 9). Nevertheless, some histopathological characteristics of biliary atresia may considerably overlap with those of nonobstructive etiologies of infantile cholestasis. Improving diagnostic accuracy would avoid unnecessary diagnostic procedures for a definitive and early diagnosis. Additionally, despite successful Kasai portoenterostomy, over 75% of patients with BA eventually require liver transplantation due to their growing hepatic impairment. Identifying new therapeutic targets may delay progressive hepatic dysfunction and decrease the incidence of liver transplantation.

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) technology has made substantial advancements over the past several years and has emerged as a crucial technique for discovering new diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets (10). Profiling the RNA expression patterns of BA samples can yield valuable insights into novel biomarkers that can improve diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic strategies.

We employed Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets to obtain mRNA expression profiles of BA liver biopsy samples and identify the hub gene related to BA. First, we identified differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between patients of BA and nBA. Second, the DEGs were then used to determine the gene coexpression module mostly associated with BA using weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA) which is a powerful bioinformatics method for analyzing gene association patterns and connecting clinical traits to gene coexpression modules (11–13). Third, we identified *CXCL8* and *TMSB10* as the most crucial genes for BA from the gene coexpression module through machine learning techniques. Finally, we further validated the diagnostic potential of *TMSB10* and *CXCL8*. To facilitate clinical translation, we developed a nomogram that integrates *TMSB10* and *CXCL8* to enhance the accuracy of BA diagnosis.

#### Materials and methods

#### Dataset collection

The mRNA expression profiles of the training group (GSE46995) were acquired from the GEO database and then were normalized and standardized to ensure sample comparability. The training group included 64 patients with BA and 21 nBA (normal and intrahepatic Cholestasis). Datasets GSE122340 (171 BA and 7 normal), GSE221346 (8 BA and 10 intrahepatic cholestasis) and GSE206364 (9 normal and 10 intrahepatic cholestasis) were downloaded from the GEO

database and then merged into one group, which was further defined as the testing group (179 BA and 36 nBA).

#### Differential expression gene analysis

DEGs between patients of BA and nBA were identified from the mRNA expression profiles of the training group using the "limma" R package (version 3.52.1). Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to verify the mRNA expression difference of each gene between the BA and the nBA group, and the P < 0.05 and | Log (fold change) | >0 were chosen as the threshold for defining DEGs.

#### Gene coexpression module construction

Gene coexpression modules were constructed with DEGs using the "WGCNA" R package (version: 1.70-3). The key steps for creating coexpression gene modules using the WGCNA were as follows: Firstly, an adjacency matrix, representing the correlation coefficient matrix between genes, was established. Secondly, a gene topological overlap matrix (TOM) was generated based on the adjacency matrix. Thirdly, the hierarchical clustering tree was produced using hierarchical clustering for TOM-based dissimilarity (dissTOM), and the dynamic tree cut method was used to identify the gene coexpression modules from this tree. Finally, the relationship between gene coexpression modules and clinical characteristics was ascertained through Pearson's correlation analysis, selecting the most strongly correlated coexpressed gene module for further investigation.

# Identification of hub gene via machine learning

The DEGs from the key gene modules were employed as explanatory factors, while the diagnosis of BA or not was the response variable. The four machine learning algorithms, support vector machine (SVM) (11), eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGB) (12), generalized linear models (GLM) (13), and random forest (RF) (14, 15) were constructed using the "xgboost" (version 1.7.5.1), "kernlab" (version 0.9-31), and "randomForest" (version 4.7-1.1) R packages. To ensure result comparability, all models were built using default parameters. Subsequently, the "DALEX" (version 2.4.2) R package was used to evaluate the machine learning models and determine the residual distribution of each model. The model with the lowest residual distribution was selected as the most appropriate. Finally, the feature importance of the genes for the most appropriate model was assessed via the permutation importance, and the top important genes with the highest root mean square error have the greatest impact on clinical features and were selected as the hub genes for further study.

#### TABLE 1 The primers for target genes.

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
TMSB10	GAAATCGCCAGCTTCGATAAGG	TCAATGGTCTCTTTGGTCGGC
B-ACTIN	CAGATGTGGATCAGCAAGCAGGAG	AAGCCATGCCAATGAGACTGAGAAG

#### Nomogram construction and validation

Using "rms" (version: 6.3-0) R packages, our study developed a nomogram based on gene biomarkers to predict BA via the logistic regression model. The diagnostic performance of the nomogram was estimated using the ROC curve and C-index. Additionally, calibration curves were employed to assess the accuracy between the observed and predicted rates. The utility of the nomogram for decision-making was evaluated through decision curve analysis (DCA) using the "rdma" (version: 1.6) R packages.

# Investigation of immune characteristics related to BA

Based on gene expression profiles, CIBERSORT (16), a flexible computational algorithm, was used for quantifying the proportions of 22 types of immunocyte subclusters for each patient with BA and nBA.

#### Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) were performed to detect mRNA expression of *TMSB10*. Total RNA were separated by TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies,CA) and was then converted into complementary DNA (cDNA) via a reverse transcriptase kit (Takara Bio Inc., Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was used to perform qRT-PCR via the SYBR Premix EX Taq kit (Takara Bio Inc., Dalian, China) according to the standard protocol. The primer listed in Table 1 were obtained from PrimerBank (pga.mgh.harvard.edu/primerbank).

#### Immunohistochemical staining

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining were performed to detect protein expression of *TMSB10* using the standard streptavidin–biotin peroxidase complex (SABC) method. In short, liver specimens were fixed in 10% neutral formalin, embedded in paraffin blocks and sliced into 4 um sections. The sections were dewaxed and rehydrated in graded ethanol concentrations. After being washed in distilled water, the sections were boiled in sodium citated buffer for epitope retrieval, treated with 3% hydrogen peroxide to inhibit endogenous peroxidase and inclubated with 10% normal serum to block nonsepecific antibody binding. Next, the sections were successively incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C and secondary antibody

#### TABLE 2 The antibody information of the target gene.

Gene	Primary antibody	Secondary antibody
TMSB10	YT6361 (ImmunoWay), 1/ 200 dilution	HRP Goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L (abs20002), 1/500 dilution

for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, after been counterstaineed with 3,3N-Diaminobenzidine Tertrahydrochloride and hematoxylin, the sections were dehydrated, coverslipped, and observed with microscope. Base on imageJ software and "IHC Toolbox" plug-in, the staining intensity were evaluated via avarege optical density (AOD). The primary and secondary antibodies are listed in Table 2. Clinical information on patients for RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry were listed in Supplementary Table S1.

### Results

## Identification of DEGs between BA and nBA

The analysis flowchart is shown in Figure 1. We analyzed the mRNA expression profiles of 64 BA and 21 nBA samples, identifying 3,273 DEGs between them. Among them, 216 genes were downregulated in BA, while 3,057 were upregulated (Figure 2A; Supplementary Table S2). The 3,273 DEGs underwent enrichment analysis to explore the biological processes involved. GO enrichment analyses revealed that the top three biological processes were ameboidal-type cell migration, wound healing, and cell-substrate adhesion. The top three cellular components included cell-substrate junction, focal adhesion, and cell leading edge, while the top three molecular GTPase regulator activity, nucleosidefunctions were triphosphatase regulator activity, and protein serine/threonine/ tyrosine kinase activity (Figure 2B; Supplementary Table S3). KEGG pathway analysis also highlighted the top five pathways: Human papillomavirus infection, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, Salmonella infection, Focal adhesion, and Endocytosis (Figure 2C; Supplementary Table S4).

# WGCNA identified key gene coexpression modules related to Ba

Based on the WGCNA method, we used the 3,273 DEGs to construct gene coexpression modules. The selection of an optimal soft thresholding power of 9 ensured that the gene coexpression network was scale-free (Figure 3A). As a result, four gene coexpression modules emerged: the grey module (64 genes), brown module (171 genes), blue module (289 genes), and turquoise module (298 genes) (Figure 3B).





DissTOM was employed to visualize the correlations between the DEGs (Figure 3C).

We calculated the correlations between BA and these four modules and demonstrated that the turquoise module (R = 0.7, P = 5e-14) exhibited the strongest association with BA (Figure 3D). Besides, the 298 genes in the turquoise module had a highly positive correlation with BA (R = 0.77, P = 1.6e-59) (Figure 3E). A protein-protein interactions (PPI) network, constructed through the STRING database, visualized interactions among these 298 genes (Figure 3F). The biological



the correlation coefficient was set to 0.8. (B) Clustering dendrograms determined four gene coexpression modules using the DEGs. (C) The network heatmap plot shows the correlation between genes in four modules; darker red indicates a weaker correlation, and light red indicates a greater significant correlation. (D) The heatmap displays the correlation between the coexpression modules and BA and demonstrates that the turquoise module (R = 0.7, P = 5e-14) best matched BA. (E) The scatter plot shows the correlation between module membership and gene significance in the turquoise module. (F) The PPI network visualized interaction among genes in the turquoise module. (G) GO enrichment analysis for genes in the turquoise module.

processes of the genes in the turquoise module were further investigated via enrichment analyses. The GO enrichment analyses indicated that the top three biological processes were the extracellular matrix (ECM) organization, ECM organization, and external encapsulating structure. The top three cellular components included collagen-containing ECM, endoplasmic reticulum lumen, and apical part of the cell. The top three molecular functions were ECM structural constituent, growth



factor binding, and glycosaminoglycan binding (Figure 3G). The top five enriched KEGG pathways included the Focal adhesion, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, human papillomavirus infection, ECM-receptor interaction, and amoebiasis. (Figure 3H).

# Identification of hub genes related to BA via machine learning

Based on the 298 genes in the turquoise module, we applied four machine-learning algorithms (XGB, SVM, RF, and GLM) to establish models and evaluate their performance. The RF model with the least sample residual was selected (Figures 4A,B). Subsequently, the RF model identified *CXCL8* and *TMSB10* as the top important genes related to BA (Figure 4C). The chromosomal locations of *CXCL8* and *TMSB10* are shown in Figure 4D. We further screened potential drugs targeting *TMSB10* and *CXCL8* using the Drug-Gene Interaction database (DGIdb) (Figure 4E) (17). Previous studies have shown that CXCL8 is upregulated in the liver of patients with BA and could serve as a sensitive diagnostic and prognostic biomarker (18, 19). Our qRT-PCR and IHC experiments also indicated that the liver of patients with BA expressed higher *TMSB10* than patients with nBA at both mRNA and protein levels (Figures 4F,G).

# Validation of diagnostic values of the hub genes

The ROC curve analysis revealed that *TMSB10* (AUC = 0.961, 95%CI: 0.921–1.000) and *CXCL8* (AUC = 0.927, 95%CI: 0.848–1.000) had at least similar diagnostic efficacy than clinical diagnostic biomarker *MMP7* (AUC = 0.919, 95%CI: 0.857–0.981) (Figure 5A). This diagnostic performance was similarly confirmed in the testing group, where *TMSB10* and *CXCL8* were found to be comparable to or better than *MMP7* (Figure 5B).



We constructed a nomogram based on biomarkers genes *CXCL8* and *TMSB10* in the training group for better BA clinical diagnosis (Figure 5C). The ROC curve of the nomogram displayed an AUC of 0.987 in the training group (Figure 5D), indicating its strong ability to distinguish patients with BA from nBA. Calibration curves demonstrated outstanding consistency between the predicted and observed probabilities (Figure 5E). The C-index was 0.987. DCA indicated that patients with BA could benefit from the nomogram model (Figure 5F). Notably, the AUC of the ROC curve reached 0.837 in the testing group, indicating that it could reliably diagnose BA in various populations (Figure 5G).

# Investigation of immunological characteristics related to Ba

CIBERSORT analysis was performed to investigate the proportions of 22 immunocyte subtypes for each patient with BA

in the training group (Supplementary Figure S1A). Our findings revealed that patients with BA had significantly higher proportions of eosinophils and resting memory CD4 T cells but significantly lower proportions of Treg, CD8T, follicular helper T, and plasma cells than those with nBA (Supplementary Figure S1B).

### Discussion

Biliary atresia (BA) is a progressive fibroinflammatory biliary obstructive disease and is the predominant reason for pediatric liver transplants (1). Timely Kasai portoenterostomy could rapidly restore bile flow, slow the rapid disease course and prevent the need for liver transplants (20). However, the clinical features of BA often overlap with other infantile cholestasis diseases, making it challenging to make a definitive and early diagnosis (21). The discovery of new biomarkers is essential for achieving accurate diagnosis and improving patient outcomes. In recent decades, RNA-seq technology has become an indispensable tool for understanding the structure and function of the genome, identifying genetic networks underpinning cellular, physiological, biochemical, and biological systems, and developing molecular biomarkers for disease detection (22, 23). RNA-seq may provide clues to identify new biomarkers for BA. Thus, based on the mRNA expression data from BA and nBA liver biopsy samples from the GEO database, we identified 3,273 DEGs. It was then crucial to filter out several valuable diagnostic biomarkers from the thousands of DEGs.

Our study used WGCNA to further identify the gene modules closely related to BA. WGCNA is a regulatory network algorithm that constructs gene co-expression modules based on scale-free topology (24, 25). Besides, it could locate co-expression modules related to clinical features and identify potential disease biomarkers (26). It has proven to be a robust tool for analyzing gene expression data and works better than other weighted or unweighted networks for building gene network structures (26, 27). In our study, WGNCA categorized the DEGs into four gene coexpression modules and confirmed that the turquoise module exhibited the closest association with BA. Furthermore, enrichment analysis confirmed that genes in the turquoise module were primarily associated with ECM. Meanwhile, an imbalance in ECM deposition and breakdown has been implicated in the pathogenesis and progression of BA (28). Thus, WGNCA effectively identified the key gene module related to BA.

Machine learning methods offer a powerful means of processing complex and large genomic datasets, which are challenges for traditional statistical algorithms (29, 30). These methods have been widely used to identify novel biomarkers for detecting disease and predicting treatment response and disease outcome (30). For instance, based on transcriptomic data, Fortino V et al. identified potential biomarkers to distinguish allergic and irritant contact dermatitis (31). Our study also used machine learning algorithms to isolate diagnostic biomarkers from the gene module related to BA, identifying two key genes, CXCL8 and TMSB10. External and internal validations were performed to verify the diagnostic value and confirmed that CXCL8 and TMSB10 could accurately diagnose BA. Besides, we developed a nomogram that integrated CXCL8 and TMSB10, which exhibited higher diagnostic performance than a single gene and exhibited potential for clinical translation. Liver biopsy is the indispensable procedure for acquiring the expression of CXCL8 and TMSB10.

*TMSB10* is a small G-actin-binding protein that promotes depolymerization of intracellular F-actin networks (32, 33). Amarachintha SP et al. reported that normal and diseased cholangiocyte-like organoids with normal cell polarity had an apical expression for F-actin, while depolarized BA cholangiocyte-like organoids expressed F-actin apically and basolaterally (34), indicating that *TMSB10* may regulate BA cell polarity via F-actin. Studies have shown that normal cell polarity is essential for proper bile duct development and function, while the disordered apical-basal polarity seen in BA contributes to the disease (35, 36). This suggests the potential of *TMSB10* as a therapeutic target for BA.

Previous studies have investigated the relationship between CXCL8 and BA. Bessho K et al. reported that CXCL8 in the liver

serves as a sensitive diagnostic biomarker, and perturbing the CXCL8-CXCR2 signature in the murine model could reduce the course of cholestasis and the risk of biliary obstruction, thereby increasing the overall survival (37). Leung DH et al. reported that serum CXCL8 significantly correlates with liver stiffness in BA and can predict poor clinical outcomes (38). Thus, CXCL8 may be a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target for BA.

Our study validated that *CXCL8* and *TMSB10* can be highvalue hepatic diagnostic biomarkers for BA using bioinformatics methods. Previous studies have confirmed the diagnostic value of *CXCL8* in serum. Further research is needed to confirm whether *TMSB10* can be a serum diagnostic biomarker. Besides, both *CXCL8* and *TMSB10* are potential therapeutic targets, with *CXCL8*'s therapeutic potential already supported by prior research. *TMSB10* represents a novel avenue for investigation, and further experiments will be crucial in unraveling its role in BA.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: [link/accession number]. Gene Expression Omnibus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/geo/), (GSE46995) and (GSE122340). Original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/ Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Tongji Hospital Research Ethics Committee and Institutional Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

#### Author contributions

LX: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TX: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BZ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. ZR: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. WY: Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

The study was supported by the Youth Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81902439) and the Hubei Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. 2021CFB345).

## Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely acknowledge the study participants who donated the HCC samples.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

### References

1. Bezerra JA, Wells RG, Mack CL, Karpen SJ, Hoofnagle JH, Doo E, et al. Biliary atresia: clinical and research challenges for the twenty-first century. *Hepatology*. (2018) 68(3):1163-73. doi: 10.1002/hep.29905

2. Hartley JL, Davenport M, Kelly DA. Biliary atresia. Lancet. (2009) 374 (9702):1704-13. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60946-6

3. Sundaram SS, Mack CL, Feldman AG, Sokol RJ. Biliary atresia: indications and timing of liver transplantation and optimization of pretransplant care. *Liver Transpl.* (2017) 23(1):96–109. doi: 10.1002/lt.24640

4. Schreiber RA, Barker CC, Roberts EA, Martin SR, Alvarez F, Smith L, et al. Canadian pediatric hepatology research G. biliary atresia: the Canadian experience. *J Pediatr.* (2007) 151(6):659–65. 665.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2007.05.051

5. Fanna M, Masson G, Capito C, Girard M, Guerin F, Hermeziu B, et al. Management of biliary atresia in France 1986 to 2015: long-term results. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2019) 69(4):416–24. doi: 10.1097/MPG.00000000002446

 Wadhwani SI, Turmelle YP, Nagy R, Lowell J, Dillon P, Shepherd RW. Prolonged neonatal jaundice and the diagnosis of biliary atresia: a single-center analysis of trends in age at diagnosis and outcomes. *Pediatrics*. (2008) 121(5):e1438–40. doi: 10.1542/ peds.2007-2709

7. Hollon J, Eide M, Gorman G. Early diagnosis of extrahepatic biliary atresia in an open-access medical system. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7(11):e49643. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0049643

8. Govindarajan KK. Biliary atresia: where do we stand now? World J Hepatol. (2016) 8(36):1593-601. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v8.i36.1593

9. Lai MW. Challenges in the diagnosis of biliary atresia in cholestatic neonates. *Pediatr Neonatol.* (2023) 64(1):3-4. doi: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2022.12.002

10. Xuan J, Yu Y, Qing T, Guo L, Shi L. Next-generation sequencing in the clinic: promises and challenges. *Cancer Lett.* (2013) 340(2):284–95. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet. 2012.11.025

11. Steinwart I, Christmann A. Support Vector Machines. New York, NY: Springer (2008). XVI. p. 603. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-77242-4

12. Sheridan RP, Wang WM, Liaw A, Ma J, Gifford EM. Extreme gradient boosting as a method for quantitative structure-activity relationships. *J Chem Inf Model.* (2016) 56(12):2353–60. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.6b00591

13. Ziegel ER. Generalized linear models. *Technometrics*. (2002) 44:287-8. doi: 10. 1198/004017002320256422

14. Breiman L. Random forests. Mach Learn. (2001) 45:5-32. doi: 10.1023/ A:1010933404324

15. Biau G. Analysis of a random forests model. J Mach Learn Res. (2010) 13:1063-95.

16. Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, Gentles AJ, Feng W, Xu Y, et al. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. *Nat Methods*. (2015) 12 (5):453–7. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3337

17. Freshour SL, Kiwala S, Cotto KC, Coffman AC, McMichael JF, Song JJ, et al. Integration of the drug-gene interaction database (DGIdb 4.0) with open

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2024. 1339925/full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

The immunological characteristics related to BA (A) The relative percentages of 22 immunocyte subtypes for BA and nBA were determined in the training group using the CIBERSORT algorithm. (B) The mean relative proportions of 22 immunocyte subtypes between patients with BA and nBA.

crowdsource efforts. Nucleic Acids Res. (2021) 49(D1):D1144-51. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa1084

18. Godbole N, Nyholm I, Hukkinen M, Davidson JR, Tyraskis A, Eloranta K, et al. Prognostic and pathophysiologic significance of IL-8 (CXCL8) in biliary atresia. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10(12):2705. doi: 10.3390/jcm10122705

19. Dong R, Zheng S. Interleukin-8: a critical chemokine in biliary atresia. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2015) 30(6):970-6. doi: 10.1111/jgh.12900

20. Serinet MO, Wildhaber BE, Broue P, Lachaux A, Sarles J, Jacquemin E, et al. Impact of age at Kasai operation on its results in late childhood and adolescence: a rational basis for biliary atresia screening. *Pediatrics.* (2009) 123(5):1280–6. doi: 10. 1542/peds.2008-1949

21. Brahee DD, Lampl BS. Neonatal diagnosis of biliary atresia: a practical review and update. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2022) 52(4):685–92. doi: 10.1007/s00247-021-05148-y

22. Jiang Z, Zhou X, Li R, Michal JJ, Zhang S, Dodson MV, et al. Whole transcriptome analysis with sequencing: methods, challenges and potential solutions. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2015) 72(18):3425–39. doi: 10.1007/s00018-015-1934-y

23. Hong M, Tao S, Zhang L, Diao LT, Huang X, Huang S, et al. RNA sequencing: new technologies and applications in cancer research. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2020) 13 (1):166. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-01005-x

24. Chen J, Wang X, Hu B, He Y, Qian X, Wang W. Candidate genes in gastric cancer identified by constructing a weighted gene co-expression network. *PeerJ*. (2018) 6:e4692. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4692

25. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. (2008) 9:559. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

26. Horvath S. Weighted Network Analysis: Applications in Genomics and Systems Biology. New York, NY: Springer Science & Business Media (2011) XXIII. p. 421. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-8819-5

27. Allen JD, Xie Y, Chen M, Girard L, Xiao G. Comparing statistical methods for constructing large scale gene networks. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7(1):e29348. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029348

28. Asai A, Miethke A, Bezerra JA. Pathogenesis of biliary atresia: defining biology to understand clinical phenotypes. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2015) 12 (6):342–52. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2015.74

29. Greener JG, Kandathil SM, Moffat L, Jones DT. A guide to machine learning for biologists. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2022) 23(1):40-55. doi: 10.1038/s41580-021-00407-0

30. MacEachern SJ, Forkert ND. Machine learning for precision medicine. *Genome*. (2021) 64(4):416–25. doi: 10.1139/gen-2020-0131

31. Fortino V, Wisgrill L, Werner P, Suomela S, Linder N, Jalonen E, et al. Machinelearning-driven biomarker discovery for the discrimination between allergic and irritant contact dermatitis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2020) 117(52):33474–85. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2009192117

32. Yu FX, Lin SC, Morrison-Bogorad M, Atkinson MA, Yin HL. Thymosin beta 10 and thymosin beta 4 are both actin monomer sequestering proteins. *J Biol Chem.* (1993) 268(1):502–9. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)54179-X

33. Rho SB, Chun T, Lee SH, Park K, Lee JH. The interaction between Etropomodulin and thymosin beta-10 rescues tumor cells from thymosin beta-10 mediated apoptosis by restoring actin architecture. *FEBS Lett.* (2004) 557(1-3):57–63. doi: 10.1016/s0014-5793(03)01438-8

34. Amarachintha SP, Mourya R, Ayabe H, Yang L, Luo Z, Li X, et al. Biliary organoids uncover delayed epithelial development and barrier function in biliary atresia. *Hepatology*. (2022) 75(1):89–103. doi: 10.1002/hep. 32107

35. Lendahl U, Lui VCH, Chung PHY, Tam PKH. Biliary atresia—emerging diagnostic and therapy opportunities. *EBioMedicine*. (2021) 74:103689. doi: 10.1016/ j.ebiom.2021.103689

36. Lemaigre FP. Development of the intrahepatic and extrahepatic biliary tract: a framework for understanding congenital diseases. *Annu Rev Pathol.* (2020) 15:1–22. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012418-013013

37. Bessho K, Mourya R, Shivakumar P, Walters S, Magee JC, Rao M, et al. Gene expression signature for biliary atresia and a role for interleukin-8 in pathogenesis of experimental disease. *Hepatology.* (2014) 60(1):211–23. doi: 10. 1002/hep.27045

38. Leung DH, Devaraj S, Goodrich NP, Chen X, Rajapakshe D, Ye W, et al. Childhood liver disease research N. serum biomarkers correlated with liver stiffness assessed in a multicenter study of pediatric cholestatic liver disease. *Hepatology*. (2023) 77(2):530–45. doi: 10.1002/hep.32777