Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Ke Ren, University of Maryland, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Ashim Gupta 🛙 ashim6786@gmail.com

RECEIVED 13 March 2024 ACCEPTED 19 March 2024 PUBLISHED 26 March 2024

CITATION

Gupta A, Potty AG and Maffulli N (2024) Editorial: Regenerative biologics for musculoskeletal injuries. Front. Pain Res. 5:1400548. doi: 10.3389/fpain.2024.1400548

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Gupta, Potty and Maffulli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Regenerative biologics for musculoskeletal injuries

Ashim Gupta^{1,2,3*}, Anish G. Potty³ and Nicola Maffulli^{4,5,6}

¹Future Biologics, Lawrenceville, GA, United States, ²Regenerative Orthopaedics, Noida, India, ³South Texas Orthopaedic Research Institute (STORI Inc.), Laredo, TX, United States, ⁴Department of Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, University La Sapienza, Rome, Italy, ⁵Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Mile End Hospital, Queen Mary University of London, London, England, ⁶School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University School of Medicine, Stoke on Trent, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

allogenic platelet-rich plasma, umbilical cord, Wharton's jelly, amniotic membrane, knee osteoarthritis, hip osteoarthritis, temporomandibular joint, lumbar radiculopathy

Editorial on the Research Topic Regenerative biologics for musculoskeletal injuries

Musculoskeletal (MSK) injuries affect bones, tendons, ligaments, muscles and nerves, and, in the long-term, result in pain, diminished function, instability and osteoarthritis (OA) (1, 2). MSK injuries are conventionally managed using non-pharmacological modalities such as immobilization, physiotherapy and activity modification; pharmacological agents such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, narcotics, corticosteroids and viscosupplementation; minimally invasive procedures such as radiofrequency ablation; and surgery in advanced stages or when conservative therapies have been unsuccessful (1–3). However, these treatment options have shortcomings and potential side-effects (1–3).

The last decade has seen a significant increase in the use of biologics for MSK regenerative medicine applications. Biologics can be derived from autologous sources, such as platelet-rich plasma (PRP), or allogenic sources, such as perinatal tissue derived formulations (1–3). In our research topic, "*Regenerative Biologics for Musculoskeletal Injuries*", three articles highlighted the potential of these biologics for different MSK conditions, and one emphasized the importance of ultrasound guidance to improve injection accuracy.

Gupta et al., in a mini review, summarized the outcomes of preclinical and clinical studies using allogenic PRP for the management of knee and hip OA. Three preclinical and one clinical study, and one clinical using allogenic PRP for the treatment of knee and hip OA, respectively, were identified. Administration of allogenic PRP was safe and potentially effective in knee or hip OA patients. However, more studies are warranted to establish the safety and efficacy of allogenic PRP in knee or hip OA patients.

Aratikatla et al., in a mini review, summarized the outcomes of *in vitro*, preclinical and clinical studies using umbilical cord (UC)-derived tissue and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for the management of temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorders. Two *in vitro*, three preclinical and one clinical study using UC tissue and MSCs were identified. The results showed potential safety and efficacy of UC tissue and MSCs for the management of TMJ ailments. However, more studies are required to support its use in patients suffering with TMJ disorders.

Miedema and Anderson, in a case series analysis of real-world clinical practice, assessed the safety and efficacy of epidural injection of amniotic membrane

(AM)/umbilical cord (UC) particulate in 12 lumbar radiculopathy patients, none of whom suffered any adverse effects. Administration of AM/UC particulate resulted in significant reduction in the average pain score at 21.3 ± 11.1 months follow-up compared to the baseline. The results showed preliminary safety and potential applicability of AM/UC particulate epidural injection in patients with lumbar radiculopathy. More studies are needed to further establish the safety and efficacy of AM/UC particulate in patients with lumbar radiculopathy to justify its routine clinical usage.

Ghandour et al., in a retrospective case series, evaluated the effectiveness of office-based portable ultrasound guided intra-articular injections in patients with different pathologies of the fore- and mid-foot. 16 patients were enrolled and were administered 2 ml of 1% lidocaine and 12 ml of 40 mg/ml Kenalog. No adverse events were reported. Significant reduction in the pain scores at 3 months follow-up compared to the baseline were observed. This can be attributed to better injection accuracy achieved by the ultrasound guidance. More studies are required to determine the effectiveness of portable ultrasound compared to the conventional ultrasound guided and non-guided injections to assess its true potential and justify routine clinical use for treating foot pathologies.

In addition to the need for more studies, including nonrandomized and randomized controlled trials (RCTs), to further establish the safety and efficacy of aforementioned biologics, future studies assessing the effectiveness of one biologic compared to the another are warranted to aid clinicians in determining the optimal biologic for MSK regenerative medicine applications. Moreover, even though RCTs are considered most trustworthy and the majority of the clinical recommendations are given based on the results obtained from them, the conditions in a RCT are controlled (i.e., study participants are selected with the goal of reducing comorbidities and the study protocol is designed to guarantee highest participant(s) and investigator(s) compliance) and they do not incorporate the divergent characteristics of real-world populations (4, 5). Therefore, it is vital to incorporate real-world evidence with RCTs to complement it and to improve the wholeness of evidence-based medicine evaluations.

In conclusion, biologics and their administration routes are hot topics in musculoskeletal medicine. The topic is popular, but well validated scientific data still need to be produced.

Author contributions

AG: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft. AP: Writing – review & editing. NM: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

AG is the Founder and President of Future Biologics and Regenerative Orthopaedics. AG is the Chief Science Officer and AP is the Founder and President for South Texas Orthopaedic Research Institute (STORI Inc.), which is a non-profit organization.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Gupta A, Migliorini F, Maffulli N. Management of rotator cuff injuries using allogenic platelet-rich plasma. J Orthop Surg Res. (2024) 19(1):165. doi: 10.1186/ s13018-024-04657-4

2. Gupta A, Maffulli N. Amniotic membrane and/or umbilical cord tissue for treatment of facet joint syndrome: a narrative review. J Orthop Surg Res. (2023) 18 (1):744. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-04241-2

3. Aratikatla A, Maffulli N, Rodriguez HC, Gupta M, Potty AG, El-Amin SF III, et al. Allogenic perinatal tissue for musculoskeletal regenerative medicine applications: a systematic review protocol. J Orthop Surg Res. (2022) 17(1):307. doi: 10.1186/s13018-022-03197-z

4. Gupta A, Jain V. Autologous conditioned plasma (ACP) and osteoarthritis of the knee: a review of current clinical evidence. *Cureus*. (2024) 16(1):e52693.doi: 10.7759/ cureus.52693

5. Gupta A. Autologous protein solution (APS) and osteoarthritis of the knee: a scoping review of current clinical evidence. *Cureus.* (2024) 16(2):e53579. doi: 10. 7759/cureus.53579