#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Alessandro Granito, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY Junfeng Wang, Fudan University, China Jianghua Zhan, Tianjin Medical University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Guorong Lyu Igr\_feus@sina.com Xiuqing Qiu 569543881@qq.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pediatric Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 19 June 2022 ACCEPTED 27 September 2022 PUBLISHED 20 October 2022

#### CITATION

Weng Z, Ye F, Zhou L, Chen F, Ling W, Fang Y, Liu M, Wu Q, Qiu X and Lyu G (2022) A nomogram model based on preoperative greyscale US features and routine serum biomarkers to predict the outcome of infants with biliary atresia after Kasai portoenterostomy. Front. Pediatr. 10:972855. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.972855

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Weng, Ye, Zhou, Chen, Ling, Fang, Liu, Wu, Qiu and Lyu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A nomogram model based on preoperative grey-scale US features and routine serum biomarkers to predict the outcome of infants with biliary atresia after Kasai portoenterostomy

Zongjie Weng<sup>1,2†</sup>, Fengying Ye<sup>2†</sup>, Luyao Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Fa Chen<sup>4</sup>, Wen Ling<sup>2</sup>, Yifan Fang<sup>5</sup>, Min Liu<sup>2</sup>, Qiumei Wu<sup>2</sup>, Xiuqing Qiu<sup>6\*</sup> and Guorong Lyu<sup>1,7\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Ultrasonics, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Ultrasonics, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Ultrasonics, Institute for Diagnostic and Interventional Ultrasound, the First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatric Surgery, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>6</sup>Department of Gynecology, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>7</sup>Department of Clinical Medicine, Quanzhou Medical College, Quanzhou, China

**Objective**: To establish a nomogram to predict the outcome of biliary atresia (BA) infants 3-months post- Kasai portoenterostomy (KPE).

**Methods:** BA Infants who underwent KPE from two hospitals were included in the training (n = 161) and validation cohorts (n = 64). A logistic regression equation (Equation A) for predicting the serum total bilirubin (TBIL) level 3-month post-KPE was established in the training cohort. Then, a nomogram was developed based on Equation A in the training cohort and validated in the validation cohort. Moreover, a new equation (Equation B) was generated based on the nomogram and the size of the enlarged hilar lymph nodes (LNs) in the validation cohort. The predictive performance of the nomogram was evaluated by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and by calculating the area under the ROC curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, and positive (PPV) and negative (NPV) prediction values.

**Results**: A nomogram based on gallbladder morphology and serum levels of TBIL and total protein (TP) was established with AUC (95%CI) of 0.673

Abbreviation

ALB, albumin; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BA, biliary atresia; C-index, concordance index; DBIL, direct bilirubin; GGT,  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase; GLB, globulin;HGB, Hemoglobin; IBIL, Indirect bilirubin; IQR, interquartile range; KPE, kasai portoenterostomy; LNs, lymph nodes; NE%, percentage of neutrophils; NE#, absolute value of neutrophils; NPV, negative prediction value; PLT, Platelet; PPV, positive prediction value; PV, portal vein; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; NLS, native liver survival; TBIL, total bilirubin; TBA, total bile acid; TP, total protein; TC, triangular cord; US, ultrasonography

(0.595, 0.745) and 0.647 (0.518, 0.763), sensitivity (95%CI) of 71.4% (62.1%,79.6%) and 81.8% (59.7%,94.8%), specificity (95%CI) of 63.3% (48.3%,76.6%) and 47.6% (32.0%,63.6%), PPV (95%CI) of 81.6% (72.5%,88.9%) and 45.0% (29.3%,61.5%), and NPV (95%CI) 49.2% (36.4%,62.1%) and 83.3% (62.6%,95.3%), respectively, in the training and validation cohorts. Furthermore, in the validation cohort, the AUC (95%CI) of Equation B was 0.798 (95%CI: 0.679, 0.888), which was significantly higher than that of the nomogram (P = 0.042).

**Conclusion:** A nomogram based on the pre-KPE gallbladder morphology, TBIL, and TP to predict the outcome of BA 3-months post-KPE is established. Moreover, the addition of the size of the enlarged hilar LNs into the nomogram further improves its predictive value.

KEYWORDS

biliary taresia, Kasai portoenterostomy, nomogram, hilar lymph nodes, prognostic prediction

## Introduction

Biliary atresia (BA) is a rare condition that occurs in approximately 1/5,000–1/19,000 live births (1–3) and remains the most common indication for liver transplantation in children (4). If infants with BA are left untreated, progressive liver cirrhosis will lead to death by the age of 2 years (5). Kasai portoenterostomy (KPE), proposed by Kasai in 1959 (6), has evolved into the standard procedure for BA. Traditional KPE is open surgery. A few years ago, laparoscopic Kasai procedure was reported to be feasible (7– 10). However, many studies have proven the efficacy of laparoscopic Kasai to be unfavorable (11–14). Therefore, open KPE remains the first-line surgical modality to restore bile flow in infants with BA (15, 16).

Clinically, the outcome of KPE is evaluated by the time of native liver survival (NLS). According to the statistics (17–20), the 5-year, 10-year, and overall NLS rates range from 32% to 59%, 27% to 52%, and 42% to 89%, respectively. Shneider et al. (21) reported that there is a clear difference in NLS between children with serum total bilirubin (TBIL) <2 mg/dl and those with TBIL >6 mg/dl at 3 months after KPE. Recent studies (22–25) have demonstrated that serum TBIL <2 mg/dl at 3 months after KPE is a surrogate predictor for favorable NLS or satisfactory KPE efficacy.

Even KPE can relieve symptom of biliary obstruction, it can not stop the process of immune-mediated inflammatory processes which may be one of the causative factors of BA. Therefore, it's still possible to appear many complications after surgery, including postoperative fever, jaundice, recurrent cholangitis, and rapidly progressive liver cirrhosis, which may consequently lead to unsatisfied prognosis, including liver failure, death or liver transplantation. If there is a method to predict unsatisfactory surgical outcomes before KPE, it can help doctors choose better clinical treatment or prevention methods.

Many studies have tried to identify the outcome predictors after KPE. Several studies (26-30) reported that pre-KPE liver biopsy and elastography can predict KPE outcome by evaluating liver degree. However, although liver biopsy is the gold standard for fibrosis, it is invasive and unsafe for BA infants, and the obtained histological material is insufficient for an appropriate analysis (27). Elastography is noninvasive, but it is not equipped in most of ultrasonic devices, which limits its clinical application. In addition, a preliminary study (5) demonstrated that high levels of serum basic fibroblast growth factor and hepatocyte growth factor prior to KPE surgery were associated with a poor KPE prognosis, whereas decreased concentrations of serum transforming growth factor- $\beta$  and epidermal growth factor were associated with a good outcome. However, these special tests are not only expensive and time-consuming, but also hard to apply in clinical practice, especially in primary hospitals. Recently, a few studies reported that age at operation (31, 32), the level of direct bilirubin (DBIL) (33-36), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (35, 36), and  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase (GGT) (37) could predict the outcome of KPE. However, these parameters were usually analyzed individually. Therefore, a more accurate method that combines these routine laboratory parameters with another non-invasive technique is required for prognosis prediction of infants with BA.

Grey-scale ultrasonography (US) is the most popular imaging tool for the diagnosis of BA (38, 39). The gallbladder morphology, triangular cord (TC) thickness, and hilar lymph nodes (LNs) are three major features used for BA diagnosis on US, with gallbladder morphology and TC thickness being the most commonly used US indicators (38–48) as they directly reflect biliary system abnormalities. We proposed that pre-KPE US assessment of these two features is valuable for KPE outcome prediction.

Therefore, the objective of the present study was to establish a nomogram model based on preoperative grey-scale US

features and routine serum biomarkers to predict the outcome of BA infants 3-months post-KPE.

## Materials and methods

#### Patients

Infants who suffered from BA and underwent KPE at the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University between January 2003 and December 2016 (allocated into the training cohort) and the Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital between January 2012 and December 2021 (allocated into the validation cohort) were included in this retrospective study. Infants were excluded according to the following criteria: (1) there was no transabdominal US examination within one week before KPE or the US images were uncompleted or unclear; (2) there were no routine serum biomarker examinations (blood routine biomarkers, liver function biomarkers) within one week before KPE; and (3) there were no routine serum biomarker examinations 3 months after KPE.

The Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University and the Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital approved the study protocol and waived the need for parents' informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the study design.

#### **US** examination

The US devices used were a Voluson E8 scanner (GE Medical System, Boston, the U.S.A) equipped with a 4–8 MHz curvilinear transducer and a 11 MHz linear array transducer, an SSA-660A scanner (Toshiba Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 3.5 MHz curvilinear transducer and a 12 MHz linear array transducer, an EUB-7000HV scanner (Hitachi Medical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 2–5-MHz curvilinear transducer and a 6–13 MHz linear array transducer, and an Aixplorer scanner (Supersonic, Paris, France) equipped with a 1–6 MHz curvilinear transducer and a 4–15 MHz linear array transducer.

Infants were not fed for at least 4 h prior to US examination. During the examination, they were kept quiet and if required, fed with milk during the examination. The high-frequency transducers (>10 MHz) were used for the examination. First, the gallbladder fossa was detected carefully to determine the presence of the gallbladder. If the gallbladder was detected, its length, lumen, outline, wall, and mucosal lining were evaluated, and at least one long axis section image was saved. Then, the TC thickness was measured, and at least one image was saved. At Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital, the hepatic hilar was also scanned to determine the presence of LNs. If LNs were detected, at least one long axis section image was saved, and the maximal length of the largest hepatic hilar LN was measured.

#### US images analysis

The US images were retrospectively reviewed by two radiologists (L.Y.Z. and Z.J.W with 12 and 9 years of experience in pediatric US, respectively). The final conclusions of images were given by the two radiologists after consultation. The two radiologists were blind to the other clinical data before completing image analysis.

The gallbladder morphology was classified into abnormal and normal according to previous studies (40, 41, 43, 48, 49). The gallbladder was considered abnormal if at least one of the following criteria was met: (1) The gallbladder morphology was not identified; (2) The length of gallbladder was less than 1.5 cm; (3) The outline of gallbladder was irregular; (4) The wall of gallbladder was not identifiable, and the gallbladder appeared as a cyst in the gallbladder fossa; (5) The wall thickness of gallbladder was irregular; and (6) The hyperechogenic mucosal lining of gallbladder was not smooth or incomplete (Figures 1A-F). The gallbladder morphology was considered normal if one of the following criteria was met: (1) the gallbladder was detected without a lumen, but a smooth and complete hyperechogenic mucosal lining was visualized and the wall was uniformly thickened or the lumen was incompletely filled with a smooth and complete hyperechogenic mucosal lining with the walls in part in close approximation; or (2) the gallbladder was detected with a fully filled lumen and lumen length more than 1.5 cm without wall thickening, and the hyperechogenic mucosal lining of the gallbladder was smooth.

The TC thickness was defined as the thickness of the echogenic anterior wall of the anterior branch of the right portal vein (PV) distal to the right PV on a longitudinal image, without including the right hepatic artery, according to Lee et al. (50) (Figure 1G). The cut-off value of the TC thickness diameter was set to 2 mm according to our previous study (43).

Previous studies (51, 52) have demonstrated that the size of hilar LNs could reflect the severity of cholestasis and thus might reflect the severity of fibro-obliterative bile duct lesion to some extent. Therefore, we hypothesize that hilar LNs on US scan could also be used for prognostic prediction. In the present study, the hilar LNs were only detected on patients admitted to the Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital (validation cohort) because hilar LNs were a newly proposed US feature first mentioned in 2019 and thus the data on hilar LNs for the diagnosis of BA were absent in the training



cohort. Specifically, hilar LNs were detected around the porta hepatis in front of the PV. The maximal diameter of each LN was measured at least twice for one LN, and the mean value was used for further analysis. Finally, the largest mean value was adopted when there was more than one LN (Figure 1H).

#### Routine serum biomarkers

Routine laboratory serum biomarkers detected within one week before KPE, including the percentage of neutrophils (NE %), absolute value of neutrophils (NE#), hemoglobin (HGB), platelet (PLT), TBIL, DBIL, indirect bilirubin (IBIL), total bile acid (TBA), total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), globulin (GLB), alanine transaminase (ALT), AST, and GGT, were included in the analysis.

#### KPE and follow-up after KPE

Open KPE was performed as previously described (6). After discharge, patients were followed up once a month and monitored by the outpatient visit for at least three months. The outcome of patients was defined as satisfactory (TBIL  $\leq$  2 mg/dl) or unsatisfactory (TBIL > 2 mg/dl) according to the serum TBIL level 3-month after KPE (21–25).

#### 10.3389/fped.2022.972855

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or the median with interquartile range (IQR) (25th–75th percentile) and were compared by using the *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test, where appropriate. Categorical variables were expressed as the percentage and compared using the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. In addition, continuous variables except for the TC thickness were transformed into categorical variables according to the cut-off value determined by the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves.

In the training cohort, univariate logistic regression was used to evaluate the association of the potential predictive factors with the outcome of KPE. All variables with *P*-value less than 0.2 were chosen as candidates for the subsequent multivariate logistic regression analysis. A logistic regression equation (Equation A) was generated, and a nomogram was then developed using the "rms" package of R software. Each variable in the nomogram was given a corresponding weighted score based on its  $\beta$  coefficient in Equation A. The predictive performance of the nomogram was evaluated by the concordance index (C-index) and calibration curve with 1,000 times bootstrap resampling. The ROC curve of nomogram was plotted, and the area under curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity were calculated. In addition, a 95% confidence interval (CI) was also calculated where required.

In the validation cohort, the AUC, sensitivity, and specificity of the nomogram developed in the training cohort were calculated in the validation cohort. Moreover, a new equation (Equation B) was generated based on the nomogram and a newly added variable, the size of enlarged hilar LNs, by using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Then, the ROC curve of Equation B was further drawn in the validation cohort, and the AUC of Equation B were compared with that of the nomogram calculated in the validation cohort by DeLong's test.

Statistical analyses were performed with statistical software SPSS, version 16 (IBM Corp, New York, USA) and R, version 4.1.1 (R Development Core Team). Statistically significant difference was defined as a *P*-value <0.05.

# Results

#### **Baseline clinical characteristics**

The training cohort enrolled 161 infants from the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, and the validation cohort included 64 infants from Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital. Of these, 49 (30.4%) and 22 (34.4%) infants achieved satisfactory KPE outcome (TBIL  $\leq 2 \text{ mg/dl}$ ) in the training cohort and validation cohort, respectively.

The baseline clinical characteristics of the patients are listed in **Table 1**. There was no difference between the two cohorts in the variables except for the prevalence of patients with gallbladder abnormalities, NE%, PLT, DBIL and TBA (all P < 0.01).

#### Establishment of the prognostic prediction nomogram in the training cohort

In the training cohort, seven variables with *P*-values less than 0.2 in univariate logistic regression analysis were selected for the subsequent multivariate logistic regression analysis

TABLE 1 Baseline clinical characteristics of infants with biliary atresia.

| Variable                                       | Col                             | P-value                          |        |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
|  | Training<br>cohort<br>(n = 161) | Validation<br>cohort<br>(n = 64) |        |
| Age at operation, day                          | 65 (57,78)                      | 67 (55,81)                       | 0.810  |
| Sex, No (%)                                    |                                 |                                  | 0.916  |
| Male   | 80 (49.7%)                      | 32 (50%)                         |        |
| Female   | 81 (50.3%)                      | 32 (50%)                         |        |
| Gallbladder<br>morphology <i>n</i> ,<br>No (%) |                                 |                                  | <0.01  |
| Normal   | 41 (25.5%)                      | 6 (9.4%)                         |        |
| Abnormal                                       | 120 (74.5%)                     | 58 (90.6)                        |        |
| TC thickness, mm                               | 2.4 (1.6,3.0)                   | 2.8 (0,3.5)                      | 0.378  |
| NE%, %   | 23.4 (19.1,30.1)                | 29.0 (23.3,36.6)                 | < 0.01 |
| NE#, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L                        | 2.8 (2.1,3.6)                   | 2.9 (2.3,4.9)                    | 0.108  |
| HGB, g/L                                       | 103.0 (97.0,111.0)              | 105.5 (96.0,120.8)               | 0.309  |
| PLT, 10 <sup>9</sup> /L                        | 450.5 (379.5,551.0)             | 386.0 (310.8,496.5)              | < 0.01 |
| TBIL, μmol/L                                   | 161.6 (133.3,192.8)             | 166.8 (139.3,206.0)              | 0.208  |
| DBIL, µmol/L                                   | 112.2 (98.5,130.4)              | 122.2 (98.2,146.7)               | 0.051  |
| IBIL, µmol/L                                   | 41.9 (30.1,63.1)                | 38.8 (30.3,55.6)                 | 0.606  |
| TBA, μmol/L                                    | 136.7 (112.5,162.2)             | 86.9 (67.4,105.2)                | < 0.01 |
| TP, g/L  | 57.0 (53.2,59.8)                | 52.0 (49.2,55.6)                 | 0.786  |
| ALB, g/L                                       | 39.0 (37.0,41.2)                | 36.8 (34.9,39.0)                 | 0.064  |
| GLB, g/L                                       | 17.0 (14.9,19.9)                | 16.6 (13.9,18.5)                 | 0.081  |
| ALT, U/L                                       | 123.0 (85.0,178.0)              | 147.3 (91.8,234.5)               | 0.061  |
| AST, U/L                                       | 199.0 (138.8,280.3)             | 201.3 (149.5,280.2)              | 0.962  |
| GGT, U/L                                       | 606.5 (339.5,962.0)             | 600.0 (284.3,843.8)              | 0.165  |

Data are expressed as the median (interquartile range) or number (%), where appropriate.

TC thickness, triangular cord thickness; NE%, percentage of neutrophils; NE#, absolute value of neutrophils; HGB, hemoglobin; PLT, platelet; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; TBA, Total bile acid; TP, total protein; ALB, albumin; GLB, globulin; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT,  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase.

|                        | Univariate logistic regression |       |       | Multivariate logistic regression |       |        |       |       |         |       |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-------|
|                        | В                              | S.E   | Wald  | Р                                | OR    | В      | S.E   | Wald  | P-value | OR    |
| Gallbladder morphology | 0.813                          | 0.454 | 3.215 | 0.073                            | 2.256 | 1.157  | 0.534 | 4.701 | 0.030   | 3.180 |
| TBIL                   | 0.846                          | 0.355 | 5.667 | 0.017                            | 2.330 | 1.000  | 0.498 | 4.034 | 0.045   | 2.719 |
| TP                     | 0.549                          | 0.372 | 2.172 | 0.141                            | 1.731 | 1.267  | 0.474 | 7.147 | 0.008   | 3.520 |
| ALT                    | 1.093                          | 0.781 | 1.962 | 0.161                            | 2.985 |        |       |       |         |       |
| AST                    | -0.673                         | 0.532 | 1.603 | 0.198                            | 0.510 |        |       |       |         |       |
| GGT                    | 0.715                          | 0.366 | 3.811 | 0.051                            | 2.043 |        |       |       |         |       |
| DBIL                   | 0.114                          | 0.369 | 2.098 | 0.158                            | 1.121 |        |       |       |         |       |
| Constant               |                                |       |       |                                  |       | -1.161 | 1.000 | 1.349 | 0.245   | 0.313 |

TABLE 2 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses in the training cohort.

B,  $\beta$  coefficient; SE, standard error; Wald,  $\chi^2$  value of Wald test; OR, odds ratio; TBIL, total bilirubin; TP, total protein; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT,  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferase; DBIL, direct bilirubin.

(**Table 2**). The result of multivariate logistic regression analysis was shown in **Table 2**. The gallbladder morphology, TBIL, and TP were significantly associated with the unsatisfactory outcome of KPE.

The final regression equation (Equation A) that was derived from these three risk factors was formatted as follows: Log(p) = $-1.161 + 1.157 \times (Gallbladder morphology) + 1.000 \times (TBIL) +$  $1.267 \times (TP)$ . The *P* is the probability of achieving unsatisfactory outcome (i.e., TBIL> 2 mg/dl). In Equation A, the gallbladder morphology was defined as 0 when it was normal and as 1 when it was abnormal; the TBIL level was defined as 0 when it was  $\leq 157.3 \mu mol/l$  and as 1 when it was >157.3  $\mu mol/l$ ; and the TP level was defined as 0 when it was >55 g/L and as 1 when it was  $\leq 55$  g/L.

Then, a nomogram model was developed based on Equation A (**Figure 2A**); each of the three predictors was given a corresponding weighted score based on its  $\beta$  coefficient in Equation A, and the estimated probability of achieving unsatisfactory outcome (i.e., TBIL > 2 mg/dl) was determined by the sum of the scores of the three predictors. Specifically, the gallbladder morphology was scored 0 points when it was normal and 95 points when it was abnormal; the TBIL level was scored as 0 points when it was  $\leq 157.3 \mu mol/l$  and 62 points when it was >157.3  $\mu mol/l$ ; and the TP level was scored 0 points when it was  $\leq 55 g/L$ .

The nomogram demonstrated good ability in predicting the outcome of KPE, with an unadjusted C-index of 0.680 (95%CI: 0.664, 0.696) in the training cohort and 0.730 (95%CI: 0.699, 0.761) in the validation cohort. The calibration curves in the training and validation cohorts revealed that the predicted probabilities by the nomogram were a good match with the actual observations. The ROC curve of the nomogram model in the training cohort is shown in Figure 2B, with the Youden's index being the largest when the sum of the total points was 100. Therefore, the sum of the total points of 100 was taken as a cut-off value; a sum of the total points of >100

predicted an unsatisfactory outcome (i.e., TBIL > 2 mg/dl). The ROC curve of the nomogram model in the validation cohort is illustrated in Figure 2C.

# The predictive performance of the nomogram in the training and validation cohorts

In the training cohort, the AUC was 0.673 (95% CI: 0.595, 0.745), and the sensitivity, specificity, positive prediction value (PPV), and negative prediction value (NPV) of the nomogram model were 71.4% (95% CI: 62.1%, 79.6%), 63.3% (95% CI: 48.3%, 76.6%), 81.6% (95% CI: 72.5, 88.7), and 49.2% (95% CI: 36.4%, 62.1%), respectively (**Table 3**).

In the validation cohort, the AUC was 0.647 (95% CI: 0.518, 0.763), and the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of the nomogram model were 81.82% (95% CI: 59.7%, 94.8%), 47.64% (95% CI: 32.0%, 63.6%), 45.0% (95% CI: 29.3%, 61.5%), and 83.3% (95% CI: 62.6%, 95.3%), respectively (Table 3).

# Prognostic prediction value of hepatic hilar LNs

In the validation cohort, a new regression equation (Equation B) was established by adding a novel variable, the size of the enlarged hepatic hilar LNs, into the nomogram model in the multivariate logistic regression analysis (**Table 4**). Equation B was formatted as follows:  $Log(p) = -2.395 + 1.573 \times (LNs) + 1.419 \times (Nomogram)$ . In Equation B, the size of LNs was defined as 0 when the largest LN diameter was less than 0.8 cm and as 1 when it was more than 0.8 cm, and Nomogram was defined as 0 when the sum of nomogram total points was  $\leq 100$  and as 1 when it was >100. The ROC curves of Equation B and the nomogram for



#### FIGURE 2

(A) the nomogram in the training cohort; (B) the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the nomogram in the training cohort; and (C) the comparison of ROC curve between the nomogram and equation B in the validation cohort. TP, total protein; TBIL, total bilirubin.

TABLE 3 The prediction performance of nomogram model in training and validation cohorts.

|                               | Value (95%CI)        |                      |  |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
|                               | Training cohort      | Validation cohort    |  |  |
| Cut-off value                 | >100                 | >100                 |  |  |
| AUC                           | 0.673 (0.595, 0.745) | 0.647 (0.518, 0.763) |  |  |
| Sensitivity (%)               | 71.4 (62.1,79.6)     | 81.8 (59.7,94.8)     |  |  |
| Specificity (%)               | 63.3 (48.3,76.6)     | 47.6 (32.0,63.6)     |  |  |
| Positive predictive value (%) | 81.6 (72.5,88.9)     | 45.0 (29.3,61.5)     |  |  |
| Negative predictive value (%) | 49.2 (36.4,62.1)     | 83.3 (62.6,95.3)     |  |  |

CI, confidence interval; AUC, are under the curve

TABLE 4 Multivariate regression analysis in the validation cohort.

|          | В      | S.E   | Wald   | P-value | OR    |
|----------|--------|-------|--------|---------|-------|
| Nomogram | 1.419  | 0.670 | 4.486  | 0.034   | 4.134 |
| LNs      | 1.573  | 0.593 | 7.043  | 0.008   | 4.820 |
| Constant | -2.395 | 0.068 | 12.364 | 0.000   | 0.091 |

B,  $\beta$  coefficient; SE, standard error; Wald,  $\chi^2$  value of Wald test; OR, odds ratio; LNs, lymph nodes.

predicting KPE outcome in the validation cohort are shown in **Figure 2C**. The AUC, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of Equation B were 0.798 (95% CI: 0.679, 0.888), 68.2% (95% CI: 45.1%, 86.1%), 83.3% (95% CI: 68.6%, 93.0%), 68.2% (95% CI: 45.1%, 86.1%), and 83.3% (95% CI: 68.6%, 93.0%), respectively. Of note, the AUC of Equation B was significantly greater than that of the nomogram (0.798 vs. 0.647, P = 0.042) in the validation cohort (**Figure 2C**).

#### Discussion

In the present study, we established a nomogram consisting of three pre-KPE indicators (i.e., the gallbladder morphology on US, serum TBIL, and TP levels). The nomogram was validated to be useful in predicting the levels of TBIL at 3-month post-KPE in both the training and validation cohorts. Moreover, the present study showed that the predictive performance of the nomogram could be further improved with the addition of a novel indicator, the pre-KPE hepatic hilar LNs. Previous studies have demonstrated that the levels of TBIL 3-month post-KPE correlate well with the long term NLS of BA patients after KPE. Thus, the findings of the present study indicate that the outcomes of post-KPE might be accurately predicted by the nomogram based on the grey-scale US features and routine biomarkers, which are of significant clinical implications.

BA is a progressive, inflammatory, fibro-obliterative cholangiopathy that affects the hepatic ducts. The gallbladder

is an important and the most easily observed part of the extrahepatic ducts. Thus, it is rational to hypothesize that the absence or morphological abnormality of the gallbladder might be a reflection of the fibro-obliterative severity of BA. In the present study, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the gallbladder was weighted highly in Equation A, implying its important role in the prediction equation, which confirms the hypothesis. Both TBIL and TP are routine serum markers used to test the liver function. The TBIL level is an important serum indicator that directly correlates with the severity of cholestasis, which also reflects the fibroobliterative severity of the hepatic ducts. In addition, the serum TP level is an important indicator that can reflect physiological function of the liver and nutritional status of human body. The lower TP level implies more severe fibrosis and weaker physical conditions, which are likely to influence the outcome of KPE.

Previously, Zhang et al., evaluated a nomogram to predict the clearance of jaundice post-KPE (53). They demonstrated that the AUCs of their nomogram were 0.96 and 0.91, respectively, in the training and validation cohorts. In the present study, the AUCs of the established nomogram model in the training and validation cohorts were 0.673 and 0.647, respectively. Moreover, the nomogram model successfully predicted the satisfactory and unsatisfactory outcomes in 63.3% (31/49) and 71.4% (80/112), respectively, of the training cohort, and in 47.6% (20/42) and 81.8% (18/22), respectively, of the validation cohort. Although the performance of our nomogram model seems to be not as good as the one reported by Zhang et al., it is notable that their nomogram consisted of various factors including cytomegalovirus IgM-positive status, GGT, the thickness of the fibrous portal plate, liver stiffness, and multiple episodes of cholangitis. Some of these predictors are not easily available in clinical practice, whereas all the three predictors in our nomogram model are commonly used parameters in hospitals and medical centers equipped with grey-scale ultrasonography. In addition, our nomogram model provides a new perspective in exploring prediction models for the prognosis of BA post KPE.

Moreover, this present study also showed that the predictive performance of the nomogram was significantly improved with the aid of the hepatic hilar LNs in the validation cohort. The size of enlarged hilar LNs was first introduced as a new US feature for the diagnosis of BA by our group in 2019 (52), which showed that the hepatic hilar LNs with bile-stained macrophages were found in 84.4% of BA patients, and the size of LNs was proportional to the serum TBIL level and independent of age. One study (51) illustrated that the main factor contributing to hilar LNs size was variable expansion of the interfollicular cortical lymphoid tissue plus reactive sinusoidal histiocytosis with a mixture of hematopoietic precursors and bile-stained macrophages. The reactivity of the hilar LNs was correlated with the time of liver transplantation after KPE. This might explain why the hepatic hilar LNs could predict the outcome of KPE. However, we should acknowledge that Equation B was constructed with only a small number of cases, and thus, the potential value of using the size of enlarged hilar LNs needs to be verified with a large-scale study. Thus, we recommend that the nomogram model established and validated in the present study be applied in clinical practice.

The main limitation of the present study was that there might be selection bias due to the retrospective nature of the present study. For example, there were significant differences in some baseline clinical characteristics such as gallbladder morphology between the training cohort and validation cohorts. Nevertheless, the nomogram model achieved a good performance in both the training and validation cohorts, indicating that the selection bias, if any, was limited. Another limitation is that instead of NSL, TBIL level at 3-month after KPE was used to define the outcome of KPE. It is goes without saying that TBIL level at 3-month after KPE is not 100% correlated with long time outcomes of KPE. However, the TBIL level at 3-month after KPE is correlated very well with NLS, which has been confirmed by many studies (21-25). because of these limitations, the findings of the present study need to be further validated by research teams from other institutions.

# Conclusion

A nomogram based on the pre-KPE gallbladder morphology and serum levels of TBIL and TP to predict the outcome of BA 3 months post-KPE is established. Moreover, the addition of the size of the enlarged hilar LNs into the nomogram further improves its predictive value.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

#### Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University The Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

# Author contributions

Study concept and design (ZW, LZ), acquisition of data (ZW, LZ, FC, WL, YF, ML, QW), analysis and interpretation of data (ZW, FY), drafting of the manuscript (ZW, FY), critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content (QW, GL), administrative, technical, or material support (QW, GL), and study supervision (QW, GL). All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This study has received funding from Fujian Provincial Health Technology Project. (NO: 2020GGB015).

#### References

1. Kelly D, Davenport M. Current management of biliary atresia. Arch Dis Child. (2007) 92(12):1132–5. doi: 10.1136/adc.2006.101451

2. Mckiernan PJ, Baker AJ, Kelly DA. The frequency and outcome of biliary atresia in the UK and Ireland. *Lancet.* (2000) 355(9197):25–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)03492-3

3. Lien TH, Chang MH, Wu JF, Chen HL, Lee HC, Chen AC, et al. Effects of the infant stool color card screening program on 5-year outcome of biliary atresia in Taiwan. *Hepatology*. (2010) 53(1):202–8. doi: 10.1002/hep.24023

4. Lock JF, Westphal T, Malinowski M, Neuhaus P, Stockmann M. Surgery for biliary atresia-is there a European consensus? *Eur J Pediatr Surg.* (2007) 17 (03):180–3. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-965147

5. Hartley JL, Davenport M, Kelly DA. Biliary atresia. Lancet. (2009) 374 (9702):1704-13. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60946-6

6. Kasai M, Suzuki S. A new operation for "non-correctable" biliary atresia: hepatic portoenterostomy. *Shujyutu*. (1959) 13(5):733-9.

7. Aspelund G, Ling SC, Ng V, Kim P. A role for laparoscopic approach in the treatment of biliary atresia and choledochal cysts. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2007) 42 (5):869–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2006.12.052

8. Esteves E, Clemente Neto E, Ottaiano Neto M, Devanir J, Esteves Pereira R. Laparoscopic Kasai portoenterostomy for biliary atresia. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (2002) 18(8):737–40. doi: 10.1007/s00383-002-0791-6.

9. Coran A, Lee H, Ryckman FC. Initial experience with complex laparoscopic biliary surgery in children: biliary atresia and choledochal cyst - discussion. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2004) 39(6):804–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2004.02.018

10. Papandria D, Scholz S. *Laparoscopic treatment of biliary atresia*: The SAGES manual of pediatric minimally invasive surgery (2017).

11. Hussain MH, Alizai N, Patel B. Outcomes of laparoscopic Kasai portoenterostomy for biliary atresia: a systematic review. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2017) 52(2):264–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2016.11.022

12. Sochaczewski C, Petersen C, Ure BM, Osthaus A, Kuebler JF. Laparoscopic versus conventional Kasai portoenterostomy does not facilitate subsequent liver transplantation in infants with biliary atresia. *J Laparoendos Adv Surg Tech A*. (2012) 22(4):408. doi: 10.1089/lap.2012.0077

13. Chan KW, Lee KH, Tsui SY, Wong YS, Pang KY, Mou JW, et al. Laparoscopic versus open Kasai portoenterostomy in infant with biliary atresia: a retrospective review on the 5-year native liver survival. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (2012) 28(11):1109–13. doi: 10.1007/s00383-012-3172-9

14. Ure BM, Kuebler JF, Schukfeh N, Engelmann C, Petersen C. Survival with the native liver after laparoscopic versus conventional Kasai portoenterostomy in infants with biliary atresia: a prospective trial. *Ann Surg.* (2011) 253 (4):826–30. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318211d7d8

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Nio M, Wada M, Sasaki H, Kazama T, Tanaka H, Kudo H. Technical standardization of Kasai portoenterostomy for biliary atresia. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2016) 51(12):2105–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2016.09.047

16. Okubo R, Nio M, Sasaki H. Impacts of early Kasai portoenterostomy on short-term and long-term outcomes of biliary atresia. *Hepatol Commun.* (2020) 5(2):234–43. doi: 10.1002/hep4.1615.

17. Hung PY, Chen CC, Chen WJ, Lai HS, Chang MH. Long-term prognosis of patients with biliary atresia: a 25-year summary (Vol 42, Pg 190, 2006). *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2008) 46(5):622. doi: 10.1097/01.mpg. 0000317298.51228.17

18. Chardot C, Carton M, Spire-Bendelac N, Pommelet CL, Golmard JL, Auvert B. Prognosis of biliary atresia in the era of liver transplantation: french national study from 1986 to 1996. *Hepatology*. (1999) 30(3):606–11. doi: 10. 1002/hep.510300330

19. Tyraskis A, Davenport M. Steroids after the Kasai procedure for biliary atresia: the effect of age at Kasai portoenterostomy. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (2016) 32 (3):193–200. doi: 10.1007/s00383-015-3836-3

20. Davenport M, De Ville de Goyet J, Stringer M, Mieli-Vergani G, Kelly D, McClean P, et al. Seamless management of biliary atresia in England and Wales (1999-2002). *Lancet (London, England).* (2004) 363(9418):1354–7. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(04)16045-5

21. Shneider B, Brown M, Haber B, Whitington P, Schwarz K, Squires R, et al. A multicenter study of the outcome of biliary atresia in the United States, 1997 to 2000. *J Pediatr.* (2006) 148(4):467–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.12.054

22. Ramos-Gonzalez G, Elisofon S, Dee E, Staffa S, Medford S, Lillehei C, et al. Predictors of need for liver transplantation in children undergoing hepatoportoenterostomy for biliary atresia. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2019) 54(6):1127–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.02.051

23. Shneider B, Magee J, Karpen S, Rand E, Narkewicz M, Bass L, et al. Total serum bilirubin within 3 months of hepatoportoenterostomy predicts short-term outcomes in biliary atresia. *J Pediatr*. (2016) 170:211–7.e1-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.11.058

24. Nguyen A, Pham Y, Vu G, Nguyen M, Hoang T, Holterman A. Biliary atresia liver histopathological determinants of early Post-Kasai outcome. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2021) 56(7):1169–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2021.03.039

25. Sundaram S, Mack C, Feldman A, Sokol R. Biliary atresia: indications and timing of liver transplantation and optimization of pretransplant care. *Liver Transplant.* (2017) 23(1):96–109. doi: 10.1002/lt.24640

26. Wang G, Chen H, Xie X, Cao Q, Liao B, Jiang H, et al. 2d Shear wave elastography combined with age and serum biomarkers prior to Kasai surgery predicts native liver survival of biliary atresia infants. *J Intern Med.* (2020) 288 (5):570–80. doi: 10.1111/joim.13097

27. Alisi A, De Vito R, Monti L, Nobili V. Liver fibrosis in paediatric liver diseases—sciencedirect. *Best Prac Res Clin Gastroenterol.* (2011) 25(2):259–68. doi: 10.1016/j.bpg.2011.02.008

28. Liu J, Chen W, Zhou M, Li W, Tang J, Zhou Q. A nomogram predicting the prognosis of children with biliary atresia after hepatoportoenterostomy. *Front Pediatr.* (2021) 9:641318. doi: 10.3389/fped.2021.641318

29. Hahn S, Kim S, Park K, Han S, Koh H. Clinical benefit of liver stiffness measurement at 3 months after Kasai hepatoportoenterostomy to predict the liver related events in biliary atresia. *PloS one.* (2013) 8(11):e80652. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pone.0080652

30. Wu J, Lee C, Lin W, Jeng Y, Chen H, Ni Y, et al. Transient elastography is useful in diagnosing biliary atresia and predicting prognosis after hepatoportoenterostomy. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md).* (2018) 68(2):616–24. doi: 10.1002/hep.29856

31. Nio M, Wada M, Sasaki H, Tanaka H. Effects of age at Kasai portoenterostomy on the surgical outcome: a review of the literature. *Surg Today.* (2015) 45(7):813–8. doi: 10.1007/s00595-014-1024-z

32. Serinet MO, Wildhaber BE, Broue P, Lachaux A, Sarles J, Jacquemin E, et al. Impact of age at Kasai operation on its results in late childhood and adolescence: a rational basis for biliary atresia screening. *Pediatrics.* (2009) 123(5):1280–6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-1949

33. Yassin NA, El-Tagy G, Abdelhakeem ON, Asem N, El-Karaksy H. Predictors of short-term outcome of Kasai portoenterostomy for biliary atresia in infants: a single-center study. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr.* (2020) 23(3):266–75. doi: 10.5223/pghn.2020.23.3.266

34. Uchida K, Urata H, Suzuki H, Inoue M, Konishi N, Araki T, et al. Predicting factor of quality of life in long-term jaundice-free survivors after the Kasai operation. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2004) 39(7):1040–4. doi: 10.1016/j. jpedsurg.2004.03.055

35. Goda T, Kawahara H, Kubota A, Hirano K, Umeda S, Tani G, et al. The most reliable early predictors of outcome in patients with biliary atresia after Kasai's operation. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2013) 48(12):2373–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg. 2013.08.009

36. Koga H, Wada M, Nakamura H, Miyano G, Okawada M, Lane G, et al. Factors influencing jaundice-free survival with the native liver in post-portoenterostomy biliary atresia patients: results from a single institution. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2013) 48(12):2368–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2013.08.007

37. Shankar S, Bolia R, Foo H, D'Arcy C, Hardikar N, Wensing M, et al. Normal gamma glutamyl transferase levels at presentation predict poor outcome in biliary atresia. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (2020) 70(3):350–5. doi: 10.1097/mpg. 00000000002563

38. Humphrey T, Stringer M. Biliary atresia: US diagnosis. *Radiology*. (2007) 244 (3):845–51. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2443061051

39. Mittal V, Saxena A, Sodhi K, Thapa B, Rao K, Das A, et al. Role of abdominal sonography in the preoperative diagnosis of extrahepatic biliary atresia in infants younger than 90 days. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* (2011) 196(4): W438-45. doi: 10.2214/ajr.10.5180

40. Farrant P, Meire H, Mieli-Vergani G. Ultrasound features of the gall bladder in infants presenting with conjugated hyperbilirubinaemia. *Br J Radiol.* (2000) 73 (875):1154–8. doi: 10.1259/bjr.73.875.11144791

41. Farrant P, Meire HB, Mieli-Vergani G. Improved diagnosis of extraheptic biliary atresia by high frequency ultrasound of the gall bladder. *Br J Radiol.* (2001) 74(886):952–4. doi: 10.1259/bjr.74.886.740952

42. Tan Kendrick A, Phua K, Ooi B, Tan C. Biliary atresia: making the diagnosis by the gallbladder ghost triad. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2003) 33(5):311–5. doi: 10.1007/s00247-003-0867-z

43. Zhou LY, Wang W, Shan QY, Liu BX, Zheng YL, Xu ZF, et al. Optimizing the US diagnosis of biliary atresia with a modified triangular cord thickness and gallbladder classification. *Radiology.* (2015) 277(1):181–91. doi: 10.1148/radiol. 2015142309

44. Kotb M, Kotb A, Sheba MF, Koofy N, Mostafa H. Evaluation of the triangular cord sign in the diagnosis of biliary atresia. *Gastroenterology.* (2001) 120(2):416. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.2.416

45. Choi SO, Park WH, Lee HJ, Woo SK. "Triangular cord": a sonographic finding applicable in the diagnosis of biliary atresia. *J Pediatr Surg.* (1996) 31 (3):363-6. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3468(96)90739-3

46. Angerpointner TA. Ultrasonographic "Triangular cord": the most definitive finding for noninvasive diagnosis of extrahepatic biliary atresia. *J Pediatr Surg.* (1998) 33(9):1451–2. doi: 10.1055/s-2008-1071111

47. Park W, Choi S, Lee H. The ultrasonographic "Triangular cord" coupled with gallbladder images in the diagnostic prediction of biliary atresia from infantile intrahepatic cholestasis. *J Pediatr Surg.* (1999) 34(11):1706–10. doi: 10. 1016/s0022-3468(99)90650-4

48. Kendrick A, Phua KB, Ooi BC, Subramaniam R, Tan CE, Goh A. Making the diagnosis of biliary atresia using the triangular cord sign and gallbladder length. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2000) 30(2):69. doi: 10.1007/s002470050017

49. Kanegawa K, Akasaka Y, Kitamura E, Nishiyama S, Muraji T, Nishijima E, et al. Sonographic diagnosis of biliary atresia in pediatric patients using the "triangular cord" sign versus gallbladder length and contraction. *Am J Roentgenol.* (2003) 181(5):1387–90. doi: 10.2214/ajr.181.5.1811387

50. Lee H, Lee S, Park W, Choi S. Objective criteria of triangular cord sign in biliary atresia on US scans. *Radiology.* (2003) 229(2):395-400. doi: 10.1148/radiol.292020472

51. Sakamoto N, Muraji T, Ohtani H, Masumoto K. The accumulation of regulatory T cells in the hepatic hilar lymph nodes in biliary atresia. *Surg Today.* (2017) 47(10):1282-6. doi: 10.1007/s00595-017-1502-1

52. Weng Z, Zhou L, Wu Q, Zhou W, Ma H, Fang Y, et al. Enlarged hepatic hilar lymph node: an additional ultrasonographic feature that may be helpful in the diagnosis of biliary atresia. *Eur Radiol.* (2019) 29(12):6699–707. doi: 10. 1007/s00330-019-06339-w

53. Zhang Y, Wang Q, Pu S, Wang J, Xiang B, Liu J, et al. A novel model for predicting the clearance of jaundice in patients with biliary atresia after kasai procedure. *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:837247. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022. 837247