Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gael Dournes, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux, France

REVIEWED BY

Laurie Smith, The University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Pierluigi Ciet, Erasmus Medical Center, Netherlands

*CORRESPONDENCE Oliver Weinheimer

🖾 weinheimer@uni-heidelberg.de

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pediatric Pulmonology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 12 October 2022 ACCEPTED 03 January 2023 PUBLISHED 02 February 2023

CITATION

Weinheimer O, Konietzke P, Wagner WL, Weber D, Newman B, Galbán CJ, Kauczor H-U, Mall MA, Robinson TE and Wielpütz MO (2023) MDCT-based longitudinal automated airway and air trapping analysis in school-age children with mild cystic fibrosis lung disease. Front. Pediatr. 11:1068103. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1068103

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Weinheimer, Konietzke, Wagner, Weber, Newman, Galbán, Kauczor, Mall, Robinson and Wielpütz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

MDCT-based longitudinal automated airway and air trapping analysis in school-age children with mild cystic fibrosis lung disease

Oliver Weinheimer^{1,2,3}*, Philip Konietzke^{1,2,3}, Willi L. Wagner^{1,2,3}, Dorothea Weber^{2,4}, Beverly Newman⁵, Craig J. Galbán⁶, Hans-Ulrich Kauczor^{1,2,3}, Marcus A. Mall^{7,8,9}, Terry E. Robinson^{10†} and Mark O. Wielpütz^{1,2,3†}

¹Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ²Translational Lung Research Center (TLRC), German Lung Research Center (DZL), University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ³Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology with Nuclear Medicine, Thoraxklinik at University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁴Institute of Medical Biometry and Informatics (IMBI), University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁵Department of Radiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States, ⁶Department of Radiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States, ⁷Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Immunology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁸Berlin Institute of Health (@ Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁹German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Associated Partner Site, Berlin, Germany, ¹⁰Department of Pediatrics, Center of Excellence in Pulmonary Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States

Objectives: Quantitative computed tomography (QCT) offers some promising markers to quantify cystic fibrosis (CF)-lung disease. Air trapping may precede irreversible bronchiectasis; therefore, the temporal interdependencies of functional and structural lung disease need to be further investigated. We aim to quantify airway dimensions and air trapping on chest CT of school-age children with mild CF-lung disease over two years.

Methods: Fully-automatic software analyzed 144 serial spirometer-controlled chest CT scans of 36 children (median 12.1 (10.2–13.8) years) with mild CF-lung disease (median ppFEV1 98.5 (90.8–103.3) %) at baseline, 3, 12 and 24 months. The airway wall percentage (WP_{5–10}), bronchiectasis index (BEI), as well as severe air trapping (A3) were calculated for the total lung and separately for all lobes. Mixed linear models were calculated, considering the lobar distribution of WP_{5–10}, BEI and A3 cross-sectionally and longitudinally.

Results: WP₅₋₁₀ remained stable (*P* = 0.248), and BEI changed from 0.41 (0.28–0.7) to 0.54 (0.36–0.88) (*P* = 0.156) and A3 from 2.26% to 4.35% (*P* = 0.086) showing variability over two years. ppFEV1 was also stable (*P* = 0.276). A robust mixed linear model showed a cross-sectional, regional association between WP₅₋₁₀ and A3 at each timepoint (*P* < 0.001). Further, BEI showed no cross-sectional, but another mixed model showed short-term longitudinal interdependencies with air trapping (*P* = 0.003).

Abbreviations

A1–A3, air trapping indices; BEI, bronchiectasis index; BMI, body mass index; CF, cystic fibrosis; CFTR, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; CT, computed tomography; E/I MLA, expiratory to inspiratory ratio of mean lung attenuation; $\text{FEF}_{25\%-75\%}$, forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced vital capacity; G, airway generation; HU, Hounsfield units; LA, lumen area; MRSA, methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus; PA, pseudomonas aeruginosa; ppFEV1, percent predicted forced expiratory volume in one second; ppFVC, percent predicted forced vital capacity; QCT, quantitative CT; RLV, residual lung volume; RVC_{856–950}, relative volume change between –856 HU and –950 HU; TD, total diameter; TLV, total lung volume; WA, wall area; WP, wall percentage (relative wall thickness); WT, wall thickness; YACTA, yet another CT analyzer.

Conclusions: Robust linear/beta mixed models can still reveal interdependencies in medical data with high variability that remain hidden with simpler statistical methods. We could demonstrate cross-sectional, regional interdependencies between wall thickening and air trapping. Further, we show short-term regional interdependencies between air trapping and an increase in bronchiectasis. The data indicate that regional air trapping may precede the development of bronchiectasis. Quantitative CT may capture subtle disease progression and identify regional and temporal interdependencies of distinct manifestations of CF-lung disease.

KEYWORDS

Quantitative computer tomography, Bronchiectasis, Air trapping, Cystic Fibrosis, Automated analysis

Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a common life-limiting autosomal recessive genetic disorder, and morbidity and mortality are caused mainly by bronchiectasis, small airway obstruction, and progressive respiratory impairment (1). Spirometry and the lung clearance index (LCI) are important markers of disease severity and prognosis, delivering quantitative markers on patient condition (2). However, highresolution chest CT is fast, accurate, highly available and radiation exposure continues to go down with newer generations of devices. It is more sensitive than spirometry for showing long-term disease progression, can more easily be performed in younger children. LCI is a rather time consuming technique and cannot replace CT for bronchiectasis screening (3, 4). Furthermore, CT offers promising markers (5-10) for the objective and automated measurement of functional and actual structural lung changes. Airway wall thickening and mucus plugging are early, potentially reversible airway changes thought to be linked to the development of bronchiectasis (11-14). Bronchiectasis develops early in the course of CF, being detectable in infants as young as 10 weeks of age, and is persistent and progressive (15). Functional and early structural lung disease are frequently observed in newborns and infants by signs of air trapping in CT, even in the absence of respiratory symptoms (15-17). Air trapping may precede structural lung damage, but the temporal and regional interdependencies of functional and structural lung disease have not been well studied on imaging (15). Furthermore, only limited data is available on the longitudinal changes of air trapping (8, 18), whereas the quantitative information on airway changes is mainly limited to cross-sectional observations (19).

The present study was conducted on 36 school-age CF subjects with a mild disease course to study QCT parameters on four consecutive time points over two years to address the following issues: (1) longitudinal development of airway parameters, bronchiectasis and air trapping over two years, (2) cross-sectional interdependencies between airway parameters, bronchiectasis and air trapping on a lobar level, and (3) longitudinal interdependencies of airway parameters, bronchiectasis, and air trapping over two years.

Materials and methods

Subjects

36 school-age children with CF underwent serial chest CT scans and lung function testing at baseline, 3, 12, and 24 months. The

subjects were tested as part of a joint Novartis Pharmaceutical -Cystic Fibrosis Therapeutics Development Network Consortium study in 2007-2011 before the availability of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) modulator therapy, evaluating the natural progression of lung disease. The subjects were diagnosed with mild CF lung disease defined at enrollment and baseline testing (% predicted forced vital capacity (ppFVC) >80%, forced expiratory volume in 1 s (ppFEV1) ≥75%, Table 1) (8). This study was conducted before the widespread use of the lung clearance index as a clinically useful lung function outcome measure in CF, which was therefore not included. Study subjects were clinically stable at testing day and had not received neither oral nor intravenous antibiotics for a minimum of 28 days before the study. Full details of recruitment, inclusion and exclusion criteria and institutional review board approval declaration are summarized in the online supplement.

Spirometry

Spirometry was obtained in the standing position for pulmonary function measurements. Pulmonary function measurements (FVC, FEV1, and FEF_{25%-75%}) were expressed as percent predicted based on normal prediction equations derived from the Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI-2012) predictive equations for spirometric measurements subsequently generated from the ERS Global Lung function task force (20).

Computed tomography

Chest CT scans and pulmonary function testing were obtained on the same day. Chest CT scans were obtained with spirometercontrolled acquisition using a spiral CT scanner at two CF centers. A low-dose volumetric CT protocol was utilized with paired inspiratory and expiratory scans. Further details are provided within the online supplement.

Image assessment

The fully automated software YACTA (version 2.8.5.36) segmented and analyzed the airway tree, lungs and individual lobes on inspiratory and expiratory CT images. User interaction or manual correction of the segmentations was not required.

TABLE 1 Patient demographics. Patient demographics (body mass index (BMI)) and spirometric data (forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1) and, forced expiratory flow at 25 and 75% of the lung volume (FEF25%–75%) are given at baseline, 3 months, 12 months, and 24 months. Percentage values refer to predicted volumes. Infection status for PA (Pseudomonas aeruginosa) and MRSA (chronic methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus) is also shown.

	Baseline	3 months	12 months	24 months	<i>P</i> -value
Number of subjects	36				
Male/female	16/20				
Sweat Cl Value	100 (90.8–109.3)				
Homozygous F508del	23				
Age (y)	12.1 (10.2–13.8)	12.3 (10.4–14)	13.1 (11.2–14.8)	14.1 (12.3–15.8)	<0.001
Weight (kg)	41.25 (31.2-49.5)	42.6 (32.25-50.2)	45.7 (35-52.5)	47.9 (41.4–57.8)	< 0.001
Height (cm)	147.5 (137.4–154.5)	150.4 (138.5-156)	151.7 (141.8–159)	155.5 (146.6-162)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	18.4 (17.1–20.2)	18.7 (17.6–20.3)	19.3 (17.6–20.6)	19.9 (18.3–21.3)	<0.001
BMI (%P)	54.2 (40.2–73.9)	59.6 (41.2-73.6)	63.4 (44-81)	75.3 (58.3-84)	< 0.001
FVC (l)	2.75 (2.1-3.2)	2.8 (2.1-3.4)	2.9 (2.4-3.7)	3.3 (2.7-4)	< 0.001
ppFVC	99.9 (93.7-106.4)	100.3 (92.5–105.6)	98 (90.7-106.6)	104.5 (94.1-110.7)	0.506
FEV1 (l/s)	2.3 (1.8-2.8)	2.3 (1.7-2.9)	2.4 (1.9-3)	2.7 (2.1-3.2)	< 0.001
ppFEV1	98.5 (90.8–103.3)	94.3 (83.9–103.3)	94.3 (86.3-101.5)	97.7 (90.5–102.9)	0.276
FEF25-75 (l/s)	2.6 (1.8-3.4)	2.6 (1.8-3.4)	2.6 (2-3.6)	2.8 (2-3.7)	0.015
ppFEF25%-75%	90 (77.5-109.1)	89.2 (63.1-104.3)	82.5 (66.9-102.7)	90.2 (67–97.9)	0.092
PA negative PA positive	31 (86.1%) 5 (13.9%)	30 (83.3%) 6 (16.7%)	29 (80.6%) 7 (19.4%)	28 (77.8%) 8 (22.2%)	0.714
MRSA negative MRSA positive	27 (75.0%) 9 (25.0%)	31 (86.1%) 5 (13.9%)	30 (83.3%) 6 (16.7%)	28 (77.8%) 8 (22.2%)	0.456

Segmentation results were visually inspected by a reader with more than 5 years in chest radiology.

Beside the total lung volume (TLV) and the residual lung volume (RLV), the following previously described air trapping parameters were calculated on the basis of the lung parenchyma segmentation automatically: (1) RVC₈₅₆₋₉₅₀ which is defined as the relative volume change between the expiratory and inspiratory lung volumes with attenuation between -856 and -950 HU divided by the total lung volume without emphysema. The index ranges from -1.0 to 0, greater values (closer to zero) mean more air trapping (21). (2) E/I MLA which is the expiratory to inspiratory ratio of mean lung attenuation with a range from 0 to 1.0, greater values mean more air trapping (21). (3) A1-A3 which use three patient specific thresholds for the definition of air trapping. A1 represents defects on the basis of liberal criteria (mild air-trapping), while A3 represent defects on the basis of stringent criteria as published previously (severe air-trapping). The size of the defect areas is expressed as a percentage of the analysed lung parenchyma region (22).

Segmentation of the airway tree was used to determine the following parameters automatically: (4) Bronchiectasis index (BEI) for the whole airway tree and for the individual lobes. The BEI calculation is based on the fact that the lumen of bronchi decreases with increasing generation number (airway tapering). Tapering of the airways is checked - if this is not the case, an error value is calculated, these error values are summed up for individual lobes or the entire lung. This approach is described in more detail in (7). (5) The basic geometry of the airways is described by the parameters total diameter (TD), wall thickness (WT), lumen area (LA), and wall percentage (WP = 100*WA/(LA + WA)) (Figure 1).

These were determined generation-based in the trachea (G₁), main stem (G₂), lobar (G₃), segmental (G₄), and the aggregated subsegmental bronchi (G₅₋₁₀), the aggregated values were also calculated by lobe for G₅₋₁₀. The primary location for airway disease in CF are usually the small airways defined as airways with an internal diameter below 2 mm, generally reflecting the 4th to the 14th generation of branching (23). Boon et al. made this observation by performing a morphometric analysis of explanted end-stage CF lungs, which showed extensive changes in the conducting airways, mainly from the 6th airway generation onward, describing dilatation and obstructions in up to 50% of the airways per generation (24). Therefore, we aggregated the airways generations 5th to 10th in our analysis, creating more resilient results due to the considerably higher amount of data and depicting the airway pathologies' primary location.

Further details are provided within the online supplement.

Statistical analysis

Results were summarized as the median and interquartile ranges for continuous variables and by absolute and relative frequencies for binary variables. Time-dependent changes in demographics, lung volume, air trapping, and airway parameters were assessed by Friedman Tests for continuous and by McNemar Tests for binary variables. The Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney-*U*-Test is used to compare A3 values of patients with chronic infection with patients without. Pearson correlation coefficient was used for correlations and rated as suggested by Karlik (25). Robust linear/beta mixed models were



Left side shows a segmented airway tree including labeling of the pulmonary lobes, trachea highlighted in green, right main in red, left main in dark blue, right upper lobe in yellow (transparent), middle lobe in green and right lower lobe in orange, left upper lobe in light blue, lingula in dark red, and left lower lobe in pink. In the right upper lobe an orthogonal slice through an generation 6 airway is shown, on such slices, all airways of the whole segmented airway tree are measured. Right side shows the magnification of the orthogonal slice shown on the left side, inner (green) and outer (red) wall borders are displayed. Calculated airway parameters are given below. LA refers to the area within the green inner wall border. WA refers to the area between the green inner and red outer wall border. The other parameters are calculated from these values.

calculated to study the regional relationship of airway structure and air trapping at single-timepoints. A second model was used to study the longitudinal interdependencies between airway changes and air trapping over two years. Since this is an explorative study, all *P*-values are descriptive. Further details are provided within the online supplement.

Results

School-age children with mild CF retain normal spirometry over two years

Patient demographics, such as weight, height, and BMI, increased substantially over two years (P < 0.001). Spirometric pulmonary function test values (FVC, FEV1, and FEF25%–75%) also increased significantly (P < 0.001), whereas predicted values (ppFVC, ppFEV1, and ppFEF25%–75%) did not deteriorate (P = 0.092-0.506) (**Table 1**). All airway parameters (BEI, TD₅₋₁₀, WT₅₋₁₀, LA₅₋₁₀, WP₅₋₁₀) were weakly (r = -0.06 to -0.27), and most of the air trapping parameters were moderately correlated with ppFEV1 (r = -0.26 to -0.35). All parameters were correlated across all time points and lung lobes. The lobe-based correlations showed no substantial differences (**Figure 2** and **Supplementary Figures S1A–G**). The infection status regarding Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) did not change considerably (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Table S1**).

Changing airway dimensions partially reflect patient growth

Longitudinal analysis of airway parameters and bronchiectasis was performed. For the total lung, the aggregated subsegmental airway parameters TD_{5-10} , WT_{5-10} , and LA_{5-10} increased (P < 0.05-0.001), whereas WP_{5-10} remained stable between baseline and 24 months (P =0.248). The intra-individual course of airway parameters between time points showed substantial variability with a mean range of 12.47% for WP_{5-10} (Figure 3 and Table 2). BEI as a growth-independent measurement of disease severity changed over two years from 0.41 to 0.54 (P = 0.156). Airway tree segmentation and BEI values are shown for a representative example in Figure 4. Lobe-based airway analysis showed similar results for TD₅₋₁₀ and LA₅₋₁₀. BEI and WT₅₋₁₀ increased in all lung lobes, except in the RML, where it decreased 0.16 to 0.12 and from 0.68 mm to 0.66 mm (P = 0.172, P = 0.540). WP₅₋₁₀ showed a slight decrease in all lung lobes, except in the LLi, where it increased from 51.61% to 53.31% (P = 0.220). The intra-individual course also showed substantial variability in all lobes (Supplementary Figure S2 and Supplementary Table S2).

Air trapping is variable over time

The longitudinal development of air trapping was analyzed. TLV and RLV increased over two years (P < 0.001), this also applies to all individual lobes. However, the air trapping parameters RVC_{856–950} and E/I MLA did not change substantially. The air trapping

							R, Lobes	Pearson's					
1	Age	-0.28*** [-0.34,-0.21]	0.35*** [0.29,0.41]	-0.01 [-0.08,0.06]	0.28*** [0.22,0.34]	0.19*** [0.12,0.26]	-0.02 [-0.09,0.05]	-0.01 [-0.08,0.05]	-0.03 [-0.1,0.04]	-0.06 [-0.13,0.01]	-0.28*** [-0.35,-0.22]	0.4*** [0.35,0.46]	0.42*** [0.36,0.48]
0.6	вмі	-0.34*** [-0.4,-0.28]	0.24*** [0.18,0.31]	-0.17*** [-0.24,-0.1]	0.11** [0.04,0.18]	0.11** [0.03,0.18]	-0.11** [-0.18,-0.05]	-0.13*** [-0.2,-0.07]	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.09]	-0.2*** [-0.27,-0.13]	-0.35*** [-0.41,-0.29]	0.24*** [0.17,0.3]	0.29*** [0.23,0.35]
0.4	FEV1	-0.37*** [-0.43,-0.31]	0.36*** [0.3,0.42]	-0.11** [-0.17,-0.04]	0.24*** [0.17,0.3]	0.15*** [0.07,0.22]	-0.15*** [-0.22,-0.08]	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.09]	-0.18*** [-0.24,-0.11]	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.1]		0.37*** [0.31,0.42]	0.45*** [0.39,0.5]
0.2	ppFEV1	-0.27*** [-0.34,-0.21]	-0.06 [-0.13,0.01]	-0.27*** [-0.33,-0.21]	-0.18*** [-0.25,-0.12]	-0.14*** [-0.21,-0.06]	-0.26*** [-0.32,-0.2]	-0.33*** [-0.39,-0.27]	-0.35*** [-0.4,-0.28]	-0.29*** [-0.36,-0.23]	-0.29*** [-0.35,-0.22]	-0.28*** [-0.34,-0.22]	-0.1** [-0.17,-0.03]
0	TLV	-0.22*** [-0.28,-0.15]	0.51*** [0.45,0.56]	0.15*** [0.08,0.22]	0.45*** [0.39,0.5]	0.18*** [0.1,0.25]	-0.28*** [-0.34,-0.21]	-0.29*** [-0.36,-0.23]	-0.3*** [-0.36,-0.24]	-0.29*** [-0.35,-0.22]	-0.18*** [-0.25,-0.11]	0.86*** [0.84,0.88]	
-0.2	RLV	-0.12** [-0.19,-0.05]	0.45*** [0.39,0.5]	0.16*** [0.09,0.23]	0.4*** [0.34,0.45]	0.23*** [0.16,0.31]	-0.01 [-0.08,0.06]	0.05 [-0.02,0.12]	0.09* [0.02,0.16]	0.12** [0.05,0.18]	-0.07* [-0.14,-0.01]		0.86*** [0.84,0.88]
-0.4	RVC856-950	0.54*** [0.49,0.59]	-0.03 [-0.1,0.04]	0.5*** [0.44,0.55]	0.25*** [0.18,0.31]	0.04 [-0.04,0.12]	0.17*** [0.1,0.24]	0.14*** [0.07,0.2]	0.13*** [0.07,0.2]	0.07 [0,0.14]		-0.07* [-0.14,-0.01]	-0.18*** [-0.25,-0.11]
	E/I MLA	0.12** [0.05,0.19]	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.1]	-0.06 [-0.13,0.01]	-0.17*** [-0.24,-0.11]	0.08 [0,0.16]	0.72*** [0.68,0.75]	0.85*** [0.83,0.87]		4	0.07 [0,0.14]	0.12** [0.05,0.18]	-0.29*** [-0.35,-0.22]
	A1	0.15*** [0.08,0.22]	-0.14*** [-0.21,-0.07]	-0.01 [-0.08,0.06]	-0.13*** [-0.2,-0.06]	0.12** [0.04,0.2]	0.77*** [0.74,0.8]				0.13*** [0.07,0.2]	0.09* [0.02,0.16]	-0.3*** [-0.36,-0.24]
	A2	0.12** [0.05,0.19]	-0.12*** [-0.19,-0.05]	-0.02 [-0.09,0.05]	-0.12** [-0.19,-0.05]	0.14*** [0.06,0.22]				0.85*** [0.83,0.87]	0.14*** [0.07,0.2]	0.05 [-0.02,0.12]	-0.29*** [-0.36,-0.23]
	A3	0.08* [0.01,0.15]	-0.1** [-0.17,-0.03]	-0.03 [-0.1,0.04]	-0.11** [-0.18,-0.04]	0.13** [0.05,0.21]			0.77*** [0.74,0.8]	0.72*** [0.68,0.75]	0.17*** [0.1,0.24]	-0.01 [-0.08,0.06]	-0.28*** [-0.34,-0.21]
	BEI	0.01 [-0.07,0.08]	0.31*** [0.24,0.38]	0.22*** [0.15,0.29]	0.37*** [0.3,0.43]	4	0.13** [0.05,0.21]	0.14*** [0.06,0.22]	0.12** [0.04,0.2]	0.08 [0,0.16]	0.04 [-0.04,0.12]	0.23*** [0.16,0.31]	0.18*** [0.1,0.25]
	TD5-10	0.31*** [0.24,0.37]	0.81*** [0.78,0.83]	0.79*** [0.76,0.82]		0.37*** [0.3,0.43]	-0.11** [-0.18,-0.04]	-0.12** [-0.19,-0.05]	-0.13*** [-0.2,-0.06]	-0.17*** [-0.24,-0.11]	0.25*** [0.18,0.31]	0.4*** [0.34,0.45]	0.45*** [0.39,0.5]
	WT5-10	0.79*** [0.77,0.82]	0.34*** [0.27,0.4]	1	0.79*** [0.76,0.82]	0.22*** [0.15,0.29]	-0.03 [-0.1,0.04]	-0.02 [-0.09,0.05]	-0.01 [-0.08,0.06]	-0.06 [-0.13,0.01]	0.5*** [0.44,0.55]	0.16*** [0.09,0.23]	0.15***
	LA5-10	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.1]		0.34*** [0.27,0.4]	0.81*** [0.78,0.83]	0.31*** [0.24,0.38]	-0.1** [-0.17,-0.03]	-0.12*** [-0.19,-0.05]	-0.14*** [-0.21,-0.07]	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.1]	-0.03 [-0.1,0.04]	0.45*** [0.39,0.5]	0.51*** [0.45,0.56]
	WP5-10	1	-0.16*** [-0.23,-0.1]	0.79*** [0.77,0.82]	0.31*** [0.24,0.37]	0.01 [-0.07,0.08]	0.08* [0.01,0.15]	0.12** [0.05,0.19]	0.15*** [0.08,0.22]	0.12** [0.05,0.19]	0.54*** [0.49,0.59]	-0.12** [-0.19,-0.05]	-0.22*** [-0.28,-0.15]
		WP5-10	LA5-10	WT5-10	TD5-10	BEI	A3	A2	A1	E/I MLA	RVC856-950	RLV	TLV

FIGURE 2

Correlation heat map of airway parameters and air trapping. Age, BMI, spirometric data FEV1 and ppFEV1, airway parameters (BEI, TD_{5-10} , WT_{5-10} , LA_{5-10} , WP_{5-10}), lung volumes (TLV, RLV) and air trapping parameters (RVC856-950, E/I MLA, A1-3) were correlated across all time points and lung lobes. Dark red shows strong positive correlations and dark blue shows strong negative. ***P < 0.001; *P < 0.001; *P < 0.05. 95% confidence interval in brackets. Benjamini-Hochberg method was used for adjustment for multiple testing (26). Correlation heat maps for the individual lobes are shown in **Supplementary Figures S1A–G**.



parameters A1-A3 showed the largest variation Where A1and A2 did not change significantly, A3 changed over two years from 2.26% to 4.35% (P = 0.086) (Table 2, Supplementary Table S3). However, the intra-individual course of air trapping parameters between time points shows substantial variability for the total lung with a mean range of 66.25% for A1, 47.34% for A2, and 21.05% for A3 (Figure 3). Air trapping segmentation and quantification values are shown for a representative examples in Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S3. The individual lung lobes also showed differences in air trapping severity. The extent of severe air trapping (A3) at baseline varied, starting with 7.45% in the RML, compared to 0.88% in the RUL. Furthermore, the development of A3 was highly variable regarding individual lung lobes (**Supplementary Figure S2** and **Supplementary Table S3**). The infection status of Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) was not linked to changes in air trapping (**Supplementary Table S1**).

Contribution of age to airway parameters and air trapping

Single-time point correlations between, age, airway changes and air trapping were performed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. The airway parameters TD_{5-10} , LA_{5-10} , and WP_{5-10} correlated

Lung	Baseline	3 months	12 months	24 months	P-value
Airways					
BEI (-)	0.41 (0.28-0.7)	0.39 (0.29-0.77)	0.49 (0.32-0.7)	0.54 (0.36-0.88)	0.156
TD ₅₋₁₀ (mm)	5.04 (4.63-5.46)	4.96 (4.75-5.39)	5.15 (4.73-5.59)	5.35 (4.82-5.78)	<0.001
WT ₅₋₁₀ (mm)	0.77 (0.72-0.89)	0.78 (0.69-0.91)	0.84 (0.72-0.96)	0.84 (0.74-0.95)	0.028
LA ₅₋₁₀ (mm ²)	9.99 (8.41-11.96)	10.21 (8.11-12.08)	10.9 (8.94-11.98)	11.36 (9.7–12.85)	0.001
WP ₅₋₁₀ (%)	49.41 (45.48-54.65)	47.69 (46.01-52.3)	50.59 (46.69-55.06)	49.41 (47.17-55.81)	0.248
Parenchyma					
TLV (cm ³)	3740 (2986-4480)	3824 (3053-4549)	4052 (3249-4695)	4265 (3663-5217)	<0.001
RLV (cm ³)	1262 (853-1505)	1165 (923–1558)	1336 (1003–1769)	1394 (1040–2086)	<0.001
RVC ₈₅₆₋₉₅₀	-0.63 (-0.69 to -0.53)	-0.62 (-0.68 to -0.54)	-0.62 (-0.71 to -0.47)	-0.63 (-0.7 to -0.53)	0.667
E/I MLA	0.64 (0.59-0.7)	0.66 (0.61-0.71)	0.68 (0.62-0.7)	0.67 (0.6-0.73)	0.982
A1 (%)	20.48 (11.78-37.9)	24.43 (14.39-34.99)	28.78 (18.59-38.04)	25.1 (14.97-42.08)	0.721
A2 (%)	8.8 (3.91-20.1)	11 (4.39–18.84)	14.05 (6.73-18.96)	12.96 (7.19–21.5)	0.257
A3 (%)	2.26 (1.11-6.47)	2.37 (1.13-4)	4.15 (1.91-6.24)	4.35 (1.84-7.47)	0.086

TABLE 2 **Temporal development of airway and air trapping parameters**. Airway parameters (BEI, TD, WT, LA, and WP), TLV, RLV and air trapping parameters (RVC856-950, E/I MLA, A1-3) were calculated for the whole lung at baseline, 3 months, 12 months, and 24 months. Airway parameters refer to the subsegmental airways generations 5th-10th.

weakly (r = 0.28, r = 0.35, r = -0.28), BEI very weakly (r = 0.19), and WT₅₋₁₀ negligible with age (**Figure 2**). Lobe-based correlations showed no substantial differences (**Supplementary Figures S1A–G**). Age was moderately associated with an increase in TLV (r = 0.42). RVC₈₅₆₋₉₅₀ showed a weak (r = -0.28), and the other air trapping parameters a very weak (r = -0.01 to -0.06) association with age.

On the total lung level, BEI had only very weak to weak correlations with other airway parameters (r = 0.01 to -0.37). The only moderate correlation was found between RVC₈₅₆₋₉₅₀ and WT₅₋₁₀, and WP₅₋₁₀ (r = 0.5, r = 0.54) (**Figure 2**). The lobe-based correlations also showed no other associations between the severity of airway changes and the air trapping parameters (**Supplementary Figures S1A–G**).

Wall percentage and air trapping showed cross-sectional interdependencies

A robust mixed linear model cross-correlated the airway and air trapping parameters of all individual lung lobes and all four examination time points to assess their regional relationship. The model pointed out that all parameters were linked to age (P = 0.003, P < 0.001, P = 0.014). Furthermore, WP₅₋₁₀ was associated with the air trapping parameter A3 since an increase in A3 was linked to an increase in WP₅₋₁₀ (P < 0.001). The examination time point also influenced WP₅₋₁₀ (P = 0.021, P = 0.026, P = 0.036), whereas BEI and LA₅₋₁₀ showed no dependency (**Table 3**).

Bronchiectasis and air trapping showed short-term longitudinal interdependencies

A robust mixed linear model analyzed longitudinal interdependencies between airway and air trapping parameters in

all lung lobes over two years. The model indicated that BEI was only affected by age between baseline and 3 months (P = 0.007), whereas LA₅₋₁₀ was affected by age at all and WP₅₋₁₀, inconsistently at some time intervals. Furthermore, a higher BEI, LA₅₋₁₀, and WP₅₋₁₀ at one examination time point seemed to be associated with a higher value at all following time points (P < 0.001). Lastly, BEI showed regional interdependencies with severe A3 over a short time interval of 3 months (P = 0.003) but not over longer time intervals of up to 2 years (P = 0.091) **Table 4** and **Supplementary Table S4**).

Discussion

The present study was conducted on 36 school-age CF subjects with a mild disease course to give contemporary insight into the 2-year natural history of functional and structural lung disease in the absence of current CFTR modulatory therapy. We performed a longitudinal analysis of airway parameters for the total lung and all lung lobes as a first step. CF-lung disease is characterized by chronic airway inflammation and infection, leading to airway wall thickening and mucus plugging as early, potentially reversible airway changes (13). We used the airway parameters total diameter (TD_{5-10}) , lumen area (LA₅₋₁₀), wall thickness (WT₅₋₁₀), and wall percentage (WP₅₋₁₀), which should reflect dilatations and obstructions equally well. We found a significant increase in TD₅₋₁₀, WT₅₋₁₀, and LA₅₋₁₀ (P < 0.001-0.05), whereas the relative parameter WP₅₋₁₀ remained stable between baseline and 24 months (P = 0.248). Heterogeneity is also a key feature of CF-lung disease. As expected, all airway parameters showed substantial variability within the patient cohort and between different lung lobes. For example, WP5-10 had an interquartile range of 45.48%-54.65% at baseline, with minimum



FIGURE 4

Representative example of a 10-year-old female cystic fibrosis patient scanned with paired inspiratory-expiratory CT at baseline, and subsequently after 3, 12 and 24 months. The first column shows the inspiratory CT in coronal reconstruction and the second column the segmentation results of the airway tree including labeling of the pulmonary lobes (trachea highlighted in green, right main in red, left main in dark blue, right upper lobe in yellow, middle lobe in green and right lower lobe in orange, left upper lobe in light blue, lingula in red, and left lower lobe in pink). The third column shows the expiratory CT in coronal reconstruction using the A1 (A1 includes A2 and A3) parameter. The quantitative results TLV, BEI, RLV, A1, A2, A3 are given for each timepoint. BEI increased from 0.52 to 0.68, and A3 from 9.42% to 12.76% over tow years. The corresponding ppFEV1 were 104% and 102%.

and maximum values of 37.68% and 67.93%, respectively. A major obstacle when interpreting this data is separating the effects of growth and disease activity. However, we believe that WP_{5-10} as a ratio in which both the numerator and the denominator grow with age may be less affected by growth than the other parameters.

Bronchiectasis can be quantified using airway tapering, which should in turn be a growth-independent measure. Kuo et al. described the outer airway diameter to be reliable to diagnose bronchiectasis when performing visual scoring (10), but in our experience the inner airway diameter seemed more reliable (7). The BEI as a quantitative parameter has two advantages. Firstly, unlike the other airway parameters, BEI should be less variable and potentially less prone to changes during spontaneous improvements under therapy because bronchiectasis represents irreversible structural lung damage (18). Secondly, BEI can be considered less growth-dependent, and does not require the adjacent vessel to be compared with, which is another parameter introducing variability. Bronchiectasis are persistent and progressive, developing early in the course of CF and being detectable in infants as young as 10 weeks of age (15). In preschool children, the extent and severity of bronchiectasis is between 29.3%-61.5%, making the usefulness of a bronchiectasis score as an outcome measure for CF lung disease questionable (27, 28). However, in school-age children, bronchiectasis has a prevalence of 60% to 80%, making it a more and more relevant disease marker with increasing age. In our cohort, BEI changed over two years from 0.41 to 0.54 (P = 0.156), which was also in line with the literature (10). BEI also had a high regional heterogeneity with inconsistent progress in different lobes. We observed the highest increase in the RUL and RLL, which was partly in line with previous publications that observed more severe abnormalities and inflammation in the RUL (12, 16, 29). However, the highest TABLE 3 Linear model to predict changes in airway parameters associated with air trapping. Patient and lung regions are random effects. The fixed effects were age, A3, and the examination time point (3 months, 12 months, and 24 months), and the endpoints were the airways parameters BEI, LA_{5-10} , and WP_{5-10} . The regression coefficient (EstE) describes the influence of a fixed effect on the endpoints if it is increased by one unit. The confidence interval (CI) with its lower and upper limits (LL – UL) and the standard error (SE) is also given.

	EstE CI (LL – UL)	SE	<i>P</i> -value				
BEI							
Age (y)	0.046 (0.018-0.073)	0.014	0.003				
A3 (%)	0.003 (-0.002-0.008)	0.003	0.250				
3 months	0.019 (-0.059-0.096)	0.039	0.639				
12 months	0.015 (-0.068-0.098)	0.042	0.722				
24 months	0.006 (-0.089-0.101)	0.049	0.902				
	LA ₅₋₁₀						
Age (y)	0.598 (0.407-0.789)	0.098	<0.001				
A3 (%)	-0.006 (-0.034-0.021)	0.014	0.654				
3 months	0.112 (-0.318-0.541)	0.219	0.614				
12 months	-0.016 (-0.487-0.455)	0.24	0.947				
24 months	-0.035 (-0.617-0.546)	0.297	0.906				
WP ₅₋₁₀							
Age (y)	-0.042 (-0.07 to -0.014)	0.014	0.014				
A3 (%)	0.004 (0.001-0.006)	0.001	<0.001				
3 months	-0.025 (-0.067-0.016)	0.021	0.021				
12 months	0.04 (-0.01-0.09)	0.026	0.026				
24 months	0.086 (0.015-0.157)	0.036	0.036				

BEI was found in the RLL. In summary, we showed that TD_{5-10} , LA_{5-10} , WT_{5-10} , and WP_{5-10} most likely reflect a combination of growth and small airway disease with airway wall thickening and mucus plugging as early potentially reversible airway pathologies. The BEI, on the other hand, represents more irreversible structural lung damage. The lobe-based analysis also showed substantial variability between different lung lobes, again emphasizing the need for a quantitative assessment of regional disease activity.

Quantitative air trapping is a CT outcome measure that has promise in detecting early regional small and large airway obstruction before global lung function decline and progressive structural lung disease occurs. Currently, no specific air trapping parameter is considered a gold standard. Therefore, we tested the most commonly used air trapping parameters RV_{C856-950} and E/I MLA, which are normally used in adults, and the parameters A1-A3, which are adjusted for use in pediatric patients (22). $RVC_{856-950}$ had a weak (r = -0.28) association with age and did not increase over two years. The use of fixed thresholds makes the parameter vulnerable to growth-related changes in lung density. This dependency might be especially problematic in the first years of life when lung density decreases linearly, approximating adult levels during adolescence (30, 31). On the other hand, E/I MLA and A1-A3 seemed not to be associated with age (r = -0.06; r = -0.01) to -0.03) since both parameters are less influenced by growth-related TABLE 4 Linear model to predict temporal development of airway parameters. Patient and lung regions are random effects. The fixed effects were age, A3, and the examination time point (3 months, 12 months, and 24 months), and the endpoints were the airways parameters BE, LA₅₋₁₀, and WP₅₋₁₀. The regression coefficient (EstE) describes the influence on the fixed effect the change between one time point and the following time point. The confidence interval (Cl) with its lower and upper limits (LL – UL) and the standard error (SE) is also given.

	Est CI (LL – UL)	SE	<i>P</i> -value
	BEI		
Baseline an	d 3 months		
Age (y)	0.043 (0.014-0.072)	0.015	0.007
A3 (%)	0.017 (0.007-0.028)	0.001	0.003
Baseline	0.403 (0.351-0.455)	0.027	<0.001
Baseline an	d 24 months		
Age (y)	0.230 (-0.002-0.048)	0.013	0.086
A3 (%)	0.008 (-0.001-0.013)	0.005	0.091
Baseline	0.983 (0.925-1.041)	0.030	<0.001
	LA ₅₋₁₀		
Baseline an	d 3 months		
Age (y)	0.357 (0.139-0.575)	0.111	0.003
A3 (%)	0.023 (-0.031-0.077)	0.028	0.413
Baseline	0.378 (0.285-0.471)	0.048	<0.001
Baseline an	d 24 months		
Age (y)	0.293 (0.132-0.454)	0.082	0.001
A3 (%)	0.026 (-0.028-0.079)	0.027	0.356
Baseline	0.552 (0.443-0.660)	0.055	<0.001
	WP ₅₋₁₀		
Baseline an	d 3 months		
Age (y)	-0.782 (-1.3320.233)	0.28	0.009
A3 (%)	0.013 (-0.088- 0.114)	0.052	0.796
Baseline	0.404 (0.292-0.517)	0.057	<0.001
Baseline an	d 24 months		
Age (y)	-0.992 (-1.4660.519)	0.242	<0.001
A3 (%)	0.1 (-0.021-0.221)	0.062	0.114
Baseline	0.386 (0.261-0.511)	0.064	<0.001

changes due to the way they are calculated. E/I MLA is the expiratory to inspiratory ratio of mean lung attenuation (21) and, A1-A3 is defined by using three dynamic thresholds (22), compensating for ages related changes in lung density. Accordingly, RVC_{856–950} correlated weakly with the other air trapping parameters (r = 0.07 to 0.17), whereas the E/I MLA and A1-A3 showed strong or very strong correlations with each other (r = 0.72 to 0.94). E/I MLA did not change substantially over two years, indicating that E/I MLA and RVC_{856–950} might not be sensitive enough to detect subtle changes in air trapping in school-age children. Therefore, we decided to focus on A1-A3. Indeed we found that A3 representing

severe air trapping increased from 2.26% to 4.35% (P = 0.086), this parameter seems to be best suited for our patient collective.

Next, we analyzed cross-sectional interdependencies between airway parameters and air trapping at each timepoint to gain further insight into CF lung disease. Airway wall thickening and "trapped gas" behind closed airways showed associations in other lung diseases like bronchial asthma (32). We expected that the same associations exist in CF since airway inflammation also leads to airway wall thickening and airway obstruction. The assumption is strengthened by regional variations in wall percentage (WP₅₋₁₀) and air trapping (A3), as observed in steps one and two. Furthermore, we also expected cross-sectional interdependencies between bronchiectasis and air trapping since in patients with CF, bronchiectasis are detected in 30% and air-trapping in 45% with CT (33). We believe that our study collective is predestined for showing connections between bronchiectasis and air trapping since only patients with mild CF-lung disease were included, making it likely to catch the development of irreversible lung changes at an early stage. Therefore, we applied a robust mixed linear model to consider the regional heterogeneity of CF by integrating lobe-based information to predict changes in BEI, LA₅₋₁₀, and WP₅₋₁₀ associated with age, air trapping, and the examination time point 3-month, 12-month, and 24-month. For our model we chose BEI, LA₅₋₁₀, and WP₅₋₁₀ as a reduced set of airway parameter input variables. The reasoning behind it was that pathological airway wall thickening might be best represented by WP5-10 since the parameter is less influenced by growth. Furthermore, all airway parameters had low correlations with ppFEV1, while WP5-10 was the most promising (r = -0.27). LA₅₋₁₀ was chosen since the remaining parameters were strongly correlated with and are partly computationally derived from each other (e.g., TD - WT = LA).

Importantly, the model showed that A3 was significantly associated with higher WP_{5-10} (P < 0.001), implying that narrowing of peripheral airways and a thickening of the airway walls by mucus or inflammation is regionally connected to functional airway disease at a given timepoint. However, a higher A3 was not significantly associated with higher BEI (P = 0.250), indicating that bronchiectasis may not be cross-sectionally linked to regional air trapping at this stage of disease. As expected, BEI had a significant association with increasing age, representing irreversible bronchiectasis progression over time (P = 0.003). Therefore, especially structural changes of CFlung disease like bronchiectasis might get better detected at 12- and 24-months. Age was also associated with higher LA_{5-10} (P < 0.001) and lower WP_{5-10} (P = 0.014). Higher LA_{5-10} was most likely attributed to growth and the lower WP5-10 with older age possibly reflects age-related structural changes of the airways (34, 35). However, this makes it more likely that the increasing WP_{5-10} in areas with air trapping are linked to pathology. The associations between LA_{5-10} , WP_{5-10} and the study time points were inconsistent. The later time points, 12-months, and 24-months were associated with decreased LA5-10 and increased in WP5-10, while we found opposing tendencies at 3-month. This observation might be explained by the short observation period, where the high variability of CF-lung disease has a significantly greater influence than on longer observation periods.

Taken together, we could demonstrate a cross-sectional association between severe air trapping (A3) and WP_{5-10} ,

indicating that measurable airway wall thickening is regionally linked to air trapping. Further, our model could not confirm a statistically significant regional association between air trapping and bronchiectasis.

Finally, we applied a second linear model to analyze the longitudinal interdependencies between airway changes and air trapping also by lobe over two years. Our model showed that age alone did not predict an increase in BEI, but higher BEI at baseline predicted higher BEI in the follow-up examinations. Furthermore, A3 was associated with higher BEI only between baseline and three months, indicating that more severe quantitative air trapping was predictive for developing bronchiectasis during the next three months. This observation suggested that there might be an association between BEI and A3 but only in a close temporal context but not over two years. Tepper et al. made the same observation in their study, finding no clearly identifiable pre-stages for the development of bronchiectasis in a two year timeframe (14). Therefore, our data might support the hypothesis made by Tepper et al., that the development of bronchiectasis is frequently an acute process and not caused by a slow continuous progressive transition, indicating that there are two possible phenotypes: one for rapid progression of bronchiectasis and one for more slowly developing bronchiectasis (14). The severity of A3 at baseline does not seem to predict the size of LA5-10 at 3-, 12-, and 24 months, while A3 at baseline was associated with an increase of WP₅₋₁₀ at 12 months, but not for the other time points. We observed that higher values of the airway parameter LA5-10 at baseline independently predicts higher values of LA₅₋₁₀ in all the following CT examinations, which might be attributable to growth since larger children at baseline will retain relatively larger airways follow-up exams. We observed the same for WP₅₋₁₀ where higher values at baseline also independently predict higher WP₅₋₁₀ at follow-up; however, age and WP₅₋₁₀ were inconsistently associated. This implies that older children are more likely to have increased disease severity as measured by relative wall thickening in the following CT examinations, which partly matches with the observation by Mott et al. that wall thickening is not always reversible (17).

Collectively, we could strengthen the assumption that development of bronchiectasis might be an acute process by detecting regional interdependencies between severe air trapping and an increase in bronchiectasis over a short time interval of 3 months but not over longer time intervals of up to 2 years. However, the model failed to show a general dependency between bronchiectasis and air trapping which is consistent with previous findings that bronchiectasis can develop within two years without visible pre-stages (14). Therefore, bronchiectasis and air trapping are co-existent in many patients which was also reported by Boon et al., who correlated multidetector computed tomography and micro-CT with thin section histology on explanted end-stage CF lungs, describing a wide variability between patients with predominance of large bronchiectasis with pronounced destruction in some patients, and hyperinflation with small airways obstruction in other patients (24).

Our study has some limitations. First, our study did not evaluate emerging physiologic lung function measures, such as the lung clearance index, which has also been shown to be a more sensitive measure than spirometry in CF clinical studies (36, 37). In a study in 60 school-aged children, LCI and CT scoring had similar

sensitivity to detect CF lung disease, and in some instances, both provided complementary information for detection of the underlying disease (36). Second, in most cases of our cohort, we assume that a stable full inspiratory and end-expiratory breathhold was achieved due to spirometer-controlled CT. This assumption is supported by a mean lung volume change <5% between single acquisitions, which is also less than the 10% recommended by Madani et al. (38). But it is obvious that the level of inspiration and expiration certainly influences the presented QCT results. As expected, the total lung volume (TLV) was moderately associated with age in our patient cohort, increasing by approximately 14% over two years. Some authors normalize for lung volume since quantitative lung parameters, and airway dimensions depend on height, body weight, and gender (39-41). Nevertheless, we waived normalization because we believe it may have confounded our results. The majority of studies normalizing for lung volume included adults with mature lungs, whereas growth is an inherent factor in school-age children. Growth also influences the number of airways detected by CT since chest CT resolution is an essential determinant for the smallest structures that can still be observed. CT measurements are consistently accurate and reproducible in airway diameters down to approximately 2 mm (42, 43), meaning that small intrapulmonary airways of higher generations are below the resolution limit. Therefore, it seems possible that the number of detectable airways is also affected by the size of the patient, which might influence airways analysis in a patient cohort with growing lungs. Third, mucus plugging was identified as an indicator for bronchiectasis 6 years later and as a potential pre-stage of bronchiectasis (14, 44). In our study mucus plugging is only indirectly represented by LA_{5-10} and also WP_{5-10} in cases where a mucus layer covers the airway surface without complete obstruction, then LA₅₋₁₀ decreases and WP₅₋₁₀ increases. If an airway is completely closed by mucus, airway segmentation fails and no airway parameters can be determined at all. To our knowledge, no QCT marker for mucus plugging is available.

In summary, we could demonstrate that QCT is feasible to detected subtle airway and parenchymal changes in school-age children with mild CF lung disease. Despite the difficulty of distinguishing growth from disease-related changes, we detected a trend to increasing severe air-trapping and bronchiectasis over two years. By fitting the regional and temporal information of CF-related changes into two mixed linear models, we could demonstrate cross-sectional, regional interdependencies between wall thickening and severe air trapping. Furthermore, we could detect regional interdependencies between severe air trapping and an increase in bronchiectasis over a short time interval of 3 months but not over longer time intervals of up to 2 years, indicating that air trapping might precede the development of bronchiectasis as an acute process. Therefore, we believe that disease quantification with QCT should include airway parameters and air trapping, since both are important and only partially linked markers for disease severity.

We were able to show that automated evaluation offers distinct advantages in providing fast, efficient, reproducible and comparable detailed longitudinal data, much more difficult with direct human observation resp. scoring. Knowledge of the natural course of the disease is important in order to better understand and assess the effect of current CFTR modulatory therapy or other new drugs.

Data availability statement

All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Boards at Stanford University Medical Center and Ohio State University School of Medicine. Informed consent and assent were obtained from the parents or the legal guardians of all patients. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

Conception and design: OW, KOP, BN, CJG, TER, MOW. Acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data: OW, KOP, WLW, DW, BN, CJG, HUK, MAM, TER, MOW. Writing the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content: OW, KOP, WLW, DW, BN, CJG, HUK, MAM, TER, MOW. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported in part by grants from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (82DZL004A1) and Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, United States.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all patients and their parents or legal guardians for their willingness to participate in this study.

Conflict of interest

MOW received study grants by Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma and Vertex Pharmaceuticals paid to the institution. MOW received speaker fees and consulting fees paid to the institution. OW received speaker fees paid to the institution.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their

affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Elborn JS. Cystic fibrosis. Lancet. (2016) 388(10059):2519–31. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00576-6

2. Kerem E, Conway S, Elborn S, Heijerman H. Standards of care for patients with cystic fibrosis: a European consensus. *J Cyst Fibros.* (2005) 4(1):7–26. doi: 10.1016/j.jcf. 2004.12.002

3. de Jong PA, Nakano Y, Lequin MH, Mayo JR, Woods R, Pare PD, et al. Progressive damage on high resolution computed tomography despite stable lung function in cystic fibrosis. *Eur Respir J.* (2004) 23(1):93–7. doi: 10.1183/09031936.03.00006603

4. Ramsey KA, Rosenow T, Turkovic L, Skoric B, Banton G, Adams A-M, et al. Lung clearance Index and structural lung disease on computed tomography in early cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2015) 193(1):60–7. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201507-1409OC

5. Meerburg JJ, Veerman GDM, Aliberti S, Tiddens HAWM. Diagnosis and quantification of bronchiectasis using computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging: a systematic review. *Respir Med.* (2020) 170:105954. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed. 2020.105954

6. Kuo W, Soffers T, Andrinopoulou E-R, Rosenow T, Ranganathan S, Turkovic L, et al. Quantitative assessment of airway dimensions in young children with cystic fibrosis lung disease using chest computed tomography. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2017) 52 (11):1414–23. doi: 10.1002/ppul.23787

7. Weinheimer O, Wielpütz MO, Konietzke P, Heussel CP, Kauczor H-U, Brochhausen C, Hollemann D, Savage D, Galbán CJ, Robinson TE (2017) Fully automated lobe-based airway taper index calculation in a low dose MDCT CF study over 4 time-points. In: MA Styner, ED Angelini (eds) *Medical imaging 2017: Image processing*. Orlando, Florida, United States: SPIE, 101330U. doi: 10.1117/12.2254387

8. Robinson TE, Goris ML, Moss RB, Tian L, Kan P, Yilma M, et al. Mucus plugging, air trapping, and bronchiectasis are important outcome measures in assessing progressive childhood cystic fibrosis lung disease. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2020) 55 (4):929–38. doi: 10.1002/ppul.24646

9. Wielpütz MO, Eichinger M, Weinheimer O, Ley S, Mall MA, Wiebel M, et al. Automatic airway analysis on multidetector computed tomography in cystic fibrosis: correlation with pulmonary function testing. *J Thorac Imaging*. (2013) 28(2):104–13. doi: 10.1097/RTI.0b013e3182765785

10. Kuo W, de Bruijne M, Petersen J, Nasserinejad K, Ozturk H, Chen Y, et al. Diagnosis of bronchiectasis and airway wall thickening in children with cystic fibrosis: objective airway-artery quantification. *Eur Radiol.* (2017) 27(11):4680–9. doi: 10.1007/s00330-017-4819-7

11. Bouma NR, Janssens HM, Andrinopoulou E-R, Tiddens HAWM. Airway disease on chest computed tomography of preschool children with cystic fibrosis is associated with school-age bronchiectasis. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2020) 55(1):141–8. doi: 10.1002/ ppul.24498

 Davis SD, Fordham LA, Brody AS, Noah TL, Retsch-Bogart GZ, Qaqish BF, et al. Computed tomography reflects lower airway inflammation and tracks changes in early cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2007) 175(9):943–50. doi: 10.1164/rccm. 200603-343OC

13. Shah RM, Sexauer W, Ostrum BJ, Fiel SB, Friedman AC. High-resolution CT in the acute exacerbation of cystic fibrosis: evaluation of acute findings, reversibility of those findings, and clinical correlation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* (1997) 169(2):375–80. doi: 10. 2214/ajr.169.2.9242738

14. Tepper LA, Caudri D, Rovira AP, Tiddens HAWM, de Bruijne M. The development of bronchiectasis on chest computed tomography in children with cystic fibrosis: can pre-stages be identified? *Eur Radiol.* (2016) 26(12):4563–9. doi: 10.1007/s00330-016-4329-z

15. Sly PD, Gangell CL, Chen L, Ware RS, Ranganathan S, Mott LS, et al. Risk factors for bronchiectasis in children with cystic fibrosis. *N Engl J Med.* (2013) 368(21):1963–70. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1301725

16. Mott LS, Park J, Gangell CL, de Klerk NH, Sly PD, Murray CP, et al. Distribution of early structural lung changes due to cystic fibrosis detected with chest computed tomography. *J Pediatr.* (2013) 163(1):243–8.e1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.12.042

17. Mott LS, Park J, Murray CP, Gangell CL, de Klerk NH, Robinson PJ, et al. Progression of early structural lung disease in young children with cystic fibrosis assessed using CT. *Thorax*. (2012) 67(6):509–16. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200912

18. Loeve M, Rosenow T, Gorbunova V, Hop WCJ, Tiddens HAWM, de Bruijne M. Reversibility of trapped air on chest computed tomography in cystic fibrosis patients. *Eur J Radiol.* (2015) 84(6):1184–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2015.02.011

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2023.1068103/ full#supplementary-material.

19. Montaudon M, Berger P, de Dietrich G, Braquelaire A, Marthan R, Tunon-de-Lara JM, et al. Assessment of airways with three-dimensional quantitative thin-section CT: in vitro and in vivo validation. *Radiology.* (2007) 242(2):563–72. doi: 10.1148/radiol. 2422060029

20. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver B, et al. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95 year age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur Respir J.* (2012) 40(6):1324–43. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00080312

21. Hersh CP, Washko GR, Estépar RSJ, Lutz S, Friedman PJ, Han MK, et al. Paired inspiratory-expiratory chest CT scans to assess for small airways disease in COPD. *Respir Res.* (2013) 14:42. doi: 10.1186/1465-9921-14-42

22. Goris ML, Zhu HJ, Blankenberg F, Chan F, Robinson TE. An automated approach to quantitative air trapping measurements in mild cystic fibrosis. *Chest.* (2003) 123 (5):1655–63. doi: 10.1378/chest.123.5.1655

23. Weibel ER. Chapter VI - geometry and dimensions of airways of the respiratory zone. In: ER Weibel, editors. *Morphometry of the human lung*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag (1963). p. 56–73.

24. Boon M, Verleden SE, Bosch B, Lammertyn EJ, McDonough JE, Mai C, et al. Morphometric analysis of explant lungs in cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2016) 193(5):516–26. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201507-1281OC

25. Karlik SJ. Exploring and summarizing radiologic data. *Am J Roentgenol.* (2003) 180 (1):47–54. doi: 10.2214/ajr.180.1.1800047

26. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate - A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Royal Statist Soc Series B.* (1995) 57:289-300. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

27. Sly PD, Wainwright CE. Diagnosis and early life risk factors for bronchiectasis in cystic fibrosis: a review. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* (2016) 10(9):1003–10. doi: 10.1080/17476348.2016.1204915

28. Rosenow T, Oudraad MCJ, Murray CP, Turkovic L, Kuo W, de Bruijne M, et al. PRAGMA-CF. A quantitative structural lung disease computed tomography outcome in young children with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2015) 191 (10):1158–65. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201501-0061OC

29. Meyer KC, Sharma A. Regional variability of lung inflammation in cystic fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (1997) 156(5):1536–40. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.156.5.9701098

30. Long FR, Williams RS, Castile RG. Inspiratory and expiratory CT lung density in infants and young children. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2005) 35(7):677–83. doi: 10.1007/s00247-005-1450-6

31. Well DS, Meier JM, Mahne A, Houseni M, Hernandez-Pampaloni M, Mong A, et al. Detection of age-related changes in thoracic structure and function by computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and positron emission tomography. *Semin Nucl Med.* (2007) 37(2):103–19. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2006.10.004

32. Gono H, Fujimoto K, Kawakami S, Kubo K. Evaluation of airway wall thickness and air trapping by HRCT in asymptomatic asthma. *Eur Respir J.* (2003) 22 (6):965–71. doi: 10.1183/09031936.03.00085302

33. Long FR, Williams RS, Adler BH, Castile RG. Comparison of quiet breathing and controlled ventilation in the high-resolution CT assessment of airway disease in infants with cystic fibrosis. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2005) 35(11):1075–80. doi: 10.1007/s00247-005-1541-4

34. Telenga ED, Oudkerk M, van Ooijen PMA, Vliegenthart R, ten Hacken NHT, Postma DS, et al. Airway wall thickness on HRCT scans decreases with age and increases with smoking. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2017) 17(1):27. doi: 10.1186/s12890-017-0363-0

35. Kuo W, Ciet P, Andrinopoulou ER, Chen Y, Pullens B, Garcia-Peña P, et al. Reference values for central airway dimensions on CT images of children and adolescents. *AJR. Am J Roentgenol.* (2018) 210(2):423–30. doi: 10.2214/AJR.17.18597

36. Owens CM, Aurora P, Stanojevic S, Bush A, Wade A, Oliver C, et al. Lung clearance Index and HRCT are complementary markers of lung abnormalities in young children with CF. *Thorax.* (2011) 66(6):481–8. doi: 10.1136/thx.2010.150375

37. Calder AD, Bush A, Brody AS, Owens CM. Scoring of chest CT in children with cystic fibrosis: state of the art. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2014) 44(12):1496–506. doi: 10.1007/s00247-013-2867-y

38. Madani A, van Muylem A, Gevenois PA. Pulmonary emphysema: effect of lung volume on objective quantification at thin-section CT. *Radiology*. (2010) 257(1):260–8. doi: 10.1148/radiol.10091446

39. Washko GR, Parraga G, Coxson HO. Quantitative pulmonary imaging using computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Respirology*. (2012) 17 (3):432–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2011.02117.x

40. Nakano Y, Muro S, Sakai H, Hirai T, Chin K, Tsukino M, et al. Computed tomographic measurements of airway dimensions and emphysema in smokers. Correlation with lung function. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2000) 162(3 Pt 1):1102–8. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.162.3.9907120

41. Lynch DA, Al-Qaisi MA. Quantitative computed tomography in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Thorac Imaging.* (2013) 28(5):284–90. doi: 10.1097/RTI.0b013e318298733c

42. Hackx M, Bankier AA, Gevenois PA. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: cT quantification of airways disease. *Radiology.* (2012) 265(1):34–48. doi: 10.1148/radiol. 12111270

43. Kauczor H-U, Wielpütz MO, Owsijewitsch M, Ley-Zaporozhan J. Computed tomographic imaging of the airways in COPD and asthma. J Thorac Imaging. (2011) 26(4):290–300. doi: 10.1097/RTI.0b013e3182277113

44. Tepper LA, Utens EMWJ, Caudri D, Bos AC, Gonzalez-Graniel K, Duivenvoorden HJ, et al. Impact of bronchiectasis and trapped air on quality of life and exacerbations in cystic fibrosis. *Eur Respir J.* (2013) 42(2):371–9. doi: 10. 1183/09031936.00137612