Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Niranjan Kissoon, University of British Columbia, Canada

REVIEWED BY Takanari Ikeyama, Aichi Child Health And Medical General Center, Japan Job Van Woensel, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Netherlands Paul Zarogoulidis, Euromedica General Clinic, Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE Shane George shane.george@uq.edu.au

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Pediatric Critical

Care, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 12 April 2022 ACCEPTED 20 October 2022 PUBLISHED 21 November 2022

CITATION

George S, Wilson M, Humphreys S, Gibbons K, Long E and Schibler A (2022) Apnoeic oxygenation during paediatric intubation: A systematic review. Front. Pediatr. 10:918148. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.918148

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 George, Wilson, Humphreys, Gibbons, Long and Schibler. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Apnoeic oxygenation during paediatric intubation: A systematic review

Shane George^{1,2,3}*, Megan Wilson^{4,5}, Susan Humphreys^{3,6}, Kristen Gibbons³, Elliot Long^{7,8,9} and Andreas Schibler^{10,11}

¹Departments of Emergency Medicine and Children's Critical Care, Gold Coast University Hospital, Southport, QLD, Australia, ²School of Medicine and Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Southport, QLD, Australia, ³Child Health Research Centre, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, ⁴Emergency Department, Tweed Heads Hospital, Tweed Heads, NSW, Australia, ⁵Emergency Department, Lismore Base Hospital, Lismore, NSW, Australia, ⁶Department of Anaesthesia, Queensland Children's Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, ⁷Department of Emergency Medicine, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ⁸Clinical Sciences, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, VIC, Australia, ⁹Department of Critical Care, University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ¹⁰Critical Care Research Group, Intensive Care Unit, St Andrews War Memorial Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, ¹¹Wesley Medical Research, Auchenflower, QLD, Australia

Objective: This review assesses the effect of apnoeic oxygenation during paediatric intubation on rates of hypoxaemia, successful intubation on the first attempt and other adverse events.

Data sources: The databases searched included PubMed, Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE and The Cochrane Library. An electronic search for unpublished studies was also performed.

Study selection: We screened studies that include children undergoing intubation, studies that evaluate the use of apnoeic oxygenation by any method or device with outcomes of hypoxaemia, intubation outcome and adverse events were eligible for inclusion.

Data extraction: Screening, risk of bias, quality of evidence and data extraction was performed by two independent reviewers, with conflicts resolved by a third reviewer where consensus could not be reached.

Data synthesis: From 362 screened studies, fourteen studies (N = 2442) met the eligibility criteria. Randomised controlled trials (N = 482) and studies performed in the operating theatre (N = 835) favoured the use of apnoeic oxygenation with a reduced incidence of hypoxaemia (RR: 0.34, 95% CI: 0.24 to 0.47, p < 0.001, $I^2 = 0\%$ and RR: 0.27, 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.68, p = 0.005, $I^2 = 68\%$ respectively). Studies in the ED and PICU were of lower methodological quality, displaying heterogeneity in their results and were unsuitable for meta-analysis. Among the studies reporting first attempt intubation success, there were inconsistent effects reported and data were not suitable for meta-analysis.

Conclusion: There is a growing body of evidence to support the use of apnoeic oxygenation during the intubation of children. Further research is required to determine optimal flow rates and delivery technique. The use of humidified high-flow oxygen shows promise as an effective technique based on data in the operating theatre, however its efficacy has not been shown to be superior to low flow oxygen in either the elective anesthetic or emergency intubation situations

Systematic Review Registration: This review was prospectively registered in the PROSPERO international register of systematic reviews (Reference: CRD42020170884, registered April 28, 2020).

KEYWORDS

intubation, paediatric, apnoeic oxygenation, hypoxaemia, safe apnoeic period

Introduction

Infants and children have, in comparison to adults, a lower tolerance for apnoea and become hypoxaemic faster (1). As a result, they are more likely to experience alveolar derecruitment and significant oxygen desaturation during intubation. Hypoxaemia occurs for 1 in 8 children requiring emergency intubation (2). Previous studies have suggested that hypoxaemia during intubation may increase morbidity and mortality (3, 4).

The provision of apnoeic oxygenation has been proposed to reduce the risk of hypoxaemia during intubation in children. There are two major techniques that could provide apnoeic oxygenation to children undergoing intubation. The adult literature for emergency intubation suggests using 15 L/min of oxygen delivered via nasal cannulae during the apnoeic phase, a practice which has been taken up by many adult emergency physicians and has also been adopted in some paediatric institutions (5). Another delivery method using a Transnasal Humidified Rapid-Insufflation Ventilatory Exchange (THRIVE) technique has been suggested to prolong the safe apnoeic time in paediatric and adult patients, thus enabling unhurried intubation in child with an expected difficult airway (6, 7). THRIVE delivers high flow rates ($\geq 2 \text{ L/kg/min}$) of 100% oxygen through specialised nasal cannulae. Its application for intubation in the emergency and critical care environment has not definitively been established.

This systematic review aims to analyse and compare existing studies to help inform clinical practice and to improve patient outcomes. We will assess the effect of using apnoeic oxygenation during the intubation of children aged 0–16 years on rates of hypoxaemia, successful intubation on the first attempt and other intubation related adverse events.

Methods

Inclusion criteria

This review has considered studies that include children aged less than 16-years undergoing intubation either for elective or emergent reasons. Studies that evaluate the use of apnoeic oxygenation by any method or device were eligible for inclusion. This review has included studies that report the outcomes: hypoxaemia; number of intubation attempts; time to oxygen desaturation; and intubation related adverse events.

Apnoeic oxygenation is defined as the provision of oxygen through passive insufflation during the apnoeic phase of the intubation attempt.

Search strategy

The search strategy aimed to find both published and unpublished studies. The databases searched included: PubMed; Medline; CINAHL; EMBASE; and The Cochrane Library. The search for unpublished studies included: Clinical trial registries (Cochrane Central Register of controlled trials and ClinicalTrials.gov) to identify recent and ongoing studies; Google Scholar web search; and bibliographies from included studies, known reviews and text for additional citations.

Detailed search strings and results for each database are included in **Supplementary Digital Content S1**. The search was undertaken on 16 October 2019, all referenced studies at this date were included for screening.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors independently assessed titles and abstracts and, when needed, full texts of identified studies to determine eligibility for inclusion using the Covidence systematic review software (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia). Conflicts were resolved by discussion between reviewers and then by consulting with a third review author where a consensus could not be reached.

Data extraction and management

Full-text versions of all studies were obtained, and data extraction was completed using standardised data extraction form by two reviewers independently. Extracted data were compared for any differences, with conflict resolved by discussion between reviewers. Where consensus could not be reached a third reviewer was engaged. Extracted data were exported into Review Manager 5 (8) for processing, comparison and analysis.

Assessment of included studies

Risk of bias was assessed according to the Cochrane Collaboration revised tool for assessing the risk of bias for randomised trials (ROB 2) (9) and the Risk Of Bias In Non-Randomized Studies - of Interventions (ROBINS-I) for nonrandomised studies (10). The Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach (11), was used to assess the quality of evidence for the following clinically relevant outcomes: hypoxaemia, first intubation attempt success rate; first attempt success rate for intubations without hypoxaemia; tracheal intubation related adverse events.

Evidence from RCTs was considered as high-quality but was downgraded by one level for serious (or two levels for very serious) limitations according to the following: design (risk of bias), consistency across studies, directness of evidence, precision of estimates, and presence of publication bias.

Data analysis

Children included in randomised studies were analysed on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis. Assuming low levels of heterogeneity, analysed treatment effects in individual trials were initially analysed using a fixed-effect model for metaanalysis of combined data. If substantial heterogeneity was noted and there was no significant asymmetry in the associated funnel plot, analysis was undertaken using a random-effect model and we examined the potential cause of heterogeneity by performing subgroup and sensitivity analyses. If meta-analysis was inappropriate, we analysed and interpreted individual trials separately. The Mantel-Haenszel (MH) method was used for calculating estimates of risk ratio (RR) and are presented along with associated 95% confidence intervals (21, 22). For measured quantities, we used the inverse variance method. Appropriate graphical representation of the meta-analyses have been generated.

Heterogeneity of studies owing to the nature of the interventions provided is anticipated, this was assessed using χ^2 and I^2 statistics. Significant heterogeneity was investigated by performing subgroup analyses.

Results

Literature search results

The systematic search returned 362 non-duplicate citations; an additional 6 citations were included in the manual reference check of included papers. After screening of title and abstract, 327 citations were excluded leaving 41 for full text review. A further 27 papers were excluded after full-text review. Fourteen studies remained meeting all inclusion and no exclusion criteria. There were 6 randomised controlled trials, 3 prospective observational study, 3 "before and after" observational studies and 2 retrospective observational studies. One ongoing randomised controlled trial was identified, however no interim data has been published (12).

A PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow chart is presented in **Figure 1**.

Included studies provided a total of 2,442 patients for inclusion, with 1,159 children in operating theatre setting and 1,283 children being intubated in the ED or PICU environment. Characteristics of included studies and patient populations is included in **Table 1**. Risk of bias assessment for included studies is presented in **Figure 2**.

Clinical outcome results

Oxygen saturation (SpO₂) < 90%

All studies included a measure of hypoxaemia as a primary or secondary outcome, however there was significant variation in the cut-off value used to define hypoxaemia between studies.

Eight studies (three RCTs, five observational studies) reported the outcome $SpO_2 < 90\%$ as either a primary or secondary outcome with a total of 1,382 patients available for analysis. All RCTs included were conducted in the operating theatre (430 patients). Two observational studies were conducted in the operating theatre (421 patients) with the remaining three studies conducted in an ED (two studies, 398 patients) or PICU (one study, 149 patients). All included studies used oxygen at flow rates of <15 L/min (Table 1).

Overall, there was a decreased incidence of hypoxaemia in patients who receive apnoeic oxygenation (RR: 0.38, 95% CI: 0.31 to 0.48, p < 0.001); however, the included studies display significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 84\%$) (Figure 3). Consistent findings were demonstrated when incorporating random effects (RR: 0.42, 95% CI: 0.22 to 0.82, p = 0.01) (Supplementary Figure S1).

Given the high degree of heterogeneity in the included studies, subgroup analysis was undertaken to investigate the effects in the high quality RCT studies and to also compare effects in the different patient groups of elective and emergent admissions. The three RCTs included were all performed on elective surgery patients in the operating theatre, with an ASA of I or II providing a more standardised group of patients at baseline. Meta-analysis of this data shows a reduced incidence of hypoxaemia in the apnoeic oxygenation group (RR: 0.34, 95% CI: 0.24 to 0.47, p < 0.001) when compared to control and no heterogeneity between studies ($I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 4A).

The methodological quality was higher for the majority of studies in the operating theatre and meta-analysis of these



studies also showed a significantly reduced risk of hypoxaemia with mild heterogeneity between studies (RR: 0.27, 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.68, p = 0.005) (Figure 4B). Studies performed in the ED and PICU were of lower methodological quality and displayed significant heterogeneity in their results and were thus unsuitable for meta-analysis. Given this, it is difficult to estimate the treatment effect in this cohort of patients.

Lowest oxygen saturations

Six included studies (1,000 patients) reported the lowest SpO_2 during intubation as a primary or secondary outcome (13–18). There are conflicting results from these studies and methodological differences and bias make the data unsuitable for meta-analysis.

Four studies reported a small, but statistically significant, difference in the median lowest oxygen saturations between apnoeic oxygenation and control groups (13, 16–18). Three studies favoured the use of apnoeic oxygenation (13, 17, 18); however, all three studies report median/mean SpO₂ of >95% with small IQR/SD making the clinical significance of this difference questionable. A single study reported a higher SpO₂ in the no apnoeic oxygenation group, however this finding is

difficult to interpret due to baseline differences in SpO_2 prior to commencement of intubation potentially confounding this outcome (16).

Two studies reported no difference in the median lowest oxygen saturation during intubations when comparing apnoeic oxygenation to control (14, 15). Mutlak et al. report oxygen desaturation in a single patient in their cohort (N= 65), however the authors note this is likely due to inadequate preoxygenation. Overmann et al. report no significant difference in lowest SpO₂, however they only report the lowest SpO₂ for patients who experience a desaturation to <90%. Data for the entire cohort is not presented.

Number of intubation attempts

Four included studies reported the number of intubation attempts as a primary or secondary outcome (15, 16, 19, 20). All studies report data as part of a departmental quality improvement initiative, one reports data for after the intervention, with no control for comparison (20). The remaining studies report conflicting outcomes, and display high risk of bias.

A study by Long et al. report no change in the first attempt success rate between groups (78% for both groups), however

TABLE	1	Characteristics	of	included	studies.
	-	0110100001100100	<u> </u>	11101010100	5.00105.

First Author	Study Design (n)	Patient Population	Intervention (n/N)	Comparator (n/N)	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcomes	GRADE of Evidence
Arcaris (2016)	Prospective Cohort (N = 93)	<18 years Emergency Dept	15 L/min apnoeic oxygenation (50/93)	No apnoeic oxygenation (43/93)	SpO ₂ < 90%	1. SpO ₂ < 80% 2. SpO ₂ < 70%	Low
Dias (2017)	RCT (N = 95)	Full term infants, aged <6 months, Elective or emergency surgery	Apnoeic oxygenation via OxiPort [™] Miller Laryngoscope at 2 L/ min (47/95)	No apnoeic oxygenation using standard Miller laryngoscope (48/95)	Lowest SpO ₂	 SpO₂ < 90% SpO₂ < 85% Correlation between time to intubation and SpO₂ 	Moderate
Humphreys (2017)	RCT (N = 48)	<10 years old Elective surgery or imaging	Humidified high flow apnoeic oxygenation (THRIVE) (23/48)	No apnoeic oxygenation (24/48)	Time to SpO ₂ < 92%	 Change in tcCO₂ Change in heart rate 	High
Long (2017)	Observational Cohort study (before and after intervention) (N = 117)	<18 years Emergency Dept	Implementation of a quality improvement initiative, including apnoeic oxygenation (46/117)	Standard practice prior to QI initiative implementation (71/ 117)	First attempt successful intubation without hypoxia or hypotension	Nil	Low
Mortimer (2015)	Prospective Cohort (N = 44)	<18 years Emergency Dept or PICU	Apnoeic oxygenation with age based flow rates 5–15 L/min	Nil	SpO ₂ < 80%	Number of intubation attempts	Low
Mutlak (2014)	Retrospective Observational study (<i>N</i> = 65)	1–10 kg Elective Surgery	Apnoeic oxygenation <i>via</i> TruView Laryngoscope at 2–5 L/ min (22/65)	C-MAC (23/65) or Standard Mackintosh (20/65) laryngoscope	Time to intubation	Lowest SpO ₂	Low
Napolitano (2018)	Observational Cohort study (before and after intervention) ($N =$ 575)	PICU	Apnoeic oxygenation with age based flow rates 5–15 L/min (291/ 575)	Infrequent use of apnoeic oxygenation at clinicial discretion (284/575)	SpO ₂ < 80%	 Feasibility of Apnoeic Oygenation SpO₂ < 70% TIAEs 	Low
Olayan (2018)	RCT (N = 30)	1–8 years old with ASA I or II for elective surgery	Apnoeic oxygenation at 3 L/min (15/30)	No apnoeic oxygenation (15/30)	Time to SpO ₂ < 92%	Number of patients with $SpO_2 < 92\%$ and $< 95\%$	High
Overmann (2018)	Retrospective observational Study (<i>N</i> = 305)	<18 years Emergency Dept	Apnoeic oxygenation with age based flow rates 2–6 L/min (227/ 305)	No apnoeic oxygenation (78/305)	SpO ₂ < 90%	 Time to desaturation Duration of desaturation episode Lowest SpO₂ 	Moderate
Riva (2018)	RCT (N = 60)	1-6 years and 10- 20 kg for elective surgery	Humidifed High flow apnoeic oxygenation (THRIVE) at 2 L/kg/ min	 THRIVE with FiO2 0.3 Low flow apnoeic oxygenation at 0.2 L/ kg/min 	Time until one of: 1. SpO ₂ < 95% 2. Apnoea >10 min 3. tcCO ₂ > 65 mmHg	 Increase in CO₂ Adverse Events 	High
Soneru (2019)	Prospective Observational Study (<i>N</i> = 356)	Age <8 years for elective surgery	Apnoeic oxygenation at 5 L/min	Standard practice, no apnoeic oxygenation	Time to SpO ₂ < 05%	 SpO₂ < 95% SpO₂ < 90% Lowest SpO₂ Intervention by senior operator required 	Moderate
Steiner (2016)	RCT (N = 457)	1–17 years old ASA I-III for elective dental procedure under anaesthetic	 TruView Laryngoscope with apnoeic oxygenation at 2–3 L/min Standard Laryngoscopy with apnoeic oxygenation attached oxygen cannula at 2–3 L/min 	No apnoeic oxygenation	Time to a 1% absolute decrease in SpO ₂ from baseline	Rate of desaturation over time	High

(continued)

First Author	Study Design (n)	Patient Population	Intervention (n/N)	Comparator (n/N)	Primary Outcome	Secondary Outcomes	GRADE of Evidence
Vukovic (2018)	Observational Cohort study (before and after intervention) (<i>N</i> = 149)	<22 years of age Emergency Dept	Apnoeic oxygenation with age based flow rates 4–8 L/min (90/ 149)	No apnoeic oxygenation	SpO ₂ < 90%	 Lowest SpO₂ Number of attempts 	Low
Windpassinger (2016)	RCT (N = 48)	0–2 years, elective surgery, ASA I or II	Airtraq laryngoscope, oxygen at 4 L/min (24/ 48)	Airtraq Laryngoscopy, no apnoeic oxygenation (24/48)	Time to desaturation after intubation and cessation of apnoeic oxygenation	Time to intubation	High

TABLE 1 Continued

there was a difference in first pass success without hypoxaemia (78% post-intervention, 49% pre-intervention). Importantly all patients in the post-intervention group received apnoeic oxygenation, a significant number of the pre-intervention group (54%, 38/71) also received apnoeic oxygenation during their intubation attempt (19). Data is not presented on the proportion of pre-intervention patients without apnoeic oxygenation as a subgroup analysis. Overmann et al. (15) also report no difference in first pass success in their retrospective cohort. In contrast, Vukovic et al. also report that patients who receive apnoeic oxygenation had higher first attempt success (20/90 and 28/62, p = 0.0025) (16).

Given the high risk of bias and methodological variability meta-analysis was not performed.

Safe apnea time

Two RCTs have investigated the effect of humidified high flow nasal prong apnoeic oxygenation on the time to oxygenation desaturation. In an RCT comparing humidified high flow apnoeic oxygenation to control in an elective surgery setting Humphreys et al. demonstrated a significant increase (p < 0.001) in the time to desaturation across four age cohorts (0–6 months, 6–24 months, 2–5 years and 6–10 years) (21). Riva and colleagues also demonstrated a longer safe apnoea time in children receiving either low flow or humidified high flow apnoeic oxygenation through a composite end-point of hypoxaemia, duration of apnoea and transcutaneous CO₂ (22).

In addition, two observational studies have reported the time taken for a child to experience hypoxaemia (as measured by a drop in SpO_2). Soneru reports in a prospective cohort study an increase in the median time taken for a child's oxygen saturation to fall below 95% when apnoeic oxygenation is applied (202 s IQR 142–253 s) compared to no apnoeic oxygenation (127 s, IQR 83–165 s) (18). Conversely,

in a retrospective observational study Overmann reported there was no significant difference in the median time to desaturation between the apnoeic oxygenation group (140 s, IQR 108–382 s) and the control group (125 s, IQR 83–272 s, p = 0.25) (15).

An alternative measure of time to desaturation was used by Steiner et al. where time to desaturation was defined as the time taken for a 1% absolute decrease in SpO₂ from the preintubation level. The study compared two different methods of apnoeic oxygenation delivery with a control group. When compared to control (30 s, 95% CI: 24–39 s) the time to desaturation was longer for both apnoeic oxygenation groups (67 s, 95% CI: 35–149 s, p < 0.001 and 75 s, 95% CI: 37–122 s, p < 0.001) (23).

Tracheal intubation related adverse events (TIAE)

An observational study by Napolitano et al. reported the rate of TIAE between apnoeic oxygenation and control groups. The rate of adverse events was lower in the in the apnoeic oxygenation cohort when compared to control (6% and 10% respectively), but did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.14) (24).

Discussion

There is an emerging body of literature around methods and application of apnoeic oxygenation during paediatric intubation, as demonstrated by the recency of publication for all included studies in this review. The heterogeneity of methods used to deliver apnoeic oxygenation, differences in characteristics of included patients and lack of consistency in outcome measures make it difficult to directly compare studies or make definitive treatment recommendations. Despite this, the available data from the operating theatre



setting for elective procedures suggest a reduced incidence of hypoxemia with the provision of apnoeic oxygenation in this cohort.

Studies included in this review have examined two groups of patients which have significantly different baseline

characteristics. One group of studies looks at patients undergoing elective medical procedures, who have no significant underlying medical conditions or pathologies while the other examines patients in the ED or PICU being intubated for a life-threatening critical illness with significant

	Apnoeic Oxyge	enation	No Apnoeic Oxyge	nation		Risk Ratio		Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% Cl	
Arcaris 2016	6	50	13	43	6.3%	0.40 [0.17, 0.95]				
Dias 2017	2	47	7	48	3.1%	0.29 [0.06, 1.33]			-	
Mutlak 2014	1	22	0	43	0.2%	5.74 [0.24, 135.36]				
Olayan 2018	0	15	1	15	0.7%	0.33 [0.01, 7.58]	-			
Overmann 2019	50	227	11	78	7.4%	1.56 [0.86, 2.85]		-		
Soneru 2019	7	153	61	135	29.2%	0.10 [0.05, 0.21]				
Steiner 2016	33	198	78	159	39.0%	0.34 [0.24, 0.48]				
Vukovic 2018	17	90	26	59	14.2%	0.43 [0.26, 0.72]				
Total (95% CI)		802		580	100.0%	0.38 [0.31, 0.48]		•		
Total events	116		197							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	36.85, df = 7 (P <	0.00001); I ² = 81%				0.04		10	4.00
Test for overall effect	Z = 8.52 (P < 0.0	0001)					0.01	Favours [Ap Ox]	Favours [No Ap	Ox]
URE 3										

Α

	Apnoeic Oxyge	nation	No Apnoeic Oxyge	enation		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Dias 2017	2	47	7	48	5.0%	0.29 [0.06, 1.33]	
Olayan 2018	0	15	1	15	1.2%	0.33 [0.01, 7.58]	
Steiner 2016	33	198	78	159	93.9%	0.34 [0.24, 0.48]	
Total (95% CI)		260		222	100.0%	0.34 [0.24, 0.47]	▲
Total events	35		86				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	: 0.00; Chi² = 0.04 Z = 6.30 (P < 0.00	, df = 2 (P 1001)	' = 0.98); I² = 0%				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [Ap Ox] Favours [No Ap Ox]
В							
-	Apnoeic Oxyge	nation	No Apnoeic Oxyge	enation	Moight	Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Apnoeic Oxyge Events	nation Total	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events	enation Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup	Apnoeic Oxyge Events	nation Total 47	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7	enation Total 48	Weight 18.6%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 (0.06, 1.33)	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014	Apnoeic Oxyge Events 2 1	nation Total 47 22	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0	enation Total 48 43	Weight 18.6% 6.8%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 [0.06, 1.33] 5.74 [0.24, 135.36] 5.04 [0.24, 135.36]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014 Olayan 2018	Apnoeic Oxyge Events 2 1 0	nation Total 47 22 15	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0 1	enation Total 48 43 15	Weight 18.6% 6.8% 6.9%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 [0.06, 1.33] 5.74 [0.24, 135.36] 0.33 [0.01, 7.58]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014 Olayan 2018 Soneru 2019 Staiser 2019	Apnoeic Oxyge Events 2 1 0 7	nation Total 47 22 15 153	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0 1 61 20	nation Total 48 43 15 135	Weight 18.6% 6.8% 6.9% 30.8%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 [0.06, 1.33] 5.74 [0.24, 135.36] 0.33 [0.01, 7.58] 0.10 [0.05, 0.21] 0.24 [0.24, 0.24]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014 Olayan 2018 Soneru 2019 Steiner 2016	Apnoeic Oxyge Events 2 1 0 7 33	nation Total 47 22 15 153 198	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0 1 61 78	enation Total 48 43 15 135 159	Weight 18.6% 6.8% 6.9% 30.8% 36.9%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 (0.06, 1.33) 5.74 (0.24, 135.36) 0.33 (0.01, 7.58) 0.10 (0.05, 0.21) 0.34 (0.24, 0.48)	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014 Olayan 2018 Soneru 2019 Steiner 2016 Total (95% CI)	Apnoeic Oxyge Events 2 1 0 7 33	nation Total 47 22 15 153 198 435	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0 1 61 78	enation Total 48 43 15 135 159 400	Weight 18.6% 6.8% 6.9% 30.8% 36.9% 100.0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 [0.06, 1.33] 5.74 [0.24, 135.36] 0.33 [0.01, 7.58] 0.10 [0.05, 0.21] 0.34 [0.24, 0.48] 0.27 [0.11, 0.68]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014 Olayan 2018 Soneru 2019 Steiner 2016 Total (95% CI) Total events	Apnoeic Oxyge Events 2 1 0 7 33 43	nation Total 47 22 15 153 198 435	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0 1 61 78 147	rotal Total 48 43 15 135 135 159 400	Weight 18.6% 6.8% 6.9% 30.8% 36.9% 100.0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl 0.29 (0.06, 1.33) 5.74 (0.24, 135.36) 0.33 (0.01, 7.58) 0.10 (0.05, 0.21) 0.34 (0.24, 0.48) 0.27 (0.11, 0.68)	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
Study or Subgroup Dias 2017 Mutlak 2014 Olayan 2018 Soneru 2019 Steiner 2016 Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	Apnoeic Oxyge <u>Events</u> 2 1 0 7 33 43 : 0.55; Chi ² = 12.3 Z = 2.80 (P = 0.00	nation Total 47 22 15 153 198 435 3, df = 4 (5)	No Apnoeic Oxyge Events 7 0 1 61 78 147 P = 0.02); P = 68%	nation Total 48 43 15 135 159 400	Weight 18.6% 6.8% 6.9% 30.8% 36.9% 100.0%	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI 0.29 [0.06, 1.33] 5.74 [0.24, 135.36] 0.33 [0.01, 7.58] 0.10 [0.05, 0.21] 0.34 [0.24, 0.48] 0.27 [0.11, 0.68]	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI

physiological compromise. Direct comparison of these two groups needs to be interpreted with caution and there is likely to be a differential effect of the intervention based on the severity of illness and presence (or absence) of respiratory failure at the time of intubation. Further research is required to better understand this relationship with an adequate sample size to power for this subgroup analysis.

The flow rates used to deliver apnoeic oxygenation are markedly variable between studies, making direct comparison difficult and estimation of the true effect size unreliable. The use of standard nasal cannulae is favoured by many clinicians as they are readily available, inexpensive, and simple to apply. However, to deliver high flow rates specialised high-flow nasal cannulae are required as the diameter of the nasal outlet is too narrow to accommodate higher flows. The use of higher flow rates of at least 2 L/kg/min through the THRIVE technique has gained increasing popularity in anesthesia despite limited understanding of the exact physiological mechanism of its demonstrated effect. To date there have been no studies published investigating the use of the THRIVE technique in the PICU or ED setting, despite promising pilot data for children in the operating theatre (21, 22).

The lack of standardised core outcome measures in airway management literature is a significant barrier to interpretation and application of the available data. The most consistent outcome measures reported are hypoxaemia, although the exact level varies, the number of intubation attempts required to successfully insert the endotracheal tube and the lowest SpO₂ during the attempt. All of these outcome measures are objective, available and clinically relevant. While we are unlikely to achieve consensus on what level of hypoxaemia is clinically significant, the general standards of reporting mild (SpO₂ 81%–90%), moderate (SpO₂ 71%–80%) and severe (SpO₂ <70%) hypoxaemia would allow better comparison of the available data.

Some studies included in this review have reported statistically significant differences in the lowest SpO_2 reported, with the values reported being >95% (13). While there may be a statistically significant difference the in values reported, the clinical significance of these differences is minimal.

The data available on the efficacy of apnoeic oxygenation in the paediatric population is more limited than that in the adult population, however there are similar methodological and physiological issues across both groups. In the adult literature there have been numerous systematic reviews published over recent years (25–30). In these reviews there is a consistent trend towards a reduction in the incidence of hypoxaemia and an increase in the proportion of intubations successful on first attempt but there is a paucity of high quality randomised controlled studies. Similar to the paediatric literature there is a lack of consensus on the optimal method of delivery of apnoeic oxygenation, as well contradictory reports on its efficacy in different patient populations, particularly patients with respiratory failure, and different treatment environments.

Limitations

This literature review is confined to a relatively small pool of patients (n = 2,442) from studies of variable methodological quality and inconsistent outcome reporting. Two of the included studies were abstracts only (24, 31). Despite attempts to contact the authors, more detailed data could not be obtained. The

review includes a number of retrospective observational studies limiting their internal validity and generalisability.

Conclusions

There is a growing body of evidence to support the use of apnoeic oxygenation during the intubation of children. Further research is required to determine optimal flow rates and delivery technique. The use of humidified high-flow oxygen shows promise as an effective technique based on data in the operating theatre, however its efficacy has not been shown to be superior to low flow oxygen in either the elective anesthetic or emergency intubation situations.

High quality randomised controlled trials with standardised outcome measures are required for both elective and emergent intubations, adequately powered for subgroup analysis for age, severity of illness and reason for intubation.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Author contributions

SG conceived the review question with input from EL, SH and AS. SG undertook the literature searches. SG, MW and SH undertook screening and assessment of identified papers. SG and MW performed data extraction, with input from SH and AS where discrepancies were identified. KG advised on statistical analyses and interpretations. SG prepared the initial manuscript with revisions and input from MW, SH, KG, EL and AS. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Support was provided solely from institutional and departmental sources.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of the librarians at the University of Queensland Library for their assistance in designing and conducting the required database searches. We would also like to thank Vukovic and Prof Arnold of the Department of Paediatrics, Vanderbilt University for providing access to additional raw data for inclusion in this review.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their

References

1. Patel R, Lenczyk M, Hannallah RS, McGill WA. Age and the onset of desaturation in apnoeic children. *Can J Anaesth* (1994) 41(9):771-4. doi: 10. 1007/BF03011582

2. Li S, Hsieh TC, Rehder KJ, Nett S, Kamat P, Napolitano N, et al. Frequency of desaturation and association with hemodynamic adverse events during tracheal intubations in PICUs. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* (2018) 19(1):e41–50. doi: 10.1097/PCC.00000000001384

3. Parker MM, Nuthall G, Brown 3rd C, Biagas K, Napolitano N, Polikoff LA, et al. Relationship between adverse tracheal intubation associated events and PICU outcomes. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* (2017) 18(4):310–8. doi: 10.1097/PCC. 000000000001074

4. Stinson HR, Srinivasan V, Topjian AA, Sutton RM, Nadkarni VM, Berg RA, et al. Failure of invasive airway placement on the first attempt is associated with progression to cardiac arrest in pediatric acute respiratory compromise. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* (2018) 19(1):9–16. doi: 10.1097/PCC.000000000001370

5. Weingart SD, Levitan RM. Preoxygenation and prevention of desaturation during emergency airway management. *Ann Emerg Med* (2012) 59 (3):165–75.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2011.10.002

6. Patel A, Nouraei SA. Transnasal humidified rapid-insufflation ventilatory exchange (THRIVE): a physiological method of increasing apnoea time in patients with difficult airways. *Anaesthesia.* (2015) 70(3):323–9. doi: 10.1111/anae.12923

7. Bartlett Jr RG, Brubach HF, Specht H. Demonstration of aventilatory mass flow during ventilation and apnea in man. *J Appl Physiol* (1959) 14(1):97–101. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1959.14.1.97

8. Review manager (RevMan) [computer program]. *The Cochrane Collaboration*, editor. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre (2014).

9. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA, (editors). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022).* Cochrane (2022). p. 187–241. Available from: www. training.cochrane.org/handbook

10. Sterne JA, Hernan MA, Reeves BC, Savovic J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *Br Med J*. (2016) 355:i4919. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4919

11. Schünemann H, Brozek J, Oxman A. GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Updated October (2013).

12. George S, Humphreys S, Williams T, Gelbart B, Chavan A, Rasmussen K, et al. Transnasal humidified rapidinsufflation ventilatory exchange in children requiring emergent intubation (kids THRIVE): a protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* (2019) 9(2):e025997. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025997

13. Dias R, Dave N, Chhabria R, Shah H, Garasia M. A randomised comparative study of miller laryngoscope blade versus oxiport* miller laryngoscope blade for neonatal and infant intubations. *Indian J Anaesth.* (2017) 61(5):404–9. doi: 10. 4103/ija.IJA_86_17

14. Mutlak H, Rolle U, Rosskopf W, Schalk R, Zacharowski K, Meininger D, et al. Comparison of the TruView infant EVO2 PCD^{**} and C-MAC video laryngoscopes with direct macintosh laryngoscopy for routine tracheal intubation in infants with Normal airways. *Clinics*. (2014) 69(1):23–7. doi: 10. 6061/clinics/2014(01)04

15. Overmann KM, Boyd SD, Zhang Y, Kerrey BT. Apneic oxygenation to prevent oxyhemoglobin desaturation during rapid sequence intubation in a

affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped. 2022.918148/full#supplementary-material.

pediatric emergency department. Am J Emerg Med. (2018) 37(8):1416–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2018.10.030

16. Vukovic AA, Hanson HR, Murphy SL, Mercurio D, Sheedy CA, Arnold DH. Apneic oxygenation reduces hypoxemia during endotracheal intubation in the pediatric emergency department. *Am J Emerg Med* (2018) 37(1):27–32. doi: 10. 1016/j.ajem.2018.04.039

17. Olayan L, Alatassi A, Patel J, Milton S. Apnoeic oxygenation by nasal cannula during airway management in children undergoing general anaesthesia: a pilot randomised controlled trial. *Perioper Med.* (2018) 7:3. doi: 10.1186/s13741-018-0083-x

18. Soneru CN, Hurt HF, Petersen TR, Davis DD, Braude DA, Falcon RJ. Apneic nasal oxygenation and safe apnea time during pediatric intubations by learners. *Paediatr Anaesth* (2019) 29(6):628–34. doi: 10.1111/pan.13645

19. Long E, Cincotta DR, Grindlay J, Sabato S, Fauteux-Lamarre E, Beckerman D, et al. A quality improvement initiative to increase the safety of pediatric emergency airway management. *Paediatr Anaesth.* (2017) 27(12):1271–7. doi: 10.1111/pan.13275

20. Mortimer T, Burzynski J, Kesselman M, Vallance J, Hansen G. Apneic oxygenation during rapid sequence intubation in critically ill children. *J Pediatr Intensive Care*. (2015) 5(1):28–31. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1568149

21. Humphreys S, Lee-Archer P, Reyne G, Long D, Williams T, Schibler A. Transnasal humidified rapid-insufflation ventilatory exchange (THRIVE) in children: a randomized controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth* (2017) 118(2):232–8. doi: 10.1093/bja/aew401

22. Riva T, Pedersen TH, Seiler S, Kasper N, Theiler L, Greif R, et al. Transnasal humidified rapid insufflation ventilatory exchange for oxygenation of children during apnoea: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *Br J Anaesth.* (2018) 120(3):592–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2017.12.017

23. Steiner JW, Sessler DI, Makarova N, Mascha EJ, Olomu PN, Zhong JW, et al. Use of deep laryngeal oxygen insufflation during laryngoscopy in children: a randomized clinical trial. *Br J Anaesth.* (2016) 117(3):350–7. doi: 10.1093/bja/aew186

24. Napolitano N, Laverriere E, Craig N, Snyder M, Thompson A, Davis D, et al. Apneic oxygenation as a quality improvement intervention in an academic PICU. *Crit Care Med.* (2018) 46:643. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000529322.79113.ae

25. Binks MJ, Holyoak RS, Melhuish TM, Vlok R, Bond E, White LD. Apneic oxygenation during intubation in the emergency department and during retrieval: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Emerg Med* (2017) 35 (10):1542–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2017.06.046

26. Binks MJ, Holyoak RS, Melhuish TM, Vlok R, Hodge A, Ryan T, et al. Apnoeic oxygenation during intubation in the intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung.* (2017) 46(6):452–7. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng. 2017.08.001

27. Denton G, Howard L. BET 1: does apnoeic oxygenation reduce the risk of desaturation in patients requiring endotracheal intubation? *Emerg Med J.* (2016) 33(7):517–9. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2016-205965.1

28. Holyoak RS, Melhuish TM, Vlok R, Binks M, White LD. Intubation using apnoeic oxygenation to prevent desaturation: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Crit Care. (2017) 41:42–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.04.043

29. Pourmand A, Robinson C, Dorwart K, O'Connell F. Pre-oxygenation: implications in emergency airway management. *Am J Emerg Med* (2017) 35 (8):1177-83. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2017.06.006

30. White LD, Melhuish TM, White LK, Wallace LA. Apnoeic oxygenation during intubation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Anaesth Intensive Care.* (2017) 45(1):21–7. doi: 10.1177/0310057X1704500104

31. Arcaris B, Pacheco G, Viscusi C, Bradshaw H, Patanwala A, Dicken J, et al. The use of apneic oxygenation during the rapid sequence intubation of pediatric patients is associated with a reduced incidence of hypoxemia. *Ann Emerg Med.* (2016) 68(4):S74–5. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2016.08.203