Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Farzad Pakdel, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY Sandy Zhang-Nunes, University of Southern California, United States Delfitri Lutfi, Airlangga University, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE Alon Kahana akahana@mioculoplastics.com

RECEIVED 29 September 2024 ACCEPTED 06 January 2025 PUBLISHED 23 January 2025

CITATION

Kumar R, Spadaro JZ and Kahana A (2025) Case report: Periorbital pilomatricoma: a rare benign skin tumor misdiagnosed as cellulitis. *Front. Ophthalmol.* 5:1503693. doi: 10.3389/fopht.2025.1503693

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kumar, Spadaro and Kahana. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case report: Periorbital pilomatricoma: a rare benign skin tumor misdiagnosed as cellulitis

Rahul Kumar¹, Jane Z. Spadaro ^{2,3} and Alon Kahana^{2,3,4}*

¹College of Medicine, Northeast Ohio Medical University, Rootstown, OH, United States, ²Kahana Oculoplastic and Orbital Surgery, Livonia, MI, United States, ³Beaumont Eye Institute, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, MI, United States, ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Oakland University William Beaumont School of Medicine, Rochester, MI, United States

Purpose: We describe an unusual case of a rapidly progressive pilomatricoma along the left brow, which was initially misdiagnosed and treated as preseptal cellulitis. Although rare, pilomatricomas and other adnexal tumors should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a growing mass near the brow.

Case presentation: A 29-year-old male presented to the emergency department with a progressively enlarging left brow lesion, initially noted 3 weeks prior. Exam revealed an erythematous left subbrow mass that measured 2.5 x 2 cm, with resultant mechanical ptosis. The lesion was initially misdiagnosed and treated as preseptal cellulitis, with concern for abscess. The patient ultimately underwent excisional biopsy of the lesion and pathology revealed pilomatricoma.

Conclusions: Pilomatricoma has similarities to more common skin lesions. Lack of pain or tenderness are important clues against an infectious or inflammatory etiology. Complete surgical excision is therapeutic and allows for diagnostic confirmation. Histopathology is required to rule out pilomatrix carcinoma, a malignant variant.

KEYWORDS

orbital tumor, preseptal cellulites, folliculomas, hair follicle tumor, brow and lid reconstruction

1 Introduction

Pilomatricomas (PMC), also known as pilomatrixomas or calcifying epitheliomas, were first described in 1880 by Malherbe and Chenantais, and further characterized and identified as originating from hair follicle matrix cells in 1961 by Forbis and Helwig (1). These benign subcutaneous tumors, which are more common in children, usually occur on the head, neck, or upper limbs, and can range in diameter from 0.4-3.5 cm (2). PMCs are rare: among benign skin tumors, PMCs have an incidence of 1% (1). As a result, PMCs are

commonly misdiagnosed as sebaceous cysts, dermoid cysts, chalazia, and cellulitis/abscess. Pilomatrix carcinoma, the malignant variant of PMC, also arises from proliferating matrix cells as a firm, non-tender lesion (3). Unlike PMC, pilomatrix carcinoma usually occurs later in life and has a high rate of local recurrence after surgical excision (3).

Herein, we describe an unusual case of a rapidly enlarging PMC of the left brow initially diagnosed and treated as an infection.

2 Case presentation

A 29-year-old male presented to the clinic with a progressively enlarging left brow mass. He initially presented to his dermatologist, who diagnosed a cystic abscess and attempted to drain the lesion. The lesion continued to increase in size and the patient subsequently presented to the ED, where he was diagnosed with preseptal cellulitis (Figure 1A). He was prescribed oral amoxicillinclavulanate. The patient noted that the lesion continued to grow despite antibiotics, and he was referred for a second opinion.

Upon presentation to the oculoplastic clinic, the patient was noted to have an erythematous 2.0 x 1.5 cm mass, resulting in

mechanical ptosis (Figure 1B). Otherwise, his visual acuity and intraocular pressures were normal. He had no evidence of proptosis or extraocular dysmotility. External exam revealed a firm, mobile mass without any underlying boney attachments. Importantly, the patient reported no pain, and exam revealed no tenderness. The patient was otherwise in good health and without history of trauma to the area.

Based on a growing mass in the absence of pain, tenderness or inflammatory signs, a tumor was suspected. He underwent complete excision and biopsy of the lesion, with preservation of skin (Figure 1C). Histology revealed islands of eosinophilic ghost cells consistent with pilomatricoma (Figure 2). At postoperative month 1, the patient was doing well (Figure 1D).

3 Discussion and conclusions

Pilomatricomas (PMCs) seen near the brow and eyelid are commonly misdiagnosed as sebaceous cysts, dermoid cysts, chalazia, calcinosis cutis, cellulitis, and basal cell carcinoma due to shared physical features (4). Defining characteristics to differentiate lesions include size and shape of lesion, color, presence and color of



FIGURE 1

Progression of the subbrow mass over a 30-day timeline. (A) Picture taken 3 weeks after the patient first noticed the skin lesion. A subtly discolored mass under the brow is present. (B) The lesion continued to progress in size, and measured 2.0 x 1.5 cm (C) Preoperative picture where lesion measured 2.5 x 1.0 cm. Ecchymosis and telangiectatic vessels are visible on the lesion. (D) Postoperative month 1 demonstrates resolution of the subbrow mass.



FIGURE 2

(A) Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stain (x10) showing blue basaloid cells, eosinophilic ghost cells, and transition cells with pyknotic nuclei in between.
(B) Islands of eosinophilic anucleated ghost cells (blue arrow) and the basaloid cells they arise from (green arrow) can be visualized (H&E, x40).

discharge, firmness, and tenderness. The lack of pain or tenderness in an otherwise healthy immunocompetent patient selects against an infectious or inflammatory etiology and is more consistent with a tumor.

PMCs can be described as a mass with intact skin overlying ecchymosis and telangiectatic vessels (5). PMCs are firm due to the cellularity of the mass and calcification of ghost/shadow cells. They are usually non-tender and grow slowly. PMCs are anchored to the epidermis but slide freely over the area beneath it (6). The calcified part of the lesion has an angular shape, called the "tent sign", which can be seen when the overlying skin is stretched to be taut (7). While PMCs tend to be slow-growing, in our case the mass grew rapidly and contained abundant telangiectasis, raising the possibility of a malignant process, e.g. pilomatrix carcinoma, requiring complete excision (8). Given the benign histology, we believe that the rapid grow of this patient's PMC was due to an intra-lesional hemorrhage.

Histologic analysis of PMCs reveals basophilic basaloid cells giving rise to transition cells with pyknotic nuclei and eosinophilic shadow/ghost cells, with calcifications and both giant cell and mononuclear inflammatory reactions (9, 10).

Pilomatricoma formation is usually caused by somatic activating mutations in the Wnt signaling pathway, specifically in the CTNNB1 gene encoding for β -catenin, a protein found in all active hair follicle matrix cells (11, 12). The mutated β -catenin protein cannot be phosphorylated by WNT-ligand, thus preventing its degradation through the ubiquitin pathway, resulting in downstream expression of proto-oncogens. The combination of mutant β -catenin and additional mutations in proto-oncogenes and/or tumor suppressor genes underlies malignant transformation to pilomatrix carcinoma.

Whenever possible, complete surgical excision is the preferred treatment to minimize risk of recurrence, which is around 2-2.6% (4, 11, 13). The most likely cause of recurrence is incomplete excision.

Pilomatricomas are challenging to diagnose clinically due to their similarities with other common skin lesions. As a result, histological analysis is essential to confirm diagnosis, rule out pilomatrix carcinoma, and reduce the risk of malignant transformation. Although uncommon, pilomatricomas should be considered in the differential diagnosis of growing subcutaneous masses in the periocular region. A key sign is the lack of pain or tenderness on exam.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Beaumont Institutional Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

RK: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

AK is a consultant to BioTissue, Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Hu JL, Yoo H, Kwon ST, Kim S, Chung JH, Kim H, et al. Clinical analysis and review of literature on pilomatrixoma in pediatric patients. *Arch Craniofacial Surg.* (2020) 21:288–93. doi: 10.7181/acfs.2020.00528

2. Darwish AH, Al-Jalahema EK, Dhiman AK, Al-Khalifa KA. Clinicopathological study of pilomatricoma. Saudi Med J. (2001) 22:268–71.

3. Jones C, Twoon M, Ho W, Portelli M, Robertson BF, Anderson W. Pilomatrix carcinoma: 12-year experience and review of the literature. *J Cutan Pathol.* (2018) 45:33–8. doi: 10.1111/cup.13046

4. Marano A, Parcells AL, Peters SR, Granick MS. Eyebrow lesion: an unusual suspect. *Eplastv.* (2015) 15:ic1.

5. Ali MJ, Honavar SG, Naik MN, Vemuganti GK. Malherbe's calcifying epithelioma (Pilomatrixoma): an uncommon periocular tumor. *Int J Trichol.* (2011) 3:31–3. doi: 10.4103/0974-7753.82134

6. Danielson-Cohen A, Lin SJ, Hughes CA, An YH, Maddalozzo J. Head and neck pilomatrixoma in children. *Arch Otolaryngol Neck Surg.* (2001) 127:1481. doi: 10.1001/archotol.127.12.1481

7. Pant I, Joshi SC, Kaur G, Kumar G. Pilomatricoma as a diagnostic pitfall in clinical practice: report of two cases and review of literature. *Indian J Dermatol.* (2010) 55:390–2. doi: 10.4103/0019-5154.74566

8. White C, Farsi M, Esguerra D, Miller R. Not your average skin cancer: A rare case of pilomatrix carcinoma. *J Clin Aesthetic Dermatol.* (2020) 13:40–2.

9. DeRosa DC, Lin-Hurtubise K. Pilomatricoma: an unusual dermatologic neoplasm. *Hawaii J Med Public Health J Asia Pac Med Public Health*. (2012) 71:282-6.

10. Sarkar S, Kunal P, Chowdhury B, Ghosh K. Pilomatricoma mimicking ruptured epidermal cyst in a middle aged woman. *Indian J Dermatol.* (2016) 61:88–90. doi: 10.4103/0019-5154.174035

11. Jones CD, Ho W, Robertson BF, Gunn E, Morley S. Pilomatrixoma: A comprehensive review of the literature. *Am J Dermatopathol.* (2018) 40:631–41. doi: 10.1097/DAD.00000000001118

12. Chan EF, Gat U, McNiff JM, Fuchs E. A common human skin tumour is caused by activating mutations in beta-catenin. *Nat Genet.* (1999) 21:410-3. doi: 10.1038/7747

13. Nishioka M, Tanemura A, Yamanaka T, Tani M, Miura H, Asakura M, et al. Pilomatrix carcinoma arising from pilomatricoma after 10-year senescent period: Immunohistochemical analysis. *J Dermatol.* (2010) 37:735–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1346-8138.2010.00887.x