Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jian-She Wang, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY Kevin E. Glinton, Baylor College of Medicine, United States Metin Cetiner, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany Paul Gissen, University College London, United Kingdom

*correspondence Ning Li 496137708@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Genetics of Common and Rare Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 16 August 2022 ACCEPTED 19 October 2022 PUBLISHED 15 November 2022

CITATION

Xu F, Peng Q, He X, Chen X, Jiang S, Lu X and Li N (2022) Alagille syndrome due to a *de novo NOTCH2* mutation presenting as prenatal oligohydramnios and congenital bilateral renal hypodysplasia: A case report. Front. Pediatr. 10:1020536. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.1020536

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Xu, Peng, He, Chen, Jiang, Lu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Alagille syndrome due to a *de novo NOTCH*2 mutation presenting as prenatal oligohydramnios and congenital bilateral renal hypodysplasia: A case report

Fengdan Xu^{1†}, Qi Peng^{2†}, Xiaoguang He¹, Xiaolan Chen¹, Shuanglan Jiang³, Xiaomei Lu² and Ning Li^{1*}

¹Department of Neonatology, Guangdong Medical University Affiliated Dongguan Children's Hospital, Dongguan, China, ²Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Dongguan Institute of Pediatrics, Dongguan, China, ³Department of Ultrasonography, Guangdong Medical University Affiliated Dongguan Children's Hospital, Dongguan, China

Introduction: Here, we report the case of an infant suffering from Alagille syndrome (ALGS), manifesting with the atypical clinical manifestations of prenatal oligohydramnios and renal lesions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of ALGS presenting as prenatal oligohydramnios and renal lesions caused by a *de novo* variant of the *NOTCH2* gene.

Case presentation: A 3-month-old male infant was hospitalized for severe malnutrition. He presented with prenatal oligohydramnios from 28^{+4} weeks of gestation. After birth, he failed to thrive and suffered from impaired motor development, thermoregulation disorders, congenital bilateral renal hypodysplasia, which initially manifested as stage 5 before improving to stage 3 chronic renal impairment, slightly elevated levels of transaminases, cholestasis, and dysmorphic facial features. We used a diagnostic screening panel of 4,047 pathogenic genes and whole exome sequencing (WES) to analyze the proband and his parents (who had normal kidneys). We found that the proband carried a *de novo* heterozygous splicing variant (c.5930-2A > G) in intron 33 of the *NOTCH2* gene. Transcriptome sequencing confirmed that the mutation of this gene site would affect the splicing of NOTCH2 mRNA and lead to exon 33 skipping.

Conclusions: Our case expands the spectrum of pathogenic variants of the *NOTCH2* gene that are known to be associated with ALGS and characterized by prenatal oligohydramnios and renal lesions. It also reminds us of the necessity to monitor the liver and kidney function of the infant if a mother has oligohydramnios during pregnancy and we recommend ALGS as an additional differential diagnosis in prenatal renal abnormalities.

KEYWORDS

Alagille syndrome, *NOTCH2* gene, prenatal oligohydramnios, congenitally bilateral renal hypodysplasia, case report

Introduction

Alagille syndrome (ALGS, MIM# 118450) is a complex autosomal dominant multisystem disorder that was first reported by Alagille in 1969; the first diagnostic criteria were reported in 1975. The incidence of ALGS is estimated to be 1:30,000 to 1:70,000 live births (1-3). The typical clinical manifestations of ALGS include liver histology with bile duct paucity; in addition, three of five major clinical manifestations are required for a firm diagnosis: cholestasis, characteristic facial features, ophthalmological abnormalities, skeletal abnormalities, and cardiac defects. In addition to these five manifestations, abnormalities of the kidneys and vasculature are also important features of ALGS (4, 5). ALGS is caused mainly by JAG1 gene mutation (98%) and NOTCH2 gene mutation (2%) (1). The clinical manifestations of JAG1-related ALGS are typical; however, the manifestations of ALGS caused by NOTCH2 gene mutation are not typical (6), thus making it easy to misdiagnose this condition. Our case was a male baby who was diagnosed with ALGS. He carried a pathological NOTCH2 gene mutation and presented with prenatal oligohydramnios and congenitally bilateral renal hypodysplasia, manifested as chronic kidney disease from initial stage 5, then improved to stage 3. We also present detailed clinical data and prenatal and postpartum ultrasound data. Our case provides a good reference for the future diagnosis of NOTCH2-related ALGS patients.

Case presentation

The proband's parents and elder brother are healthy, and his parents are not close relatives. His mother received antepartum examinations regularly during pregnancy; prenatal oligohydramnios was discovered at 28^{+4} weeks of gestational age. Three days before delivery, the amniotic fluid index and the maximum depth gradually reduced to 47 and 28 mm, respectively (**Figure 1**). The proband was a male infant born at 35^{+1} weeks of gestational age by Cesarean section delivery due to prenatal oligohydramnios. The Apgar score was 10 at 1, 5, and 10 min after birth and the birth weight was 2,000 g (between the 3rd and 10th percentiles), thus suggesting that the infant was small for gestational age.

Dysmorphic facial features were identified in the baby, including a characteristic triangular appearance, a broad forehead, a pointed chin, and a bulbous tip of the nose; as described in the previous literature (3). In addition, his hair and eyebrows were extremely sparse, which had not been reported in the previous literature.

Following delivery, the infant failed to thrive. He was breastfed but presented with poor sucking and weight gain. He was admitted to the local hospital at 1 month and was placed on an amino acid formula; however, this failed to have any effect on weight gain. Subsequently, he was admitted to our hospital at 3 months of age and placed on a high calorific formula; his weight gain improved rapidly to the normal range from 4 to 6 months of age. However, from around 7 months, the baby's appetite and weight gain gradually deteriorated again. His weight was 7.4 kg (8%, between the 3rd and 10th percentiles), his body length was 67.5 cm (8%, between the 3rd and 10th percentiles), and his head circumference was 43 cm (11%, 10th percentiles) at 9 months; his weight was 8.2 kg (less than the 3rd percentile) with a body length of 76 cm (less than the 3rd percentile) at 1.5 years.

The infant also presented with impaired motor development. The Bayley Scales of Infant Development II (BSID-II) Motor Scale and the Peabody Developmental Motor Scale II (PDMS-2) were administered to him at 3 months of age (corrected gestational age: 2 months), which showed a mildly low score with a Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ) of 87, a Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ) of 82, a Total Motor Quotient (TMQ) of 83, and a Psychomotor Development Index (PDI) of 84. Following physiotherapy, the situation improved. When he was 9 months old (corrected gestational age: 8 months), the infant could turn over confidently and sit steady but could not climb. He could only take a few steps on his own and pronounce the words "baba, mama" but could not say other words or sentences even when he was 1.5 years old.

Furthermore, the baby could not regulate his body temperature well and could not sweat before the age of 7 months. He presented with fever (a maximum body temperature exceeding 38°C) in the outdoor environment in summer, and his body temperature decreased to normal when he went in the shade. This phenomenon stopped after a sudden sweat one day at about 7 months, and he was able to regulate his body temperature normally thereafter.

The infant suffered from chronic renal function damage; this was first characterized by elevated serum levels of creatinine (Scr) since day 3 after birth, with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of 5.2 ml/min/1.73 m². Gradually, the serum levels of Scr and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) exceeded standard levels (7). According to the Guidelines for Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) in Children (8), he suffered from stage 5 CKD at birth, which then improved to stage 3 from 9 months (with eGFR 34.2 ml/min/ 1.73 m²) to 1.5 years (with eGFR 36.5 ml/min/1.73 m²). His liver function tests were abnormal, including increased total bilirubin (TBIL, 131.2 µmol/L; reference range: 5.4-22 µmol/L), direct bilirubin (DBIL, 22.2 µmol/L; reference range: 0.4-6.2 µmol/L), glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT, 598 U/L; reference range: 9-150 U/L), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP, 324 U/L; reference range: 20-281 U/L) on day 3 after birth. Elevated levels of alanine transaminase (ALT, 61 U/L; reference range: 0-41 U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST, 86 U/L; reference range: 0-38 U/L), total bile acid (TBA, 109 µmol/L; reference



depth: >80 mm and >30 mm), respectively.

range: $0-12 \mu \text{mol/L}$), and phosphorus (P, 3.22 mmol/L; reference range: 1.6-3.1 mmol/L) were evident when he was 1 month old. Elevated levels of triglycerides (TG, 2.21 mmol/L; reference range: 0.7-1.7 mmol/L) were evident when he was 3 months old. Elevated levels of cholesterol (CHoL, 8.88 mmol/L; reference range: $\leq 5.17 \text{ mmol/L}$) were also evident at 6 months of age. The levels of TBIL and DBIL returned to normal 2 months after birth; however, other indicators continued to be abnormal. There was no metabolic acidosis. Additional testing included blood routine tests, TORCH, viral hepatitis tests, autoantibody tests, and plasma amino acids, and the urine organic acid profile was normal (**Table 1**).

Abdominal ultrasound revealed bilateral hypodysplastic kidneys (**Figure 2**). The liver and biliary systems appeared normal. Cardiac ultrasound, cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), spinal MRI, and eye examination (including fundus and slit lamp examination) revealed no obvious abnormalities.

Target genes were captured with the Clinical 4000 Pathogenic Gene Package (Guangzhou Jia-Jian Medical Testing, Guangzhou, China), which includes 4,047 genes associated with known Mendelian genetic diseases and genes for typical renal abnormalities like HNF1B and other renal ciliopathies and is based on the OMIM database (https:// omim.org/). We identified a heterozygous variation in intron 33 of the NOTCH2 gene (c.5930-2A > G) but no variants of the JAG1 gene or any other variants of interest. The family members of the patient were also tested for the target variation by Sanger sequencing. His parents did not carry this mutation, suggesting that this was a de novo mutation. His parents had none of the clinical abnormalities seen in the baby. Transcriptomic sequencing was used to verify the splice site change at the RNA level caused by the variant, thus confirming that the c.5930-2A > G variant could lead to the skipping of exon 33, thereby leading to alterations in NOTCH2 mRNA splicing (Figure 3).

Next, we performed whole exome sequencing (WES) to analyze the coding exons and the exon-intron boundaries of protein-coding genes (Cipher Gene, Beijing, China), which included a special focus on genes affecting renal abnormalities. However, in addition to the c.5930-2A > G mutation in NOTCH2, no other genetic mutations were detected that matched the patient's phenotype.

In conclusion, ALGS was diagnosed by considering the results of molecular diagnosis, clinical features, ultrasound, and other auxiliary examinations.

Discussion and conclusions

ALGS is a hereditary multiple-organ disease that is associated with significant variability in severity. Patients may show different patterns and degrees of organ involvement (1). Mild cases only show some subclinical manifestations, while severe cases show serious liver or heart involvement that may even be lifethreatening. In the case reported herein, the patient showed various features, including prenatal oligohydramnios, failure to thrive, motor developmental impairment, and dysfunctional thermoregulation; this condition has not been reported in the previous literature. The patient also suffered from a sweating disorder for a certain period, congenital bilateral renal hypodysplastic, which manifested initially as stage 5 and then improved to stage 3 CKD, slightly elevated transaminases, cholestasis, and dysmorphic facial features. To further determine the pathogenic factors involved, genetic testing was carried out to accurately diagnose the disease. Finally, the patient was diagnosed with Alagille syndrome considering both his phenotype and genotype.

3D	1M10D	1M17D	1 M19D	Items/age	3M6D	5M2D	6M11D	6M22D	7M29D	9M2D	12M	16M
4	61	70.1	77.1	ALT (U/L,8-71)	52	100	102	142	126	127	51	102
17	86	78.5	58.8	AST (U/L,21–80)	80	68	70	88	98	132	64	86
598	392	798.1	1850	GGT (U/L,6-31)	312	663	478	629	558	425	331	514
324	525	695.7	249.4	ALP (U/L,106–420)	496	759	806	652	915	892	615	634
131.2	44.6	21.97	9.95	TBIL (µmol/L,<23)	5.6	2.7	2.8	6.3	5.6	6.6	7.7	5.1
22.2	41.9	18.61	7.54	DBIL (µmol/L,<4)	4.1	1.1	1.5	3.1	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.0
7	109	80.7		TBA (µmol/L,0–15)	13.4	25.6	48.9	27.3	31.5	37.7	8.69	30.4
34.8	44.1	46.8	38.9	ALB (g/L,39–54)	35.9	43.1	40.4	41.5	44.9	43.9	43.4	47.9
6.53	34.35	30.47	19.34	BUN (mmol/L,1.1–5.9)	14.52	19.58	13.26	12.48	14.44	14.98	15.65	22.41
297.4	153	169.1	121.1	Scr (µmol/L,13–33)	92	87	104	97	76	72	16	76
42	51			Height (cm)	56	62				67.5		76
5.2	12.2			eGFR (ml/[min.1.73 m ²)]	22.2	26.0				34.2		36.5
4.9	5.05	4.26	4.24	K (mmol/L,4.2–5.9)	4.1		5.2	4.7		4.6	4.4	
137	130	131.9	134.4	Na (mmol/L,134–143)	138		137	133		134	137	
2.24	2.47	2.57	2.59	Ca (mmol/L,2.1–2.8)	2.45		2.42	2.44		2.51	2.41	
0.96	1.57	1.6	1.44	Mg (mmol/L,0.75–1.02)	1.24			1.52		1.4	1.12	
1.99	3.22	3.14	2.64	P (mmol/L,1.48–2.2)	2.81			2.81		3.26	2.32	
				HCO ₃ (mmol/L,22-29)		24.5	15.3	10.3	25.9	18.8	23.5	21.7
				Lac (mmol/L,0.5–2.2)						3.02		
				CHoL (mmol/L,≤5.17)	4.77		8.88	6.67		7.11		8.0
				TG (mmol/L,0.7–1.7)	2.21		9.61	3.93		6.77		2.37
				LDL-C (mmol/L,2.07–3.1)	1.84		2.83	4.04		2.31		2.90
in bold.		-										
	4 4 17 17 598 598 324 131.2 22.2 24.8 6.53 34.8 6.53 297.4 42 4.9 137 297.4 42 7 297.4 90.96 1.99 1.99 61 in boldd. 1.09	3D IM10D 4 61 17 86 598 392 598 392 324 525 131.2 44.6 7 109 34.8 44.1 6.53 34.35 34.8 44.1 6.53 34.35 131.2 109 34.8 153 42 51 52 12.2 42 5.05 137 130 2.24 2.47 0.96 1.57 1.99 3.22 1.99 3.22 .0160 3.22	3D IM10D IM17D 4 61 70.1 17 86 78.5 598 392 798.1 324 525 695.7 324 525 695.7 324 525 695.7 324 525 695.7 324 525 695.7 324.8 44.1 46.8 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.53 34.35 30.47 6.5 5.1 153 137 130 131.9 137 130 131.9 2.24 2.47 2.57 0.96 1.57 1.6 1.99 3.22 3.14 1.99 3.22 3.14	3D IM10D IM17D IM19D 4 61 70.1 77.1 17 86 78.5 58.8 598 392 798.1 1850 324 525 695.7 249.4 131.2 44.6 21.97 9.95 324 525 695.7 249.4 131.2 44.6 21.97 9.95 34.8 44.1 46.8 38.9 5.2 41.9 18.61 7.54 7 109 80.7 7.94 34.35 30.47 19.34 6.53 34.35 30.47 19.34 297.4 153 169.1 121.1 42 51 153 19.34 5.2 130 131.9 134.4 137 130 131.9 134.4 2.24 2.34.5 2.59 0.96 0.96 1.57 2.59 0.96 137.9	3D IM10D IM17D IM19D Items/age 4 61 70.1 77.1 ALT (U/L ₃ -71) 17 86 78.5 58.8 AST (U/L ₃ -71) 598 392 798.1 1850 GGT (U/L ₃ -71) 517 86 78.5 58.8 AST (U/L ₁ 0-71) 598 392 798.1 1850 GGT (U/L ₄ 0-31) 518 532 6957 249.4 ALP (U/L ₁ 06-420) 131.2 44.6 21.97 9.95 TBL (µm0/L ₄ 02) 32.2 6957 249.4 ALP (U/L ₁ 06-420) ALP (U/L ₁ 07, 23) 21.3 44.1 46.8 38.9 ALB (µm0/L ₄ 02, 23) 34.8 44.1 46.8 38.9 ALB (µm0/L ₁ 0, 23) 34.8 44.1 46.8 38.9 ALB (µm0/L ₁ 0, 23) 42 153 193.4 BUN (µm0/L ₁ 1, 3-33) 42 153 169.1 121.1 Scr (µm0/L ₁ 1, 3-33) 42 153 169.1	JD IM10D IM17D IM19D IM19D IM19D SM6D SM6D	JD IMI0D IMI7D IMI9D Imision Imision SM6D SM6D SM2D 4 61 70.1 77.1 ALT (U/L_6-71) 52 100 68 598 392 78.5 58.8 AST (U/L_6-31) 312 663 759 312 44.6 71.9 1880 667 (U/L_6-31) 312 663 759 312 44.6 21.97 9.95 TBL (µm0/L_6-3) 312 663 27 313.1 44.6 21.97 9.95 TBL (µm0/L_6-3) 312 663 27 324 53 34.35 30.47 1934 807 13.4 25.6 25.6 34.8 44.1 46.8 38.9 ALB (µm0/L_1-59) 14.22 19.58 43.1 55.3 34.35 30.47 19.34 BUN (µm0/L_1-59) 14.22 25.66 25.66 65.3 34.35 16.91 121.1 Scr (µm0/L_1-59) 14.22 19.58	JD IM10D IM17D IM19D Items/age 3M6D 5M2D 6M11D 4 61 701 771 ALT (UL ₃ -71) 52 100 102 1 86 783 588 AST (UL ₃ -71) 52 100 102 1 86 783 588 AST (UL ₃ -71) 52 100 102 3 3 53 5 58 AST (UL ₄ -31) 312 663 478 3 3 5 5 5 13 11 11 1312 446 2197 995 114 113 113 113 1312 441 2197 995 114 114 111 115 222 411 468 807 1211 56 27 28 3435 3441 158 11211 56 423 124 523 413 <td>JD IM10D IM17D IM19D Items/age 3M6D SM2D GM11D GM11D GM2D 1 6 70.1 77.1 ALT (UL, s-71) 52 100 102 142 1 86 78.5 588 ST (UL, 21-80) 80 68 79 86 392 798.1 1850 GT (UL, 5-10) 312 663 478 663 313.2 646 759 886 716 111 114 15 31 313.1 446 2197 995 TBL (und/L, -31) 56 27 23 53 31 313.2 441 468 754 DBL (und/L, -159) 145 15 31 314 1131 BRU (und/L, -159) 145 25 28 31 348 441 56 32 32 31 31 31 349 114 114 114 14 11 11</td> <td>JI IMID IMID IMID IMID IMID MMID M</td> <td>Mitol Mitol <th< td=""><td>11 IMIOD IMITO MMID MID MID MID MI</td></th<></td>	JD IM10D IM17D IM19D Items/age 3M6D SM2D GM11D GM11D GM2D 1 6 70.1 77.1 ALT (UL, s-71) 52 100 102 142 1 86 78.5 588 ST (UL, 21-80) 80 68 79 86 392 798.1 1850 GT (UL, 5-10) 312 663 478 663 313.2 646 759 886 716 111 114 15 31 313.1 446 2197 995 TBL (und/L, -31) 56 27 23 53 31 313.2 441 468 754 DBL (und/L, -159) 145 15 31 314 1131 BRU (und/L, -159) 145 25 28 31 348 441 56 32 32 31 31 31 349 114 114 114 14 11 11	JI IMID IMID IMID IMID IMID MMID M	Mitol Mitol <th< td=""><td>11 IMIOD IMITO MMID MID MID MID MI</td></th<>	11 IMIOD IMITO MMID MID MID MID MI

TABLE 1 Biochemical test results for the patient.

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, glutamyl transpeptidase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; TBA, total bile acid; ALB, albumin; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; Scr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; K, potassium; Na, sodium; Ca, calcium; Mg, magnesium; P, phosphorus; HCO3; bicarbonate; Lac, lactic acid; CHoL, cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein. Classification of the stages of chronic kidney disease (CKD) (8): Stage 1: GFR \ge 90 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 2: GFR: 60-89 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 3: GFR: 30-59 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 4: GFR: 15-29 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 5: GFR: 30-59 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 4: GFR: 15-29 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 5: GFR: 30-59 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 5: GFR: 30-59 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 5: GFR: 30-59 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 4: GFR: 15-29 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 5: GFR: 30-59 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage 5: GFR: 30-50 m/min/1.73 m²; Stage

<15 ml/min/1.73 m² or dialysis.
^aeGFR = 0.413 × [height(cm)/Scr(mg/dl)] (7).



Renal ultrasound findings of the patient. Ultrasound showed bilateral hypodysplastic kidneys with enhanced echogenicity and obtained corticomedullary differentiation. The size and thickness of left and right kidneys of the patient are as follows: (A) 3 months: 38 mm × 19 mm, 6.2 mm and 34 mm × 17 mm, 4.8 mm (reference range: 55.7–64.1 mm × 32.2–36.2 mm, 23.1–28.1 mm and 55.4–63.8 mm × 29.4–33.4 mm, 22.3–26.9 mm); (B) 5 months: 38 mm × 22 mm, 8.1 mm and 36 mm × 17 mm, 6.4 mm (reference range: 55.7–64.1 mm × 32.2–36.2 mm, 23.1– 28.1 mm and 55.4–63.8 mm x 29.4–33.4 mm, 22.3–26.9 mm); (C) 6 months: 43 mm x 24 mm, 7.4 mm and 41 mm x 21 mm, 8.0 mm (reference range: 55.7-64.1 mm x 32.2-36.2 mm, 23.1-28.1 mm and 55.4-63.8 mm x 29.4-33.4 mm, 22.3-26.9 mm); (D) 9 months: 45 mm x 25 mm, 7.4 mm and 43 mm x 21 mm, 7.6 mm (reference range: 62.3–67.3 mm x 34.2–37.2 mm, 24.9–29.7 mm and 61.6–67.4 mm x 33.7–37.3 mm, 23.7– 30.1 mm); (E) 1 year: 41 mm × 19 mm, 8.1 mm and 42 mm × 18 mm, 6.8 mm (reference range: 62.3–67.3 mm × 34.2–37.2 mm, 24.9–29.7 mm and 61.6-67.4 mm x 33.7-37.3 mm, 23.7-30.1 mm); (F) 1 year and 4 months: 40 mm x 19 mm, 6.1 mm and 41 mm x 19 mm, 6.5 mm (reference range: 62.3-67.3 mm x 34.2-37.2 mm, 24.9-29.7 mm and 61.6-67.4 mm x 33.7-37.3 mm, 23.7-30.1 mm).

Because the clinical features of this disease are diverse and the disease lacks genotype-phenotype relationships, this creates a significant challenge for accurate diagnosis (7). At present, the diagnosis of ALGS mainly includes liver histology showing bile duct deficiency and three out of five main clinical manifestations, including cholestasis, skeletal abnormalities, congenital cardiac defects, ophthalmological abnormalities, and characteristic facial features. If the firstdegree relatives of the patient had been diagnosed with ALGS, then this is considered sufficient for the patient to be diagnosed with ALGS as long as the patient met the two classic criteria (8, 9). NOTCH2 gene mutation was identified as a pathogenic gene in the JAG1/NOTCH2 double heterozygous mouse model in 2002 (10). In 2006, McDaniell et al. found that 5 out of 11 ALGS probands who were JAG1 mutation-negative possessed a NOTCH2 mutation and showed renal involvement, including small kidneys with cysts bilaterally and dysplastic kidneys (11). In another study, Gilbert et al. showed that liver involvement was common in NOTCH2 probands and that the prevalence of ophthalmological and renal abnormalities (manifest as renal dysplasia) was similar to that of JAG1 patients (6). However, compared with the JAG1 (+) cohort, NOTCH2 (+) probands possessed a significantly lower frequency of vertebral abnormalities and facial features and less cardiac involvement. Recently, several larger studies have also shown that ALGS patients are prone to renal and vascular abnormalities (6, 12, 13). Based on these findings, the current diagnostic criteria for ALGS were adjusted as follows: if three of the seven characteristic clinical criteria are met, then there is a sufficient case for clinical diagnosis. In the absence of a molecular diagnosis or family history, a diagnosis can be made only if at least three organs are involved (7). Liver biopsy is no longer considered a mandatory means of diagnosing ALGS as the presence of cholestasis can already meet this diagnostic criterion (12). Hence, considering the young age of our patient, we did not perform a liver biopsy. Our patient had cholestasis (increased serum bile acids, high GGT, elevated cholesterol and triglycerides, and failure to thrive) along with renal abnormalities. He also had dysmorphic facial features;



however, in previous reports, this rarely occurs in individuals with NOTCH2 mutation. In addition to the above clinical case showed manifestations, our also prenatal oligohydramnios from 28⁺⁴ weeks of gestational age. This condition has not been described previously in the literature. We considered that this condition was related to renal hypodysplasia, reminding us of the necessity to monitor the liver and kidney function of the infant if their mother has oligohydramnios during pregnancy. It is interesting to note that this patient had transient abnormal thermoregulation; this has not been reported previously. First, we considered the possibility that he might suffer from ectodermal dysplasia and suggested performing a skin biopsy to examine the infant's hair follicles and sweat glands, but the parents refused this examination. We did not find any indication in the literature that ALGS may be associated with ectodermal dysplasia, and neither a screening panel of 4,000 pathogenic genes or a WES test identified any gene mutations related to ectodermal dysplasia or any other abnormal thermoregulation. Further research is required to confirm whether mutation of the NOTCH2 gene is involved. Close follow-up showed that the child had no other abnormal clinical manifestations except that his temperature changed with the environment; the child's thermoregulation ability returned to normal after the age of 7 months. Of course, we cannot exclude the fact that this condition was caused by the poor nutritional status and the insufficient regulatory capacity of the body of the child at that time; however, this needs to be confirmed by further research.

Although we have a deeper understanding of the clinical characteristics of ALGS, there is no effective method to treat this condition. Current therapeutic strategies for ALGS are mainly symptomatic support treatments that focus on solving the symptoms of each patient (14-17). The prognosis and risk of death depend on the severity of the liver, kidney, heart, and the other organs involved. Previous reports show that the main causes of death include severe liver diseases, such as necessitating liver transplantation (25%), intracranial hemorrhage (25%), and complex congenital heart disease (15%) (18). The 20-year life expectancy is 75% of all these patients (18). Our case did not receive any special treatment; our approach was mainly focused on guiding rational feeding, regular follow-up, monitoring growth and development, and monitoring changes in liver and kidney functions. This child easily gets sick and had been treated in the outpatient and emergency department due to upper respiratory tract infection, diarrhea, and other diseases five times in the past year. We advised the patient's family to avoid using drugs that might damage the patient's liver and kidney function. We have continued to follow up on the case to this day.

Conclusion

Our case expands the spectrum of pathogenic variants of the *NOTCH2* gene that are known to be associated with ALGS and characterized by prenatal oligohydramnios and renal lesions. It reminds us of the necessity to monitor the liver and kidney function of the infant if their mother has oligohydramnios during pregnancy, and we recommend ALGS as an additional differential diagnosis in prenatal renal abnormalities.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The link is: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/1703257, the accession number is: SCV002568359.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

References

1. Kohut TJ, Gilbert MA, Loomes KM. Alagille syndrome: a focused review on clinical features, genetics, and treatment. *Semin Liver Dis.* (2021) 41(4):525–37. doi: 10.1055/s-0041-1730951

2. Alagille D, Borde J, Habib EC, Thomassin N. Surgical attempts in atresia of the intrahepatic bile ducts with permeable extrahepatic bile duct. Study of 14 cases in children. *Arch Fr Pediatr.* (1969) 26(1):51–71.

3. Alagille D, Odièvre M, Gautier M, Dommergues JP. Hepatic ductular hypoplasia associated with characteristic facies, vertebral malformations, retarded physical, mental, and sexual development, and cardiac murmur. *J Pediatr.* (1975) 86(1):63–71. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(75)80706-2

4. Mitchell E, Gilbert M, Loomes KM. Alagille syndrome. *Clin Liver Dis.* (2018) 22(4):625-41. doi: 10.1016/j.cld.2018.06.001

5. Ayoub MD, Kamath BM. Alagille syndrome: Current understanding of pathogenesis, and challenges in diagnosis and management. *Clin Liver Dis.* (2022) 26(3):355–70. doi: 10.1016/j.cld.2022.03.002

6. Gilbert MA, Bauer RC, Rajagopalan R, Grochowski CM, Chao G, McEldrew D, et al. Alagille syndrome mutation update: comprehensive overview of JAG1 and NOTCH2 mutation frequencies and insight into missense variant classification. *Hum Mut.* (2019) 40(12): 2197–220. doi: 10.1002/humu.23879

7. Singh SP, Pati KG. Alagille syndrome and the liver: current insights. *Euroasian J Hepatogastroenterol.* (2018) 8(2):140–7. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10018-1280

8. Jesina D. Alagille syndrome: an overview. Neonatal Netw. (2017) 36(6):343-7. doi: 10.1891/0730-0832.36.6.343

9. da Palma MM, Igelman AD, Ku C, Burr A, You JY, Place EM, et al. Characterization of the spectrum of ophthalmic changes in patients with Alagille syndrome. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2021) 62(7):27. doi: 10.1167/iovs. 62.7.27

Author contributions

XF, PQ, and LN conceptualized the study, collected and analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. HX and CX assisted with data collection and analysis and reviewed drafts of the manuscript. JS assisted with data collection. LX assisted with the study design and revised drafts of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. McCright B, Lozier J, Gridley T. A mouse model of Alagille syndrome: NOTCH2 as a genetic modifier of Jag1 haploinsufficiency. *Development*. (2002) 129(4):1075-82. doi: 10.1242/dev.129.4.1075

11. McDaniell R, Warthen DM, Sanchez-Lara PA, Pai A, Krantz ID, Piccoli DA, et al. NOTCH2 Mutations cause Alagille syndrome, a heterogeneous disorder of the notch signaling pathway. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2006) 79(1):169–73. doi: 10.1086/505332

12. ShenTu Y, Mi X, Tang D, Jiang Y, Gao L, Ma X, et al. Alagille syndrome caused by NOTCH2 mutation presented atypical pathological changes. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2021) 521:258–63. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2021.07.026

13. Di Pinto D, Adragna M. Renal manifestations in children with Alagille syndrome. *Arch Argent Pediatr.* (2018) 116(2):149–53. doi: 10.5546/aap.2018. eng.149

14. Gunadi, Kaneshiro M, Okamoto T, Sonoda M, Ogawa E, Okajima H, et al. Outcomes of liver transplantation for Alagille syndrome after Kasai portoenterostomy: Alagille syndrome with agenesis of extrahepatic bile ducts at porta hepatis. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2019) 54(11):2387–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.04.022

 Sanchez P, Farkhondeh A, Pavlinov I, Baumgaertel K, Rodems S, Zheng W. Therapeutics development for Alagille syndrome. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:704586. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.704586

16. Gonzales E, Hardikar W, Stormon M, Baker A, Hierro L, Gliwicz D, et al. Efficacy and safety of maralixibat treatment in patients with Alagille syndrome and cholestatic pruritus (ICONIC): a randomised phase 2 study. *Lancet.* (2021) 398(10311):1581–92. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01256-3

17. Menon J, Shanmugam N, Vij M, Rammohan A, Rela M. Multidisciplinary management of Alagille syndrome. J Multidiscip Healthcare. (2022) 15:353–64. doi: 10.2147/JMDH.S295441

18. Emerick KM, Rand EB, Goldmuntz E, Krantz ID, Spinner NB, Piccoli DA. Features of Alagille syndrome in 92 patients: frequency and relation to prognosis. *Hepatol.* (1999) 29(3):822–9. doi: 10.1002/hep.510290331