Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Michele Tepedino, University of L'Aquila, Italy

REVIEWED BY Mauro Lorusso, University of Foggia, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Daniel J. Rinchuse ⊠ drinchuse@aol.com Andrea Boggio

⊠ andreaboggio90@gmail.com

RECEIVED 26 August 2024 ACCEPTED 21 October 2024 PUBLISHED 06 November 2024

CITATION

Rinchuse DJ, Boggio A, Manni A and Cozzani M (2024) Avoid overstepping the bounds of evidence: the role of the orthodontist in managing pediatric Obstructive Sleep Apnea. Front. Oral. Health 5:1486573. doi: 10.3389/froh.2024.1486573

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Rinchuse, Boggio, Manni and Cozzani. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Avoid overstepping the bounds of evidence: the role of the orthodontist in managing pediatric Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Daniel J. Rinchuse^{1*}, Andrea Boggio^{2,3*}, Antonio Manni^{2,3} and Mauro Cozzani^{2,3}

¹Advanced Education Program in Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Seton Hill University, Greensburg, PA, United States, ²Department of Dentistry, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy, ³Istituto Giuseppe Cozzani, La Spezia, Italy

Introduction: Pediatric Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) is a common sleeprelated breathing disorder often linked to distinct craniofacial features and malocclusions. While orthodontic treatments, particularly maxillary expansion and mandibular advancement, have been suggested for managing this condition, the results remain controversial and are based on low-quality evidence. This paper aims to summarize the ongoing debates on this topic by reviewing relevant literature and highlighting the role of the orthodontist in diagnosing and managing OSA in daily clinical practice.

Discussion and conclusions: According to the present review, there is insufficient evidence to either confirm or deny the effectiveness of oral appliances for treating pediatric OSA due to significant methodological limitations, such as small sample sizes, inadequate control groups, short study durations, and a lack of long-term follow-up. Additionally, this condition cannot be diagnosed solely based on craniofacial morphology, but an interdisciplinary evaluation is strictly required. In addition, orthopedic treatment may be considered only as an adjunct therapy for children with craniofacial anomalies increasing the risk for OSA, and the combination of multiple therapeutic approaches may be necessary to achieve effective treatment outcomes.

KEYWORDS

airway, OSA, orthodontics, evidence, breathing disorder

1 Introduction

Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) is a multifactorial breathing sleep disorder, consisting in partial or intermittent complete blockage of the upper airways during sleep, which affects about 1%–4% of children, with a slightly higher prevalence in males than in females (1). This causes a reduction or absence of airflow despite continuous respiratory effort and is usually associated with reduced peripheral oxygen saturation and hypercapnia (2). To date, several risk factors, such as adenotonsillar hypertrophy, obesity, neuromuscular tone, rostral fluid shifts, genetic diseases like Down syndrome, asthma and allergies have been identified. Moreover, since this condition has been associated with some craniofacial features (3–5), such as maxillary transverse deficiency, mandibular hypoplasia or retrusion, hyperdivergent skeletal pattern (6), some authors have promoted orthodontic treatment for the management of child breathing problems (7–9), however such results are quite controversial, and most studies are based on low quality of evidence. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to summarize the current debates on this topic by reviewing relevant literature and highlighting the role of the orthodontist in diagnosis and management of OSA in daily clinical practice.

2 OSA and orthodontics

In 2019 because of the controversial role that dentistry and particularly orthodontics played in the diagnosis, management, and treatment of OSA and airway problems, the American Association of Orthodontists taskforce published a white paper on OSA and orthodontics (10). The main point from this paper was, "Orthodontists should not assume responsibility for the definitive diagnosis of OSA." Dental professionals can assume a screening role such as the use of the STOP-Bang questionnaire for adults and the Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire (PSQ) for children since the diagnosis of OSA in children is confirmed by the gold standard PSG (polysomnography). Therefore, the definitive diagnosis is appropriately made by a physician.

At least four relatively recent narrative reviews addressed the OSA debate (11-14). Rinchuse (12) challenged the "airway friendly" orthodontic movement from an evidence-based perspective and suggested that studies should explore the sources and reasons for misinformation being circulated to dental and orthodontic practitioners, and even patients. Kazmierski (14) emphasized the role of the orthodontist as screening for obvious OSA signs and symptoms and if necessary to make appropriate referral to a physician. To emphasize that the diagnosis and treatment of OSA is a medical condition, not dental, Kandasamy factiously added, "If our colleagues want to play medical doctor, then they should consider going to medical school. If our colleagues want to carry out orthodontic treatment to treat medical problems based on anecdotal claims and beliefs unsubstantiated by the evidence, such as expansion and growth modification at all costs, then this is not only unethical but grossly misleading to the public."

The recent American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine (AADSM) consensus panel of 12 experts reviewed literature relating to numerous therapies for OSA and snoring in both adults and children (15). This consensus paper is believed to be the first to evaluate novel therapies in both children and adults. Modalities of interventions reviewed were: myofunctional orthodontics, expansion for maxillary constriction, myofunctional therapy for tongue motor immaturity, lingual and buccal releases for tethered tissue, ablative and nonablative lasers for elongated or edematous soft palate and adjacent tissue, and extractions. There was agreement among panelists that none of the reviewed therapies were considered appropriate as first-line single therapies. They however may be appropriate as possible secondary, tertiary, or rescue options. Limitations of this paper were mentioned as well as the requirement for additional long-term RTCs with larger sample sizes.

The contretemps regarding OSA and airway parallels the early controversy concerning the diagnosis and treatment of TMDs (Temporomandibular Disorders), which centered on a dental, occlusal, gnathological, and jaw function philosophical perspective. Now the definition of TMD has involved into a more complex disorder as a group of muscular and neuromuscular conditions that include the muscles of mastication, the TMJ, and associated structures. From an evidence-based perspective TMDs are now viewed from a medical and biopsychosocial perspective (16). Likewise, OSA is more complicated and multifactorial in etiology. Adenotonsillar hypertrophy, neuromuscular tone, obesity, rostral fluid shifts, genetic predisposition influencing craniofacial anatomy and other related factors account for etiologies. In particular, adenotonsillar hypertrophy, which typically occurs during the period of significant lymphoid tissue growth, between the ages of 8 and 10, is recognized as the leading cause of sleep-disordered breathing (SDB) in children (17). Also obesity is considered an independent risk factor for the onset and progression of sleepdisordered breathing (17), while a reciprocal interaction between Pediatric OSA and asthma has been reported, whereby each disease impacts the severity of the other (18). In addition to risk factors, collapsibility of the upper airway is influenced further by OSA severity, which is heterogeneous among patients with the disorder. Pediatric OSA can be generally categorized into mild [AHI or respiratory disturbance index (RDI) between 1 and <5 events per hour], moderate (AHI between 5 and <10 events per hour), and severe (AHI \geq 10 events per hour). This wide range of presentation leads to variations in management approach and differences in treatment response (10).

Even though impaired neuromuscular tone is a more valid assessment of OSA than airway volume (10), airway volume still seems to be the focus of some contentious groups and individuals for the management and treatment of OSA. For instance, maxillary expansion in the transverse dimension and advancement of the maxilla and/or mandible in the sagittal is recommended for increasing airway volume whereas orthodontic extractions are condemned for reducing airway volume and causing harm.

Recently there have been claims even for maxillary expansion in children as early as 3 years of age (19). This advocacy for early expansion has been dispelled by both Rinchuse (12) and Kandasamy (13). Rinchuse argued that caution should be advised, especially aggressive treatment for young children, without high quality investigations and particularly "long-term follow-up." Therefore, when evaluating expansion, or craniofacial growth modification claims for pre-school children relative to airway development and decrease in the size of enlarged adenoids and palatine tonsils, it is imperative to consider longterm maintenance and stability. Successful short-term outcomes may have relative statistical significance, but no clinical significance, and no long-term stability and pertinence. For instance, as Lyle Johnston argued it may be a "mortgage on growth" like ostensibly successful early Class II Phase I treatments (20). Meaning there is a temporary effect that is paid back later with no overall net gain. Or a "Soft Tissue Paradigm" (21) in which the stability of results is related primarily to soft tissue pressure and equilibrium effects, with relapse seen years later, like very young children outgrowing treatment. Finally, the American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine (AADSM) consensus panel concluded that maxillary constriction is not a factor that contributes to pediatric OSA. "There was insufficient

10.3389/froh.2024.1486573

evidence to support RME as a treatment to cure pediatric OSA and stressed that expansion should only be considered in those patients who demonstrate maxillary constriction, independent of having pediatric OSA" (15). In addition, extractions were evaluated as to whether they decrease airway and tongue volume leading to pediatric OSA. The overall conclusion was that extractions in children are not a risk factor for OSA. Malocclusion and craniofacial morphologies identified as predisposing for OSA and airway problems include "retrognathia, long and narrow faces, dolichocephalic facial type, narrow and deep palate, steep mandibular plane angle, anterior open bite, midface deficiency, and lower hyoid position. It should be noted, however, that the strength of the relationship between these craniofacial morphologies and the development of OSA is not well established" (10).

Functional appliances have been advocated as useful in the treatment of breathing sleep disorders, however, while mandibular advancement has shown some benefits in treating primary snoring (22) and mild-to-moderate Obstructive Sleep Apnea (23) in adults, the situation appears more complex in pediatric patients. Currently, there is no robust, evidence-based support for its benefits in children, if compared with adenotonsillectomy (AT), which remains the first-line therapy for pediatric OSA (24). Notably, no studies have demonstrated that functional appliances are superior to AT in children with an Apnea-Hypopnea Index (AHI) >10 (15).

Furthermore, while the persistence of sleep-disordered breathing after surgery has been linked to pre-existing craniofacial characteristics that contribute to a reduced upper airway size (25, 26), a clear cause-and-effect relationship has not been firmly established (27). In fact, systematic reviews (28–32) indicate that due to strong limitations such as small sample sizes, inadequate control groups, short study durations, and a lack of long-term follow-up, there is insufficient evidence to either confirm or refute the effectiveness of oral appliances for treating pediatric OSA. Moreover, although some studies reported the reduction of at least 50% in respiratory events in compliant patients, this treatment alone does not guarantee a cure for OSA (AHI <1 event/h) and the conclusion was, "It may be necessary to combine therapies to achieve a cure" (28).

While most research has focused on mandibular advancement, few papers have evaluated the effect of maxillary protrusion. The conclusion of a systematic review and meta-analysis on this topic indicated that "maxillary protraction appliances can only increase pharyngeal airway dimensions in the short term" (33). Unfortunately, analyzing changes in posterior airway space through 2D or 3D radiography is insufficient for evaluating OSA, as it lacks pre- and post-intervention AHI data and does not accurately reflect the supine sleep airway anatomy due to altered physiological states and head positions (15). Accordingly, OSA cannot be confirmed by craniofacial morphology alone and, based on current scientific evidence, orthopedic treatment might be considered in specified cases as an auxiliary treatment for children with craniofacial anomalies that are risk factors for OSA, but combining multiple therapies might be necessary to achieve successful treatment outcomes.

Nevertheless, orthodontists should be well-versed in the signs and symptoms of Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) and capable of conducting clinical risk assessments for the condition. It is highly recommended that orthodontists refer patients who may be at risk to a qualified physician for a definitive diagnosis (10). In cases where a physician identifies a skeletal discrepancy contributing to pediatric OSA, orthodontists may play a key role in treatment if the patient is referred back to them for intervention.

3 Discussion and conclusions

This paper has provided a concise, provocative review of OSA and airway problems particularly in children. Regrettably knowledge alone may have little impact on changing attitudes and certainly not behaviors. Biases and misconceptions are still pervasive in medicine and dentistry (34). Maybe even in the health sciences, it may be as Cavett Robert (35) pointed out in reference to social proof that, "95% of people are imitators and only 5 percent initiators, people are persuaded more by the actions of others than any proof we can offer." Adherence to unproven claims can have serious health related consequences such as increased burden of care (time, finances, extended treatments), and inappropriate and unnecessary treatments. As dentistry and orthodontics move closer to being as an evidence-based health care profession, we may observe less ambiguity, confusion and uncertainty.

Based on the above considerations, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The diagnosis of OSA in children is confirmed by the gold standard PSG (polysomnography) and by a physician.
- Dental professionals and orthodontists may have a supporting role to screen for OSA.
- Airway volume is not a reliable and valid assessment tool for the diagnosis of OSA.
- Maxillary expansion solely for OSA is inappropriate.
- Extractions in children are not a risk factor for OSA.
- Malocclusion and craniofacial morphologies may be associated with OSA and airway problems, but not a cause and effect.
- An interdisciplinary approach to treating Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA) ensures that patients receive the most comprehensive and effective care.

Author contributions

DR: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. AB: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. AM: Writing – review & editing. MC: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Kuhle S, Hoffmann DU, Mitra S, Urschitz MS. Anti-inflammatory medications for obstructive sleep apnoea in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2020) 1(1): CD007074. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007074.pub3

2. Lumeng JC, Chervin RD. Epidemiology of pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. Proc Am Thorac Soc. (2008) 5(2):242–52. doi: 10.1513/pats.200708-135MG

3. Ciavarella D, Lorusso M, Campobasso A, Cazzolla AP, Montaruli G, Burlon G, et al. Craniofacial morphology in obstructive sleep apnea patients. *J Clin Exp Dent.* (2023) 15(12):e999–1006. doi: 10.4317/jced.61104

4. Tepedino M, Illuzzi G, Laurenziello M, Perillo L, Taurino AM, Cassano M, et al. Craniofacial morphology in patients with obstructive sleep apnea: cephalometric evaluation. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.* (2022) 88(2):228–34. doi: 10.1016/j.bjorl.2020. 05.026

5. Ciavarella D, Campobasso A, Conte E, Burlon G, Guida L, Montaruli G, et al. Correlation between dental arch form and OSA severity in adult patients: an observational study. *Prog Orthod.* (2023) 24(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s40510-023-00464-5

6. Liu Y, Zhao T, Ngan P, Qin D, Hua F, He H. The dental and craniofacial characteristics among children with obstructive sleep apnoea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Orthod.* (2023) 45(3):346–55. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjac074

 Zreaqat M, Hassan R, Samsudin AR, Alforaidi S. Effects of twin-block appliance on upper airway parameters in OSA children with class II malocclusion and mandibular retrognathia: a CBCT study. *Eur J Pediatr.* (2023) 182(12):5501–10. doi: 10.1007/s00431-023-05226-3

 Pirelli P, Fiaschetti V, Fanucci E, Giancotti A, Condò R, Saccomanno S, et al. Cone beam CT evaluation of skeletal and nasomaxillary complex volume changes after rapid maxillary expansion in OSA children. *Sleep Med.* (2021) 86:81–9. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.08.011

9. Caruso S, Lisciotto E, Caruso S, Marino A, Fiasca F, Buttarazzi M, et al. Effects of rapid maxillary expander and delaire mask treatment on airway sagittal dimensions in pediatric patients affected by class III malocclusion and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Life (Basel).* (2023) 13(3):673. doi: 10.3390/life13030673

10. Behrents RG, Shelgikar AV, Conley RS, Flores-Mir C, Hans M, Levine M, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea and orthodontics: an American association of orthodontists white paper. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* (2019) 156(1):13–28.e1. doi: 10.1016/ j.ajodo.2019.04.009

11. Kandasamy S. Sleep disordered breathing and dentistry: waking up to reality. Semin Orthod. (2019) 25:296-303. doi: 10.1053/j.sodo.2019.09.001

12. Rinchuse DJ. "Airway friendly" orthodontics! Are you serious? Semin Orthod. (2023) 29:174-6. doi: 10.1053/j.sodo.2023.06.002

13. Kandasamy S. Obstructive sleep apnea and early orthodontic intervention: how early is early? *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* (2024) 165(5):500–2. doi: 10.1016/j. ajodo.2023.12.005

14. Kazmierski RH. Obstructive sleep apnea: what is an orthodontist's role? Prog Orthod. (2024) 25(1):21. doi: 10.1186/s40510-024-00524-4

15. Sheats R, Masse JF, Levine M, Aarab G, Meira e Cruz M, Simmons M, et al. Novel therapies for preventing, managing and treating obstructive sleep apnea and snoring in pediatric and adult patients. *J Dent Sleep Med.* (2024) 11(2). doi: 10. 15331/jdsm.7332

16. Kandasamy S, Rinchuse DJ, Greene CS, Johnston LE Jr. Temporomandibular disorders and orthodontics: what have we learned from 1992–2022?. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. (2022) 161(6):769–74. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2021.12.011

17. Marcus CL, Brooks LJ, Draper KA, Gozal D, Halbower AC, Jones J, et al. Diagnosis and management of childhood obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Pediatrics.* (2012) 130(3):e714–55. doi: 10.1542/peds.2012-1672

18. Prasad B, Nyenhuis SM, Imayama I, Siddiqi A, Teodorescu M. Asthma and obstructive sleep apnea overlap: what has the evidence taught US? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2020) 201(11):1345–57. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201810-1838TR

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

19. Yoon A, Gozal D, Kushida C, Pelayo R, Liu S, Faldu J, et al. A roadmap of craniofacial growth modification for children with sleep-disordered breathing: a multidisciplinary proposal. *Sleep.* (2023) 46(8):zsad095. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsad095

20. Johnston LE Jr. Functional appliances: a mortgage on mandibular position. *Aust Orthod J.* (1996) 14(3):154-7.

21. Proffit WR, Fields HW Jr, Larson BE, Sarver D. Contemporary Orthodontics. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier (2019).

22. De Meyer MMD, Vanderveken OM, De Weerdt S, Marks LAM, Cárcamo BA, Chavez AM, et al. Use of mandibular advancement devices for the treatment of primary snoring with or without obstructive sleep apnea (OSA): a systematic review. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2021) 56:101407. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2020. 101407

23. Randerath W, Verbraecken J, de Raaff CAL, Hedner J, Herkenrath S, Hohenhorst W, et al. European respiratory society guideline on non-CPAP therapies for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2021) 30(162):210200. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0200-2021

24. Brietzke SE, Gallagher D. The effectiveness of tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in the treatment of pediatric obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2006) 134(6):979–84. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns. 2006.02.033

25. Nespoli L, Caprioglio A, Brunetti L, Nosetti L. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in childhood. *Early Hum Dev.* (2013) 89(Suppl 3):S33-7. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev. 2013.07.020

26. Kandasamy S, Goonewardene M. Class II malocclusion and sleep-disordered breathing. *Semin Orthod.* (2014) 20(4):316–23. doi: 10.1053/j.sodo.2014.09.007

27. Katyal V, Pamula Y, Martin AJ, Daynes CN, Kennedy JD, Sampson WJ. Craniofacial and upper airway morphology in pediatric sleep-disordered breathing: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*. (2013) 143 (1):20-30.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2012.08.021

28. Cozzi-Machado C, Albertini FR, Silveira S, Machado-Júnior AJ. Mandibular advancement appliances in pediatric obstructive sleep apnea: an umbrella review. *Sleep Sci.* (2023) 16(4):e468–75. doi: 10.1055/s-0043-1776747

29. Carvalho FR, Lentini-Oliveira DA, Prado LB, Prado GF, Carvalho LB. Oral appliances and functional orthopaedic appliances for obstructive sleep apnoea in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2016) 10(10):CD005520. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005520.pub3

30. Yanyan M, Min Y, Xuemei G. Mandibular advancement appliances for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in children: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Sleep Med.* (2019) 60:145–51. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2018.12.022

31. Nazarali N, Altalibi M, Nazarali S, Major MP, Flores-Mir C, Major PW. Mandibular advancement appliances for the treatment of paediatric obstructive sleep apnea: a systematic review. *Eur J Orthod.* (2015) 37(6):618–26. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cju101

32. Huynh NT, Desplats E, Almeida FR. Orthodontics treatments for managing obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2016) 25:84–94. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2015.02.002

33. Ming Y, Hu Y, Li Y, Yu J, He H, Zheng L. Effects of maxillary protraction appliances on airway dimensions in growing class III maxillary retrognathic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* (2018) 105:138–45. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2017.12.013

34. Cabana MD, Rand CS, Powe NR, Wu AW, Wilson MH, Abboud PA, et al. Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? *JAMA*. (1999) 282(15):1458–65. doi: 10.1001/jama.282.15.1458

35. Cialdini RB. Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion. New York: Harper Business (2021).