Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jordi Pérez-Tur, Institute of Biomedicine of Valencia, Spain

REVIEWED BY Birsen Karaman, Istanbul University, Türkiye Aldesia Provenzano, University of Florence, Italy

*correspondence Aihua Yin ⊠ yinaihua0131@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Genetics of Common and Rare Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 10 January 2023 ACCEPTED 01 March 2023 PUBLISHED 17 March 2023

CITATION

Wang Y, Liu C, Hu R, Geng J, Lu J, Zhao X, Xiong Y, Wu J and Yin A (2023) Prenatal phenotype features and genetic etiology of the Williams-Beuren syndrome and literature review.

Front. Pediatr. 11:1141665. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1141665

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Liu, Hu, Geng, Lu, Zhao, Xiong, Wu and Yin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prenatal phenotype features and genetic etiology of the Williams-Beuren syndrome and literature review

Yunan Wang^{1,2†}, Chang Liu^{1,2†}, Rong Hu^{1,2}, Juan Geng³, Jian Lu^{1,2}, Xianzhe Zhao³, Ying Xiong^{1,2}, Jing Wu^{1,2} and Aihua Yin^{1,2*}

¹Medical Genetic Center, Guangdong Women and Children Hospital, Guangzhou, China, ²Maternal and Children Metabolic-Genetic Key Laboratory, Guangdong Women and Children Hospital, Guangzhou, China, ³Ultrasonic Diagnosis Deparment, Guangdong Women and Children Hospital, Guangzhou, China

Objective: To share our experience on prenatal diagnosis of Williams-Beuren syndrome(WBS) and to improve the awareness, diagnosis, and intrauterine monitoring of the fetuses of this disease.

Methods: The study retrospectively evaluated 14 cases of WBS diagnosed prenatally by single nucleotide polymorphism array (SNP-array). Clinical data from these cases were systematically reviewed, including maternal demographics, indications for invasive prenatal diagnosis, ultrasound findings, SNP-array results, trio-medical exome sequencing (Trio-MES) results, QF-PCR results, pregnancy outcomes and follow-ups.

Results: A total of 14 fetuses were diagnosed with WBS and their prenatal phenotypes were assessed retrospectively. In our case series, the most common ultrasound features were intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR), congenital cardiovascular defects, abnormal fetal placental doppler indices, thickened nuchal translucency(NT) and polyhydramnios. Other less common ultrasound features include fetal hydrops, hydroderma, bilateral pleural effusion, subependymal cysts, etc. Parental chromosome analysis was performed in seven pairs of parents, and all the deletions on chromosome 7q11.23 were *de novo*.

Conclusion: Prenatal ultrasound features of WBS cases are highly variable, with IUGR, cardiovascular abnormalities and abnormal fetal placental doppler indices, being the most common intrauterine phenotypes. Our case series expand the intrauterine phenotypes of WBS, including cardiovascular abnormalities right aortic arch(RAA) combined with persistent right umbilical vein(PRUV) and elevated the ratio of end-systolic peak flow velocity to end-diastonic peak flow velocity(S/D). In the meantime, with the decrease in the cost of the next-generation sequencing, the method may become widely used in prenatal diagnosis in the near future.

KEYWORDS

williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS), prenatal diagnosis, sonographic features, SNP-array, intrauterine phenotypes

Introduction

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) is a relatively rare microdeletion disease caused by mispairing of low-copy DNA repetitive elements during meiosis. Most patients with WBS have similar deletion sizes resulting in the loss of one copy of 25-27 genes on chromosome 7q11.23 (1), and the typical deletion of the syndrome is 1.4-1.5 Mb. This

disorder affects multisystem, and the cardinal features include cardiovascular disease (especially supravalvar aortic stenosis), a specific facial features and a distinctive cognitive and behavioral disability and hyper sociability. But limited information has been collected on the intrauterine phenotype features of WBS. Until now, only about 29 prenatal cases of WBS have been reported (2–11). The prenatal diagnosis of WBS is difficult due to the atypical features of prenatal ultrasound. However, due to the uncertain prognosis of WBS, the detection rate should be improved for timely intrauterine intervention.

In our case series, fourteen fetuses with WBS identified by single nucleotide polymorphism array (SNP-array) are described. We provide clinical features, intrauterine phenotypes and molecular cytogenetic results of the 14 cases, and we compare them with published data to outline distinguishing and shared features. To our knowledge, this study is the most extensive prenatal study of the detailed molecular analysis of WBS cases using chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) techniques.

Material and methods

Subject

We reviewed 14 consecutive fetuses of WBS diagnosed at our Center from January 2016 to September 2021. Clinical data from these cases were systematically reviewed, including maternal demographics, indications for invasive prenatal diagnosis, ultrasound findings, SNP-array results, trio-medical exome sequencing (Trio-MES) results, QF-PCR results, pregnancy outcomes and follow-up data. Each case underwent a routine ultrasound scan at primary hospitals, and referred to our center for reassessment. Their initial indications for invasive prenatal diagnosis include anomalies on ultrasonography (Case 1-12), high risks for large deletion of chromosome 7 (case 13) and high risks for WBS (case 14) by expanded noninvasive prenatal testing (NIPT). After ultrasound reassessment and genetic counseling, all pregnant women received invasive prenatal diagnosis, and the types of prenatal diagnosis were chosen according to their gestational ages (Table 1). Maternal age ranges from 23 to 33 years, with an average of 28.7 years. The gestational age at prenatal diagnosis ranges from 13 to 32 weeks, with an average of 25.6 weeks. Follow-up data were collected on height, weight, facial features, physical activity, and mental responses of newborns. This study has been approved by the Institutional Review Board/ Medical Ethics Committee of Guangdong Women and Children Hospital (IRB reference number: 201801073). Written informed consent was obtained from each participating family.

Cytogenetic and molecular analyses

G-banding (320-400 bands) was performed on metaphase chromosomes of amniotic fluid cells/ umbilical cord blood lymphocytes/ villus cells using standard procedures (12).

Genomic DNA was extracted from fetal uncultured amniotic fluids /umbilical cord blood lymphocytes/ villus cells and their parents' peripheral blood using the Lab-Aid 820 automation system (Zee San Biotech Company, Fujian, China).

SNP-array analysis was performed on a commercial CytoScan 750 K Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) containing 750,436 25–85mer oligonucleotide probes, including 550,000 nonpolymorphic probes and 200,436 SNP probes (13). The labeling and hybridization of the genomic DNA was performed following the manufacturer's protocol. Results were analyzed by Affymetrix Chromosome Analysis Suite software.

Medical exome sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted using a Qiagen DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Library preparation and target enrichment were performed using a SureSelectXT Clinical Research Exome kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's specifications. Then, Trio-MES was performed using 2×150 bp in the paired end mode of the NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA) to obtain an average coverage of above 110×, with 97.6% of target bases covered at least 10× (14). Sequence quality analysis and filtering of mapped target sequences were performed with the "varbank" exome and genome analysis pipeline v.2.1 as described previously. Analysis of genetic results was based on the genomic variation database (http://dgv.tcag.ca/dgv/app/home), DECIPHER database (https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/), and OMIM database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim). Found variants were further verified by Sanger sequencing.

Results

Intrauterine phenotypes

Fourteen fetuses were diagnosed with WBS. Their prenatal phenotype features were reviewed retrospectively. Clinical characteristics and genetic results are shown in Table 1. In our case series, the most common ultrasound features were as follows: intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) (9/14, 64.3%), congenital cardiovascular defects (6/14, 42.9%), abnormal fetal placental doppler indices (7/14, 50%), thickened NT (2/14, 14.3%) and polyhydramnios (2/14, 14.3%). The most common cardiovascular abnormalities in our study encompass vascular ring (3/6, 50%), ventricular septal defect (VSD) (1/6, 16.7%), persistent left superior vena cava (PLSVC)(1/6, 16.7%), enlarged right atrium (1/6, 16.7%), abnormal connection between the portal vein (PV) sinus and the right atrium (RA) (1/6, 16.7%), elevated blood flow rate of the aortic valve (1/6, 16.7%), abnormal extending of descending aortic arch (extends to the left obviously) (1/6, 16.7%). Figure 1 shows some typical ultrasound features of cardiovascular abnormalities in these cases. Abnormal fetal placental doppler indices in our study include elevated ratio of end-systolic peak flow velocity to end-diastonic peak flow

nce Outcome of	pregnancy	TOP	TOP	0 TOP	0 TOP	o Delivery by CS and died a week later	TOP	Delivery by CS at 35w3d	o TOP	TOP	o TOP	o TOP	TOP	0 TOP	TOP	Died shortly after delivery	TOP	TOP	TOP
Inherita		`	`	de nov	de nov	de nov	~	~	de nov	~	de nov	de nov	`	de nov	`	~	~	-	de novo
	Size (Mb)	2.1	1.59	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	5.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	21.4	1.4	1.5	-	-	-	-
CMA result	CNVs type	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72557179_74628840)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72624166 74209678)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72701098 74136633)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72669480 74154209)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72692113_74154209)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72723370_74136633)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72677084_74154209)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.22q21.11 (72081552_77582265)x1	arr[GRCh37] (X) × 3 7q11.23 (72664089_74154209)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72633240_74154209)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72723371_74146927)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.21q21.11 (64256482_85672186)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72718124_74154209)x1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72636884_74v146927)x1 11q12.1 (56812656_5398190)x3 (VOUS)	1	1	1	1
Fetal	karyotype	46,XX	46,XX	46,XY	46,XX	46,XY	46,XY,inv(9) (p11q13)	46,XY	46,XX	47,XXX	46,XY	46,XY	46,XY,del(7) (q11.2q21)	46,XY	46,XY,inv(9) (p12q13)	46,XX, t(6;7) (q27;q11.23)	46,XX		46,XX
Genetic tests		SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array,G- bandine.OF-PCR	SNP array,G- bandino.OF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,Trio-MES, QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,Trio-MES, QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,Trio-MES, QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,Trio-MES, QF-PCR	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array, G- banding,QF-PCR	SNP array, G- banding,QF-PCR	FISH,G-banding	MLPA,FISH	FISH	Prenatal BoBs,
Prenatal	Diagnosis	PUBS	Amniocentesis	PUBS	Amniocentesis	Amniocentesis	PUBS	Amniocentesis	CVS	Amniocentesis	PUBS	Amniocentesis	Amniocentesis	Amniocentesis	Amniocentesis	1	Amniocentesis	1	1
expanded NIPT		/	/	low risk	low risk	low risk	low risk	/	~	/	low risk	~	high risk for large deletion of chromosome 7	high risk for WBS	/				1
Gestational age	at diagnosis	31	23	31	29	32	24	32	13	25	29	24	22	20	24	30	23	20	25
Maternal	age	26	29	31	29	23	29	30	26	27	27	31	30	31	33	25	34	31	22
NO.		case 1	case 2	case 3	case 4	case 5	case 6	case 7	case 8	case 9	case 10	case 11	case 12	case 13	case 14	case 15	case 16	case 17	case
		Present cases														Dadelszen et al., 2000	Kontos et al., 2008	Krzeminska et al., 2009	

τ	
đ	Ū
-	ŝ
-	2
- 5	
12	5
č	
2	2
.5	ļ
C.	
_	4
	ľ
L	1
_	ī
-	1
ц	
<	C
_	2

Frontiers in Pediatrics

	NO	Maternal	Gestational age	expanded NIPT	Prenatal	Genetic tests	. Fetal	CMA result		Inheritance	Outcome of
		age	at diagnosis		Diagnosis		karyotype	CNVs type	Size (Mb)		pregnancy
Popowski, Vialard, Leroy, Bault, & Molina, 2011											
Marcato et al., 2014	case 19	36	20+2	1		Prenatal BoBs, FISH,array CGH	46,XX	1	-	de novo	TOP
	case 20	31	32	1		G-banding, Prenatal BoBs, FISH,array CGH	46,XX		-	de novo	TOP
	case 21	30	13			G-banding,FISH, array CGH	46,XY	_	-	de novo	TOP
Kobalka, Mrak, Gunning, 2017	case 22	28	34	1		Not mentioned	1	1	-	1	Stillborn
Srinivasan, Howley, Cuneo,& Chatfield, 2018	case 23	~	34	1		FISH	_		_	1	Delivery by CS
MZ Yuan et al. 2019	case 24	35	23	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XY	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72745047_74138460)x1	1.39	de novo	TOP
	case 25	27	22	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XX	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72732834_74136633)x1	1.4	de novo	Delivery by CS
	case 26	37	20	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XY	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72725759_74154209)x1	1.43	de novo	TOP
	case 27	34	23	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XX	arr[GRCh37] q11.23 (72624166_74207565)x1	1.58	de novo	TOP
	case 28	33	24+4	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XX	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72765457_74175640)x1	1.588	de novo	DCDA, selective fetocide
	case 29	32	32+2	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XY	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72621722_74209949)x1	1.504	de novo	TOP
	case 30	28	24	low risk	Amniocentesis	SNP array,G- banding,QF-PCR	46,XY	arr[GRCh37] q11.23 (72650120_74154527)x1	1.41	de novo	TOP
YH Dang et al. 2019	case 31	16	20	1	Amniocentesis	Prenatal BoBs,SNP array	47, XXY	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72718123_74154209)x1	1.4	1	TOP
	case 32	31	22	1	Amniocentesis	Prenatal BoBs,SNP array	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72765457_74257046)x1	1.49	de novo	TOP
	case 33	24	22	1	Amniocentesis	Prenatal BoBs,SNP array	,	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72655376_74154209)x1	1.5	de novo	TOP
	case 34	31	33+3	1	Amniocentesis	Prenatal BoBs,SNP array	1	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72713282_74154209)x1	1.44	de novo	TOP
	case 35	37	24	/		Prenatal BoBs,SNP array	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72723370_74146927)x1	1.4	de novo	TOP
Ruibin Huang et al. 2022	case 36	31	23	/		SNP array,QF- PCR	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23 (72723370_74154209)x1	1.43	1	TOP
		27	33	_			_		1.53	/	TOP
											(continued)

frontiersin.org

Wang et	: al
---------	------

	NO.	Maternal	Gestational age	expanded NIPT	Prenatal	Genetic tests	Fetal	CMA result		nheritance	Outcome of
		age	at diagnosis		Diagnosis		karyotype	CNVs type	Size (Mb)		pregnancy
	case					SNP array,QF-		arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23			
	37					PCR		$(72624203_74154497)x1$			
	case	29	30	/		SNP array,QF-	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23	1.42	/	Lost to follow-up
	38					PCR	_	$(72718277_74143060)$ x1			
	case	34	22	/		SNP array,QF-	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23	1.42	/	TOP
	39					PCR		$(72718277_74142190)$ x1			
	case	38	33	/		SNP array,QF-		arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23	1.42	-	TOP
	40					PCR		$(72718278_74143030)$ x1			
	case	33	31	/		SNP array,QF-	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23	2.07	/	TOP
	41					PCR		$(72557180_{-}74628840)$ x1			
	case	23	27	/		SNP array,QF-	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23	1.44	/	TOP
	42					PCR		$(72701099_{-}74136633)$ x1			
	case	32	24	/		SNP array,QF-	/	arr[GRCh37] 7q11.23	1.42	/	TOP
	43					PCR		$(72723371_{7414141494})$ x1			
PUBS, Percutaneous co	ord bloo	d sampling; CV	/S, Chorionic Villus Sar	TOP, termination	of pregnancy; C	S, cesarean section; [DCDA dichorionic	t diamniotic; VOUS,variants of uncertair	n significant		
Case to-25 all accept	molecut	ar analysis, inc.	luding prenatal bobs ar	Jaivsis of FISH etc the	CNV tVDe Were n	ot available. So the m	olecular results o	T Case to-25 were not represented in t	the table.		

10.3389/fped.2023.1141665

velocity of umbilical artery (S/D) (5/7, 71.4%), absence of diastolic of middle cerebral artery (MCA) (1/7, 14.3%) and absence of diastolic of umbilical artery (UA) (1/7, 14.3%). Other less common ultrasound features include persistent right umbilical vein (PRUV) (1/14, 7.1%), fetal hydrops (1/14, 7.1%), hydroderma (1/14, 7.1%), left pleural effusion(1/14, 7.1%), bilateral subependymal cysts(1/14, 7.1%), thickened nuchal fold (NF) (1/14, 7.1%), absence of venous duct (1/14, 7.1%), duodenal atresia (DA) (1/14, 7.1%), low-lying conus medullaris (1/14, 7.1%), and abnormal posture of hands (1/14, 7.1%) (**Table 2**). **Figure 2** shows some less common ultrasound features in our study.

Cytogenetic and molecular analyses

All 14 fetuses underwent G-banded karyotype analysis, two abnormal karyotypes were found: 47, XXX (Case 9), 46, XY,del (7)(q11.2q21) (Case 12) (Figure 3). Besides of these, SNP-array analysis found deletions encompassing the WBS critical region (WBSCR) in all 14 fetuses. They had different sizes and loci of chromosome microdeletion, eleven cases had common deletion sizes, ranging from 1.4 to 1.5 Mb. Case 1 had a 2.1 Mb deletion at 7q11.22-q21.11, involved 40 protein-coding genes. Case 8 had a 5.5 Mb deletions at 7q11.22-q21.11, involved 71 protein-coding genes. Case 12 had a 21.4 Mb deletion at 7q11.21-q21.11, involving 93 protein-coding genes. The deletions of the 14 cases are shown in Figure 4.

Case 3, 5, 8, 10 and 11 received Trio-MES additionally, but no more pathogenic variant was found. Another two pairs of parents (Case 4 and 13) accepted CMA analysis. These results indicated that all deletions on chromosome 7q11.23 in the seven cases (Case 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11 and 13) were *de novo*.

Follow ups

After detailed genetic counseling, twelve families (Case 1–4, 6, 8–14) decided to terminate the pregnancies. All aborted fetuses had typical WBS facial features, including low nasal bridge, palpebral edema, long philtrum, wide mouth, big ears, small chin, and retrognathia.

Case 5 was delivered at 33^{+3} gestational age by cesarean section (CS) for fetal distress, and his birth weight was 1.32 kg. The newborn had distinctive facial features, including wide forehead, periorbital fullness, epicanthal folds, flat nasal bridge, a short upturned nose, long philtrum, and wide mouth. The newborn was transferred to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) for very low birth weight and respiratory distress, and died a week later for severe respiratory distress syndrome. Case 7 was delivered at 35^{+3} gestational age by CS for fetal distress, and his birth weight was 1.7 kg. The APGAR scores were 9, 10, and 10 at 1, 5, and 10 min, respectively. His appearances are consistent with representative facial phenotypes including straight and neat eyebrows, high wide nose, and broad forehead. He was transferred to the NICU for very low birth weight and

Frontiers in Pediatrics

TABLE 1 Continued



FIGURE 1

The most common cardiovascular abnormalities in our study. (A) The ultrasound examination of case 1 showed a small additional vessel found at the main pulmonary artery (MPA) left in the three-vessel view. SVC, Superior Vena Cava; AO, Aorta; PLSVC, Persistent Left Superior Vena Cava. (B)The color Doppler ultrasonic images of case 1 in the left ventricular outflow tract view showed elevated blood flow rate of the aortic valve. (C)The ultrasonic examination of case 7 showed that the aortic arch was on the right side of the trachea (T), the MPA was on the left side of the T, and they constituted U-shaped ring of blood vessels at the three-vessel view. AOA, Aortic Arch; DA, Ductus Arteriosus. (D) Two-dimensional and color Doppler ultrasonic images of case 1 showed elevated blood flow rate of the right side of the trachea (E)Two-dimensional and color Doppler ultrasonic images of case 1 showed elevated blood vessels at the three-vessel view. AOA, Aortic Arch; DA, Ductus Arteriosus. (D) Two-dimensional and color Doppler ultrasonic images of case 1 showed left ventricular septal defect (VSD), and left-to-right shunt of defect part (color Doppler flow signal at the defect) in the left ventricular outflow tract view. LV, Left Ventricle. (F) Two-dimensional and color Doppler ultrasonic arch extends to the left obviously in the long axis of the aortic arch view. AAO, Ascending Aorta; DAO, Descending Aorta.

respiratory distress, and was discharged after 27 days of symptomatic treatment. Regular check-ups were made. His height and weight were below 3 percentile at two years old. His cardiac evaluation showed RAA, but no other cardiac symptoms for the time being.

Discussion

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) is a relatively rare microdeletion disorder, manifests as cardiovascular disease, intellectual disability, behavioral and cognitive abnormalities, developmental delay, renal anomalies, and characteristic facial features. Cardiovascular abnormalities, such as suvalvular aortic stenosis (SVAS) and stenosis of other large arteries, are the main cause of morbidity and mortality, and occur in 50%-80% of patients with WBS (15). Prenatal ultrasound diagnosis is relatively difficult for WBS. Various cardiovascular anomalies, ranging from ventricular septal defect to elastin arteriopathy (for example, SVAS), can theoretically be detected by prenatal ultrasound but in clinical practice it is quite difficult (9). Another common prenatal finding of WBS is IUGR, but IUGR is nonspecific and can result from a variety of maternal, fetal, and placental conditions. As a consequence, prenatal ultrasound features of WBS remain incomplete and atypical.

Since the first prenatal WBS case reported in 2009, a total of twenty-nine prenatal cases have been described previously. Here we report 14 cases of WBS diagnosed prenatally by SNP array. Prenatal ultrasound findings of WBS are diversified, ranging from almost no manifestations (Case 13 in our study, at 20 weeks of gestation) to multiple malformations (Case 11 and 12 in our study). Although it is difficult to make a clear diagnosis of WBS in prenatal ultrasound, we may be able to summarize some specific prenatal phenotypes from these 43 cases to provide some insights for the prenatal diagnosis of WBS. The fetuses with WBS manifest IUGR (55.8%, 24/43), abnormal fetal placental Doppler indices(16.3%, 7/43), cardiovascular abnormalities (53.5%, 23/43), which encompass SVAS (21.7%, 5/23), VSD (26.1%, 6/23), AC (17.4%, 4/23), vascular ring (21.7%, 5/23), PAS(8.7%, 2/23), PLSVC(8.7%, 2/23), and TOF (4.3%, 1/23). Some other rare ultrasound findings include thickened NT/ NF(9.3%, 4/43),, polyhydramnios (7.0%, 3/43) fetal hydrops (4.7%, 2/43), duodenal atresia (4.7%, 2/43), echogenic bowel (4.7%, 2/43), intracardiac echogenic focus (IEF) (4.7%, 2/43), renal cyst (4.7%, 2/43), absence of venous duct (2.3%, 1/43), omphalocele (2.3%, 1/43), nasal bone dysplasia (2.3%, 1/43), single umbilicalartery (SUA) (2.3%, 1/ 43), bilateral subependymal cysts (2.3%, 1/43). Most intrauterine features described in the present case series are consistent with the reports in literature.

TABLE 2 Intrauterine phenotype features of all reported fetuses with williams-beuren syndrome (WBS).

	Renal cyst	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	I	1	I	I	1	I	1	1	- tinued
	Fetal right nasolacrimal duct cyst																		-
	Nasal bone r Iysplasia									1	1	1		1					
	halocele	1		1		1		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1		1	+
	Omp	1	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	ı	1	1	I	1
	SUA	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	1	I	1	I	I	1	1	ı	ı	1
	Ë	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	I	1	1	1	1	1
	Echogenic bowel	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	I	1	I	1	I	I	1	1	1	+	1
	Limb abnormalities	1	I	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	1	I	+	I	I	I	1	I	I
SS	low- CM	1	1					1			1		+	I		1			1
malitie	DA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
terine abno	Absence of venous duct	I	1	1	1	I	1	1	I	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	1
Intrau	fetal hydrops/ hydroderma/ pleural effusion	I	I	1	1	1	fetal hydrops	1	hydroderma	1	Left pleural effusion	1	1	I	I	fetal hydrops	1	1	I
	Bilateral subependymal cysts	1	1	1	1	1	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Polyhydramnios	1	1	1	1	1	+	1	1	1	+	1	1	I	1	+	1	1	1
	Thickened NT /NF	1	1	Thickened NT	1	1	1	1	Thickened NT	1	I	I	Thickened NF	I	I	I	1	I	1
	Abnormal fetal placental Doppler indices	1	elevated S/ D,absence of diastolic of MCA	elevated S/ D	I	elevated S/ D	elevated S/ D	1	I	Absence of diastolic of UA	I	elevated S/ D	I	1	I	Absence of diastolic of UA	I	I	1
	Congenital cardiovascular defects	PLSVC, elevated blood flow rate of the aortic valve	I	1	1	1	1	Vascular ring: RAA	Vascular ring	enlarged right atrium	1	Vascular ring: RAA;PRUV	1	I	VSD	Severe SVAS, PAS	small VSD	SVAS	small SVAS
	IUGR	+	+	+	+	+	1	+	1	+	I	+	I	I	+	I	1	+	+
NO		case 1	case 2	case 3	case 4	case 5	case 6	case 7	case 8	case 9	case 10	case 11	case 12	case 13	case 14	case 15	case 16	case 17	case 18
		Present cases	1	1							1	1			1	Dadelszen et al., 2000	Kontos et al., 2008	Krzeminska et al., 2009	Popowski, Vialard,

frontiersin.org

Frontiers in Pediatrics

		Leroy, Bault, & Molina, 2011	Marcato et al., 2014			Kobalka, Mrak, Gunning, 2017	Srinivasan, Howley, Cuneo,& Chatfield, 2018	MZ Yuan et al. 2019							YH Dang et al. 2019		
NO.			case 19	case 20	case 21	case 22	case 23	case 24	case 25	case 26	case 27	case 28	case 29	case 30	case 31	case 32	case 33
	IUGR		+	+	+	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ı	1	1
I	Congenital cardiovascular defects		1	1	I	AC, cardiomegaly	SVAS,bilateral PAS	VSD	1	1	VSD	AC, PLSVC	I	RAA	1	I	Coronary veins widened. small
I	Abnormal fetal placental Doppler indices		I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	1	I	I
I	Thickened NT /NF		I	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	Thickened NF	I
I	Polyhydramnios		1	I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I
I	Bilateral subependymal cysts		I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Intrau	fetal hydrops/ pleural effusion		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
iterine abnoi	Absence of venous duct		1	I	1	I	1	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I
nalitie	- DA		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	ow- Ving CM																
	Limb abnormalities				1					1	1	1	1			1	
	Echogenic bowel		+	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	1	1
	Ц		I	ı	T	I	I	1	+	I	I	T	I	I	1	ı	+
	SUA		1	1	1	1	1	1	+			1	1		1		1
	Omphal				+	1	1			1	1	1					

ī

ī.

I

I L I ī

I. I Т I T I. I +

I L I I L I.

I.

Coronary veins widened, small amount of pericardial effusion

I

I

ī. ī.

I.

ī

I I L I

I.

(continued)

Renal cyst

Nasal Fetal right F bone nasolacrimal dysplasia duct cyst

I. I L ī

L

T I

I.

I. T

L T

nital Abnormal Thickened Polyhydramnios B scular feital NT /NF Polyhydramnios B tts placental Doppler indices	slant, – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	1	1	1	1	AS, – – – –	1	1	1	1
normal Thickened Polyhydramnios B fetal NT /NF Polyhydramnios B acental oppler pdices	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Thickened Polyhydramnios B NT /NF sube	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Polyhydramnios B sube	1	1	I	I	1	1	1	I	I	I
B sube	1	· ·								
ilateral pendymal cysts			1	1	I	I	1	I	1	1
fetal hydrops/ hydroderma/ pleural effusion	1	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I
Absence of venous duct	I	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	I	1
	1	1	1	1	+	1	1	1	1	1
ow- /ing abnor CM	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
mb Ec malities	1	1	1	1	I	1	I	1	1	1
hogenic bowel				1	-	_ _		-	-	
11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
A Omphalocele	<u> 1 </u>	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	1	I
Nasal bone dysplasia	1	1	1	I	1	1	1	1	I	1
Fetal right nasolacrimal duct cyst	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	Bilateral fetal Absence DA low- Limb Echogenic IEF SUA Omphalocele Nasal Fetal right Rapendymal hydrops/ of Jying abnormalities bowel bone nasolacrimal costs hydroderma/ venous CM endation and the cost of the c	Bilateral fetal Absence DA low- Limb Echogenic IEF SUA Omphalocele Nasal Fetal right Re subependymal hydrops/ of Jying abnormalities bowel F stature and cyperation and the subependymal hydropermal venous CM and the subependymal hydropermal venous CM and the subependymal duct cyst and the subependymal duct cys	Bilateral subpendymal cysts fetal hydrops/ pleural effusion Absence of ywork Day low bould Limb bould Echogenic bould Eff SUA Omphalocele bone Nasal bone Feral right right Re accurate and dvsplasia verous cysts hydroderma/ hydroderma/ effusion of Jying abnormalities bowel Feral right Re bone Re activation C verous verous CM Jying abnormalities bowel Feral right Re dvsplasia dvstrinal c verous verous CM Jying abnormalities bowel E L V Jysplasia duct cyst verous Verous Verous V Verous V Jysplasia duct cyst verous Ve	Bilateral subependymal subependymal hydrops/ oysts fetal base of bub subependymal hydrops/ bus pleural effusion Absence sub subependymal hydrops/ cM Absance sub sub sub sub sub sub sub sub sub sub	Bilateral Bulateral subependymal hydrops/ hydrops/ hydrops/ bue eftusionFetal timp boweLimb chogenic bowelEchogenic tet bowelEchogenic bowelReal right schogenic pleural dysplasiaFetal right right schogenicReal right schogenic schogenicReal right schogenic schogenicFetal right rowelReal schogenic schogenic schogenicReal right schogenic schogenicReal right schogenic schogenicReal right schogenic schogenicReal right schogenic schogenicReal right schogenicReal schogenicReal right schogenicReal schogenicReal schogen	Bilateral bubependymal vudrops/ hydrops/ hydrops/ hydrops/ bube pleural effusionAbsence bub b	Bilateral fetal fetal fetal values of low bound bound hydrops, of low bundles bound hydrops, of low bundles bound hydrops, and low bundles bound effusion to the fetal right and low bundles bound effusion to the fetal right and low bound effusion bound effusion. In the fetal right and low bound effusion bound effusion bound effusion In the fetal right and right and result and result and result effusion In the fetal right and result and result and result effusion In the fetal right and right and result and result effusion In the fetal right and result and result and result and result effusion In the fetal right and result an	Image:Image	Bilateral Buschendmal bydrops/ hydrops/ hydrops/ hydrops/ denousFetal hydrops/ of pying would would would bydrops/ hydr	S Bilateral subpendymal bydrops/ by

ge _ IULIN, intrauterine growth retardation; VSU, ventricular septal defect; AC, aortic coarciat umbilical artery; IEF, intracardiac echogenic focus; +, feature present; -, feature absent.



Less common ultrasound features in our study. (A) The ultrasonic examination of case 8 showed a thickened nuchal translucency (NT) in the midsagittal view. (B,C) The transverse view of the fetal brain and abdomen of case 8 showed hydroderma (the white arrow). (D) The ultrasound examination of case 10 showed a left pleural effusion (PE), and dextroposition of heart in the four-chamber view. (E,F) Two-dimensional and color Doppler ultrasonic images of case 9 showed the absence of venous duct and abnormal connection between the portal vein (PV) sinus and the right atrium (RA) in the oblique coronal view. (G)The transverse view of the upper abdomen of the fetus 11 presented a typical double bubble sign. The anechoic region on the left side of the abdomen is the stomach (ST) and the anechoic region on the right is the expanded duodenum (D). (H) The ultrasonic examination of the case 12 showed a thickened nuchal fold (NF) in the transverse view of cerebellar. C, Cerebellum; T, Thalamus. (I,J) Two-dimensional and color Doppler ultrasonic images of the fetus 11 showed that the umbilical vein(UV) runs towards to the stomach(ST), and the gallbladder(GB) is located to the left of the umbilical vein in the transverse view of the abdomen. (K) Three-dimensional ultrasonic images of the fingers showed the hands of case 12 presented in a continuous "Thumbin-Fist Posture". (L) The ultrasonic examination of case 12 showed that thumbs were continuously adducted, and hypoechoic mass presented in the left radial volar

Cardiovascular abnormalities, RAA combined with PRUV and elevated S/D, were first described in our study. IUGR and cardiovascular abnormalities are the most common prenatal features of WBS. SVAS and VSD are the most common cardiac defects, followed by vascular lesion of vascular ring. The vascular ring could be diagnosed as early as 13 weeks in our study. Moreover, we found seven WBS fetus with the intrauterine features of abnormal fetal placental doppler indices, including five with elevated S/D, one with absence of diastolic of MCA and one with absence of diastolic of umbilical UA. The S/D, RI and PI values of the UA and MCA could reflect the resistance of blood vessels during blood circulation (16). When the S/D, PI, RI values of the MCA blood flow decreased gradually, and the UA resistance index shows a gradual upward trend, especially when the end-diastolic blood flow loss waveform appeared, it could predict the occurrence of fetal distress (17). Elastin is the major component of the extracellular matrix in many tissues. In terms of vascular development, it plays a role in arterial wall development by regulating smooth muscle proliferation. Elastin deficiency induces excessive proliferation of these cells, leading to arterial wall remodeling and obstructive vascular disease (18). We speculate that the haplo-insufficiency of ELN may be relevant to the hemodynamic changes of fetuses with WBS. Summarizing the prenatal phenotypes of WBS would provide insights for ultrasound detection, and may lead to early diagnosis and active treatment for patients at risk.

Currently, the most widely used techniques to detect microdeletion include FISH, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) and chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA). FISH has the disadvantage of labor-intensive and timeconsuming, the exact size of detected CNVs cannot be determined, and cannot be used in atypical CNVs cases. MLPA assay has been utilized to screen for unknown CNVs, but MLPA also has disadvantages: the relatively small number of probes cover only a few exons and regulatory regions in WBSCR, and since non-overlapping and widely scattered probes are used, breakpoints often cannot be defined precisely. Within the last 10 years, fetal genetics has advanced further with the widespread use of CMA. In addition to provide precise breakpoints for deletion boundaries and provide detection of atypical deletions,



FIGURE 3

Two abnormal karyotypes in our case series. (A) The karyotype on the left is for the case 9 (47, XXX), the arrow indicated the extra X chromosome. (B) The karyotype on the right is for case 12. The arrow elicited that the breakpoints of the deletion were located between 7q11.2 and 7q21, and the karyotype was described as 46,XY, del(7)(q11.2q21).



FIGURE 4

The breakpoints and covered genes of each microdeletion of the 14 fetuses, including the well-known OMIM disease-causing gene *ELN* (130,160) and NCF1 (608,512). Eleven cases had common deletion sizes ranged from 1.4 to 1.5 Mb. Case 1 had 2.1Mb deletions at 7q11.22-q21.11, involved 40 protein-coding genes including *ELN* (130,160). Case 8 had 5.5Mb deletions at 7q11.22-q21.11, involved 71 protein-coding genes including *ELN* (130,160). Case 12 had 21.4Mb deletions at 7q11.21-q21.11, involving 93 protein-coding genes, among which 82 genes including *AUTS2* (607,270) and *ELN* (130,160) were OMIM genes.

CMA can also determine additional CNV elsewhere in the genome. Furthermore, next-generation sequencing may eventually be utilized to perform combined single-nucleotide polymorphism, CNV and structural variant detection in fetal samples. Trio-MES also contains the parental detection, which may assist in determining parental inheritance. With the decrease of the cost, next-generation sequencing may become widely used in prenatal diagnosis.

Conclusions

In summary, prenatal ultrasound findings of WBS cases are diversified, with IUGR, cardiovascular abnormalities and abnormal fetal placental doppler indices being the most common intrauterine phenotypes. Our case series may help expand the prenatal phenotypes of WBS, including cardiovascular abnormalities RAA combined with PRUV and elevated S/D. These findings may provide insights for possible prenatal diagnosis of WBS by high-resolution ultrasound.In the meantime, with the decrease of the cost, next-generation sequencing may become widely used in prenatal diagnosis.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

This study has been approved by the Institutional Review Board/ Medical Ethics Committee of Guangdong Women and Children Hospital (IRB reference number: 201801073). Written informed consent was obtained from each participating family.

References

1. Kozel BA, Barak B, Kim CA, Mervis CB, Osborne LR, Porter M, et al. Williams syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2021) 7(1):42. doi: 10.1038/s41572-021-00276-z

2. von Dadelszen P, Chitayat D, Winsor EJ, Cohen H, MacDonald C, Taylor G, et al. De novo 46,XX,t(6;7)(q27;q11;23) associated with severe cardiovascular manifestations characteristic of supravalvular aortic stenosis and Williams syndrome. *Am J Med Genet.* (2000) 90(4):270–5. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(20000214)90:4<270::AID-AJMG2>3.0.CO;2-R

3. Kobalka AJ, Mrak RE, Gunning WT. A case report of in utero williams syndrome arterial malformation. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol.* (2017) 36(6):452–6. doi: 10.1080/15513815.2017.1379040

4. Kontos H, Manolakos E, Malligiannis P, Plachouras N, Ploumis N, Mihalatos M, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of a fetus with 7q11.23 deletion detected by multiplex ligationdependent probe amplification (MLPA) screening. *Prenat Diagn*. (2008) 28(6):556–8. doi: 10.1002/pd.2020

5. Krzeminska D, Steinfeld C, Cloez JL, Vibert M, Chery M, Menzies D, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of Williams syndrome based on ultrasound signs. *Prenat Diagn*. (2009) 29(7):710-2. doi: 10.1002/pd.2263

Author contributions

YW, CL and AY conceived and designed the study. YW, CL, RH, JG, JL, XZ, YX, JW and AY carried out the research. RH, JL, JG and JW analyzed and interpreted data. YW, CL and YX drafted the manuscript, and AY revised it. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Research Funding Project (Grant No. 202102020847). The funding body did not play a role in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, or in writing the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the family members who consented to participate in this study. We are grateful to Li Cui for assistance with the data analysis and manuscript preparation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

6. Marcato L, Turolla L, Pompilii E, Dupont C, Gruchy N, De Toffol S, et al. Prenatal phenotype of Williams-Beuren syndrome and of the reciprocal duplication syndrome. *Clin Case Rep.* (2014) 2(2):25–32. doi: 10.1002/ccr3.48

7. Popowski T, Vialard F, Leroy B, Bault JP, Molina-Gomes D. Williams-Beuren syndrome: the prenatal phenotype. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2011) 205(6):e6–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2011.09.017

8. Srinivasan S, Howley LW, Cuneo BF, Chatfield KC. In-utero idiopathic ductal constriction: a prenatal manifestation of Alagille and Williams syndrome arteriopathy. *J Perinatol.* (2018) 38(11):1453-6. doi: 10.1038/s41372-018-0221-9

9. Yuan M, Deng L, Yang Y, Sun L. Intrauterine phenotype features of fetuses with Williams-Beuren syndrome and literature review. *Ann Hum Genet.* (2020) 84 (2):169–76. doi: 10.1111/ahg.12360

10. Dang Y, Wan S, Zheng Y, Song T, Li C, Li Y, et al. The prenatal diagnosis of seven fetuses with 7q11.23 microdeletion or microduplication. *Fetal Pediatr Pathol.* (2020) 39(4):269–76. doi: 10.1080/15513815.2019.1651802

11. Huang R, Zhou H, Fu F, Li R, Lei T, Li Y, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of Williams-Beuren syndrome by ultrasound and chromosomal microarray analysis. *Mol Cytogenet*. (2022) 15(1):27. doi: 10.1186/s13039-022-00604-2

12. Stengel-Rutkowski S, Wirtz A, Hahn B, Hofmeister A, Murken JD. Routine Gbanding in prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal disorders. *Hum Genet.* (1976) 31 (2):231-4. doi: 10.1007/BF00296151

13. Xiang J, Ding Y, Song X, Mao J, Liu M, Liu Y, et al. Clinical utility of SNP array analysis in prenatal diagnosis: a cohort study of 5000 pregnancies. *Front Genet.* (2020) 11:571219. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.571219

14. Vetro A, Pisano T, Chiaro S, Procopio E, Guerra A, Parrini E, et al. Early infantile epileptic-dyskinetic encephalopathy due to biallelic PIGP mutations. *Neurol Genet.* (2020) 6(1):e387. doi: 10.1212/NXG.00000000000387

15. Li L, Huang L, Luo Y, Huang X, Lin S, Fang Q. Differing microdeletion sizes and breakpoints in chromosome 7q11.23 in williams-beuren syndrome detected by

chromosomal microarray analysis. Mol Syndromol. (2016) 6(6):268-75. doi: 10. 1159/000443942

16. Rizzo G, Mappa I, Rizzo G, D'Antonio F. International gestational age-specific centiles for umbilical artery Doppler indices: a longitudinal prospective cohort study of the INTERGROWTH-21st project. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2021) 224(2):248–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.08.110

17. Yin Q, Zhang Y, Ma Q, Gao L, Li P, Chen X. The clinical value of blood flow parameters of the umbilical artery and middle cerebral artery for assessing fetal distress. *Am J Transl Res.* (2021) 13 (5):5280–6. PMID 34150119; PMC8205795

18. Dridi SM, Foucault BA, Igondjo TS, Senni K, Ejeil AL, Pellat B, et al. Vascular wall remodeling in patients with supravalvular aortic stenosis and Williams Beuren syndrome. *J Vasc Res.* (2005) 42(3):190–201. doi: 10.1159/000085141