Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Shi Yuan, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY

Jonathan Michael Davis, Tufts University, United States Haifeng Zong, Southern Medical University, China Kai-Sheng Hsieh, China Medical University, Taiwan

*CORRESPONDENCE Jing Liu liujingbj@live.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Neonatology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 07 June 2022 ACCEPTED 20 September 2022 PUBLISHED 12 October 2022

CITATION

Liu J, Fu W and Qin S-J (2022) Lung ultrasound to guide the administration of exogenous pulmonary surfactant in respiratory distress syndrome of newborn infants: A retrospective investigation study.

Front. Pediatr. 10:952315. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.952315

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Fu and Qin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Lung ultrasound to guide the administration of exogenous pulmonary surfactant in respiratory distress syndrome of newborn infants: A retrospective investigation study

Jing Liu^{1*} , Wei Fu² and Shen-Juan Qin²

¹Department of Neonatology and NICU, Beijing Chao-Yang Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ²Department of Neonatology and NICU, Beijing Chaoyang District Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital, Beijing, China

Background: Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) is a common disease that seriously endangers the life and safety of newborns, especially premature infants. Exogenous pulmonary surfactant (PS) is the specific agent for the treatment of neonatal RDS. Lung ultrasound (LUS) has been successfully used in the diagnosis of RDS, but its value in guiding the application of PS is still unclear. This paper explored whether the application of PS under LUS monitoring has some advantages, including (1) decreasing the misdiagnosis rate of RDS and decreasing probability of using PS, and (2) reducing the dose of PS without reducing the therapeutic effect.

Methods: This study included two parts. Part 1: To decide whether the LUS is good to differentiate RDS from other lung diseases in the premature infants. All patients who were diagnosed with RDS and required PS treatment based on conventional criteria were routinely examined by LUS. Then, according to LUS findings, we decided whether they needed to receive PS treatment. Part 2: To see the dose reduction of surfactant is applicable. In RDS patients diagnosed based on LUS presentation and treated with Curosurf (Chiesi Pharmaceutical, Parma, Italy), the dose of Curosurf was compared with that recommended by the European RDS management guidelines.

Results: (1) Since March 2017, 385 newborn infants admitted to our neonatal intensive care unit met the traditional diagnostic criteria of RDS. Of these, only 269 cases were diagnosed with RDS and needed PS treatment according to LUS manifestations. The other 116 infants who did not meet the criteria for ultrasound diagnosis of RDS did not receive PS supplementation but obtained good outcomes, that is LUS findings decreased a misdiagnosis rate of RDS by 30.1% and subsequently resulted in a 30.1% reduction in PS use. (2) Among the 269 RDS patients diagnosed based on LUS findings, 148 were treated with Curosurf (another 121 RDS infants who received domestic PS treatment were not included in the study

Abbreviations

PS, pulmonary surfactant; LUS, lung ultrasound; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; VAP, ventilatorassociated pneumonia; BPD, bronchopulmonary dysplasia; CXR, chest x-ray; PPHN, persistent pulmonary hypertension in newborns; TTN, transient tachypnea of the newborn; MAS, meconium aspiration syndrome; GOS: ground-glass opacity sign; SFS: snowflake signs.

group), and the average dose was 105.4 ± 24.3 mg/kg per time, which is significantly lower than the dose of 200 mg/kg per time recommended by the European RDS guidelines. (3) The mortality rate of RDS patients was 0%, and no patients had ventilator-associated pneumonia or bronchopulmonary dysplasia in this study.

Conclusion: LUS can decrease the misdiagnosis rate of RDS, thereby decreasing the probability of using PS and decreasing the dose of PS, and can help RDS infants to achieve better outcomes.

KEYWORDS

lung ultrasound (LUS), pulmonary surfactant (PS), respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), neonate, lung disease, European RDS management guideline, chest x-ray (CXR)

Introduction

Respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) is a common and severe pulmonary disease in newborn infants caused by primary or secondary deficiencies in pulmonary surfactant (PS), which result in the formation of an eosinophilic hyaline membrane and atelectasis of the lungs from the alveolar wall to the terminal bronchiole wall. As a result, progressive dyspnea, expiratory moaning, cyanosis, and respiratory failure occur shortly after birth. The high incidence, rapid progression, and high mortality of RDS not only causes serious harm to premature infants but also damages the health of full-term infants (1-3). RDS not only significantly increases the incidence of pulmonary hemorrhage, pneumothorax, persistent pulmonary hypertension in newborns (PPHN), and other complications but further increases the fatality rate of RDS up to 43% (4, 5), while have increased survivors also an incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) (5, 6). Therefore, exogenous PS supplementation is one of the main measures for the successful treatment of RDS (7-9), and the recommended dose of PS is 200 mg/kg per time (8). It was reported that the misdiagnosis rate of RDS was as high as 62%-77% according to traditional diagnostic criteria (10, 11). Recently, lung ultrasound (LUS) has been effectively applied in the diagnosis of RDS with more accuracy and reliability than chest x-ray (CXR) (12-14). This paper explored whether the application of PS under LUS monitoring can achieve the objectives of decreasing the misdiagnosis rate of RDS and subsequently decreasing the probability of PS using, as well as reducing the PS dose without reducing the therapeutic effect.

Patients and methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Chaoyang District Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital (No. 2011-LC-Ped-01). All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants' parents. Since March 2017, patients admitted to the Department of Neonatology and NICU at Beijing Chaoyang District Maternal and Child Healthcare Hospital diagnosed with RDS and requiring PS treatment based on conventional criteria were included in this study.

This study included two parts:

Part 1: To decide whether the LUS is good to differentiate RDS from other lung diseases in the premature infants: All patients who met the above criteria underwent LUS examination to determine how many of them still needed PS treatment based on their LUS presentation (12–14). Thus, it can be determined whether LUS monitoring can decrease the misdiagnosis rate of RDS and decrease the probability of PS using.

Part 2: To see the dose reduction of surfactant is applicable: In RDS patients diagnosed based on LUS findings and treated with Curosurf (Chiesi Pharmaceutical, Parma, Italy), the Curosurf dose used in this study was compared with that recommended by the European RDS management guidelines (8), to determine whether LUS monitoring is helpful in reducing the dose of PS. At the same time, the outcomes of RDS patients, including mortality rate and complications such as ventilatorassociated pneumonia (VAP) or BPD, were recorded.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Nonuse of surfactants: Patients who did not meet the ultrasound diagnostic criteria for RDS. (2) The PS agent used was domestic Calsurf (one kind of PS agent derived from calf lung tissue, made in China) but not Curosurf [because of (1) absence of internationally recognized therapeutic dose as a control and (2) lack of a strict case-control study].

LUS examinations: The GE Voluson S10 (GE Healthcare, United States) ultrasound system with a linear array probe (frequency >10 MHz) was used for LUS examinations in this study. All examinations were strictly performed in accordance with published guidelines and specifications (15). The criteria for LUS diagnosis of RDS were as follows (12, 13, 16, 17): (1) Lung consolidation with air bronchograms: early-stage or mild RDS can be characterized by the ground-glass opacity sign (GOS), and progressive or severe RDS is characterized by snowflake signs (SFS); (2) The pleural line is abnormal, and the A-lines disappear; (3) Double lung point; (4) Pleural effusion; and (5) Inconsistencies in the lesion degree and pathological properties of different parts of the lung.

Statistical analysis: The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 24.0 software was used to statistically analyze the data. Count data are expressed as percentages (%), and measurement data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (mean \pm SD). A value of p < 0.05 indicated statistically significant differences if needed.

Results

The effects of LUS on the misdiagnosis rate of RDS and the utilization rate of PS

During the study period, 385 newborn infants met the traditional diagnostic criteria of RDS. Of these patients, only 269 infants met the ultrasound diagnostic criteria for RDS and required PS treatment, while the other 116 patients did not receive PS treatment but had good outcomes. This result means that LUS decreased the misdiagnosis rate of RDS by 30.1% and correspondingly decreased the application of exogenous PS by the same proportion (**Figure 1**). The additional 116 patients were diagnosed with transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN) in 82 cases (16–20), pneumonia in 21 cases (16, 21), and meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS) in 13 cases (16, 22), respectively, based on their LUS characteristics.

Typical case of LUS guiding the PS application

Case 1: This baby was G1P1 with a gestational age (GA) of 30 weeks, cesarean delivery, and birth weight (BW) of 1,800 g. The patient was admitted to our NICU because of progressive dyspnea and expiratory moans for 2 h. Significant retraction was noted on physical examination (Supplementary Video S1).

Arterial blood analysis showed PaCO₂: 57 mmHg, PaO₂: 44 mmHg, and SaO₂: 77%. Reduced transmissibility of both lungs and a bronchial air sign were noted on CXR in a local hospital. After admission, LUS examination showed SFS-like consolidation in the bilateral lungs, which was consistent with the ultrasound imaging characteristics of RDS (13, 16, 17, 23) (Figure 2). Therefore, this infant was treated with PS and recovered soon after.

Case 2: This baby was G2P2 with a gestational age of 31 weeks, IVF, twin 2, and birth weight 1,620 g. The patient was admitted to our NICU because of progressive dyspnea and expiratory moans for 45 min. Significant retraction was noted at physical examination (**Supplementary Video S2**). Arterial blood analysis showed $PaCO_2$: 55 mmHg, PaO_2 : 47 mmHg, and SaO_2 : 80%. Reduced transmissibility of both lungs and a bronchial air sign were noted on CXR. Therefore, the baby was also clinically diagnosed with RDS. However, LUS examination showed confluent B-lines in both lungs at admission, which was consistent with the ultrasound imaging characteristics of TTN but not RDS (17–19, 24) (**Figure 3**). Therefore, this infant did not receive PS treatment and recovered quickly.

The initial dose of PS applied under LUS guidance

The demographic data are shown in **Table 1**. Among the 269 RDS patients diagnosed based on LUS findings, 121 patients treated with domestic Calsurf were excluded from this study group. The remaining 148 cases treated with Curosurf were included in the study group, because it has the regular dose recommended by the International Guidelines (8), and the initial dose used by these 148 patients was compared with the dose recommended by the International Guidelines (8). The results showed that the average first dose was 105.4 ± 24.3 mg/kg per time in this group, while the recommended dose by the European RDS Guidelines was





FIGURE 2

LUS examination results of **Supplementary Video S1** (case 1). LUS showed snowflake-like lung consolidation in both lungs which is the typical ultrasound imaging of RDS, confirming that this patient needed PS treatment. LUS, lung ultrasound; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; PS, pulmonary surfactant.



200 mg/kg per time (8). That is, the initial PS dose under LUS monitoring was decreased by 47.3% compared to the International Guideline's recommended dose (Figure 4).

Effect of LUS monitoring on repeat doses of exogenous PS in RDS patients

Of the 148 RDS patients who received Curosurf treatment, 24 infants received repeat doses of PS supplementation. The repeated application dose was 71.6 ± 31.5 mg/kg per time. Therefore, the repeat dose was 64.2% lower than that recommended by the International Guidelines (8) (Figure 5).

Patient outcomes based on LUS guidance management

No patient died, and no VAP or BPD complications occurred among these patients, which resulted in a mortality rate of 0% and a VAP and BPD incidence rate of 0%.

Discussion

As mentioned above, the misdiagnosis rate of RDS based on the so-called traditional "Gold Standard" diagnosis can be as high as 62%–77% (10, 11). According to the results of this study, among the 385 infants diagnosed with RDS by traditional criteria, 116

Groups	Ν	Gender (male/ female)	Premature infants			Term infants		
			N	GA (range, weeks)	BW (range, g)	N	GA (range, weeks)	BW (range, g)
Calsurf	121	127/47	89	32.1±2.1 (27+2-36+6)	1,670.6±487.1 (850– 2870)	32	38.0±1.2 (37-41+2)	2,538.1±943.0 (1100- 4150)
Curosurf	148	15/16	126	32.6±2.6 (26+6-36+5)	1,814.8±614.6 (800- 3170)	22	38.1±1.2 (37-41)	2,617.3±848.9 (1750– 3710)
Total	269	147/111	215	32.5±2.5 (26+6-36+6)	1,755.1±569.1 (800- 3170)	54	38.0±1.2 (37-41+2)	2,570.3±898.4 (1100– 4150)

TABLE 1 The demographic data in different groups.

GA, gestational age; BW, birth weight.





were not diagnosed with RDS but with TTN, MAS, or pneumonia by LUS examination. Importantly, although these infants did not receive PS treatment, and they all had a good prognosis and outcomes. The results of the present study confirmed that the LUS is good to differentiate RDS from other lung diseases in the newborn infants, that is, LUS is more accurate and reliable than CXR in diagnosing lung diseases (25); the results of this study means that the routine application of LUS decreases the misdiagnosis rate of RDS by 30.1%. Subsequently, LUS helped us to decrease PS use rate by 30.1% in the present study. PS, as we all

know, is a very expensive agent. The reduction of its use not only decreases patients' medical costs but also saves medical resources.

PS is an effective agent for the treatment of RDS, but the dose of PS has been controversial. The recommended dose of PS is 200 mg/kg per time according to the European guidelines (8). The results of this study showed that the PS dose used in RDS patients was 105.4 mg/kg per time on average; this dose could be half (47.3%) of the guideline recommended dose (8). Another important finding was that the repeat dose was reduced by 64.2% compared with the guideline recommended dose (8). Most importantly, all of the patients achieved a good outcome without any death or complications, such as MAS or BPD, when using the lower dose. Therefore, the use of LUS monitoring to guide PS application can not only decrease the misdiagnosis rate of RDS and decrease the usage rate of PS but also decrease the initial and repeat dose needed, which together further demonstrates the socioeconomic value of performing lung ultrasound (25).

It has been reported that the LUS score should be used to determine whether a newborn infant with dyspnea should receive PS treatment (26, 27). There is no doubt that LUS scoring has some value in some cases, but it is complex, timeconsuming, and is subject to a variety of factors, including operators' subjective factors and the instrument itself (28). According to the ultrasound performance of the infants, we can easily and directly determine whether a patient with dyspnea needs to receive PS treatment. This method is simpler, more intuitive, and easier to apply in critical and severe patients. That is, if LUS shows GOS-like or SFS-like lung consolidation, PS can be directly used for patients, which are the specific ultrasound signs of RDS (17, 22). Reexamining the LUS 2-4 h after initial PS treatment, if the lung lesion does not alleviate or even becomes more severe, PS should be repeated for the patients.

There are some limitations in this study. The GA and BW of the infants are relatively large. The proportion of infants with GA <27 weeks and BW <1,000 g accounted for only a small proportion, which may reduce the chance of poor prognosis; further research needs to focus more on babies at lower gestational ages and birth weights. If one wants to perform LUS well, he or she needs to receive systematic training. In addition to mastering the basic theory of LUS, he or she needs to master operating skills and instrument adjustment skills (15). In addition, a high-frequency linear array transducer should be used to make an accurate diagnosis (15).

In summary, the result of the present study showed that LUS can help us to decrease the misdiagnosis rate of RDS, thereby decreasing usage and dose of PS, and can help RDS infants achieve better outcomes. Thus, it deserves widespread application in clinical practice.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

JL had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data, the accuracy of the data analysis, manuscript preparation, and approval of the final manuscript. WF and S-JQ contributed to the data analysis, manuscript preparation, and approval of the final manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped. 2022.952315/full#supplementary-material.

Supplementary Video S1

Supplementary Video S2

Case 1: A premature infant with respiratory dyspnea. This was a premature infant with a gestational age of 30 weeks and birth weight of 1,800 g who was admitted to the NICU because of progressive dyspnea, expiratory moans, and significant retraction. The blood gas analysis was significantly abnormal.

Case 2: A premature infant with respiratory distress. This was a baby with a gestational age of 31 weeks and birth weight of 1,620 g who was admitted to the NICU because of progressive dyspnea, expiratory moans, and significant retraction. The blood gas analysis was significantly abnormal.

References

1. Rubarth LB, Quinn J. Respiratory development and respiratory distress syndrome. *Neonatal New*. (2015) 34(04):231-8. doi: 10.1891/0730-0832.34.4.231

2. Chowdhury N, Giles BL, Dell DS. Full-term neonatal respiratory distress and chronic lung disease. *Pediatr Ann.* (2019) 48(04):e175–81. doi: 10.3928/19382359-20190328-01

3. Liu J, Shi Y, Dong JY, Zheng T, Li JY, Lu LL, et al. Clinical characteristics, diagnosis and management of respiratory distress syndrome in full-term neonates. *Chin Med J.* (2010) 123(19):2640–4. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.19.004

4. Vibede L, Vibede E, Bendtsen M, Pedersen L, Ebbesen F. Neonatal pneumothorax: a descriptive regional Danish study. *Neonatol.* (2017) 111(04): 303-8. doi: 10.1159/000453029

5. Lee M, Wu K, Yu A, Roumiantsev S, Shailam R, Nimkin K, et al. Pulmonary hemorrhage in neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: radiographic evolution, course, complications and long-term clinical outcomes. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med.* (2019) 12(02):161–71. doi: 10.3233/NPM-1867

6. Apiliogullari B, Sunam GS, Ceran S, Koc H. Evaluation of neonatal pneumothorax. J Int Med Res. (2011) 39(06):2436–40. doi: 10.1177/ 147323001103900645

7. Soll R, Özek E. Multiple versus single doses of exogenous surfactant for the prevention or treatment of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2009) 1:CD000141. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000141.pub2

8. Sweet DG, Carnielli V, Greisen G, Hallman M, Ozek E, Te Pas A, et al. European Consensus guidelines on the management of respiratory distress syndrome—2019 update. *Neonatology.* (2019) 115(4):432–50. doi: 10.1159/000499361

9. Singh N, Halliday HL, Stevens TP, Suresh G, Soll R, Rojas-Reyes MX. Comparison of animal-derived surfactants for the prevention and treatment of respiratory distress syndrome in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2015) 12:CD010249. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010249.pub2

10. Greenough A. Transient tachypnea of the newborn. In: A Greenough, AD Milner, editors. *Neonatal respiratory*. 2nd ed. London: CRC Press (2003). p. 272–7.

11. Rocha G, Rodrigues M, Guimaraes H. Respiratory distress syndrome of the preterm neonate—placenta and necropsy as witness. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2011) 24(1):148–51. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2010.482613

12. Liu J, Cao HY, Wang HW, Kong XY. The role of lung ultrasound in diagnosis of respiratory distress syndrome in newborn infants. *Iran J Pediatr.* (2015) 25(1):e323. doi: 10.5812/ijp.323

13. Ma HR, Liu J, Yan WK. Diagnostic value of lung ultrasound for neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Med Ultrason.* (2020) 22(3):325–33. doi: 10.11152/mu-2485

14. Wu J, Wang Y, Zhao A, Wang Z. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Q.* (2020) 36(2):102–10. doi: 10.1097/RUQ.000000000000490

15. Liu J, Guo G, Kurepa D, Volpicelli G, Sorantin E, Lovrenski J, et al. Specification and guideline for technical aspects and scanning parameter settings of neonatal lung ultrasound examination. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2022) 35(5):1003–16. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2021.1940943

16. Liu J, Copetti R, Sorantin E, Lovrenski J, Rodriguez-Fanjul J, Kurepa D, et al. Protocol and guidelines for point-of-care lung ultrasound in diagnosing neonatal pulmonary diseases based on international expert consensus. *J Visualized Exp.* (2019) 145:e58990. doi: 10. 3791/58990

17. The Society of Pediatrics, Asia-Pacific Health Association, , The Division of Critical Ultrasound, Society Of Pediatrics, Asia-Pacific Health Association, , The World Interactive Network Focused on Critical Ultrasound China Branch, , The Critical Ultrasound Group of Neonatal Specialty Committee, The Cross-Straits Medicine Exchange Association, , The Ultrasound Diagnostic Group of Neonatal Specialty Committee, Chinese Maternal And Child Health Association, Editorial Board of Chinese Pediatric Emergency Medicine. Expert consensus on ultrasound diagnosis and gradation of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. *Chin Pediatr Emerg Med.* (2021) 28(7):545–51. doi: 10.3760/cma.jissn.1673-4912.2021.07.001

18. Liu J, Wang Y, Fu W, Yang CS, Huang JJ. The diagnosis of neonatal transient tachypnea and its differentiation from respiratory distress syndrome using lung ultrasound. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2014) 93(27):e19. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000000197

19. Liu J, Qiu RX, Ren XL, Li JJ, Xia RM, Chi JH. The differentiation diagnosis of respiratory distress syndrome and transient tachypnea of the newborn by lung ultrasound. *Chest.* (2019) 155(Suppl 4):232A. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2019.02.221

20. Liu J, Chen XX, Li XW, Wang Y, Chen SW, Fu W. Lung ultrasonography to diagnose transient tachypnea of the newborn. *Chest.* (2016) 149(5):1269–12757. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2015.12.024

21. Liu J, Liu F, Liu Y, Wang HW, Feng ZC. Lung ultrasonography for the diagnosis of severe pneumonia of the newborn. *Chest.* (2014) 146(2):483–8. doi: 10.1378/chest.13-2852

22. Liu J, Cao HY, Fu W. Lung ultrasonography to diagnose meconium aspiration syndrome of the newborn. J Int Med Res. (2016) 44(6):1534-42. doi: 10.1177/0300060516663954

23. Liu J, Qiu RX, Ren XL. The ultrasonic imaging characteristics of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS): the new concept of lung ultrasound to diagnose RDS. *Chest.* (2020) 157(S6):A318. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2020.05.387

24. Raimondi F, Yousef N, Rodriguez Fanjul J, De Luca D, Corsini I, Shankar-Aguilera S, et al. A multicenter lung ultrasound study on transient tachypnea of the neonate. *Neonatol.* (2019) 115(3):263–8. doi: 10.1159/000495911

25. Liu J, Lovrenski J, Hlaing AY, Kurepa D. Neonatal lung diseases: lung ultrasound or chest x-ray. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2021) 34(7):1177–82. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2019.1623198

26. Brat R, Yousef N, Klifa R, Reynaud S, Aguilera SS, De Luca D. Lung ultrasonography score to evaluate oxygenation and surfactant need in neonates treated with continuous positive airway pressure. *JAMA Pediatr.* (2015) 169(8): e151797. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1797

27. De Martino L, Yousef N, Ben-Ammar R, Raimondi F, Shankar-Aguilera S, De Luca D. Lung ultrasound score predicts surfactant need in extremely preterm neonates. *Pediatrics*. (2018) 142(3):e20180463. doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-0463

28. Liu J. The lung ultrasound score cannot accurately evaluate the severity of neonatal lung disease. *J Ultrasound Med.* (2020) 39(5):1015–20. doi: 10.1002/jum.15176