#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Na Li, Shandong Cancer Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY Igor Kuznetsov, University at Albany, United States Yuan Zhang, Shaanxi Provincial People's Hospital, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xin Wang wangxin20150710@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Pediatric Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 21 September 2022 ACCEPTED 22 November 2022 PUBLISHED 07 December 2022

#### **CITATION**

Yang H, Yang J, Bian H and Wang X (2022) A novel cuproptosis-related gene signature predicting overall survival in pediatric neuroblastoma patients. Front. Pediatr. 10:1049858. doi: [10.3389/fped.2022.1049858](https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Yang, Yang, Bian and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [A novel cuproptosis-related](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858/full) [gene signature predicting overall](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858/full) [survival in pediatric](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858/full) [neuroblastoma patients](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858/full)

Hu Yang, Jun Yang, Hongqiang Bian and Xin Wang\*

Department of General Surgery, Wuhan Children' Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Background: Cuproptosis is a novel cell death pathway, and the regulatory mechanism in pediatric neuroblastoma (NB) remains to be explored. We amid to investigate cuproptosis-related genes (CRGs) and construct a novel prognostic model for NB.

Methods: To evaluate the role of CRGs on the clinical outcome of pediatric NB, the dataset of pediatric patients with NB of GSE49710 dataset was used to identify CRGs in association with patient overall survival (OS), and TARGET database was used to validate the predictive value of cuproptosis-related signature (CRG-score). The correlation between the CRG-score and the tumor microenvironment (TME), clinicopathological parameters, chemotherapy, and the response to immunotherapy was explored.

Results: Overall, 31 CRGs were associated with OS in the univariate Cox regression analysis. Then, a prognostic model incorporating 9 CRGs was established with the LASSO regression analysis, which could classify all NB patients into two CRG-score groups. The performance of the signature was verified in both internal and external validation cohorts. Multivariate analysis indicated that the CRG-score was an independent prognostic indicator, and stratification analysis still showed a high predictive ability for survival prediction. The CRG-score was associated with age, MYCN status, INSS stage, and COG risk. Additionally, the higher CRG-score group exhibited lower immune scores, immune cell infiltration, and decreased expression of immune checkpoints. Meanwhile, the CRG-score could predict the drug sensitivity of administering chemotherapeutic agents for NB patients.

Conclusions: Our comprehensive analysis of cuproptosis-associated genes in NB provides a new approach for the prediction of clinical outcomes and more effective treatment strategies.

KEYWORDS

cuproptosis, neuroblastoma, prognosis, tumor microenvironment, model

Abbreviations

CRGs, cuproptosis-related genes; NB, neuroblastoma; ssGSEA, single sample gene set enrichment analysis; TME, tumor microenvironment; OS, overall survival; COG, Children's Oncology Group; LASSO, Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator; PCA, principal component analysis; AUC, area under the curve; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; DEGs, Differently expressed genes.

# Introduction

Neuroblastoma (NB) is the most common extracranial solid tumor in infants and children, arising from undifferentiated neural crest cells [\(1](#page-10-0)). NB is commonly found in small glands on top of the kidneys and accounts for approximately 7%– 10% of all childhood cancers ([2](#page-10-0), [3\)](#page-10-0). Despite intensive treatment regimens and recent advances, NB still accounts for approximately 15% of childhood cancer-related mortality [\(2](#page-10-0), [4](#page-10-0)). NB exhibits genetic, clinical, and therapeutic response heterogeneity, limiting the efficacy of current therapeutic strategies ([5](#page-10-0)). Some tumors regress significantly without treatment, in other cases, the disease may appear very aggressive, with recurrence or extensive metastases, be ineffective against aggressive multi-pronged therapy, and ultimately, die of relapse or refractory metastatic disease [\(1](#page-10-0), [5](#page-10-0)). Clinically, approximately 50% of NB patients have metastatic disease at diagnosis and up to 60% will eventually relapse [\(4](#page-10-0), [6\)](#page-10-0). Through the NB risk classification system from the Children's Oncology Group (COG), the patients were divided into low-, intermediate- and high-risk groups ([7](#page-10-0)). Although low- and intermediate-risk patients generally have favorable outcomes, high-risk patients have a high mortality rate, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 50% despite the recent intensification of treatment [\(8,](#page-10-0) [9\)](#page-10-0). The treatment of NB mainly includes a multimodal treatment regimen of chemotherapy, surgery, radiation therapy, and myeloablative chemotherapy followed by stem cell rescue, and immunotherapy [\(10\)](#page-10-0). The biology and genetic basis of NB are currently being studied, and the combination may be an advantageous therapeutic approach. Therefore, considering the possibility of genetic-related therapy for NB, effective prognostic biomarkers must be explored.

Recently, Tsvetkov et al. ([11\)](#page-10-0) found that an abnormal rise of copper ions in human cells may induce cell death with a pathway distinct from known mechanisms of regulated cell death, and copper ions can still induce cell death when blocking known cell death patterns. Their studies have demonstrated that the copper delivered by the copper ionophores to the mitochondria binds directly to the lipified proteins in the cell, forcing them to form long chains and clumps that cause cell death. They also found that copper interferes with iron-sulfur clusters, which are part of several key metabolic enzymes, further reducing the enzyme's activity and pushing the cells into a state of toxic stress that ultimately kills them ([11\)](#page-10-0). Thus, this copperdependent modulated mode of cell death is named cuproptosis. Studies have shown that copper is highly present in the serum or tumor tissue of various cancer patients ([12,](#page-10-0) [13](#page-10-0)). In addition, copper plays a vital role in the etiology and progression of cancer [\(14](#page-10-0), [15](#page-10-0)), suggesting that it can serve as a potential biological target for cancer diagnosis or therapy. However, the role of copper ptosisrelated genes in NB has not been systematically investigated. Furthermore, accurate models predicting children with NB are lacking.

In this work, a comprehensive analysis of the expression of cuproptosis-related genes (CRGs) was performed in pediatric and young adult patients with NB. Then, we constructed a prognostic model based on CRGs using LASSO regression analysis, which was validated by the GEO cohort. The results of our study indicated that cuproptosis-related signature was an independent prognostic variable for pediatric and young adult patients with NB. Furthermore, the relationships between the signature and the tumor microenvironment (TME) phenotype and drug sensitivity were further examined to identify potential immunotherapy response indicators and novel therapeutic targets.

## Materials and methods

#### Data source and collation

The process flow of this work is shown in **[Supplementary](#page-10-0)** [Figure S1](#page-10-0). The messenger RNA (mRNA) expression profiles and clinicopathological parameters of 498 NB patients were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; gene ID: GSE49710) database. Data of 144 NB patients from Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET) database was obtained as an external validation set. The batch effects of different databases were eliminated by the "ComBat" algorithm in the "sva" R package [\(16\)](#page-10-0). Additionally, 41 cuproptosis regulators were obtained from the previous publications ([11](#page-10-0), [17](#page-10-0)), and are listed in [Supplementary Table S1](#page-10-0).

## Development and validation of a cuproptosis-related signature

The 41 cuproptosis-related genes (CRG) were further analyzed by univariate Cox regression assessment using the "survival" R package to screen those correlated with the overall survival (OS) of NB. The number of genes was further reduced and gene collinearity was eliminated using Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression analysis via the "glmnet" R package and a prognostic model (CRG-score) was established. The regression coefficient of each gene was estimated by applying LASSO Cox regression, and the optimal lambda (λ) was derived by 10-fold cross-validation. The CRG-score of all samples in the GEO set was calculated using the following equation based on the expression value of CRGs

and the non-zero regression coefficient obtained by LASSO Cox regression analysis.

$$
CRG-score = Exp1*Coef1 + Exp2*Coef2 + Exp3*Coef3
$$

$$
+ \ldots + Expi*Coefi
$$

where the Coefi is the coefficient of each CRG, and Expi is the expression level of each CRG. The CRG-score for each patient in the TARGET set was calculated with the same coefficients and normalized expression microarray data of CRGs. After that, the samples in the two sets were separately stratified into two groups based on the median CRG-score of the training set. Those samples scoring above the median CRG-score were considered high CRG-score level, otherwise, they were low CRG-score level.

## Evaluation of CRG-score prognostic accuracy

The prediction accuracy of the CRG-score was then internally and externally validated. The time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was performed using the "timeROC" R package to assess the accuracy of the CRGscore in both GEO and TARGET sets. The "survival" and "survminer" packages were employed to plot Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival curves. Principal component analysis (PCA) using the "scatterplot3d" package in R software was utilized to better differentiate NB patients of two CRG-score groups.

#### Clinical significance of the CRG-score

To further confirm the clinical role of the CRG score, the correlation between the CRG-score and clinical information was assessed using the "limma" package, which included age, gender, MYCN status, INSS stage, histology, and COG risk. Next, we investigated whether the CRG-score was an independent predictor of OS using univariate and multivariate independent prognostic analyses. Moreover, we further conducted a stratified analysis of patients with different clinical parameters to test the prognostic role of the CRG-score.

#### Analysis of tumor microenvironment

The "estimate" R package was used to calculate the immune score, estimate score, and stromal score. We further used the ssGSEA algorithm to calculate the enrichment of 13 immunerelated functions and 16 infiltrating immune cells and the "limma", "ggpubr" and "reshape2" R packages were employed to analyze the differences in these immune cells and pathways between the two CRG-score groups ([Supplementary Table S2](#page-10-0)). Moreover, the

distribution of 22 types of immune cells was calculated by the CIBERSORT algorithm ([Supplementary Table S3](#page-10-0)), and the association between them and the expression levels of the CRGs of the risk model was evaluated by Spearman correlation analysis. A p-value below 0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

### Prediction of chemotherapy and immunotherapy response for NB

The R package "pRRophetic" was performed to predict response to chemotherapy in NB patients. Differences in halfmaximal inhibitory concentrations (IC50) value of 251 drugs ([Supplementary Table S4](#page-10-0)) from the Cancer Genome Project (CGP) 2016 database between the two CRG-score level groups was statistically analysed with the help of Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Additionally, the correlation between 47 immune checkpoints and the CRG-score was calculated, and the box plot was plotted by the "reshape2" and "ggplot2" R packages. The 47 immune checkpoints were listed in [Supplementary Table S5](#page-10-0).

#### Functional enrichment analysis

Differently expressed genes (DEGs) between two CRG-score categories were filtered using the "limma" package (|FoldChange|  $>$  1.5,  $p$  < 0.05). The GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed based on DEGs using "clusterProfiler" R package.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted by the R software (version 4.2.0). Kaplan-Meier approach was used to generate survival curves for the prognostic analysis, and log-rank test was used to check for differences between groups. The  $p$ -value was bidirectional, and the adjusted  $p$ -value  $< 0.05$  was statistically significant.

## Results

#### Establishment of cuproptosis-related signature

We performed a univariate Cox regression analysis in the GSE49710 dataset and found that 31 CRGs individually have a possible effect on the patient's OS ( $p < 0.05$ ; [Figure 1A](#page-3-0)). Subsequently, LASSO Cox regression analysis was performed to obtain a prognostic signature. Partial likelihood deviance and LASSO coefficient profiles are shown by vertical dotted line plots, and 9 CRGs (ATP7B, CD274, FDX1, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, PDHA1, UBE2D4, ULK2, and GCSH) were selected to construct a prognostic signature ([Figures 1B,C](#page-3-0)).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Development of the cuproptosis-related prognostic signature in the training set. (A) Forest plot of nine CRGs correlated with OS. (B,C) The LASSO Cox regression analysis was performed depending on the optimal λ value. (D) The distributions of OS status and patient survival status in the training set. (E) PCA showed remarkable differences between the two CRG-score groups. (F) The Kaplan-Meier curves showed that significant differences were identified for OS between these two risk groups. (G) ROC curves evaluating the sensitivity and specificity of the cuproptosis-based prognostic model. (H) C-index of the risk score and other clinical parameters.

The CRG-score for each patient in the training set was calculated using the LASSO regression coefficient and the expression level of the 9 CRGs.

 $CRG-score = (-0.33929 \times ATP7Bexp) + (-0.03142 \times$ CD274exp) + (−0.11579 × FDX1exp) + (−0.01715 ×  $MAP2K1exp) + (0.35511 \times MAP2K2exp) + (0.54638 \times$ PDHA1exp) + (−0.59282 × UBE2D4exp) + (−0.64734 ×  $ULK2exp) + (0.971007 \times GCSHexp)$ 

To classify samples into specific CRG-score level groups, the median risk score was identified as the cutoff point. The samples with CRG-score higher than the threshold were stratified into

the high CRG-score level group and the other low CRG-score level group.

# Evaluation of the cuproptosis-related signature

The distribution of CRG-score ordered from low to high. As the CRG-score increased, the death risk for patients gradually increased (Figure 1D). The results of PCA indicated that the CRG-score possessed a good discrimination ability to

distinguish between the high- and low-risk subgroups ([Figure 1E](#page-3-0)). The survival probability of the low CRG-score group was evidently higher than the high CRG-score group ([Figure 1F](#page-3-0)). ROC curve indicated that the AUC of the 3- and 5-year OS were 0.914 and 0.911, respectively ([Figure 1G](#page-3-0)). In addition, we compared the signature with previous signatures in NB. ROC curves indicated that our signature achieved significantly favorable predictive power compared with previously published prognostic models ([Supplementary](#page-10-0) [Figure S2](#page-10-0)). We then calculated the C-index of CRG-score and several clinical parameters. The highest C-index of the risk score affirmed the predictive utility of our signature ([Figure 1H](#page-3-0)).

The coefficient and formula remained unchanged when evaluating the cases in the TARGET dataset. Based on the same cutoff values in the training set, the patients were stratified into high and low CRG score groups. Consistent with the previous conclusions, patients in the high-risk group had a higher probability of death earlier than those in the low-risk group ([Figure 2A](#page-5-0)). The results of PCA showed that the distribution of patients in two CRG-score groups is in two directions ([Figure 2B](#page-5-0)). Survival analysis revealed that high-risk patients shared significantly worse OS than low-risk patients ([Figure 2C](#page-5-0)). The AUC values for both 3-year and 5-year OS were greater than 0.5 ([Figure 2D](#page-5-0)), indicating the good predictive ability of cuproptosis-based prognostic signature. Likewise, the C-index for the CRG-score was the highest relative to several other clinical parameters ([Figure 2E](#page-5-0)).

#### Clinical significance of the cuproptosisrelated signature

We explored the connection between the CRG-score and clinical traits in the training set and found that the high CRGscore was evidently associated with >2 years old, amplified MYCN status, advanced INSS stage, and high COG risk ([Figures 3A](#page-6-0)–D; all  $p < 0.001$ ). To further determine whether the CRG-score could be used as an independent prognostic indicator, we constructed univariate Cox regression and multivariate Cox regression analyses in training and testing sets. We observed that age, MYCN status, INSS stage, COG risk, and CRG-score in the GEO set were evidently associated with OS, and a summary of the results is displayed in the forest plot ([Figure 3E](#page-6-0)). Similarly, age, INSS stage, ploidy, and CRG-score in the testing set were significantly associated with OS ([Figure 3F](#page-6-0)). Furthermore, we enrolled these factors into a multivariate Cox analysis and found that the CRG-score was an independent prognostic indicator in both sets ([Figures 3G,H](#page-6-0)). Additionally, the prognostic role of the CRG-score was further studied in different variable subgroups. In comparison with high-risk NB patients, low-risk patients manifested a better OS in subclasses layered by age, MYCN status, and INSS stage (all  $p < 0.05$ , [Figures 3I](#page-6-0)–P).

#### Immune cell landscape

The stromal score, immune score, and ESTIMATE score were all at a much lower level in the high CRG-score sample ([Figure 4A](#page-7-0)). Enrichment scores for most tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes ([Figure 4B](#page-7-0)) and pathways ([Figure 4C](#page-7-0)) were significantly elevated in the low CRG-score group. Further correlation analysis indicated close ties between the expression of 9 model CRGs and different types of infiltrating immune cells ([Figure 4D](#page-7-0)).

#### Predictive efficacy of the signature in immunotherapy and chemotherapy

We explored whether this signature could predict the responsiveness of the patient to the chemotherapy and immunotherapy treatment by applying the "pRRophetic" method. As illustrated in [Figures 5A](#page-8-0)–E, the high CRG-score group shows greater sensitivity to Bortezomib, Docetaxel, Gemcitabine, Etoposide, and Doxorubicin compared to the low CRG-score group, and those patients could benefit from the treatment. On the other hand, Tipifarnib, Sorafenib, and Gefitinib could be potentially more effective for the low CRGscore group (Figures 5F, H).

Given the immunophenotype discrepancy of the two CRGscore groups, we further investigated the relationship between the CRG-score and immune checkpoints, including PD-L1 and CTLA4. As illustrated in [Figure 5I](#page-8-0), the expression of 41 of 47 immune checkpoints was downregulated in the high CRG-score group compared with the low CRG-score group.

### GO and KEGG pathway analysis

To further explore the potential biological characteristics of DEGs between the two CRG-score groups, we performed GO and KEGG pathway analysis. The GO analyses demonstrated significant enrichment of positive regulation of cell adhesion, endocytic vesicle, and actin binding ([Figure 6A](#page-9-0)). The KEGG analysis revealed enrichment in several pathways associated with MAPK signaling pathway, Ras signaling pathway, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathway ([Figure 6B](#page-9-0)). These results suggested that cuproptosis-related signature is involved in the initiation and progression of NB.

# **Discussion**

To explore the relationship between CRGs and the prognosis of NB, we systematically analyzed the transcriptomic data from the GEO and TARGET sets and

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

showed that the distribution of patients in two different risk groups is in two directions. (C) The Kaplan-Meier curves showed that significant differences were identified for OS between these two risk groups. (D) ROC curve at 3 and 5 years. (E) C-index of the risk score and other clinical parameters.

established a cuproptosis-related signature. The NB patients with high CRG-score had notably shorter OS times than those with low CRG-score in both training and testing sets. The predictive accuracy of the genetic predictor was internally and externally validated. The prognostic signature included 9 CRGs (ATP7B, CD274, FDX1, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, PDHA1, UBE2D4, ULK2, and GCSH). Copper transporter ATP7B is a copper-transporting ATPase that

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

plays a central role in regulating copper homeostasis in the liver, and dysfunctional ATP7B is involved in the development of monogenic Wilson disease ([18](#page-10-0), [19](#page-10-0)). Elevated ATP7B expression has been observed in a variety of cancers, and its expression is associated with cancer prognosis and treatment outcome with platinum-based chemotherapy ([20](#page-10-0), [21](#page-10-0)). Programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1, CD274) is a major co-suppressive checkpoint signal regulating immune escape in cancer patients ([22\)](#page-10-0). Various types of cancer express high levels of PD-L1 and suppress antitumor immunity by interacting with the PD-1 receptor of T lymphocytes ([23,](#page-10-0) [24\)](#page-10-0). Therefore, blocking the PD-L1/

PD-1 pathway is recognized as the gold standard for the development of new immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) and combination therapies [\(22](#page-10-0)). Several studies have identified the diagnostic and prognostic potential of PD-1/ PD-L1 in neuroblastoma [\(25](#page-10-0), [26](#page-10-0)). Zuo et al. ([27\)](#page-10-0) revealed that 11 of 31 (35%) NB patients expressed PD-L1 and high PD-L1 expression was associated with worse OS. The FDX1 gene is a small iron-sulfur protein involved in the metabolism of steroids, bile acids, and vitamin D [\(28](#page-10-0)). Recently, FDX1 has been shown to be a key regulator of copper-induced death that can positively regulate specific metabolic pathways of cuproptosis ([11\)](#page-10-0).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

The immune landscape, as the major component of the TME, determines tumorigenesis and disease progression. Immune cells are critical components of this TME, and they can influence the ability of tumor cells to proliferate and respond to treatment ([29,](#page-10-0) [30](#page-10-0)). Many studies have also suggested a strong link between copper metabolism and tumor immunity ([31,](#page-10-0) [32](#page-10-0)). Voli et al. [\(32](#page-10-0)) revealed that intratumoral opper promotes PD-L1 expression at the mRNA and protein levels in cancer cells, and RNAsequencing revealed that copper regulates a key signaling pathway that mediates PD-L1-driven cancer immune evasion. Conversely, copper chelators promoted ubiquitinmediated PD-L1 degradation and increased the number of CD8+ T cells and natural killer cells. Although, no definitive

reports have indicated a direct relationship between cuproptosis and immune cell infiltration in NB. In this study, infiltrating immune cells were explored between two CRG-score subgroups. The results of the comparative immune microenvironment analysis indicate that most infiltrating immune cells were less infiltrated in the high-risk group, such as Dendritic cells, B cells, CD8+ T cells, and NK cells. With their potent antigen-presenting ability, dendritic cells have long been considered a key component of antitumor immunity. Activated dendritic cells are key to the development of long-term and effective anticancer immunity [\(33,](#page-10-0) [34](#page-10-0)). As an important part of the TME, B cells can directly produce antibodies or present tumor-associated antigens to T cells, killing tumor cells or increasing T-cell

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

antigen presentation, thereby inducing tumor regression [\(35](#page-10-0)). CD8+ T cells play a key role in eliminating malignant cells and are the backbone of current successful cancer immunotherapies ([36\)](#page-10-0). Tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells were correlated with favorable clinical outcomes in patients with advanced cancers ([37\)](#page-10-0). In this study, we compared the difference in TME scores between the two groups and found that the high CRG-score group had lower TME scores. Additionally, most immune-related pathways were inactive in the high CRG-score group. These data indicated the high CRG-score group demonstrating immunosuppressive phenotype.

Immunotherapy has made great progress in the treatment of cancer in recent years, and it has been found that a promising approach to achieve immunity against cancer is to block the immune checkpoint pathway ([38](#page-11-0)–[40\)](#page-11-0). Immunotherapy holds great promise for treating pediatric cancer. The anti-GD2 antibody Dinutuximab was recently shown to significantly improve the prognosis of NB patients ([41\)](#page-11-0). However, NB exhibits low immunogenicity due to their low tumor mutational burden and lack of MHC-I expression, resulting in most patients benefiting from treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), and the 5-year survival rate of high-risk NB patients remains below 50% [\(42,](#page-11-0) [43](#page-11-0)). Therefore,

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

screening for sensitive predictors of immunotherapy is important for clinical treatment. In this study, we investigated the relationship between the CRG-score and the expression of immune checkpoint-related genes. We observed elevated expression levels of most immune checkpoints, including PD-1 and PD-L1, in the low-risk group, implying that these patients could benefit from ICIs. In addition to immunotherapy, the cuproptosis-related signature was also associated with high sensitivity to chemotherapy and targeted agents. The correlation results between the CRG-score and drug sensitivity suggested that NB patients with high CRGscore may benefit from chemotherapy with Gemcitabine, Etoposide, and Doxorubicin, while low CRG-score patients may benefit from Tipifarnib, Sorafenib, and Gefitinib. Taken together, the cuproptosis-related signature is expected to be used to predict response to immunotherapy and targeted therapy and also provide new ideas for the selection of reasonable chemotherapy and targeted agents.

There were some shortcomings in our study. First, the number of NB cases in the TARGET database was small. Second, the expression levels of all 9 CRGs in clinical samples and cell lines should be detected by qRT-PCR or Western blot. Finally, the exact mechanism of the 9 CRGs in NB needs to be further investigated in vivo and in vitro.

# **Conclusions**

The present study constructed a cuproptosis-related signature to predict the prognosis and immune characteristics of NB patients. It may serve as a novel biomarker for prognostic assessment and individualized treatment of NB patients.

# Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: The datasets used in this study are publicly available, which can be found in GEO (gene ID: GSE49710;<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) and TARGET databases ([https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/](https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/target/data-matrix) [target/data-matrix\)](https://ocg.cancer.gov/programs/target/data-matrix).

# Author contributions

HY and XW wrote the manuscript, assisted with data mining, and designed the research. RC performed data collection and analysis. HY, JY, and HQB were involved in data analyses and visualization. XW reviewed the original manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

<span id="page-10-0"></span>their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Spencer B, Patel A, Cilley R, Grant CN. Surgical management in pediatric neuroblastoma diagnosis and treatment: a 20-year, single-center experience. World J Pediatr. (2022) 18:120–5. [doi: 10.1007/s12519-021-00490-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12519-021-00490-5)

2. Richard H, Pokhrel A, Chava S, Pathania A, Katta SS, Challagundla KB. Exosomes: novel players of therapy resistance in neuroblastoma. Adv Exp Med Biol. (2020) 1277:75–85. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-50224-9\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50224-9_5)

3. Zafar A, Wang W, Liu G, Wang X, Xian W, McKeon F, et al. Molecular targeting therapies for neuroblastoma: progress and challenges. Med Res Rev. (2021) 41:961–1021. [doi: 10.1002/med.21750](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21750)

4. Schubert NA, Schild L, van Oirschot S, Keller KM, Alles LK, Vernooij L, et al. Combined targeting of the p53 and pRb pathway in neuroblastoma does not lead to synergistic responses. Eur J Cancer. (2021) 142:1-9. [doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2020.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2020.10.009)

5. Whittle SB, Smith V, Doherty E, Zhao S, McCarty S, Zage PE. Overview and recent advances in the treatment of neuroblastoma. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. (2017) 17:369–86. [doi: 10.1080/14737140.2017.1285230](https://doi.org/10.1080/14737140.2017.1285230)

6. Tolbert VP, Matthay KK. Neuroblastoma: clinical and biological approach to risk stratification and treatment. Cell Tissue Res. (2018) 372:195–209. [doi: 10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-018-2821-2) [s00441-018-2821-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-018-2821-2)

7. Pinto NR, Applebaum MA, Volchenboum SL, Matthay KK, London WB, Ambros PF, et al. Advances in risk classification and treatment strategies for neuroblastoma. J Clin Oncol. (2015) 33:3008–17. [doi: 10.1200/jco.2014.59.4648](https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2014.59.4648)

8. Matthay KK, Reynolds CP, Seeger RC, Shimada H, Adkins ES, Haas-Kogan D, et al. Long-term results for children with high-risk neuroblastoma treated on a randomized trial of myeloablative therapy followed by 13-cis-retinoic acid: a children's Oncology group study. J Clin Oncol. (2009) 27:1007–13. [doi: 10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2007.13.8925) [jco.2007.13.8925](https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2007.13.8925)

9. Park JR, Kreissman SG, London WB, Naranjo A, Cohn SL, Hogarty MD, et al. Effect of tandem autologous stem cell transplant vs single transplant on event-free survival in patients with high-risk neuroblastoma: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. (2019) 322:746–55. [doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.11642](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.11642)

10. Chung C, Boterberg T, Lucas J, Panoff J, Valteau-Couanet D, Hero B, et al. Neuroblastoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer. (2021) 68(Suppl 2):e28473. [doi: 10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.28473) [pbc.28473](https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.28473)

11. Tsvetkov P, Coy S, Petrova B, Dreishpoon M, Verma A, Abdusamad M, et al. Copper induces cell death by targeting lipoylated TCA cycle proteins. Science. (2022) 375:1254–61. [doi: 10.1126/science.abf0529](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf0529)

12. Li Y. Copper homeostasis: emerging target for cancer treatment. IUBMB Life. (2020) 72:1900-8. [doi: 10.1002/iub.2341](https://doi.org/10.1002/iub.2341)

13. Oliveri V. Selective targeting of cancer cells by copper ionophores: an overview. Front Mol Biosci. (2022) 9:841814. [doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.841814](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2022.841814)

14. Shanbhag VC, Gudekar N, Jasmer K, Papageorgiou C, Singh K, Petris MJ. Copper metabolism as a unique vulnerability in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res. (2021) 1868:118893. [doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118893](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118893)

15. Ishida S, Andreux P, Poitry-Yamate C, Auwerx J, Hanahan D. Bioavailable copper modulates oxidative phosphorylation and growth of tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci. (2013) 110:19507–12. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.1318431110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1318431110)

16. Leek JT, Johnson WE, Parker HS, Jaffe AE, Storey JD. The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. Bioinformatics. (2012) 28:882–3. [doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts034](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts034)

17. Ge EJ, Bush AI, Casini A, Cobine PA, Cross JR, DeNicola GM, et al. Connecting copper and cancer: from transition metal signalling to metalloplasia. Nat Rev Cancer. (2022) 22:102–13. [doi: 10.1038/s41568-021-00417-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-021-00417-2)

18. Squitti R, Siotto M, Arciello M, Rossi L. Non-ceruloplasmin bound copper and ATP7B gene variants in Alzheimer's Disease. Metallomics. (2016) 8:863–73. [doi: 10.1039/c6mt00101g](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6mt00101g)

19. Pantoom S, Pomorski A, Huth K, Hund C, Petters J, Krężel A, et al. Direct interaction of ATP7B and LC3B proteins suggests a cooperative role of copper transportation and autophagy. Cells. (2021) 10:3118. [doi: 10.3390/cells10113118](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10113118)

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858/full#supplementary-material) [2022.1049858/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2022.1049858/full#supplementary-material).

20. Li YQ, Yin JY, Liu ZQ, Li XP. Copper efflux transporters ATP7A and ATP7B: novel biomarkers for platinum drug resistance and targets for therapy. IUBMB Life. (2018) 70:183–91. [doi: 10.1002/iub.1722](https://doi.org/10.1002/iub.1722)

21. Lukanović D, Herzog M, Kobal B, Černe K. The contribution of copper efflux transporters ATP7A and ATP7B to chemoresistance and personalized medicine in ovarian cancer. Biomed Pharmacother. (2020) 129:110401. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110401) [1016/j.biopha.2020.110401](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110401)

22. Cha JH, Chan LC, Li CW, Hsu JL, Hung MC. Mechanisms controlling PD-L1 expression in cancer. Mol Cell. (2019) 76:359–70. [doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.030) [030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.09.030)

23. Masugi Y, Nishihara R, Yang J, Mima K, da Silva A, Shi Y, et al. Tumour CD274 (PD-L1) expression and T cells in colorectal cancer. Gut. (2017) 66:1463–73. [doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-311421](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-311421)

24. Gou Q, Dong C, Xu H, Khan B, Jin J, Liu Q, et al. PD-L1 degradation pathway and immunotherapy for cancer. Cell Death Dis. (2020) 11:955. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-020-03140-2) [1038/s41419-020-03140-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-020-03140-2)

25. Du H, Hirabayashi K, Ahn S, Kren NP, Montgomery SA, Wang X, et al. Antitumor responses in the absence of toxicity in solid tumors by targeting B7- H3 via chimeric antigen receptor T cells. Cancer Cell. (2019) 35:221-237.e8. [doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2019.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.01.002)

26. Şener S, Poyraz A, Okur A, Pınarlı FG, Karadeniz C. Assessment of PD-L1 expression in patients with neuroblastoma and renal tumors. Turk J Pediatr. (2021) 63:758–66. [doi: 10.24953/turkjped.2021.05.004](https://doi.org/10.24953/turkjped.2021.05.004)

27. Zuo S, Sho M, Sawai T, Kanehiro H, Maeda K, Yoshida M, et al. Potential role of the PD-L1 expression and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes on neuroblastoma. Pediatr Surg Int. (2020) 36:137–43. [doi: 10.1007/s00383-019-04616-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00383-019-04616-9)

28. Sheftel AD, Stehling O, Pierik AJ, Elsässer HP, Mühlenhoff U, Webert H, et al. Humans possess two mitochondrial ferredoxins, Fdx1 and Fdx2, with distinct roles in steroidogenesis, heme, and Fe/S cluster biosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci. (2010) 107:11775–80. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004250107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004250107)

29. Mao X, Xu J, Wang W, Liang C, Hua J, Liu J, et al. Crosstalk between cancerassociated fibroblasts and immune cells in the tumor microenvironment: new<br>findings and future perspectives. Mol Cancer. (2021) 20:131. [doi: 10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01428-1) [s12943-021-01428-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01428-1)

30. Hinshaw DC, Shevde LA. The tumor microenvironment innately modulates cancer progression. Cancer Res. (2019) 79:4557–66. [doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.Can-18-3962)[18-3962](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.Can-18-3962)

31. Liao Y, Zhao J, Bulek K, Tang F, Chen X, Cai G, et al. Inflammation mobilizes copper metabolism to promote colon tumorigenesis via an IL-17- STEAP4-XIAP axis. Nat Commun. (2020) 11:900. [doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14698-y) [14698-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14698-y)

32. Voli F, Valli E, Lerra L, Kimpton K, Saletta F, Giorgi FM, et al. Intratumoral copper modulates PD-L1 expression and influences tumor immune evasion. Cancer Res. (2020) 80:4129–44. [doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.Can-20-0471](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.Can-20-0471)

33. Gardner A, de Mingo Pulido Á, Ruffell B. Dendritic cells and their role in immunotherapy. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:924. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.00924](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00924)

34. Wculek SK, Cueto FJ, Mujal AM, Melero I, Krummel MF, Sancho D. Dendritic cells in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol. (2020) 20:7–24. [doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0210-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-019-0210-z)

35. Fridman W H, Petitprez F, Meylan M, Chen T W, Sun C M, Roumenina L T, et al. B cells and cancer: to B or not to B? J Exp Med. (2021) 218:e20200851. [doi: 10.1084/jem.20200851](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20200851)

36. Reina-Campos M, Scharping NE, Goldrath AW. CD8(+) T cell metabolism in infection and cancer. Nat Rev Immunol. (2021) 21:718–38. [doi: 10.1038/s41577-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00537-8) [021-00537-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00537-8)

37. Cabrita R, Lauss M, Sanna A, Donia M, Skaarup Larsen M, Mitra S, et al. Tertiary lymphoid structures improve immunotherapy and survival in melanoma. Nature. (2020) 577:561–5. [doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1914-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1914-8)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>38. Riley RS, June CH, Langer R, Mitchell MJ. Delivery technologies for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov. (2019) 18:175–96. [doi: 10.1038/s41573-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-018-0006-z) [018-0006-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-018-0006-z)

39. Zhang Y, Zhang Z. The history and advances in cancer immunotherapy:<br>understanding the characteristics of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and their<br>therapeutic implications. *Cell Mol Immunol*. (2020) 17:807-21. doi: 10 [s41423-020-0488-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0488-6)

40. Bagchi S, Yuan R, Engleman EG. Immune checkpoint inhibitors for the treatment of cancer: clinical impact and mechanisms of response and resistance.<br>Annu Rev Pathol. (2021) 16:223–49. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-042020-

41. Casey DL, Cheung NV. Immunotherapy of pediatric solid tumors: treatments at a crossroads, with an emphasis on antibodies. Cancer Immunol Res. (2020) 8:161–6. [doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.Cir-19-0692](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.Cir-19-0692)

42. Wienke J, Dierselhuis MP, Tytgat GAM, Künkele A, Nierkens S, Molenaar<br>JJ. The immune landscape of neuroblastoma: challenges and opportunities for<br>novel therapeutic strategies in pediatric oncology. *Eur J Cancer*. (20

43. Anderson J, Majzner RG, Sondel PM. Immunotherapy of neuroblastoma: facts and hopes. Clin Cancer Res. (2022) 28:3196–206. [doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-1356) [Ccr-21-1356](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-1356)